```
In [ ]: from instrument import instrument #utility to help visualize recursive calls
  (on stderr)

In [ ]: instrument.SHOW_CALL = True
  instrument.SHOW_RET = True
```

Recursive Patterns

Let's start with some simple functions that recurse on lists...

Walk the list to find the first value satisfying function f

```
In [ ]: @instrument
    def walk_list(L, f):
        """Walk a list -- in a recursive style. Note that this is done as a
        stepping stone toward other recursive functions, and so does not
        use easier/direct built-in list functions.

        In this first version -- walk the list just to find/return the
        FIRST item that satisfies some condition, where f(item) is true.

        >>> walk_list([1, 2, 3], lambda x: x > 2)
        3
        """
        pass
In []: walk_list([1, 2, 3], lambda x: x > 2)
```

Walk a list, but now returning a list of items that satisfy f -- uses stack

```
In [ ]: @instrument
    def walk_list_filter1(L, f):
        """ Walk a list, returning a list of items that satisfy the
        condition f.

        This implementation uses the stack to hold intermediate results,
        and completes construction of the return list upon return of
        the recursive call.

        >>> walk_list_filter1([1, 2, 3], lambda x: x % 2 == 1) #odd only
        [1, 3]
        pass
In []: walk_list_filter1([1, 2, 3], lambda x: x % 2 == 1)
```

Walk a list, returning a list of items that satisfy f -- uses helper with a "so_far" argument


```
In [ ]: walk_list_filter2([1, 2, 3], lambda x: x % 2 == 1)
```

Note the difference in how this works. walk_list_filter2 builds up the result as an evolving argument to helper. When we're done, the stack does nothing more than keep passing that result back up the call chain (i.e., is written in a tail-recursive fashion). In contrast, walk_list_filter1 uses the stack to hold partial results, and then does further work to build or complete the result after each recursive call returns.

Now consider some functions that recurse on trees...

We want to extend the basic idea of recursive walkers and builders for lists, now to trees. We'll see the same patterns at work, but now often with more base cases and/or more recursive branch cases.

For these examples, we need a simple tree structure. Here we'll represent a node in a tree as a list with the first element being the node value, and the rest of the list being the children nodes. That is to say, our tree structure is a simple nested list structure.




```
In [ ]:
        @instrument
        def depth_tree(tree):
             """ Walk a tree, returning the depth of the tree
            >>> depth_tree([13, [7], [8, [99], [16, [77]], [42]]])
             . . . .
             pass
In [ ]: depth_tree([13, [7], [8, [99], [16, [77]], [42]]])
In [ ]: @instrument
        def tree max(tree):
             """Walk a tree, returning the maximum value in the (assumed non-empty) t
             >>> tree max([13, [7], [8, [99], [16, [77]], [42]]])
            99
             0.00
             pass
In [ ]: tree_max(tree1)
```


Notice that the recursion structure is exactly the same in both cases? We could generalize to something like a $walk_tree$ that took a tree and a function f (and perhaps some other base case values), and did that operation at each step. We'll leave that as an exercise for the reader.

Finally, consider some functions that recurse on directed graphs...

For this, we need a more sophisticated structure, since a node may be referenced from more than one other node. We'll represent a directed graph (also known as a "digraph") as a dictionary with node names as keys, and associated with the key is a list holding the node value and a list of children node names. The special name 'root' is the root of the graph.



Moreover, graphs may also contain cycles! E.g.,



How do we avoid infinite recursion?

```
In [ ]: graph1 = {'root': [13, ['A', 'B']],
                  'A': [77, ['B', 'C']],
                  'B': [88, []],
                  'C': [-32, ['D']],
                  'D': [42, []]}
'B': [88, []],
                  'C': [-32, ['D']],
'D': [42, ['A']]} # D --> A
In [ ]:
        @instrument
        def graph_max(graph):
            """Walk a graph, returning the maximum value in a (non-empty) graph.
            However, there might be cycles, so need to be careful not to
            get stuck in them!
            @instrument
            def node_max(node_name):
                pass
            return node_max('root')
In [ ]:
        instrument.SHOW CALL = True
        instrument.SHOW_RET = True
In [ ]: graph_max(graph1)
In [ ]: graph_max(graph2)
```

Circular Lists

It's possible to create a simple python list that has itself as an element. In essence, that means that python lists themselves might be "graphs" and have cycles in them, not just have a tree-like structure!

We'd like a version of deep_copy_list that could create a (separate standalone) copy of a recursive list, with the same structural sharing (including any cycles that might exist!) as in the original recursive list.

```
In [ ]:
        @instrument
        def deep_copy_list(old, copies=None):
            if copies is None:
                copies = {}
            oid = id(old)
                               #get the unique python object-id for old
            if oid in copies: #base case: already copied object, just return it
                return copies[oid]
            if not isinstance(old, list): #base case: not a list, remember & return
        it
                copies[oid] = old
                return copies[oid]
            #recursive case
            copies[oid] = []
            for e in old:
                copies[oid].append(deep_copy_list(e, copies))
            return copies[oid]
```