

Using Solidity's SMTChecker

Leonardo Alt

Ethereum Foundation

- leonardoalt
- ☑ leo@ethereum.org
- **♥** leonardoalt

$$\phi := orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) \geq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

$$\phi := orall \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}) = \mathsf{x} * \mathsf{42}) \wedge (\mathsf{a} \geq \mathsf{b}) \wedge (\mathsf{b} \geq \mathsf{c}) \wedge (\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{a}) \geq \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{c}))$$

Can we find integer values for $\{{f a},{f b},{f c}\}$ such that $\phi({f a},{f b},{f c})\models op ?$

$$\phi := orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) \geq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

Can we find integer values for $\{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c}\}$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c})\models \top ?$

$$\phi := orall \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}) = \mathsf{x} * \mathsf{42}) \wedge (\mathsf{a} \geq \mathsf{b}) \wedge (\mathsf{b} \geq \mathsf{c}) \wedge (\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{a}) \geq \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{c}))$$

Can we find integer values for $\{a,b,c\}$ such that $\phi(a,b,c) \models \top$?

$$\phi := orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) \geq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

Can we find integer values for $\{a,b,c\}$ such that $\phi(a,b,c) \models \top$?

$$\phi := \forall \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}) = \mathsf{x} * \mathsf{42}) \land (\mathsf{a} \geq \mathsf{b}) \land (\mathsf{b} \geq \mathsf{c}) \land (\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{a}) \geq \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{c}))$$

Satisfiable: $\{a := 2, b := 1, c := 0\}$

$$\phi := orall \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}) = \mathsf{x} * \mathsf{42}) \land (\mathsf{a} \geq \mathsf{b}) \land (\mathsf{b} \geq \mathsf{c}) \land (\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{a}) \geq \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{c}))$$

Satisfiable: ${a := 2, b := 1, c := 0} {a := 200, b := 100, c := 0}$

$$\phi := \forall \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \land (\mathbf{a} \ge \mathbf{b}) \land (\mathbf{b} \ge \mathbf{c}) \land (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) \ge \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

Satisfiable:
$$\{a:=2,b:=1,c:=0\}$$
 $\{a:=200,b:=100,c:=0\}$
$$\{a:=1,b:=1,c:=1\}$$

$$\phi := orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) < \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

$$\phi := orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) < \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

Unsatisfiable: Ø

$$\phi := orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) < \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

Unsatisfiable: Ø

$$\phi := orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) < \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}))$$

Unsatisfiable: Ø

```
pragma experimental SMTChecker;
contract C
 uint c:
  function f(uint x) public pure
   returns (uint) {
     return x * 42;
  function g(uint a, uint b) public {
   require(a >= b):
   require(b >= c):
    assert(f(a) >= f(c));
```

```
pragma experimental SMTChecker;
contract C
 uint c:
  function f(uint x) public pure
  function g(uint a, uint b) public {
   require(a >= b):
   require(b >= c):
    assert(f(a) >= f(c));
```

```
pragma experimental SMTChecker;
contract C
 uint c:
  function f(uint x) public pure
  function g(uint a, uint b) public {
   require(a >= b):
    assert(f(a) >= f(c));
```

```
pragma experimental SMTChecker;
contract C
 uint c:
  function f(uint x) public pure
  function g(uint a, uint b) public {
   require(a >= b):
```

```
pragma experimental SMTChecker;
contract C
  uint c:
  function f(uint x) public pure
                                                      \forall x(f(x) = x * 42) \land
                                                      (a > b) \land (b > c) \land
  function g(uint a, uint b) public {
                                                             (f(a) < f(c))
    assert(f(a) >= f(c));
```

Unsatisfiable: the assertion is safe!

```
pragma experimental SMTChecker;
contract C
 uint c:
  function f(uint x) public pure
  function g(uint a, uint b) public {
   require(a >= b):
```

$$egin{aligned} orall \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{42}) \wedge \ & (\mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{b}) \wedge (\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{c}) \wedge \ & (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})
eq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c})) \end{aligned}$$

```
pragma experimental SMTChecker:
contract C
                                                     \forall x(f(x) = x * 42) \land
 uint c:
                                                     (a \ge b) \land (b \ge c) \land
  function f(uint x) public pure
                                                            (f(a) \neq f(c))
      return x * 42:
  function q(uint a, uint b) public {
                                           Warning: Assertion violation happens here
                                                    assert(f(a) == f(c));
    assert(f(a) == f(c));
                                             for: a = 1, b = 1, c = 0
```

Satisfiable: a counterexample is given.

SMTChecker

- ♦ SMT-based smart contract formal verification framework
- ♦ Built-in the compiler
- ♦ Encodes program logic into SMT statements
- Checks assertions, overflow/underfow, trivial conditions/unreachable code
- ♦ Sound but not complete
- ♦ Fast and light
- ♦ Gives useful counterexamples

Smart Contract Verification Frameworks

- ♦ EVM Formal Semantics: Eth-Isabelle, KEVM
- ♦ KLab: debugger for K proofs (KLab workshop tomorrow!)
- ♦ EVM bytecode Symbolic Execution: Oyente, Mythril, MAIAN
- ♦ Translation of Solidity to verifiable languages: Why3, F*, ZEUS

How to enable it

pragma experimental SMTChecker;

Formal Specification

- ♦ require assumptions
- ♦ assert verification targets

Formal Specification - Require

From Solidity docs:

The require function should be used to ensure valid condition on inputs and contract state variables, or to validate return values from calls to external contracts.

Formal Specification - Require

```
contract C
    uint a;
    function g() ...
    function h() ...
    function f(uint x) public {
        // Correctly filters values for a and x
        require(a == 0):
        require(x < 100):
        a += x:
        // Should be a target, not an assumption
```

Formal Specification - Assert

From Solidity docs:

The assert function should only be used to test for internal errors, and to check invariants. Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement; if this happens there is a bug in your contract which you should fix.

Formal Specification - Assert

```
contract C
    uint a:
    function q() ...
    function h() ...
    function f(uint x) public {
        // The value of a is not necessarily 0,
        // unless the developer knows it's an invariant
        assert(a == 0):
        // Anyone can call this function with x \ge 100
        // and break the assertion
        assert(x < 100);
        a += x:
        // Correctly placed as a verification target
        assert(a < 100);
```

What about false positives

- ♦ Complex types and functions
- External function calls
- ♦ Contract state invariants

Helping the SMTChecker: require flood

- + constraints
- false positives

Thank you!

Questions?