


Clemson University


202308 Fall 2023 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3151-009: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab: 202308-BIOL-3151-009-80559-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 23/24 (95.83 %)



1 - This TA displayed an adequate knowledge of the material presented.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%			4.87	4.48	4.50	4.72							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	3	13.04%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	20	86.96%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.87	0.34	5.00	6134		4.48	0.88	5.00	4609		4.50	0.88	5.00	2779		4.72	0.63	5.00


2 - This TA was well prepared with lecture material for every class session.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.87	4.44	4.47	4.71									
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%														
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%														
Agree				(4)	3	13.04%														
Strongly Agree				(5)	20	86.96%														
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%														
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	
23/24 (95.83%)		4.87	0.34	5.00	6129		4.44	0.91	5.00	4607		4.47	0.91	5.00	2778		4.71	0.64	5.00	

3 - For in-class activities, this TA provided clear directions and helped students focus on the important concepts being illustrated.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	4.35%													
Agree				(4)	1	4.35%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	21	91.30%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.87	0.46	5.00	6119		4.24	1.12	5.00	4595		4.23	1.15	5.00	2770		4.55	0.81	5.00

4 - This TA was enthusiastic about the subject.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.91	4.33	4.34	4.62					
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%										
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%										
Agree				(4)	2	8.70%										
Strongly Agree				(5)	21	91.30%										
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%										
							02550100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.91	0.29	5.00	6125	4.33	0.96	5.00	4605	4.34	0.97	5.00	2775	4.62	0.72	5.00

Clemson University

202308 Fall 2023 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3151-009: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab: 202308-BIOL-3151-009-80559-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 23/24 (95.83 %)

5 - This TA clearly communicated expectations for student participation and performance in class.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	4.35%													
Agree				(4)	2	8.70%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	20	86.96%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.83	0.49	5.00	6126		4.29	1.04	5.00	4607		4.29	1.07	5.00	2778		4.58	0.77	5.00

6 - This TA was able to explain difficult concepts.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	4	17.39%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	19	82.61%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.83	0.39	5.00	6126		4.19	1.08	5.00	4604		4.20	1.10	5.00	2776		4.47	0.85	5.00

7 - This TA was able to understand and respond to student questions in a clear and accurate manner.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	3	13.04%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	20	86.96%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.87	0.34	5.00	6129		4.23	1.09	5.00	4607		4.23	1.12	5.00	2779		4.51	0.85	5.00

8 - This TA was able to assist me with understanding the subject.


Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	2	8.70%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	21	91.30%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.91	0.29	5.00	6121		4.31	1.02	5.00	4601		4.31	1.04	5.00	2774		4.58	0.77	5.00

Clemson University



202308 Fall 2023 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3151-009: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab: 202308-BIOL-3151-009-80559-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 23/24 (95.83 %)


9 - This TA was interested in helping students.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.96	4.48	4.48	4.68								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	1	4.35%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	22	95.65%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.96	0.21	5.00	6123		4.48	0.87	5.00	4601		4.48	0.90	5.00	2772		4.68	0.68	5.00

10 - This TA treated students with respect.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%													
Agree				(4)	1	4.55%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	21	95.45%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
22/24 (91.67%)		4.95	0.21	5.00	6123		4.61	0.78	5.00	4602		4.60	0.80	5.00	2774		4.75	0.62	5.00

11 - Overall, how would you rank this TA?

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%		4.96	4.30	4.29	4.60								
Below Average				(2)	0	0.00%													
Average				(3)	0	0.00%													
Above Average				(4)	1	4.35%													
Excellent				(5)	22	95.65%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
23/24 (95.83%)		4.96	0.21	5.00	6124		4.30	1.05	5.00	4602		4.29	1.06	5.00	2773		4.60	0.76	5.00

Clemson University

202308 Fall 2023 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3151-009: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab: 202308-BIOL-3151-009-80559-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 23/24 (95.83 %)

12 - What suggestions do you have to improve this TA's ability to teach?

Response Rate 15/24 (62.5%)

- The only thing that could have been improved on was the amount of resources we had to study for the practicals, however, after the first practical, he started giving more material for us to review after receiving feedback. I appreciated how receptive he was to our feedback.
- Nothing, Daniel is so awesome!
- Emphasize the importance of taking pictures of the models and help students go over each model.
- None
- None
- N/A. Great TA that truly wanted us to succeed.
- Being more available
- Great teaching already
- None, the short lectures were very helpful and Daniel was very easy to talk to.
- N/A
- Nothing! The best TA!
- he's great, I have no notes
- None
- N/A Daniel did an amazing job with everything in the lab, I have no critiques to give him.
- nothing

13 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest strength?

Response Rate 20/24 (83.33%)

- Daniel takes the time to know each of his students' names which creates a stronger bond with them and he provides clear and accurate information that is extremely helpful to understanding the course. He is also always available to help or provide extra information about topics we might not understand. He provided us with great feedback and worked hard to ensure our success in the course
- Daniel was always able to answer our questions about the material in lab, and if he wasn't sure, he would immediately do research to find the answer for us. He was very knowledgeable and was always willing to help us.
- Communicating what is expected of students and helping understand complicated subjects
- Knowledge and ability to explain
- His enthusiasm for the material.
- He was always happy to be there and teaching us and was a very likeable person. He also seemed to know everything we were going over each week.
- Very good at explaining concepts to students and enthusiastic.
- Daniel was available to meet with his students and provide them with feedback, both inside and outside of normal class hours. He was always eager to help his students.
- Knowledge of the topic and willingness to help students
- His enthusiasm and knowledge of the material. He was also very understanding and did his best to help in every way possible.
- His personality
- Being able to thoroughly explain hard concepts
- His ability to correct you without making you feel bad for making a mistake.
- I think that this TA's greatest strength is not only that he has a lot of knowledge about this course, but that he is able to convey this knowledge to the students in a way that they can understand.
- communication of expectations and answering questions
- His greatest strength was his positive attitude and willingness to help us get through difficult topics. He was always willing to help, no matter the question, with a positive attitude.
- he makes class interesting and is incredibly patient/helpful
- Explaining
- Daniel's greatest strength is his vast knowledge of the topics we are discussing in class, being able to answer any and all questions we give to him based on information or just what is being shown to us on the models. He also tells us if there is any extra information we need to know for the practicals in lab and takes the time to explain topics in the mini lecture. Daniel is also very good at making sure we understand the concepts and may be a little tough at times, but it seems to pay off when it comes to practicals since I seem to understand the information very well when the time comes around.
- good at teaching and helping you understand the information

Clemson University

202308 Fall 2023 TA Evaluations

Course: BIOL-3151-009: Functional Hum Anatomy Lab: 202308-BIOL-3151-009-80559-TA-Malagon
Instructor: Daniel Malagon *
Response Rate: 23/24 (95.83 %)

14 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest weakness?

Response Rate	16/24 (66.67%)
---------------	----------------

- Honestly, I don't feel that Daniel had any weaknesses. He was a fantastic TA.
- Not checking for proper lab attire
- He quite literally does not have one.
- His need to be formal.
- None
- None
- N/A
- N/A
- N/A
- Sometimes the lectures went a little too fast but I was always able to get the information later.
- N/A
- none
- N/A
- Attendance
- nothing, except he was not here for one of the labs and it made me sad.
- very serious at times, be more engaging

15 - Additional Comments for this TA, if any:

Response Rate	12/24 (50%)
---------------	-------------

- Again, I wanted to say that I appreciated how receptive he was to our feedback, how he was always trying to improve the course for us, how quickly he communicated with us, and how clear he was about what would be tested on. Although this lab was extremely challenging, he did a fantastic job at teaching us about anatomy!
- Best TA I have ever had at Clemson. Had a great classroom presence and was very friendly.
- Daniel rocks!
- Great job.
- Best TA I've had as a Clemson student.
- N/A
- My favorite TA!!!
- Was one of the best TA's ive had.
- thanks for being great
- None
- live, love, daniel
- none, i love your class and i hope you have a good break