CS578 Statistical Machine Learning Lecture 10

Jean Honorio Purdue University

Today's topics

- Model selection
 - decision stump
 - VC dimension
 - generalization
 - structural risk minimization

Classification problems

- There are two parts to any classification task
 - 1) Estimation: how to select the best classifier out of a particular set (for instance, linear classifiers)
- 2) Model selection: how to select the best set of classifiers (for instance, decision stumps, linear classifiers, classifiers with the radial basis kernel)
- Both of these selections have to be made based on training data
- In order to grasp the concepts in this lecture better, we will introduce a very simple classifier: decision stump

• Consider a dataset of 6 samples, each with a single continuous attribute/feature $(x = x_1)$ and class label (y)

x _I	у
0	+1
4	- I
-2	+1
I	+1
-3	-1
2	-1

• We would like to find a threshold β , and then classify all samples with attribute value x_1 above β as +1, and attribute value x_1 below β as -1 (or viceversa)

Lets sort with respect to x

Χ _I	у
0	+1
4	-
-2	+1
I	+1
-3	-1
2	-1



x _I	у
-3	-1
-2	+1
0	+1
I	+1
2	-1
4	-1

• Lets use the classifier:

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 - \beta) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 > \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \le \beta \end{cases}$$

• How to find the threshold β ? Try all midpoints of x_1

• Lets use the classifier:

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 - \beta) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 > \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \le \beta \end{cases}$$

• Count the number of mistakes for all thresholds \(\beta \)

x _I	у	f(x)				
		β=-2.5	β=-1	β=0.5	β=1.5	β=3
-3	-1	-1	-	-1	-1	-1
-2	+1	+	-	-	- [- [
0	+1	+	+1	-1	- I	-1
I	+	+	+	+	- I	-1
2	-1	+	+	+	+	-1
4	-1	+	+	+	+	+
# mis	stakes	2	3	4	5	4

• Lets use the classifier:

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(\beta - x_1) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 < \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \ge \beta \end{cases}$$

• Count the number of mistakes for all thresholds β

x _I	у	f(x)				
		β=-2.5	β=-1	β=0.5	β=1.5	β=3
-3	-1	+1	+1	+1	+	+1
-2	+1	- I	+1	+1	+	+1
0	+	-1	-1	+	+	+1
1	+1	- I	-1	- I	+	+
2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-	+
4	-1	- l	-1	-1	-	-
# mis	stakes	4	3	2		2

• Thus our best decision stump classifier:

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(1.5 - x_1) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 < 1.5 \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \ge 1.5 \end{cases}$$

Remember that we consider all classifiers of the form:

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 - \beta) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 > \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \le \beta \end{cases}$$

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(\beta - x_1) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 < \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \ge \beta \end{cases}$$

for any real value β

 Although these are simple classifiers, the <u>set of decision</u> <u>stump classifiers</u> is uncountable (there are as "many" as real values)

VC dimension

- The Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension allows us to understand the complexity of a model class (a set of classifiers) without having to "count" how many classifiers there are, for instance:
 - the set of decision stump classifiers
 - the set of linear classifiers
 - the set of classifiers with the radial basis kernel
- Instead we count the number of ways in which a dataset can be classified.

- Lets take the sorted dataset we used before
- \bullet Consider decision stump classifiers with all values of β that would lead to different ways of classifying the samples

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(x_1 - \beta) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 > \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \le \beta \end{cases}$$

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(\beta - x_1) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 < \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \ge \beta \end{cases}$$

$$f(x) = \text{sign}(\beta - x_1) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } x_1 < \beta \\ -1, & \text{if } x_1 \ge \beta \end{cases}$$

x _I	f(x)					
	β=-2.5	β=-1	β=0.5	β=1.5	β=3	β=∞
-3	-I	- l	- l	- l	-1	-1
-2	+1	-1	- I	- l	-1	-1
0	+1	+	- I	- l	-1	-1
I	+1	+	+1	- l	-1	-1
2	+1	+	+	+1	-1	-1
4	+1	+1	+	+1	+1	-1

x _I	f(x)					
	β=-2.5	β=-1	β=0.5	β=1.5	β=3	β=∞
-3	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1
-2	- l	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1
0	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1
I	-1	- l	-1	+1	+1	+1
2	- l	- [-1	- [+1	+1
4	- l	- [-1	- l	- l	+

- We highlight (in blue) one way of classifying the 6 samples
- We have 12 different ways of classifying the 6 samples

- In general, the set of decision stump classifiers lead to 2n different ways of classifying n samples
 - We classify the n samples as -I's followed by +I's
 - We also classify the n samples as +1's followed by -1's

- In general, the set of decision stump classifiers lead to 2n different ways of classifying n samples
 - We classify the n samples as -I's followed by +I's
 - We also classify the n samples as +1's followed by -1's
- More complex classifiers would lead to more than 2n different ways of classifying n samples
- The most complex classifiers would lead to 2ⁿ different ways of classifying n samples
 - There are 2ⁿ different vectors of size n with each entry being either +1 or -1

- In general, the set of decision stump classifiers lead to 2n different ways of classifying n samples
 - We classify the n samples as -I's followed by +I's
 - We also classify the n samples as +1's followed by -1's
- More complex classifiers would lead to more than 2n different ways of classifying n samples
- The most complex classifiers would lead to 2ⁿ different ways of classifying n samples
 - There are 2ⁿ different vectors of size n with each entry being either +1 or -1
- More complex classifiers are not always better, as we will see later

VC dimension

• The Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension is the maximum number of samples n that can be classified in any possible way (that is, 2ⁿ ways) by a model class (a set of classifiers)

- The Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension is the maximum number of samples n that can be classified in any possible way (that is, 2ⁿ ways) by a model class (a set of classifiers)
- Recall that <u>decision stump</u> classifiers lead to 2n different ways of classifying n samples
- Find the maximum n for which $2n = 2^n$
- The VC dimension is VC = 2

n	2n	2 ⁿ
	2	2
2	4	4
3	6	8

- The Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension is the maximum number of samples n that can be classified in any possible way (that is, 2ⁿ ways) by a model class (a set of classifiers)
- Recall that <u>decision stump</u> classifiers lead to 2n different ways of classifying n samples

	•	r	1 • 1		_ ^
• Find the	maximum	n tor	which	Zn	= Z''

TI	\ / _	1•	•	•	\ / _	_ ^
Ihe	V(dim	ension	10	V(= /
	V		CHISTOTT	13	V	

n	2n	2 ⁿ
1	2	2
2	4	4
3	6	8

• For more intuition, see the 2ⁿ ways of classifying n samples

=2	+	+	-	- I
L=	+	-	+	-1

2 ways $(2^3-2^*3 = 2)$ of classifying (in red) are not -1's followed by +1's, neither +1's followed by -1's

VC dimension

- The Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension is the maximum number of samples n that can be classified in any possible way (that is, 2ⁿ ways) by a model class (a set of classifiers)
- The VC dimension of the set of decision stumps is VC = 2
- The VC dimension of the set of linear classifiers in d dimensions (R^d) without offset parameter, is VC = d
- The VC dimension of the set of linear classifiers in d dimensions (\mathbb{R}^d) with offset parameter, is VC = d + 1
- The VC dimension of the set of ensemble classifiers with m decision stumps is at least $VC \ge m/2$
- The VC dimension of the set of classifiers with the radial basis kernel is $VC = \infty$

Training error

- For computational purposes, we consider data to be constant, but data is a random variable!
- ullet There is an unknown data distribution P
- The training set has n samples: $\underline{x}_1, \underline{y}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n, \underline{y}_n$ Samples $\underline{x}_i, \underline{y}_i$ are independent, with probability distribution P
- The training error is:

$$\hat{R}_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Loss}(y_i, f(\underline{x}_i))$$

where f is a classifier and $\operatorname{Loss}(y, y') = \begin{cases} 1, & y \neq y' \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

• Given a classifier f and n samples, we can compute the training error $\hat{R}_n(f)$

Test error

- The test error is the expected value of the error
- The training error is an estimate (an average of a finite number of samples) of the expected value
- Intuitively speaking, the test error is the error when using an infinite number of samples
- The test error is:

$$R_{P}(f) = \int_{\underline{x}, y} \text{Loss}(y, f(\underline{x})) P(\underline{x}, y) d\underline{x} dy$$
$$= E_{P}[\text{Loss}(y, f(\underline{x}))]$$

• Given a classifier f, we cannot compute the test error $R_P(f)$ because the data distribution P is unknown

Training and test error

• While we can only compute the training error $\hat{R}_n(f)$, we are truly interested on the test error $R_p(f)$, because the test error is the true measure of how we will perform on unseen data

• Under-fitting: large training error $\hat{R}_{n}(f)$ and test error $R_{p}(f)$

• Over-fitting: small training error $\hat{R}_n(f)$, large test error $R_P(f)$

Generalization

- We cannot compute $R_p(f)$, but we can bound it!
- Consider a model class (a set of classifiers) with Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension: VC
- Vapnik 1979: Without any knowledge of the data distribution P, with probability at least $1-\delta$ over the choice of the training set, for all classifiers f in the model class:

$$R_P(f) \leq \hat{R}_n(f) + \sqrt{\frac{VC(\log(2n/VC) + 1) + \log(4/\delta)}{n}}$$

Generalization

- We cannot compute $R_p(f)$, but we can bound it!
- Consider a model class (a set of classifiers) with Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension: VC
- Vapnik 1979: Without any knowledge of the data distribution P, with probability at least $1-\delta$ over the choice of the training set, for all classifiers f in the model class:

$$R_P(f) \le \hat{R}_n(f) + \sqrt{\frac{VC(\log(2n/VC) + 1) + \log(4/\delta)}{n}}$$

• For instance, for decision stumps: VC = 2, let $\delta = 0.1$, With probability at least $1 - \delta = 0.9$:

$$R_{P}(f) \le \hat{R}_{n}(f) + \sqrt{\frac{2(\log n + 1) + \log(40)}{n}}$$

Structural risk minimization

 Choose the model class (for instance, decision stumps versus linear classifiers) with best guarantee of generalization:

$$\hat{R}_n(f) + \sqrt{\frac{VC(\log(2n/VC) + 1) + \log(4/\delta)}{n}}$$

Large for simple classifiers, small for complex classifiers

Small for simple classifiers (small VC), large for complex classifiers (large VC)

Large for small n, small for large n

Other complexity measures

- VC dimension is not the only tool in learning theory
- The generalization of some methods require different complexity measures or analysis frameworks, such as:
 - Fat shattering dimension
 - Provably approximately correct (PAC) Bayesian bounds
 - Rademacher complexity