# Main notebook

## Deepak Mallubhotla

## 2017-11-25, Last update 2017-12-06

## Inhaltsverzeichnis

1	Drude model parameters													1				
		Limiting forms of the Drude model																
	1.2	Derivations for Drude model												2				
		1.2.1	DC Condu	ctivity														2
		1.2.2	AC Condu	ctivity		ė							•				•	3
<b>2</b>	Reducing to Drude											4						
	2.2	Back t	o dielectric															5

#### 1 Drude model parameters

The assumptions of the Drude model are simple: we have interaction-free electrons that occasionally undergo some scattering process during a time dt with probability  $\frac{dt}{\tau}$ , where  $\tau$  is some phenomenological parameter. This scattering will randomise electron momentum.

Our ultimate goal will be to find the conductivity  $\sigma$  and the dielectric constant  $\epsilon$  in the Drude model, with Drude relaxation time  $\tau$ , electron density n and electron mass m. We'll find

$$\sigma_{\rm DC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m} \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm DC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m} \tag{1}$$
 
$$\sigma_{\rm AC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m} \frac{1}{1 - i\omega\tau}$$
 For SI and Gaussian (2)

$$\epsilon_r = 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma}{\omega}$$
Gaussian
$$\epsilon_r = 1 + i \frac{\sigma}{\omega\epsilon_0}$$
SI
(3a)

$$\epsilon_r = 1 + i \frac{\sigma}{\omega \epsilon_0}$$
 SI (3b)

Our dielectric constant can be rewritten to plug in for  $\sigma$ , giving us

$$\epsilon = 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma}{\omega} \tag{4}$$

$$=1+i\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega}\frac{1}{1-i\omega\tau}\tag{5}$$

$$= 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega} \frac{1}{1 - i\omega\tau} \frac{1 + i\omega\tau}{1 + i\omega\tau}$$

$$= 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega} \frac{1 + i\omega\tau}{1 + i\omega\tau}$$

$$= 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega} \frac{1 + i\omega\tau}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}$$
(6)

$$=1+i\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega}\frac{1+i\omega\tau}{1+\omega^2\tau^2}\tag{7}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}\omega\tau}{\omega\left(1 + \omega^2\tau^2\right)}\right) + i\left(\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega\left(1 + \omega^2\tau^2\right)}\right) \tag{8}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}\tau}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}\right) + i\left(\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega\left(1 + \omega^2\tau^2\right)}\right) \tag{9}$$

This lets us write down the explicit real and imaginary of the Drude dielectric function.

#### 1.1 Limiting forms of the Drude model

We can look at the large and small  $\omega$  limits for the Drude dielectric function.

#### 1.2**Derivations for Drude model**

#### DC Conductivity 1.2.1

We can start unit-system independently, with the expression

$$\mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{E}.\tag{10}$$

We can also relate our current to our average electron velocity:  $\mathbf{j} = ne\mathbf{v}$ . Imagine at time t=0 our electron undergoes a Drude collision, and emerges with  $\mathbf{v}_{t=0} = \mathbf{v_0}$ . After a time t, the electron will accelerate with acceleration  $-\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}$  (which fortunately remains unit independent). Because it will only accelerate for a time  $\tau$  on average before a collision, it will end up with velocity

 $\mathbf{v} = -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau + \mathbf{v_0}$ . The average velocity, and current, will be

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau + \langle \mathbf{v_0} \rangle \tag{11}$$

$$= -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau\tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{j}}{ne} = -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau\tag{13}$$

$$\mathbf{j} = -\frac{ne^2\tau}{m}\mathbf{E}.\tag{14}$$

This of course gives us, unit-independently, our DC conductivity  $\sigma_{\rm DC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m}$ .

### 1.2.2 AC Conductivity

The AC conductivity is also simple, but we want to be a bit more formal about it. We can write out the contributions to velocity in terms of probabilities. The velocity at a time dt will have probability  $dt/\tau$  of being 0, and will otherwise be the original velocity minus a dt:

$$\mathbf{v}(dt) = \left(1 - \frac{dt}{\tau}\right) \left(\mathbf{v_0} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} dt\right) \tag{15}$$

$$= \mathbf{v_0} - \frac{dt}{\tau} \mathbf{v_0} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} dt, \qquad (16)$$

where we've invoked our inalienable right as physicists to ignore all terms  $\mathcal{O}(dt^2)$ . This reduces, using the definition of  $d\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(dt) - \mathbf{v_0}$ , to

$$d\mathbf{v} = \frac{dt}{\tau}\mathbf{v} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}dt\tag{17}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\tau} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} \tag{18}$$

We can quickly Fourier transform this, using  $\frac{d}{dt} \to -i\omega$ , and we get (after surreptitiously dropping some vector signs)

$$-i\omega v(\omega) = -\frac{v(\omega)}{\tau} - \frac{eE(\omega)}{m}$$
(19)

$$v(\omega) = \frac{eE(\omega)}{m\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - i\omega\right)} \tag{20}$$

$$j(\omega) = \frac{ne^2 E(\omega)}{m\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - i\omega\right)} \tag{21}$$

$$=\frac{ne^2\tau E(\omega)}{m\left(1-i\omega\tau\right)},\tag{22}$$

which gives us our AC conductivity in equation (2).

Now for our dielectric constant, we have to find some other defining relation on par with (10).

#### 2 Reducing to Drude

We want to see how we can reduce the Lindhard dielectric function

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega + i\nu f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)},$$
(23)

where

$$f_l(x) = 1 - \frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{x+1}{x-1} \tag{24}$$

We can reduce things in the  $k \to 0$  limit. The first half of (23) has the simple  $\frac{1}{k^2}$  dependence, so we can look at how the rest of it behaves to start

#### 2.1f

$$f_l((\omega + i\nu)/kv_F) = 1 - \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_F}{2} \ln \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_F + 1}{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_F - 1}$$
(25)

Defining  $\eta = \omega + i\nu$ :

$$f_l((\omega + i\nu)/kv_f) = 1 - \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f}{2} \ln \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f + 1}{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f - 1}$$
(26)

$$f_l(\eta/kv_F) = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2kv_F} \ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}$$
(27)

$$f_l = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2v_F} \frac{\ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}}{k} \tag{28}$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} f_l = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2v_F} \frac{d \ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}}{dk}$$
(29)

$$=1-\frac{\eta}{2v_{F}}\frac{\eta-kv_{F}}{\eta+kv_{F}}\frac{v_{F}(\eta-kv_{F})+v_{F}(\eta+kv_{F})}{(\eta-kv_{F})^{2}}$$
 (30)

$$= 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{\eta - kv_F}{\eta + kv_F} \frac{(\eta - kv_F) + (\eta + kv_F)}{(\eta - kv_F)^2}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{1}{\eta + kv_F} \frac{2\eta}{\eta - kv_F}$$
(31)

$$=1-\frac{\eta}{2}\frac{1}{\eta+kv_F}\frac{2\eta}{\eta-kv_F}\tag{32}$$

$$=1-\frac{\eta^2}{\eta^2-k^2v_F^2} \tag{33}$$

$$=\frac{-k^2v_F^2}{\eta^2 - k^2v_F^2} \tag{34}$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} f_l = 0 \tag{35}$$

Note that this goes to 0 for  $k \to 0$ .

## 2.2 Back to dielectric

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega + i\nu f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}$$
(36)

In the denominator, we can note that  $\omega$  should dominate  $i\nu f$ , because f goes to zero, so we can simplify that.

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega}$$
(37)

Using (34), we get

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega}$$
(38)

$$=1+\frac{3\omega_{p}^{2}}{k^{2}v_{F}^{2}}\frac{(\omega+i\nu)\frac{-k^{2}v_{F}^{2}}{\eta^{2}-k^{2}v_{F}^{2}}}{\omega}$$
(39)

$$=1-3\omega_p^2 \frac{(\omega+i\nu)\frac{1}{\eta^2}}{\omega} \tag{40}$$

$$=1-\frac{3\omega_p^2}{\omega\left(\omega+i\nu\right)}\tag{41}$$

This is the Drude limit.