



Optimization algorithms

100%

1.	Which notation would you use to denote the 3rd layer's activations when the input is the 7th example from the 8th minibatch?	1/1 point
	a ⁽³⁾ (8)(7)	
	$\bigcirc a^{[8]\{3\}(7)}$	
	$\bigcirc a^{[8]\{7\}(8)}$	
	$\bigcirc a^{[8]\{7\}(3)}$	

2. Which of these statements about mini-batch gradient descent do you agree with?

1/1 point

- O You should implement mini-batch gradient descent without an explicit for-loop over different mini-batches, so that the algorithm processes all mini-batches at the same time (vectorization).
- O Training one epoch (one pass through the training set) using mini-batch gradient descent is faster than training one epoch using batch gradient descent.
- One iteration of mini-batch gradient descent (computing on a single mini-batch) is faster than one iteration of batch gradient descent.

✓ Correct

1/1 point


- If the mini-batch size is m, you end up with batch gradient descent, which has to process the whole training set before making progress.

- ☐ If the mini-batch size is m, you end up with stochastic gradient descent, which is usually slower than mini-batch gradient descent.
- If the mini-batch size is 1, you lose the benefits of vectorization across examples in the mini-batch

✓ Correct

4. Suppose your learning algorithm's cost J, plotted as a function of the number of iterations, looks like this:

1/1 point



Which of the following do you agree with?

- Whether you're using batch gradient descent or mini-batch gradient descent, something is wrong.
- O If you're using mini-batch gradient descent, something is wrong. But if you're using batch gradient descent, this looks acceptable.
- If you're using mini-batch gradient descent, this looks acceptable. But if you're using batch gradient descent, something is wrong.
- Whether you're using batch gradient descent or mini-batch gradient descent, this looks acceptable.

✓ Correct

Suppose the temperature in Casablanca over the first three days of January are the same:


1/1 point

Jan 1st: $\theta_1=10^{o}C$

Jan 2nd: $\theta_2 10^o C$ (We used Fahrenheit in lecture, so will use Celsius here in honor of the metric world.)

Say you use an exponentially weighted average with $\beta=0.5$ to track the temperature: $v_0=0$, $v_t=\beta v_{t-1}+(1-\beta)\theta_t$. If v_0 is the value computed after day 2 without bias correction, and $v_0^{corrected}$ is the value you compute with bias correction. What are these values? (You might be able to do this without a calculator, but you don't actually need one. Remember what is bias correction Olong.)

- $\bigcirc \ v_2=10, v_2^{corrected}=10$
- $\bigcirc \ v_2=7.5, v_2^{corrected}=7.5$
- $\bigcirc \ v_2=10, v_2^{corrected}=7.5$



1	10. Which of the following statements about Adam is False?	1/1 point
	Adam should be used with batch gradient computations, not with mini-batches.	
	$\bigcirc \ \ \text{We usually use "default" values for the hyperparameters } \beta_1,\beta_2 \text{ and } \varepsilon \text{ in Adam} \ (\beta_1=0.9,\beta_2=0.999,\varepsilon=10^{-8})$	
	Adam combines the advantages of RMSProp and momentum	
	\bigcirc The learning rate hyperparameter $lpha$ in Adam usually needs to be tuned.	
	✓ Correct	