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ABSTRACT

“WebRTC is an open-source web-based application technology, which allows users to send real-time media without the need for installing plugins” [1]. This type of technology has become a main-stay in all industries, and more specifically WebRTC being open-source has allowed for researchers and developers across the world to discover new advancements and heights of real-time communication without prior installations or requirements. Although this has provided a great source of real-time communication, there are still concerns with this being a relatively new application. This study will look further into data integrity regarding these types of applications, along with briefly discussing the issue of solving IP leaks through a distributed hash table. To further develop this topic, a simple WebRTC application has been created with the purpose of sending a bit through a covert channel based on a delay in data being received from one peer to another, focusing on the bitrate, framerate, covert channel bandwidth and error rate. Furthermore, this issue will then be mitigated to ensure that individuals developing a WebRTC application can further prepare for defense against such attacks or misusage.
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**CHAPTER ONE**

**INTRODUCTION**

In a cultural and technology environment that is continuously evolving, real-time communication is more essential than ever for individuals to complete daily tasks such as school, work, and personal communication. “Live chat has become the leading digital contact method for online customers, as a staggering 46% of customers prefer live chat compared to just 29% for email, and 16% for social media” [2]. With the reach of internet to all points of the world, it is realistic to see that real-time calls between two or more individuals becoming the main product for online communication methods if it is not already there today. In recent years, WebRTC applications have been used to establish real-time communication between two or more peers. This product provides limitless advantages to web developers across the entire world, but with these advantages, there are also disadvantages. The main focus of this study is to determine the security factors that may be exploited with WebRTC and mitigating these issues.

In establishing an understanding of the vulnerabilities, a security study was conducted to look further into possible security flaws with WebRTC and real-life examples or discoveries of data integrity problems with these applications. Roughly in the past ten years, there have been a number of open-source examples that have been centered around WebRTC that focused on the altering of data. These were vital in providing a sense of direction on how to discover covert channels and altering/preventing data transformation. The most common way to develop a WebRTC application is using the open-source API implemented by the creators Google, with the two main languages of JavaScript and HTML5.

The emphasis for the research and project portion was data integrity related to covert channels, along with a brief survey on IP leaks. Data integrity is an issue because of WebRTC’s open-source model, clients hold the ability to alter the actual data that is being sent from one peer to another using the API provided. Specifically, the application can be altered to create covert channels via image filtering, a process that takes the video input, alters the data, and then outputs the video. This proves to be a problem, because having a secure data transfer from one individual to another is a vital process in application development. It was discovered that by implementing a delay in data transfer from one client to another, a bit can be sent and received based on this delay by the other client. This would provide the idea that data (messages) can be transferred secretly through clients without any control or knowledge by the administrator. There is a prevention method to prevent this from occurring, which is implementing random delays on the server or administrative side. This will greatly increase the error rate due to the inability of each client to send and then sense the delay in data. These discoveries were mainly done using WebRTC’s API and different test elements such as the bitrate, framerate, covert channel bandwidth in an effort to determine the error rate. Beyond this, IP leaks is highly discussed as a security flaw with WebRTC applications, as in most cases the user’s public IP address is used directly. This is commonly addressed with the use of a VPN (Virtual Private Network), but in almost all cases this can be a costly effort and can be limited in the data limitations of the user. To prove and further research, two prototypes have been created. An unsecure version, which focuses on creating a covert channel, delaying/sensing data, and then receiving a bit based on the delay. Finally, a secure prototype was created to combat this issue, implementing random delays to further increase the error rate at which the bit is being received.

**CHAPTER TWO**

**Background and Related Work**

This chapter focuses on describing the thesis problem statement and looking into prior research that has been completed to work towards implementation and mitigation. This sets the groundwork for the thesis and finding next courses of action.

**2.1 Problem Statement**

Due to the demand of real-time communication, WebRTC has the foundation to allow for a secure and simple connection to be made by two users without installing native apps or plugins. With this being an open-source application, not all security protocols and features have been fully examined.

**2.2 Security Concerns**

Through discussion and examination by committee members two security features were chosen. The first one being data integrity concerns, which involves the altering or transmitting data without any knowledge to the administrator. The second being IP leaks in relation to a connection being established by two or more peers.

**2.2.1 Data Integrity**

Going beyond server and client authenticity, it is vital to ensure that the *communication stage*, the main segment that is being used throughout this thesis implementation, holds secure. When creating a WebRTC application, two or more peers will create a connection, and send real-time data between each other through the use of a server. The security concern in doing so with a WebRTC application comes mainly as a result of this being open-source project, and the API is available to the public. This obviously provides great opportunities for developers, but also for hackers and exploits. Specifically, the video can be altered between each client, creating a vital security concern.

**2.2.2 Covert Channels via Image Filtering**

Expanding upon data integrity, WebRTC real-time image filtering can be implemented to exploit the vulnerability of data being altered from one client to another. This has been seen implemented using two different types of video input, *local* and *remote*. Separating these two types of videos simulates a network connection with WebRTC API in which one peer connections to a server, and then sends data to a receiving client. With image filtering, this instead involves taking the input (local) video and transmitting that through a canvas element. A canvas element is a type of HTML5 element that is intended for the use of drawing graphics or in this case, videos. After the video is transmitted into the canvas element, then that video is then sent to the receiving client. There is a very powerful example done previously that does this sort of action, but also applies a filter on top of the incoming video to alter the appearance [3]. Through this examination, it appears that action can be taken similar as having a filter on top of the data, but instead by actually delaying the video being sent from the canvas element to the output (remote) client. This basis of implementing a delay using image filtering, allows the creation of a covert channel. A covert channel allows for individuals to send secret or sensitive information without the administrator knowing. In this example, this involves not only one client first implementing a delay to send data, but also the receiving client sensing that delay to receive the said data. This also to the normal network/server administrator would only appear to be noise, as the data rates tend to fluctuate very briefly, and then return to normal.

**2.2.3 IP Leaks**

A large issue with WebRTC applications, is IP leak concerns. This is due to the fact that a Peer-to-Peer connection requires that each client has each other’s communication address. A similar issue was discussed and implemented with the creation of the TOR browser [4], but instead of using a simple WebSocket or HTTPS server, a Distributed Hash Table server was implemented that would allow for each client to still communicate directly with each other but remain anonymous. This provides the idea that in a similar fashion, this type of server communication can be implemented with a WebRTC application. Although this ideally would be implemented and have a prototype as is done with covert channel implementation, this did not permit during this thesis. Instead, later explained is a research document further describing this possibility and possible future courses of action.

**Chapter 3**

**Research Methodology & Implementation**

This chapter describes the research steps taken to establish an understanding of how to approach the problem of covert channels. With the extensive research and examination of open-source examples, a prototype implementation involving an unsecure and secure version have been created.

**3.1 Open-Source Project Examples**

Expanding upon the previous chapter that discussed the main two issues at hand, there are a number of examples that help provide a starting point in establishing covert channels and then preventing them, along with creating a DHT to prevent IP leaks.

**3.1.1 WebRTC API**

The center of the programming revolves around holding a deep understanding of WebRTC API and concepts involved. The beginning of this research begins with a great resource of an API webpage that lays out each function, method, interfaces, and references available in relation to WebRTC [5]. This allowed a basis understanding to be established and continued as a reference for attacking specific angles in prototype implementation. Further than API, actual examples also allowed for a great resource in provide a base to begin with in testing and developing a simple, but complex, WebRTC application. A large group of developers who led the initiation of the WebRTC project have created a repository, that was extremely helpful in providing examples of specific WebRTC actions. More than others, this helped tie together the API provided and actual implementation of creating a remote and local connection, capturing a stream, and then altering that data stream.

**3.1.2 Image Filtering**

Image filtering is the tool that connects WebRTC and Covert Channels. This first came to discussion to the ability of discussing the idea of altering data in a WebRTC application. The canvas HTML5 element is a common element used throughout web development, and this brought the idea that by transmitting a video element, into a canvas element, that the data would then be altered before being sent. An example that provided a great basis to this implementation took all aspects explained earlier, a local video, canvas element, and an output/remote video but instead of manipulating the pixels, the example allows for filters to be draw on top of the video feed that is being transmitted [6]. With these two great examples, the actual implementation is able to take place through an unsecure prototype, and a secure prototype.

**3.3 Unsecure Prototype**

The creation of an unsecure prototype is solely emphasized on creating covert channels while establishing a WebRTC connection one peer to another. To begin, a simple application was created which built the connection using WebRTC API, a great example was found which made this as dead-simple as possible and provided a base to build upon [7]. Expanding upon this, the application was altered to allow for the connection and remote connection to be simulated and displayed on the same webpage. This involved changing the way the code worked, and instead of waiting on the server to send a signal once a connection is made, a video element is used which conquers a similar action by adding a “Get Media”, “Start Call”, and “Hangup” button through a series of WebRTC functions and methods. After this, image filtering needed to occur which would allow for covert channel implementation to be possible. This involved adding an extra step in between the local connection and the remote connection, using a canvas element. This allowed the media to be taken from the local connection, altered or transmitted into a canvas element, and that media then transmitted to the remote connection. With this canvas element, a delay then needed to occur which make the bitrate go up or down based on the user input, 0 for no delay and 1 for a delay.

3.4 Secure Prototype . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14

3.5 Distributed Hash Table . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14

**Chapter 4**

**Findings & Analysis of Data**

This chapter discusses the findings that were discovered in the implementation of an unsecure prototype, establishing covert channels, and a secure prototype, mitigating this with a series of delays.

**4.1 Covert Channels**

Expanding upon an unsecure prototype implementation, proper testing needs to occur in which the effectiveness can be determined of this method. There are two main issues which can occur from implementing delays in a real-time media application, video quality and the error rate at which the bit is being sensed. To test this, baseline statistics need to be measured in which no delay or prevention method have occurred. For baseline measurements, ten different tests were taken for each chart, five using a *black screen* as the media source, and five using a *sample presentation.*

Each test involved measuring two separate elements, the bitrate and framerate based on the covert channel bandwidth. This is a statistic that takes the number of bits being sent divided by the time at which the input is being calculated. (1 / inputRate or T)

4.2 Prevention Method . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14
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