

Clemson University

202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3161-007: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-007-11336-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 14/15 (93.33 %)

1 - This TA displayed an adequate knowledge of the material presented.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.93	4.61	4.64	4.73								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree	(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree	(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA	(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100					Question			University			College			Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
14/15 (93.33%)	4.93	0.27	5.00	4358	4.61	0.73	5.00	3251	4.64	0.70	5.00	2078	4.73	0.60	5.00	

2 - This TA was well prepared with lecture material for every class session.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		5.00	4.57	4.62	4.74								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	100.00%													
N/A - Did not have this TA	(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100					Question			University			College			Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
14/15 (93.33%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	4350	4.57	0.78	5.00	3246	4.62	0.73	5.00	2075	4.74	0.61	5.00	

3 - For in-class activities, this TA provided clear directions and helped students focus on the important concepts being illustrated.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		5.00	4.50	4.54	4.66								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	100.00%													
N/A - Did not have this TA	(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100					Question			University			College			Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
14/15 (93.33%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	4349	4.50	0.87	5.00	3244	4.54	0.84	5.00	2071	4.66	0.71	5.00	

4 - This TA was enthusiastic about the subject.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.93	4.48	4.51	4.61								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree	(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree	(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA	(0)	0	0.00%													
0 25 50 100					Question			University			College			Department		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
14/15 (93.33%)	4.93	0.27	5.00	4348	4.48	0.83	5.00	3243	4.51	0.81	5.00	2075	4.61	0.73	5.00	

Clemson University

202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3161-007: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-007-11336-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 14/15 (93.33 %)

5 - This TA clearly communicated expectations for student participation and performance in class.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.93	4.52	4.55	4.67								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/15 (93.33%)		4.93	0.27	5.00	4354		4.52	0.83	5.00	3249		4.55	0.80	5.00	2078		4.67	0.68	5.00

6 - This TA was able to explain difficult concepts.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.86	4.40	4.44	4.56								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	2	14.29%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/15 (93.33%)		4.86	0.36	5.00	4355		4.40	0.91	5.00	3249		4.44	0.89	5.00	2077		4.56	0.75	5.00

7 - This TA was able to understand and respond to student questions in a clear and accurate manner.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.93	4.44	4.47	4.60		Question	University	College	Department			
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%	0	25	50	100									
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/15 (93.33%)		4.93	0.27	5.00	4346		4.44	0.92	5.00	3240		4.47	0.90	5.00	2069		4.60	0.76	5.00

8 - This TA was able to assist me with understanding the subject.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/15 (93.33%)		4.86	0.53	5.00	4343		4.48	0.87	5.00	3241		4.51	0.84	5.00	2074		4.63	0.72	5.00

Clemson University

202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3161-007: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-007-11336-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 14/15 (93.33 %)

9 - This TA was interested in helping students.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/15 (93.33%)		4.93	0.27	5.00	4353		4.59	0.76	5.00	3248		4.61	0.75	5.00	2077		4.67	0.69	5.00

10 - This TA treated students with respect.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	14	100.00%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/15 (93.33%)		5.00	0.00	5.00	4342		4.70	0.67	5.00	3242		4.71	0.66	5.00	2072		4.75	0.62	5.00

11 - Overall, how would you rank this TA?

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%													
Below Average				(2)	0	0.00%													
Average				(3)	0	0.00%													
Above Average				(4)	1	7.14%													
Excellent				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/15 (93.33%)		4.93	0.27	5.00	4355		4.50	0.86	5.00	3249		4.54	0.82	5.00	2075		4.66	0.70	5.00

12 - What suggestions do you have to improve this TA's ability to teach?

Response Rate	10/15 (66.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A • Honestly nothing, best TA I've ever had • Daniel was the best TA I have ever had. He needs no improvement. He presented the material in a way that was easy to obtain and apply. • None! Daniel was amazing • Nothing he did great • Respond to emails quicker, especially when a something is due that week and questions need to be answered • Nothing really, except I felt like we could have used a tiny bit more communication in emails or announcements about upcoming labs or upcoming due dates and such. • Great guy, implement more cringy memes. • none • nothing really 	

Clemson University

202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3161-007: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-007-11336-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 14/15 (93.33 %)

13 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest strength?

Response Rate 12/15 (80%)

- This TA's greatest strength was his ability to explain topics and communicate with everyone in the class room. He made everyone feel included and made sure everyone was comfortable with what they were doing.
- His communication skills
- I, personally, asked Daniel a lot of questions in lab section 7. He never hesitated to give me assistance. Although I would ask multiple questions, he never seemed to get frustrated or annoyed.
- He was so helpful during labs if something went wrong or we needed help. He really had the patience to sit down and help us out.
- He was very helpful during class
- his understanding and willingness to help his students
- able to answer questions in the lab - this required a strong understanding of the material for that lab
- Daniel made all of the information really approachable while still explaining everything in depth.
- Daniel is an awesome dude and an awesome instructor. He always was present in the lab in a great mood, super enthusiastic about the material and experiments, and was very knowledgeable about the course.
- Hes a great guy, and he is very knowledgeable about the subjects at hand.
- being understanding when students don't understand a certain concept and always ready to explain more thoroughly
- He explained things clearly and got along well with students

14 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest weakness?

Response Rate 9/15 (60%)

- N/A
- None really
- Daniel did not have weaknesses. He did a great job every single lab.
- N/A
- None
- N/A
- Lizards in Georgia. They hit him so hard he had to miss out on lab. Since he is such a badass though, he went down there and kidnapped them. My mans was back the next week.
- none
- honestly can't really think of much

15 - Additional Comments for this TA, if any:

Response Rate 6/15 (40%)

- Thank you, Daniel. You made this lab one of my favorites. I never had a time where I was not looking forward to lab. I hope you continue to teach because you did an excellent job all semester. Thank you for a great semester - Tanner Leisten.
- N/A
- thank you for all your help! i loved this course with you as my TA
- Daniel is a perfect TA honestly. Can't say enough good things about him. Loved having him as my TA.
- Be cautious of lizards. I am just giving you a rough time about it because we all missed ya. I personally thought it was really cool actually, and I'm a little sad I didn't get an invite. :(Keep being you, and keep being awesome.
- You were one of my favorites!