

# Roman, *Advanced Linear Algebra*

Danny Nygård Hansen

20th November 2022

## 1 • Vector Spaces

### EXERCISE 1.11

Show that if  $S$  is a subspace of a vector space  $V$ , then  $\dim S \leq \dim V$ . Furthermore, if  $\dim S = \dim V < \infty$  then  $S = V$ .

**SOLUTION.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $S$ . Then this is linearly independent as a subset of  $V$ , hence is contained in a basis  $\mathcal{B}'$  for  $V$  by Theorem 1.9. Then  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ , so it follows that  $\dim S \leq \dim V$ .

Now assume that  $\dim S = \dim V < \infty$ . Then  $|\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{B}'|$ , but since each basis is finite and one is contained in the other, we must have  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ . Hence  $S = V$ .  $\square$

### EXERCISE 1.12

Suppose that  $V = U \oplus S_1 = U \oplus S_2$ . What can you say about the relationship between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ? What can you say if  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ ?

**SOLUTION.** By Theorem 3.6, all complements of  $U$  are isomorphic, so we always have  $S_1 \cong S_2$ . Assume that  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , and let  $s \in S_2$ . Then  $s = u + s'$  for some  $u \in U$  and  $s' \in S_1$ . But then  $s'$  also lies in  $S_2$ , so since the sum  $U \oplus S_2$  is direct we have  $u = 0$ .  $\square$

## 2 • Linear Transformations

### EXERCISE 2.15

Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

- (a) Given  $L \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ , show that there exists an  $R \in \mathcal{L}(V, U)$  with  $T = LR$  if

and only if  $\text{im } T \subseteq \text{im } L$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ V & \xrightarrow{\quad R \quad} & U & \xrightarrow{\quad L \quad} & W \end{array}$$

- (b) Given  $R \in \mathcal{L}(V, U)$ , show that there exists an  $L \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$  with  $T = LR$  if and only if  $\ker R \subseteq \ker T$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ V & \xrightarrow{\quad R \quad} & U & \xrightarrow{\quad L \quad} & W \end{array}$$

In particular, both monomorphisms and epimorphisms split.

**SOLUTION.** (a) Write  $W = \ker L \oplus M$  for some subspace  $M \subseteq W$ . Then the restriction  $L|_M: M \rightarrow \text{im } L$  is bijective, so let  $R = (L|_M)^{-1}T$ , which is well-defined since  $\text{im } T \subseteq \text{im } L$ .

(b) Write  $V = \ker R \oplus M$  for some subspace  $M \subseteq V$ . Then  $R|_M: M \rightarrow \text{im } R$  is bijective. Writing  $U = \text{im } R \oplus N$  for some subspace  $N \subseteq U$ , let  $L = T \circ [(R|_M)^{-1}, 0]$ . For  $v \in \ker R \subseteq \ker T$  we have

$$LRv = L(0) = 0 = Tv,$$

and for  $v \in M$  we have

$$LRv = T(R|_M)^{-1}Rv = Tv,$$

as required.  $\square$

### EXERCISE 2.22

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . If  $TS = ST$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , show that  $T = \alpha \text{id}_V$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . I.e., the centre of the ring  $\mathcal{L}(V)$ , with multiplication given by function composition, is the subspace  $\langle \text{id}_V \rangle$ .

**SOLUTION.** This is obvious if  $\dim V \in \{0, 1\}$ , so assume that  $\dim V \geq 2$ .

First let  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  and write  $V = \langle v \rangle \oplus U$  for some subspace  $U$ , and define  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  by letting  $Sv = v$  and  $Su = 0$  for  $u \in U$ . If  $T$  and  $S$  commute, then

$$STv = T Sv = Tv.$$

Hence  $Tv \in \langle v \rangle$  (which includes the possibility that  $Tv = 0$ ).

Next assume that  $v, w \in V$  are linearly independent, write  $V = \langle v, w \rangle \oplus U_2$  for some subspace  $U_2$ , and define  $S$  by letting  $Sv = w$ ,  $Sw = v$  and  $Su = 0$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  be such that  $Tv = \alpha v$ . Then

$$Tw = TSv = STv = \alpha Sv = \alpha w.$$

Hence  $Tv = \alpha v$  for all  $v \in V$  as desired.  $\square$

### 3 • The Isomorphism Theorems

#### EXERCISE 3.18

Let  $S$  be a subspace of  $V$ . Prove that  $(V/S)^* \cong S^0$ .

**SOLUTION.** Let  $\pi: V \rightarrow V/S$  be the quotient map, such that for every  $\varphi \in (V/S)^*$  we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ \pi} & \mathbb{F} \\ \pi \searrow & & \nearrow \varphi \\ & V/S & \end{array}$$

Consider the map  $(V/S)^* \rightarrow V^*$  given by  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \pi$ . This is injective by the universal property of quotients. Also by this property, a functional  $\psi \in V^*$  factors through  $\pi$  if and only if  $S \subseteq \ker \psi$ , i.e. if  $\psi \in S^0$ . Hence the image of the above map is precisely  $S^0$ .  $\square$

### 8 • Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

#### EXERCISE 8.6

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is *nilpotent* if  $T^n = 0$  for some positive  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a) Show that if  $T$  is nilpotent, then the spectrum of  $T$  is  $\{0\}$ .
- (b) Find a non-nilpotent operator  $T$  with spectrum  $\{0\}$ .

**SOLUTION.** (a) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  be an eigenvalue of  $T$ , and let  $v \in V$  be a corresponding eigenvector. Then

$$0 = T^n v = T^{n-1} T v = \lambda T^{n-1} v = \dots = \lambda^n v.$$

Hence  $\lambda^n = 0$ , since otherwise  $v = \lambda^{-n} 0 = 0$ . But then  $\lambda = 0$ .

(b) Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be rotation by  $\pi/2$  radians, and let  $0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  be the trivial map. Then  $T = S \oplus 0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  has spectrum  $\{0\}$  but is clearly not nilpotent.  $\square$

## EXERCISE 8.9

An *involution* is a linear operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  for which  $S^2 = \text{id}_V$ . If  $T$  is idempotent, what can you say about  $2T - \text{id}_V$ ? Construct a one-to-one correspondence between the set of idempotents on  $V$  and the set of involutions.

**SOLUTION.** Note that by 2 we mean  $1+1$ , where 1 is the multiplicative identity of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Since  $2^2 = (1+1)^2 = 1+1+1+1 = 4$ , we have  $(2T)^2 = 2^2 T^2 = 4T^2$  as expected.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (2T - \text{id}_V)^2 &= (2T)^2 + \text{id}_V^2 - 2 \cdot 2T \text{id}_V \\ &= 4T^2 + \text{id}_V - 4T \\ &= 4T + \text{id}_V - 4T \\ &= \text{id}_V, \end{aligned}$$

since  $T$  is idempotent. Hence the map  $T \mapsto 2T - \text{id}_V$  sends idempotents to involutions.

Let  $2^{-1}$  be the multiplicative inverse of  $2 = 1+1$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and similarly for  $4^{-1}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is an involution, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1}(S + \text{id}_V) \circ 2^{-1}(S + \text{id}_V) &= 4^{-1}(S^2 + S + S + \text{id}_V) \\ &= 4^{-1}(\text{id}_V + S + S + \text{id}_V) \\ &= 2^{-1}(S + \text{id}_V), \end{aligned}$$

so  $2^{-1}(S + \text{id}_V)$  is idempotent. And the map  $S \mapsto 2^{-1}(S + \text{id}_V)$  is clearly an inverse to the above map, so these give a bijection between the idempotents and involutions on  $V$ .  $\square$

## EXERCISE 8.20

Let  $T: \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a function with the following properties: For all matrices  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

- (a)  $T(\alpha A) = \alpha T(A)$ ,
- (b)  $T(A + B) = T(A) + T(B)$ , and
- (c)  $T(AB) = T(BA)$ .

Show that there exists a  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $T = \beta \text{tr}$ .

**SOLUTION.** The first two properties say that  $T$  is linear, so it suffices to prove the claim on a basis for  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Furthermore, the third property implies that  $T$  is invariant under similarity, in particular under change of basis.

Let  $E_{ij}$  be the matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th entry is 1 and all other entries are 0. Notice that  $E_{ij}e_k = \delta_{jk}e_i$ , so that  $E_{ij}E_{kl} = \delta_{jk}E_{il}$ . The matrices  $E_{ii}$  and  $E_{jj}$  are similar, so  $\beta := T(E_{ii}) = T(E_{jj})$ . If  $i \neq j$ , then notice that  $E_{ii}E_{ij} = E_{ij}$  but  $E_{ij}E_{ii} = 0$ . The third property thus implies that

$$T(E_{ij}) = T(E_{ii}E_{ij}) = T(E_{ij}E_{ii}) = T(0) = 0.$$

Hence  $T(E_{ij}) = \beta\delta_{ij} = \beta \operatorname{tr} E_{ij}$  as desired.  $\square$

#### EXERCISE 8.21

A pair of linear operators  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  (with  $\dim V < \infty$ ) is *simultaneously diagonalisable* if there is an ordered basis  $\mathcal{V}$  for  $V$  such that  ${}_{\mathcal{V}}[T]_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{V}}[S]_{\mathcal{V}}$  are both diagonal. Prove that two diagonalisable operators  $T$  and  $S$  are simultaneously diagonalisable if and only if they commute.

**SOLUTION.** First assume that  $T$  and  $S$  are simultaneously diagonalisable, and write  $\mathcal{V} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Then each  $v_i$  is an eigenvector for both  $T$  and  $S$ , so let  $Tv_i = \lambda_i v_i$  and  $Sv_i = \mu_i v_i$ . Then

$$TSv_i = \mu_i Tv_i = \mu_i \lambda_i v_i = \lambda_i \mu_i v_i = \lambda_i Sv_i = STv_i,$$

so  $T$  and  $S$  commute. Alternatively, we may simply notice that the matrix representations of  $T$  and  $S$  commute (since they are diagonal), so

$${}_{\mathcal{V}}[TS]_{\mathcal{V}} = {}_{\mathcal{V}}[T]_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot {}_{\mathcal{V}}[S]_{\mathcal{V}} = {}_{\mathcal{V}}[S]_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot {}_{\mathcal{V}}[T]_{\mathcal{V}} = {}_{\mathcal{V}}[ST]_{\mathcal{V}},$$

and hence  $TS = ST$ .

In order to prove the converse we will need a couple of lemmas, starting with:

*Assume that the subspace  $U$  is invariant under  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . If  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$  are eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to distinct eigenvalues and  $v_1 + \dots + v_k \in U$ , then all  $v_i$  lie in  $U$ .*

We prove this claim by induction. For  $k = 1$  this is obvious, so assume that it holds for  $k - 1$ . Then let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalue corresponding to  $v_i$ , and put  $u = v_1 + \dots + v_k$ . Notice that

$$Tu - \lambda_1 u = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)v_2 + \dots + (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)v_k.$$

The left-hand side lies in  $U$ . Hence each summand on the right-hand side lies in  $U$  by induction, and since the eigenvalues are distinct so do  $v_2, \dots, v_k$ . Since  $U$  is a subspace,  $v_1$  does as well.

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is diagonalisable and the subspace  $U$  is invariant under  $T$ , then  $T|_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  is also diagonalisable.

Each  $u \in U$  is a finite sum of eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to distinct eigenvalues. Hence each eigenvector also lies in  $U$ , so

$$U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Spec } T} U \cap E_T(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Spec } T|_U} E_{T|_U}(\lambda),$$

where the last equality follows since  $U \cap E_T(\lambda)$  is precisely the set of eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  that lie in  $U$ , i.e. the eigenvectors of  $T|_U$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

Finally assume that  $TS = ST$ . If  $v \in E_T(\lambda)$ , then

$$TSv = STv = \lambda Sv,$$

so also  $Sv \in E_T(\lambda)$ . In other words, every eigenspace of  $T$  is invariant under  $S$ . By the lemma above,  $S$  restricted to  $E_T(\lambda)$  is thus diagonalisable, hence has a basis  $\mathcal{V}_\lambda$  of eigenvectors of  $S$ . But these are also eigenvectors of  $T$ . Then  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \text{Spec } T} \mathcal{V}_\lambda$  is a basis for  $V$  consisting of simultaneous eigenvectors of  $T$  and  $S$ .  $\square$

## 10 • Structure Theory for Normal Operators

### EXERCISE 10.5

Prove that if  $\|Tv\| = \|T^*v\|$  for all  $v \in V$ , where  $V$  is complex, then  $T$  is normal.

**SOLUTION.** The assumption implies that

$$\langle T^*Tv, v \rangle = \langle Tv, Tv \rangle = \|Tv\|^2 = \|T^*v\|^2 = \langle T^*v, T^*v \rangle = \langle TT^*v, v \rangle,$$

so the claim follows from Theorem 9.2(2).

(In fact, this also holds if  $V$  is real. For then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{\mathbb{C}}(v + iu)\|^2 &= \|Tv\|^2 + \|Tu\|^2 \\ &= \|T^*v\|^2 + \|T^*u\|^2 \\ &= \|(T^*)^{\mathbb{C}}(v + iu)\|^2 \\ &= \|(T^{\mathbb{C}})^*(v + iu)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so  $T^{\mathbb{C}}$  is normal, and hence  $T$  is normal.)  $\square$