



# Clemson University



## 202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

**Course:** BIOL-3161-006: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-006-11335-TA-Malagon  
**Instructor:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 14/16 (87.50 %)



### 1 - This TA displayed an adequate knowledge of the material presented.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.86	0.53	5.00	4358		4.61	0.73	5.00	3251		4.64	0.70	5.00	2078		4.73	0.60	5.00



### 2 - This TA was well prepared with lecture material for every class session.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%			4.86	4.57	4.62	4.74							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							02550100	Question		University		College		Department					
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	
14/16 (87.50%)		4.86	0.53	5.00	4350		4.57	0.78	5.00		3246	4.62	0.73	5.00		2075	4.74	0.61	5.00

### 3 - For in-class activities, this TA provided clear directions and helped students focus on the important concepts being illustrated.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%				4.79	4.50	4.54	4.66						
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.79	0.58	5.00	4349		4.50	0.87	5.00	3244		4.54	0.84	5.00	2071		4.66	0.71	5.00

### 4 - This TA was enthusiastic about the subject.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.86	0.53	5.00	4348		4.48	0.83	5.00	3243		4.51	0.81	5.00	2075		4.61	0.73	5.00

# Clemson University

## 202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

**Course:** BIOL-3161-006: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-006-11335-TA-Malagon  
**Instructor:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 14/16 (87.50 %)

### 5 - This TA clearly communicated expectations for student participation and performance in class.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.79	0.58	5.00	4354		4.52	0.83	5.00	3249		4.55	0.80	5.00	2078		4.67	0.68	5.00

### 6 - This TA was able to explain difficult concepts.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.79	0.58	5.00	4355		4.40	0.91	5.00	3249		4.44	0.89	5.00	2077		4.56	0.75	5.00

### 7 - This TA was able to understand and respond to student questions in a clear and accurate manner.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.79	0.58	5.00	4346		4.44	0.92	5.00	3240		4.47	0.90	5.00	2069		4.60	0.76	5.00

### 8 - This TA was able to assist me with understanding the subject.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means											
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.79	4.48	4.51	4.63								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	1	7.14%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	85.71%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.79	0.58	5.00	4343		4.48	0.87	5.00	3241		4.51	0.84	5.00	2074		4.63	0.72	5.00

# Clemson University

## 202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

**Course:** BIOL-3161-006: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-006-11335-TA-Malagon  
**Instructor:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 14/16 (87.50 %)


### 9 - This TA was interested in helping students.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%													
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.86	0.53	5.00	4353		4.59	0.76	5.00	3248		4.61	0.75	5.00	2077		4.67	0.69	5.00

### 10 - This TA treated students with respect.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%		4.86	4.70	4.71	4.75								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%													
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%													
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%													
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	92.86%													
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
								0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.86	0.53	5.00	4342		4.70	0.67	5.00	3242		4.71	0.66	5.00	2072		4.75	0.62	5.00

### 11 - Overall, how would you rank this TA?

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means										
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%				4.86		4.50		4.54		4.66			
Below Average				(2)	0	0.00%													
Average				(3)	1	7.14%	■												
Above Average				(4)	0	0.00%													
Excellent				(5)	13	92.86%	■												
N/A - Did not have this TA				(0)	0	0.00%													
							0	25	50	100	Question		University		College		Department		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		4.86	0.53	5.00	4355		4.50	0.86	5.00	3249		4.54	0.82	5.00	2075		4.66	0.70	5.00

### 12 - What suggestions do you have to improve this TA's ability to teach?

Response Rate	12/16 (75%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• good prof</li> <li>• nothing</li> <li>• N/A</li> <li>• Sometimes it seemed like he had no idea what was on the lab worksheet so it was sometimes hard for him to answer questions</li> <li>• Nothing, Daniel was great!</li> <li>• N/a</li> <li>• Quicker response time outside of class</li> <li>• n/a</li> <li>• None. His current teaching methods worked great.</li> <li>• He did a good job teaching. He explained things in great detail but also kept things simple and to the point.</li> <li>• Sometimes took longer to explain concepts so performing the labs was a little more rushed.</li> <li>• N/A</li> </ul>	

# Clemson University

## 202201 Spring 2022 TA Evaluations

**Course:** BIOL-3161-006: Human Physiology Lab: 202201-BIOL-3161-006-11335-TA-Malagon  
**Instructor:** Daniel Malagon \*  
**Response Rate:** 14/16 (87.50 %)

### 13 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest strength?

**Response Rate** 12/16 (75%)

- good prof
- Helping and made the labs fun
- Daniel has been great at guiding us through the labs and answering our questions.
- helping students when we were confused
- Daniel got to know his sections very well and it made learning the content and what was expected on assignments easier.
- Very great at communication coursework instructions.
- Communication
- very helpful with making sure his students understand the labs -always willing to help us out
- Daniel was able to explain the material in a way that made it very easy to understand, even the more difficult topics. He was able to communicate with the students very clearly and made the relevance of the material very clear. He was clearly very knowledgeable about the material and lab procedures and was always lively and engaging during labs.
- The TA was very easy communicate with and very helpful. If their was a question, he did his best to answer the question and if he did not know the answer right away he would figure it out and get back to you.
- Very knowledgeable about the information and really cared about the students and getting to know them. This made it easier for him to teach us I think.
- Explaining difficult topics

### 14 - What do you consider to be this TA's greatest weakness?

**Response Rate** 11/16 (68.75%)

- good
- little bit of overkill on time and teaching the slides.
- N/A
- not reviewing all lab materials before lab?
- Can't think of any!
- N/a
- n/a
- None.
- Sometimes he was slightly disorganized during lab or the start of lab and we would have to pause or back up to get back on track. However, this was never an issue that stopped lab or made lab difficult for anyone.
- Speaks a little slow (not a super big deal)
- N/A

### 15 - Additional Comments for this TA, if any:

**Response Rate** 8/16 (50%)

- good
- awesome TA
- Daniel was great! Definitely would recommend taking his section.
- N/a
- n/a
- Daniel was a great TA. He made the labs very understandable and helped us make the connections between lecture and lab that we otherwise would have missed. He helped emphasize the importance of the material and how all of the information comes together. He also seemed to truly care about the success of his students.
- I had an awesome semester you are by far the best lab TA I've had at Clemson!!
- N/A