# Integer Factorization

Diana Gren Jonas Carlsson

DD2440 Advanced Algorithms Stefan Nilsson

# Contents

| 1            | Background |                        |                                |                 |  |  |  |
|--------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
|              | 1.1        | Algoria                | ${ m ithms}$                   | . 2             |  |  |  |
|              |            | 1.1.1                  | Trial Division                 |                 |  |  |  |
|              |            | 1.1.2                  | Fermat's Factorization Method  | . 3             |  |  |  |
|              |            | 1.1.3                  | Pollard Rho                    | . 3             |  |  |  |
| <b>2</b>     | Apr        | oroach                 |                                | 4               |  |  |  |
|              | 2.1        |                        | d Rho                          | . 4             |  |  |  |
|              |            | 2.1.1                  | Implementation                 |                 |  |  |  |
|              |            | 2.1.2                  | Optimizations                  |                 |  |  |  |
|              | 2.2        | Pollaro                | d Rho with Brent               |                 |  |  |  |
|              |            | 2.2.1                  | Implementation                 |                 |  |  |  |
|              |            | 2.2.2                  | Problems                       |                 |  |  |  |
| 3            | Res        | ${ m ults}$            |                                | 6               |  |  |  |
| 4            | Conclusion |                        |                                |                 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ |            | <b>orithm</b><br>Ferma | ns<br>at Difference of Squares | <b>8</b><br>. 8 |  |  |  |

## Background

Factorize integers into prime numbers is considered a difficult problem. There is algorithms that solve the problem, but they can in some cases be very time consuming. An example is a product of two large prime numbers, which is very difficlt to factorize. This is the key in RSA cryptography, which completely relies on the fact that factoring products of large prime numbers is incredibly difficult.

### 1.1 Algorithms

As mentioned above, there are some algorithms that solve the problem, of which two of them will be discussed in this section.

### 1.1.1 Trial Division

#### Method

The most straight forward way of solving this problem is of course to divide a given number N with each prime number up to  $\sqrt{N}$ . If we find a prime x such that

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{b} \tag{1.1}$$

we have found two factors. The first factor being x and the second being  $\frac{N}{x}$ .

#### Performance

Trial division is not an ideal approach as it is a heavy algorithm. It can, however, find a small factor fast if such a factor exists. Since there is a 50% chance that a large N is even, and 33% that it has a factor 3 and so on, it can be a good idea to check for small prime numbers as factors.

### 1.1.2 Fermat's Factorization Method

#### Method

A simple way of improving the naive method is to use the fact that every odd number number N can be represented as a difference of squares (proof in section A.1.

$$N = a^2 - b^2 \tag{1.2}$$

If we know a and b, N can easily be factored into two factors according to mathematical laws

$$N = a^2 - b^2 = (a+b)(a-b)$$
(1.3)

To find such integers, one starts with an  $x_0 = \lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil$ . Check if

$$\Delta x_0 = x_0^2 - N \tag{1.4}$$

is a square number. If it is not, try next;  $x_1 = x_0 + 1$ 

$$\Delta x_1 = x_1^2 - N \tag{1.5}$$

etc. Do the same step, increasing x by one, until a square number  $\Delta x$  is found.

#### Performance

Fermat's factorization method works well when the resulting factors ara of similar size. If that is not the case, this method is not optimal. However, the method sets base for more advance and better performing algorithms such as the quadratic sieve and general number field sieve

### 1.1.3 Pollard Rho

The Pollard Rho algorithm was invented by John Pollard in 1975, and its speciality is to factor composite numbers with small factors.

#### Method

#### Performance

# Approach

We decided to do the programming in C++. We both had limited knowledge in the language and wanted to learn more, as well as handling I/O for Kattis felt like a much easier task in C++ than in Java.

Our approach for solving the problem was to implement the Pollard Rho algorithm. We did some research on the Quadratic Sieve, but ended up with Pollard Rho mostly because it is an easy algorithm, and at the same time it can perform quite well. We tested it towards Kattis, and tried to optimize it in different ways and in this chapter, we will discuss more into detail what was tested.

### 2.1 Pollard Rho

### 2.1.1 Implementation

We quickly realized that we needed the program to stop looking for factors if it was taking too long, so we introduced a cut off limit. The cut off limit is a limit for the maximum number of iterations the algorithm is allowed to do, and gives the answer "fail" if it did not succeed in fatorizing the given number.

The scores could be improved just by increasing the cut off limit so that the program would run for as close to 15 seconds as possible, since it obviously had time to evaluate more numbers.

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{prod} := 1 \\ \text{for i} := 1 \text{ to infinity do} \\ & x = f(x, N) \\ & y = f(f(y, N), N) \\ \\ & \text{if } (x - y = 0) \text{ then} \\ & \text{prod} = \text{prod } *(x - y) \% N \\ & d := \gcd(N, \text{prod}) \\ \\ & \text{if d} > 1 \text{ and d} < N \text{ then} \\ & \text{return d} \\ \\ & \text{if i} >= \text{CUT-OFF-LIMIT then} \\ & \text{end for} \\ \\ & \text{end function} \end{array}
```

### 2.1.2 Optimizations

We recognized that since there is a 50/50 chance that the given number is even, it could be a good idea to check that before running the algorithm, and thereby eliminate calculation time. So we started off by introducing a check for even numbers, and just return true if the condition was satisfied.

After reading more on Pollard Rho, we found that finding the greatest common divisor is quite expensive to do, hence we do not want to do that so often. This made us multiply the value a certain number of times before entering the gcd, hoping to improve the algorithm.

### 2.2 Pollard Rho with Brent

By implementing the Brent cycle finding algorithm, we hoped to improve the Pollard Rho algorithm and receive higher scores.

### 2.2.1 Implementation

### 2.2.2 Problems

# Results

| Cut off limit | Score | Time [s] |
|---------------|-------|----------|
| 130           | 12    | 1.2      |
| 1000          | 15    | 2.4      |
| 10000         | 32    | 12.1     |
| 12500         | 39    | 14.20    |

Conclusion

## Appendix A

## **Proofs**

### A.1 Fermat Difference of Squares

**Theorem:** An odd integer N can be represented as a difference of squares  $N = a^2 - b^2$ .

### **Proof:**

Let  $N = m_1 m_2$ , with  $m_1 \leq m_2$ . Since we know that N is odd,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  must both be odd as well.

Let  $a = \frac{1}{2}(m_2 + m_1)$  and  $b = \frac{1}{2}(m_2 - m_1)$ . Since both  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are odd, both a and b will be integers.

This gives us  $m_1 = a - b$  and  $m_2 = a + b$ , hence  $N = m_1 m_2 = (a - b)(a + b) = a^2 - b^2$ .

## Bibliography

- [1] WolframMathWorld. Fermat's Factorization Method http://mathworld.wolfram.com/FermatsFactorizationMethod.html Retreived: Oct 2012

Retreived: Oct 2012

[3] Math & Statistics Solutions. Fermat's Factoring Technique http://mathsolutions.50webs.com/fermat.html Retreived: Oct 2012