

# Lee: *Introduction to Topological Manifolds*

Danny Nygård Hansen

27th January 2023

## 2 • Topological Spaces

*General notes*

**REMARK 2.1: Local homeomorphism.**

If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a local homeomorphism, let us (temporarily) say that  $X$  is *locally homeomorphic* to  $Y$ . Notice that this is *not* a symmetric relation. For instance, any discrete space is locally homeomorphic to  $Y = \{0\} \cup [1, 2]$ , but  $Y$  is clearly not homeomorphic to a discrete space. On the other hand, the relation is clearly transitive and reflexive, so local homeomorphism defines a preorder on the class of topological spaces. I am not aware that this has any significance.

Notice also that if a space is locally homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then it is locally Euclidean of dimension  $n$ . The converse is false: For instance, assume towards a contradiction that there is a local homeomorphism  $f: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The image  $f(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is then a compact, hence closed and proper, subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . But by [Exercise 2.32](#)  $f$  is open, so this is impossible.  $\lrcorner$

*Exercises*

### EXERCISE 2.32

- (a) Every homeomorphism is a local homeomorphism.
- (b) Every local homeomorphism is continuous and open.
- (c) Every bijective local homeomorphism is a homeomorphism.

**SOLUTION.** (a) This is obvious since the domain is an open neighbourhood of each point, and this is mapped onto the codomain which is also open.

(b) Continuity follows from [Exercise 5.3](#) and [Problem 5.6](#): the definition gives an open cover, [Problem 5.6](#) says that the topology on the domain is coherent with this cover, and [Exercise 5.3](#) then yields continuity. To prove openness, let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a local homeomorphism and  $V \subseteq X$  an open set. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cover of  $V$  of open sets in accordance with the definition of local homeomorphisms. Then  $V \cap U$  is open in  $U$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , and since  $f|_U$  is a homeomorphism  $f(V \cap U)$  is also open in  $f(U)$ , hence in  $Y$ . Furthermore,

$$f(V) = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} f(V \cap U),$$

so  $f(V)$  is a union of open sets in  $Y$ .

(c) This is obvious from (b). □

### Problems

#### PROBLEM 2.6

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is any map.

- (a)  $f$  is continuous if and only if  $f(\bar{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$  for all  $A \subseteq X$ .
- (b)  $f$  is closed if and only if  $f(\bar{A}) \supseteq \overline{f(A)}$  for all  $A \subseteq X$ .

**SOLUTION.** (a) First assume that  $f$  is continuous, and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)})$ , which implies that  $\bar{A} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)})$ . This is equivalent to the property above.

Conversely, let  $F \subseteq Y$  be closed. Then

$$f(\overline{f^{-1}(F)}) \subseteq \overline{f(f^{-1}(F))} \subseteq \bar{F} = F,$$

implying that  $\overline{f^{-1}(F)} \subseteq f^{-1}(F)$ , so  $f^{-1}(F)$  is closed.

(b) The ‘if’ implication is obvious, so we prove the converse. Let  $F \subseteq Y$  be closed. Then

$$f(F) = f(\bar{F}) \supseteq \overline{f(F)},$$

which shows that  $f(F) = \overline{f(F)}$ , so  $f(F)$  is closed. □

#### PROBLEM 2.21

Show that every locally Euclidean space is first countable.

**SOLUTION.** Let  $M$  be locally Euclidean of dimension  $n$ . If  $p \in M$ , then  $p$  lies in the domain of a chart  $(U, \varphi)$ . For simplicity we may let  $U$  be homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]$  let  $B_r$  be the preimage under  $\varphi$  of the ball  $B_r(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . We claim that the  $B_r$  constitute a neighbourhood basis at  $p$ .

Let  $V$  be an open neighbourhood of  $p$ . By intersecting it with  $U$  we may assume that  $V \subseteq U$ . Then  $\varphi(V)$  is open in  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and hence contains  $B_r(0)$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]$ . But then  $V$  contains  $B_r$ .  $\square$

#### PROBLEM 2.23

Show that every manifold has a basis of coordinate balls.

[TODO: Is being locally Euclidean not enough?]

**SOLUTION.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -manifold, and let  $U \subseteq M$  be open. If  $p \in U$ , then  $p$  lies in the domain of a chart. By intersecting  $U$  with this chart domain we may assume that  $U$  is itself the domain of a chart with coordinate map  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open it contains an open ball  $B$  with  $p \in B$ . Notice that  $\varphi$  restricts to a homeomorphism  $\varphi^{-1}(B) \rightarrow B$ , so this preimage is a coordinate ball lying in  $U$  and containing  $p$ .  $\square$

#### PROBLEM 2.25

If  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold with boundary, then  $\text{Int}M$  is an open subset of  $M$ , which is itself an  $n$ -dimensional manifold without boundary.

**SOLUTION.** It suffices to show that  $\text{Int}M$  is open in  $M$ . To this end, let  $p \in \text{Int}M$ , and let  $(U, \varphi)$  be an interior chart with  $p \in U$ . If  $q \in U$ , then  $q$  also lies in the domain of an interior chart, namely  $(U, \varphi)$ . Thus  $q \in \text{Int}M$ , so  $U \subseteq \text{Int}M$ .  $\square$

## 3 • New Spaces from Old

### General notes

#### REMARK 3.1: Product maps.

For each  $\alpha \in A$  let  $X_\alpha$  and  $Y_\alpha$  be topological spaces and  $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  be continuous maps. These give rise to continuous maps  $f_\alpha \circ \pi_{X_\alpha}: \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ , and the universal property of products then induces a map continuous map

Open	Closed	Split	Example
			$(0, 1] \hookrightarrow [0, 2]$
×			$(0, 1) \hookrightarrow [0, 1]$
	×		$\{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow [0, 1]$
×	×		N/A
		×	The coproduct map $f \sqcup g$ (see below).
×		×	$f: \{0\} \hookrightarrow S$
	×	×	$g: (0, 1] \hookrightarrow (0, 2]$
×	×	×	Any homeomorphism.

Table 1

$f: \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f} & \prod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha \\
 \searrow \pi_{X_\beta} & & \searrow \pi_{Y_\beta} \\
 X_\beta & \xrightarrow{f_\beta} & Y_\beta
 \end{array}$$

commutes for all  $\beta \in A$ . We call this map the *product map* of the  $f_\alpha$ . This is of course a special case of product maps between products in any category with products (of some cardinality).  $\lrcorner$

#### REMARK 3.2: Embeddings.

We show that for embeddings, the properties of being open, closed, and having a left-inverse are almost independent. In Table 1 we let  $S$  denote the Sierpinski space  $\{0, 1\}$  with topology  $\{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}\}$ .

Note that all combinations are possible, except that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an embedding that is both open and closed, then it has a left-inverse: For  $f(X)$  is then both open and closed, hence a union of connected components. A left-inverse can then be constructed by sending  $Y \setminus f(X)$  to any point of  $X$ .  $\lrcorner$

**REMARK 3.3.** We rephrase Proposition 3.56 and its proof. First note that Problem 2.19 (which is used in its proof) implies that a locally Euclidean Lindelöf space is second countable. Next let  $X$  be a Lindelöf space (so for instance a second countable space),  $Y$  a locally Euclidean space, and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjection. Then  $Y$  is also Lindelöf, hence second countable.

In particular, the hypothesis that  $f$  be a quotient map is superfluous.  $\lrcorner$

**REMARK 3.4.** We comment on the proof of Proposition 3.67, which shows that a continuous open/closed surjection is a quotient map. Let  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  be such a map, and let  $U \subseteq Y$ . It suffices to show that if  $q^{-1}(U)$  is open/closed, then so is  $U$ . But since  $q$  is open/closed, so is  $q(q^{-1}(U)) = U$ , where the equality follows since  $q$  is surjective.

In other words, there is no need to appeal to Proposition 3.60.  $\lrcorner$

**REMARK 3.5: Uniqueness of quotient spaces.**

We phrase the proof of Theorem 3.75 in a slightly different way. If  $q_1: X \rightarrow Y_1$  and  $q_2: X \rightarrow Y_2$  are quotient maps that make the same identifications, then each map factors uniquely through the other. This yields the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & \swarrow q_1 & \downarrow q_2 & \searrow q_1 & \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}_2} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}_1} & Y_1 \end{array}$$

Since  $q_1$  factors uniquely through itself as the identity, we have  $\tilde{q}_1 \circ \tilde{q}_2 = \text{id}_{Y_1}$ . By symmetry we also have  $\tilde{q}_2 \circ \tilde{q}_1 = \text{id}_{Y_2}$ , so  $Y_1 \cong Y_2$ .

This in particular yields a sort of ‘first isomorphism theorem’ for topology. Let  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quotient map, and denote by  $\ker q$  the equivalence relation on  $X$  given by  $x \sim x'$  if and only if  $q(x) = q(x')$ . The quotient map  $p: X \rightarrow X/\ker q$  then trivially makes the same identifications as  $q$ , so  $q$  descends to a homeomorphism  $\tilde{q}: X/\ker q \rightarrow Y$ .

Notice that we do require  $q$  to be a quotient map: Say that  $q$  is only assumed to be a continuous surjection. It then induces a continuous map  $\tilde{q}: X/\ker q \rightarrow Y$ , and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ X/\ker q & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & Y \end{array}$$

If  $\tilde{q}$  is a homeomorphism then  $Y$  automatically carries the quotient topology induced by  $q$ . For a subset  $U \subseteq Y$  is open if and only if  $\tilde{q}^{-1}(U)$  is open, which is the case if and only if

$$p^{-1}(\tilde{q}^{-1}(U)) = (\tilde{q} \circ p)^{-1}(U) = q^{-1}(U)$$

is open.  $\lrcorner$

**REMARK 3.6: Adjunction spaces.**

We elaborate on the proof of Proposition 3.77. In part (a) we show that  $q|_X$  is closed by considering a closed set  $B \subseteq X$ . For  $x \in X \sqcup Y$  we have  $x \in q^{-1}(q(B))$

if and only if  $q(x) \in q(B)$ , and this is the case just when  $x$  is equivalent to some element of  $B$ . Since no two distinct points in  $X$  are identified, if  $x \in X$  then we must have  $x \in B$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in Y$  then  $x$  is identified with an element in  $B \subseteq X$  just when  $f(x) \in B$ . It follows that

$$q^{-1}(q(B)) \cap X = B \quad \text{and} \quad q^{-1}(q(B)) \cap Y = f^{-1}(B).$$

The set  $f^{-1}(B)$  is closed in  $A$ , which is closed in  $Y$  (here we use this assumption) so  $f^{-1}(B)$  is closed in  $Y$ .  $\square$

### Exercises

#### EXERCISE 3.7

Suppose  $X$  is a topological space and  $U \subseteq S \subseteq X$ .

- (a) Show that the closure of  $U$  in  $S$  is equal to  $\overline{U} \cap S$ , i.e. that

$$\text{Cl}_S U = \overline{U} \cap S.$$

- (b) TODO.

**SOLUTION.** (a) We prove each inclusion. Since  $\overline{U}$  is closed in  $X$ , the set  $\overline{U} \cap S$  is closed in  $S$ . But then minimality of the closure implies that  $\text{Cl}_S U \subseteq \overline{U} \cap S$ .

Conversely, let  $F \subseteq S$  be a closed set containing  $U$ . There is then a set  $\hat{F} \subseteq X$  closed in  $X$  such that  $F = \hat{F} \cap S$ . Then  $\overline{U} \subseteq \hat{F}$ , so  $\overline{U} \cap S \subseteq \hat{F} \cap S = F$ . Since  $F$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $\overline{U} \cap S \subseteq \text{Cl}_S U$ .

- (b)

#### EXERCISE 3.32

Let  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of topological spaces.

- (a) For any  $\beta \in A$  and any  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , define a map  $f: X_\beta \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$  by  $f(x) = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ , where  $x_\beta = x$ . Then  $f$  is a topological embedding.
- (b) Each canonical projection  $\pi_\beta: \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$  is an open map.

**SOLUTION.** (a) Clearly  $f$  is continuous since its coordinate functions  $\pi_\alpha \circ f$  are all continuous. By [Problem 3.13](#) it suffices to show that  $f$  has a continuous left inverse. But the projection  $\pi_\beta$  is a left inverse for  $f$ , and this is continuous.

(b) It suffices to show that  $\pi_\beta(U)$  is open for all elements  $U$  in a basis for the product topology. Hence assume that  $U$  is on the form  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$ , where  $U_\alpha$

is open in  $X_\alpha$ , and  $U_\alpha = X_\alpha$  except for finitely many  $\alpha$ . But then  $\pi_\beta(U) = U_\beta$ , which is open.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.7.** In the notation of [Exercise 3.32](#), if  $B \subseteq A$  then we may generalise the exercise as follows: Any  $(y_\beta) \in \prod_{\beta \in B} X_\beta$  and  $(x_\alpha) \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$  induces an embedding  $f$  in the obvious way. This is indeed an embedding since the projection  $\pi_B^A: \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\beta \in B} X_\beta$  is a left inverse to it.

Similarly, the projection  $\pi_B^A$  is a continuous, open surjection and hence a quotient map by Proposition 3.67. But the obvious projection onto the product

$$\prod_{\beta \in B} X_\beta \times \prod_{\alpha \in A \setminus B} \{x_\alpha\}$$

is also a quotient map by the same argument, and it makes the same identifications as  $\pi_B^A$ , so this space is homeomorphic to  $\prod_{\beta \in B} X_\beta$  by Theorem 3.75.  $\square$

#### EXERCISE 3.43

Let  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of topological spaces, and let  $X = \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ .

- (a) A subset of  $X$  is open/closed if and only if its intersection with each  $X_\alpha$  is open/closed.
- (b) Each canonical injection  $\iota_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X$  is a topological embedding and an open and closed map.
- (c) If each  $X_\alpha$  is second countable and  $A$  is countable, then  $X$  is second countable.

**SOLUTION.** (a) Since the disjoint union topology is a final topology, a subset  $B \subseteq X$  is open if and only if  $\iota_\alpha^{-1}(B) = B \cap X_\alpha$  is open in  $X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ . The claim for closed subsets follows by taking complements.

(b) It suffices to show that  $\iota_\alpha$  is both open and closed by Proposition 3.16. Let  $U \subseteq X_\alpha$  be open, and notice that

$$\iota_\beta^{-1}(\iota_\alpha(U)) = \iota_\alpha(U) \cap X_\beta = \begin{cases} U, & \beta = \alpha, \\ \emptyset, & \beta \neq \alpha, \end{cases}$$

which is open for all  $\beta \in A$ . Hence  $\iota_\alpha(U)$  is open. The same holds if  $U$  is closed.

In fact, if  $X_\alpha$  is nonempty, say  $x \in X_\alpha$ , then  $\iota_\alpha$  has a left inverse: For instance the map  $q: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  that is the identity on  $X_\alpha$  (which is both open and closed in  $X$ ) and is constant and equal to  $x$  on  $X \setminus X_\alpha$ .

(c) Let  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  be a countable basis for  $X_\alpha$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \iota_\alpha(\mathcal{B}_\alpha)$  is a countable basis for  $X$ , since  $\iota_\alpha$  is open.  $\square$

#### EXERCISE 3.55

Show that every wedge sum of Hausdorff spaces is Hausdorff.

**SOLUTION.** Let  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of Hausdorff spaces, denote the base point of  $X_\alpha$  by  $p_\alpha$ , and let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct points in their wedge sum.

First assume that neither point is the base point, and that  $x, y \in X_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in A$ . Picking disjoint open neighbourhoods  $U, V \subseteq X_\alpha$  of  $x$  and  $y$  yields disjoint open neighbourhoods  $U \setminus \{p_\alpha\}$  and  $V \setminus \{p_\alpha\}$  of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X_\alpha$ . These are clearly also disjoint and open in  $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ .

Next assume that neither point is the base point, and that  $x \in X_\alpha$  and  $y \in X_\beta$  for some  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . In this case the sets  $X_\alpha \setminus \{p_\alpha\}$  and  $X_\beta \setminus \{p_\beta\}$  work.

Finally assume that  $x$  is the base point and that  $y \in X_\beta$ . Let  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  in  $X_\beta$ . The set

$$U \vee \bigvee_{\alpha \neq \beta} X_\alpha$$

is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  disjoint from  $V$ .  $\square$

#### EXERCISE 3.59

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be any map. For a subset  $A \subseteq X$ , show that the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $A$  is saturated.
- (b)  $A = f^{-1}(f(A))$ .
- (c)  $A$  is a union of fibres.
- (d) If  $x \in A$ , then  $f(x) = f(x')$  implies that  $x' \in A$ , for all  $x' \in X$ .

**SOLUTION.** (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b): First assume that  $A$  is saturated, so that  $A = f^{-1}(B)$  for some  $B \subseteq Y$ . Then  $f(A) \subseteq B$ , so  $f^{-1}(f(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) = A$ , and the opposite inclusion always holds. The opposite implication is obvious.

(a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c): Simply notice that

$$f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{y \in B} \{y\}\right) = \bigcup_{y \in B} f^{-1}(y)$$

for any  $B \subseteq Y$ , so  $A$  is on the form  $f^{-1}(B)$  if and only if it is a union of fibres.



(c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d): First assume that  $A$  is a union of fibres, and let  $x \in A$ . If  $f(x) = f(x')$  for some  $x' \in X$ , then  $x$  and  $x'$  lie in the same fibre, and this fibre is either contained entirely in  $A$  or is disjoint from  $A$ . Hence  $x' \in A$ .

Conversely, if  $x \in A$  then  $f^{-1}(f(x)) \subseteq A$ , since  $f(x) = f(x')$  for all  $x'$  in this preimage.  $\square$

#### EXERCISE 3.61

A continuous surjective map  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quotient map if and only if it takes saturated open subsets to open subsets, or saturated closed subsets to closed subsets.

**SOLUTION.** First assume that  $q$  is a quotient map, and let  $U \subseteq X$  be a saturated open subset. Then since  $U = q^{-1}(q(U))$ , the set  $U$  is open if and only if  $q(U)$  is open.

Conversely, assume that  $q$  takes saturated open subsets to open subsets, and let  $V \subseteq Y$ . We need to show that  $V$  is open if and only if  $q^{-1}(V)$  is open. The ‘only if’ part follows since  $q$  is continuous. For the ‘if’ part, assume that  $q^{-1}(V)$  is open and notice that it is saturated. But then  $q(q^{-1}(V)) = V$  is also open, where the equality follows since  $q$  is surjective.

The case where  $q$  takes saturated closed subsets to closed subsets follows similarly (replace ‘open’ with ‘closed’ throughout).  $\square$

#### EXERCISE 3.63

Properties of quotient maps.

- (a) Any composition of quotient maps is a quotient map.
- (b) An injective quotient map is a homeomorphism.
- (c) If  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quotient map, a subset  $F \subseteq Y$  is closed if and only if  $q^{-1}(F)$  is closed in  $X$ .
- (d) If  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quotient map and  $U \subseteq X$  is a saturated open or closed subset, then the restriction  $q|_U: U \rightarrow q(U)$  is a quotient map.
- (e) If  $\{q_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is an indexed family of quotient maps, then the map  $q: \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \rightarrow \coprod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha$  whose restriction to each  $X_\alpha$  is equal to  $q_\alpha$  is a quotient map.

**SOLUTION.** (a) This follows from the fact that final topologies compose.

(b) Let  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  be an injective quotient map. Since  $q$  is already continuous and surjective, it suffices to show that it is open. For any subset  $U \subseteq X$  we have

$U = q^{-1}(q(U))$  since  $q$  is injective, so  $U$  is open if and only if  $q(U)$  is. Hence  $q$  is open.

(c) This follows easily from the definition of the quotient topology by taking complements.

(d) Assume that  $U$  is open (the case where  $U$  is closed is similar). By Proposition 3.60 (i.e. Exercise 3.61) it suffices to show that  $q|_U$  takes saturated open subsets of  $U$  to open subsets, so let  $V \subseteq U$  be open. Then  $V$  is also open in  $X$ , and  $q(V)$  is open in  $Y$ . But then  $q(V)$  is also open in  $q(U)$  as desired.

(e) This also follows from the fact that final topologies compose. To be explicit, consider for each  $\beta \in A$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\beta & \xrightarrow{q_\beta} & Y_\beta \\ \downarrow \iota_{X_\beta} & & \downarrow \iota_{Y_\beta} \\ \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{q} & \coprod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha \end{array}$$

Each  $Y_\beta$  has the final topology coinduced by  $q_\beta$ , and  $\coprod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha$  has the final topology coinduced by the maps  $\iota_{Y_\beta}$ . Since final topologies compose, this also has the final topology induced by the maps  $\iota_{Y_\beta} \circ q_\beta$ . But since the above diagram commutes, this is the same as the final topology coinduced by the maps  $q \circ \iota_{X_\beta}$ . But since  $\coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$  itself carries the final topology coinduced by the  $\iota_{X_\beta}$ , the topology on  $\coprod_{\alpha \in A} Y_\alpha$  is the same as the final topology coinduced by the map  $q$ .  $\square$

### Problems

#### PROBLEM 3.1

Suppose  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold with boundary. Show that  $\partial M$  is an  $(n-1)$ -manifold (without boundary) when endowed with the subspace topology. (We may assume invariance of the boundary.)

**SOLUTION.** Let  $p \in \partial M$ . Then  $p$  lies in the domain of a boundary chart  $(U, \varphi)$ , and  $\varphi(p) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^n$ . We claim that  $\varphi$  maps  $U \cap \partial M$  into  $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$ . If  $q \in U \cap \partial M$ , then  $q$  does not lie in  $\text{Int } M$  (since we are assuming invariance of the boundary). If we had  $\varphi(q) \in \text{Int } \mathbb{H}^n$ , then we could restrict the domain of  $U$  to a smaller open set  $U'$  such that we still had  $q \in U'$ , and such that  $\varphi(U')$  was an open subset of  $\text{Int } \mathbb{H}^n$ . (For instance, we could simply remove  $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$  from the codomain and restrict the domain accordingly.) But this is impossible since  $q$  is not an interior point, so we must have  $\varphi(q) \in \partial \mathbb{H}^n$ .

Hence  $\varphi$  restricted to  $U \cap \partial M$  is a chart from  $\partial M$  to  $\partial \mathbb{H}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .  $\square$

### PROBLEM 3.2

Suppose  $X$  is a topological space and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ . Show that  $A$  is dense in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is dense in  $B$  and  $B$  is dense in  $X$ .

**SOLUTION.** We need to show that  $\bar{A} = X$  if and only if  $\text{Cl}_B A = B$  (i.e.  $\bar{A} \cap B = B$  by Exercise 3.7) and  $\bar{B} = X$ . The ‘only if’ part is obvious, so we prove the other implication.

To this end, notice that the hypotheses imply that

$$X = \bar{B} = \overline{\bar{A} \cap B} \subseteq \bar{\bar{A}} \cap \bar{B} = \bar{A} \cap X = \bar{A}.$$

The opposite inclusion is obvious, so  $\bar{A} = X$  as desired.  $\square$

### PROBLEM 3.13

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map. Prove the following:

- (a) If  $f$  admits a continuous left inverse, it is a topological embedding.
- (b) If  $f$  admits a continuous right inverse, it is a quotient map.
- (c) TODO

**SOLUTION.** (a) Let  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  be a continuous left inverse of  $f$ , and define  $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow f(X)$  by  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$ . Then  $g|_{f(X)}$  is continuous and a left inverse of  $\tilde{f}$ . But since  $\tilde{f}$  is bijective it has a unique (two-sided) inverse, namely  $g|_{f(X)}$ , and since this is also continuous  $\tilde{f}$  is a homeomorphism.

(b) Let  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  be a continuous right inverse of  $f$ , and let  $U \subseteq Y$  be such that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open. Then

$$g^{-1}(f^{-1}(U)) = (f \circ g)^{-1}(U) = U \quad \square$$

is also open, so  $f$  is a quotient map.

(c)

**REMARK 3.8.** I would have liked for split mono- and epimorphisms to be open and closed, but I’m not sure this is the case. Instead, this problem and Proposition 3.69 seem to provide independent criteria under which a continuous map  $f$  is an embedding or a quotient map: In either case  $f$  must

be injective/surjective. But then we further assume either that  $f$  splits, or that  $f$  is open/closed. In other words, the hypothesis that  $f$  splits can be replaced with the weaker hypothesis that  $f$  is injective/surjective, but then we must further assume that  $f$  is open or closed.  $\lrcorner$

## 4 • Connectedness and Compactness

### General notes

**REMARK 4.1: Locally Euclidean implies locally compact.**

Proposition 4.64 shows that every manifold with or without boundary is locally compact. But local compactness follows directly from being locally Euclidean, as we show. Hence at least manifolds without boundary may easily be shown to be locally compact.

Let  $X$  be a locally Euclidean space of dimension  $n$ , and let  $x \in X$ . We show that  $x$  has a neighbourhood basis of compact sets, so let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of  $x$ . Maybe by shrinking it we may assume that  $U$  is homeomorphic to an open subset  $\hat{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , say through a map  $\varphi: U \rightarrow \hat{U}$ . Then  $\varphi(x)$  has a compact neighbourhood  $K$  contained in  $\hat{U}$ , so  $\varphi^{-1}(K)$  is a compact neighbourhood of  $x$  contained in  $U$ .  $\lrcorner$

**REMARK 4.2: Perfect normality of manifolds.**

We use a slightly different terminology from Lee, in that we do not assume that a normal space is  $T_1$ . Furthermore, we call a topological space *perfect* if every closed set is a  $G_\delta$ -set. A topological space that is both perfect and normal will be called *perfectly normal*, and if it is also Hausdorff it is called a  $T_6$ -space. One can show (e.g. Willard 15C) that a space  $X$  is  $T_6$  if and only if for every pair of disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$ , there is a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $A = f^{-1}(0)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(1)$ .

Corollary 4.89 shows that manifolds are  $T_6$  using the theory of partitions of unity. Another approach is to show that manifolds are metrisable, since metrisable spaces are easily shown to be  $T_6$ . (Indeed, one can use the one-point compactification and Urysohn's metrisation theorem to show that every second countable locally compact Hausdorff space is completely metrisable.)  $\lrcorner$

**REMARK 4.3: Compactness vs. paracompactness and normality.**

The usual proof that a compact Hausdorff space is normal can be rephrased in a way to make it more reminiscent of the proof (Theorem 4.81) that a paracompact Hausdorff space is regular.

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $A$  a closed subset and  $q \in X \setminus A$ . For every  $p \in A$  there exist, by the Hausdorff assumption, disjoint open neighbour-

hoods  $U_p$  and  $V_p$  of  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. Each  $p \in A$  thus has a neighbourhood  $U_p$  such that  $q \notin \overline{U_p}$ .

The sets  $U_p$  is an open cover of  $A$ , so by compactness of  $X$  we obtain a finite subcover  $\mathcal{U}$ . Letting  $\mathbb{U} = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U$  and  $\mathbb{V} = X \setminus \overline{\mathbb{U}}$  we then have two disjoint open sets, and by finiteness of  $\mathcal{U}$  we have  $\overline{\mathbb{U}} = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{U}$ , so  $\mathbb{V}$  contains  $q$ .

Notice that the proof goes through if we only assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a locally finite refinement of the initial cover, instead of a finite subcover, and this yields the proof in the paracompact case.  $\square$

### Exercises

### Problems

#### PROBLEM 4.8

Show that a locally connected topological space is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of its components.

For a counterexample when the space is not locally connected, consider  $\mathbb{Q}$  with the subspace topology from  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**SOLUTION.** We prove the following lemma:

*Let  $X$  be a topological space, and let  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a partition of  $X$  into open sets. Then  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ .*

Let  $\iota_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow X$  and  $\kappa_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$  denote the canonical injections. The maps  $\iota_\alpha$  induce a continuous map  $f: \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha \rightarrow X$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\alpha & & \\ \kappa_\alpha \downarrow & \searrow \iota_\alpha & \\ \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

commute. Clearly  $f$  is bijective, so it suffices to show that it is open. Notice that since each  $X_\alpha$  is open in  $X$ , the maps  $\iota_\alpha$  are also open. Now let  $U \subseteq \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$  be open, and notice that

$$f(U \cap X_\alpha) = \iota_\alpha(\kappa_\alpha^{-1}(U)),$$

which is open in  $X$  since  $\kappa_\alpha$  is continuous and  $\iota_\alpha$  is open. Finally notice that

$$f(U) = f\left(U \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha\right) = f\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (U \cap X_\alpha)\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} f(U \cap X_\alpha),$$

so  $f(U)$  is a union of open sets, hence is itself open as desired.

To solve the exercise, simply recall from Proposition 4.25(b) that components are open in a locally connected space. The lemma also implies that we may take the disjoint union of *unions* of components instead of the components themselves.  $\square$

#### PROBLEM 4.11

Let  $X$  be a topological space, and let  $CX$  be the cone on  $X$ .

- (a) Show that  $CX$  is path-connected.
- (b) TODO

**SOLUTION.** (a) Let  $(x, s) \in X \times I$  and consider the map  $f: I \rightarrow X \times I$  given by  $f(t) = (x, ts)$ , which is a path from  $(x, 0)$  to  $(x, s)$ . This yields a continuous function  $\tilde{f}: I \rightarrow CX$  which is a path from  $X \times \{0\}$  to  $[(x, s)]$ . Hence every point in  $CX$  can be joined by a path to  $X \times \{0\}$ , so it is path-connected.  $\square$

## 5 • Cell Complexes

### General notes

#### REMARK 5.1: Coherent topologies.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of subspaces of  $X$  whose union is  $X$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{T}$  is coherent with  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{T}$  is the final topology coinduced by the inclusion maps  $\iota_B: B \rightarrow X$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . For this topology has the property that a set  $U \subseteq X$  is open if and only if  $\iota_B^{-1}(U) = U \cap B$  is open in  $B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

This is precisely [Problem 5.5](#).  $\lrcorner$

**REMARK 5.2.** We comment on Proposition 5.4, the claim that Hausdorff spaces equipped with a locally finite cell decomposition is a CW complex.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the cell decomposition in question, and let  $\bar{\mathcal{E}} = \{\bar{e} \mid e \in \mathcal{E}\}$ . Then  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  is a locally finite closed cover of  $X$ , so [Problem 5.6](#) shows that the topology of  $X$  is coherent with  $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ .  $\lrcorner$

### Exercises

#### EXERCISE 5.3

Suppose  $X$  is a topological space whose topology is coherent with a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subspaces.

- (a) If  $Y$  is another topological space, then a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if  $f|_B$  is continuous for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (b) The map  $q: \coprod_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B \rightarrow X$  induced by the inclusion of each set  $B \hookrightarrow X$  is a quotient map.

**SOLUTION.** (a) Let  $V \subseteq Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open if and only if  $(f|_B)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V) \cap B$  is open in  $B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . But this precisely expresses that each  $f|_B$  is continuous, so the claim follows.

Alternatively, since  $f|_B = \iota_B \circ f$ , this precisely expresses the universal property of the final topology induced by the inclusion maps  $\iota_B$ , so this follows from [Remark 5.1](#).

(b) Notice that  $q^{-1}(U) = U \cap B$  for all  $U \subseteq X$ . Since the topology on  $X$  is coherent with  $\mathcal{B}$ , the set  $U$  is open if and only if  $U \cap B$  is open for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . But this precisely expresses that  $q$  is a quotient map.

Alternatively, this follows since  $X$  has the final topology induced by the inclusion maps, but the disjoint union  $\coprod_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$  also has a final topology, and final topologies compose.  $\square$

### Problems

#### PROBLEM 5.5

Suppose  $X$  is a topological space and  $\{X_\alpha\}$  is a family of subspaces whose union is  $X$ . Show that the topology of  $X$  is coherent with the subspaces  $\{X_\alpha\}$  if and only if it is the finest topology on  $X$  for which all of the inclusion maps  $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \hookrightarrow X$  are continuous.

**SOLUTION.** This follows immediately from the fact that  $i_\alpha^{-1}(U) = U \cap X_\alpha$  for all  $U \subseteq X$ .  $\square$

#### PROBLEM 5.6

Suppose  $X$  is a topological space. Show that the topology of  $X$  is coherent with each of the following collections of subspaces of  $X$ :

- (a) Any open cover of  $X$ .
- (b) Any locally finite closed cover of  $X$ .

**SOLUTION.** (a) Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . If  $U \subseteq X$  is open  $U \cap V$  is open for all  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  (as Lee also remarks, this implication always holds). Conversely,

if  $U \cap V$  is open in  $V$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , then since each  $V$  is open in  $X$ ,  $U \cap V$  is also open in  $X$ . Furthermore, because  $\mathcal{V}$  is a cover of  $X$  we have

$$U = U \cap \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} (U \cap V),$$

so  $U$  is a union of open set, hence itself open.

(b) We first prove the following lemma:

*Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a locally finite collection of closed sets in a topological space  $X$ .*

*Then the union  $\mathbb{F} = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$  is closed in  $X$ .*

Let  $x \in \mathbb{F}^c$ . Then since  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally finite,  $x$  has an open neighbourhood  $U$  that intersects finitely many elements from  $\mathcal{F}$ , say  $F_1, \dots, F_n$ . Let  $U' = U \setminus (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n)$ . Then  $U'$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  disjoint from  $\mathbb{F}$ , so  $\mathbb{F}^c$  is open.

We now solve the exercise. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a locally finite closed over of  $X$ , and let  $C \subseteq X$  be such that  $C \cap F$  is closed in  $F$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$C = C \cap \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (C \cap F).$$

The collection  $\{C \cap F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is clearly also locally finite, so since each  $C \cap F$  is closed in  $X$ , the lemma shows that the above union is also closed in  $X$ .  $\square$