Landau-Ginzburg semantics of linear logic

Daniel Murfet

July 26, 2015

1 Introduction

In this paper we show that there is a natural connection between moduli spaces and logic, using the example of Landau-Ginzburg models. The bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models \mathcal{LG} has as objects isolated hypersurface singularities, and as 1-morphisms matrix factorisations of differences of potentials. In [?] this bicategory was studied in connection with topological field theory with defects and shown to have various good properties, and in [?] it was shown how to make composition in this bicategory constructive. In this paper we extend this work by adding *moduli spaces* of matrix factorisations, and showing how the resulting bicategory hosts a model (called a semantics) of linear logic.

- Landau-Ginzburg models
- Algorithmically computable
- Example of a self-composition of rank 1 endo-MFs. This includes P_S 's so is already plenty complicated.
- Linear logic
- Semantics of linear logic
- Moduli spaces, simple example
- Bundle over moduli space of self-compositions
- This is the denotation of the proof 2.
- Things get complicated: int_{int},

References

- [1] S. Abramsky, Computational interpretations of linear logic, Theoretical Computer Science, 1993.
- [2] S. Abramsky, Retracting some paths in process algebra, In CONCUR 96, Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1119, 1–17, 1996.
- [3] S. Abramsky, Geometry of Interaction and linear combinatory algebras, Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 12, 625–665, 2002.
- [4] S. Abramsky and R. Jagadeesan, New foundations for the Geometry of Interaction, Information and Computation 111 (1), 53–119, 1994.
- [5] M. Anel, A. Joyal, Sweedler theory of (co)algebras and the bar-cobar constructions, [arXiv:1309.6952]
- [6] M. Atiyah, Topological quantum field theories, Publications Mathématique de l'IHÉS 68, 175–186, 1989.
- [7] J. Baez and M. Stay, *Physics, topology, logic and computation: a Rosetta stone*, in B. Coecke (ed.) New Structures for Physics, Lecture Notes in Physics 813, Springer, Berlin, 95–174, 2011
- [8] M. Barr, Coalgebras over a commutative ring, Journal of Algebra 32, 600-610, 1974.
- [9] _____, *-autonomous categories, Number 752 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [10] _____, Accessible categories and models of linear logic, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 69(3):219–232, 1990.
- [11] ______, ?-autonomous categories and linear logic, Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 1(2):159–178, 1991.
- [12] _____, The Chu construction: history of an idea, Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 17, No. 1, 10–16, 2006.
- [13] N. Benton, A mixed linear and non-linear logic; proofs, terms and models, in Proceedings of Computer Science Logic 94, vol. 933 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Verlag, 1995.
- [14] N. Benton, G. Bierman, V. de Paiva and M. Hyland, *Term assignment for intuitionistic linear logic*, Technical report 262, Computer Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 1992.

- [15] R. Block, P. Leroux, Generalized dual coalgebras of algebras, with applications to cofree coalgebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 36, no. 1, 15–21, 1985.
- [16] R. Blute, *Hopf algebras and linear logic*, Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 6(2):189–217, 1996.
- [17] R. Blute and P. Scott, Linear Laüchli semantics, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 77:101–142, 1996.
- [18] _____, Category theory for linear logicians, Linear Logic in Computer Science 316: 3–65, 2004.
- [19] R. Blute, P. Panangaden, R. Seely, Fock space: a model of linear exponential types, in: Proc. Ninth Conf. on Mathematical Foundations of Programming Semantics, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 802, Springer, Berlin, 1–25, 1994.
- [20] R. Bott and L.W. Tu, Differential forms in Algebraic Topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 82, Springer, 1982.
- [21] A. Căldăraru and S. Willerton, *The Mukai pairing, I: a categorical approach*, New York Journal of Mathematics **16**, 61–98, 2010 [arXiv:0707.2052].
- [22] N. Carqueville and D. Murfet, Adjunctions and defects in Landau-Ginzburg models, [arXiv:1208.1481].
- [23] N. Carqueville and I. Runkel, On the monoidal structure of matrix bifactorisations, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 275–401, 2010 [arXiv:0909.4381].
- [24] A. Church, The Calculi of Lambda-conversion, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. 1941.
- [25] V. Danos and J.-B. Joinet, Linear logic and elementary time, Information and Computation 183, 123–127, 2003.
- [26] V. Danos and L. Regnier, Local and Asynchronous beta-reduction (an analysis of Girard's execution formula) in: Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 8, 296–306, 1993.
- [27] V. Danos and L. Regnier, Proof-nets and the Hilbert space, in (Girard et. al. 1995), 307–328, 1995.
- [28] P. J. Denning, *Ubiquity symposium "What is computation?"*: opening statement, Ubiquity 2010. Available on the Ubiquity website.
- [29] T. Dyckerhoff and D. Murfet, *Pushing forward matrix factorisations*, Duke Math. J. Volume 162, Number 7 1249–1311, 2013 [arXiv:1102.2957].

- [30] T. Ehrhard, Finiteness spaces, Math. Structures Comput. Sci. 15 (4) 615–646, 2005.
- [31] ______, On Köthe sequence spaces and linear logic, Mathematical Structures in Computer Science 12.05, 579–623, 2002.
- [32] T. Ehrhard and L. Regnier, *The differential lambda-calculus*, Theoretical Computer Science 309.1: 1–41, 2003.
- [33] ______, Differential interaction nets, Theoretical Computer Science 364.2: 166–195, 2006.
- [34] G. Gentzen, The Collected Papers of Gerhard Gentzen, (Ed. M. E. Szabo), Amsterdam, Netherlands: North-Holland, 1969.
- [35] E. Getzler, P. Goerss, A model category structure for differential graded coalgebras, preprint, 1999.
- [36] J.-Y. Girard, Linear Logic, Theoretical Computer Science 50 (1), 1–102, 1987.
- [37] _____, Normal functors, power series and the λ -calculus Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 37: 129–177, 1988.
- [38] _____, Geometry of Interaction I: Iinterpretation of System F, in Logic Colloquium '88, ed. R. Ferro, et al. North-Holland, 221–260, 1988.
- [39] _____, Geometry of Interaction II: Deadlock-free Algorithms, COLOG-88, Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science 417, 76–93, 1988.
- [40] _____, Geometry of Interaction III: Accommodating the Additives, in (Girard et al. 1995), pp.1–42.
- [41] ______, Towards a geometry of interaction, In J. W. Gray and A. Scedrov, editors, Categories in Computer Science and Logic, volume 92 of Contemporary Mathematics, 69–108, AMS, 1989.
- [42] _____, Light linear logic, Information and Computation 14, 1995.
- [43] _____, Coherent Banach spaces: a continuous denotational semantics, Theoretical Computer Science, 227: 275–297, 1999.
- [44] _____, The Blind Spot: lectures on logic, European Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [45] J.-Y. Girard, Y. Lafont, and P. Taylor, *Proofs and Types*, Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science 7, Cambridge University Press, 1989.

- [46] G. Gontheir, M. Abadi and J.-J. Lévy, The geometry of optimal lambda reduction, in 9th Annual IEEE Symp. on Logic in Computer Science (LICS), 15–26, 1992.
- [47] E. Haghverdi and P. Scott, Geometry of Interaction and the dynamics of prood reduction: a tutorial, in New Structures for Physics, Lecture notes in Physics 813, 357–417, 2011.
- [48] H. Hazewinkel, Cofree coalgebras and multivariable recursiveness, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 183, no. 1–3, 61–103, 2003.
- [49] M. Hyland and A. Schalk, Glueing and orthogonality for models of linear logic, Theoretical Computer Science, 294: 183–231, 2003.
- [50] A. Joyal and R. Street, *The geometry of tensor calculus I*, Advances in Math. 88, 55–112, 1991.
- [51] A. Joyal and R. Street, The geometry of tensor calculus II, draft available at http://maths.mq.edu.au/~street/GTCII.pdf
- [52] A. Joyal, R. Street and D. Verity, Traced monoidal categories, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 119, 447–468, 1996.
- [53] M. Khovanov, Categorifications from planar diagrammatics, Japanese J. of Mathematics 5, 153–181, 2010 [arXiv:1008.5084].
- [54] Y. Lafont, The Linear Abstract Machine, Theoretical Computer Science, 59 (1,2):157–180, 1988.
- [55] J. Lambek and P. J. Scott, Introduction to higher order categorical logic, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 7, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [56] A. D. Lauda, An introduction to diagrammatic algebra and categorified quantum \mathfrak{sl}_2 , Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica (New Series), Vol. 7, No. 2, 165–270, 2012 [arXiv:1106.2128].
- [57] J. McCarthy, Recursive functions of symbolic expressions and their computation by machine, Part I., Communications of the ACM 3.4: 184–195, 1960.
- [58] D. McNamee, On the mathematical structure of topological defects in Landau-Ginzburg models, MSc Thesis, Trinity College Dublin, 2009.
- [59] P.-A. Melliès, Functorial boxes in string diagrams, In Z. Ésik, editor, Computer Science Logic, volume 4207 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 1–30, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2006.

- [60] P-A. Melliès, Categorical semantics of linear logic, in: Interactive models of computation and program behaviour, Panoramas et Synthèses 27, Société Mathématique de France, 2009.
- [61] P.-A. Melliès, N. Tabareau, C. Tasson, An explicit formula for the free exponential modality of linear logic, in: 36th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming, July 2009, Rhodes, Greece, 2009.
- [62] D. Murfet, Computing with cut systems, [arXiv:1402.4541].
- [63] D. Murfet, On Sweedler's cofree cocommutative coalgebra, [arXiv:1406.5749].
- [64] M. Pagani and L. Tortora de Falco. Strong normalization property for second order linear logic, Theoretical Computer Science 411.2 (2010): 410–444.
- [65] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob, Chern characters and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for matrix factorizations, Duke Mathematical Journal 161.10: 1863–1926, 2012 [arXiv:1002.2116].
- [66] U. Schreiber, Quantization via Linear homotopy types, [arXiv:1402.7041].
- [67] D. Scott, Data types as lattices, SIAM Journal of computing, 5:522–587, 1976.
- [68] _____, The Lambda calculus, then and now, available on YouTube with lecture notes, 2012.
- [69] R. Seely, Linear logic, star-autonomous categories and cofree coalgebras, Applications of categories in logic and computer science, Contemporary Mathematics, 92, 1989.
- [70] P. Selinger, Lecture notes on the Lambda calculus, [arXiv:0804.3434].
- [71] M. Shirahata, Geometry of Interaction explained, available online.
- [72] R. I. Soare, Computability and Incomputability, in CiE 2007: Computation and Logic in the Real World, LNCS 4497, Springer, 705–715.
- [73] M. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [74] B. Valiron and S. Zdancewic, Finite vector spaces as model of simply-typed lambda-calculi, [arXiv:1406.1310].
- [75] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 90, No. 2, 205–295, 1969.
- [76] The Univalent Foundations Program, Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study (Princeton), 2013.

- [77] Y. Yoshino, Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [78] E. Witten, Topological quantum field theory, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 117 (3), 353–386, 1988.

Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California E-mail address: murfet@usc.edu