

## Unit 4

### What Is Economics, and Why Is It Important?

Economics is the study of how humans make decisions in the face of scarcity. These can be individual decisions, family decisions, business decisions or societal decisions. If you look around carefully, you will see that scarcity is a fact of life. Scarcity means that human wants for goods, services and resources exceed what is available. Resources, such as labor, tools, land, and raw materials are necessary to produce the goods and services we want but they exist in limited supply. Of course, the ultimate scarce resource is time- everyone, rich or poor, has just 24 expendable hours in the day to earn income to acquire goods and services, for leisure time, or for sleep. At any point in time, there is only a finite amount of resources available.

Think about it this way: In 2015 the labor force in the United States contained over 158 million workers, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. The total land area was 3,794,101 square miles. While these are certainly large numbers, they are not infinite. Because these resources are limited, so are the numbers of goods and services we produce with them. Combine this with the fact that human wants seem to be virtually infinite, and you can see why scarcity is a problem.

### Microeconomics and Macroeconomics

Economics acknowledges that production of useful goods and services can create problems of environmental pollution. It explores the question of how investing in education helps to develop workers' skills. It probes questions like how to tell when big businesses or big labor unions are operating in a way that benefits society as a whole and when they are operating in a way that benefits their owners or members at the expense of others. It looks at how government spending, taxes, and regulations affect decisions about production and consumption.

It should be clear by now that economics covers considerable ground. We can divide that ground into two parts: Microeconomics focuses on the actions of individual agents within the economy, like households, workers, and businesses. Macroeconomics looks at the economy as a whole. It focuses on broad issues such as growth of production, the number of unemployed people, the inflationary increase in prices, government deficits, and levels of exports and imports. Microeconomics and macroeconomics are not separate subjects, but rather complementary perspectives on the overall subject of the economy.

To understand why both microeconomic and macroeconomic perspectives are useful, consider the problem of studying a biological ecosystem like a lake. One person who sets out to study the lake might focus on specific topics: certain kinds of algae or plant life; the characteristics of particular fish or snails; or the trees surrounding the lake. Another person might take an overall view and instead consider the lake's ecosystem from top to bottom; what eats what, how the system stays in a rough balance, and what environmental stresses

affect this balance. Both approaches are useful, and both examine the same lake, but the viewpoints are different. In a similar way, both microeconomics and macroeconomics study the same economy, but each has a different viewpoint.

Whether you are scrutinizing lakes or economics, the micro and the macro insights should blend with each other. In studying a lake, the micro insights about particular plants and animals help to understand the overall food chain, while the macro insights about the overall food chain help to explain the environment in which individual plants and animals live.

In economics, the **micro decisions of individual businesses are influenced by whether the macroeconomy is healthy**. For example, firms will be more likely to hire workers if the overall economy is growing. In turn, macroeconomy's performance ultimately depends on the microeconomic decisions that individual households and businesses make.

### **Microeconomics**

What determines how households and individuals spend their budgets? What combination of goods and services will best fit their needs and wants, given the budget they have to spend? How do people decide whether to work, and if so, whether to work full time or part time? How do people decide how much to save for the future, or whether they should borrow to spend beyond their current means?

What determines the products, and how many of each, a firm will produce and sell? What determines the prices a firm will charge? What determines how a firm will produce its products? What determines how many workers it will hire? How will a firm finance its business? **When will a firm decide to expand, downsize, or even close? In the microeconomics part of this book, we will learn about the theory of consumer behavior, the theory of the firm, how markets for labor and other resources work, and how markets sometimes fail to work properly.**

### **Macroeconomics**

What determines the level of economic activity in a society? In other words, what determines how many goods and services a nation actually produces? What determines how many jobs are available in an economy? What determines a nation's standard of living? What causes the economy to speed up or slow down? What causes firms to hire more workers or to lay them off? Finally, what causes the economy to grow over the long term?

We can determine an **economy's macroeconomic health by examining a number of goals: growth in the standard of living, low unemployment, and low inflation, to name the most important**. How can we use government macroeconomic policy to pursue these goals? A nation's central bank conducts monetary policy, which involves policies that affect bank lending, interest rates, and financial capital markets. For the United States, this is the Federal Reserve. A nation's legislative body determines fiscal policy, which involves government spending and taxes. For the United States, this is the Congress and the

executive branch, which originates the federal budget. These are the government's main tools. Americans tend to expect that government can fix whatever economic problems we encounter, but to what extent is that expectation realistic?

## **How Economists Use Theories and Models to Understand Economic Issues**

John Maynard Keynes (1883–1946), one of the greatest economists of the twentieth century, pointed out that economics is not just a subject area but also a way of thinking. Keynes famously wrote in the introduction to a fellow economist's book: “[Economics] is a method rather than a doctrine, an apparatus of the mind, a technique of thinking, which helps its possessor to draw correct conclusions.” In other words, economics teaches you how to think, not what to think.

Economists see the world through a different lens than anthropologists, biologists, classicists, or practitioners of any other discipline. They analyze issues and problems using economic theories that are based on particular assumptions about human behavior. These assumptions tend to be different than the assumptions an anthropologist or psychologist might use. A theory is a simplified representation of how two or more variables interact with each other. The purpose of a theory is to take a complex, real-world issue and simplify it down to its essentials. If done well, this enables the analyst to understand the issue and any problems around it. A good theory is simple enough to understand, while complex enough to capture the key features of the object or situation you are studying.

Sometimes economists use the term **model** instead of theory. Strictly speaking, a theory is a more abstract representation, while a model is a more applied or empirical representation. We use models to test theories, but for this course we will use the terms interchangeably.

For example, an architect who is planning a major office building will often build a physical model that sits on a tabletop to show how the entire city block will look after the new building is constructed. Companies often build models of their new products, which are more rough and unfinished than the final product, but can still demonstrate how the new product will work.

A good model to start with in economics is the circular flow diagram (Figure 1.6). It pictures the economy as consisting of two groups—households and firms—that interact in two markets: the goods and services market in which firms sell and households buy and the labor market in which households sell labor to business firms or other employees.




Figure 1.6 The Circular Flow Diagram The circular flow diagram shows how households and firms interact in the goods and services market, and in the labor market. The direction of the arrows shows that in the goods and services market, households receive goods and services and pay firms for them. In the labor market, households provide labor and receive payment from firms through wages, salaries, and benefits.

Firms produce and sell goods and services to households in the market for goods and services (or product market). Arrow “A” indicates this. Households pay for goods and services, which becomes the revenues to firms. Arrow “B” indicates this. Arrows A and B represent the two sides of the product market. Where do households obtain the income to buy goods and services? They provide the labor and other resources (e.g. land, capital, raw materials) firms need to produce goods and services in the market for inputs (or factors of production). Arrow “C” indicates this. In return, firms pay for the inputs (or resources) they use in the form of wages and other factor payments. Arrow “D” indicates this. Arrows “C” and “D” represent the two sides of the factor market.

Of course, in the real world, there are many different markets for goods and services and markets for many different types of labor. The circular flow diagram simplifies this to make the picture easier to grasp. In the diagram, firms produce goods and services, which they sell to households in return for revenues. The outer circle shows this, and represents the two sides of the product market (for example, the market for goods and services) in which households demand and firms supply. Households sell their labor as workers to firms in return for wages, salaries, and benefits. The inner circle shows this and represents the two sides of the labor market in which households supply and firms demand.

This version of the circular flow model is stripped down to the essentials, but it has enough features to explain how the product and labor markets work in the economy. We could easily add details to this basic model if we wanted to introduce more real-world elements, like financial markets, governments, and interactions with the rest of the globe (imports and exports).


Economists carry a set of theories in their heads like a carpenter carries around a toolkit. When they see an economic issue or problem, they go through the theories they know to see if they can find one that fits. Then they use the theory to derive insights about the issue

or problem. Economists express theories as diagrams, graphs, or even as mathematical equations. (Do not worry. In this course, we will mostly use graphs.) Economists do not figure out the answer to the problem first and then draw the graph to illustrate. Rather, they use the graph of the theory to help them figure out the answer. Although at the introductory level, you can sometimes figure out the right answer without applying a model, if you keep studying economics, before too long you will run into issues and problems that you will need to graph to solve. We explain both micro and macroeconomics in terms of theories and models. The most well-known theories are probably those of supply and demand, but you will learn a number of others.

## Demand and Supply at Work in Labor Markets

The law of demand applies in labor markets this way: A higher salary or wage—that is, a higher price in the labor market—leads to a decrease in the quantity of labor demanded by employers, while a lower salary or wage leads to an increase in the quantity of labor demanded. The law of supply functions in labor markets, too: A higher price for labor leads to a higher quantity of labor supplied; a lower price leads to a lower quantity supplied.

**Equilibrium in the Labor Market** In 2015, about 35,000 registered nurses worked in the Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, Minnesota-Wisconsin metropolitan area, according to the BLS. They worked for a variety of employers: hospitals, doctors' offices, schools, health clinics, and nursing homes. Figure 4.2 illustrates how demand and supply determine equilibrium in this labor market. The demand and supply schedules in Table 4.1 list the quantity supplied and quantity demanded of nurses at different salaries.



**Figure 4.2 Labor Market Example: Demand and Supply for Nurses in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington** The demand curve (D) of those employers who want to hire nurses intersects with the supply curve (S) of those who are qualified and willing to work as nurses at the equilibrium point (E). The equilibrium salary is \$70,000 and the equilibrium quantity is 34,000 nurses. At an above-equilibrium salary of \$75,000, quantity supplied increases to 38,000, but the quantity of nurses demanded at the higher pay declines to 33,000. At this above-equilibrium salary, an excess supply or surplus of nurses would exist. At a below-equilibrium salary of \$60,000, quantity supplied declines to 27,000, while the quantity

demanded at the lower wage increases to 40,000 nurses. At this belowequilibrium salary, excess demand or a shortage exists

Annual Salary	Quantity Demanded	Quantity Supplied
\$55,000	45,000	20,000
\$60,000	40,000	27,000
\$65,000	37,000	31,000
\$70,000	34,000	34,000
\$75,000	33,000	38,000
\$80,000	32,000	41,000

**Table 4.1 Demand and Supply of Nurses in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington**

The horizontal axis shows the quantity of nurses hired. In this example we measure labor by number of workers, but another common way to measure the quantity of labor is by the number of hours worked. The vertical axis shows the price for nurses' labor—that is, how much they are paid. In the real world, this “price” would be total labor compensation: salary plus benefits. It is not obvious, but benefits are a significant part (as high as 30 percent) of labor compensation. In this example we measure the price of labor by salary on an annual basis, although in other cases we could measure the price of labor by monthly or weekly pay, or even the wage paid per hour. As the salary for nurses rises, the quantity demanded will fall. Some hospitals and nursing homes may reduce the number of nurses they hire, or they may lay off some of their existing nurses, rather than pay them higher salaries. Employers who face higher nurses' salaries may also try to replace some nursing functions by investing in physical equipment, like computer monitoring and diagnostic systems to monitor patients, or by using lower-paid health care aides to reduce the number of nurses they need.

As the salary for nurses rises, the quantity supplied will rise. If nurses' salaries in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington are higher than in other cities, more nurses will move to Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington to find jobs, more people will be willing to train as nurses, and those currently trained as nurses will be more likely to pursue nursing as a fulltime job. In other words, there will be more nurses looking for jobs in the area.

At **equilibrium**, the quantity supplied and the quantity demanded are equal. Thus, every employer who wants to hire a nurse at this equilibrium wage can find a willing worker, and every nurse who wants to work at this equilibrium salary can find a job. In **Figure 4.2**, the supply curve (S) and demand curve (D) intersect at the equilibrium point (E). The equilibrium quantity of nurses in the Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington area is 34,000, and the equilibrium salary is \$70,000 per year. This example simplifies the nursing market by focusing on the “average” nurse. In reality, of course, the market for nurses actually comprises many smaller markets, like markets for nurses with varying degrees of experience and credentials. Many markets contain closely related products that differ in quality. For instance, even a simple product like gasoline comes in regular, premium, and super-premium, each with a different price. Even in such cases, discussing the average price

of gasoline, like the average salary for nurses, can still be useful because it reflects what is happening in most of the submarkets. When the price of labor is not at the equilibrium, economic incentives tend to move salaries toward the equilibrium. For example, if salaries for nurses in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington were above the equilibrium at \$75,000 per year, then 38,000 people want to work as nurses, but employers want to hire only 33,000 nurses. At that above-equilibrium salary, excess supply or a surplus results. In a situation of excess supply in the labor market, with many applicants for every job opening, employers will have an incentive to offer lower wages than they otherwise would have. Nurses' salary will move down toward equilibrium. In contrast, if the salary is below the equilibrium at, say, \$60,000 per year, then a situation of excess demand or a shortage arises. In this case, employers encouraged by the relatively lower wage want to hire 40,000 nurses, but only 27,000 individuals want to work as nurses at that salary in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington. In response to the shortage, some employers will offer higher pay to attract the nurses. Other employers will have to match the higher pay to keep their own employees. The higher salaries will encourage more nurses to train or work in Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington. Again, price and quantity in the labor market will move toward equilibrium.

### **Price Elasticity of Demand and Price Elasticity of Supply**

Both the demand and supply curve show the relationship between price and the number of units demanded or supplied. **Price elasticity** is the ratio between the percentage change in the quantity demanded ( $Q_d$ ) or supplied ( $Q_s$ ) and the corresponding percent change in price. The **price elasticity of demand** is the percentage change in the quantity demanded of a good or service divided by the percentage change in the price. The **price elasticity of supply** is the percentage change in quantity supplied divided by the percentage change in price

We can usefully divide elasticities into three broad categories: elastic, inelastic, and unitary. An **elastic demand** or **elastic supply** is one in which the elasticity is greater than one, indicating a high responsiveness to changes in price. Elasticities that are less than one indicate low responsiveness to price changes and correspond to **inelastic demand** or **inelastic supply**. **Unitary elasticities** indicate proportional responsiveness of either demand or supply, as Table 5.1 summarizes.



If ...	Then ...	And It Is Called ...
% change in quantity > % change in price	$\frac{\% \text{ change in quantity}}{\% \text{ change in price}} > 1$	Elastic
% change in quantity = % change in price	$\frac{\% \text{ change in quantity}}{\% \text{ change in price}} = 1$	Unitary
% change in quantity < % change in price	$\frac{\% \text{ change in quantity}}{\% \text{ change in price}} < 1$	Inelastic

**Table 5.1** Elastic, Inelastic, and Unitary: Three Cases of Elasticity

To calculate elasticity along a demand or supply curve economists use the average percent change in both quantity and price. This is called the Midpoint Method for Elasticity, and is represented in the following equations:

$$\% \text{ change in quantity} = \frac{Q_2 - Q_1}{(Q_2 + Q_1)/2} \times 100$$


$$\% \text{ change in price} = \frac{P_2 - P_1}{(P_2 + P_1)/2} \times 100$$

The advantage of the Midpoint Method is that one obtains the same elasticity between two price points whether there is a price increase or decrease. This is because the formula uses the same base (average quantity and average price) for both cases

### Calculating Price Elasticity of Demand

Let's calculate the elasticity between points A and B and between points G and H as **Figure 5.2** shows





**Figure 5.2 Calculating the Price Elasticity of Demand** We calculate the price elasticity of demand as the percentage change in quantity divided by the percentage change in price.

First, apply the formula to calculate the elasticity as price decreases from \$70 at point B to \$60 at point A:


$$\begin{aligned}
 \% \text{ change in quantity} &= \frac{3,000 - 2,800}{(3,000 + 2,800)/2} \times 100 \\
 &= \frac{200}{2,900} \times 100 \\
 &= 6.9 \\
 \% \text{ change in price} &= \frac{60 - 70}{(60 + 70)/2} \times 100 \\
 &= \frac{-10}{65} \times 100 \\
 &= -15.4 \\
 \text{Price Elasticity of Demand} &= \frac{6.9\%}{-15.4\%} \\
 &= 0.45
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the elasticity of demand between these two points is 6.9%–15.4% which is 0.45, an amount smaller than one, showing that the demand is inelastic in this interval. Price elasticities of demand are always negative since price and quantity demanded always move in opposite directions (on the demand curve). By convention, we always talk about elasticities as positive numbers. Mathematically, we take the absolute value of the result. We will ignore this detail from now on, while remembering to interpret elasticities as positive numbers

This means that, along the demand curve between point B and A, if the price changes by 1%, the quantity demanded will change by 0.45%. A change in the price will result in a smaller percentage change in the quantity demanded. For example, a 10% increase in the price will result in only a 4.5% decrease in quantity demanded. A 10% decrease in the price will result in only a 4.5% increase in the quantity demanded. Price elasticities of demand are negative numbers indicating that the demand curve is downward sloping, but we read them as absolute values. The following Work It Out feature will walk you through calculating the price elasticity of demand.

### Calculating the Price Elasticity of Supply

Assume that an apartment rents for \$650 per month and at that price the landlord rents 10,000 units are rented as **Figure 5.3** shows. When the price increases to \$700 per month, the landlord supplies 13,000 units into the market. By what percentage does apartment supply increase? What is the price sensitivity?



**Figure 5.3 Price Elasticity of Supply** We calculate the price elasticity of supply as the percentage change in quantity divided by the percentage change in price.

Using the Midpoint Method,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \% \text{ change in quantity} &= \frac{13,000 - 10,000}{(13,000 + 10,000)/2} \times 100 \\
 &= \frac{3,000}{11,500} \times 100 \\
 &= 26.1 \\
 \% \text{ change in price} &= \frac{\$700 - \$650}{(\$700 + \$650)/2} \times 100 \\
 &= \frac{50}{675} \times 100 \\
 &= 7.4 \\
 \text{Price Elasticity of Supply} &= \frac{26.1\%}{7.4\%} \\
 &= 3.53
 \end{aligned}$$

Again, as with the elasticity of demand, the elasticity of supply is not followed by any units. Elasticity is a ratio of one percentage change to another percentage change—nothing more—and we read it as an absolute value. In this case, a 1% rise in price causes an increase in quantity supplied of 3.5%. The greater than one elasticity of supply means that the percentage change in quantity supplied will be greater than a one percent price change. If you're starting to wonder if the concept of slope fits into this calculation, read the following Clear It Up box.

## Monopolistic Competition

Monopolistic competition involves many firms competing against each other, but selling products that are distinctive in some way. Examples include stores that sell different styles of clothing; restaurants or grocery stores that sell a variety of food; and even products like golf balls or beer that may be at least somewhat similar but differ in public perception because of advertising and brand names. There are over 600,000 restaurants in the United States. When products are distinctive, each firm has a mini-monopoly on its particular style or flavor or brand name. However, firms producing such products must also compete with other styles and flavors and brand names. The term “monopolistic competition” captures this mixture of mini-monopoly and tough competition, and the following Clear It Up feature introduces its derivation

## Differentiated Products

A firm can try to make its products different from those of its competitors in several ways: physical aspects of the product, location from which it sells the product, intangible aspects

of the product, and perceptions of the product. We call products that are distinctive in one of these ways **differentiated products**.


Physical aspects of a product include all the phrases you hear in advertisements: unbreakable bottle, nonstick surface, freezer-to-microwave, non-shrink, extra spicy, newly redesigned for your comfort. A firm's location can also create a difference between producers. For example, a gas station located at a heavily traveled intersection can probably sell more gas, because more cars drive by that corner. A supplier to an automobile manufacturer may find that it is an advantage to locate close to the car factory.

Intangible aspects can differentiate a product, too. Some intangible aspects may be promises like a guarantee of satisfaction or money back, a reputation for high quality, services like free delivery, or offering a loan to purchase the product. Finally, product differentiation may occur in the minds of buyers. For example, many people could not tell the difference in taste between common varieties of ketchup or mayonnaise if they were blindfolded but, because of past habits and advertising, they have strong preferences for certain brands. Advertising can play a role in shaping these intangible preferences.

The concept of differentiated products is closely related to the degree of variety that is available. If everyone in the economy wore only blue jeans, ate only white bread, and drank only tap water, then the markets for clothing, food, and drink would be much closer to perfectly competitive. The variety of styles, flavors, locations, and characteristics creates product differentiation and monopolistic competition.

### Perceived Demand for a Monopolistic Competitor

A monopolistically competitive firm perceives a demand for its goods that is an intermediate case between monopoly and competition. **Figure 10.2** offers a reminder that the demand curve that a perfectly competitive firm faces is perfectly elastic or flat, because the perfectly competitive firm can sell any quantity it wishes at the prevailing market price. In contrast, the demand curve, as faced by a monopolist, is the market demand curve, since a monopolist is the only firm in the market, and hence is downward sloping.




**Figure 10.2 Perceived Demand for Firms in Different Competitive Settings** The demand curve that a perfectly competitive firm faces is perfectly elastic, meaning it can sell all the output it wishes at the prevailing market price. The demand curve that a monopoly faces is the market demand. It can sell more output only by decreasing the price it charges. The demand curve that a monopolistically competitive firm faces falls in between.

The demand curve as a monopolistic competitor faces is not flat, but rather downward-sloping, which means that the monopolistic competitor can raise its price without losing all of its customers or lower the price and gain more customers. Since there are substitutes, the demand curve facing a monopolistically competitive firm is more elastic than that of a monopoly where there are no close substitutes. If a monopolist raises its price, some consumers will choose not to purchase its product—but they will then need to buy a completely different product. However, when a monopolistic competitor raises its price, some consumers will choose not to purchase the product at all, but others will choose to buy a similar product from another firm. If a monopolistic competitor raises its price, it will not lose as many customers as would a perfectly competitive firm, but it will lose more customers than would a monopoly that raised its prices.

At a glance, the demand curves that a monopoly and a monopolistic competitor face look similar—that is, they both slope down. However, the underlying economic meaning of these perceived demand curves is different, because a monopolist faces the market demand curve and a monopolistic competitor does not. Rather, a monopolistically competitive firm's demand curve is but one of many firms that make up the “before” market demand curve. Are you following? If so, how would you categorize the market for golf balls? Take a swing, then see the following Clear It Up feature.

### **How a Monopolistic Competitor Chooses Price and Quantity**

The monopolistically competitive firm decides on its profit-maximizing quantity and price in much the same way as a monopolist. A monopolistic competitor, like a monopolist, faces a downward-sloping demand curve, and so it will choose some combination of price and quantity along its perceived demand curve. As an example of a profit-maximizing monopolistic competitor, consider the Authentic Chinese Pizza store, which serves pizza with cheese, sweet and sour sauce, and your choice of vegetables and meats. Although Authentic Chinese Pizza must compete against other pizza businesses and restaurants, it has a differentiated product. The firm's perceived demand curve is downward sloping, as **Figure 10.3** shows and the first two columns of **Table 10.1**.



**Figure 10.3 How a Monopolistic Competitor Chooses its Profit Maximizing Output and Price** To maximize profits, the Authentic Chinese Pizza shop would choose a quantity where marginal revenue equals marginal cost, or  $Q$  where  $MR = MC$ . Here it would choose a quantity of 40 and a price of \$16.

Quantity	Price	Total Revenue	Marginal Revenue	Total Cost	Marginal Cost	Average Cost
10	\$23	\$230	\$23	\$340	\$34	\$34
20	\$20	\$400	\$17	\$400	\$6	\$20
30	\$18	\$540	\$14	\$480	\$8	\$16
40	\$16	\$640	\$10	\$580	\$10	\$14.50

**Table 10.1 Revenue and Cost Schedule**

Quantity	Price	Total Revenue	Marginal Revenue	Total Cost	Marginal Cost	Average Cost
50	\$14	\$700	\$6	\$700	\$12	\$14
60	\$12	\$720	\$2	\$840	\$14	\$14
70	\$10	\$700	-\$2	\$1,020	\$18	\$14.57
80	\$8	\$640	-\$6	\$1,280	\$26	\$16

**Table 10.1 Revenue and Cost Schedule**

We can multiply the combinations of price and quantity at each point on the demand curve to calculate the total revenue that the firm would receive, which is in the third column of **Table 10.1**. We calculate marginal revenue, in the fourth column, as the change in total revenue divided by the change in quantity. The final columns of **Table 10.1** show total cost, marginal cost, and average cost. As always, we calculate marginal cost by dividing the change in total cost by the change in quantity, while we calculate average cost by dividing total cost by quantity. The following Work It Out feature shows how these firms calculate how much of their products to supply at what price

Although the process by which a monopolistic competitor makes decisions about quantity and price is similar to the way in which a monopolist makes such decisions, two differences are worth remembering. First, although both a monopolist and a monopolistic competitor face downward-sloping demand curves, the monopolist's perceived demand curve is the market demand curve, while the perceived demand curve for a monopolistic competitor is based on the extent of its product differentiation and how many competitors it faces. Second, a monopolist is surrounded by barriers to entry and need not fear entry, but a


monopolistic competitor who earns profits must expect the entry of firms with similar, but differentiated, products.

### Monopolistic Competitors and Entry

If one monopolistic competitor earns positive economic profits, other firms will be tempted to enter the market. A gas station with a great location must worry that other gas stations might open across the street or down the road—and perhaps the new gas stations will sell coffee or have a carwash or some other attraction to lure customers. A successful restaurant with a unique barbecue sauce must be concerned that other restaurants will try to copy the sauce or offer their own unique recipes. A laundry detergent with a great reputation for quality must take note that other competitors may seek to build their own reputations.

The entry of other firms into the same general market (like gas, restaurants, or detergent) shifts the demand curve that a monopolistically competitive firm faces. As more firms enter the market, the quantity demanded at a given price for any particular firm will decline, and the firm's perceived demand curve will shift to the left. As a firm's perceived demand curve shifts to the left, its marginal revenue curve will shift to the left, too. The shift in marginal revenue will change the profit-maximizing quantity that the firm chooses to produce, since marginal revenue will then equal marginal cost at a lower quantity.

**Figure 10.4** (a) shows a situation in which a monopolistic competitor was earning a profit with its original perceived demand curve ( $D_0$ ). The intersection of the marginal revenue curve ( $MR_0$ ) and marginal cost curve ( $MC$ ) occurs at point S, corresponding to quantity  $Q_0$ , which is associated on the demand curve at point T with price  $P_0$ . The combination of price  $P_0$  and quantity  $Q_0$  lies above the average cost curve, which shows that the firm is earning positive economic profits.



**Figure 10.4 Monopolistic Competition, Entry, and Exit** (a) At  $P_0$  and  $Q_0$ , the monopolistically competitive firm in this figure is making a positive economic profit. This is clear because if you follow the dotted line above  $Q_0$ , you can see that price is above average cost. Positive economic profits attract competing firms to the industry, driving the original firm's demand down to  $D_1$ . At the new equilibrium quantity ( $P_1, Q_1$ ), the original firm is earning zero economic profits, and entry into the industry ceases. In (b) the opposite occurs. At  $P_0$  and  $Q_0$ , the firm is losing money. If you follow the dotted line above  $Q_0$ , you can see that average cost is above price. Losses induce firms to leave the industry. When they do, demand for the original firm rises to  $D_1$ , where once again the firm is earning zero economic profit.

Unlike a monopoly, with its high barriers to entry, a monopolistically competitive firm with positive economic profits will attract competition. When another competitor enters the market, the original firm's perceived demand curve shifts to the left, from  $D_0$  to  $D_1$ , and the associated marginal revenue curve shifts from  $MR_0$  to  $MR_1$ . The new profit-maximizing output is  $Q_1$ , because the intersection of the  $MR_1$  and  $MC$  now occurs at point U. Moving vertically up from that quantity on the new demand curve, the optimal price is at  $P_1$ .

As long as the firm is earning positive economic profits, new competitors will continue to enter the market, reducing the original firm's demand and marginal revenue curves. The long-run equilibrium is in the figure at point Y, where the firm's perceived demand curve touches the average cost curve. When price is equal to average cost, economic profits are zero. Thus, although a monopolistically competitive firm may earn positive economic profits in the short term, the process of new entry will drive down economic profits to zero in the long run. Remember that zero economic profit is not equivalent to zero accounting profit. A zero economic profit means the firm's accounting profit is equal to what its resources could earn in their next best use.

**Figure 10.4** (b) shows the reverse situation, where a monopolistically competitive firm is originally losing money. The adjustment to long-run equilibrium is analogous to the previous example. The economic losses lead to firms exiting, which will result in increased demand for this particular firm, and consequently lower losses. Firms exit up to the point where there are no more losses in this market, for example when the demand curve touches the average cost curve, as in point Z.

Monopolistic competitors can make an economic profit or loss in the short run, but in the long run, entry and exit will drive these firms toward a zero economic profit outcome. However, the zero economic profit outcome in monopolistic competition looks different from the zero economic profit outcome in perfect competition in several ways relating both to efficiency and to variety in the market.

### Monopolistic Competition and Efficiency

The long-term result of entry and exit in a perfectly competitive market is that all firms end up selling at the price level determined by the lowest point on the average cost curve. This outcome is why perfect competition displays productive efficiency: goods are produced at the lowest possible average cost. However, in monopolistic competition, the end result of entry and exit is that firms end up with a price that lies on the downward-sloping portion of the average cost curve, not at the very bottom of the AC curve. Thus, monopolistic competition will not be productively efficient.

In a perfectly competitive market, each firm produces at a quantity where price is set equal to marginal cost, both in the short and long run. This outcome is why perfect competition displays allocative efficiency: the social benefits of additional production, as measured by the marginal benefit, which is the same as the price, equal the marginal costs to society of that production. In a monopolistically competitive market, the rule for maximizing profit is to set  $MR = MC$ —and price is higher than marginal revenue, not equal to it because the demand curve is downward sloping. When  $P > MC$ , which is the outcome in a monopolistically competitive market, the benefits to society of providing additional quantity, as measured by the price that people are willing to pay, exceed the marginal costs



to society of producing those units. A monopolistically competitive firm does not produce more, which means that society loses the net benefit of those extra units. This is the same argument we made about monopoly, but in this case the allocative inefficiency will be smaller. Thus, a monopolistically competitive industry will produce a lower quantity of a good and charge a higher price for it than would a perfectly competitive industry. See the following Clear It Up feature for more detail on the impact of demand shifts.

A monopolistically competitive industry does not display productive or allocative efficiency in either the short run, when firms are making economic profits and losses, nor in the long run, when firms are earning zero profits.

### **The Benefits of Variety and Product Differentiation**

Even though monopolistic competition does not provide productive efficiency or allocative efficiency, it does have benefits of its own. Product differentiation is based on variety and innovation. Most people would prefer to live in an economy with many kinds of clothes, foods, and car styles; not in a world of perfect competition where everyone will always wear blue jeans and white shirts, eat only spaghetti with plain red sauce, and drive an identical model of car. Most people would prefer to live in an economy where firms are struggling to figure out ways of attracting customers by methods like friendlier service, free delivery, guarantees of quality, variations on existing products, and a better shopping experience.

Economists have struggled, with only partial success, to address the question of whether a market-oriented economy produces the optimal amount of variety. Critics of market-oriented economies argue that society does not really need dozens of different athletic shoes or breakfast cereals or automobiles. They argue that much of the cost of creating such a high degree of product differentiation, and then of advertising and marketing this differentiation, is socially wasteful—that is, most people would be just as happy with a smaller range of differentiated products produced and sold at a lower price. Defenders of a market-oriented economy respond that if people do not want to buy differentiated products or highly advertised brand names, no one is forcing them to do so. Moreover, they argue that consumers benefit substantially when firms seek short-term profits by providing differentiated products. This controversy may never be fully resolved, in part because deciding on the optimal amount of variety is very difficult, and in part because the two sides often place different values on what variety means for consumers. Read the following Clear It Up feature for a discussion on the role that advertising plays in monopolistic competition.

### **Oligopoly**

Many purchases that individuals make at the retail level are produced in markets that are neither perfectly competitive, monopolies, nor monopolistically competitive. Rather, they are oligopolies. Oligopoly arises when a small number of large firms have all or most of the sales in an industry. Examples of oligopoly abound and include the auto industry, cable television, and commercial air travel. Oligopolistic firms are like cats in a bag. They can either scratch each other to pieces or cuddle up and get comfortable with one another. If

oligopolists compete hard, they may end up acting very much like perfect competitors, driving down costs and leading to zero profits for all. If oligopolists collude with each other, they may effectively act like a monopoly and succeed in pushing up prices and earning consistently high levels of profit. We typically characterize oligopolies by mutual interdependence where various decisions such as output, price, and advertising depend on other firm(s)' decisions. Analyzing the choices of oligopolistic firms about pricing and quantity produced involves considering the pros and cons of competition versus collusion at a given point in time

### **Why Do Oligopolies Exist?**

A combination of the barriers to entry that create monopolies and the product differentiation that characterizes monopolistic competition can create the setting for an oligopoly. For example, when a government grants a patent for an invention to one firm, it may create a monopoly. When the government grants patents to, for example, three different pharmaceutical companies that each has its own drug for reducing high blood pressure, those three firms may become an oligopoly.

Similarly, a natural monopoly will arise when the quantity demanded in a market is only large enough for a single firm to operate at the minimum of the long-run average cost curve. In such a setting, the market has room for only one firm, because no smaller firm can operate at a low enough average cost to compete, and no larger firm could sell what it produced given the quantity demanded in the market.

Quantity demanded in the market may also be two or three times the quantity needed to produce at the minimum of the average cost curve—which means that the market would have room for only two or three oligopoly firms (and they need not produce differentiated products). Again, smaller firms would have higher average costs and be unable to compete, while additional large firms would produce such a high quantity that they would not be able to sell it at a profitable price. This combination of economies of scale and market demand creates the barrier to entry, which led to the Boeing-Airbus oligopoly (also called a duopoly) for large passenger aircraft.

The product differentiation at the heart of monopolistic competition can also play a role in creating oligopoly. For example, firms may need to reach a certain minimum size before they are able to spend enough on advertising and marketing to create a recognizable brand name. The problem in competing with, say, Coca-Cola or Pepsi is not that producing fizzy drinks is technologically difficult, but rather that creating a brand name and marketing effort to equal Coke or Pepsi is an enormous task

### **Collusion or Competition?**

When oligopoly firms in a certain market decide what quantity to produce and what price to charge, they face a temptation to act as if they were a monopoly. By acting together, oligopolistic firms can hold down industry output, charge a higher price, and divide the profit among themselves. When firms act together in this way to reduce output and keep prices high, it is called **collusion**. A group of firms that have a formal agreement to collude to produce the monopoly output and sell at the monopoly price is called a **cartel**. See the following Clear It Up feature for a more in-depth analysis of the difference between the two.

Economists have understood for a long time the desire of businesses to avoid competing so that they can instead raise the prices that they charge and earn higher profits. Adam Smith wrote in *Wealth of Nations* in 1776: “People of the same trade seldom meet together, even for merriment and diversion, but the conversation ends in a conspiracy against the public, or in some contrivance to raise prices.”

Even when oligopolists recognize that they would benefit as a group by acting like a monopoly, each individual oligopoly faces a private temptation to produce just a slightly higher quantity and earn slightly higher profit—while still counting on the other oligopolists to hold down their production and keep prices high. If at least some oligopolists give in to this temptation and start producing more, then the market price will fall. A small handful of oligopoly firms may end up competing so fiercely that they all find themselves earning zero economic profits—as if they were perfect competitors.

### **The Prisoner’s Dilemma**

Because of the complexity of oligopoly, which is the result of mutual interdependence among firms, there is no single, generally-accepted theory of how oligopolies behave, in the same way that we have theories for all the other market structures. Instead, economists use game theory, a branch of mathematics that analyzes situations in which players must make decisions and then receive payoffs based on what other players decide to do. Game theory has found widespread applications in the social sciences, as well as in business, law, and military strategy. The prisoner’s dilemma is a scenario in which the gains from cooperation are larger than the rewards from pursuing self-interest. It applies well to oligopoly. The story behind the prisoner’s dilemma goes like this:

Two co-conspiratorial criminals are arrested. When they are taken to the police station, they refuse to say anything and are put in separate interrogation rooms. Eventually, a police officer enters the room where Prisoner A is being held and says: “You know what? Your partner in the other room is confessing. Your partner is going to get a light prison sentence of just one year, and because you’re remaining silent, the judge is going to stick you with eight years in prison. Why don’t you get smart? If you confess, too, we’ll cut your jail time down to five years, and your partner will get five years, also.” Over in the next room, another police officer is giving exactly the same speech to Prisoner B. What the police officers do not say is that if both prisoners remain silent, the evidence against them is not especially strong, and the prisoners will end up with only two years in jail each.

The game theory situation facing the two prisoners is in Table 10.2. To understand the dilemma, first consider the choices from Prisoner A’s point of view. If A believes that B will confess, then A should confess, too, so as to not get stuck with the eight years in prison. However, if A believes that B will not confess, then A will be tempted to act selfishly and confess, so as to serve only one year. The key point is that A has an incentive to confess regardless of what choice B makes! B faces the same set of choices, and thus will have an incentive to confess regardless of what choice A makes. To confess is called the dominant strategy. It is the strategy an individual (or firm) will pursue regardless of the other individual’s (or firm’s) decision. The result is that if prisoners pursue their own self-

interest, both are likely to confess, and end up doing a total of 10 years of jail time between them.

		Prisoner B	
		Remain Silent (cooperate with other prisoner)	Confess (do not cooperate with other prisoner)
Prisoner A	Remain Silent (cooperate with other prisoner)	A gets 2 years, B gets 2 years	A gets 8 years, B gets 1 year
	Confess (do not cooperate with other prisoner)	A gets 1 year, B gets 8 years	A gets 5 years B gets 5 years

Table 10.2 The Prisoner's Dilemma Problem

The game is called a dilemma because if the two prisoners had cooperated by both remaining silent, they would only have had to serve a total of four years of jail time between them. If the two prisoners can work out some way of cooperating so that neither one will confess, they will both be better off than if they each follow their own individual self-interest, which in this case leads straight into longer jail terms.

### The Oligopoly Version of the Prisoner's Dilemma

The members of an oligopoly can face a prisoner's dilemma, also. If each of the oligopolists cooperates in holding down output, then high monopoly profits are possible. Each oligopolist, however, must worry that while it is holding down output, other firms are taking advantage of the high price by raising output and earning higher profits. **Table 10.3** shows the prisoner's dilemma for a two-firm oligopoly—known as a duopoly. If Firms A and B both agree to hold down output, they are acting together as a monopoly and will each earn \$1,000 in profits. However, both firms' dominant strategy is to increase output, in which case each will earn \$400 in profits.

		Firm B	
		Hold Down Output (cooperate with other firm)	Increase Output (do not cooperate with other firm)
Firm A	Hold Down Output (cooperate with other firm)	A gets \$1,000, B gets \$1,000	A gets \$200, B gets \$1,500
	Increase Output (do not cooperate with other firm)	A gets \$1,500, B gets \$200	A gets \$400, B gets \$400

Table 10.3 A Prisoner's Dilemma for Oligopolists

Can the two firms trust each other? Consider the situation of Firm A:

- If A thinks that B will cheat on their agreement and increase output, then A will increase output, too, because for A the profit of \$400 when both firms increase output (the bottom right-hand choice in Table 10.3) is better than a profit of only \$200 if A keeps output low and B raises output (the upper right-hand choice in the table).

- If A thinks that B will cooperate by holding down output, then A may seize the opportunity to earn higher profits by raising output. After all, if B is going to hold down output, then A can earn \$1,500 in profits by expanding output (the bottom left-hand choice in the table) compared with only \$1,000 by holding down output as well (the upper left-hand choice in the table).

Thus, firm A will reason that it makes sense to expand output if B holds down output and that it also makes sense to expand output if B raises output. Again, B faces a parallel set of decisions that will lead B also to expand output. The result of this prisoner's dilemma is often that even though A and B could make the highest combined profits by cooperating in producing a lower level of output and acting like a monopolist, the two firms may well end up in a situation where they each increase output and earn only \$400 each in profits. The following Clear It Up feature discusses one cartel scandal in particular.

### **How to Enforce Cooperation**

How can parties who find themselves in a prisoner's dilemma situation avoid the undesired outcome and cooperate with each other? The way out of a prisoner's dilemma is to find a way to penalize those who do not cooperate. Perhaps the easiest approach for colluding oligopolists, as you might imagine, would be to sign a contract with each other that they will hold output low and keep prices high. If a group of U.S. companies signed such a contract, however, it would be illegal. Certain international organizations, like the nations that are members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), have signed international agreements to act like a monopoly, hold down output, and keep prices high so that all of the countries can make high profits from oil exports. Such agreements, however, because they fall in a gray area of international law, are not legally enforceable. If Nigeria, for example, decides to start cutting prices and selling more oil, Saudi Arabia cannot sue Nigeria in court and force it to stop.

Because oligopolists cannot sign a legally enforceable contract to act like a monopoly, the firms may instead keep close tabs on what other firms are producing and charging. Alternatively, oligopolists may choose to act in a way that generates pressure on each firm to stick to its agreed quantity of output. One example of the pressure these firms can exert on one another is the kinked demand curve, in which competing oligopoly firms commit to match price cuts, but not price increases. Figure 10.5 shows this situation. Say that an oligopoly airline has agreed with the rest of a cartel to provide a quantity of 10,000 seats on the New York to Los Angeles route, at a price of \$500. This choice defines the kink in the firm's perceived demand curve. The reason that the firm faces a kink in its demand curve is because of how the other oligopolists react to changes in the firm's price. If the oligopoly decides to produce more and cut its price, the other members of the cartel will immediately match any price cuts—and therefore, a lower price brings very little increase in quantity sold. If one firm cuts its price to \$300, it will be able to sell only 11,000 seats. However, if the airline seeks to raise prices, the other oligopolists will not raise their prices, and so the firm that raised prices will lose a considerable share of sales. For example, if the firm raises its price to \$550, its sales drop to 5,000 seats sold. Thus, if oligopolists always match price cuts by other firms in the cartel, but do not match price increases, then none of the oligopolists will have a strong incentive to change prices, since the potential gains are minimal. This strategy can work like a silent form of cooperation, in which the cartel successfully manages to hold down output, increase price, and share a monopoly level of profits even without any legally enforceable agreement.



**Figure 10.5 A Kinked Demand**

Curve Consider a member firm in an oligopoly cartel that is supposed to produce a quantity of 10,000 and sell at a price of \$500. The other members of the cartel can encourage this firm to honor its commitments by acting so that the firm faces a kinked demand curve. If the oligopolistic attempts to expand output and reduce price slightly, other firms also cut prices immediately—so if the firm expands output to 11,000, the price per unit falls dramatically, to \$300. On the other side, if the oligopoly attempts to raise its price, other firms will not do so, so if the firm raises its price to \$550, its sales decline sharply to 5,000. Thus, the members of a cartel can discipline each other to stick to the pre-agreed levels of quantity and price through a strategy of matching all price cuts but not matching any price increases.

Many real-world oligopolies, prodded by economic changes, legal and political pressures, and the egos of their top executives, go through episodes of cooperation and competition. If oligopolies could sustain cooperation with each other on output and pricing, they could earn profits as if they were a single monopoly. However, each firm in an oligopoly has an incentive to produce more and grab a bigger share of the overall market; when firms start behaving in this way, the market outcome in terms of prices and quantity can be similar to that of a highly competitive market.

### The classical growth theory

Classical growth theory, also known as neoclassical growth theory, is a framework within macroeconomics that focuses on the long-run determinants of economic growth. It emerged in the mid-20th century as a response to the Keynesian approach, which primarily addressed short-run economic fluctuations. Classical growth theory is characterized by its emphasis on the role of capital accumulation, technological progress, and population growth in driving economic growth.

Key components of classical growth theory within the framework of macroeconomic models include:

1. **Solow Growth Model:**

- Developed by Robert Solow in the 1950s, the Solow Growth Model is a cornerstone of classical growth theory. It highlights the importance of capital accumulation in explaining economic growth.

- The model assumes a production function that combines labor and capital to produce output. The output is influenced by the level of capital per worker, technology, and the efficiency of labor.

- According to the Solow model, an economy will converge to a steady-state level of income per capita, determined by the rate of savings, population growth, and technological progress.

## 2. \*\*Capital Accumulation:\*\*

- Classical growth theory underscores the significance of capital accumulation as a primary driver of economic growth. Increased investment in physical capital, such as machinery and infrastructure, leads to higher productivity and output.

- Savings and investment play a crucial role in this process. Higher savings rates contribute to greater investment, fostering capital accumulation and economic growth.

## 3. \*\*Technological Progress:\*\*

- Technological progress is another essential element in classical growth theory. It is often treated as exogenous in early models, implying that it occurs independently of other economic factors.

- Advances in technology lead to increases in productivity, allowing more output to be produced with the same inputs. Technological progress is considered a key factor in sustaining long-term economic growth.

## 4. \*\*Population Growth:\*\*

- Classical growth models typically incorporate population growth as a determinant of economic growth. However, the relationship between population growth and economic growth is complex. While population growth can contribute to a larger labor force, excessive population growth may hinder capital accumulation per worker.

## 5. \*\*Convergence Hypothesis:\*\*

- The classical growth theory suggests that poorer countries will tend to catch up with richer countries over time. This idea is known as the convergence hypothesis. As poorer economies invest in capital and adopt advanced technologies, they can experience faster growth rates and converge toward the income levels of wealthier nations.

## 6. \*\*Limitations and Extensions:\*\*

- Over time, various extensions and modifications to classical growth theory have been developed to address its limitations. Endogenous growth theory, for example, incorporates factors such as human capital accumulation and research and development as endogenous determinants of technological progress.

In summary, classical growth theory provides a framework for understanding the long-term determinants of economic growth, with a focus on capital accumulation, technological progress, and population growth. The Solow Growth Model, in particular, remains a fundamental tool for analyzing the dynamics of economic growth in macroeconomic models.



## Keynesian cross model

The Keynesian Cross Model is a simple macroeconomic framework developed by John Maynard Keynes to illustrate the relationship between aggregate income and aggregate spending. It is a fundamental building block of Keynesian economics and provides insights into the determination of equilibrium output in an economy.

Key features of the Keynesian Cross Model within the perspective of macroeconomic models include:

### 1. \*\*Aggregate Expenditure (AE):\*\*

- The model revolves around the concept of aggregate expenditure, which is the total spending in the economy on final goods and services. Aggregate expenditure is composed of consumption (C), investment (I), government spending (G), and net exports (exports - imports or NX).

### 2. \*\*Consumption Function:\*\*

- The consumption function represents the relationship between disposable income and consumption. According to Keynes, consumption is a function of income, and he proposed a simple linear consumption function where consumption increases as income increases but at a diminishing rate.

$$C = a + b(Y - T)$$

- Where:

- $C$  is consumption.
- $a$  is the autonomous consumption (consumption when income is zero).
- $b$  is the marginal propensity to consume (the fraction of additional income that is spent).
- $Y$  is income.
- $T$  is taxes.

### 3. \*\*Equilibrium Condition:\*\*

- The equilibrium level of output (income) occurs when aggregate expenditure equals output. Mathematically, this is represented as  $Y = AE$ .
- At equilibrium, aggregate expenditure is equal to the sum of consumption, investment, government spending, and net exports.

$$Y = C + I + G + NX$$

### 4. \*\*The Keynesian Cross Diagram:\*\*

- The model is often presented graphically in the Keynesian Cross diagram, where the 45-degree line represents the equality between income and aggregate expenditure. The intersection of the aggregate expenditure function with the 45-degree line determines the equilibrium level of income.

- If aggregate expenditure is below the 45-degree line, there is an unplanned inventory build-up, prompting producers to reduce output. If it is above the line, there is an unplanned inventory depletion, prompting producers to increase output.

#### 5. \*\*Multiplier Effect:\*\*

- The Keynesian Cross Model introduces the concept of the multiplier effect. The multiplier represents the magnification of changes in spending into larger changes in income. The multiplier is determined by the marginal propensity to consume. A higher marginal propensity to consume leads to a larger multiplier.

$$\text{Multiplier} = \frac{1}{1 - \text{MPC}}$$

- Where MPC is the marginal propensity to consume.

#### 6. \*\*Government and Net Exports:\*\*

- The model can be extended to incorporate changes in government spending and net exports. An increase in government spending or a decrease in taxes leads to an increase in aggregate expenditure, potentially causing a multiplied increase in income through the multiplier effect.

- Changes in net exports also affect aggregate expenditure and, consequently, the equilibrium level of income.

#### 7. \*\*Limitations:\*\*

- The Keynesian Cross Model is a simplification and does not account for factors such as inflation, interest rates, and expectations. It is particularly applicable to short-run analysis and may not capture the dynamics of long-run economic growth.

In summary, the Keynesian Cross Model provides a basic framework for analyzing the determination of equilibrium income in an economy, emphasizing the role of aggregate expenditure, consumption, and the multiplier effect. While it has its limitations, the model remains a foundational tool for understanding short-run fluctuations in output and employment.

### IS-LM-model

The IS-LM model is a macroeconomic framework that was developed by John Hicks and Alvin Hansen, building on the ideas of John Maynard Keynes. It combines two key markets in the economy: the goods market (represented by the IS curve) and the money market (represented by the LM curve). The IS-LM model is used to analyze the simultaneous determination of income (output) and interest rates in an economy.

Here's an explanation of the IS-LM model from the perspective of macroeconomic models:

#### 1. \*\*IS Curve (Investment-Saving Curve):\*\*

- The IS curve represents the equilibrium in the goods market, where total spending (aggregate demand) equals total output (aggregate supply). It is derived from the Keynesian cross model.

- The equation for the IS curve is  $(Y = C(Y - T) + I(r) + G + NX)$ , where:

-  $(Y)$  is income (output),

- $C$  is consumption,
- $T$  is taxes,
- $I(r)$  is investment as a function of the interest rate ( $r$ ),
- $G$  is government spending, and
- $NX$  is net exports.

- The IS curve shows the combinations of interest rates and income levels that satisfy the condition of goods market equilibrium.

## 2. **LM Curve (Liquidity-Money Curve):**

- The LM curve represents the equilibrium in the money market, where the demand for money (liquidity preference) equals the supply of money.
- The equation for the LM curve is  $M/P = L(r, Y)$ , where:
  - $M$  is the money supply,
  - $P$  is the price level,
  - $L$  is the demand for money (liquidity preference) as a function of the interest rate ( $r$ ) and income ( $Y$ ).

- The LM curve shows the combinations of interest rates and income levels that satisfy the condition of money market equilibrium.

## 3. **Equilibrium:**

- The equilibrium in the IS-LM model occurs at the point where the IS and LM curves intersect. At this point, the goods market and money market are both in equilibrium.
- The IS-LM model provides insights into the simultaneous determination of the interest rate and the level of income in the economy.

## 4. **Policy Analysis:**

- The IS-LM model is used to analyze the effects of fiscal and monetary policy on the economy.
- Fiscal policy, such as changes in government spending or taxes, shifts the IS curve. An increase in government spending, for example, shifts the IS curve to the right, leading to higher income and potentially higher interest rates.
- Monetary policy, such as changes in the money supply or central bank interest rates, shifts the LM curve. An increase in the money supply, for example, shifts the LM curve to the right, leading to lower interest rates and potentially higher income.

## 5. **Short-Run Focus:**

- The IS-LM model is typically considered a short-run framework that assumes prices are fixed (sticky). It is useful for analyzing economic fluctuations and the impact of policy in the short run.

## 6. **Extensions and Critiques:**

- Over time, economists have developed extensions and modifications to the IS-LM model to address its limitations, including its treatment of expectations and the assumption of a fixed price level.

- The model has been critiqued for its simplifications, and newer macroeconomic models, such as the New Keynesian models, incorporate additional features to provide a more realistic portrayal of the economy.

In summary, the IS-LM model is a key macroeconomic framework that integrates the goods market and money market to analyze the simultaneous determination of income and interest rates in the short run. It has been influential in macroeconomic thought and policy analysis, though it is important to recognize its simplifications and limitations.

## **AS-AD model**

The Aggregate Supply-Aggregate Demand (AS-AD) model is a macroeconomic framework that depicts the relationship between the overall price level and the total quantity of goods and services demanded and supplied in an economy. It is widely used to analyze the determinants of output (real GDP) and the price level. The AS-AD model combines the aggregate supply curve and the aggregate demand curve to provide insights into the behavior of the economy.

Here's an explanation of the AS-AD model from the perspective of macroeconomic models:

### **1. \*\*Aggregate Demand (AD) Curve:\*\***

- The AD curve represents the relationship between the overall price level in the economy and the quantity of real output (GDP) demanded. It is negatively sloped, indicating that as the price level rises, the quantity of real output demanded decreases.
- The components of aggregate demand include consumption (C), investment (I), government spending (G), and net exports (NX). Changes in any of these components can shift the AD curve.

$$[AD = C + I + G + NX]$$

### **2. \*\*Aggregate Supply (AS) Curve:\*\***

- The AS curve represents the relationship between the overall price level and the quantity of real output supplied by firms in the economy. In the short run, the AS curve is typically upward-sloping, suggesting that as the price level increases, firms are willing to produce more.
- In the long run, the AS curve is often depicted as vertical, indicating that changes in the overall price level do not affect the quantity of real output supplied in the long term.

### **3. \*\*Equilibrium:\*\***

- The intersection of the AD and AS curves determines the equilibrium level of real GDP and the price level in the economy. This point represents the level of output where aggregate demand equals aggregate supply.
- Movements along the AD curve or the AS curve can result in changes in the equilibrium level of output and prices.

### **4. \*\*Shifts in Aggregate Demand:\*\***

- Changes in components of aggregate demand can shift the entire AD curve. For example, an increase in consumer confidence may lead to higher consumption, shifting the AD curve to the right. Conversely, a decrease in government spending may shift the AD curve to the left.

#### 5. **Shifts in Aggregate Supply:**

- Changes in factors affecting aggregate supply, such as technology, input prices, or government regulations, can shift the AS curve. An improvement in technology, for instance, may lead to an increase in productivity and a rightward shift of the AS curve.

#### 6. **Inflationary and Recessionary Gaps:**

- The AS-AD model can be used to identify inflationary and recessionary gaps. An inflationary gap occurs when the economy is producing beyond its long-run capacity, leading to upward pressure on prices. A recessionary gap occurs when the economy is operating below its long-run capacity, leading to downward pressure on prices.

#### 7. **Policy Implications:**

- The AS-AD model is frequently used to analyze the impact of fiscal and monetary policies on the economy. Policymakers can use this framework to evaluate the potential effects of changes in government spending, taxes, or interest rates on output and prices.

#### 8. **Long-Run Aggregate Supply (LRAS):**

- The LRAS curve represents the long-run potential output of the economy, assuming all resources are fully utilized. In the long run, changes in the overall price level do not affect the level of output, leading to a vertical LRAS curve.

In summary, the AS-AD model provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the interactions between aggregate demand and aggregate supply in an economy. It is a fundamental tool for analyzing short-run and long-run macroeconomic dynamics, as well as the effects of various economic policies on output and prices.

### **The complete Keynesian model**

The complete Keynesian model, often referred to as the Keynesian Cross model or the Keynesian Aggregate Expenditure model, provides a simplified but powerful framework for understanding the determination of output (GDP) and income in an economy. It is rooted in the ideas of John Maynard Keynes and is particularly focused on the short run. Here's an explanation of the complete Keynesian model from the perspective of macroeconomic models:

#### 1. **Consumption Function:**

- The model begins with the consumption function, which expresses the relationship between consumption and disposable income. In its simplest form, the consumption function is linear:  $C = a + b(Y - T)$ , where  $C$  is consumption,  $Y$  is income,  $T$  is taxes,  $a$  is autonomous consumption, and  $b$  is the marginal propensity to consume (MPC).

#### 2. **Aggregate Planned Expenditure (APE):**

- The aggregate planned expenditure is the sum of consumption, investment, government spending, and net exports ( $APE = C + I + G + NX$ ). In the Keynesian model, investment, government spending, and net exports are assumed to be exogenous (independent of income).

3. **Equilibrium Condition:**

- The economy is in equilibrium when aggregate planned expenditure equals actual output ( $APE = Y$ ). This is the point where aggregate demand equals aggregate supply.

4. **Keynesian Cross Diagram:**

- The Keynesian Cross diagram is used to represent the equilibrium condition graphically. The 45-degree line represents the equality of aggregate planned expenditure and output. The point where this line intersects the aggregate expenditure curve determines the equilibrium level of output.

5. **Multiplier Effect:**

- The multiplier effect is a key feature of the Keynesian model. Changes in autonomous spending (such as an increase in government spending) lead to a multiplied impact on overall output. The multiplier is determined by the reciprocal of the marginal propensity to save ( $1 / (1 - MPC)$ ).

6. **Government Spending and Tax Multipliers:**

- The Keynesian model allows for the analysis of the effects of changes in government spending or taxes on equilibrium output. An increase in government spending or a decrease in taxes can lead to a multiplied increase in output, depending on the size of the multiplier.

7. **Flexible Prices and Wages:**

- The Keynesian model assumes rigid prices and wages in the short run. This means that changes in aggregate demand affect output and employment rather than prices. It contrasts with classical models, which assume that prices and wages adjust quickly to restore equilibrium.

8. **Critiques and Limitations:**

- Critics argue that the Keynesian model is less relevant in the long run and that it oversimplifies the behavior of individuals and firms. It also assumes that expectations are largely based on current income rather than future income.

9. **Policy Implications:**

- The Keynesian model has important policy implications. In times of economic downturns, policymakers may use fiscal policy (government spending or tax changes) to stimulate demand and boost output. The model suggests that monetary policy alone may be insufficient during severe economic contractions.

10. **Extensions:**

- Over time, economists have developed extensions to the Keynesian model, such as the IS-LM model, which incorporates the role of the money market, and the AD-AS model, which combines aggregate supply and aggregate demand considerations.

In summary, the complete Keynesian model provides a foundational understanding of short-run economic dynamics, emphasizing the role of aggregate demand, consumption, and the multiplier effect. While it has been critiqued and extended over the years, the model remains a key tool for analyzing the impact of changes in spending on output and employment in the short run.

## **The neo-classical synthesis**

The Neo-Classical Synthesis refers to the integration of Keynesian economics and neoclassical economics, combining elements of both to form a more comprehensive macroeconomic framework. This synthesis emerged in the mid-20th century as economists sought to reconcile the insights of John Maynard Keynes with the traditional neoclassical economic framework. The goal was to incorporate Keynesian short-run analysis of aggregate demand and employment with neoclassical long-run analysis of supply and potential output.

Key features of the Neo-Classical Synthesis from a macroeconomic model perspective include:

### **1. \*\*Short-Run Keynesian Analysis:\*\***

- In the short run, the Neo-Classical Synthesis incorporates Keynesian elements, emphasizing the role of aggregate demand in determining output and employment.
- The Keynesian short-run model focuses on sticky prices and wages, suggesting that changes in aggregate demand can lead to fluctuations in output and employment without immediate adjustments in prices and wages.

### **2. \*\*Long-Run Neoclassical Analysis:\*\***

- In the long run, the Neo-Classical Synthesis retains neoclassical principles, emphasizing the role of factors such as technology, capital accumulation, and labor force growth in determining potential output.
- Prices and wages are assumed to be flexible in the long run, allowing the economy to adjust to changes in aggregate demand through adjustments in prices and wages.

### **3. \*\*Aggregate Supply and Demand:\*\***

- The Neo-Classical Synthesis model incorporates both short-run and long-run aggregate supply and demand curves. In the short run, aggregate supply may be horizontal or upward-sloping due to sticky prices, while in the long run, it is typically vertical.

### **4. \*\*Equilibrium and Unemployment:\*\***

- The model addresses the issue of unemployment in the short run, acknowledging that fluctuations in aggregate demand can lead to periods of unemployment or underemployment.
- In the long run, the economy is expected to converge to its natural rate of unemployment, determined by structural factors and unaffected by aggregate demand shocks.

### **5. \*\*Policy Implications:\*\***

- The Neo-Classical Synthesis suggests that in the short run, fiscal and monetary policy can be effective in managing demand and stabilizing the economy. In the long run, however, the



focus shifts to supply-side policies that promote factors such as productivity and investment to enhance potential output.

6. **Rational Expectations and Adaptive Expectations:**

- Over time, the Neo-Classical Synthesis incorporated insights from the rational expectations hypothesis. Rational expectations assume that individuals form expectations based on all available information, leading to more accurate predictions of future economic conditions.
- The Neo-Classical Synthesis also considered adaptive expectations, where individuals gradually adjust their expectations based on past experiences.

7. **Phillips Curve:**

- The Phillips curve, which shows the inverse relationship between inflation and unemployment, is often part of the Neo-Classical Synthesis. In the short run, there may be a trade-off between inflation and unemployment due to nominal wage rigidities, but in the long run, this trade-off disappears.

8. **Real Business Cycle Theory:**

- Some developments within the Neo-Classical Synthesis led to the emergence of the Real Business Cycle (RBC) theory. RBC theory emphasizes the role of real shocks, such as technological changes, in driving economic fluctuations.

In summary, the Neo-Classical Synthesis represents an attempt to reconcile Keynesian and neoclassical perspectives by integrating short-run demand-side analysis with long-run supply-side considerations. It acknowledges the importance of both aggregate demand management and supply-side policies in understanding and managing economic fluctuations.

## **National Budgeting process in India**

The national budgeting process in India involves the formulation, presentation, and approval of the Union Budget, which is the annual financial statement of the government at the central level. The process is governed by a set timeline and involves multiple stages, including preparation, presentation, parliamentary approval, and implementation. Here is an overview of the national budgeting process in India:

1. **Pre-Budget Consultations:**

- The budgeting process typically begins with pre-budget consultations. The government engages in discussions with various stakeholders, including industry representatives, economists, trade unions, and other interest groups, to gather input and insights on economic conditions and policy priorities.

2. **Budget Formulation:**

- The Ministry of Finance takes the lead in formulating the budget. Different departments and ministries submit their budget estimates to the Ministry of Finance, detailing their financial requirements for the upcoming fiscal year.

- The budget is formulated in the context of the government's overall economic and fiscal policies. It includes estimates of revenue and expenditure, taking into account macroeconomic factors, growth projections, inflation, and fiscal targets.

### 3. **Revenue and Expenditure Estimates:**

- The budget includes estimates of both revenue and expenditure. Revenue sources encompass taxes, non-tax revenue, and capital receipts. Expenditures are categorized into revenue expenditure (day-to-day expenses) and capital expenditure (investment in assets and infrastructure).

### 4. **Budget Speech:**

- The Finance Minister presents the Union Budget to the Parliament. The budget speech outlines the government's economic policies, fiscal priorities, and key proposals. It provides a detailed breakdown of revenue and expenditure allocations for different sectors and programs.

### 5. **Parliamentary Approval:**

- The budget is subject to approval by the Parliament. Both houses—Lok Sabha (the lower house) and Rajya Sabha (the upper house)—debate the budget proposals. Members of Parliament can propose amendments, and the budget may undergo revisions based on discussions and feedback.

### 6. **Passage of Appropriation Bills:**

- After the budget is debated and approved, appropriation bills are introduced in both houses. These bills provide the legal authority for government expenditures. Once the bills are passed, the government can spend the allocated funds for various programs and activities.

### 7. **Implementation and Monitoring:**

- Following parliamentary approval, the government implements the budget through its various ministries and departments. Government agencies are responsible for executing the planned expenditures and achieving the outlined objectives.

- The budget is monitored throughout the fiscal year to assess the progress of spending, revenue collection, and the overall fiscal performance. Mid-year and year-end reviews may be conducted to make adjustments if necessary.

### 8. **Audit and Accountability:**

- The Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India conducts audits to ensure that government expenditures are in compliance with budgetary provisions and financial regulations. The CAG's reports are presented to the Parliament, contributing to accountability and transparency in public finances.

### 9. **Post-Budget Revisions:**

- In some cases, the government may present supplementary or interim budgets to address unforeseen developments or changes in economic conditions during the fiscal year. These revisions are subject to parliamentary approval.

The entire national budgeting process is designed to ensure transparency, accountability, and alignment with the government's economic policies and development objectives. It plays a crucial role in shaping the country's fiscal policies and determining the allocation of resources for various sectors and programs.