

Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2004 Summer

Processed: 04Sep23

Class: **CSC 370 - K01/K02**
 Instructor: **D. German**
 Department: **CSc**
 Enrollment: **37 students**

Questions	Class N	Average	-2 -1 0 +1 +2 +--+--+--+--+	CSc Average	Survey Average
THE COURSE	26	0.83			
grading scheme was made clear to me	26	1.31	****	1.38	1.29
objectives were made clear to me	26	1.31	*****	1.36	1.18
assignments/problems helped understanding	26	1.08	*****	1.24	1.09
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	26	0.88	****	1.06	0.91
has an appropriate number of examples	26	0.69	****	1.05	0.80
text helped understanding	26	0.54	**	0.62	0.57
material coincided with personal interest	26	0.58	**	0.75	0.60
workload was heavy (relative to others)	26	0.38	**	0.58	0.51
is such that I would recommend to others	26	0.73	***	0.86	0.69
THE PROFESSOR	26	1.13			
expresses ideas with clarity	26	1.08	*****	1.16	1.03
has a positive attitude about course material	26	1.46	*****	1.49	1.40
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	26	1.15	*****	1.19	1.01
is well organized and prepared	26	1.08	****	1.20	1.18
uses visual aids effectively	26	1.15	*****	1.29	1.15
provides effective feedback on performance	26	0.81	***	0.97	0.91
is concerned that students understand material	26	1.12	****	1.24	1.13
creates a climate open to asking questions	26	1.12	****	1.39	1.33
fairly considers students' suggestions	26	1.12	****	1.32	1.21
displays a good understanding of the material	26	1.19	*****	1.44	1.39
makes sufficient office hours available	26	1.08	****	1.10	1.05
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	26	1.15	*****	1.23	1.21
overall teaching ability is excellent	25	1.16	*****	1.19	1.04
THE LABORATORY		<i>n/a</i>			
work is instructive and relevant	0	<i>n/a</i>		0.96	0.75
work is well timed to the lectures	0	<i>n/a</i>		0.75	0.58
manual is useful	0	<i>n/a</i>		0.55	0.40
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	0	<i>n/a</i>		0.85	0.74
THE PROJECT	3	1.11			
is of appropriate length	3	1.33	*****	0.86	0.85
contributes to understanding relevant material	3	1.00	****	0.94	0.86
is intellectually challenging	3	1.00	****	1.09	0.97
THE TUTORIALS	1	2.00			
are instructive	1	2.00	*****	0.88	0.74
are well organized	1	2.00	*****	0.72	0.65
instructor is competent and clear	1	2.00	*****	0.80	0.70

Class N number of respondents to the question
Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by **Class N**
CSc Average sum of **Class Average** for all **CSc** classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes
Survey Average sum of **Class Average** for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that **CSc** and **Survey Average** are weighted per class, not per respondent

Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2005 Summer

Processed: 05Sep14

Class: **CSC 370 - K01/K02**
 Instructor: **D. German**
 Department: **CSc**
 Enrollment: **27 students**

Questions	Class N Average	-2 -1 0 +1 +2 +---+---+---+---+---+	CSc Average	Survey Average
THE COURSE	13 1.22			
grading scheme was made clear to me	13 1.54	*****	1.46	1.34
objectives were made clear to me	13 1.38	*****	1.34	1.25
assignments/problems helped understanding	13 1.15	*****	1.30	1.16
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	13 1.54	*****	1.20	1.05
has an appropriate number of examples	13 1.46	*****	1.17	0.96
text helped understanding	13 1.38	*****	0.70	0.56
material coincided with personal interest	13 1.00	****	0.83	0.74
workload was heavy (relative to others)	13 0.15	*	0.73	0.55
is such that I would recommend to others	13 1.38	*****	1.00	0.84
THE PROFESSOR	13 1.41			
expresses ideas with clarity	13 1.46	*****	1.33	1.15
has a positive attitude about course material	13 1.69	*****	1.57	1.49
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	13 1.31	*****	1.23	1.10
is well organized and prepared	13 1.23	*****	1.31	1.24
uses visual aids effectively	13 1.54	*****	1.32	1.19
provides effective feedback on performance	13 1.23	*****	1.08	0.96
is concerned that students understand material	13 1.54	*****	1.34	1.22
creates a climate open to asking questions	13 1.54	*****	1.46	1.38
fairly considers students' suggestions	13 1.46	*****	1.41	1.29
displays a good understanding of the material	13 1.69	*****	1.49	1.49
makes sufficient office hours available	13 0.85	***	1.22	1.08
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	13 1.23	*****	1.33	1.24
overall teaching ability is excellent	13 1.54	*****	1.29	1.13
THE LABORATORY	n/a			
work is instructive and relevant	0 n/a		0.92	0.97
work is well timed to the lectures	0 n/a		0.90	0.89
manual is useful	0 n/a		0.66	0.71
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	0 n/a		0.90	0.99
THE PROJECT	6 1.33			
is of appropriate length	6 0.83	***	0.95	0.98
contributes to understanding relevant material	6 1.50	*****	1.19	1.10
is intellectually challenging	6 1.67	*****	1.21	1.00
THE TUTORIALS	n/a			
are instructive	0 n/a		0.97	1.02
are well organized	0 n/a		0.85	0.81
instructor is competent and clear	0 n/a		0.88	0.86

Class N number of respondents to the question
Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by Class N
CSc Average sum of Class Average for all CSc classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes
Survey Average sum of Class Average for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that CSc and Survey Average are weighted per class, not per respondent