

# Spivak, *Physics for Mathematicians: Mechanics I*

Danny Nygård Hansen

27th February 2023

## 5 • Rigid Bodies

### *Isometries*

If  $(S, \rho)$  and  $(T, \delta)$  are metric spaces, then a map  $f: S \rightarrow T$  is an **isometry** if

$$\delta(f(x), f(y)) = \rho(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed vector spaces, and let  $A \subseteq X$  be a subset. Since e.g. the norm on  $X$  induces a metric  $\rho_X$  given by  $\rho_X(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|_X$ , a (not necessarily linear) map  $\varphi: A \rightarrow Y$  is an isometry if

$$\|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{x}')\|_Y = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|_X$$

for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in A$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are also inner product spaces, then we have the following:

#### LEMMA 5.1

Let  $X, Y$  be inner product spaces, and let  $A \subseteq X$  be a subset containing  $\mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow Y$  be a map such that  $\varphi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an isometry if and only if

$$\langle \varphi(\mathbf{x}), \varphi(\mathbf{x}') \rangle_Y = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle_X \quad (5.1)$$

for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in A$ .

**PROOF.** Notice the identities

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|_X^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_X^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle_X + \|\mathbf{x}'\|_X^2$$

and

$$\|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{x}')\|_Y^2 = \|\varphi(\mathbf{x})\|_Y^2 - 2\langle \varphi(\mathbf{x}), \varphi(\mathbf{x}') \rangle_Y + \|\varphi(\mathbf{x}')\|_Y^2.$$

First assume that (5.1) holds. Substituting  $\mathbf{x}'$  for  $\mathbf{x}$  we find that  $\|\varphi(\mathbf{x})\|_Y = \|\mathbf{x}\|_X$ , and we similarly have  $\|\varphi(\mathbf{x}')\|_Y = \|\mathbf{x}'\|_X$ . The above identities then imply that  $\varphi$  is an isometry.

If conversely  $\varphi$  is an isometry, notice that

$$\|\varphi(\mathbf{x})\|_Y = \|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{0})\|_Y = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{0}\|_X = \|\mathbf{x}\|_X,$$

and we similarly have  $\|\varphi(\mathbf{x}')\|_Y = \|\mathbf{x}'\|_X$ . The above identities then imply (5.1).  $\square$

#### PROPOSITION 5.2

Every isometry  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is on the form

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for a unique  $d \times d$  matrix  $A$  and vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Furthermore,  $A$  is orthogonal.

In particular, any isometry that preserves the origin is linear.

**PROOF.** We first show that  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}$  for some  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $\varphi_0: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is an isometry fixing the origin. Simply let  $\mathbf{b} = \varphi(\mathbf{0})$  and  $\varphi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{b}$ . Then

$$\varphi_0(\mathbf{0}) = \varphi(\mathbf{0}) - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0},$$

and for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$\|\varphi_0(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi_0(\mathbf{y})\| = \|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{y})\| = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|,$$

so  $\varphi_0$  is an isometry.

Next we show that  $\varphi_0$  is linear; since it is an isometry, this will imply the existence of an orthogonal matrix  $A$  as above. For  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have by Lemma 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_0(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}), \varphi_0(\mathbf{z}) \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}), \varphi_0(\mathbf{z}) \rangle + \langle \varphi_0(\mathbf{y}), \varphi_0(\mathbf{z}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi_0(\mathbf{y}), \varphi_0(\mathbf{z}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi_0$  preserves orthogonality, it maps an orthogonal basis into an orthogonal set. Since  $\varphi_0$  is also injective (since it is an isometry), this set is in fact a basis. Replacing  $\mathbf{z}$  by the elements in such a basis, it follows that

$\langle \varphi_0(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi_0(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{w} \rangle$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Hence  $\varphi_0(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi_0(\mathbf{y})$ . We similarly find that, for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_0(\alpha \mathbf{x}), \varphi_0(\mathbf{z}) \rangle &= \langle \alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \\ &= \alpha \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \\ &= \alpha \langle \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}), \varphi_0(\mathbf{z}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}), \varphi_0(\mathbf{z}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $\varphi_0(\alpha \mathbf{x}) = \alpha \varphi_0(\mathbf{x})$ . Thus  $\varphi_0$  is linear.

To show uniqueness, assume that  $A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = A'\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}'$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $\mathbf{b} = \varphi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{b}'$ , so  $A\mathbf{x} = A'\mathbf{x}$ . Since this holds for all  $\mathbf{x}$ , it follows that  $A = A'$  as desired.  $\square$



Consider points  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_K \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and let  $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_K)$ . Let further  $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_K)$  be a rigid motion of  $\mathbf{b}$ . That is, there is a one-parameter family of isometries  $\varphi_t: \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_K\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\varphi_t(\mathbf{b}_i) = \mathbf{c}_i(t)$ . However, to apply [Proposition 5.2](#) to  $\varphi_t$  it must be defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A natural question is then whether it is possible to extend an isometry from a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to all  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The answer is affirmative, as we shall see below.

Another natural question is whether such an extension is unique. If the points  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_K$  do not lie in a plane, then by renumbering we may assume that  $\mathbf{b}_2 - \mathbf{b}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_3 - \mathbf{b}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{b}_4 - \mathbf{b}_1$  are linearly independent and hence span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The proof below will show that this implies that an extension of  $\varphi_t$  is unique.

#### LEMMA 5.3

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be an isometry. Then  $\varphi$  extends to an isometry  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Furthermore, we have the following uniqueness results:

- (i) If  $A$  contains  $d + 1$  affinely independent elements, then  $\varphi$  has a unique isometric extension to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- (ii) If  $A$  contains  $d$  affinely independent elements, then  $\varphi$  has a unique isometric extension to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that is also orientation preserving.<sup>1</sup>

**PROOF.** By translation we may assume that  $\mathbf{0} \in A$  (if  $A$  is empty then the claim is obvious) and that  $\varphi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . First extend  $\varphi$  by linearity to  $\text{span } A$ . This is well-defined, since if  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in A$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then by [Lemma 5.1](#) we

<sup>1</sup> By this we mean that if  $\tilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$  in accordance with [Proposition 5.2](#), then  $A \in \text{SO}(d)$ .

have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) \right\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i), \varphi(\mathbf{x}_j) \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i \right\|^2.$$

This also shows that  $\varphi$  extends to an isometry on  $\text{span } A$ , and in particular  $\varphi$  is linear by [Proposition 5.2](#).

Since  $\varphi$  is linear it maps  $\text{span } A$  to a subspace  $\varphi(\text{span } A)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the same dimension. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be the orthogonal complements of  $\text{span } A$  and  $\varphi(\text{span } A)$  respectively, and let  $\psi: U \rightarrow V$  be an isometry. Letting  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \oplus \psi$ , it follows easily by Pythagoras' theorem that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is also an isometry as desired.

For the first uniqueness claim, notice that if  $A$  contains a collection of  $d+1$  affinely independent elements and  $\mathbf{a}$  is one element in such a collection, then  $A - \mathbf{a}$  contains  $d$  linearly independent vectors. Hence its span, which is contained in  $\text{span } A$ , is  $d$ -dimensional.

To prove the second uniqueness claim, notice that an argument similar to the above shows that  $\text{span } A$  has dimension at least  $d-1$ . Then notice that if  $\dim U = 1$ , then there are exactly two isometries  $U \rightarrow V$ , only one of which makes  $\tilde{\varphi}$  orientation preserving.  $\square$

### *Motion relative to the centre of mass*

In the section ‘The inertial tensor’, Spivak considers a rigid motion  $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_K)$  of a collection of points  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_K$ . These are given by  $\mathbf{c}_i(t) = B(t)\mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{w}(t)$  for some  $B: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$  and  $\mathbf{w}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Spivak then claims that we may choose  $\mathbf{w}$  to be the centre of mass  $\mathbf{C}$  given by

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^K m_i \mathbf{c}_i, \quad \text{where} \quad M = \sum_{i=1}^K m_i.$$

Given the uniqueness part of [Proposition 5.2](#), it is not immediately clear that this is possible, even if it is intuitively reasonable. Inserting the rigid motion in the expression for  $\mathbf{C}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}(t) &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^K m_i (B(t)\mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{w}(t)) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^K m_i B(t)\mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{w}(t) \\ &= B(t) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^K m_i \mathbf{c}_i(0)}{M} + \mathbf{w}(t) = B(t)\mathbf{C}(0) + \mathbf{w}(t). \end{aligned}$$

That is, the centre of mass has the exact same time evolution as each of the individual particles. If we choose our coordinate system such that  $\mathbf{C}(0) = \mathbf{0}$ , we thus get  $\mathbf{C}(t) = \mathbf{w}(t)$ .

Notice that this does not mean that we consider the motion as seen from the centre of mass system. We simply choose an inertial system in which  $\mathbf{C}(0) = \mathbf{0}$ .