Main notebook

Deepak Mallubhotla

2017-11-25, Last update 2017-12-29

Inhaltsverzeichnis

| 1 | | ıde model parameters | |
|---|-----|-----------------------------------|--|
| | 1.1 | Limiting forms of the Drude model | |
| | 1.2 | Derivations for Drude model | |
| | | 1.2.1 DC Conductivity | |
| | | 1.2.2 AC Conductivity | |
| 2 | Rec | ducing Lindhard to Drude | |
| | | f | |
| | 2.2 | Series expansion of f | |
| | 2.3 | Back to dielectric | |

Drude model parameters 1

The assumptions of the Drude model are simple: we have interaction-free electrons that occasionally undergo some scattering process during a time dt with probability $\frac{dt}{\tau}$, where τ is some phenomenological parameter. This scattering will randomise electron momentum.

Our ultimate goal will be to find the conductivity σ and the dielectric constant ϵ in the Drude model, with Drude relaxation time τ , electron density n and electron mass m. We'll find

$$\sigma_{\rm DC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m} \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm DC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m} \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm AC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m} \frac{1}{1 - i\omega\tau}$$
 For SI and Gaussian (2)

$$\epsilon_r = 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma}{\omega}$$
 Gaussian (3a)
 $\epsilon_r = 1 + i \frac{\sigma}{\omega\epsilon_0}$ SI (3b)

$$\epsilon_r = 1 + i \frac{\sigma}{\omega \epsilon_0}$$
 SI (3b)

2

Our dielectric constant can be rewritten to plug in for σ , giving us

$$\epsilon = 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma}{\omega} \tag{4}$$

$$=1+i\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega}\frac{1}{1-i\omega\tau}\tag{5}$$

$$= 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega} \frac{1}{1 - i\omega\tau} \frac{1 + i\omega\tau}{1 + i\omega\tau}$$

$$= 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega} \frac{1 + i\omega\tau}{1 + i\omega\tau}$$

$$= 1 + i \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega} \frac{1 + i\omega\tau}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}$$
(6)

$$=1+i\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega}\frac{1+i\omega\tau}{1+\omega^2\tau^2}\tag{7}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}\omega\tau}{\omega\left(1 + \omega^2\tau^2\right)}\right) + i\left(\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega\left(1 + \omega^2\tau^2\right)}\right) \tag{8}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}\tau}{1 + \omega^2\tau^2}\right) + i\left(\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\rm DC}}{\omega\left(1 + \omega^2\tau^2\right)}\right) \tag{9}$$

This lets us write down the explicit real and imaginary of the Drude dielectric function.

1.1 Limiting forms of the Drude model

We can look at the large and small ω limits for the Drude dielectric function.

1.2**Derivations for Drude model**

DC Conductivity 1.2.1

We can start unit-system independently, with the expression

$$\mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{E}.\tag{10}$$

We can also relate our current to our average electron velocity: $\mathbf{j} = ne\mathbf{v}$. Imagine at time t=0 our electron undergoes a Drude collision, and emerges with $\mathbf{v}_{t=0} = \mathbf{v_0}$. After a time t, the electron will accelerate with acceleration $-\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}$ (which fortunately remains unit independent). Because it will only accelerate for a time τ on average before a collision, it will end up with velocity

 $\mathbf{v} = -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau + \mathbf{v_0}$. The average velocity, and current, will be

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau + \langle \mathbf{v_0} \rangle \tag{11}$$

$$= -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau\tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{j}}{ne} = -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}\tau\tag{13}$$

$$\mathbf{j} = -\frac{ne^2\tau}{m}\mathbf{E}.\tag{14}$$

This of course gives us, unit-independently, our DC conductivity $\sigma_{\rm DC} = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m}$.

1.2.2 AC Conductivity

The AC conductivity is also simple, but we want to be a bit more formal about it. We can write out the contributions to velocity in terms of probabilities. The velocity at a time dt will have probability dt/τ of being 0, and will otherwise be the original velocity minus a dt:

$$\mathbf{v}(dt) = \left(1 - \frac{dt}{\tau}\right) \left(\mathbf{v_0} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} dt\right) \tag{15}$$

$$= \mathbf{v_0} - \frac{dt}{\tau} \mathbf{v_0} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} dt, \qquad (16)$$

where we've invoked our inalienable right as physicists to ignore all terms $\mathcal{O}(dt^2)$. This reduces, using the definition of $d\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(dt) - \mathbf{v_0}$, to

$$d\mathbf{v} = \frac{dt}{\tau}\mathbf{v} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}dt\tag{17}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\tau} - \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} \tag{18}$$

We can quickly Fourier transform this, using $\frac{d}{dt} \to -i\omega$, and we get (after surreptitiously dropping some vector signs)

$$-i\omega v(\omega) = -\frac{v(\omega)}{\tau} - \frac{eE(\omega)}{m}$$
(19)

$$v(\omega) = \frac{eE(\omega)}{m\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - i\omega\right)} \tag{20}$$

$$j(\omega) = \frac{ne^2 E(\omega)}{m\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - i\omega\right)} \tag{21}$$

$$=\frac{ne^2\tau E(\omega)}{m\left(1-i\omega\tau\right)},\tag{22}$$

which gives us our AC conductivity in equation (2).

Now for our dielectric constant, we have to find some other defining relation on par with (10).

2 Reducing Lindhard to Drude

We want to see how we can reduce the Lindhard dielectric function

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega + i\nu f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)},$$
(23)

where

$$f_l(x) = 1 - \frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{x+1}{x-1} \tag{24}$$

We can reduce things in the $k \to 0$ limit. The first half of (23) has the simple $\frac{1}{k^2}$ dependence, so we can look at how the rest of it behaves to start

2.1f

$$f_l((\omega + i\nu)/kv_F) = 1 - \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_F}{2} \ln \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_F + 1}{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_F - 1}$$
(25)

Defining $\eta = \omega + i\nu$:

$$f_l((\omega + i\nu)/kv_f) = 1 - \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f}{2} \ln \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f + 1}{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f - 1}$$
(26)

$$f_l(\eta/kv_F) = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2kv_F} \ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}$$
(27)

$$f_l = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2v_F} \frac{\ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}}{k} \tag{28}$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} f_l = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2v_F} \frac{d \ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}}{dk}$$
(29)

$$=1-\frac{\eta}{2v_{F}}\frac{\eta-kv_{F}}{\eta+kv_{F}}\frac{v_{F}(\eta-kv_{F})+v_{F}(\eta+kv_{F})}{(\eta-kv_{F})^{2}}$$
 (30)

$$= 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{\eta - kv_F}{\eta + kv_F} \frac{(\eta - kv_F) + (\eta + kv_F)}{(\eta - kv_F)^2}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{1}{\eta + kv_F} \frac{2\eta}{\eta - kv_F}$$
(31)

$$=1-\frac{\eta}{2}\frac{1}{\eta+kv_F}\frac{2\eta}{\eta-kv_F}\tag{32}$$

$$=1 - \frac{\eta^2}{\eta^2 - k^2 v_F^2} \tag{33}$$

$$=\frac{-k^2v_F^2}{\eta^2 - k^2v_F^2} \tag{34}$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} f_l = 0 \tag{35}$$

Note that this goes to 0 for $k \to 0$.

2.2 Series expansion of f

The previous section gives the limit, but having the actual series expansion is probably more valuable. Again, with $\eta = \omega + i\nu$,

$$f_l((\omega + i\nu)/kv_f) = 1 - \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f}{2} \ln \frac{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f + 1}{(\omega + i\nu)/kv_f - 1}$$
(36)

$$f_l(\eta/kv_F) = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2v_F k} \ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}$$
(37)

We want to expand up to k^2 overall, to cancel out the k^2 in the denominator of (23). We're looking at the function.

$$\frac{\ln(\eta + kv_F)}{k} - \frac{\ln(\eta - kv_F)}{k} \tag{38}$$

Generally, the derivatives of $\frac{g(a\pm x)}{x}$ are

$$\left(\frac{g(x)}{x}\right)' = \frac{\pm g'}{x} - \frac{g}{x^2} \tag{39}$$

$$\left(\frac{g(x)}{x}\right)'' = \frac{g''}{x} - \frac{\pm 2g'}{x^2} + \frac{2g}{x^3} \tag{40}$$

$$\left(\frac{g(x)}{x}\right)^{"'} = \frac{\pm g^{"'}}{x} - \frac{3g''}{x^2} + \frac{\pm 6g'}{x^3} - \frac{6g}{x^4} \tag{41}$$

When we take the difference, we see that we'll only end up keeping (and doubling) the terms of odd derivatives. Thus, up to this order, the series for $\frac{g(a+x)-g(a-x)}{x}$ will look like:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{g(a+x) - g(a-x)}{x} = x\frac{g'}{x} - \frac{1}{2}x^2\frac{2g'}{x^2} + \frac{1}{6}x^3\left(\frac{g'''}{x} + \frac{6g'}{x^3}\right)$$
(42)

$$=g'-g'+\frac{1}{6}x^2g'''+g'$$
 (43)

$$\frac{g(a+x) - g(a-x)}{x} = 2g' + \frac{1}{3}x^2g''' + \mathcal{O}(x^4)$$
 (44)

This type of result is to be expected: we are starting with an even function. For $g = \ln(\eta + kv_F)$, we have

$$g'(k=0) = \frac{v_F}{\eta} \tag{45}$$

$$g'''(k=0) = \frac{2v_F^3}{\eta^3} \tag{46}$$

Plugging these into (37) gives us:

$$f_l(\eta/kv_F) = 1 - \frac{\eta}{2v_F k} \ln \frac{\eta + kv_F}{\eta - kv_F}$$
(47)

$$=1 - \frac{\eta}{2v_F} \left(2\frac{v_F}{\eta} + \frac{1}{3}k^2 \frac{2v_F^3}{\eta^3} \right) \tag{48}$$

$$=1-1-\frac{1}{3}k^2\frac{v_F^2}{\eta^2} \tag{49}$$

$$= -\frac{k^2 v_F^2}{3n^2} \tag{50}$$

This gives us a simple approximation for f_l in the long wavelength limit.

2.3 Back to dielectric

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega + i\nu f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}$$
(51)

In the denominator, we can note that ω should dominate $i\nu f$, because f goes to zero, so we can simplify that.

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega}$$
(52)

Using (50), we get

$$\epsilon_l = 1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) f_l((\omega + i\nu)/k v_f)}{\omega}$$
(53)

$$=1 + \frac{3\omega_p^2}{k^2 v_F^2} \frac{(\omega + i\nu) \frac{-k^2 v_F^2}{3\eta^2}}{\omega}$$
 (54)

$$=1-3\omega_p^2 \frac{\eta \frac{1}{3\eta^2}}{\omega}$$
 (55)

$$=1-\frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega\left(\omega+i\nu\right)}\tag{56}$$

This is the Drude limit, keeping in mind that $\omega_p^2 = \frac{4\pi n e^2}{m}$ in Gaussian units.