



**Course:** BIOL-3151-002: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab  
**TA:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 15/22 (68.18 %)



**1 - This TA displayed an adequate knowledge of the material presented.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%						4.40		4.44		4.44		4.65	
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	5	33.33%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	60.00%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.40	1.06	5.00	3135		4.44	0.87	5.00	2758		4.44	0.87	5.00	1582		4.65	0.67	5.00


**2 - This TA was well prepared with lecture material for every class session.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>		4.40		4.37		4.36		4.58		
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	5	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>												
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	60.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.40	1.06	5.00	3135		4.37	0.93	5.00	2758		4.36	0.95	5.00	1581		4.58	0.76	5.00

**3 - For in-class activities, this TA provided clear directions and helped students focus on the important concepts being illustrated.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	4	26.67%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	60.00%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	1	6.67%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.43	1.09	5.00	3139		4.10	1.15	4.00	2762		4.07	1.18	4.00	1585		4.39	0.91	5.00

**4 - This TA was enthusiastic about the subject.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%		4.47		4.20		4.18		4.45					
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	4	26.67%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	10	66.67%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.47	1.06	5.00	3142		4.20	1.03	5.00	2763		4.18	1.04	5.00	1586		4.45	0.85	5.00

**Course:** BIOL-3151-002: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab  
**TA:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 15/22 (68.18 %)

**5 - This TA clearly communicated expectations for student participation and performance in class.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	2	13.33%													
Agree				(4)	4	26.67%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	53.33%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.20	1.15	5.00	3142		4.18	1.08	5.00	2763		4.15	1.11	5.00	1587		4.46	0.84	5.00

**6 - This TA was able to explain difficult concepts.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%	<div><div></div></div>				<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>											
Neutral				(3)	2	13.33%	<div><div></div></div>											
Agree				(4)	6	40.00%	<div><div></div></div>											
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	40.00%	<div><div></div></div>											
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>											
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
15/22 (68.18%)		4.07	1.10	4.00	3143		4.04	1.13	4.00	2764	4.01	1.15	4.00	1586	4.29	0.95	5.00	

**7 - This TA was able to understand and respond to student questions in a clear and accurate manner.**


Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>		4.07		4.15		4.11		4.44		
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
Neutral				(3)	2	13.33%	<div><div></div></div>												
Agree				(4)	6	40.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	40.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.07	1.10	4.00	3133		4.15	1.11	5.00	2755		4.11	1.13	4.00	1581		4.44	0.84	5.00

**8 - This TA was able to assist me with understanding the subject.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div><div>4.00</div></div>		<div><div></div><div>4.14</div></div>		<div><div></div><div>4.10</div></div>		<div><div></div><div>4.38</div></div>				
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	2	13.33%	<div><div></div></div>												
Agree				(4)	7	46.67%	<div><div></div></div>												
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>												
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.00	1.07	4.00	3143		4.14	1.08	4.00	2764		4.10	1.11	4.00	1585		4.38	0.91	5.00

**Course:** BIOL-3151-002: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab  
**TA:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 15/22 (68.18 %)


**9 - This TA was interested in helping students.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%			4.40		4.40		4.38		4.56				
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	5	33.33%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	60.00%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.40	1.06	5.00	3141		4.40	0.90	5.00	2762		4.38	0.91	5.00	1584		4.56	0.77	5.00

**10 - This TA treated students with respect.**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	6.67%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>		4.53		4.57		4.56		4.67		
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
Agree				(4)	3	20.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
Strongly Agree				(5)	11	73.33%	<div><div></div></div>												
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>												
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.53	1.06	5.00	3143		4.57	0.76	5.00	2764		4.56	0.77	5.00	1585		4.67	0.67	5.00

**11 - Overall, how would you rank this TA?**

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%		4.60	4.20	4.17	4.47								
Below Average				(2)	0	0.00%													
Average				(3)	2	13.33%													
Above Average				(4)	2	13.33%													
Excellent				(5)	11	73.33%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
15/22 (68.18%)		4.60	0.74	5.00	3140		4.20	1.09	5.00	2762		4.17	1.11	5.00	1585		4.47	0.87	5.00

**12 - What suggestions do you have to improve this TA's ability to teach?**

Response Rate	9/22 (40.91%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This TA was all around the best I've had at Clemson yet.</li> <li>• None! Keep doing what you're doing! We all loved having you teach our lab this semester.</li> <li>• If this TA was able to improve their ability to answer questions about the lab more effectively it would be helpful.</li> <li>• he was great!!</li> <li>• None</li> <li>• make the lab in person</li> <li>• Make the pre-lectures shorter to keep us focused</li> <li>• I don't have any suggestions other than to continue doing what you do! You were very helpful throughout the semester.</li> <li>• N/A</li> </ul>	

---

**Course:** BIOL-3151-002: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab  
**TA:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 15/22 (68.18 %)

---

**13 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest strength?**

Response Rate	8/22 (36.36%)
---------------	---------------

- His patience and assistance and accessibility were all among his best qualities as a teacher.
- Daniel was very knowledgeable of the material and really wanted all of us to learn and succeed in this lab. He always communicated very clearly and our expectations were always known.
- Their enthusiasm and approachability.
- Daniel was a great TA and was well prepared for the class and always helped when necessary. He seemed passionate about the subject, which made the lab better!
- caring about the material
- availability to answer any questions you have
- I think Daniel's greatest strengths were his enthusiasm and willingness to help. Daniel clearly loved what he was teaching us, which transferred over into his lectures and answering questions. I really appreciated Daniel's energy. I also loved his willingness to help. He always asked us to email him or set up a Zoom meeting with him if we had questions, and he answered questions whenever we had them during lab.
- Being excited to help when needed.

**14 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest weakness?**

Response Rate	8/22 (36.36%)
---------------	---------------

- I cant think of a single one!
- None! I genuinely thought he excelled in all aspects of running this lab.
- Lack of format made it difficult for them to answer questions without having to find the answers.
- none
- having to communicate to students without being in lab
- N/A
- I honestly don't think he had any weaknesses... great job, Daniel!
- N/A

**15 - Additional Comments for this TA, if any:**

Response Rate	4/22 (18.18%)
---------------	---------------

- I loved this TA and have never had such a kind or patient TA who is passionate about their subject. Best TA yet.
- I absolutely loved having Daniel as a TA this semester and I would definitely recommend him to a friend. He is one of the best lab TA's that I have had at Clemson.
- I thought Daniel was a great TA!
- thank you for helping with this lab