

Clinical Study Synopsis for Public Disclosure

This clinical study synopsis is provided in line with **Boehringer Ingelheim's Policy on Transparency and Publication of Clinical Study Data**.

The synopsis - which is part of the clinical study report - had been prepared in accordance with best practice and applicable legal and regulatory requirements at the time of study completion.

The synopsis may include approved and non-approved uses, doses, formulations, treatment regimens and/or age groups; it has not necessarily been submitted to regulatory authorities.

A synopsis is not intended to provide a comprehensive analysis of all data currently available regarding a particular drug. More current information regarding a drug is available in the approved labeling information which may vary from country to country.

Additional information on this study and the drug concerned may be provided upon request based on **Boehringer Ingelheim's Policy on Transparency and Publication of Clinical Study Data**.

The synopsis is supplied for informational purposes only in the interests of scientific disclosure. It must not be used for any commercial purposes and must not be distributed, published, modified, reused, posted in any way, or used for any other purpose without the express written permission of Boehringer Ingelheim.

CLINICAL TRIAL REPORT SUMMARY

| | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Name of company: Boehringer Ingelheim International Trading(Shanghai) Co., Ltd. | | Tabulated Study Report | |
| Name of finished product: Micardis® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: telmisartan | | | |
| Protocol date: | Trial number: 502.365 | Planned Study period: | June, 2000—August, 2001 |
| Title of study: 12 week, multi-center, randomized, double-blind, double dummy, parallel group trial comparing the efficacy and safety of 40 & 80 mg telmisartan and 50 & 100 mg Losartan in the treatment of 150 pairs of primary hypertension patients. | | | |
| Principal Investigators: [REDACTED] | | | |
| Study centre(s): | 7 | | |
| Publication: | no | | |
| Clinical phase: | IIb | | |
| Objectives: | Using Losartan as a comparator, to evaluate the efficacy and safety of telmisartan in the treatment of the mild to moderate primary hypertension patients in China. | | |
| Methodology: | Randomized, double-blind, double dummy, parallel group | | |
| No. of subjects total: each treatment: | 300 | | |
| Diagnosis and main criteria for inclusion: | Mild to moderate primary hypertension, the mean sitting valley value of diastolic blood pressure(DBP) ≥ 95 and <110 mmHg, and the mean sitting valley value of systolic blood pressure(SBP) <180 mmHg | | |
| Test product 1: dose: mode of admin.: batch no.: | telmisartan tablets 40 mg 80mg oral administration | | |
| Duration of treatment: | 12 week | | |
| Reference therapy: dose: mode of admin.: batch no.: | Losartan 50 mg 100mg oral administration | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| Criteria for efficacy: | <p>Primary efficacy endpoint: after 8 weeks of treatment, the changes of the mean sitting valley value of DBP(valley value of blood pressure refers to the blood pressure measured prior to the next administration of antihypertensives)</p> <p>Other efficacy endpoints:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1, the changes in sitting valley values of SBP after 8 weeks of treatment; 2, the changes in sitting valley values of DBP and SBP after 4 weeks of treatment; 3, the evaluable cases and its proportions |
| Criteria for pharmacoeconomics: | |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Criteria for safety: | The incidence of adverse events, physical examination, 12 leads ECG, the incidence of clinically significant laboratory abnormalities. |
| Statistical methods: | <p><u>Efficacy</u>: the primary efficacy parameters compared was the changes from baseline in the valley values of DBP after treatment for telmisartan and Losartan, the intent-to-treat population(ITT)analyses was employed, the data analyzed included all the patients who at least received one dose of active drug after randomisation, and whose baseline values and the valley values of blood pressure after dosing were effective. The analysis of covariance model was used to analyse the influence of different hospitals, treatments and baseline blood pressure to the valley values of sitting blood pressure.</p> <p><u>Safety</u>: safety was obtained from the incidence of adverse events of the two treatment groups, Fisher's exact test was used, the statistical significance level was determined as $P < 0.05$</p> |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <p>Summary conclusion:</p> | <p><u>Efficacy</u></p> <p>1, by the end of 8 weeks after treatment, the sitting valley values of DBP of the patients of both telmisartan group and Losartan group decrease significantly, the decrease magnitude of telmisartan group is slightly greater than that of Losartan group (10.9mmHg vs. 9.3mmHg), but is not statistically significant;</p> <p>2, the results of analysis of covariance show that by the end of 8 weeks after treatment, the adjusted mean DBP decrease magnitudes of both groups are significantly different ($P=0.030$), telmisartan group's mean DBP decrease magnitude is greater;</p> <p>3, by the end of 8 weeks after treatment, the decrease magnitude of mean sitting valley value of SBP in telmisartanthe group is greater than that of Losartan group(12.5mmHg vs. 9.4mmHg), the difference is of statistically significance (t test, $P=0.0457$; Wilcoxon double sample test, $P=0.0373$);</p> <p>4, the overall anti-hypertensive effectiveness of telmisartan is 70.1%, which is greater than that of Losartan, 58.7%, the difference is of statistically significance ($P=0.02$). The anti-hypertensive effectiveness of 40 & 80 mg telmisartan are greater than that of 50 & 100 mg Losartan, respectively. the difference is of statistically significance (40mg vs. 50mg: 46.3% vs. 32.5%, $P=0.010$; 80mg vs. 100mg: 79.3% vs. 65.3%, $P=0.008$);</p> <p>5, the proportions of patients treated with higher doses treatment (telmisartan80mg or Losartan100mg) are significantly different ($P=0.011$). The proportion of Losartan group is higher than that of telmisartan group (56% vs. 42.1%);</p> <p>6, by the end of 4 weeks after treatment, there is no significant difference in the magnitudes of the decrease of both the sitting valley value of DBP and the sitting valley value of SBP between the two groups;</p> <p><u>Safety:</u></p> <p>The incidence of adverse events of the two groups are similar (telmisartan group 23.2%, Losartan group 22.9%, $P=0.952$).The most commonly reported adverse events are dizziness, headache and diarrhea, most of them are mild, and can be alleviated rapidly. The results of the study demonstrate that the treatment of mild to moderate hypertension with telmisartan 40mg~80mg, orally administrated once daily, is safe and effective.</p> |
|-----------------------------------|---|