

Random Dieudonné Modules and the Cohen-Lenstra Heuristics

David Zureick-Brown
Bryden Cais
Jordan Ellenberg

Emory University

Slides available at <http://www.mathcs.emory.edu/~dzb/slides/>

Arithmetic of abelian varieties in families
Lausanne, Switzerland
November 13, 2012

How often does p **divide** $h(-D)$?

What is

$$P(p \mid h(-D)) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{0 \leq D \leq X \text{ s.t. } p \mid h(-D)\}}{\#\{0 \leq D \leq X\}}?$$

Guess: Random Integer?

$$P(p \mid h(-D)) = P(p \mid D) = \frac{1}{p} ???$$

$$\begin{aligned}P(p \mid h(-D)) &\approx \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p^5} - \frac{1}{p^7} + \cdots && (p \text{ odd}) \\&= 1 - \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^i}\right) \\&= \mathbf{0.43 \dots \neq 1/3} && (p = 3) \\&= \mathbf{0.23 \dots \neq 1/5} && (p = 5)\end{aligned}$$

$$P(\text{Cl}(-D)_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbf{0.070}$$

$$P(\text{Cl}(-D)_3 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) \approx \mathbf{0.0097}$$

Random finite abelian groups

Idea

$$P(p \mid h(-D)) = P(p \mid \#G) = ???$$

Random finite abelian groups

Idea

$$P(p \mid h(-D)) = P(p \mid \#G) = ???$$

Let \underline{G}_p be the set of isomorphism classes of **finite abelian groups of p -power order**.

Random finite abelian groups

Idea

$$P(p \mid h(-D)) = P(p \mid \#G) = ???$$

Let \underline{G}_p be the set of isomorphism classes of **finite abelian groups of p -power order**.

Theorem (Cohen, Lenstra)

$$(i) \quad \sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{1}{\# \text{Aut } G} = \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^i}\right)^{-1} = C_p^{-1}$$

Random finite abelian groups

Idea

$$P(p \mid h(-D)) = P(p \mid \#G) = ???$$

Let \underline{G}_p be the set of isomorphism classes of **finite abelian groups of p -power order**.

Theorem (Cohen, Lenstra)

- (i) $\sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{1}{\# \text{Aut } G} = \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^i}\right)^{-1} = C_p^{-1}$
- (ii) $G \mapsto \frac{C_p}{\# \text{Aut } G}$ is a **probability distribution** on \underline{G}_p

Random finite abelian groups

Idea

$$P(p \mid h(-D)) = P(p \mid \#G) = ???$$

Let \underline{G}_p be the set of isomorphism classes of **finite abelian groups of p -power order**.

Theorem (Cohen, Lenstra)

- (i) $\sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{1}{\# \text{Aut } G} = \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^i}\right)^{-1} = C_p^{-1}$
- (ii) $G \mapsto \frac{C_p}{\# \text{Aut } G}$ is a **probability distribution** on \underline{G}_p
- (iii) $\text{Avg}(\#G[p]) = \text{Avg}(p^{r_p(G)}) = 2$

Cohen and Lenstra's conjecture

Let $f: \underline{G}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a function.

Definition

$$\text{Avg } f = \sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{C_p}{\# \text{Aut } G} \cdot f(G)$$

Cohen and Lenstra's conjecture

Let $f: \underline{G}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a function.

Definition

$$\text{Avg } f = \sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{c_p}{\# \text{Aut } G} \cdot f(G)$$

$$\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} f(\text{Cl}(-D)_p)}{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} 1}$$

Cohen and Lenstra's conjecture

Let $f: \underline{G}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a function.

Definition

$$\text{Avg } f = \sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{C_p}{\# \text{Aut } G} \cdot f(G)$$

$$\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} f(\text{Cl}(-D)_p)}{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} 1}$$

Conjecture (Cohen, Lenstra)

(i) $\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \text{Avg } f$

Cohen and Lenstra's conjecture

Let $f: \underline{G}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a function.

Definition

$$\text{Avg } f = \sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{c_p}{\# \text{Aut } G} \cdot f(G)$$

$$\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} f(\text{Cl}(-D)_p)}{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} 1}$$

Conjecture (Cohen, Lenstra)

- (i) $\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \text{Avg } f$
- (ii) $\text{Avg}(\# \text{Cl}(-D)[p]) = 2$

Cohen and Lenstra's conjecture

Let $f: \underline{G}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a function.

Definition

$$\text{Avg } f = \sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{C_p}{\# \text{Aut } G} \cdot f(G)$$

$$\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} f(\text{Cl}(-D)_p)}{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} 1}$$

Conjecture (Cohen, Lenstra)

- (i) $\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \text{Avg } f$
- (ii) $\text{Avg}(\# \text{Cl}(-D)[p])^2 = 2 + p$

Cohen and Lenstra's conjecture

Let $f: \underline{G}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a function.

Definition

$$\text{Avg } f = \sum_{G \in \underline{G}_p} \frac{C_p}{\# \text{Aut } G} \cdot f(G)$$

$$\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} f(\text{Cl}(-D)_p)}{\sum_{0 \leq D \leq X} 1}$$

Conjecture (Cohen, Lenstra)

- (i) $\text{Avg}_{\text{Cl}} f = \text{Avg } f$
- (ii) $\text{Avg} (\# \text{Cl}(-D)[p])^2 = 2 + p$
- (iii) $P(\text{Cl}(-D)_p \cong G) = \frac{C_p}{\# \text{Aut } G}.$

- Davenport-Heilbronn – $\text{Avg Cl}(-D)[3] = 2$
- Bhargava – $\text{Avg Cl}(K)[2] = 3$ (K cubic)
- Bhargava – counts quartic dihedral extensions
- Kohnen-Ono – $N_{p \nmid h}(X) \gg \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log x}$
- Heath-Brown – $N_{p|h}(X) \gg \frac{x^{\frac{9}{10}}}{\log x}$
- Byeon – $N_{\text{Cl}_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^2}(X) \gg \frac{x^{\frac{1}{g}}}{\log x}$

$$\mathrm{Cl}(-D) = \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K)$$

VS

$$\mathrm{Pic}(C)$$

$$\mathrm{Cl}(-D) = \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K)$$

VS

$$\mathrm{Pic}(C) \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\mathrm{Cl}(-D) = \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K)$$

VS

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(C) \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

Basic Question over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, $\ell \neq p$

Fix $G \in \underline{G}_\ell$.

What is

$$P(\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)_\ell \cong G)?$$

(Limit is taken as $\deg f \rightarrow \infty$, where $C: y^2 = f(x)$.)

Main Tool over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ – Tate Module

$$\mathrm{Aut} \, T_\ell(\mathrm{Jac}_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$$

Main Tool over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ – Tate Module

$$\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} \, T_\ell(\mathrm{Jac}_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$$

Main Tool over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ – Tate Module

$$\text{Frob} \in \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \rightarrow \text{Aut } T_\ell(\text{Jac}_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$$

Main Tool over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ – Tate Module

- $\text{Frob} \in \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \rightarrow \text{Aut } T_\ell(\text{Jac}_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{2g}$
- $\text{coker}(\text{Frob} - \text{Id}) \cong \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_q)_\ell = \text{Pic}^0(C)$

$$F \in \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \text{ (w/ Haar measure)}$$

$$F \in \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \text{ (w/ Haar measure)}$$

Theorem (Friedman, Washington)

$$P(\mathrm{coker} F - I \cong L) = \frac{C_\ell}{\#\mathrm{Aut} L}$$

$$F \in \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \text{ (w/ Haar measure)}$$

Theorem (Friedman, Washington)

$$P(\mathrm{coker} F - I \cong L) = \frac{C_\ell}{\#\mathrm{Aut} L}$$

Conjecture

$$P(\mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \cong L) = \frac{C_\ell}{\#\mathrm{Aut} L}$$

In the limit (w/ upper and lower densities):

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| Achter | – conjectures are true for GSp_{2g} instead of GL_{2g} . |
| Ellenberg-Venkatesh | – conjectures are true if $\ell \nmid q - 1$. |
| Garton | – explicit conjectures for $\mathrm{GSp}_{2g}, \ell \mid q - 1$. |

Basic question – what is

$$P(p \mid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p))?$$

$$T_\ell(\text{Jac}_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^r, 0 \leq r \leq g$$

$$T_\ell(\text{Jac}_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^r, 0 \leq r \leq g$$

Definition

The **p -rank** of Jac_C is the integer r .

$$T_\ell(\text{Jac}_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^r, 0 \leq r \leq g$$

Definition

The p -**rank** of Jac_C is the integer r .

Complication

As C varies, r varies. Need to know the distribution of p -ranks, or find a better algebraic gadget than $T_\ell(\text{Jac}_C)$.

Definition

(i) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Z}_q[F, V]/(FV = VF = p, Fz = z^\sigma F, Vz = z^{\sigma^{-1}} V).$

Definition

- (i) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Z}_q[F, V]/(FV = VF = p, Fz = z^\sigma F, Vz = z^{\sigma^{-1}} V)$.
- (ii) A **Dieudonné module** is a \mathbb{D} -module which is finite and free as a \mathbb{Z}_q module.

Definition

- (i) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Z}_q[F, V]/(FV = VF = p, Fz = z^\sigma F, Vz = z^{\sigma^{-1}} V)$.
- (ii) A **Dieudonné module** is a \mathbb{D} -module which is finite and free as a \mathbb{Z}_q module.

Jac_C

Definition

- (i) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Z}_q[F, V]/(FV = VF = p, Fz = z^\sigma F, Vz = z^{\sigma^{-1}} V)$.
- (ii) A **Dieudonné module** is a \mathbb{D} -module which is finite and free as a \mathbb{Z}_q module.

$$M = H_{\text{cris}}^1(\text{Jac}_C, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

\nearrow

Jac_C

Dieudonné Modules

Definition

- (i) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Z}_q[F, V]/(FV = VF = p, Fz = z^\sigma F, Vz = z^{\sigma^{-1}}V)$.
- (ii) A **Dieudonné module** is a \mathbb{D} -module which is finite and free as a \mathbb{Z}_q module.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M = H_{\text{cris}}^1(\text{Jac}_C, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \\ \nearrow & \uparrow & \\ \text{Jac}_C & & \\ \searrow & & \\ & \{ \text{Jac}_C[p^n] \}_n & \end{array}$$

Dieudonné Modules

Definition

- (i) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Z}_q[F, V]/(FV = VF = p, Fz = z^\sigma F, Vz = z^{\sigma^{-1}} V)$.
- (ii) A **Dieudonné module** is a \mathbb{D} -module which is finite and free as a \mathbb{Z}_q module.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M = H_{\text{cris}}^1(\text{Jac}_C, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \\ \nearrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \text{dashed} & \searrow \text{solid} \\ \text{Jac}_C & & H_{\text{dR}}^1(\text{Jac}_C, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \searrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \text{dashed} & \\ & \{\text{Jac}_C[p^n]\}_n & \end{array}$$

Dieudonné Modules

Definition

- (i) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{Z}_q[F, V]/(FV = VF = p, Fz = z^\sigma F, Vz = z^{\sigma^{-1}}V)$.
- (ii) A **Dieudonné module** is a \mathbb{D} -module which is finite and free as a \mathbb{Z}_q module.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M = H_{\text{cris}}^1(\text{Jac}_C, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \\ \nearrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \text{dashed} & \searrow \\ \text{Jac}_C & & H_{\text{dR}}^1(\text{Jac}_C, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \searrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \text{dashed} & \circlearrowleft \\ & \{\text{Jac}_C[p^n]\}_n & V^{-1}: df \mapsto \frac{d(f^p)}{p} \end{array}$$

Invariants

- (i) $p\text{-rank}(\text{Jac}_C) = \dim F^\infty(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p).$
- (ii) $a(\text{Jac}_C) = \dim \text{Hom}(\alpha_p, \text{Jac}_C[p]) = \dim (\ker V \cap \ker F).$
- (iii) $\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)_p = \text{coker}(F - \text{Id})|_{F^\infty(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)}.$

Principally quasi polarized Dieudonné modules

Definition

A **principally quasi polarized** Dieudonné module is a Dieudonné module M together with a non-degenerate symplectic pairing \langle , \rangle such that for all $x, y \in M$,

$$\langle Fx, y \rangle = \sigma \langle x, Vy \rangle.$$

Main Theorem

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

(i) $\text{Mod}^{pqp} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

Main Theorem

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

(i) $\text{Mod}^{pqp} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

(Push forward along $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$)

Main Theorem

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

(i) $\text{Mod}^{pqp} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

(Push forward along $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$)

$$(ii) \quad P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

Main Theorem

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

(i) $\text{Mod}^{pqp} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

(Push forward along $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$)

$$(ii) \quad P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

(iii) $P(r(M) = g - s) = \text{complicated but explicit expression}.$

Main Theorem

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

(i) $\text{Mod}^{pqp} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

(Push forward along $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$)

$$(ii) \quad P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

(iii) $P(r(M) = g - s) = \text{complicated but explicit expression}.$

$$(iii') \quad P(r(M) = g - 2) = (p^{-2} + p^{-3}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1}$$

Main Theorem

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

(i) $\text{Mod}^{pqp} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

(Push forward along $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$)

$$(ii) \quad P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

(iii) $P(r(M) = g - s) = \text{complicated but explicit expression}.$

$$(iii') \quad P(r(M) = g - 2) = (p^{-2} + p^{-3}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1}$$

(iv) 1st moment is 2.

Main Theorem

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

(i) $\text{Mod}^{pqp} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

(Push forward along $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$)

$$(ii) \quad P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

(iii) $P(r(M) = g - s) = \text{complicated but explicit expression}.$

$$(iii') \quad P(r(M) = g - 2) = (p^{-2} + p^{-3}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1}$$

(iv) 1st moment is 2.

$$(v) \quad P(p \nmid \# \text{coker}(F - \text{Id})|_{F^\infty(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)}) = C_p.$$

Part (i)

$\text{Mod}^{\text{pp}} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

Part (i)

$\text{Mod}^{\text{pp}} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

- 1 $(D, \langle, \rangle, F, V)$ s.t., $FV = VF = p$ and $\langle F(-), - \rangle = \sigma \langle -, V(-) \rangle$.

Part (i)

$\text{Mod}^{\text{pqp}} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

① $(D, \langle, \rangle, F, V)$ s.t., $FV = VF = p$ and $\langle F(-), - \rangle = \sigma \langle -, V(-) \rangle$.

② $D = \mathbb{Z}_q^{2g}$, $\langle, \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $F_0 = \begin{bmatrix} pI & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, $V_0 = pF^{-1}$.

Part (i)

$\text{Mod}^{\text{pqp}} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

$$\textcircled{1} (D, \langle, \rangle, F, V) \text{ s.t., } FV = VF = p \text{ and } \langle F(-), - \rangle = \sigma \langle -, V(-) \rangle.$$

$$\textcircled{2} D = \mathbb{Z}_q^{2g}, \langle, \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, F_0 = \begin{bmatrix} pI & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, V_0 = pF^{-1}.$$

Proposition

The double coset space $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ contains **all** pqp Dieudonné modules.

Part (i)

$\text{Mod}^{\text{pqp}} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

① $(D, \langle, \rangle, F, V)$ s.t., $FV = VF = p$ and $\langle F(-), - \rangle = \sigma \langle -, V(-) \rangle$.

② $D = \mathbb{Z}_q^{2g}$, $\langle, \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $F_0 = \begin{bmatrix} pI & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, $V_0 = pF^{-1}$.

Proposition

The double coset space $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ contains **all** pqp Dieudonné modules.

Proof: Witt's theorem – Sp_{2g} acts transitively on symplecto-bases.

Part (i)

$\text{Mod}^{\text{pqp}} \mathbb{D}$ has a natural probability measure.

① $(D, \langle, \rangle, F, V)$ s.t., $FV = VF = p$ and $\langle F(-), - \rangle = \sigma \langle -, V(-) \rangle$.

② $D = \mathbb{Z}_q^{2g}$, $\langle, \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $F_0 = \begin{bmatrix} pI & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$, $V_0 = pF^{-1}$.

Proposition

The double coset space $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot F_0 \cdot \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ contains **all** pqp Dieudonné modules.

Proof: Witt's theorem – Sp_{2g} acts transitively on symplecto-bases.

Note: $F \notin \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, but rather the subset of $\text{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of multiplier p^g matrices.

Part (ii)

$$P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

Part (ii)

$$P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

- ① Duality implies that $W_1 := \ker(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $W_2 := \ker(V \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ are maximal isotropics.

Part (ii)

$$P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

- 1 Duality implies that $W_1 := \ker(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $W_2 := \ker(V \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ are maximal isotropics.
- 2 $a(M) = \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$

Part (ii)

$$P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

- 1 Duality implies that $W_1 := \ker(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $W_2 := \ker(V \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ are maximal isotropics.
- 2 $a(M) = \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$
- 3 Argue that W_1 and W_2 are randomly distributed.

Part (ii)

$$P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

- 1 Duality implies that $W_1 := \ker(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $W_2 := \ker(V \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ are maximal isotropics.
- 2 $a(M) = \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$
- 3 Argue that W_1 and W_2 are randomly distributed.
- 4 This expression is the probability that two random maximal isotropics intersect with dimension s .

Part (ii)

$$P(a(M) = s) = p^{-\binom{s+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s (1 - p^{-i})^{-1}.$$

- 1 Duality implies that $W_1 := \ker(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $W_2 := \ker(V \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ are maximal isotropics.
- 2 $a(M) = \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$
- 3 Argue that W_1 and W_2 are randomly distributed.
- 4 This expression is the probability that two random maximal isotropics intersect with dimension s .
- 5 Compute this with Witt's theorem (Sp_{2g} acts transitively on *pairs* of maximal isotropics whose intersection has dimension s), and compute explicitly the size of the stabilizers.

Part (iii)

$P(r(M) = g - s)$ = complicated but explicit expression.

Part (iii)

$P(r(M) = g - s)$ = complicated but explicit expression.

- 1 Recall: $r(M) = \dim F^\infty(M) = \text{rank}(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^g$.

Part (iii)

$P(r(M) = g - s) =$ complicated but explicit expression.

- 1 Recall: $r(M) = \dim F^\infty(M) = \text{rank}(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^g$.
- 2 (Prüfer, Crabb, others) The number of nilpotent $N \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $q^{n(n-1)}$. Able to modify Crabb's argument:

Part (iii)

$P(r(M) = g - s)$ = complicated but explicit expression.

- 1 Recall: $r(M) = \dim F^\infty(M) = \text{rank}(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^g$.
- 2 (Prüfer, Crabb, others) The number of nilpotent $N \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $q^{n(n-1)}$. Able to modify Crabb's argument:
 - 1 Given N nilpotent, get a flag $V_i := N^i(V)$.

Part (iii)

$P(r(M) = g - s) =$ complicated but explicit expression.

- ① Recall: $r(M) = \dim F^\infty(M) = \text{rank}(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^g$.
- ② (Prüfer, Crabb, others) The number of nilpotent $N \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $q^{n(n-1)}$. Able to modify Crabb's argument:
 - ① Given N nilpotent, get a flag $V_i := N^i(V)$.
 - ② There is a unique basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_g\}$ such that $N(y_g) = 0$ and $V_i = \langle N^i(y_{m_i+1}), \dots, N(y_{g-1}) \rangle$ (where $m_i = g - \dim V_{i-1}$)

Part (iii)

$P(r(M) = g - s) =$ complicated but explicit expression.

- ① Recall: $r(M) = \dim F^\infty(M) = \text{rank}(F \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^g$.
- ② (Prüfer, Crabb, others) The number of nilpotent $N \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $q^{n(n-1)}$. Able to modify Crabb's argument:
 - ① Given N nilpotent, get a flag $V_i := N^i(V)$.
 - ② There is a unique basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_g\}$ such that $N(y_g) = 0$ and $V_i = \langle N^i(y_{m_i+1}), \dots, N(y_{g-1}) \rangle$ (where $m_i = g - \dim V_{i-1}$)
 - ③ The map $N \mapsto (N(y_1), \dots, N(y_{g-1})) \in V^{n-1}$ is bijective.

Part (iv)

1st moment is 2: $\text{Avg}(\#G(\mathbb{F}_p)[p]) = 2$

Part (iv)

1st moment is 2: $\text{Avg}(\#G(\mathbb{F}_p)[p]) = 2$

- 1 First fix the p -corank.

Part (iv)

1st moment is 2: $\text{Avg}(\#G(\mathbb{F}_p)[p]) = 2$

- ① First fix the p -corank.
 - ① Associated p -divisible group decomposes as

$$G = G^m \times G^{et} \times G^{fl}.$$

Part (iv)

1st moment is 2: $\text{Avg}(\#G(\mathbb{F}_p)[p]) = 2$

① First fix the p -corank.

① Associated p -divisible group decomposes as

$$G = G^m \times G^{et} \times G^{ll}.$$

② Fixing the p -corank fixes the dimension of G^{ll}

Part (iv)

1st moment is 2: $\text{Avg}(\#G(\mathbb{F}_p)[p]) = 2$

- ① First fix the p -corank.
 - ① Associated p -divisible group decomposes as

$$G = G^m \times G^{et} \times G^{ll}.$$

- ② Fixing the p -corank fixes the dimension of G^{ll}
- ② (Show that G random $\Rightarrow G^{et}$ random.)

Part (iv)

1st moment is 2: $\text{Avg}(\#G(\mathbb{F}_p)[p]) = 2$

① First fix the p -corank.

① Associated p -divisible group decomposes as

$$G = G^m \times G^{et} \times G^{ll}.$$

② Fixing the p -corank fixes the dimension of G^{ll}

② (Show that G random $\Rightarrow G^{et}$ random.)

③ $G(\mathbb{F}_p) = G^{et}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \text{coker}(F|_{M^{et}} - \text{Id})$.

Part (iv)

1st moment is 2: $\text{Avg}(\#G(\mathbb{F}_p)[p]) = 2$

① First fix the p -corank.

① Associated p -divisible group decomposes as

$$G = G^m \times G^{et} \times G^{ll}.$$

② Fixing the p -corank fixes the dimension of G^{ll}

② (Show that G random $\Rightarrow G^{et}$ random.)

③ $G(\mathbb{F}_p) = G^{et}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \text{coker}(F|_{M^{et}} - \text{Id})$.

④ $F|_{M^{et}}$ is random in $\text{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Part (v)

$$P(p \nmid \# \operatorname{coker}(F - \operatorname{Id})|_{F^\infty(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)}) = C_p.$$

Part (v)

$$P(p \nmid \# \operatorname{coker}(F - \operatorname{Id})|_{F^\infty(M \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)}) = C_p.$$

Basically the same proof as the last part.

Question

Does $P(p \nmid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = C_p$?

Question

Does $P(p \nmid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = C_p$?

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **YES!**

Question

Does $P(p \nmid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = C_p$?

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **YES!**
- C plane curve, $p \neq 2$ – **YES!**

Question

Does $P(p \nmid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = C_p$?

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **YES!**
- C plane curve, $p \neq 2$ – **YES!**
- C plane curve, $p = 2$ –

Question

Does $P(p \nmid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = C_p$?

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **YES!**
- C plane curve, $p \neq 2$ – **YES!**
- C plane curve, $p = 2$ – **NO!?!**

C plane curve, $p = 2$

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

$P(2 \nmid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_2)) = 0$ for plane curves of **odd** degree.

Theorem (Cais, Ellenberg, ZB)

$P(2 \nmid \# \text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_2)) = 0$ for plane curves of **odd** degree.

Proof – theta characteristics.

Does

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-2i+1})? \end{aligned}$$

Does

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-2i+1})? \end{aligned}$$

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ -

Does

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-2i+1})? \end{aligned}$$

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **not quite**.

Does

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-2i+1})? \end{aligned}$$

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **not quite**.

$$P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = 1 - 3^{-1} \qquad (p = 3)$$

Does

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-2i+1})? \end{aligned}$$

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **not quite**.

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= 1 - 3^{-1} && (p = 3) \\ &= (1 - 5^{-1})(1 - 5^{-3}) && (p = 5) \end{aligned}$$

Does

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + p^{-i})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-2i+1})? \end{aligned}$$

Data

- C hyperelliptic, $p \neq 2$ – **not quite**.

$$\begin{aligned} P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) &= 1 - 3^{-1} && (p = 3) \\ &= (1 - 5^{-1})(1 - 5^{-3}) && (p = 5) \\ &= (1 - 7^{-1})(1 - 7^{-3})(1 - 7^{-5}) && (p = 7) \end{aligned}$$

Rational points on Moduli Spaces

$$- P(a(\text{Jac}_{C_f}(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)}.$$

Rational points on Moduli Spaces

- $P(a(\text{Jac}_{C_f}(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)}.$
- One can access this through cohomology and the Weil conjectures.

Rational points on Moduli Spaces

- $P(a(\text{Jac}_{C_f}(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)}.$
- One can access this through cohomology and the Weil conjectures.
- Our data suggests that $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}$ has cohomology that **does not arise by pulling back** from \mathcal{H}_g .

Rational points on Moduli Spaces

- $P(a(\text{Jac}_{C_f}(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)}.$
- One can access this through cohomology and the Weil conjectures.
- Our data suggests that $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}$ has cohomology that **does not arise by pulling back** from \mathcal{H}_g .
- $P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)} = ???$

Rational points on Moduli Spaces

- $P(a(\text{Jac}_{C_f}(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)}.$
- One can access this through cohomology and the Weil conjectures.
- Our data suggests that $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{ord}}$ has cohomology that **does not arise by pulling back** from \mathcal{H}_g .
- $P(a(\text{Jac}_C(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)} = ???$
- $P(a(A(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0) = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F}_p)}{\#\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{F}_p)} = ???$

Thank you

Thank You!