

Define complementary subspace, codimension, and prejection.

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Show $X = \ker P \oplus \operatorname{ran} P$ for any projection P on a linear space X .

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Define orthogonal projection.

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

If X is a linear space and M is a linear subspace of X , then N is a complementary subspace of M if every $x \in X$ can be uniquely represented by $x = n + m$ where $n \in N$ and $m \in M$. This implies $M \cap N = \{0\}$.

If M is a linear subspace of X , then M may have infinitely many complementary subspaces. All of the complementary subspaces of M have the same dimension, which is called the codimension of M .

A projection on a linear space X is a linear map $P : X \rightarrow X$ such that $P^2 = P$.

First note that $x = Px$ if and only if $x \in \text{ran } P$, since if $x = Py$ then $Px = P^2y = Py = x$.

Let $x \in \ker P \cap \text{ran } P$. Then $x = Px = 0$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $x - Px \in \ker P$ since

$$P(x - Px) = Px - P^2x = Px - Px = 0.$$

Then $x = Px + (x - Px)$.

An orthogonal projection P , on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , is a function $P : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$P^2 = P \quad \text{and} \quad (Px, y) = (x, Py) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$$

What is the norm of a non-zero orthogonal projection?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Prove that a Hilbert space is the orthogonal direct sum of an orthogonal projection's range and kernel.

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

If P is the orthogonal projection on to a closed linear subspace M of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and Q is the orthogonal projection on to a M^\perp , how are P and Q related?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality,

$$\|Px\| = \frac{\|Px\|^2}{\|Px\|} = \frac{(Px, Px)}{\|Px\|} = \frac{(x, P^2x)}{\|Px\|} = \frac{(x, Px)}{\|Px\|} \leq \frac{\|x\|\|Px\|}{\|Px\|} = \|x\|,$$

so $\|P\| \leq 1$. However, there is an $x \in \text{ran } P$ with $\|x\| \neq 0$ (since P is non-zero. Then

$$\|Px\| = \|x\|,$$

which shows $\|P\| \geq 1$, and thus $\|P\| = 1$.

Let P be an orthogonal projection on \mathcal{H} . We know $\mathcal{H} = \ker P \oplus \text{ran } P$ where \oplus is just a (not necessarily orthogonal) direct sum. However, if $x = Py \in \text{ran } P$ and $z \in \ker P$, then

$$(x, z) = (Py, z) = (y, Pz) = (y, 0) = 0$$

and thus $\ker P \perp \text{ran } P$.

$$I - P = Q.$$

What is the orthogonal projection on to a one-dimensional subspace U of \mathcal{H} ?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Give examples of orthogonal projections on to a finite dimensional space, a countably infinite dimensional space, and an uncountably infinite dimensional space.

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

State the Riesz Representation theorem and state what the proof uses.

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Let $\{u\}$ be a basis of U . Then define P_U by

$$P_u x = \frac{(u, x)}{\|u\|^2} u.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and \mathbf{u} be any unit vector. The orthogonal projection in the direction of \mathbf{u} is the rank one matrix $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T$. The component of a vector \mathbf{x} in the direction of \mathbf{u} , i.e. the projection of \mathbf{x} on to $[\{\mathbf{u}\}]$ is

$$P_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x} = \frac{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^2} \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $u = e_n = (\delta_{k,n})_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $x = (x_k)$. Then $P_{e_n} x = x_n e_n$ gives a vector of all 0s except for the n^{th} component of x in the n^{th} position. Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $u(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$, which is the constant function with $\|u\| = 1$. Then P_u maps a function f to its mean $\langle f \rangle$, i.e.

$$P_u f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx.$$

Then $f = \langle f \rangle + \tilde{f}$ is the decomposition of a function into a constant mean part and a fluctuating, 0 mean part.

If ϕ is a bounded linear functional on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then there is a unique $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\phi(x) = (y, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

The proof uses

- The kernel of a bounded linear operator is a closed subspace.
- Defining a clever orthogonal projection P whose kernel is equal to $\ker \phi$.
- Arbitrary vectors can be decomposed by $\mathcal{H} = \ker P \oplus \text{ran } P$.

What is the adjoint of an operator? Why are they important in the context of projections on Hilbert spaces?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

What is the adjoint of a real-valued matrix? How about a complex-valued matrix?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

What is the adjoint of the left-shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

The adjoint of an bounded linear operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is denoted A^* and is the unique operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$(x, Ay) = (A^*x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

An operator A is called self-adjoint if $A = A^*$. All orthogonal projects are self-adjoint, that is, for any projection P on \mathcal{H} , we have

$$(Px, y) = (x, Py) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This is not true for all projections - just orthogonal projections.

If A is a real-valued matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then $A^* = A^T$. That is, the adjoint is the transpose.

If A is a complex-valued matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, then $A^* = \overline{A^T}$. That is, the adjoint is the Hermitian conjugate matrix..

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots).$$

Then $T^* = S$, the right-shift operator, given by

$$S(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

because

$$(x, Ty) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{x_i} y_{i+1} = (Sx, y).$$

This is analagous to the transpose of a matrix since the transpose of the infinite matrix representing T is the infinite matrix representing S .

*What is the adjoint of a Fredholm integral operator
 $K \in \mathcal{B}(L^2([0, 1]))$?*

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

*If $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded linear operator, show
 $\overline{\text{ran } A} = (\ker A^*)^\perp$, and $\ker A = (\text{ran } A^*)^\perp$.*

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

*Suppose that $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with closed range. When does the equation
 $Ax = y$ have a solution?*

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(L^2([0, 1]))$ by

$$Kf(x) = \int_0^1 k(x, y)f(y)dy$$

for some continuous function $k : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then K^* is given explicitly by integration against the complex conjugate, transpose kernel:

$$K^*f(x) = \int_0^1 \overline{k(y, x)}f(y)dy.$$

This is analagous to the Hermitian conjugate of a matrix.

Let $x \in \text{ran } A$. Then $x = Ay$ for some $y \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $(x, z) = (Ay, z) = (y, A^*z) = 0$ for any $z \in \ker A^*$. Thus $x \in (\ker A^*)^\perp$, which is closed, and so $\overline{\text{ran } A} \subset (\ker A^*)^\perp$.

If $x \in (\text{ran } A)^\perp$, then $0 = (Ay, x) = (y, A^*x)$ for every $y \in \mathcal{H}$, which shows $A^*x = 0$ for every $x \in (\text{ran } A)^\perp$, i.e. $x \in \ker A^*$, so $(\text{ran } A)^\perp \subset \ker A^*$. Then $(\ker A^*)^\perp \subset (\text{ran } A)^{\perp\perp} = \overline{\text{ran } A}$, which shows $(\ker A^*)^\perp = \overline{\text{ran } A}$. The clever move here was $X \subset Y \implies Y^\perp \subset X^\perp$.

Then taking $A = A^*$ in the above equality gives $(\ker A)^\perp = \overline{\text{ran } A^*}$. Then taking orthogonal complements gives $\ker A = \overline{\text{ran } A^*}^\perp = (\text{ran } A^*)^\perp$.

A succinct way of stating this theorem is

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{ran } A} \oplus (\ker A^*)^\perp.$$

The equation $Ax = y$ has a solution for x if and only if $y \perp \ker A^*$.

What is the Fredhold alternative?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Why is the multiplication operator $Mf(x) = xf(x)$ not always solvable?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

What is a Fredholm operator? What is the index of a Fredholm operator? What is the result regarding indeces of Fredholm operators?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

A bounded linear operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} satisfies the Fredholm alternative if either

- (a) either $Ax = 0$, $A^*x = 0$ have only the zero solution, and the equations $Ax = y$, $A^*x = y$ have a unique solution for every $y \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (b) or $Ax = 0$, $A^*x = 0$ have nontrivial, finite-dimensional solution spaces of the same dimension, $Ax = y$ has a (nonunique) solution if and only if $y \perp z$ for every solution z of $A^*z = 0$, and $A^*x = y$ has a (nonunique) solution if and only if $y \perp z$ for every solution z of $Az = 0$.

In English, either

- (a) A and A^* are bijective;
- (b) or A and A^* are not injective, but have the same nullity.

Even though $\ker M^* = \ker M = \{0\}$, and hence every $g \in L^2([0, 1])$ is orthogonal to $\ker M^*$, $Mf = g$ is not always solvable since $\text{ran } M$ is properly dense in $L^2([0, 1])$.

A bounded linear operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Fredholm operator if

- (a) $\text{ran } A$ is closed;
- (b) $\ker A$ and $\ker A^*$ are finite-dimensional.

The index of a Fredholm operator A , denoted $\text{ind } A$, is the integer

$$\text{ind } A = \dim \ker A - \dim \ker A^*.$$

If A is Fredholm and K is compact, then $A + K$ is Fredholm and

$$\text{ind } A = \text{ind } (A + K).$$

That is, the index of a Fredholm is unchanged by compact perturbations. Since I is Fredholm, and $\text{ind } I = 0$, then $\text{ind } (I + K) = 0$ for compact K .

What is the most important sesquilinear form derived from a bounded linear operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ? What is the associated quadratic form? What is it mean to be a non-negative operator? How about a positive (positive definite) operator?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

How can we define an inner product on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} given a positive definite bounded linear operator A ?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

If A is a bounded, self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , what is an easy formula for $\|A\|$?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Given a linear operator A , define the sesquilinear form $a : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $a(x, y) = (x, Ay)$. The associated quadratic form is $q_A(x) = a(x, x)$, or $q_A(x) = (x, Ax)$.

A is called nonnegative if its quadratic form is nonnegative for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e. $q_A(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$. A is positive definite if $q_A(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$(x, y)_A := (x, Ay)$$

defines an inner product on \mathcal{H} . In addition, $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$ is equivalent to (\cdot, \cdot) .

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |q_A(x)|, \quad \text{where } q \text{ is the quadratic form } q_A(x) = (x, Ax).$$

*Prove that if A is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then $\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$.*

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

What is a unitary operator? What is an easy equivalent way to check an operator is unitary?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Describe a general unitary operator between two Hilbert spaces of the same (possibly infinite dimension. What is the Hilbert transform?)

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

$$\|A\|^2 = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|^2 = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |(Ax, Ax)| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |q_{A^*A}(x)| = \|A^*A\|$$

If A is self adjoint, the $A^* = A$ and $\|A\|^2 = \|A^2\|$.

A linear map $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ between real or complex Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 is said to be orthogonal (real) or unitary (complex), respectively, if it is invertible and if

$$(Ux, Uy) = (x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

Unitary operators preserve inner products.

Two Hilbert spaces are isomorphic if there exists a unitary operator between them.

An operator U is unitary if and only if its inverse is its adjoint. That is, U is unitary if and only if $U^* = U^{-1}$.

Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 have the same (possibly infinite) dimension. Then their bases can be indexed by the same index set. Suppose \mathcal{H}_1 has basis $\{u_\alpha\}$ and \mathcal{H}_2 has basis $\{v_\alpha\}$. Then any $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}, \quad \text{where } c_{\alpha} = (u_{\alpha}, x).$$

Let λ_{α} be complex numbers with $|\lambda_{\alpha}| = 1$ and define $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ by

$$Ux = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} (u_{\alpha}, x) v_{\alpha}.$$

Then U is unitary, and thus $\mathcal{H}_1 \cong \mathcal{H}_2$. The Hilbert transform \mathbb{H} is of this form. Define $\mathbb{H} : \mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ ($\mathcal{H}_0 = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) \mid \langle f \rangle = 0\}$ is the space of L^2 functions with 0 mean) by

$$\mathbb{H}f = \mathbb{H}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{f}_n e^{inx}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(i(\operatorname{sgn}[n]) \hat{f}_n e^{inx}\right).$$

What is the most famous unitary operator (besides maybe the identity operator)?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

What is a normal operator?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Define weak convergence in Hilbert space.

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

The most famous (and most useful) unitary operator is the Fourier transform, $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, which is given by

$$\mathcal{F}f = \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n e^{inx}\right) = (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \text{where } c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

A operator A is said to be normal if it commutes with its adjoint, that is,

$$AA^* = A^*A.$$

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. A sequence $(x_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ converges weakly to x , denoted $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, y) = (x, y), \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This is a special case of weak convergence in a Banach space X , which states $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ if

$$\phi(x_n) \rightarrow \phi(x), \quad \forall \phi \in X^*.$$

In Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H}^* \cong \mathcal{H}$, and by the Reisz Representation Theorem, every linear functional can be uniquely represented as an inner product against an element in \mathcal{H} .

State the uniform boundedness (Banach-Steinhaus) Theorem.

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

What are sufficient and necessary conditions for a sequence $(x_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ to converge weakly to x ?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Give an example of a weakly convergent sequence which (a) oscillates to death, (b) goes up the spout, and (c) wanders off to infinity

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Suppose that $\{\phi_n \in X^* \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a set of linear functionals on a Banach space X such that $\{\phi_n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded for every $x \in X$. Then $\{\|\phi_n\| \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded.

That is, if the values of the countable set of functionals evaluated at each point is bounded, then the functionals themselves are bounded in operator norm.

Let D be a dense subset of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ if and only if

- (a) $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq M$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b) $(x_n, y) \rightarrow (x, y)$ for each $y \in D$.

(a) Oscillates to death: Let $f_n = \sin(n\pi x) \in L^2([0, 1])$. Then $\int_0^1 f(x) \sin(n\pi x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $\|f_n\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $f_n \rightharpoonup 0$ but $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$.

(b) Goes up the spout: Let $f_n = \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]} \sqrt{n} \in L^2([0, 1])$. Then for any polynomial p , $\int_0^1 p(x) f_n(x) dx = \sqrt{n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} p(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, $\|f_n\| = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then since polynomials are dense in $L^2([0, 1])$, $f_n \rightharpoonup 0$ but $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$.

(c) Wanders off to infinity: Let $f_n = \chi_{[n, n+1]} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\|f_n\| = 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, for any function $c(x)$ with compact support, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(x) c(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then since functions with compact support are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $f_n \rightharpoonup 0$ but $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$.

In the context of Hilbert spaces, what in addition to weak convergence does one need in order to show strong convergence?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

What is the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem? What is it's analog in finite-dimensional Hilbert space?

APPLIED ANALYSIS CHAPTER 8

Suppose $x_n \rightharpoonup x$. In general,

$$\|x\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|.$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \|x\|$, then $x_n \rightarrow x$.

The proof uses:

- continuity of the inner product
- Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality
- Definition of convergence in norm (strong convergence)

The closed unit ball of a Hilbert space is weakly compact.

A set is weakly precompact if and only if it is bounded.

This is the infinite-dimensional analog of the Heine-Borel Theorem (for finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, closed and bounded \iff compact).

The proof uses

- a diagonal argument on sequences that converge using Heine-Borel on \mathbb{C}
- The Riesz Representation Theorem
- The Bounded Linear Transformation Theorem (Bounded linear transformations on dense subsets of a Banach space X can be uniquely extended to bounded linear transformations on X .)