OGC-biomass

Primary Analyses

Kelly Murray Stoker, David Murray-Stoker

${\bf Contents}$

| Raw Data Management | 3 |
|--|--|
| Load Processed Data | 6 |
| Biomass & Density ANOVAs Biomass ANOVA | 7 7 10 |
| Consumer & Predators ANOVAs Consumer ANOVAs Biomass ANOVA Density ANOVA Predator ANOVAs Biomass ANOVA Biomass ANOVA Density ANOVA | 13 14 14 17 20 20 23 |
| Size Groupings | 26 |
| Percent Biomass Change Biomass Change & Contribution of Dominant Caddisflies | 28 29 |
| Density Change Consumers | 30 33 |
| Biomass Chi-Squared Tests Consumers Predators | 36 38 |
| Biomass Contributions Consumers Predators | 40 41 41 |
| Individual Body Size Change Body Size t-Tests | 42 |
| Community Composition | 44 |
| Indicator Taxa Analysis | 45 |
| Carbon & Discharge Regression | 47 |

R Session Information 48

Raw Data Management

Raw data were imported and compiled for each of the two sampling periods, 1980s and 2010s. Subsets were merged or cast as needed for later analyses. Raw data were initially managed in the R environment, but final data management tasks were performed in Microsoft Excel after exporting the data; final data files are imported from csv files.

```
## Read in raw data files
raw.biomass.1982 <- read_csv("data/OGC_biomass_data-1982.csv", show_col_types = FALSE)
raw.biomass.1983 <- read_csv("data/OGC_biomass_data-1983.csv", show_col_types = FALSE)
raw.biomass.2010s <- read_csv("data/OGC_biomass_data-2010s.csv", show_col_types = FALSE)

## Set column structure for the raw data
raw.biomass.1982$Date <- as_factor(raw.biomass.1982$Date)
raw.biomass.1982$Snag <- as_factor(raw.biomass.1982$Snag)
raw.biomass.1983$Date <- as_factor(raw.biomass.1983$Date)
raw.biomass.1983$Snag <- as_factor(raw.biomass.1983$Snag)
raw.biomass.2010s$Date <- as_factor(raw.biomass.2010s$Date)
raw.biomass.2010s$Snag <- as_factor(raw.biomass.2010s$Snag)</pre>
```

```
## Biomass data management
## Create date-by-taxa matrices for biomass values
# 1982 raw biomass by taxa matrix
OGC.biomass.1982 <- dcast(
   raw.biomass.1982, Date ~ Genus, sum, value.var = "Biomass_Estimate"
   )
# 1983 raw biomass by taxa matrix
OGC.biomass.1983 <- dcast(
   raw.biomass.1983, Date ~ Genus, sum, value.var = "Biomass Estimate"
# 2010s raw biomass by taxa matrix
OGC.biomass.2010s <- dcast(
    raw.biomass.2010s, Date ~ Genus, sum, value.var = "Biomass_Estimate"
## Create dataframe of biomass values for each dataset
OGC.biomass.1982.values <- tibble(OGC.biomass.1982[, 2:59])
OGC.biomass.1983.values <- tibble(OGC.biomass.1983[, 2:48])
OGC.biomass.2010s.values <- tibble(OGC.biomass.2010s[, 2:64])
## Average by number of snags
OGC.biomass.1982.snag.correction
                                    <- OGC.biomass.1982.values/20
# Correct for 19 snags instead of 20 in first sample
OGC.biomass.1982.snag.correction[1, ] <- ((OGC.biomass.1982.snag.correction[1, ] * 20)/19)
OGC.biomass.1983.snag.correction <- OGC.biomass.1983.values/10
OGC.biomass.2010s.snag.correction <- OGC.biomass.2010s.values/10
## Add Date to the biomass data
OGC.biomass.1982.final <- OGC.biomass.1982.snag.correction %>%
    add_column(OGC.biomass.1982$Date) %>%
    rename(UID = "OGC.biomass.1982$Date")
OGC.biomass.1983.final <- OGC.biomass.1983.snag.correction %>%
    add_column(OGC.biomass.1983$Date) %>%
    rename(UID = "OGC.biomass.1983$Date")
OGC.biomass.2010s.final <- OGC.biomass.2010s.snag.correction %>%
    add_column(OGC.biomass.2010s$Date) %>%
   rename(UID = "OGC.biomass.2010s$Date")
## Export biomass data files
write_csv(OGC.biomass.1982.final, file = "data/OGC_1982_biomass_by_taxa.csv")
write_csv(OGC.biomass.1983.final, file = "data/OGC_1983_biomass_by_taxa.csv")
write csv(OGC.biomass.2010s.final, file = "data/OGC 2010s biomass by taxa.csv")
```

```
## Density data management
## Create date-by-taxa matrices for density values
# 1982 density by taxa matrix
OGC.density.1982 <- dcast(
   raw.biomass.1982, Date ~ Genus, sum, value.var = "Density"
   )
# 1983 density by taxa matrix
OGC.density.1983 <- dcast(
   raw.biomass.1983, Date ~ Genus, sum, value.var = "Density"
# 2010s density by taxa matrix
OGC.density.2010s <- dcast(
    raw.biomass.2010s, Date ~ Genus, sum, value.var = "Density"
## Create dataframe of density values for each dataset
OGC.density.1982.values <- OGC.density.1982[, 2:59]
OGC.density.1983.values <- OGC.density.1983[, 2:48]
OGC.density.2010s.values <- OGC.density.2010s[, 2:64]
## Average by number of snags
OGC.density.1982.snag.correction
                                     <- OGC.density.1982.values/20
# Correct for 19 snags instead of 20 in first sample
OGC.density.1982.snag.correction[1, ] <-((OGC.density.1982.snag.correction[1, ] * 20)/19)
OGC.density.1983.snag.correction <- OGC.density.1983.values/10
OGC.density.2010s.snag.correction <- OGC.density.2010s.values/10
## Add UID to density values
OGC.density.1982.final <- OGC.density.1982.snag.correction %>%
    add_column(OGC.density.1982$Date) %>%
   rename(UID = "OGC.density.1982$Date")
OGC.density.1983.final <- OGC.density.1983.snag.correction %>%
    add_column(OGC.density.1983$Date) %>%
    rename(UID = "OGC.density.1983$Date")
OGC.density.2010s.final <- OGC.density.2010s.snag.correction %>%
    add_column(OGC.density.2010s$Date) %>%
   rename(UID = "OGC.density.2010s$Date")
## Export density data files
write_csv(OGC.density.1982.final, file = "data/OGC_1982_density_by_taxa.csv")
write_csv(OGC.density.1983.final, file = "data/OGC_1983_density_by_taxa.csv")
write csv(OGC.density.2010s.final, file = "data/OGC 2010s density by taxa.csv")
```

Load Processed Data

```
## Read in data
biomass.data <- read_csv("data/OGC_final_biomass_data.csv", show_col_types = FALSE)
density.data <- read_csv("data/OGC_final_density_data.csv", show_col_types = FALSE)</pre>
## Set variables as factors
# Biomass data
                      <- as_factor(biomass.data$UID)</pre>
biomass.data$UID
biomass.data$Year
                          <- as_factor(biomass.data$Year)</pre>
biomass.data$Year_Recoded <- as_factor(biomass.data$Year_Recoded)</pre>
biomass.data$Season_Recoded <- as_factor(biomass.data$Season_Recoded)
biomass.data$Period
                          <- as_factor(biomass.data$Period)</pre>
# Density data
density.data$UID
                            <- as_factor(density.data$UID)</pre>
                            <- as_factor(density.data$Year)</pre>
density.data$Year
density.data$Year_Recoded <- as_factor(density.data$Year_Recoded)</pre>
density.data$Season
                           <- as_factor(density.data$Season)</pre>
density.data$Season_Recoded <- as_factor(density.data$Season_Recoded)</pre>
density.data$Period
                            <- as_factor(density.data$Period)</pre>
## Dataframe of sampling info
sampling.info <- biomass.data[, 1:6]</pre>
## Matrices of invertebrate biomass and density
invertebrate.biomass.matrix <- biomass.data[, 16:87]</pre>
invertebrate.density.matrix <- density.data[, 16:87]</pre>
## Filter biomass and density data by sampling period; no further subsetting
# Biomass data
biomass.1980s <- invertebrate.biomass.matrix[1:25, ]</pre>
biomass.2010s <- invertebrate.biomass.matrix[26:49, ]
# Density data
density.1980s <- invertebrate.density.matrix[1:25, ]</pre>
density.2010s <- invertebrate.density.matrix[26:49, ]</pre>
```

Biomass & Density ANOVAs

Biomass and density were compared by sampling period, season, and the interaction using an ANOVA with Type II sums-of-squares. ANOVA assumptions were inspected graphically using check_model(), and effect sizes for the ANOVAs were calculated as η_P^2 using eta_squared(). Post-hoc Tukey's HSD tests were conducted using HSD.test() to examine for differences among groups for influential factors in the ANOVA.

Biomass ANOVA

Sample Quar




Figure 1: Diagnostic plots of the biomass ANOVA.

Residuals

Density

Standard Normal Distribution Quantile

Table 1: ANOVA results for biomass by period, season, and the interaction.

| | Sums-of-Squares | df | F | P-value |
|---------------|-----------------|----|-------|---------|
| Period | 6.348 | 1 | 6.014 | 0.019 |
| Season | 5.432 | 3 | 1.716 | 0.179 |
| Period:Season | 4.199 | 3 | 1.326 | 0.279 |
| Residuals | 43.275 | 41 | NA | NA |

Table 2: Tukey groups assigned to periods differing in biomass.

| | Biomass | Grouping |
|------|----------|----------|
| 1980 | 8.854356 | a |
| 2010 | 8.157834 | b |

```
## Calculating partial eta-squared for each factor in the biomass ANOVA
biomass.anova.eta.squared <- eta_squared(
   Anova(biomass.anova, type = "II"),
   partial = TRUE
   )</pre>
```

Table 3: Table of the effect sizes in the biomass ANOVA.

| Term | Eta-squared | CI | CI_Low | CI_High |
|---------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|
| Period | 0.128 | 0.95 | 0.013 | 1 |
| Season | 0.112 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1 |
| Period:Season | 0.088 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1 |

Density ANOVA

```
density.anova <- lm(
    log(Summed_Density) ~ Period * Season, data = density.data
)</pre>
```




Figure 2: Diagnostic plots of the density ANOVA.

Table 4: ANOVA result for density by period, season, and the interaction.

| | Sums-of-Squares | df | F | P-value |
|---------------|-----------------|----|-------|---------|
| Period | 0.201 | 1 | 0.204 | 0.654 |
| Season | 8.029 | 3 | 2.712 | 0.057 |
| Period:Season | 7.575 | 3 | 2.558 | 0.068 |
| Residuals | 40.465 | 41 | NA | NA |

Table 5: Tukey groups assigned to seasons differing in density.

| | Density | Grouping |
|--------|----------|----------|
| fall | 11.45883 | a |
| summer | 11.28221 | a |
| spring | 11.03341 | a |
| winter | 10.36318 | a |

Table 6: Tukey groups assigned to seasons differing in density.

| | Density | Grouping |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| fall:1980 | 12.12740 | a |
| spring:2010 | 11.46404 | ab |
| summer:1980 | 11.36928 | ab |
| summer: 2010 | 11.19515 | ab |
| fall:2010 | 10.79026 | ab |
| spring:1980 | 10.60277 | ab |
| winter:2010 | 10.45709 | ab |
| winter:1980 | 10.28493 | b |

```
## Calculating partial eta-squared for each factor in the density ANOVA
density.anova.eta.squared <- eta_squared(
    Anova(density.anova, type = "II"),
    partial = TRUE
    )</pre>
```

Table 7: Table of the effect sizes in the density ANOVA.

| Term | Eta-squared | CI | CI_Low | CI_High |
|---------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|
| Period | 0.005 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |
| Season | 0.166 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |
| Period:Season | 0.158 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |

Consumer & Predators ANOVAs

Biomass and density values were subset and summed for consumer and predator taxa. Biomass and density were then compared by sampling period, season, and the interaction using an ANOVA with Type II sums-of-squares. ANOVA assumptions were inspected graphically using check_model(), and effect sizes for the ANOVAs were calculated as η_P^2 using eta_squared(). Post-hoc Tukey's HSD tests were conducted using HSD.test() to examine for differences among groups for influential factors in the ANOVA.

```
## Subset data to only include consumer taxa
# Biomass
consumer.biomass <- invertebrate.biomass.matrix[, 1:46] %>%
    rowSums()
consumer.biomass.data <- tibble(sampling.info, consumer.biomass)</pre>
# Density
consumer.density <- invertebrate.density.matrix[, 1:46] %>%
consumer.density.data <- tibble(sampling.info, consumer.density)</pre>
## Subset data to only include predator taxa
# Biomass
predator.biomass <- invertebrate.biomass.matrix[, 47:72] %>%
    rowSums()
predator.biomass.data <- tibble(sampling.info, predator.biomass)</pre>
predator.density <- invertebrate.density.matrix[, 47:72] %>%
    rowSums()
predator.density.data <- tibble(sampling.info, predator.density)</pre>
```

Consumer ANOVAs

Biomass ANOVA

```
consumer.biomass.anova <- lm(
  consumer.biomass ~ Period * Season, data = consumer.biomass.data
)</pre>
```




Figure 3: Diagnostic plots of the consumer biomass ANOVA.

Table 8: ANOVA results for consumer biomass by period, season, and the interaction.

| | Sums-of-Squares | df | F | P-value |
|---------------|-----------------|----|--------|---------|
| Period | 214935664 | 1 | 10.005 | 0.003 |
| Season | 113765041 | 3 | 1.765 | 0.169 |
| Period:Season | 158830701 | 3 | 2.465 | 0.076 |
| Residuals | 880756410 | 41 | NA | NA |

Table 9: Tukey groups assigned to periods differing in consumer biomass.

| | Consumer Biomass | Grouping |
|------|------------------|----------|
| 1980 | 8195.969 | a |
| 2010 | 4080.589 | b |

Table 10: Tukey groups assigned to season and period groups differing in consumer biomass.

| | Consumer Biomass | Grouping |
|-------------|------------------|----------|
| fall:1980 | 12700.172 | a |
| summer:1980 | 9827.673 | ab |
| winter:1980 | 5168.723 | ab |
| spring:2010 | 5075.846 | ab |
| spring:1980 | 4815.356 | ab |
| summer:2010 | 4003.295 | b |
| fall:2010 | 3612.908 | b |
| winter:2010 | 3555.710 | b |

```
## Calculating partial eta-squared for each factor in the biomass ANOVA
consumer.biomass.anova.eta.squared <- eta_squared(
    Anova(consumer.biomass.anova, type = "II"),
    partial = TRUE
    )</pre>
```

Table 11: Table of the effect sizes in the consumer biomass ANOVA.

| Term | Eta-squared | CI | CI_Low | CI_High |
|---------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|
| Period | 0.196 | 0.95 | 0.046 | 1 |
| Season | 0.114 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1 |
| Period:Season | 0.153 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1 |

Density ANOVA

```
consumer.density.anova <- lm(
   log(consumer.density) ~ Period * Season, data = consumer.density.data
)</pre>
```




Figure 4: Diagnostic plots of the consumer density ANOVA.

Table 12: ANOVA results for consumer density by period, season, and the interaction.

| | Sums-of-Squares | df | F | P-value |
|---------------|-----------------|----|-------|---------|
| Period | 0.269 | 1 | 0.271 | 0.605 |
| Season | 8.236 | 3 | 2.768 | 0.054 |
| Period:Season | 7.867 | 3 | 2.644 | 0.062 |
| Residuals | 40.666 | 41 | NA | NA |

Table 13: Tukey groups assigned to seasons differing in consumer density.

| | Consumer Density | Grouping |
|--------|------------------|----------|
| fall | 11.41674 | a |
| summer | 11.26117 | a |
| spring | 11.00815 | a |
| winter | 10.31693 | a |

Table 14: Tukey groups assigned to season and period groups differing in consumer density.

| | Consumer Density | Grouping |
|--------------|------------------|----------|
| fall:1980 | 12.10793 | a |
| spring:2010 | 11.43664 | ab |
| summer:1980 | 11.35745 | ab |
| summer: 2010 | 11.16490 | ab |
| fall:2010 | 10.72555 | ab |
| spring:1980 | 10.57966 | ab |
| winter:2010 | 10.40433 | ab |
| winter:1980 | 10.24409 | b |

```
## Calculating partial eta-squared for each factor in the density ANOVA
consumer.density.anova.eta.squared <- eta_squared(
    Anova(consumer.density.anova, type = "II"),
    partial = TRUE
    )</pre>
```

Table 15: Table of the effect sizes in the consumer density ANOVA.

| Term | Eta-squared | CI | CI_Low | CI_High |
|---------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|
| Period | 0.007 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |
| Season | 0.168 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |
| Period:Season | 0.162 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |

Predator ANOVAs

Biomass ANOVA

```
predator.biomass.anova <- lm(
    log(predator.biomass) ~ Period * Season, data = predator.biomass.data
)</pre>
```




Figure 5: Diagnostic plots of the predator biomass ANOVA.

Table 16: ANOVA results for predator biomass by period, season, and the interaction.

| | Sums-of-Squares | df | F | P-value |
|---------------|-----------------|----|-------|---------|
| Period | 0.145 | 1 | 0.076 | 0.784 |
| Season | 12.100 | 3 | 2.121 | 0.112 |
| Period:Season | 2.442 | 3 | 0.428 | 0.734 |
| Residuals | 77.975 | 41 | NA | NA |

Table 17: Tukey groups assigned to seasons differing in predator biomass.

| | Predator Biomass | Grouping |
|--------|------------------|----------|
| fall | 7.219654 | a |
| spring | 6.870266 | a |
| summer | 6.781070 | a |
| winter | 5.819327 | a |

```
## Calculating partial eta-squared for each factor in the biomass ANOVA
predator.biomass.anova.eta.squared <- eta_squared(
    Anova(predator.biomass.anova, type = "II"),
    partial = TRUE
    )</pre>
```

Table 18: Table of the effect sizes in the predator biomass ANOVA.

| Term | Eta-squared | CI | CI_Low | CI_High |
|---------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|
| Period | 0.002 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |
| Season | 0.134 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |
| Period:Season | 0.030 | 0.95 | 0 | 1 |

Density ANOVA

```
predator.density.anova <- lm(
    log(predator.density) ~ Period * Season, data = predator.density.data
)</pre>
```




Figure 6: Diagnostic plots of the predator density ANOVA.

Table 19: ANOVA results for predator density by period, season, and the interaction.

| | Sums-of-Squares | df | F | P-value |
|---------------|-----------------|----|-------|---------|
| Period | 7.688 | 1 | 5.651 | 0.022 |
| Season | 3.138 | 3 | 0.769 | 0.518 |
| Period:Season | 1.308 | 3 | 0.320 | 0.811 |
| Residuals | 55.780 | 41 | NA | NA |

Table 20: Tukey groups assigned to periods differing in predator density.

| | Predator Density | Grouping |
|------|------------------|----------|
| 2010 | 7.688569 | a |
| 1980 | 6.889090 | b |


```
## Calculating partial eta-squared for each factor in the density ANOVA
predator.density.anova.eta.squared <- eta_squared(
    Anova(predator.density.anova, type = "II"),
    partial = TRUE
    )</pre>
```


Table 21: Table of the effect sizes in the predator density ANOVA.

| Term | Eta-squared | CI | CI_Low | CI_High |
|---------------|-------------|------|--------|---------|
| Period | 0.121 | 0.95 | 0.01 | 1 |
| Season | 0.053 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 1 |
| Period:Season | 0.023 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 1 |

Size Groupings

Body sizes were plotted to estimate size groupings. For each taxon, we calculated mean body mass across time periods by dividing total biomass by total abundance. Primary consumers were classified as Small: 0 - 0.4 mg, Medium: 0.41 - 1.0 mg, and Large: 1.1 - 12.0 mg. Predators were classified as Small: 0 - 5.0 mg, Medium: 5.1 - 15.0 mg, and Large: 15.1 - 30.0 mg.





```
## Divide groups up by size as per plot groupings
# Consumer.size.groups <- cut(
    consumer.size.data$consumer.size.estimates, c(0, 0.4, 1.0, 12.0, Inf),
    right = FALSE
    )
consumer.size.data$size.group <- as.numeric(consumer.size.groups)

# Predators
predator.size.groups <- cut(
    predator.size.data$predator.size.estimates, c(0, 5, 15, 30, Inf),
    right = FALSE
    )
predator.size.data$size.group <- as.numeric(predator.size.groups)</pre>
```

Percent Biomass Change

We quantified the percent biomass change in size groupings between sampling periods by illustrating numerical differences. We also compared differences in biomass of three dominant caddisfly taxa. We did not conduct any null hypothesis significance tests for this component of the study.

```
## Calculate percent change in overall biomass
full.summed.biomass.vector <- biomass.data$Summed_Biomass
summed.biomass.1980s <- full.summed.biomass.vector[1:25]
summed.biomass.2010s <- full.summed.biomass.vector[26:49]

## Divide mean 2010s biomass by mean 1980s biomass
percent.biomass.change <- (mean(summed.biomass.2010s)/mean(summed.biomass.1980s))*100
# 2010s biomass = 60.28% of 1980s biomass</pre>
```

Biomass Change & Contribution of Dominant Caddisflies

```
## Hydropsyche
Hydropsyche.biomass.1980s <- biomass.1980s$Hydropsyche
Hydropsyche.biomass.2010s <- biomass.2010s$Hydropsyche

## Chimarra
Chimarra.biomass.1980s <- biomass.1980s$Chimarra
Chimarra.biomass.2010s <- biomass.2010s$Chimarra

## Cheumatopsyche
Cheumatopsyche.biomass.1980s <- biomass.1980s$Cheumatopsyche
Cheumatopsyche.biomass.2010s <- biomass.2010s$Cheumatopsyche
```

Density Change

We numerically evaluated changes in density by size groupings for consumers and predators.

Consumers

Consumer taxa within each size grouping:

- Group 1: Amphinemura, Ancyronyx, Baetidae, Baetisca, Brachycentrus, Caenidae, Cheumatopsyche, Chironomidae, Crambidae, Cyrnellus, Dubiraphia, Elmidae, Ephemerellidae, Ephemeroptera, Heptageniidae, Hydropsychidae, Isonychia, Isopoda, Lepidoptera, Leptoceridae, Leptophlebiidae, Limnephilidae, Macronychus, Microcylloepus, Nectopsyche, Nemouridae, Neureclipsis, Polycentropodidae, Psephenus, Simuliidae, Stenelmis, Taeniopteryx, Tipulidae, Triaenodes, Trichoptera, Tricorythodes
- Group 2: Chimarra, Ectopria, Hydropsyche, Macrostemum, Paraponyx, Shipsa
- Group 3: Ironoquia, Neargyractis, Pteronarcys, Pycnopsyche

```
## Consumer data management
# 1980s
consumer.density.1980s <- density.data %>%
    filter(Period == "1980") %>%
    select(Amphinemura:Tricorythodes) %>%
    mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))

# Sum all biomass for the 1980s consumers
consumer.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(consumer.density.1980s$summed_density)

# 2010s
consumer.density.2010s <- density.data %>%
    filter(Period == "2010") %>%
    select(Amphinemura:Tricorythodes) %>%
    mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))

# Sum all biomass for the 2010s consumers
consumer.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(consumer.density.2010s$summed_density)</pre>
```

```
## 1980s consumers group 1 taxa
group.1.consumer.density.1980s <- consumer.density.1980s %>%
    select(Amphinemura, Ancyronyx, Baetidae, Baetisca, Brachycentrus, Caenidae,
                 Cheumatopsyche, Chironomidae, Crambidae, Cyrnellus, Dubiraphia, Elmidae,
                 Ephemerellidae, Ephemeroptera, Heptageniidae, Hydropsychidae, Isonychia,
                 Isopoda, Lepidoptera, Leptoceridae, Leptophlebiidae, Limnephilidae,
                 Macronychus, Microcylloepus, Nectopsyche, Nemouridae, Neureclipsis,
                 Polycentropodidae, Psephenus, Simuliidae, Stenelmis, Taeniopteryx,
                 Tipulidae, Triaenodes, Trichoptera, Tricorythodes) %>%
   mutate(summed density = rowSums(.))
## 1980s consumers group 1 total density
group.1.consumer.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(group.1.consumer.density.1980s$summed_density)
## 1980s consumers group 2 taxa
group.2.consumer.density.1980s <- consumer.density.1980s %>%
    select(Chimarra, Ectopria, Hydropsyche, Macrostemum, Paraponyx, Shipsa) %>%
    mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 1980s consumers group 2 total density
group.2.consumer.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(group.2.consumer.density.1980s$summed_density)
## 1980s consumers group 3 taxa
group.3.consumer.density.1980s <- consumer.density.1980s %>%
    select(Ironoquia, Neargyractis, Pteronarcys, Pycnopsyche) %>%
    mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 1980s consumers group 3 total density
group.3.consumer.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(group.3.consumer.density.1980s$summed_density)
```

```
## 2010s consumers group 1 taxa
group.1.consumer.density.2010s <- consumer.density.2010s %>%
    select(Amphinemura, Ancyronyx, Baetidae, Baetisca, Brachycentrus, Caenidae,
                 Cheumatopsyche, Chironomidae, Crambidae, Cyrnellus, Dubiraphia, Elmidae,
                 Ephemerellidae, Ephemeroptera, Heptageniidae, Hydropsychidae, Isonychia,
                 Isopoda, Lepidoptera, Leptoceridae, Leptophlebiidae, Limnephilidae,
                 Macronychus, Microcylloepus, Nectopsyche, Nemouridae, Neureclipsis,
                 Polycentropodidae, Psephenus, Simuliidae, Stenelmis, Taeniopteryx,
                 Tipulidae, Triaenodes, Trichoptera, Tricorythodes) %>%
   mutate(summed density = rowSums(.))
## 2010s consumers group 1 total density
group.1.consumer.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(group.1.consumer.density.2010s$summed_density)
## 2010s consumers group 2 taxa
group.2.consumer.density.2010s <- consumer.density.2010s %>%
    select(Chimarra, Ectopria, Hydropsyche, Macrostemum, Paraponyx, Shipsa) %>%
    mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 2010s consumers group 2 total density
group.2.consumer.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(group.2.consumer.density.2010s$summed_density)
## 2010s consumers group 3 taxa
group.3.consumer.density.2010s <- consumer.density.2010s %>%
    select(Ironoquia, Neargyractis, Pteronarcys, Pycnopsyche) %>%
   mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 2010s consumers group 3 total density
group.3.consumer.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(group.3.consumer.density.2010s$summed_density)
```

Predators

Predator taxa within each size grouping:

- Group 1: Acroneuria, Aeshnidae, Amphipoda, Anisoptera, Argia, Ceraclea, Ceratopogoninae, Cernotina, Coenagrionidae, Enallagma, Hemerodromia, Isoperla, Neoperla, Oecetis, Paragnetina, Perlesta, Perlidae, Perlodidae, Plecoptera
- Group 2: Aeshna, Boyeria, Corydalus, Hydroperla, Nasiaeschna
- Group 3: Helopicus, Neurocordulia

```
## Predator data management
# 1980s
predator.density.1980s <- density.data %>%
    filter(Period == "1980") %>%
    select(Acroneuria:Plecoptera) %>%
    mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))

# Sum all biomass for the 1980s predators
predator.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(predator.density.1980s$summed_density)

# 2010s
predator.density.2010s <- density.data %>%
    filter(Period == "2010") %>%
    select(Acroneuria:Plecoptera) %>%
    mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))

# Sum all biomass for the 2010s predators
predator.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(predator.density.2010s$summed_density)</pre>
```

```
## 1980s predators group 1 taxa
group.1.predator.density.1980s <- predator.density.1980s %>%
    select(Acroneuria, Aeshnidae, Amphipoda, Anisoptera, Argia, Ceraclea,
                 Ceratopogoninae, Cernotina, Coenagrionidae, Enallagma, Hemerodromia,
                 Isoperla, Neoperla, Oecetis, Paragnetina, Perlesta, Perlidae, Perlodidae, Plecoptera)
   mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 1980s predators group 1 total density
group.1.predator.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(group.1.predator.density.1980s$summed_density)
## 1980s predators group 2 taxa
group.2.predator.density.1980s <- predator.density.1980s %>%
    select(Aeshna, Boyeria, Corydalus, Hydroperla, Nasiaeschna) %>%
   mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 1980s predators group 2 total density
group.2.predator.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(group.2.predator.density.1980s$summed_density)
## 1980s predators group 3 taxa
group.3.predator.density.1980s <- predator.density.1980s %>%
    select(Helopicus, Neurocordulia) %>%
   mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 1980s predators group 3 total density
group.3.predator.density.1980s.total.density <- sum(group.3.predator.density.1980s$summed_density)
```

```
## 2010s predators group 1 taxa
group.1.predator.density.2010s <- predator.density.2010s %>%
    select(Acroneuria, Aeshnidae, Amphipoda, Anisoptera, Argia, Ceraclea,
                 Ceratopogoninae, Cernotina, Coenagrionidae, Enallagma, Hemerodromia,
                 Isoperla, Neoperla, Oecetis, Paragnetina, Perlesta, Perlidae, Perlodidae, Plecoptera)
   mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 2010s predators group 1 total density
group.1.predator.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(group.1.predator.density.2010s$summed_density)
## 2010s predators group 2 taxa
group.2.predator.density.2010s <- predator.density.2010s %>%
    select(Aeshna, Boyeria, Corydalus, Hydroperla, Nasiaeschna) %>%
   mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 2010s predators group 2 total density
group.2.predator.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(group.2.predator.density.2010s$summed_density)
## 2010s predators group 3 taxa
group.3.predator.density.2010s <- predator.density.2010s %>%
    select(Helopicus, Neurocordulia) %>%
   mutate(summed_density = rowSums(.))
## 2010s predators group 3 total density
group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density <- sum(group.3.predator.density.2010s$summed_density)
```

Biomass Chi-Squared Tests

We performed a chi-squared test to determine whether there were differences in density by size groupings between sampling period for consumers and predators. Chi-squared tests were performed using chisq.test(), with observed and expected frequencies examined to confirm test assumptions were met. Additionally, we assessed residuals to determine over- and under-represented size groupings by sampling period. Residuals were calculated as:

$$\frac{Observed-Expected}{\sqrt{Expected}}$$

Consumers

```
## Set consumer chi-square dataframe
consumer.chi.square.data <- as.data.frame(data.frame(matrix(0, nrow = 3, ncol = 2)))
colnames(consumer.chi.square.data) <- c("1980s", "2010s")
rownames(consumer.chi.square.data) <- c("Group_1", "Group_2", "Group_3")

## Add biomass values by groups for the 1980s and 2010s
# 1980s
consumer.chi.square.data$^1980s^ <- c(
    group.1.consumer.density.1980s.total.density,
    group.2.consumer.density.1980s.total.density,
    group.3.consumer.density.1980s.total.density
)

# 2010s
consumer.chi.square.data$^2010s^ <- c(
    group.1.consumer.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.2.consumer.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.consumer.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.consumer.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.consumer.density.2010s.total.density
)</pre>
```

```
consumer.chi.square.test <- chisq.test(consumer.chi.square.data)
# chi-squared = 46424, df = 2, P < 0.00001</pre>
```

Table 22: Observed densities by size groupings for the consumer chi-squared test.

| | 1980s | 2010s |
|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Group_1 | 2302319.190 | 1999622.789 |
| $Group_2$ | 277220.761 | 111083.255 |
| Group_3 | 913.892 | 130.078 |

Table 23: Expected densities by size groupings for the consumer chi-squared test.

| | 1980s | 2010s |
|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Group_1 | 2366292.170 | 1935649.809 |
| $Group_2$ | 213587.435 | 174716.581 |
| Group_3 | 574.238 | 469.732 |

Table 24: Residual densities by size groupings for the consumer chi-squared test.

| | 1980s | 2010s |
|------------|---------|----------|
| Group_1 | -41.587 | 45.982 |
| $Group_2$ | 137.688 | -152.236 |
| $Group_3$ | 14.174 | -15.672 |




Figure 7: Plot of residuals from the consumer chi-squared test.

Predators

```
## Set predator chi-square dataframe
predator.chi.square.data <- as.data.frame(data.frame(matrix(0, nrow = 3, ncol = 2)))
colnames(predator.chi.square.data) <- c("1980s", "2010s")
rownames(predator.chi.square.data) <- c("Group_1", "Group_2", "Group_3")

## Add biomass values by groups for the 1980s and 2010s
# 1980s
predator.chi.square.data$^1980s^ <- c(
    group.1.predator.density.1980s.total.density,
    group.2.predator.density.1980s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.1980s.total.density
)

# 2010s
predator.chi.square.data$^2010s^ <- c(
    group.1.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.2.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density,
    group.3.predator.density.2010s.total.density</pre>
```

```
predator.chi.square.test <- chisq.test(predator.chi.square.data)
# chi-squared = 6.8918, df = 2, P = 0.03188</pre>
```

Table 25: Observed densities by size groupings for the predator chi-squared test.

| | 1980s | 2010s |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Group_1 | 46049.372 | 85669.746 |
| $Group_2$ | 1225.790 | 2425.899 |
| Group_3 | 158.157 | 241.046 |

Table 26: Expected densities by size groupings for the predator chi-squared test.

| | 1980s | 2010s |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Group_1 | 46018.078 | 85701.040 |
| $Group_2$ | 1275.773 | 2375.916 |
| Group_3 | 139.467 | 259.735 |

Table 27: Residual densities by size groupings for the predator chi-squared test.

| | 1980s | 2010s |
|------------|--------|--------|
| Group_1 | 0.146 | -0.107 |
| $Group_2$ | -1.399 | 1.025 |
| Group_3 | 1.583 | -1.160 |




Figure 8: Plot of residuals from the predator chi-squared test.

Biomass Contributions

Biomass contributions were calculated for consumer and predator groups. We again illustrated numerical differences without conducting any null hypothesis significance tests.

Consumers

Predators

Individual Body Size Change

We tested whether mean body sizes of the most dominant primary consumers and predators were different between sampling periods. We calculated the average percent composition of biomass for each taxon within each sampling period and focused on the five most dominant consumers and the three most dominant predators. We calculated average individual mass for each sampling month by dividing biomass by abundance and performed two-sample Welch t-tests on average mass between the 1980s and the 2010s for each of the dominant taxa.

- Dominant consumers = Hydropsyche, Cheumatopsyche, Chimarra, Heptageniidae, Chironomidae
- Dominant predators = Corydalus, Paragnetina, Neurocordulia

Body Size t-Tests

```
## Data management for body size t-tests
## Hydropsyche
Hydropsyche.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Hydropsyche)/(density.1980s$Hydropsyche))
Hydropsyche.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Hydropsyche)/(density.2010s$Hydropsyche))
## Cheumatopsyche
Cheumatopsyche.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Cheumatopsyche)/(density.1980s$Cheumatopsyche))
Cheumatopsyche.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Cheumatopsyche)/(density.2010s$Cheumatopsyche))
## Chimarra
Chimarra.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Chimarra)/(density.1980s$Chimarra))
Chimarra.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Chimarra)/(density.2010s$Chimarra))
## Heptageniidae
Heptageniidae.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Heptageniidae)/(density.1980s$Heptageniidae))</pre>
Heptageniidae.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Heptageniidae)/(density.2010s$Heptageniidae))</pre>
## Chironomidae
Chironomidae.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Chironomidae)/(density.1980s$Chironomidae))
Chironomidae.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Chironomidae)/(density.2010s$Chironomidae))
## Corydalus
Corydalus.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Corydalus)/(density.1980s$Corydalus))</pre>
Corydalus.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Corydalus)/(density.2010s$Corydalus))</pre>
## Paragnetina
Paragnetina.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Paragnetina)/(density.1980s$Paragnetina))
Paragnetina.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Paragnetina)/(density.2010s$Paragnetina))
## Neurocordulia
Neurocordulia.80.size <- ((biomass.1980s$Neurocordulia)/(density.1980s$Neurocordulia))
Neurocordulia.10.size <- ((biomass.2010s$Neurocordulia)/(density.2010s$Neurocordulia))
```

```
## Hydrospyche
t.test(log(Hydropsyche.80.size + 0.00001), log(Hydropsyche.10.size + 0.00001),
       paired = FALSE)
# t = 1.8613, df = 46.739, P-value = 0.069
## Cheumatopsyche
t.test(log(Cheumatopsyche.80.size + 0.00001), log(Cheumatopsyche.10.size + 0.00001),
       paired = FALSE)
\# \ t = 5.1915, \ df = 46.86, \ P-value < 0.001
## Chimarra
t.test(log(Chimarra.80.size + 0.00001), log(Chimarra.10.size + 0.00001),
       paired = FALSE)
# t = 0.42278, df = 44.042, P-value = 0.675
## Heptageniidae
t.test(log(Heptageniidae.80.size + 0.00001), log(Heptageniidae.10.size + 0.00001),
       paired = FALSE)
# t = -0.40634, df = 46.633, P-value = 0.686
## Chironomidae
t.test(log(Chironomidae.80.size + 0.00001), log(Chironomidae.10.size + 0.00001),
       paired = FALSE)
# t = -0.17408, df = 46.334, P-value = 0.863
## Corydalus
t.test(log(Corydalus.80.size + 0.00001), log(Corydalus.10.size + 0.00001),
       paired = FALSE)
# t = 1.2464, df = 31.152, P-value = 0.222
## Paragnetina
t.test(Paragnetina.80.size + 0.00001, Paragnetina.10.size + 0.00001,
       paired = FALSE)
\# \ t = 0.2442, \ df = 26.9, \ P-value = 0.809
## Neurocordulia
t.test(Neurocordulia.80.size + 0.00001, Neurocordulia.10.size + 0.00001,
       paired = FALSE)
# t = 1.3378, df = 28.187, P-value = 0.192
```

Community Composition

Community composition was evaluated by calculating a Bray-Curtis distance matrix on a taxon-by-sample biomass matrix. We then conducted a PERMANOVA on this distance matrix to test for effects of sampling period, season, and the interaction on community structure.

```
## Data management for the PERMANOVA
# Sample information for the distance matrix
distance.matrix.info <- biomass.data %>%
    select(UID, Year, Season, Period)
# Taxa-by-abundance community matrix
community.matrix <- log(invertebrate.biomass.matrix + 1)</pre>
## Calculate Bray-Curtis Distance
BC.distance.biomass <- vegdist(community.matrix, method = "bray")
## NMDS Ordination
BC.NMDS.biomass <- monoMDS(BC.distance.biomass, k = 2, model = "global")
# Stress = 0.1819
## PERMANOVA by period, season, and the interaction
community.composition.PERMANOVA <- adonis(</pre>
   BC.distance.biomass ~ Period * Season,
   data = distance.matrix.info,
   permutations = 10000
```

Table 28: Summary of the PERMANOVA comparing composition by period, season, and the interaction.

| Term | df | Sums-of-Squares | Mean Square | F | \$R^2\$ | P-value |
|---------------|----|-----------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Period | 1 | 0.985 | 0.985 | 14.868 | 0.196 | 0.000 |
| Season | 3 | 1.046 | 0.349 | 5.265 | 0.209 | 0.000 |
| Period:Season | 3 | 0.267 | 0.089 | 1.341 | 0.053 | 0.131 |
| Residuals | 41 | 2.716 | 0.066 | NA | 0.542 | NA |
| Total | 48 | 5.013 | NA | NA | 1.000 | NA |

Indicator Taxa Analysis

We conducted an indicator species analysis to determine which taxa characterized specific sampling period and season groups.

```
## Create groups based on season: Group 1 = 1980s, Group 2 = 2010s
period.groups <- c(rep(1, 25), rep(2, 24))

## Run indicator analysis comparing by period
indicator.analysis <- multipatt(
    community.matrix,
    period.groups,
    control = how(nperm = 10000)
    )</pre>
```

Table 29: Summary table of the sign of the relationship from the indicator taxa analysis.

| | 1980s | 2010s | Index | Test Statistic | P-value |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------|
| | | | | | |
| Amphinemura | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.346 | 0.238 |
| Ancyronyx | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.857 | NA |
| Baetidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.000 | NA |
| Baetisca | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.552 | 0.030 |
| Brachycentrus | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.764 | 0.000 |
| Caenidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.795 | NA |
| Cheumatopsyche | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.000 | NA |
| Chimarra | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.979 | NA |
| Chironomidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.000 | NA |
| Crambidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.281 | 0.366 |
| Cyrnellus | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.645 | 0.000 |
| Dubiraphia | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.319 | NA |
| Ectopria | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.400 | 0.109 |
| Elmidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.945 | 0.000 |
| Ephemerellidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.795 | NA |
| Ephemeroptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.996 | 0.000 |
| Heptageniidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.000 | NA |
| Hydropsyche | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.000 | NA |
| Hydropsychidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.881 | NA |
| Ironoquia | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.319 | NA |
| Isonychia | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.904 | NA |
| Isopoda | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.728 | NA |
| Lepidoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.568 | 0.004 |
| Leptoceridae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.886 | 0.000 |
| Leptophlebiidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.599 | 0.003 |
| Limnephilidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.284 | 0.366 |
| Macronychus | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.958 | NA |
| Macrostemum | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.839 | 0.000 |
| Microcylloepus | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.704 | 0.000 |
| Neargyractis | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.400 | 0.112 |
| Nectopsyche | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.889 | 0.000 |
| | | | | | |

Table 29: Summary table of the sign of the relationship from the indicator taxa analysis. (continued)

| | 1980s | 2010s | Index | Test Statistic | P-value |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------|
| Nemouridae | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| Neureclipsis | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.589 | NA |
| Paraponyx | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.429 | NA |
| Polycentropodidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.661 | 0.001 |
| Psephenus | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| Pteronarcys | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.937 | 0.000 |
| Pycnopsyche | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.202 | NA |
| Shipsa | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| Simuliidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.926 | NA |
| Stenelmis | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.990 | NA |
| Taeniopteryx | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.589 | NA |
| Tipulidae | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.529 | 0.010 |
| Triaenodes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.733 | 0.000 |
| Trichoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.537 | 0.041 |
| Tricorythodes | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.742 | NA |
| Acroneuria | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.833 | NA |
| Aeshna | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| Aeshnidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.232 |
| Amphipoda | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.875 | 0.000 |
| Anisoptera | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.237 |
| Argia | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.745 | 0.000 |
| Boyeria | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.507 | 0.041 |
| Ceraclea | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.680 | 0.002 |
| Ceratopogoninae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.958 | NA |
| Cernotina | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.577 | 0.002 |
| Coenagrionidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.524 | 0.058 |
| Corydalus | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.881 | NA |
| Enallagma | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.537 | 0.008 |
| Helopicus | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| Hemerodromia | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.881 | NA |
| Hydroperla | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.237 |
| Isoperla | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.426 | 0.105 |
| Nasiaeschna | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.289 | 0.242 |
| Neoperla | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.904 | NA |
| Neurocordulia | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.821 | NA |
| Oecetis | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.904 | NA |
| Paragnetina | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.915 | NA |
| Perlesta | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.795 | NA |
| Perlidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.881 | NA |
| Perlodidae | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.354 | 0.114 |
| Plecoptera | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.728 | NA |

Carbon & Discharge Regression

We evaluated the relationship between dissolved organic carbon and discharge using linear regression. Monthly averages of carbon and discharge during our sampling periods (i.e., 1980s = December 1981-November 1983, 2010s = July 2015-August 2017). We fitted the regression using lm() and checked assumptions using check_model().




Figure 9: Diagnostic plots of the carbon and discharge regression.

Table 30: Summary of the carbon by discharge regression.

| Term | Estimate | SE | t-statistic | P-value |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------|-------------|---------|
| (Intercept) | 0.387 | 0.299 | 1.297 | 0.201 |
| $\log({\rm Mean_Discharge})$ | 0.244 | 0.041 | 5.907 | 0.000 |

R Session Information

Table 31: R session information for transparency and reproducing results.

| Setting | Value |
|----------|--|
| version | R version 4.1.2 (2021-11-01) |
| os | macOS Big Sur 10.16 |
| system | x86_64, darwin17.0 |
| ui | X11 |
| language | (EN) |
| collate | en_CA.UTF-8 |
| ctype | en_CA.UTF-8 |
| tz | America/Toronto |
| date | 2022-01-16 |
| pandoc | $2.14.0.3 @ / Applications / RStudio.app / Contents / MacOS / pandoc / \ (via\ rmarkdown)$ |

Table 32: Packages for data management and analysis.

| Package | Loaded Version | Date |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| agricolae | 1.3-5 | 2021-06-06 |
| bayestestR | 0.11.5 | 2021-10-30 |
| broom | 0.7.11 | 2022-01-03 |
| car | 3.0-12 | 2021-11-06 |
| carData | 3.0-5 | 2022-01-06 |
| correlation | 0.7.1 | 2021-10-06 |
| datawizard | 0.2.2 | 2022-01-04 |
| dplyr | 1.0.7 | 2021-06-18 |
| easystats | 0.4.3 | 2021-11-07 |
| effectsize | 0.5 | 2021-10-04 |
| forcats | 0.5.1 | 2021-01-27 |
| ggplot2 | 3.3.5 | 2021-06-25 |
| indicspecies | 1.7.9 | 2020-02-04 |
| insight | 0.15.0 | 2022-01-07 |
| kableExtra | 1.3.4 | 2021-02-20 |
| knitr | 1.37 | 2021-12-16 |
| lattice | 0.20 - 45 | 2021-09-22 |
| modelbased | 0.7.0.1 | 2021 - 11 - 17 |
| parameters | 0.15.0 | 2021-10-18 |
| performance | 0.8.0 | 2021-10-01 |
| permute | 0.9-5 | 2019-03-12 |
| purrr | 0.3.4 | 2020 - 04 - 17 |
| readr | 2.1.1 | 2021-11-30 |
| report | 0.4.0 | 2021-09-30 |
| reshape2 | 1.4.4 | 2020-04-09 |
| see | 0.6.8 | 2021-10-03 |
| stringr | 1.4.0 | 2019-02-10 |
| tibble | 3.1.6 | 2021 - 11 - 07 |
| tidyr | 1.1.4 | 2021-09-27 |
| tidyverse | 1.3.1 | 2021-04-15 |
| vegan | 2.5-7 | 2020-11-28 |
| waterData | 1.0.8 | 2017-04-28 |