Prompt

Will AI replace humans?

Response

The question of whether or not machines will eventually be able to outperform human beings in all areas is a hot topic. The answer, according to some experts and futurists, could come as soon as 2040 — but it's still too early for that prediction to have any real meaning at this point.

First Most Valuable Data

(Skip) Let's not place humanity in the position of those ants. Please encourage your students to think not only about how to create AI, but also about how to ensure its beneficial use.

John Leonard:
TL;DR: Not any time soon: There's a lot of merit to what [Hawking] is saying, but I think the question is: what's the

TL;DR: Not any time soon: There's a lot of merit to what [Hawking] is saying, but I think the question is: what's the timeline? Is this going to unfold over years, decades or centuries? In the field, there's this feeling of exponential growth. But as a roboticist trying to get robots to do things like drive on streets and walk up and down stairs, I can see clearly that there are whole other parts of the problem that remain unsolved. I think this notion of evil A.I., if it happens at all, is many, many years in the future—and I think we have much greater societal challenges to worry about, in the here-and-now. My view, as a roboticist, is that trying to get robots to do things like drive safely in urban traffic, or make left turns, or make real decisions within the wall of information—these are problems that were hard 30 years ago, and they're still hard today. I would claim our advances in a more broad A.I. are actually pretty lame; we're not making as much progress as some people might say.

Will Robots Take Our Jobs?

TL;DR: If by robots, you mean Amazon If machines produce everything we need, the outcome will depend on how things are distributed. Everyone can enjoy a life of luxurious leisure if the machine-produced wealth is shared, or most people can end up miserably poor if the machine-owners successfully lobby against wealth redistribution. So far, the trend seems to be toward the second option, with technology driving ever-increasing inequality. Leonard:

TL;DR: Again, not any time soon (Skip)

Second Most Valuable Data

(Skip) How soon, and should we welcome or fear it?

The first big controversy, dividing even leading AI researchers, involves forecasting what will happen. When, if ever, will AI outperform humans at all intellectual tasks, and will it be a good thing?

Do you want superintelligence?

Everything we love about civilization is arguably the product of intelligence, so we can potentially do even better by amplifying human intelligence with machine intelligence. But some worry that superintelligent machines would end up controlling us and wonder whether their goals would be aligned with ours. Do you want there to be superintelligent AI, i.e., general intelligence far beyond human level?

What Should the Future Look Like?

In his book, Tegmark argues that we shouldn't passively ask "what will happen?" as if the future is predetermined, but instead ask what we want to happen and then try to create that future. What sort of future do you want?

If superintelligence arrives, who should be in control?

If you one day get an AI helper, do you want it to be conscious, i.e., to have subjective experience (as opposed to being like a zombie which can at best pretend to be conscious)?(Skip)