

Faculty of Engineering Course Evaluation

Term: 2007 Spring

Processed: 07May22

Class: **CSC 370 - S01/S02**
 Instructor: **D. German**
 Department: **CSc**
 Enrollment: **38 students**

Questions	Class N Average	-2 -1 0 +1 +2 + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + -	CSc Average	Survey Average
THE COURSE	26 1.04			
grading scheme was made clear to me	26 1.46	*****	1.33	1.32
objectives were made clear to me	26 1.62	*****	1.20	1.18
assignments/problems helped understanding	26 1.27	*****	1.10	1.04
midterm exams/quizzes helped understanding	26 1.15	*****	0.99	0.92
has an appropriate number of examples	26 0.96	****	0.98	0.85
text helped understanding	26 0.35	*	0.53	0.41
material coincided with personal interest	26 0.92	****	0.59	0.59
workload was heavy (relative to others)	26 0.46	**	0.47	0.48
is such that I would recommend to others	26 1.19	*****	0.65	0.66
THE PROFESSOR	26 1.31			
expresses ideas with clarity	26 1.08	****	1.02	1.04
has a positive attitude about course material	26 1.65	*****	1.42	1.44
stimulates interest and thinking in the subject	26 1.35	*****	1.01	1.02
is well organized and prepared	26 1.15	*****	1.10	1.19
uses visual aids effectively	26 1.19	*****	1.21	1.14
provides effective feedback on performance	26 0.92	****	0.86	0.83
is concerned that students understand material	26 1.35	*****	1.14	1.13
creates a climate open to asking questions	26 1.58	*****	1.32	1.35
fairly considers students' suggestions	25 1.32	*****	1.26	1.25
displays a good understanding of the material	26 1.50	*****	1.36	1.42
makes sufficient office hours available	24 1.12	****	1.11	1.10
is punctual and makes up for cancelled classes	26 1.38	*****	1.24	1.30
overall teaching ability is excellent	24 1.38	*****	1.03	1.04
THE LABORATORY	3 0.62			
work is instructive and relevant	3 1.00	****	0.79	0.80
work is well timed to the lectures	2 0.50	**	0.79	0.73
manual is useful	2 1.00	****	0.49	0.50
instructor(s) is competent and helpful	1 0.00		0.82	0.89
THE PROJECT	2 0.50			
is of appropriate length	2 0.00	**	0.76	0.75
contributes to understanding relevant material	2 0.50	**	0.89	0.93
is intellectually challenging	2 1.00	****	1.04	1.01
THE TUTORIALS	1 0.00			
are instructive	1 0.00		0.71	0.77
are well organized	1 0.00		0.59	0.67
instructor is competent and clear	1 0.00		0.74	0.76

Class N number of respondents to the question
Class Average sum of individual responses to the question divided by Class N
CSc Average sum of Class Average for all CSc classes where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes
Survey Average sum of Class Average for all classes in the survey where at least one person responded to the question, divided by the number of such classes

Please note that CSc and Survey Average are weighted per class, not per respondent

COMPUTER SCIENCE 370 S01/S02
D. GERMAN
SPRING 2007

- Fantastic course, only minor thing is sometimes the blackboard isn't erased properly and it makes things hard to read. But that's very minor compared to how well things went overall.
- Need more class time for this course so we don't rush materials.
- Great course. I wish there was a higher-level db course (i.e., more in-depth).
- Nice shirts
- No rule on failing exam = fail course please. ☺
- Really hard to follow in class. Makes mistakes often and confused himself, which makes the whole class pointless to come.
- The old rockstar guy takes away from other students learning.
- Generally I didn't like to work in groups. I thought working in a group would be harder and would take a longer time to complete tasks. Working in a group was one of my fears. However, after I've taken the course, I changed my attitude towards working in groups. I enjoyed it and I am glad that I took this course. I became more positive towards working in groups. It's a good thing for me.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. -12 responses

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

Daniel did an excellent job
of presenting the material
& motivating students.
He showed that he
cared about his students
learning.

I would only recommend,
that Daniel be a
little less messy
w/ his notes
on the board
during class.
It is sometimes
confusing.

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

You should have 3hr lectures if you want in-class groupwork.

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

I would prefer to work in a smaller team.

I'm disappointed with the 50-minute final.
A 50 minute exam is NOT enough time.
A Three hour final allows you time to focus on questions. 50 minute exam are just a race and rarely is there enough time to think through questions ~~and~~ properly.
When is "Real life" do you have 50 minutes to complete something? It is a contrived situation.

Faculty of Engineering
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

MAJOR OR INTENDED MAJOR:

Computer Science

Computer Engineering

Electrical Engineering

Mechanical Engineering

Other

COMMENTS

Your comments about the course instructor, the course content, the laboratory, or any other aspect of the course are most welcome. These comments are **provided to the course instructor after the final grades are submitted**. The instructor may elect to provide copies of the comments to the Department Chair and/or the Dean of Engineering, and can also choose to include the comments from a course in his or her teaching dossier. In each case, all comments submitted for that course must be included. Comments are not typed before they are given to the course instructor unless you check the appropriate box below in which case the original form will be destroyed after typing.



I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.



Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

The upside of team learning is 2 heads are better than 1; the downside is the difficulty of agreeing on meeting times. I would highly recommend that "group" classes come with required "labs" that are webreg'd before the course begins - two per week. This way, teams already know in advance that they have ~~the~~ allocated matching time slots.

Greater Visuals (pictures) would be helpful to understanding design

University of Victoria
Course Experience Survey
Survey Summary Results: Summer 2008

Course: **CSC 370**
Dept/Div: **Computer Science**

Section: **A01&A02**
Enrolment: **#N/A**

Instructor: **D. GERMAN**
Forms Scanned: **20**

Statements about the instructor(s):

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					No.	Mean	SD	Median
	1	2	3	4	5				
1	0%	0%	0%	21%	79%	19	4.79	0.42	5.0
2	0%	5%	5%	47%	42%	19	4.26	0.81	4.0
3	0%	0%	5%	37%	58%	19	4.53	0.61	5.0
4	0%	0%	0%	47%	53%	19	4.53	0.51	5.0
5	0%	0%	17%	39%	44%	18	4.28	0.75	4.0
6	0%	0%	5%	47%	47%	19	4.42	0.61	4.0
7	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	18	4.67	0.49	5.0
8	0%	0%	0%	44%	56%	18	4.56	0.51	5.0

Statements about the course:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					No.	Mean	SD	Median
	1	2	3	4	5				
9	0%	6%	6%	50%	39%	18	4.22	0.81	4.0
10	0%	5%	11%	58%	26%	19	4.05	0.78	4.0
11	0%	0%	5%	26%	68%	19	4.63	0.60	5.0
12	0%	0%	0%	53%	47%	19	4.47	0.51	4.0
13	0%	0%	0%	45%	55%	20	4.55	0.51	5.0
14	0%	0%	5%	30%	65%	20	4.60	0.60	5.0
15	0%	0%	0%	45%	55%	20	4.55	0.51	5.0

Statements about self:

16	Reasons for taking course:				
	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable fit
Number:	16	0	0	0	3

17	Number of sessions unable to attend:				
	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	0	6	11	2	0

18	Workload relative to other courses:				
	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	7	12	0	0	1

19	Approximate number of hours of study per week outside of class:					
	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	2	6	7	3	0	1

20	As a result of experience in this course, interest in material		
	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	1	6	13

Additional statements

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					No.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21	0%	0%	0%	15%	85%	20
22	0%	0%	5%	45%	50%	20
23						0
24						0
25	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	1



Course: _____
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: _____

The University of Victoria values your feedback on your course experience. Your responses will be considered in the assessment of instructors and for improving the design of courses. All your answers are anonymous. Please contribute your ratings by responding to the questions below. Thank you!

Shade circles like this: ☐

I Instructor's teaching.

Please provide your rating on the following statements:

	Very Poor 1	Poor 2	Adequate 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

II Course design.

Please provide your rating on the following statements:

	Very Poor 1	Poor 2	Adequate 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
10. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
12. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
14. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts).....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

CSC 370 A01/A02
D. German
SUMMER 2008

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- The instructor knows the material very well.
- Very friendly and approachable; excellent at explaining concepts in a clear way.
- Enthusiastic & good understanding of material.
- He was helpful and always showed up during his office hours.
- He was very passionate about what he teaches.
- Excellent teacher, best uvic class so far.
- Motivated. Knowledgeable. Good job!
- Offered optional tutorials.
- The labs are helpful

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- When I send you an email with a question and cc my group member, please reply to all so that everyone sees your response.
- The in-class exercises were helpful. – I would have liked to do more of them.
- The labs would be helpful in order to understand the material better.
- Maybe switch teams half way through just to change experience a bit.
- Assignments questions were sometimes unclear, but when asked for feedback and clarifications, the professor was very helpful.
- Have a course project that makes students use SQL in a real world related project.
- Dr. German provided extra 'labs' this hands on instruction was very helpful for shifting the work from theory to applied, which is usefull for future work.
- Talk slower so people have time to think.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Material is relevant in the workplace.
- It covers a lot of database management in a broad scope.
- Excellent teacher and relevant material
- Practical. Teaches skills that are essential in the workplace.

- Labs
- I think the volume & content of the assignments were very helpful for keeping on top of the course content.
- Working in groups

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Add lab sessions, the pre-req does not ensure the students are exposed to SQL, which makes it a bit difficult at first.
- While the course did not officially have a lab, I appreciated the optional lab sessions and would endorse making them official labs. I would have preferred working alone to working in a group.
- A lab section would be very helpful.
- This course definitely needs labs/tutorial to grasp the material better. The group work was very helpful but the size of the groups should be smaller.
- LABS!
- Labs.
- Course Project. Labs.
- Lab section would be good. Group work was good, but groups should be smaller.
- Labs should be included, however they should only be an hour long. Team work was useful, but at times, staggered?? – Instructor should create smaller groups & lighter assignments.
- I didn't like the group work. My group was very unreliable, rarely came to lecture and often missed group meetings. I chose to stop lending them notes and teaching them what they'd missed. I really felt backed into a corner as my grades relied on their work or mine tripled.
- More labs and less assignments.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. –1 responses



University
of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: CSC 370
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- he knows this stuff well.
- relates it to other thing we may encounter

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- It is useful
- It relates to many other courses.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- LABS.
→ we would learn a lot better with.
libs!

Thank you for your comments!



University
of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: CSC 330
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A07
(eg. F02)

Instructor: german

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

very knowledgeable about sql and concepts of course

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

topics discussed in class were hard to link to posted slides

What are the main strengths of this course?

learn sql & concepts behind DBS

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

groups were so so, extra tutorials were very effective, wished it was oracle instead, imdb was interesting would have liked to learn some more admin stuff

Thank you for your comments!



University
of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: LSC 370
(eg. COM 205)

Section: K01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Dan German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Working in teams was nice in theory, but instances of true collaborative work were scarce due to time constraints; most of the time different portions were done by different people. Maybe this is not so good.

Thank you for your comments!



Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: CSC 370
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Powerful voice and expressions; energetic

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

Provide handouts with summaries of material in a given section to better provide us with an outline of what material was covered and what ~~is~~ is important to understand.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Its real world applications

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Smaller (more frequent) assignments.

Thank you for your comments!



University
of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: CSC 370
(eg. COM 205)

Section: K01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

He was interested in the material & offered examples, offered extra labs to help demo concepts learned in class.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

The extra labs which the instructor offered to demonstrate concepts learned in class.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Labs.

Thank you for your comments!



University
of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: CSC 370
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A 101
(eg. F02)

Instructor: DM German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Daniel is a really nice and knowledgeable guy. He explained things in a clear manner. I look forward to taking more courses with him.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Very interesting course, would definitely take a higher level version.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

No group assignments! As I've been working with databases for years, I ended up doing > 75% of the work on group assignments as I won't let people who don't know what they are doing hurt my grades.

Thank you for your comments!



Course Experience Survey
Comments

Course: CSC 370
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

A willingness to run optional labs to help us learn SQL – unfortunately there was no structure to them, and I didn't learn much. But the potential's there.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

Group work can, in theory, help students learn. In my experience it just leads to laziness, and to partitioning of work so no one learns everything.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Databases are everywhere these days. I enjoyed learning relational algebra, that'll probably come in very useful.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

structured labs on SQL (and not impromptu ones)

Thank you for your comments!

University of Victoria
Course Experience Survey
Survey Summary Results: Summer 2008

Course: **SENG 401**
Dept/Div: **Engineering**

Section: **A01**
Enrolment: **35**

Instructor: **D. GERMAN**
Forms Scanned: **28**

Statements about the instructor(s):

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					No.	Mean	SD	Median
	1	2	3	4	5				
1	0%	4%	0%	29%	68%	28	4.61	0.69	5.0
2	0%	0%	11%	18%	71%	28	4.61	0.69	5.0
3	0%	0%	11%	46%	43%	28	4.32	0.67	4.0
4	0%	0%	4%	52%	44%	27	4.41	0.57	4.0
5	0%	0%	29%	46%	25%	28	3.96	0.74	4.0
6	0%	4%	25%	39%	32%	28	4.00	0.86	4.0
7	0%	0%	14%	25%	61%	28	4.46	0.74	5.0
8	0%	0%	4%	29%	68%	28	4.64	0.56	5.0

Statements about the course:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					No.	Mean	SD	Median
	1	2	3	4	5				
9	0%	0%	14%	54%	32%	28	4.18	0.67	4.0
10	0%	0%	18%	57%	25%	28	4.07	0.66	4.0
11	0%	4%	25%	43%	29%	28	3.96	0.84	4.0
12	0%	0%	14%	29%	57%	28	4.43	0.74	5.0
13	0%	0%	21%	54%	25%	28	4.04	0.69	4.0
14	0%	4%	14%	32%	50%	28	4.29	0.85	4.5
15	0%	4%	7%	39%	50%	28	4.36	0.78	4.5

Statements about self:

16	Reasons for taking course:				
	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable fit
Number:	17	5	1	0	5

17	Number of sessions unable to attend:				
	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	0	3	19	6	0

18	Workload relative to other courses:				
	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	8	14	3	1	2

19	Approximate number of hours of study per week outside of class:					
	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	1	15	9	3	0	0

20	As a result of experience in this course, interest in material		
	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	0	13	15

Additional statements

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					No.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21	0%	0%	4%	21%	75%	28
22	0%	0%	11%	39%	50%	28
23	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	4
24	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	4
25	0%	6%	25%	38%	31%	16



Course: _____
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: _____

The University of Victoria values your feedback on your course experience. Your responses will be considered in the assessment of instructors and for improving the design of courses. All your answers are anonymous. Please contribute your ratings by responding to the questions below. Thank you!

Shade circles like this: ☐

I Instructor's teaching.

Please provide your rating on the following statements:

	Very Poor 1	Poor 2	Adequate 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4. The instructor was available to answer your questions or provide extra assistance as required	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback to you to improve your learning in this course.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

II Course design.

Please provide your rating on the following statements:

	Very Poor 1	Poor 2	Adequate 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
10. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
12. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
14. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts).....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.....	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**SENG 401 A01
D. German
SUMMER 2008**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Extremely motivated, and had an amazing energy teaching it.
- Very interested and excited about the course material.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- Assignment statements could have been clearer. However, they were great.
- Better more frequently updated website. Foundl assignment outla???

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Amazing work Professor!
- Interesting and applicable

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Such topic should have been covered before 4th year.
- The presentation and the wiki should have all been one project.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. -17 responses



University
of Victoria

Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: _____
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: _____

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

☐ I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐ Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Lectures were engaging & interesting

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

Sometimes assignment requirements were a bit unclear

What are the main strengths of this course?

Interesting issues & approach to current events & career

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Thank you for your comments!

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Fall 2010

Course: CSC 370

Section: A01

Instructor: DANIEL GERMAN

Dept/Faculty: Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering

Enrolment: 34

Rate of Response: 132.4%

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	4%	24%	71%	45	4.67	0.56	5.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	9%	36%	56%	45	4.47	0.66	5.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	0%	0%	7%	27%	67%	45	4.60	0.62	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	2%	36%	61%	44	4.59	0.54	5.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	9%	36%	56%	45	4.47	0.66	5.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	5%	14%	52%	29%	42	4.05	0.79	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	5%	0%	27%	68%	44	4.59	0.73	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	0%	0%	23%	77%	44	4.77	0.42	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	0%	9%	44%	47%	45	4.38	0.65	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	2%	16%	40%	42%	43	4.21	0.80	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	7%	2%	33%	58%	45	4.42	0.84	5.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	2%	2%	30%	66%	44	4.59	0.66	5.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	0%	4%	51%	44%	45	4.40	0.58	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	2%	20%	78%	45	4.76	0.48	5.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	2%	42%	56%	45	4.53	0.55	5.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	6	36	1	0	1

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	37	5	0	0	3

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	1	7	35	2	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	5	17	16	5	0	1

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	3	18	24

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	0%	0%	18%	82%	44
22.	0%	0%	7%	32%	61%	44
23.	0%	13%	25%	0%	63%	8
24.	0%	0%	43%	0%	57%	7
25.	0%	17%	17%	0%	67%	6

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Course: SENG 265

Section: A01

Instructor: DANIEL GERMAN

Dept/Faculty: Engineering, Faculty of Engineering

Enrolment: 29

Rate of Response: 79.3%

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	13%	39%	48%	23	4.35	0.71	4.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	13%	4%	43%	39%	23	4.09	1.00	4.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	4%	4%	4%	39%	48%	23	4.22	1.04	4.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	9%	48%	43%	23	4.35	0.65	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	4%	22%	48%	26%	23	3.96	0.82	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	4%	9%	57%	30%	23	4.13	0.76	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	13%	26%	61%	23	4.48	0.73	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	4%	4%	4%	26%	61%	23	4.35	1.07	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	9%	17%	61%	13%	23	3.78	0.80	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	4%	4%	30%	26%	35%	23	3.83	1.11	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	13%	39%	48%	23	4.35	0.71	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	4%	9%	43%	43%	23	4.26	0.81	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	9%	0%	57%	35%	23	4.17	0.83	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	13%	39%	48%	23	4.35	0.71	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	4%	9%	48%	39%	23	4.22	0.80	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	1	22	0	0	0

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	16	5	0	0	2

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	1	11	8	2	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	2	8	6	6	0	1

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	2	8	13

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	0%	4%	30%	65%	23
22.	9%	4%	13%	26%	48%	23
23.	0%	9%	13%	17%	61%	23
24.	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	4
25.	0%	0%	40%	40%	20%	5

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Dept/Faculty: **Engineering, Faculty of Engineering**

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	1%	2%	18%	45%	35%	326	4.11	0.81	4.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	8%	14%	29%	31%	19%	325	3.38	1.17	3.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	11%	15%	28%	27%	18%	324	3.26	1.24	3.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	2%	4%	20%	37%	36%	315	4.01	0.96	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	4%	8%	22%	35%	31%	323	3.81	1.09	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	7%	10%	28%	33%	22%	323	3.54	1.14	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	1%	6%	16%	36%	41%	322	4.12	0.92	4.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	5%	12%	26%	31%	26%	323	3.61	1.14	4.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	13%	19%	23%	30%	15%	326	3.16	1.26	3.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	11%	18%	31%	23%	17%	325	3.16	1.22	3.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	6%	11%	30%	30%	23%	318	3.51	1.14	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	3%	8%	25%	31%	33%	319	3.84	1.05	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	6%	10%	26%	38%	20%	324	3.57	1.10	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	6%	14%	23%	31%	26%	325	3.58	1.17	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	6%	15%	26%	33%	20%	323	3.45	1.15	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	38	266	5	4	7

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	211	74	7	3	29

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	18	79	158	61	6

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	51	111	90	44	11	13

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	77	154	91

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	1%	5%	22%	32%	41%	318
22.	5%	11%	24%	33%	27%	311
23.	14%	15%	28%	20%	23%	153
24.	15%	14%	30%	26%	16%	74
25.	8%	12%	24%	28%	28%	250

**SENG 265 A01
D GERMAN
SUMMER 2010**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Very passionate about the subject. Engaging and knowledgeable.
- Helped to explain the theory and concepts behind the language very well. Other courses I have taken assume you know concepts and expect you to learn theory on your own.
- I appreciated the focus on trying to make us think about proper methods to approach, design and write a program to make things easier in the long term.
- Use of Blackboard/tablet. Interactive classes. Shows that the instructor cares about students/course.
- Enthusiasm for the subject material. Engaging ideas & personality. Hands-on approach (even for things like memory allocation & pointers in C). Good pace & use of slides/blackboard.
- The instructor was very enthusiastic and has very good in-depth knowledge of industry practices and methods that make an effective programmer and software developer.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- The material covered in class was really brief and abstract. They didn't really help do the assignments or labs.
- Please organize your notes and try to present examples more clearly.
- Explain things in details, instead of assuming people know the concepts. The level of each student is different.
- He sometimes would write something on the board and immediately erase it. Should slow down. Should spend more time explaining the trickier elements of our assignments.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Covers different aspects of software engineering, both abstract & concrete.
- Beginning foundations in some major aspects of the industry. eg. Programming & scripting languages, CVN, make, etc.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Put together a better textbook with useful examples of CODE.
- First lab was too large with very little experience.
- Too much stuff was covered in a short period of time, reduce the load.
- I got totally lost on GDB & couldn't figure out how to use it well. Better intro?
- Would like to have become more proficient and aware of more modules and how to use them in the standard libraries.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. –5 responses



Course: SENT 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- Teach us how to code in class
- often seem to ramble about stuff not relevant to course
- Very little was taught in class that helped with the assignments or labs

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- More teach of coding in lectures.
- Labs often took more than the 1 1/2 hours because students didn't have the required level of coding to complete them

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SENG 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: AO1
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Interactive Teaching
- No reliance on slides

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Requires a variety of techniques/tools

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Less team emphasis

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SENG 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☒

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

-> Very good understanding of the material

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

-> Provide clearer course website

What are the main strengths of this course?

-> Wide variety of topics, tools, languages etc.
-> abstraction to general concepts

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SENG 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel M. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

The Assignments, while difficult, had use outside of just a learning exercise. For example recovering JPEGs

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

More info in assignment description: like helpful libraries/functions would have been nice

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SENG 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

• Daniel was motivating, had fun and interactive lectures.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

• handing assignments back sooner/providing more feedback on them.

What are the main strengths of this course?

• Covers a lot of material

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SEng 265
(eg. COM205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☒

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Generally knowledgeable, and took the time to explain carefully and fully.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: Seng 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: AD 1
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel M. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☒

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Allowed me ~~Helped~~ to improve and understand easier with C environment.

~~He explained them~~

He explained them easy enough for us to understand.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SEng 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: P. Germin

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable



I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.



Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

That he had real world experience.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SEng 265
(eg. COM205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Dr. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☒

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Thorough knowledge of subject matter.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

n/a

What are the main strengths of this course?

I found it a good introduction to the field of Software Engineering, and it helped spark my interest a fair bit.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

n/a

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: Seng 265
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable



I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.



Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Explains concepts clearly

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

learning to work ~~in~~ in teams

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

more on how to
effectively use SVN

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Course: SENG 401

Section: A01

Instructor: DANIEL GERMAN

Dept/Faculty: Engineering, Faculty of Engineering

Enrolment: 22

Rate of Response: 81.8%

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	6%	28%	67%	18	4.61	0.61	5.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	11%	33%	56%	18	4.44	0.70	5.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	0%	6%	0%	35%	59%	17	4.47	0.80	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	6%	6%	56%	33%	18	4.17	0.79	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	11%	50%	39%	18	4.28	0.67	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	0%	28%	33%	39%	18	4.11	0.83	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	11%	17%	72%	18	4.61	0.70	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	0%	6%	28%	67%	18	4.61	0.61	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	0%	17%	50%	33%	18	4.17	0.71	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	0%	33%	39%	28%	18	3.94	0.80	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	39%	33%	28%	18	3.89	0.83	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	0%	6%	50%	44%	18	4.39	0.61	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	0%	17%	56%	28%	18	4.11	0.68	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	6%	44%	50%	18	4.44	0.62	4.5
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	6%	50%	44%	18	4.39	0.61	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	4	11	2	0	0

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	14	2	0	0	2

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	1	1	9	7	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	4	8	6	0	0	0

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	1	4	13

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	0%	6%	22%	72%	18
22.	0%	0%	6%	50%	44%	18
23.	33%	0%	0%	67%	0%	3
24.	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	2
25.	13%	25%	25%	25%	13%	8

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Summer 2010

Dept/Faculty: **Engineering, Faculty of Engineering**

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	1%	2%	18%	45%	35%	326	4.11	0.81	4.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	8%	14%	29%	31%	19%	325	3.38	1.17	3.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	11%	15%	28%	27%	18%	324	3.26	1.24	3.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	2%	4%	20%	37%	36%	315	4.01	0.96	4.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	4%	8%	22%	35%	31%	323	3.81	1.09	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	7%	10%	28%	33%	22%	323	3.54	1.14	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	1%	6%	16%	36%	41%	322	4.12	0.92	4.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	5%	12%	26%	31%	26%	323	3.61	1.14	4.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	13%	19%	23%	30%	15%	326	3.16	1.26	3.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	11%	18%	31%	23%	17%	325	3.16	1.22	3.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	6%	11%	30%	30%	23%	318	3.51	1.14	4.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	3%	8%	25%	31%	33%	319	3.84	1.05	4.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	6%	10%	26%	38%	20%	324	3.57	1.10	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	6%	14%	23%	31%	26%	325	3.58	1.17	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	6%	15%	26%	33%	20%	323	3.45	1.15	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	38	266	5	4	7

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	211	74	7	3	29

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	18	79	158	61	6

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	51	111	90	44	11	13

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	77	154	91

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	1%	5%	22%	32%	41%	318
22.	5%	11%	24%	33%	27%	311
23.	14%	15%	28%	20%	23%	153
24.	15%	14%	30%	26%	16%	74
25.	8%	12%	24%	28%	28%	250

**SENG 401 A01
D GERMAN
SUMMER 2010**

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Actually cared about learning experience. Wanted to engage us in material.
- He used variety of examples. Those examples are up to date, so I lean a lot of useful materials.
- Used the blackboard instead of power point which was good.
- Well organized lectures.
- He could elaborate on ideas and opinions of students.
- Allow students to participate on the topic by asking questions and comments.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- Provide sources/slides online. Sample midterm.
- More structure for movies.
- Use transparencies to write notes instead of the board.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Provide important & relevant information for my future career. Emphasized important topics we must consider during out jobs & education.
- Discussion during the class.
- Interesting topic/class
- I enjoyed the topics and ideas brought up in the course.
- Learn ethics.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- The Wikipedia project seemed kind of (??) and I felt it didn't really apply to the class => or I couldn't see the connection. => maybe 2 presentations or marks for finding news articles about recent events & writing one page essays about.

- I thought watching home movies are very interesting assignment but he did not use its contents a lot during the class. Maybe more discussion about movies?
- Provide feedback on the presentations. The Wiki assignment didn't seem relevant.
- Earlier times.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. – 8 responses



Course: Seng 401
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- interested in the topic
- interesting to listen to.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- More specific deadlines and marking guidelines

What are the main strengths of this course?

- useful applicable and central to engineering

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SEng 401
(eg. COM 205)

Section: S01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Very approachable, impressionable

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

Perhaps some debatable issues not just has 2 ends but needs to enforce some sort of direction or approach.

What are the main strengths of this course?

It is more important and useful than I thought, as I encounter more cases in the workplaces that I have worked in the past I think Ethics will benefit us in more ways, not more or less than calculus!

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Presentations give me a heart attack!

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: SENG401
(eg. COM 205)

Section: 01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: DM German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

As I said back when I took 370, DMG is easily the best instructor in the department.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

For midterms/finals get someone to read over questions before to avoid double negatives or unclear wording

What are the main strengths of this course?

1

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Spring 2010

Course: CSC 499

Section: A01

Instructor: DANIEL GERMAN

Dept/Faculty: Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering

Enrolment: #N/A

Rate of Response: #N/A

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	6	4.50	0.55	4.5
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%	5	4.60	0.89	5.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	5	4.40	0.89	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	5	4.40	0.89	5.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%	5	4.60	0.89	5.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	5	4.40	0.89	5.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	5	4.40	0.89	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	5	4.80	0.45	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	17%	17%	17%	50%	6	4.00	1.26	4.5
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	5	4.40	0.89	5.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	5	4.40	0.89	5.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	5	4.80	0.45	5.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%	5	4.60	0.89	5.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%	5	4.60	0.89	5.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	4.75	0.50	5.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	1	5	0	0	0

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	4	0	0	1	0

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	1	1	2	0	1

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	1	0	1	2	0	1

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	0	3	2

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	3
22.	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	3
23.						0
24.						0
25.	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	3

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Spring 2010

Dept/Faculty: **Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering**

Year-Level: **400**

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	1%	2%	10%	39%	48%	145	4.32	0.79	4.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	3%	1%	18%	39%	39%	144	4.10	0.93	4.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	3%	6%	13%	26%	52%	144	4.19	1.05	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	1%	6%	11%	22%	60%	141	4.34	0.98	5.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	1%	4%	11%	36%	48%	141	4.26	0.89	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	2%	3%	15%	30%	50%	141	4.23	0.95	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	1%	2%	6%	26%	65%	144	4.50	0.82	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	3%	3%	9%	33%	52%	144	4.28	0.96	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	1%	8%	17%	35%	39%	145	4.03	0.98	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	1%	8%	19%	32%	39%	142	4.01	1.01	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	3%	5%	8%	33%	51%	144	4.24	0.99	5.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	2%	4%	18%	27%	50%	142	4.19	0.99	4.5
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	1%	2%	15%	34%	48%	142	4.25	0.88	4.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	3%	7%	14%	26%	50%	144	4.14	1.07	4.5
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	2%	6%	12%	33%	46%	141	4.15	1.01	4.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	92	21	15	1	7

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	100	21	3	3	12

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	5	27	87	17	4

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	14	30	38	37	7	9

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	13	44	82

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	1%	9%	27%	62%	137
22.	1%	3%	15%	38%	43%	136
23.	0%	5%	24%	15%	56%	41
24.	0%	0%	11%	22%	67%	27
25.	1%	2%	18%	30%	48%	89

CSC 499 A01
D. German
Spring 2010

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Enthusiastic, energetic, engaging lectures.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

- Assigned readings would be good to “quick-start” graduate readings (for students expecting to do Graduate work).
- Critiques helped narrow & improve projects connections to profs helped finding projects.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Strong classmates (it's Honours Seminar, afterall). Seminar format welcome change! (My only CSC that was a seminar).
- I did not find the add on to this class useful, my work is done with my sup. And I don't find I learn much that is relative to my projects. The first four lectures did not do much for me.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- Assigned readings.
- The class should go back to what it was before, I don't find the add on classes useful.
- Snacks would awesome.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. -0 responses



Course: CSC 499
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Dr. German clearly cares a lot, which is impressive since he is not specifically working with any of us.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

I'm not sure this applies to this class.

What are the main strengths of this course?

It is important to give us a chance to discuss independent projects with others and to give presentations to more than just one person.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

More presentations — a couple of short ones early before a longer "proposal" would be beneficial.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: CSC 499
(eg. COM 205)

Section: 01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: _____

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

The main strengths is that this course is able to explore different relevant topics.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

This course doesn't really do much.

It could have more interesting topics and more guest lectures

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course: CSC 499
(eg. COM 205)

Section: A01
(eg. F02)

Instructor: D. German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

— Presentation lectures were very useful to me

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

-----What are the main strengths of this course?-----

— Allows you ~~experience~~ information with what grad program will be like

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Spring 2010

Course: CSC 589D

Section: A01

Instructor: DANIEL GERMAN

Dept/Faculty: Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering

Enrolment: 14

Rate of Response: 100.0%

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	14	4.64	0.50	5.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	0%	64%	36%	14	4.36	0.50	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	14	4.50	0.52	4.5
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	0%	21%	79%	14	4.79	0.43	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	14	4.64	0.50	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	0%	7%	57%	36%	14	4.29	0.61	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	0%	14%	43%	43%	14	4.29	0.73	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	14	4.64	0.50	5.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	0%	7%	29%	64%	14	4.57	0.65	5.0
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	7%	50%	43%	14	4.36	0.63	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	0%	43%	57%	14	4.57	0.51	5.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	5	2	5	0	1

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	11	0	0	0	3

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	2	4	8	0	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	0	1	3	5	5	0

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	0	2	12

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	0%	0%	29%	71%	14
22.	0%	0%	0%	21%	79%	14
23.	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	3
24.	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	3
25.	0%	0%	0%	31%	69%	13

University of Victoria

Course Experience Survey

Survey Summary Results: Spring 2010

Dept/Faculty: **Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering**

Year-Level: **500**

I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions.	0%	0%	0%	46%	54%	35	4.54	0.51	5.0
2. The instructor's explanations of concepts were clear.	0%	0%	8%	50%	42%	36	4.33	0.63	4.0
3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course.	3%	0%	8%	36%	53%	36	4.36	0.87	5.0
4. Instructor was available to answer questions or provide assistance.	0%	0%	0%	42%	58%	36	4.58	0.50	5.0
5. Instr. ensured that assign./tests were returned within reasonable time.	0%	0%	9%	49%	43%	35	4.34	0.64	4.0
6. Instr. was helpful in providing feedback to improve learning in course.	0%	3%	6%	44%	47%	36	4.36	0.72	4.0
7. The instructor demonstrated respect for students and their ideas.	0%	0%	3%	19%	78%	36	4.75	0.50	5.0
8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this course.	0%	3%	3%	33%	61%	36	4.53	0.70	5.0

II Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.	Mean	SD	Median
	1=Very Poor	2=Poor	3=Adequate	4=Good	5=Excellent				
9. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear.	0%	0%	14%	42%	44%	36	4.31	0.71	4.0
10. The materials provided for learning the course content were clear.	0%	3%	14%	44%	39%	36	4.19	0.79	4.0
11. The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content.	0%	0%	8%	33%	58%	36	4.50	0.65	5.0
12. Course provided opportunities to engage with the course material.	0%	0%	3%	33%	64%	36	4.61	0.55	5.0
13. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning were fair.	0%	6%	8%	36%	50%	36	4.31	0.86	4.5
14. The course provided relevant skills and information.	0%	0%	8%	47%	44%	36	4.36	0.64	4.0
15. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience.	0%	0%	8%	36%	56%	36	4.47	0.65	5.0

III Statements About The Students:

16. My primary reason for taking the course:	Interest	Program Requirement	Reputation of Instructor	Reputation of Course	Timetable Fit
Number:	21	4	5	2	2

17. The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend:	< 3	3 - 10	11 - 20	>20	n/a
Number:	21	10	1	0	4

18. Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was:	Extremely Heavy	Somewhat Heavy	Average	Somewhat Light	Extremely Light
Number:	6	14	15	0	0

19. The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:	< 1	1 <= 2	2 <= 5	5 <= 8	8 <= 10	> 10
Number:	0	3	9	14	6	4

20. As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:	Decreased	Stayed the same	Increased
Number:	1	8	27

IV Additional Statements:

Statement	% Response to Scale Ratings					Total # of Resp.
	1	2	3	4	5	
21.	0%	3%	3%	33%	61%	36
22.	0%	0%	11%	31%	58%	36
23.	0%	0%	13%	13%	75%	16
24.	0%	0%	29%	14%	57%	7
25.	0%	0%	6%	26%	69%	35

CSC 589D A01
D. German
Spring 2010

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

- Regular assignment. Instructor was always available for discussion and help regarding the subject.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- Main strength: This course actually made me a “Graduate Student.” Learnt how to approach to problems and solve them.
- This course teaches students how to do real research in computer science.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

- I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments. –8 responses



Course: CSC589D
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German.

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Real experience in the research field.

Hands on experience with data mining tools, and open-source projects.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

I don't know, can't think of any.

What are the main strengths of this course?

- It's an active research field.*
- The topics are very interesting.*

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

I would like to see a more descriptive course outline, that describes a bit more the kind of activities in the course, so that people who are interested in the topic, will attend.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: CSC 5890
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Good at motivating learning
very helpful feedback

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

It was good, no improvements.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Very applicable, it's an area I don't
have much previous experience in.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

Was good, no improvements

Thank you for your comments!

Continue on the back of the page with further comments



Course: CSC 589D
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

Expert knowledge in related areas

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Papers review & presentation assignments

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.

More guest speakers toward the 2nd half of the course.

Continue on the back of the page with further comments

Thank you for your comments!



Course Experience Survey Comments

Course: CSC 583D
(eg. COM 205)

Section: _____
(eg. F02)

Instructor: Daniel German

Comments will be made available to the instructors, after grades are finalized, to assist the instructor in improving instruction and the course. Your comments are intended to be anonymous – no personal identification is necessary.

Wherever possible, please use specific examples or suggestions.

Please enter 'X' in boxes, if applicable

☐

I was satisfied with this course and have no detailed comments.

☐

Please type my comments before they are given to the course instructor.

What strengths did this instructor demonstrate that helped you to learn in this course?

comprehensive knowledge of the field.
he provided the materials on the most recent research
top'cs.

Please provide specific suggestions as to how the instructor could have helped you learn more effectively.

What are the main strengths of this course?

Please provide specific suggestions as to how this course could be improved.