FEUP/MIEIC THEORY OF COMPUTATION

EXERCISES ABOUT CONTEXT-FREE LANGUAGES (CFLS)

1 Draw a deterministic push-down automaton (DPDA) for the language:

```
\{0^n 1^m 0^n \mid n \ge 0 \text{ and } m \ge 0\}.
```

- 2 Test the determinism in the automata of previous exercises. Verify if they are deterministic automata and if they are not, identify transitions which make them non-deterministic.
- 3 "Given a non-deterministic PDA, there exists always an equivalent deterministic PDA, i.e., which recognizes exactly the same language." Is this sentence true? Justify.
- **4** Consider the CFG below. Convert it to the Chomsky Normal Form (CNF), showing the intermediate simplification steps.

```
S \rightarrow A1B
A \rightarrow 0A | \epsilon
B \rightarrow 0B | 1B | \epsilon
```

5 Convert the following CFG into the Chomsky Normal Form, showing intermediate steps.

```
S \rightarrow 0S00 | 0B0 | B
B \rightarrow 11B22 | 12 | C
C \rightarrow 0 | \epsilon
```

- 6 Show, using the pumping lemma for context-free languages, that the language of the strings $a^nb^nc^i$, in which $n \le i \le 2n$, is not a context-free language.
- Show, using the pumping lemma for context-free languages, that the language of the strings 0^p, with p a prime number, is not a context-free language. [Note: we have already proven that this language does not satisfy the pumping lemma for regular languages; let us use a similar strategy to prove that this language does not satisfy the pumping lemma for context-free languages.]
- 8 Show, using the pumping lemma for context-free languages, that the language of the strings $0^i 1^j$, in which $j=i^2$, is not a context-free language.
- When we try to apply the pumping lemma for context-free languages to a language of this family, trying to show that it does not belong to the context-free languages, it happens that the "adversary wins" always and we cannot complete the prove. Illustrate this process with the languages $L1=\{00,11\}$ and $L2=\{0^n1^n,n\geq 1\}$.
- 10 Given two string w and x, let us call *inter*(w,x) to the set of strings obtained interchanging symbols of w and x by the order they occur in w and in x. We can extend the operation to two languages L1 and L2, naming *inter*(L1, L2) to the union, for all the pairs of strings w from L1 and x from L2, of *inter*(w,x).
 - a) Determine the value of inter(00,111).
 - b) Determine the value of inter(L1, L2) with $L1 = L(0^*)$ and $L2 = \{0^n1^n, n \ge 0\}$.
 - c) Show that if L1 and L2 are both regular languages then *inter*(L1, L2) is a regular language, as well. [Suggestion: consider the DFAs of L1 and L2.]
 - d) Show that if L is a context-free language and R a regular language then *inter*(L, R) is a context-free language. [Suggestion: consider a PDA for L and a DFA for R.]
- 11 Show that in a grammar in the Chomsky Normal Form (CNF), all the analysis trees of length n have 2n-1 inner nodes.