

# Introduction to Mathematical Thinking

## Test-Flight Peer-Assessment Solutions

by

Dileep Nackathaya

**Q1. Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof.  $(\exists m \in \mathcal{N})(\exists n \in \mathcal{N})(3m + 5n = 12)$**

PROOF The statement is false. The smallest  $m, n \in \mathcal{N}$  that we can try are 1 and 1.

- Substituting these in the above equation:  $3 * 1 + 5 * 1 = 8 < 12$ .
- Let us try the next possible value for  $m$ , which is 2:  $3 * 2 + 5 * 1 = 11 < 12$ .
- For  $m = 3, n = 1$ , and  $m = 1, n = 2$ , and all other combinations of  $m$  and  $n$ ,  $3m + 5n > 12$ .
- Therefore,  $\neg[(\exists m \in \mathcal{N})(\exists n \in \mathcal{N})(3m + 5n = 12)]$ .
- Or,  $(\forall m \in \mathcal{N})(\forall n \in \mathcal{N})(3m + 5n \neq 12)$ .

This completes the proof. ■

**Q2. Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof: The sum of any five consecutive integers is divisible by 5 (without remainder).**

PROOF Assume the above statement is true.

- If the sum of any five consecutive integers - call it  $s$  - is divisible by 5, then, there is an integer  $p$  such that  $s = 5p$ .
- Consider an integer  $n$ . The next four consecutive integers are:  $n + 1$ ,  $n + 2$ ,  $n + 3$ , and  $n + 4$ .
- The sum of these five consecutive integers,  $s = n + (n + 1) + (n + 2) + (n + 3) + (n + 4)$ , which is equal to  $5n + 10$ .
- Simplifying,  $s = 5(n + 2)$ .  $(n + 2)$  is an integer - call it  $p$ . So,  $s = 5p$ . Therefore,  $s$  is divisible by 5.

Hence, the statement is true. This completes the proof. ■

**Q3. Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof: For any integer  $n$ , the number  $n^2 + n + 1$  is odd.**

PROOF Assume the statement is true. We know that any odd number can be expressed in the form  $2q + 1$ , and any even number can be expressed in the form  $2q$ , where  $q \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Consider three cases: 1.  $n$  is odd, 2.  $n$  is even, and 3.  $n$  is zero.

- Case 1: If  $n$  is odd,  $n^2 + n + 1 = (2q + 1)^2 + (2q + 1) + 1$ . Simplifying the RHS:  $(2q + 1)((2q + 1) + 1) + 1$  (factoring out  $(2q + 1)$  from the first two terms). Further simplifying,  $\text{RHS} = 2(2q + 1)(q + 1) + 1$  (factoring out 2 from the second term).  $(2q + 1)(q + 1)$  is an integer - let it be  $s$ . So,  $n^2 + n + 1 = 2s + 1$ . Therefore,  $n^2 + n + 1$  is odd when  $n$  is odd.
- Case 2: If  $n$  is even,  $n^2 + n + 1 = (2q)^2 + 2q + 1$ . Simplifying the RHS:  $2q(2q + 1) + 1$ .  $q(2q + 1)$  is an integer - let it be  $s$ . Therefore,  $n^2 + n + 1 = 2s + 1$ . This shows that  $n^2 + n + 1$  is odd when  $n$  is even.
- Case 3: If  $n$  is zero,  $n^2 + n + 1$  reduces to 1, which is odd.

Therefore, for any integer  $n$ ,  $n^2 + n + 1$  is odd. This completes the proof. ■

**Q4. Prove that every odd natural number is of one of the forms  $4n + 1$  or  $4n + 3$ , where  $n$  is an integer.**

PROOF We know from the Division Theorem that any natural number,  $m$ , can be expressed in the form  $4n + r$ , where  $n, r \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 3$ . So, any natural number can be expressed in one of the following forms:  $4n, 4n + 1, 4n + 2$ , or,  $4n + 3$ .  $4n$  and  $4n + 2$  are clearly even.

Hence, any odd natural number can be expressed either in the form  $4n + 1$  or in the form  $4n + 3$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Q5. Prove that for any integer  $n$ , at least one of the integers  $n, n + 2, n + 4$  is divisible by 3.**

PROOF If a number is divisible by 3, it can be expressed in the form  $3p$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Consider an arbitrary integer  $n$ .

- If  $n$  is divisible by 3, the statement is true and the proof is complete. If  $n$  is not divisible by 3, it can be expressed in one of two forms (from the Division Theorem):  $3p + 1$  or  $3p + 2$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{Z}$ .
- If  $n$  is of the form  $3p + 1$ ,  $n + 2$  simplifies to  $(3p + 1) + 2 = 3(p + 1)$ .  $(p + 1)$  is an integer, therefore,  $n + 2$  is divisible by 3 if  $n$  is of the form  $3p + 1$ .
- If  $n$  is of the form  $3p + 2$ ,  $n + 4$  simplifies to  $(3p + 2) + 4 = 3(p + 2)$ .  $(p + 2)$  is an integer, therefore,  $n + 4$  is divisible by 3 if  $n$  is of the form  $3p + 2$ .

Hence, for any integer  $n$ , at least one of  $n, n + 2$ , or  $n + 4$  is divisible by 3. This completes the proof. ■

**Q6.** A classic unsolved problem in number theory asks if there are infinitely many pairs of ‘twin primes’, pairs of primes separated by 2, such as 3 and 5, 11 and 13, or 71 and 73. Prove that the only prime triplet (i.e. three primes, each 2 from the next) is 3, 5, 7.

PROOF A number,  $n$ , is said to be prime if it is greater than 1 and divisible only by itself and 1. Or, it can not be expressed in the form  $n = pq$ , where  $p, q \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $n$  be a prime number. Then, a prime triplet would be  $n, n + 2, n + 4$ .

1. If  $n = 3$ , then the prime triplet is 3, 5, 7.
2. If  $n > 3$ , there can not be a prime triplet because at least one of  $n, n + 2, n + 4$ , where  $n \in \mathcal{Z}$  is divisible by 3. The proof for this is as follows:
  - If a number is divisible by 3, it can be expressed in the form  $3p$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Consider an arbitrary integer  $s$ .
  - If  $s$  is divisible by 3, the statement is true and the proof is complete. If  $s$  is not divisible by 3, it can be expressed in one of two forms:  $3p + 1$  or  $3p + 2$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{Z}$ .
  - If  $s$  is of the form  $3p + 1$ ,  $s + 2$  simplifies to  $(3p + 1) + 2 = 3(p + 1)$ .  $(p + 1)$  is an integer, therefore,  $s + 2$  is divisible by 3 if  $s$  is of the form  $3p + 1$ .
  - If  $s$  is of the form  $3p + 2$ ,  $s + 4$  simplifies to  $(3p + 2) + 4 = 3(p + 2)$ .  $(p + 2)$  is an integer, therefore,  $s + 4$  is divisible by 3 if  $s$  is of the form  $3p + 2$ .
  - Hence, for any integer  $s$ , at least one of  $s, s + 2$ , or  $s + 4$  is divisible by 3.

Therefore, there is no prime triplet other than 3, 5, and 7. This completes the proof. ■

**Q7.** Prove that for any natural number  $n$ ,  $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 2$ .

PROOF By mathematical induction:

1. For  $n = 1$ , the identity reduces to  $2^1 = 2^{1+1} - 2$ , which simplifies to  $2 = 2$ . The identity is true for  $n = 1$ .
2. Assume the identity is true for  $n$ . Therefore,  $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 2$ .
3. Add  $2^{n+1}$  to the LHS:  $(2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^n) + 2^{n+1}$ . But, we know  $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 2$  from (2).
4. Simplifying the LHS in (3):  $(2^{n+1} - 2) + 2^{n+1} = 2 * 2^{n+1} - 2 = 2^{n+2} - 2$ , which is the result for  $n + 1$ .

Hence, by the principle of mathematical induction, the identity is true. ■

**Q8. Prove (from the definition of a limit of a sequence) that if the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to limit  $L$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then for any fixed number  $M > 0$ , the sequence  $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to the limit  $ML$ .**

PROOF Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. The sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to the limit  $L$ , so, we can find a  $p$  such that for  $m \geq p$ :  $|a_m - L| < \epsilon/M$ , where  $m, p \in \mathcal{N}$ .

1. Simplifying the limit definition mentioned above:  $M|a_m - L| < \epsilon$ .
2. Simplifying the expression in (1) further:  $|Ma_m - ML| < \epsilon$ .
3. The expression in (2) is the definition of limit for the sequence  $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , and it is evident that it tends to the limit  $ML$ .

This completes the proof. ■

**Q9. Given an infinite collection  $A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  of intervals of the real line, their *intersection* is defined to be  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{x | (\forall n)(x \in A_n)\}$ . Give an example of a family of intervals  $A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  for all  $n$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$ . Prove that your example has the stated property.**

PROOF Consider the family of open intervals:  $A_n = (0, 1/n)$  on the real line, where  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then,  $A_1$  is the interval  $(0, 1)$ ,  $A_2$  is the interval  $(0, 1/2)$ , and so on.

- Therefore,  $A_{n+1}$  will be the interval  $(0, 1/(n+1))$ .
- Clearly,  $A_2 \subset A_1, A_3 \subset A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  since all the elements in each of these intervals also belong to the preceding interval.
- As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it is evident that the limit of  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the interval  $A_n$  approaches  $(0, 0)$ .
- We know that an open interval,  $(a, b) = \{x | a < x < b\}$ . So,  $(0, 0) = \{x | 0 < x < 0\}$ , which is the empty set,  $\emptyset$ .
- Therefore, it is clear that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$  (since there is no element that appears in all of these sets).

Hence, the family of intervals  $A_n = (0, 1/n), n = 1, 2, \dots$ , satisfies the above property. This completes the proof. ■

**Q10. Give an example of a family of intervals  $A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  for all  $n$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  consists of a single real number. Prove that your example has the stated property.**

PROOF Consider the family of closed intervals:  $A_n = [0, 1/n]$  on the real line, where  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then,  $A_1$  is the interval  $[0, 1]$ ,  $A_2$  is the interval  $[0, 1/2]$ , and so on.

- Therefore,  $A_{n+1}$  will be the interval  $[0, 1/(n+1)]$ .
- Clearly,  $A_2 \subset A_1, A_3 \subset A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  since all the elements in each of these intervals also belong to the preceding interval.
- As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it is evident that the limit of  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the interval  $A_n$  approaches  $[0, 0]$ .
- We know that a closed interval,  $[a, b] = \{x | a \leq x \leq b\}$ . So,  $[0, 0] = \{x | 0 \leq x \leq 0\}$ , which is a set with a single real number:  $\{0\}$ .
- Therefore, it is clear that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{0\}$  (since 0 is the only element that belongs to all the sets).

Hence, the family of intervals  $A_n = [0, 1/n], n = 1, 2, \dots$ , satisfies the above property. This completes the proof. ■