# Drafting an emerging picture

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | Dillan Zurowski |
| Community & UN SDG(s): | Content sharing Orientated community - Responsible Consumption and Production(12) |
| Date: | 10//9/2023 |

**Instructions:**

Using your researched information fill out the flowing comparing the current state of the art with what you think new (software) innovations could bring to the community

| Covering the orientations | |
|---|---|
| Compare the left-hand column of the document "Technology configuration inventory" table with the right-hand column of the document "Community characteristics & orientation" table. What do you notice about the match (or mismatch) between your dominant community orientations and the current configuration of tools? | |
| How well does the technology inventory cover the orientations? What themes emerged from both the community orientations and the technology configuration from your colleagues' notes | I think the current inventory of Facebook marketplace and Facebook groups cover aspects of community collaboration and sharing of information and materials, however it is limited to monetary value which may not be appealing to some members of the community who can't afford some items. Marketplace and groups covers the content orientation as well as the individual participation orientation well, however I feel like it limits the types of members. |
| ■ Are you almost there?<br><br>☐ Are there big gaps? | Not really  a big gap but could be better/more financially inclusive. |
| What is the range of skills? If their interests and/or skills are diverse, could it cause conflict or distraction? | The range of skills is diverse as Facebook is open to all types of users with different skill sets. I do think this could cause distractions but only in the sense that some users who arn't as tech savvy might find some features more difficult. |

| Achieving integration | |
|---|---|
| Look at all the pieces of your configuration | |
| What level of integration and interoperability has been achieved? | I think my configuration has a high integration as there will be a lot of similar features such as posting items and engaging users in a conversation. |
| Where are there big gaps | The big gaps might be the reliance on other users to post items. if others don't post items then there is little market for trade. |

| Balancing the polarities (Current state) | |
|---|---|
| How is the configuration balanced with respect to each polarity? | |

| Synchronous | Asynchronous |
|---|---|
| | users might not want to have to be online all the time |

| Participation | Reification |
|---|---|
| Users must participate in order for there to be sufficient amount of posts. | |

| Group | Individual |
|---|---|
| | Creating posts and offers are an individual task |

| How well does this balance fit your community? | I think this balance fits the content and individual participation orientations well. |
|---|---|

**Solution seeking**

In the new configuration, do you want your choice of tools to affect the polarities of your community in ways that differ from the current configuration? Which way?

| Synchronous >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> | <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<< Asynchronous |
|---|---|
| real-time communication | Posts are asynchronous and don't require constant attention unless someone wishes to make an offer. |

| Participation >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> | <<<< Reification |
|---|---|
| The ability to create posts requires user participation. | meeting planning |

| Group >>>>> | <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<< Individual |
|---|---|
| Follow certain items/people. | The ability for each user to make a number of posts |

**MVP notes**

MVP1:

Allow users to sign in, view and create posts

MVP2:

Allow users to view other users profile and posts

MVP3:

Enable private messaging between users.