

Ullrich, *Complex Made Simple*

Danny Nygård Hansen

26th May 2023

0 • Differentiability and the Cauchy–Riemann Equations

EXERCISE 0.3

Suppose that $T: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. Show that T is \mathbb{C} -linear if and only if $T(iz) = iTz$ for all z .

SOLUTION. The ‘if’ part is obvious, so we prove the ‘only if’ part. If $T(iz) = iTz$ for all z , then for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$T((a + ib)z) = T(az + ibz) = aTz + iT(bz) = aTz + ibTz = (a + ib)Tz,$$

as desired. \square

EXERCISE 0.4

Suppose that $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the \mathbb{R} -linear mapping defined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that T is \mathbb{C} -linear if and only if $a = d$ and $b = -c$.

SOLUTION. Notice that, for $a, b, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax - by \\ ay + bx \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, multiplication by a complex number $a + ib$ is the same as ordinary matrix multiplication by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since matrix multiplication is associative, by [TODO ref] the requirement is that T commutes with the matrix representing the imaginary unit i . This means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & -a \\ d & -c \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{should equal} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

And this is the case if and only if $a = d$ and $b = -c$ as claimed.

Note: The subring of $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})$ representing \mathbb{C} -linear maps is precisely the centraliser of the matrix of i . \square

1 • Power Series

2 • Preliminary Results on Holomorphic Functions

3 • Elementary Results on Holomorphic Functions

REMARK 3.1: Topological lemmas.

We give alternative proofs of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, using the covering definition of compactness.

For Lemma 3.3, let d denote the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{C} , and write $d(z, A)$ for the distance from $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. It is well-known that this is continuous in z for a fixed A , and that $d(z, A) = 0$ if and only if $z \in \bar{A}$. Since K is compact, this obtains its minimum at some $z_0 \in K$. But then we must have $d(z_0, V^c) > 0$ since V^c is closed. Then choose $\rho = d(z_0, V^c)/2$.

For Lemma 3.4 we use the Heine–Borel theorem. Since K' is obviously bounded, it suffices to show that it is closed. To this end, let $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K'$. The map $z \mapsto d(w, z)$ obtains its minimum $d(w, K)$ on K at a point z_0 . Notice that $d(w, K) > \rho$, since otherwise we would have $w \in \bar{D}(z_0, \rho) \subseteq K'$. Hence $D(w, d(w, K) - \rho)$ is disjoint from K' , so $\mathbb{C} \setminus K'$ is open. \lrcorner

REMARK 3.2: Order of zeros.

We show that the implication in Lemma 3.9 is an equivalence, i.e. that

A function $f \in H(V)$ has a zero of order N at $z \in V$ if and only if there exists a $g \in H(V)$ with $g(z) \neq 0$ such that

$$f(w) = (w - z)^N g(w)$$

for all $w \in V$.

The ‘only if’ direction is just Lemma 3.9, so we prove the converse. In this case, the Leibniz differentiation rule implies that

$$f^{(n)}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} g^{(n-k)}(w) \frac{d^k}{dw^k} (w-z)^N$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $n < N$, then the n th derivative of $(w-z)^N$ is zero at z , so $f^{(n)}(z) = 0$. But if $n = N$, then all terms except the term $k = n$ vanish, so

$$f^{(N)}(w) = g(w) \frac{d^N}{dw^N} (w-z)^N = g(w) N!,$$

so $f^{(N)}(z) \neq 0$. Hence f has a zero of order N at z . \lrcorner

EXERCISE 3.9

Suppose that V is a connected open set, $f \in H(V)$, and $f'(z) = 0$ for all $z \in V$. Show that f is constant.

SOLUTION. Let $D(z_0, r) \subseteq V$. For $a, b \in D(z_0, r)$ we have

$$f(b) - f(a) = \int_{[a,b]} f'(z) dz = 0,$$

so f is constant on $D(z_0, r)$. Hence all derivatives of f vanish at z_0 , so Corollary 3.8 implies that f is constant. \square

EXERCISE 3.19

Suppose that $f \in H(D'(z_0, r))$. Show that f has a pole of order N at z_0 if and only if $1/f$ has a zero of order N at z_0 .

SOLUTION. First assume that f has a pole of order N at z_0 . Then f is on the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} c_n (z-z_0)^n = (z-z_0)^{-N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n-N} (z-z_0)^n = (z-z_0)^{-N} h(z)$$

for $z \in D'(z_0, r)$, where $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n-N} (z-z_0)^n$ is holomorphic in $D(z_0, r)$ (it is holomorphic in the punctured disk, but it is a power series with a positive radius of convergence, hence is differentiable at 0). Notice that $h(z_0) = c_{-N} \neq 0$. But then

$$\frac{1}{f(z)} = (z-z_0)^N \frac{1}{h(z)}$$

in a neighbourhood of z_0 (since $1/f$ has a removable singularity at z_0 by Lemma 3.13). And since $h(z_0) \neq 0$, $1/h$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of z_0 . The claim now follows from Remark 3.2.

Now assume that $1/f$ has a zero of order N at z_0 . Then there is a $g \in H(D'(z_0, r))$ with $g(z_0) \neq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{f(w)} = (z - w)^N g(w),$$

by Lemma 3.9. But then

$$f(w) = (z - w)^{-N} \frac{1}{g(w)},$$

and $1/g$ is holomorphic near z_0 since it is nonzero there. Hence it is given by a power series, and the claim follows. \square

EXERCISE 3.20

Suppose we agree that a pole of order N is a zero of order $-N$, and that if f is holomorphic near z_0 and $f(z_0) \neq 0$ then f has a zero of order 0 at z_0 . Suppose that f and g are holomorphic in $D'(z_0, r)$ and have zeroes of order $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ at z_0 , respectively. Show that fg has a zero of order $n + m$ at z_0 .

SOLUTION. If $n, m \geq 0$, then the claim follows easily from Remark 3.2. By [TODO ref] Exercise 3.19 we only need to consider the case where $n > 0$ and $m \leq 0$. We thus have

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^n h(z) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} c_k (z - z_0)^k,$$

where h is holomorphic near z_0 and $h(z_0) \neq 0$, and $c_m \neq 0$. But then

$$f(z)g(z) = (z - z_0)^{n+m} h(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k+m} (z - z_0)^k,$$

and since $h(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k+m} (z - z_0)^k$ is holomorphic and nonzero at $z = z_0$ (since $h(z_0)$ and c_m are nonzero), it follows from Remark 3.2 that fg has a zero of order $n + m > 0$ at z_0 . \square

4 • Logarithms, Winding Numbers and Cauchy's Theorem

EXERCISE 4.1

Show directly from the definition that $\text{Ind}(\partial D(a, r), a) = 1$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$.

SOLUTION. Since $\partial D(a, r)$ is smooth we have

$$\text{Ind}(\partial D(a, r), a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(a, r)} \frac{dz}{z - a} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \frac{2\pi i e^{2\pi i t}}{e^{2\pi i t}} dt = 1. \quad \square$$

EXERCISE 4.2

Suppose $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$. Show that

$$\text{Ind}(\partial D(a, r), z) = \begin{cases} 1, & |z - a| < r, \\ 0, & |z - a| > r. \end{cases}$$

SOLUTION. By Proposition 4.7, the index is constant on the components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \partial D(a, r)$ and 0 on the unbounded component. The claim then follows from Exercise 4.1. \square

EXERCISE 4.7

- (i) Suppose that f and g are holomorphic near z_0 and f has a simple zero at z_0 . Find an expression for the residue of g/f at z_0 .
- (ii) Suppose that f has a simple pole at z_0 and g is holomorphic near z_0 . Show that

$$\text{Res}(fg, z_0) = g(z_0)\text{Res}(f, z_0).$$

- (iii) Suppose that f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of z_0 , set $g(z) = f(z)/(z - z_0)^n$, and show that

$$\text{Res}(g, z_0) = f^{(n-1)}(z_0)/(n-1)!.$$

SOLUTION. (i) By [TODO ref] Exercise 3.20 g/f has a zero of order $N \geq -1$ at z_0 . If $N = -1$, the residue is then, by the calculations on p. 71,

$$\text{Res}(g/f, z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0) \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} g(z) \frac{z - z_0}{f(z) - f(z_0)} = \frac{g(z_0)}{f'(z_0)}.$$

If instead $N \geq 0$, then g has a zero of order at least 1 at z_0 , in which case $g(z_0) = 0$. But in this case g/f has a removable singularity at z_0 , so its residue at z_0 is 0, so the formula also holds in this case.

(ii) Notice that $1/f$ has a simple zero at z_0 by Exercise 3.20, so part (i) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Res}(fg, z_0) &= \frac{g(z_0)}{(1/f)'(z_0)} \\ &= g(z_0) \frac{1}{(1/f)'(z_0)} \\ &= g(z_0) \operatorname{Res}(1/(1/f), z_0) \\ &= g(z_0) \operatorname{Res}(f, z_0).\end{aligned}$$

(iii) This is obvious from the calculations on p. 71. \square

EXERCISE 4.8

Suppose that f has an isolated singularity at z_0 . Fix $r > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and define $\gamma: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\gamma(t) = z_0 + r e^{int}.$$

Show that $\operatorname{Ind}(\gamma, z_0) = n$ and that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \operatorname{Ind}(\gamma, z_0) \operatorname{Res}(f, z_0)$$

if $r > 0$ is small enough.

SOLUTION. Both sides are zero if $n = 0$, so we may assume that $n \neq 0$. Since γ is smooth we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}(\gamma, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z - a} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{in e^{int}}{e^{int}} dt = n.$$

Assume that $n > 0$ and notice that γ is an n -fold sum

$$\gamma = \partial D(z_0, r) + \cdots + \partial D(z_0, r),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz &= \frac{n}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(z_0, r)} f(z) dz \\ &= n \operatorname{Res}(f, z_0) \\ &= \operatorname{Ind}(\gamma, z_0) \operatorname{Res}(f, z_0),\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Theorem 4.11 as on p. 72. Here we choose r such that $r < R$ and f is holomorphic on $D'(z_0, R)$ for some R . Substituting $-n$ for n changes sign on the integral on the left-hand side and on $\operatorname{Ind}(\gamma, z_0)$, proving the claim. \square