

Simulating International Energy Security

David Masad

November 24, 2013

1 Introduction

Energy security is defined by the International Energy Agency as “the uninterrupted availability of energy sources at an affordable price”(?). As the world’s energy demand continues to expand in the face of growing scarcity, energy security is becoming increasingly critical not just to developed countries but to developing ones as well (?). Thus, assessing the energy security of different countries is important in order to understand the overall state of the international system. Despite this, there have been few published attempts to create formal models of energy security.

One such model, MOSES (Model of Short-term Energy Security), was created within the International Energy Agency (IEA), the intergovernmental organization of the world’s major oil importers created as a counterbalance to exporter cartel OPEC (). As the name indicates, MOSES focuses on short-term risks, defined as a timescale of days and weeks. Furthermore, MOSES assigns risk scores based on an impressive, but ultimately static, set of indicators. In particular, the indicator used to measure political stability is “weighted average of political stability of suppliers”. However, the greater the diversity of suppliers (another input into the model), the greater the potential range of political stabilities. Furthermore, averaging ratings of political risk eliminates the complexity that characterises international political stability, ignoring the possibility of crisis and conflict contagion ().

Other models of energy security focus on long-term timeframes, in years and decades. [[EXPAND MORE HERE]]

In this paper, I present a novel model linking energy security with international political stability.

2 Model Description

2.1 Overview

The model consists of countries, each of which has a set supply of and demand for crude oil. Countries are linked geographically, and by a network of export-import relationships. Each month, some countries enter crisis with fixed

probabilities; the exports and imports of countries in crisis are considered *at risk*. If **contagion** is enabled, a crisis in one country may spread to its immediate geographic neighbors. If **assistance** is enabled, oil exporters may increase their production to balance a loss of secure oil by their major import partners.

The model parameters currently remain fixed for each run: political instability, supply, demand, and trade relations are treated as constant.

2.2 Data Sources

The model inputs are empirical whenever possible. Data inputs are: oil trade relationships, country political instability, and country consumption of domestic oil production. Total supply and demand are derived largely from input data.

The network of oil imports and exports comes from the United Nations-maintained COMTRADE database (?). I extract all imports of crude petroleum (product code 2709) and other unprocessed petroleum (product code 2710) for 2012, the most recent year for which full data is available. Note that import data appears to be more reliable than export data: many major oil producers (particularly Saudi Arabia and Venezuela) only report export volume by region (e.g. Europe, North America); however, the majority of their trade partners report their imports from them.

For the majority of countries, I assume that supply and demand are equal to total export and imports, respectively. For the top ten oil producers, I use US Energy Information Administration (EIA) estimates () for those countries' consumption of their own domestic production.

Political instability estimates are taken from the Economist Intelligence Unit's Political Index ratings (?). These are in turn estimated based on the methodology developed by the Political Instability Task Force (?), which could predict the onset of internal instability in a two-year period with 80% accuracy. Thus, these scores are normalized such that a rating of 10 (the maximum) is associated with an 80% probability of instability within a 24-month timeframe, translating into a [[FILLIN]] monthly probability of crisis onset.

Crisis duration is drawn independently from onset. ? and others have argued that internal and external conflict durations follow a power law distribution, which I use here. Specifically, in order to accomodate the limitations of the MASON random-number generator, I use a uniform approximation (?), defined as:

$$((x_1^\alpha - x_0^\alpha)y + x_0^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sim \text{Power Law}$$

where

$$Y \sim U[0, 1]$$

$$x_0 \equiv \text{minimum}$$

$$x_1 \equiv \text{maximum}$$

$$\alpha \equiv \text{Coefficient}$$

I calibrate the parameters from two datasets: ? for armed conflict, and (?), the Social Conflict in Africa Database, which includes both armed and lower-level social conflicts. I subset the PRIO dataset for conflicts in Africa and merge it with SCAD, eliminating duplicates and events below the severity of a general strike, in order to obtain as complete as possible a set of crises and conflicts that may be associated with an oil production shock. I find the duration of each event, and fit a power law to the resulting distribution, resulting in an estimated coefficient of -1.37 .

2.3 Formal Description

The model consists of **Country** agents, possessing several characteristics and linked by two networks: a directed **trade network**, and an undirected **geographic adjacency** network, where the country agents are the network nodes.

Model variables may be *fixed* based on data, *parameters* that are fixed for each run and may be changed between them, or *states* of the agents and edges that vary during the model.

2.3.1 Networks

Agents are connected by two networks, which we can also express as matrices. Let \mathbf{G} be the geographic adjacency matrix, such that $g_{i,j} = g_{j,i} = 1$ when countries i and j are geographically contiguous or near-contiguous, and 0 otherwise.

Let \mathbf{E} be the trade matrix, such that $e_{i,j}$ denotes the volume of oil exports from i to j . Note that if the assistance submodel is turned on, the values of \mathbf{E} may change over time.

2.3.2 Agent parameters and variables

Each agent represents an independent country. Agents are associated with the **geometry** of their position on a world map, which determines their neighbors. They are described by two submodels: an oil model, and a crisis model.

Oil Submodel

- **Domestic Share** of the country's oil demand satisfied by domestic production. For most countries, this defaults to 0; for the top ten oil producers, it is set based on US Energy Information Administration data ().
- **Total Imports** is the sum of the current volume of all oil imports.
- **Total Demand** is the sum of current imported and domestic oil consumed. Since the EIA reports the share of total consumption that is domestically-sourced, demand is calculated as:

$$\text{Total Demand}_i = \sum_j e_{j,i} \cdot (1 - \text{Domestic Share})^{-1}$$

With *TotalImports* set at the initial baseline. If current imports increase (as explained below) then supply will exceed demand.

- **Total Exports** is the sum of current exported oil to all other countries.

Crisis Submodel

- **Instability** is a measure of the country’s political instability on a 0-10 scale, and determines the probability of a crisis or conflict – a production shock. It is obtained from ?.
- **In Crisis?** is simply a boolean variable determining whether or not a country is currently experiencing a crisis or conflict.
- **Crisis Length** is the number of months remaining in a crisis, if one is currently in process. When a country enters crisis, this number is drawn from a power law distribution, as described below.

Assistance Submodel

- **Increasing Production** is a boolean of whether or not the country is currently in a state of temporarily-increased production.
- **Increasing Production For** stores the country being assisted. I assume that countries target their assistance at one other country.
- **Crisis Length** is the number of months remaining in a crisis, if one is currently in process. When a country enters crisis, this number is drawn from a power law distribution, as described below.
- **Total Capacity** is the country’s capacity to rapidly increase oil for export above its initial baseline. Defaults to 1.1 (that is, countries can rapidly increase output by 10%) based on consultations with subject-matter experts.

2.3.3 Agent Behavior

Each tick of the model, agents are activated in random order, as follows:

```

if not in crisis then
  | Call crisis submodel ;
else
  |  $CrisisLength = CrisisLength - 1$  ;
  | if  $CrisisLength == 0$  then
  | | End crisis ;
  | end
end
if Assistance turned on then
  | Call assistance submodel ;
end

```

Algorithm 1: main loop

```

enter crisis with  $Pr(crisis) \propto instability$  ;
if entered crisis then
    Crisis Length  $\sim$ Power Law ;
    if Contagion turned on then
        for each neighboring country do
            | Call neighbor's crisis submodel ;
        end
    end
end

```

Algorithm 2: crisis submodel

```

if Currently assisting another country then
    if Assisted country no longer in supply shock then
        // Ending assistance
        for each export edge do
            | edge volume = baseline edge volume;
        end
    end
else
    for each out-neighbor do
        if Neighbor in supply shock then
            | Assist neighbor with  $Pr = \frac{\text{Exports to neighbor}}{\text{Total exports}}$ 
        end
    end
    if Assisting neighbor then
        // Increasing output
        for each export edge do
            | edge volume = edge volume * (1 + excess capacity);
        end
    end
end

```

Algorithm 3: assistance submodel

Once all agents have acted, their energy-security metrics are computed, as described below.

2.3.4 Energy Security Metrics

Let $\delta(i)$ be the *Security indicator* function, defined as:

$$\delta(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } i \text{ is not in crisis} \\ 0 & \text{when } i \text{ is in crisis} \end{cases}$$

Country-level indicators are:

- **Supply Ratio** is the fraction of the country's current demand being met by *secure* imports – that is, imports from countries that are not in crisis.

Formally:

$$\text{Supply Ratio}_i = \frac{\text{Total Demand}_i}{\sum_j e_{j,i} \delta(j)}$$

- **Demand Ratio** is the fraction of the country's current exports going to countries that are not in crisis – secure exports.

$$\text{Demand Ratio}_i = \frac{\sum_j e_{i,j} \delta(j)}{\sum_j e_{i,j}}$$

The model also computes similar global ratios:

- **Global Supply Ratio** is the all country's current demand being met by secure imports:

$$\text{Global Supply Ratio} = \frac{\sum_i \text{Total Demand}_i}{\sum_i \sum_j e_{j,i} \delta(j)}$$

- **Global Demand Ratio** is the fraction of worldwide exports going to countries that are not in crisis:

$$\text{Global Demand Ratio} = \frac{\sum_i \text{Total Demand}_i \delta(i)}{\sum_i \sum_j e_{i,j}}$$

- **Global Overall Ratio** is the ratio between secure supply and secure demand:

$$\text{Global Overall Ratio} = \frac{\sum_i \text{Total Demand}_i \delta(i)}{\sum_i \sum_j e_{j,i} \delta(j)}$$

3 Model Results and Analysis

4 Discussion

In this poster, I will present an initial implementation of a novel agent-based model linking crude oil energy security to inter- and intra-state crises and conflicts, through the mechanism of supply shocks (?). The model operates at the meso (months-years) timescale, and allows countries to react to changes in the oil supply. It can generate ranges of notional near-future trajectories of global and local energy security, providing risk estimates for different countries. The model attempts to capture the emergent consequences of conflict contagion (?), temporary production increases and varying levels of stockpiles. It can also be used to explore different scenarios, from particular crises to realignments of major trade relationships.

The agents in the model are geospatially located countries, acting in monthly timesteps. Countries experience exogenous crises based on probabilities derived from empirical estimates (such as (???)). They are linked geographically, and by

oil trade relationships obtained via the COMTRADE database (?). As countries experience crises, their participation in the international oil system is placed at risk, changing the volume of ‘secure’ imports or exports of other countries and potentially triggering supply or demand shocks.

Countries respond to the changing energy security environment in several ways. Exporters may choose to temporarily increase production in response to supply shocks elsewhere, while countries that are members of the International Energy Agency may vote to release their emergency stockpiles. The model’s behavior is verified against previous qualitative and quantitative analysis, and in consultation with subject-matter experts.

The poster will describe the model’s methodology and input data, and provide a visual representation of the model’s estimated energy security risk for every country. It will provide a visual example of a representative model run, and illustrate the results of a sweep of the model’s behavior and parameter space. Finally, it will describe future work, incorporating different energy sources, shipping chokepoints, and dynamic network updating.