# Mahout Scala Bindings and Mahout Spark Bindings for Linear Algebra Subroutines

Working Notes and Manual

Dmitriy Lyubimov\*

#### Abstract

In recent years significant effort was spent to produce semantically friendly environments for linear algebra. Working with vector, matrix and tensor data structures as a single data type offers essential qualities necessary for rapid prototyping of algebraically defined mathematical problems. The other side of the coin is convenience of the same environment as a programming language. Yet another one is doing things at scale. Yet another highly desirable capability of the same environment is plotting and visualization. Without bringing any detailed review of existing environments here, the author however offers an opinion that while a lot of environments succeed in one or more of these aspects, none of them however adequately addresses all of them at the same time and at a reasonable cost.

Unlike many other environments, Mahout model was targeting both dense and sparse data structures from the very beginning both in type modeling and cost-based optimized computations.

In this work we are trying to bring semantic explicitness to Mahout's in-core and out-of-core linear algebra subroutines, while adding benefits of strong programming environment of scala, and captializing on great scalability benefits of Spark and GraphX.

# Overview

The manual is mostly origanised by giving DSL features by example. That means that capabilities are wider than those shown, and may change behind the scenes as the work develops. However, the authors try to facilitate and ecourage particular style given, and retain behind-the-scenes compatibility with the examples given.

If a matrix or a vector are denoted by a single Latin letter, I use capital letters to denote matrices, and small letters to denote vectors, thus diverging somewhat from accepted camel case for reference variables in these few cases.

<sup>\*</sup>dlyubimov at apache dot org

<u>CONTENTS</u> CONTENTS

# Contents

1	Mal	ahout in-core algebraic Scala Bindings		4
	1.1	I Imports	 	4
	1.2	2 Inline initialization	 	4
	1.3	B Slicing and Assigning	 	5
	1.4	BLAS-like operations	 	6
	1.5	5 Decompositions	 	8
		Cholesky decompositon	 	8
		SVD	 	8
		EigenDecomposition	 	8
		QR decomposition	 	8
		Rank	 	8
		In-core SSVD	 	9
	1.6	3 Misc	 	9
	1.7	7 Bringing it all together: in-core SSVD	 	9
	1.8	Pitfalls	 	10
_				
2		ut-of-core linalg bindings		11
	2.1	0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
	2.2			
		2.2.1 Loading DRM off HDFS		
		2.2.2 Parallelizing from an in-core matrix		
		2.2.3 Empty DRM		
		2.2.4 Collecting to driver's jvm in-core		
		2.2.5 Collecting to HDFS	 	
	2.3			
		Optimizer actions		
		Computational actions	 	13
		2.3.1 Transposition		13
		2.3.2 Elementwise $+, -, *, / \dots $	 	13
		2.3.3 Matrix-matrix multiplication %*%		14
		2.3.4 Matrix-scalar $+,-,*,/$	 	14
	2.4	4 Slicing	 	15
	2.5	5 Custom pipelines on blocks	 	15
	2.6	Doing something completely custom	 	16
	2.7	7 Distributed Decompositions	 	17
		2.7.1 Distributed thin QR	 	17
		2.7.2 Distributed Stochastic SVD	 	17

CONTENTS | CONTENTS

3	Opt	imizer notes	19
	3.1	Physical operators	19
	3.2	Tracking the partitioning	19
	3.3	Tracking of DRM row key values and their types	19
4	Not	cations	20

# 1 Mahout in-core algebraic Scala Bindings<sup>1</sup>

In-core DSL is hardly much more than just a syntactic sugar over org.apache.mahout.math.Matrix(Vector) trait implementations. As such, all originally implemented operation signatures of Mahout are also retained.

# 1.1 Imports

The following two scala imports are typically used to enable Mahout Scala DSL bindings for Linear Algebra:

```
import org.apache.mahout.math.scalabindings._
import RLikeOps._
```

Another option is to use "matlab like" dialect by doing

```
import MatlabLikeOps._
```

However, Matlab-like DSL dialect adherence to original Matlab dialect is far less optimal that R dialect due to the specifics of operator support in scala, so we just will limit ourselves to R-like dialect here.

# 1.2 Inline initialization

Dense vectors

```
val denseVec1: Vector = (1.0, 1.1, 1.2)
val denseVec2 = dvec(1, 0, 1.1, 1.2)
```

Sparse vectors

```
val sparseVec = svec((5 \rightarrow 1) :: (10 \rightarrow 2.0) :: Nil) val sparseVec2: Vector = (5 \rightarrow 1.0) :: (10 \rightarrow 2.0) :: Nil
```

matrix inline initialization, either dense or sparse, is always row-wise:

dense matrices:

```
val A = dense((1, 2, 3), (3, 4, 5))
```

sparse matrices

```
val A = sparse(
     (1, 3) :: Nil,
     (0, 2) :: (1, 2.5) :: Nil
)
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See link: original proposal.

diagonal matrix with constant diagonal elements

diagonal matrix with main diagonal backed by a vector

Identity matrix

Obviously, direct initialization of any vector or matrix type in Mahout is still available with regular orration new.

# 1.3 Slicing and Assigning

geting vector element

$$val d = vec(5)$$

setting vector element

$$vec(5) = 3.0$$

getting matrix element

$$val d = m(3,5)$$

setting matrix element (setQuick() behind the scenes)

$$M(3,5) = 3.0$$

Getting matrix row or column

val rowVec = 
$$M(3, ::)$$
  
val colVec =  $M(::, 3)$ 

Setting matrix row or column

$$M(3, ::) = (1, 2, 3)$$
  
 $M(::, 3) = (1, 2, 3)$ 

thru vector assignment also works

$$M(3, ::) := (1, 2, 3)$$
  
 $M(::, 3) := (1, 2, 3)$ 

subslices of row or vector work too

$$a(0, 0 to 1) = (3, 5)$$

or with vector assignment

$$a(0, 0 to 1) := (3, 5)$$

matrix contiguous block as matrix, with assignment

```
// block
val B = A(2 to 3, 3 to 4)
// asignment to a block
A(0 to 1, 1 to 2) = dense((3, 2), (2, 3))
```

or thru the matrix assignment operator

$$A(0 \text{ to } 1, 1 \text{ to } 2) := dense((3, 2), (2, 3))$$

Assignment operator by copying between vectors or matrix

```
vec1 := vec2
M1 := M2
```

also works for matrix subindexing notations as per above

Assignment thru a function literal (matrix)

$$M := ((row, col, x) \Rightarrow if (row == col) 1 else 0)$$

for a vector, the same:

$$vec := ((index, x) \Rightarrow sqrt(x))$$

# 1.4 BLAS-like operations

plus/minus, either vector or matrix or numeric, with assignment or not

Hadamard (elementwise) product, either vector or matrix or numeric operands

same things with assignment, matrix, vector or numeric operands

a += b a -= b a += 5.0 a -= 5.0 a \*= b a \*= 5

One nuance here is associativity rules in scala. E.g. 1/x in R (where x is vector or matrix) is elementwise inverse operation and in scala realm would be expressed as

```
val xInv = 1 /: x
and R's 5.0 - x would be
val x1 = 5.0 -: x
```

Even trickier and really probably not so obvious stuff:

```
assigns a - b to b (in-place) and returns b. Similarly for a /=: b or 1 /=: v. (all assignment operations, including :=, return the assignee argument just like in C++) dot product (vector operands)
```

matrix /vector equivalency (or non-equivalency). Dangerous, exact equivalence is rarely useful, better use norm comparisons with admission of small errors

```
a === b
a !== b
```

a dot b

a -=: b

Matrix multiplication (matrix operands)

for matrices that explicitly support optimized right and left muliply (currently, diagonal matrices) right-multiply (for symmetry, in fact same as %\*%)

optimized left multiply with a diagonal matrix:

A 
$$%*\%$$
: diag(5,5) # i.e. same as (diag(5,5) : $%*\%$  A.t) t

Second norm, vector or matrix argument:

a.norm

Finally, transpose

Note: Transposition currently is handled via view, i.e. updating a transposed matrix will be updating the original. Also computing something like  $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$ 

val 
$$XtX = X.t %*% X$$

will not therefore incur any additional data copying.

# 1.5 Decompositions

All arguments in the following are matrices.

Cholesky decomposition (as an object of a Cholesky Decomposition class with all its operations)

SVD

$$val(U, V, s) = svd(M)$$

EigenDecomposition

QR decomposition

$$val(Q, R) = qr(M)$$

Rank Check for rank deficiency (runs rank-revealing QR)

M.isFullRank

#### In-core SSVD

```
val (U, V, s) = ssvd(A, k=50, p=15, q=1)
```

### 1.6 Misc

```
vector cardinality
a.length
matrix cardinality
```

```
m.nrow
m.ncol
a copy-by-value (vector or matrix )
```

val b = a cloned

# 1.7 Bringing it all together: in-core SSVD

Just to illustrate semantic clarity, we will adduce a source for in-core SSVD code.

```
/**
 * In-core SSVD algorithm.
 * @param a input matrix A
 * @param k request SSVD rank
 * Oparam p oversampling parameter
 * Oparam q number of power iterations
 * @return (U,V,s)
 */
def ssvd(a: Matrix, k: Int, p: Int = 15, q: Int = 0) = {
  val m = a.nrow
  val n = a.ncol
  if (k > min(m, n))
    throw new IllegalArgumentException(
      "k cannot be greater than smaller of m,n")
  val pfxed = min(p, min(m, n) - k)
  \//\ {\it actual\ decomposition\ rank}
  val r = k + pfxed
  val rnd = RandomUtils.getRandom
  val omega = Matrices.symmetricUniformView(n, r, rnd.nextInt)
  var y = a %*% omega
  var yty = y.t %*% y
  val at = a.t
  var ch = chol(yty)
```

```
var bt = ch.solveRight(at %*% y)
// power iterations
for (i <- 0 until q) {
   y = a %*% bt
   yty = y.t %*% y
   ch = chol(yty)
   bt = ch.solveRight(at %*% y)
}
val bbt = bt.t %*% bt
val (uhat, d) = eigen(bbt)
val s = d.sqrt
val u = ch.solveRight(y) %*% uhat
val v = bt %*% (uhat %*%: diagv(1 /: s))
   (u(::, 0 until k), v(::, 0 until k), s(0 until k))
}</pre>
```

# 1.8 Pitfalls

This one the people who are accustomed to writing R linear algebra will probably find quite easy to fall into. R has a nice property, a copy-on-write, so all variables actually appear to act as no-side-effects scalar-like values and all assignment appear to be by value. Since scala always assigns by reference (except for AnyVal types which are assigned by value), it is easy to fall prey to the following side effect modifications

```
val m1 = m m1 += 5.0 // modifies m as well
```

A fix is as follows:

```
val m1 = m cloned
m1 += 5.0 // now m is intact
```

# 2 Out-of-core linalg bindings

The subject of this section are solely operations applicable to Mahout's DRM (distributed row matrix). Once loaded into spark, DRM is represented by Spark partitions initially consisting of handful of row vectors.

Here and on, I will denote spark-backed DRM references as A, whereas in-core matrices as inCoreA.

# 2.1 Initializing Mahout/Spark context

Many (if not all) operations will require a Spark context. Spark context can be passed in two ways: (1) as an implicit value; and as passed down from a parent source (DRM's backing RDD).

To initialize Mahout/Spark session, just create an implicit value of a specifically prepped Spark context:

```
import org.apache.mahout.sparkbindings._
implicit val mahoutCtx = mahoutSparkContext(
    masterUrl = "local",
    appName = "MahoutLocalContext"
    // [,...]
)
```

Parameter masterUrl points to Spark's master. Note that Mahout expects either MAHOUT\_HOME environment or -Dmahout.home=... java system variable to point to Mahout home directory in order to collect relevant jars for the Spark sessions.

From there on, as long as Mahout-initialized Spark context is exposed thru implicit variable, attribute or paremeter, there's no need to specify it explicitly for any of the successive operations.

Note that as of the time of this writing Spark sessions cannot coexist in the same jvm, even though a single spark session is reentrant and can handle requests from more than one thread.

# 2.2 DRM Persistence operators

```
import org.apache.mahout.sparkbindings.drm._
import RLikeDrmOps._
```

# 2.2.1 Loading DRM off HDFS

```
val A = drmFromHDFS(path = hdfsPath)
```

#### 2.2.2 Parallelizing from an in-core matrix

```
val inCoreA = dense((1, 2, 3), (3, 4, 5))
val A = drmParallelize(inCoreA)
```

#### 2.2.3 Empty DRM

```
val A = drmParallelizeEmpty(100, 50)
```

#### 2.2.4 Collecting to driver's jvm in-core

```
val inCoreA = A.collect()
```

## 2.2.5 Collecting to HDFS

Collect Spark-backed DRM to HDFS in Mahout's DRM format files:<sup>2</sup>

```
A.writeDRM(path = hdfsPath)
```

## 2.3 Logical algebraic operators on DRM matrices

We will define a logical set of operators that are familiar to users of environments such as R, which are elementwise +, -, \*, / as well as matrix multiplication %\*% and transposition. General rule is that we try to do a subset of those enabled for in-core DSL. In particular, since all distributed matrices are immutable, there are no assignment versions (e.g. A += B). We also probably will have trouble to do efficient slicing.

Logical operators comprised into expression do not however mean that concrete physical plan is materialized until the expression is "checkpointed" – directly or indirectly. In terms of Spark, this is called "action".

Unlike with Spark, we want to discern two types of "actions": optimizer action and computational action.

Optimizer actions. Optimizer action triggers materialization of a physical plan (concrete RDD graph with result marked for Spark caching), backed by CheckpointedDRM. CheckpointedDRM servies as a cut-off boundary for optimizer action. Optimizer action does not trigger actual computation of result data set. Right now optimizer action is triggered explicitly by DRMLike#checkpoint().

Let consider two examples:

```
val A = drmParallelize (...)
val B = drmParallelize (...)
val C = A %*% B
val D = C.t
val E = C.t %*% C
```

In this example, optimizer optimizes separately 2 pipelines:  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{\top}$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{\top}\right)^{\top} \left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{\top}\right)$  using same matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  as root of both computations. Now let's consider the following modified example:

```
val A = drmParallelize (...)
val B = drmParallelize (...)
val C = (A %*% B).checkpoint
val D = C.t
val E = C.t %*% C
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>if you see an error here along the lines "no implicit view available from A => org.apache.hadoop.io.Writable" most likely you need just to import SparkContext.\_\_.

In this case, optimizer considers 3 separate pipelines:  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{AB}, \ \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{C}^{\top}$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{C}^{\top}\mathbf{C}$  while caching optimized plan and intermediate result for  $\mathbf{C}$  into the Spark cache. Introducing checkpoints may improve "wall time" (since matrices  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  will be triggered for action at different time and optimizer wouldn't be able to consider computational graph that includes both at the same time). However, in this particular case the checkpoint might be better considered for  $\mathbf{AB}^{\top}$  since at this point optimizer doesn't compute  $\mathbf{AB}$  directly but rather as  $\mathbf{A} \left( \mathbf{B}^{\top} \right)^{\top}$ , i.e. this is an example when checkpointing will result in one extra transposition of arguments. However, even in the first example optimizer will be able to figure to optimize  $\mathbf{E} = \left( \mathbf{AB}^{\top} \right)^{\top} \left( \mathbf{AB}^{\top} \right)$  as  $\mathbf{t}$  square (product  $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}^{\top})$ ) pipeline, i.e. into only two sequential physical operators.

In either of the examples, nothing happens in the backend until a computational action is triggered for either of **E** or **D**.

It doesn't matter how many times checkpointing is called on a logical operator, same logical operator will be optimized and set for caching policy only once.

Computational actions. Computational action leads to result being computed and (optionally?) placed into Spark cache. Such actions will also lazily and implicitly trigger linalg optimizer checkpointing. Currently, computational actions include writeDrm(), collect(), blockify() and sometimes could also be triggered implicitly by optimizer activity beyond current checkpoint cut-off (if checkpointed but not computed and cached yet) to run some cost estimates necessary for the optimizer beyond checkpointing, potentially future actions associated with DRM sub-blocking.

E.g. in the second example, running

#### E.writeDrm(path)

will trigger computational actions for E and, implicitly, for C.

All these rules follow the same patterns as for the in-core arguments.

#### 2.3.1 Transposition

A.t

# 2.3.2 Elementwise +, -, \*, /

$$M = A + B$$

$$M = A - B$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}$$
 (Hadamard)

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{11}}{b_{11}} & \frac{a_{12}}{b_{12}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{1n}}{b_{1n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{a_{m1}}{b_{m1}} & \frac{a_{m2}}{b_{m2}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{mn}}{b_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(elementwise deletion)

All this operations require identical geometry of operands and row keying types that will be asserted at optmizer checkpointing time.

```
A + B
A - B
A * B
A / B
```

Binary operators involving in-core argument (only on int-keyed DRMs)

```
A + inCoreB
A - inCoreB
A * inCoreB
A / inCoreB
A :+ inCoreB
A :- inCoreB
A :- inCoreB
A :* inCoreB
inCoreA +: B
inCoreA -: B
inCoreA /: B
```

Note spark associativity change (e.g. inCoreA +: B means B.leftMultiply(A), just like with both in-core arguments). Important thing here is that whenever operator arguments include both in-core and out-of-core arguments, operator can only be associated with the out-of-core argument to support distributed implementation.

#### 2.3.3 Matrix-matrix multiplication %\*%

```
M = AB

A %*% B

A %*% inCoreB

A :%*% inCoreB

inCoreA %*%: B
```

Same as above, when both in-core and out-of-core argumetrs used, associativity of operation must follow the out-of-core (DRM) argument in the expression.

# 2.3.4 Matrix-scalar +,-,\*,/

In this context, matrix-scalar operations mean element-wise operatios of every matrix element and a scalar.

```
A + 5.0
A - 5.0
A :- 5.0
5.0 -: A
A * 5.0
A / 5.0
5.0 /: A
```

Note that 5.0 -: A means  $m_{ij}=5-a_{ij}$  and 5.0 /: A means  $m_{ij}=\frac{5}{a_{ij}}$  for all elements of the result.

# 2.4 Slicing

Slicing (without assigning) is supported mostly identically to in-core slicing. Slicing row or range is of Range scala type, which typically can be inlined as x to y or x until y. All-range is given by ::.

General slice

```
A(100 to 200, 100 to 200)

Vertical block

A(::, 100 to 200)

Horizontal block
```

```
A(100 to 200, ::)
```

Note: if row range is not all-range (::) then the DRM must be Int-keyed. Row slicing in general case is not supported for key types other than Int.

# 2.5 Custom pipelines on blocks

Pretty often there's a need to do something with the matrix expressed as blocks. Some physical operators are also more effective once working with matrix blocks rather than individual rows. Internally, Mahout's matrix pipeline (lazily) blockifies every data partition into BlockifiedDrmTuple blocks whenever first physical operator requiring blocking is encountered. After that, any row-wise physical operators work on row vector views of the blocks.

Here is definition for DRM block tuple type:

```
/** Drm block-wise tuple:
   Array of row keys and the matrix block. */
type BlockifiedDrmTuple[K] = (Array[K], _ <: Matrix)</pre>
```

DRM operator mapBlock provides transformational access to the vertical blockified tuples of the matrix. (Current implementation also guarantees that there's exactly one block per map task).

Here is unit test that demonstrates use of mapBlock operator by producing A + 1.0:

```
val inCoreA = dense((1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4), (3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 6))
val A = drmParallelize(m = inCoreA, numPartitions = 2)
val B = A.mapBlock(/* Inherit width */)({
  case (keys, block) => keys -> (block += 1.0)
})
val inCoreB = B.collect
val inCoreBControl = inCoreA + 1.0
println(inCoreB)
// Assert they are the same
(inCoreB - inCoreBControl).norm should be < 1E-10</pre>
```

The constrain is that operator mapBlock should not attempt to change the height of the block, in order to provide correct total matrix row count estimate to optimizer after application of the operator. MapBlock operator may change width (i.e. column count) of the matrix; if it does so, it needs to supply it to first ncol parameter of the mapBlock call. Otherwise, it is assumed operator has inherited the width of the original matrix. The geometry of the block returned is asserted at run time, as geometry is vitally important for the coherence of linear operators.

Another note is that it is ok to return a reference to a modified same in-core block. This is actually recommended whenever possible (note the += operator in the example) to avoid matrix copying.

# 2.6 Doing something completely custom

If flexibility of Drm api is not enough, it is always possible to exit out of opimizer-based algebra pipeline into pure spark RDD environment. The exit is possible at optimizer checkpoints, which are presented by CheckpointedDrmBase[K] trait. This trait has an rdd:DrmRdd[K] method, which returns a row-wise RDD of DrmTuple[K] type.

The row-wise tuple types and RDDs are defined as following:

```
/** Drm row-wise tuple */
type DrmTuple[K] = (K, Vector)
/** Row-wise organized DRM rdd type */
type DrmRdd[K] = RDD[DrmTuple[K]]

(type Vector here is org.apache.mahout.math.Vector).
E.g.:
    val myRdd = (A %*% B).checkpoint().rdd
...
```

Similarly, an Rdd conforming to a type of DrmRdd, can be re-wrapped into optimizer checkpoint via

```
val rdd:DrmRdd[K] = ... //
val A = drmWrap(rdd = rdd, nrow = 50000, ncol = 100)
... // use A in a DRM pipeline
```

Parameters nool and nrow (geometry) are optional. If not supplied, they will be recomputed off cached dataset. But if supplied, they *must* be accurate!

A note about serialization: the Spark bindings for Mahout support serialization of Vector and Matrix types (including their views and slices) via Kryo serialization. Hence, Spark context for Mahout is initialized with kryo serializer for all objects. This is something to keep in mind (Vector and Matrix objects can be broadcasted/collected, but there's no way to revert to java-serialized-only support in spark session and use Mahout objects at the same time). This generally should not be a problem in Spark 0.9 since there's a kryo serialization back for practically anything of interest in the twitter/chill that is used by Spark since 0.8.

# 2.7 Distributed Decompositions

# 2.7.1 Distributed thin QR.

For the classic QR decomposition of the form  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , a distributed version is fairly easily achieved if  $\mathbf{A}$  is tall and thin such that  $\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}$  fits in memory, i.e. m is large, but  $n \leq \sim 5000$ . Under such circumstances, only  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  are distributed matrices, and  $\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  are in-core products. We just compute in-core version of Cholesky decomposition in the form of  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^{\top} = \mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}$ . After that we take  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{L}^{\top}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{L}^{\top})^{-1}$ . The latter is easily achieved by multiplying each vertical block of  $\mathbf{A}$  by  $(\mathbf{L}^{\top})^{-1}$ . (There's no actual matrix inversion happening).

Corollary to this design are two facts: (1) rows of  $\mathbf{Q}$  retain the same indexing type as rows of  $\mathbf{A}$  (not necessarily int-keyed); and (2)  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  are identically partitioned. Therefore,  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  subsequently can be trivially zipped together if join of rows is needed (used in d-ssvd).

```
val (drmQ, inCoreR) = dqrThin(drmA)
```

The source of this method as of the time of this writing is extremely simple (probably too simple):

```
def dqrThin[K: ClassTag](A: DrmLike[K], checkRankDeficiency: Boolean = true):
    (DrmLike[K], Matrix) = {
    if (A.ncol > 5000)
      s_log.warn("A is too fat. A'A must fit in memory and easily broadcasted.")
    val AtA = (A.t %*% A).checkpoint()
    val inCoreAtA = AtA.collect
    implicit val sc = AtA.rdd.sparkContext
    val ch = chol(inCoreAtA)
    val inCoreR = (ch.getL cloned) t
    if (checkRankDeficiency && !ch.isPositiveDefinite)
      throw new IllegalArgumentException("R is rank-deficient.")
    val bcastAtA = sc.broadcast(inCoreAtA)
    // Compute Q = A*inv(L') -- we can do it blockwise.
    val Q = A.mapBlock()({
      case (keys, block) => keys -> chol(bcastAtA).solveRight(block)
    })
    Q -> inCoreR
 }
```

Since we see that is navigated twice, it is recommended that it is checkpointed before calling this method to avoid recomputation.

#### 2.7.2 Distributed Stochastic SVD.

Usage example:

```
val (drmU, drmV, s) = dssvd(drmA, k = 40, q = 1)
```

As a side effect of checkpointing, U and V values returned as logical operators (i.e they are neither checkpointed nor computed). Therefore, there's no physical work actually done to compute final U or V until they are actually used in a subsequent expression. So unlike the SSVDSolver, this does not require additional parameters to configure which set of product combinations is actually computed in the end. Neat, isn't it.

Source (for those who likes counting lines):

```
/**
 * Distributed Stochastic Singular Value decomposition algorithm.
 * @param A input matrix A
 * @param k request SSVD rank
 * Oparam p oversampling parameter
 * @param q number of power iterations
 * @return (U,V,s). Note that U, V are non-checkpointed matrices
           (i.e. one needs to actually use them
           e.g. save them to hdfs in order to trigger their computation.
 */
def dssvd[K: ClassTag](A: DrmLike[K], k: Int, p: Int = 15, q: Int = 0):
(DrmLike[K], DrmLike[Int], Vector) = {
 val drmA = A.checkpoint()
  val m = drmA.nrow
  val n = drmA.ncol
  assert(k <= (m min n), "k cannot be greater than smaller of m, n.")
  val pfxed = safeToNonNegInt((m min n) - k min p)
  // Actual decomposition rank
  val r = k + pfxed
  // We represent Omega by its seed.
  val omegaSeed = Random.nextInt()
  // Compute Y = A*Omega.
  var drmY = drmA.mapBlock(ncol = r)({
    case (keys, blockA) =>
      val blockY = blockA %*% Matrices.symmetricUniformView(blockA.ncol, r, omegaSeed)
      keys -> blockY
  })
  var drmQ = dqrThin(drmY.checkpoint())._1
  // Checkpoint Q if last iteration
  if (q==0) drmQ = drmQ.checkpoint()
  // This actually is optimized as identically
  // partitioned map-side A'B since A and Q should
  // still be identically partitioned.
  var drmBt = drmA.t %*% drmQ
  // Checkpoint B' if last iteration
  if (q==0) drmBt = drmBt.checkpoint()
  for (i <- 1 to q) {
    drmY = drmA %*% drmBt
    drmQ = dqrThin(drmY.checkpoint())._1
    // Checkpoint Q if last iteration
    if ( i == q) drmQ = drmQ.checkpoint()
    drmBt = drmA.t %*% drmQ
    // Checkpoint B' if last iteration
    if ( i == q) drmBt = drmBt.checkpoint()
```

```
}
val inCoreBBt = (drmBt.t %*% drmBt).checkpoint(StorageLevel.NONE).collect
val (inCoreUHat, d) = eigen(inCoreBBt)
val s = d.sqrt
val drmU = drmQ %*% inCoreUHat
val drmV = drmBt %*% (inCoreUHat %*%: diagv(1 /: s))
(drmU(::, 0 until k), drmV(::, 0 until k), s(0 until k))
}
```

Done!

# 3 Optimizer notes

# 3.1 Physical operators

- $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{\top}$  This is using cartesian combination of vertical blocks of both sides.
- $\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{B}$  There are two implementations for this. If  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are identically partitioned (which is tracked by optimizer throughout), then this is computed by zip+combine. If not, then inner join+combine is used.
- $\mathbf{A}^{\top}$  This requires int-keyed input only. A direct transposition operator.
- $\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}$  This operator compiles squared matrix with arbitrary row keys. Result is always int-keyed. There are two different implementations here depending on whether  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix fits in memory.
- **AB** right-multiply where **B** is in-core. Broadcast for B is used in this map-block implementation.
- ... and some more less important. No time to list all.

# 3.2 Tracking the partitioning

Optimizer tracks identicity of partitioning of products. E.g. in SSVD for  $\mathbf{B}^{\top} = \mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{Q}$  the optimizer figures  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  are identically partitioned in 0-th iteration, whereas in power iterations they are not, so it picks different physical operators in these cases.

# 3.3 Tracking of DRM row key values and their types

Optimizer automatically tracks non-integral row keys thoughout expression. E.g. dssvd's  $\mathbf{U}$  rows are keys identically to rows of  $\mathbf{A}$  even if they are non-integers; whereas rows of  $\mathbf{V}$  are automatically granted with Int keys due to logical transformations of expression by the optimizer.

# 4 Notations

COMMENT

TENTATIVE