





Course: BIOL-3151-001: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab
TA: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 15/24 (62.50 %)



1 - This TA displayed an adequate knowledge of the material presented.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	6	42.86%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	57.14%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%				Question		University		College		Department			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.57	0.51	5.00	3135		4.44	0.87	5.00	2758		4.44	0.87	5.00	1582		4.65	0.67	5.00



2 - This TA was well prepared with lecture material for every class session.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%												
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%												
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%												
Agree				(4)	5	35.71%												
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	64.29%												
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
14/24 (58.33%)		4.64	0.50	5.00	3135		4.37	0.93	5.00	2758	4.36	0.95	5.00	1581	4.58	0.76	5.00	

3 - For in-class activities, this TA provided clear directions and helped students focus on the important concepts being illustrated.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	6	42.86%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	50.00%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.43	0.65	4.50	3139		4.10	1.15	4.00	2762		4.07	1.18	4.00	1585		4.39	0.91	5.00

4 - This TA was enthusiastic about the subject.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.93	0.27	5.00	3142		4.20	1.03	5.00	2763		4.18	1.04	5.00	1586		4.45	0.85	5.00

Course: BIOL-3151-001: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab
TA: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 15/24 (62.50 %)

5 - This TA clearly communicated expectations for student participation and performance in class.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	4.36	4.18	4.15	4.46								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	7	50.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	42.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.36	0.63	4.00	3142		4.18	1.08	5.00	2763		4.15	1.11	5.00	1587		4.46	0.84	5.00

6 - This TA was able to explain difficult concepts.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%			Question		University		College		Department				
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	6	42.86%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	57.14%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100									
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.57	0.51	5.00	3143		4.04	1.13	4.00	2764		4.01	1.15	4.00	1586		4.29	0.95	5.00

7 - This TA was able to understand and respond to student questions in a clear and accurate manner.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%			Question		University		College		Department				
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	6	42.86%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	57.14%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100									
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.57	0.51	5.00	3133		4.15	1.11	5.00	2755		4.11	1.13	4.00	1581		4.44	0.84	5.00

8 - This TA was able to assist me with understanding the subject.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%			Question		University		College		Department				
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	2	14.29%													
Agree				(4)	4	28.57%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	57.14%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100									
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.43	0.76	5.00	3143		4.14	1.08	4.00	2764		4.10	1.11	4.00	1585		4.38	0.91	5.00

Clemson University

202008 Fall 2020 Full Term

Course: BIOL-3151-001: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab



TA: Daniel Malagon *

Response Rate: 15/24 (62.50 %)



9 - This TA was interested in helping students.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>		<div><div>4.71</div><div>4.40</div><div>4.38</div><div>4.56</div></div>										
Disagree				(2)	1	7.14%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.71	0.83	5.00	3141		4.40	0.90	5.00	2762		4.38	0.91	5.00	1584		4.56	0.77	5.00

10 - This TA treated students with respect.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	3	21.43%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	11	78.57%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/24 (58.33%)		4.79	0.43	5.00	3143		4.57	0.76	5.00	2764		4.56	0.77	5.00	1585		4.67	0.67	5.00

11 - Overall, how would you rank this TA?

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%													
Below Average				(2)	0	0.00%													
Average				(3)	2	13.33%													
Above Average				(4)	3	20.00%													
Excellent				(5)	10	66.67%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/24 (62.50%)		4.53	0.74	5.00	3140		4.20	1.09	5.00	2762		4.17	1.11	5.00	1585		4.47	0.87	5.00

12 - What suggestions do you have to improve this TA's ability to teach?

Response Rate	12/24 (50%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • create better system for when assignments are due • Provide answer keys or when grading out which questions we got wrong. • maybe do polling questions or kahoot during labs on the week's before material to hold the students accountable for the material or to continue to think about it • N/A. Great job • please communicate better how questions will be worded on lab practicals. • Not sure what could be changed to improve. • I think Daniel did an excellent job. • Comparing the grading to other student in other sections it was not consistent and I felt he graded harsher than other TA's there were things I put exactly and had points taken off that others didn't • Help toward the questions more • Perhaps find a method that is more attention-demanding for students if you continue to teach over zoom • N/A • n/a 	

Course: BIOL-3151-001: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab
TA: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 15/24 (62.50 %)

13 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest strength?

Response Rate	13/24 (54.17%)
---------------	----------------

- knowledge of material
- Communication, very friendly
- Helpful in answering questions and explaining topics
- Enthusiasm for the subject and up beat personality made the class more enjoyable
- Willingness to help students
- Very knowledgeable and eager to answer any questions we had.
- He communicated very clearly with us. He also did a good job of getting the class to participate by asking open-ended questions.
- He was very good at explaining the material, well organized, and passionate.
- Very knowledgeable and helpful
- Daniels passion is his greatest strength - it makes all of us more passionate !
- The instructor was very articulate and open to help students. He did the best he could do with online interactions.
- His adaptability and enthusiasm made the class more engaging and helped students understand material better.
- his ability to explain what structures we were looking at and how to identify them

14 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest weakness?

Response Rate	11/24 (45.83%)
---------------	----------------

- communication
- N/A
- try to respond a little faster :)
- N/A
- No weakness to note.
- N/A
- Talks a bit fast sometimes.
- putting grades in
- Seemed a little apprehensive at getting students involved during his presentations, but I can't blame him due to our novel learning environment.
- N/A
- because of the virtual gap, sometimes things were lost in translation, even if his explanation was more than helpful

15 - Additional Comments for this TA, if any:

Response Rate	8/24 (33.33%)
---------------	---------------

- i enjoyed having Daniel as my TA
- Awesome job adapting to online.
- n/a
- Thank you for your time and energy this semester I learned a lot in your lab.
- N/A
- One of the best TA's I've had, it's unfortunate that I did not have the opportunity to work with him in the lab.
- N/A
- n/a