CS673S16 Software Engineering
Team 3 - The Wishlist
Tests Report

W

Team Member	Role(s)	Signature	Date
Duncan Morrissey	Project Leader, Configuration Leader	*Duncan Morrissey*	3/1/18
Edward Ryan	Design Leader, QA Leader	*Edward Ryan*	*3/1/2018*
Yiannis Karavas	Requirements Leader, Implementation Leader	*Yiannis Karavas*	3/1/2018
Zach Lister	Backup Project Leader, Management Plan Leader	*Zach Lister*	3/1/18
Ben Mitchell	Security Leader	*Benjamin Mitchell*	3/1/18

Revision history

Version	Author	Date	Change
1.0	**Duncan, Edward, Yiannis, Zach, Ben**	**3/1/18**	**As issued**

1. Introduction

This document describes the testing activities performed during development of The Wishlist application and the results obtained from them. More detailed information about the results of specific tests may be found in the referenced documents.

2. Test Summary

2.1 Definition and Scope of Test Types

i. **Unit Testing**

Unit tests should be implemented by a developer either (a) in conjunction with the implementation of a feature or (b) in conjunction with implementing corrective action for a defect. Unit tests can be run at any time by any team member, but their pass/failure state will only be formally reported at the end of each iteration by the QA leader.

ii. **Integration Testing**

Integration testing occurs when features are implemented to ensure that features implemented previously or concurrently do not introduce defects. Failures found during integration testing are reported as defects and may be reported by any team member.

iii. **System Testing**

System testing occurs at the end of each iteration, ensuring the product functions in the target production environment. Failures during system testing are reported as defects and may be reported by any team member.

iv. **Functional Testing**

Functional testing takes two forms: ad-hoc and formal functional testing. Ad-hoc functional testing can be performed at any time by any team member, and failures found are reported as defects.

Formal functional testing is the execution of test cases confirming the product functions as specified. Formal functional testing is performed at the end of each

iteration. Failures during formal functional testing are reported as defects and as test cases being marked as failed. Formal functional testing is lead by the QA leader, but may be performed by any team member.

v. **Performance Testing**

Performance testing ensures the product functions within the specified performance requirements. Performance tests can be run informally at any time by any team member, but their pass/failure state will only be formally reported at the end of each iteration by the QA leader.

2.2 Test Coverage by Iteration

The following sections describe what testing was performed in which areas for each iteration. Please note that not testing was performed for Iteration 0, so it is intentionally omitted.

i. **Iteration 1**

Unit testing focused on the basic Create-Retrieve-Update-Destroy (CRUD) operations for the core application models, as well as the implementations for user creation/authentication, list creation/deletion and list item creation/deletion. Informal integration testing was performed as these features were added, with more formal system testing performed once all of the features had completed work for the iteration. No acceptance tests were performed as a part of this iterations testing efforts.

ii. **Iteration 2**

(Iteration has not completed)

iii. **Iteration 3**

(Iteration has not completed)

3. Tests Reports

3.1 Unit Testing Reports

Unit tests are separated out into major areas based on the portion of the architecture under test (be it model, controller, helper, etc.).

Iteration	Area	Total Tests	New Tests Created	Test Pass Rate
Iteration 0	No unit tests were included as a part of Iteration 0			
Iteration 1	Controllers	7	7	7/7 (100%)
	Helpers	1	1	1/1 (100%)
	Models	24	24	24/24 (100%)

Iteration 2	(Iteration has not completed)
Iteration 3	(Iteration has not completed)

3.2 System Testing Reports

Please refer to the Test Case Report for the appropriate iteration for details regarding the test cases executed and their results.

Iteration	Test Case Report Link
0	No test cases were executed this iteration
1	https://drive.google.com/open?id=106c8tmhS8jay8uByrmHbv3_4egqHV3ML
2	(Iteration has not completed)
3	(Iteration has not completed)

3.3 Acceptance Testing Reports

Please refer to the Test Case Report for the appropriate iteration for details regarding the test cases executed and their results.

Iteration	Test Case Report Link
0	No acceptance tests were executed this iteration
1	No acceptance tests were executed this iteration
2	(Iteration has not completed)
3	(Iteration has not completed)

4. Testing Metrics

The following tables provide a brief description of the various test metrics and how to interpret changes in their values. They also include the results of those metrics at the end of each iteration. Please note that a value of 'n/a' means that there was not enough information available at the end of the iteration to report that metric. Iteration 0 is intentionally omitted as there was not enough information to report any testing metric.

Product - Complexity

Metric	Description	Iteration 1	Iteration 2	Iteration 3
Lines of Code (LOC)	The total lines of code in all application files. An increase in value correlates to an increase in complexity.	983		
Number of Files	The total number of files in the application. An increase in value correlates to an increase in complexity.	660		
Number of Classes	The total number of classes defined in all application files. An increase in value correlates to an increase in complexity.	23		
Number of Methods	The total number of methods/functions defined in all application files. An increase in value correlates to an increase in complexity.	68		

Product - Stability				
Metric	Description	Iteration 1	Iteration 2	Iteration 3
Defects found (iteration)	The number of defects found in the iteration. An increase in value correlates to a decrease in stability.	5		
Defects found (total)	The number of defects found across all iterations. An increase in value correlates to a decrease in stability.	5		
Defects fixed (iteration)	The number of defects fixed in the iteration. An increase in value correlates to an increase in stability.	0		
Defect backlog	The number of defects that are waiting to be fixed in the current release. An increase in value correlates to a decrease in stability.	5		
Residual defects	The number of defects that have been elected to either not be fixed or to be fixed in a	0		

	future release. An increase in value correlates to an decrease in stability.			
Defect fix rate	The ratio of defects fixed to defects found in the iteration. An increase in value correlates to an increase in stability.	0		
Distribution of defect severities*	The percentage of defects associated with each severity category. A distribution favoring lower severities correlates to an increase in stability.	4 - 0/5 (0%) 3 -4/5 (80%) 2 - 1/5 (20%) 1 -0/5 (0%)		
Unit test pass rate	The percentage of unit tests that pass on the build at the end of the iteration. An increase in value correlates to an increase in stability.	100%		

** Please refer to the Glossary Section 6.1 for definitions of severities.

Product - Cost				
Metric	**Description**	**Iteration 1**	**Iteration 2**	**Iteration 3**
Total hours spent on project	The total hours spent on any aspect of the project. An increase in value correlates to an increase in cost.	233		
Distribution of hours by product activity	The percentage of hours spent on each project activity. This metric shows what activities are incurring cost, rather than affecting the cost by its value alone.	0 - 80 (34.33%) 1 - 9.5 (4.08%) 2 - 11 (4.72%) 3 - 47 (20.17%) 4 - 13 (5.58%) 5 - 36 (15.45%) 6 - 9 (3.86%)		

** Please refer to the Glossary Section 6.2 for definitions of activities.

Process - Testing				
Metric	**Description**	**Iteration 1**	**Iteration 2**	**Iteration 3**
Unit test code coverage	The percentage of the application code tested by at least one unit test. A higher	n/a (simplecov not yet set up)		

	value is better.			
Ratio of Lines of Code to Lines of Test Code	The ratio lines of "production" code to lines of code in testing. This value should be somewhere in the vicinity of 1:1.0-2.0	1:0.4		

Process - Defect Tracking				
Metric	**Description**	**Iteration 1**	**Iteration 2**	**Iteration 3**
Defects awaiting disposition	The total number of defects awaiting disposition at the end of the iteration. At the end of each iteration, this value should be zero.	0		
Defects missing severity/pro bability	The total number of defects missing either the severity or probability categorization at the end of the iteration. At the end of each iteration, this value should be zero.	0		

5. References

A general overview of the Quality Assurance plan for this project may be found in the Software Project Proposal & Planning document.

6. Glossary

6.1 Definitions of Defect Severities

All defects must be assigned a severity as a part of the justification for how a defect is dispositioned. A defect may be assigned one of the following severities based on the associated criteria:

Severity	Problem Type
4 - Critical	Problem will cause the product to become unresponsive and unable to recover. Unintended data loss is likely or guaranteed.
3 - Serious	Problem severely restricts use of the product for frequently or for a prolonged duration. Work around is nonexistent or difficult. OR Problem could result in the product being unresponsive. OR

	Problem could result in unintended data loss.
2 - Medium	There is a simple work around for the problem and product use is acceptable. AND Problem does not result in the product being unresponsive.
1 - Low	Problem is a minor inconvenience to user, and product use is acceptable. AND Problem does not result in the product being unresponsive.

6.2 Definitions of Task Breakdown Activities

Time spent working on the application is divided into 7 categories (numbered 0 to 6):

ID	Description
0	Learning
1	Requirement Analysis
2	Design
3	Implementation
4	Test
5	Communication/Management
6	Unclassified