Bertsimas, Tsitsiklis: *Introduction to Linear Optimization*

Danny Nygård Hansen 8th April 2023

2 • The geometry of linear programming

EXERCISE 2.5

(Extreme points of isomorphic polyhedra) A mapping f is called *affine* if it is of the form f(x) = Ax + b, where A is a matrix and b is a vector. Let P and Q be polyhedra in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m , respectively. We say that P and Q are *isomorphic* if there exist affine mappings $f: P \to Q$ and $g: Q \to P$ such that g(f(x)) = x for all $x \in P$, and f(g(y)) = y for all $y \in Q$. (Intuitively, isomorphic polyhedra have the same shape.)

- (a) If P and Q are isomorphic, show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between their extreme points. In particular, if f and g are as above, show that x is an extreme point of P if and only if f(x) is an extreme point of Q.
- (b) (Introducing slack variables leads to an isomorphic polyhedron) Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \geq b, x \geq 0\}$, where A is a matrix of dimensions $k \times n$. Let $Q = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \mid Ax z = b, x \geq 0\}$. Show that P and Q are isomorphic.

SOLUTION. (a) For $x, y, z \in P$ with $x = \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z$, then since f is affine we have $f(x) = \lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)f(z)$. Hence if x is *not* an extreme point, then neither is f(x). Since f is bijective with an affine inverse, this proves the claim.

(b) Simply note that the map $f: P \to Q$ given by f(x) = (x, Ax - b) is an isomorphism.

EXERCISE 2.7

Suppose that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i'x \ge b_i, i = 1,...,m\}$ and $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i'x \ge h_i, i = 1,...,k\}$ are representations of the same nonempty polyhedron P. Suppose that the vectors $a_1,...,a_m$ span \mathbb{R}^n . Show that the same must be true for the vectors $g_1,...,g_k$.

SOLUTION. If $a_1, ..., a_m$ span \mathbb{R}^n , then they must contain a collection of n linearly independent vectors. Then Theorem 2.6 implies that P has an extreme point. But this is a geometric property, so it does not depend on the representation. The same theorem then implies that the collection $g_1, ..., g_k$ contains n linearly independent vectors. But then they span \mathbb{R}^n .

EXERCISE 2.12

Consider a nonempty polyhedron P and suppose that for each variable x_i we have either the constraint $x_i \ge 0$ or the contraint $x_i \ge 0$. Is it true that P has at least one basic feasible solution?

SOLUTION. Let I be the set of indices i such that we have the contraint $x_i \leq 0$, and let A be a diagonal matrix with a 1 as the ith entry on the diagonal if $i \notin I$, and -1 if $i \in I$. The map $x \mapsto Ax$ is then an isomorphism between P and the polyhedron Q which is defined by the same contraints as P, except that the contraints on the form $x_i \leq 0$ are replaced by contraints $x_i \geq 0$. In particular, P and Q have the same extreme points by [TODO ref] Exercise 2.4, hence the same basic feasible solutions by Theorem 2.3.

Next introduce slack variables to Q, yielding a polyhedron R in standard form, which is isomorphic to Q by [TODO ref] Exercise 2.5. But since R is nonempty (since it is isomorphic to P) it has a basic feasible solution by Corollary 2.2.

TODO 2.14, 2.15

EXERCISE 2.21

Suppose that Fourier–Motzkin elimination is used in the manner described at the end of Section 2.8 to find the optimal cost in a linear programming problem. Show how this approach can be augmented to obtain an optimal solution as well.

SOLUTION. Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedron, and assume that we wish to minimise the quantity c'x subject to $x \in P$. Define

$$P_n = \{(x_0, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_0 = c'\mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \in P\},$$

which is clearly a polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . To minimise c'x with $x \in P$ we thus find the minimal value of x_0 among elements $(x_0, x) \in P_n$. Letting $P_{n-k} = \Pi_k(P_n)$ for k = 1, ..., n we have $Q := P_0 = \Pi_n(P_n) = \{x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists x \in P : (x_0, x) \in P_n\}$, so this is equivalent to finding the minimum of Q. Given the minimum of Q we wish to find a (not necessarily unique) $x \in P$ such that $(\min Q, x) \in P_n$.

We do this by recursively finding a suitable value for the variable we eliminate in each step of the algorithm. In the notation of the Elimination algorithm on page 72, let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedron, and let $Q = \prod_{n-1}(P)$ be the polyhedron obtained when eliminating the variable x_n . Let \overline{x} be an element of Q, i.e. a vector satisfying the inequalities

$$d_j + f_j' \overline{x} \ge d_i + f_i' \overline{x}$$
, if $a_{in} > 0$ and $a_{jn} < 0$,
 $0 \ge d_k + f_k' \overline{x}$, if $a_{kn} = 0$,

for i, j, k = 1, ..., n. Now choose any $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ that lies in all the intervals $[d_i + f_i'\overline{x}, d_j + f_j'\overline{x}]$. Then the vector (\overline{x}, x_n) satisfies the inequalities

$$x_n \ge d_i + f_i' \overline{x},$$
 if $a_{in} > 0$, $d_j + f_j' \overline{x} \ge x_n$, if $a_{jn} < 0$, $0 \ge d_k + f_k' \overline{x}$, if $a_{kn} = 0$.

Such an x_n exists since these inequalities are a representation of P, and the former inequalities are a representation of Q, which is the projection of P by Theorem 2.10. Thus $(\overline{x}, x_n) \in P$ as desired.

EXERCISE 2.22

Let P and Q be polyhedra in \mathbb{R}^n .

- (a) Show that P + Q is a polyhedron.
- (b) Show that every extreme point of P + Q is the sum of an extreme point of P and an extreme point of Q.

SOLUTION. (a) The Cartesian product $P \times Q$ is clearly a polyhedron. Its image under the linear map $(x,y) \mapsto x + y$ is exactly P + Q, and this is a polyhedron by Corollary 2.5.

(b) Let $z \in P + Q$ and write z = x + y for $x \in P$ and $y \in Q$. Assume that x is not an extreme point in P, so that there exist $x_1, x_2 \in P \setminus \{x\}$ and a $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $x = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$. Letting $z_i := x_i + y$ we easily find that $z = \lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2$, so z is not an extreme point.