# A random formula with logs of primes

dnzc

# Contents

# 1 Introduction

We will derive the following fact:

$\forall a, b, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p, q$ distinct primes,

$$ab = \sum_{x=1}^{a} \min(b, \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor) + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \min(a, \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor)$$

This formula was derived by thinking about the following problem:

Let $n = 2^{31} 3^{19}$. How many positive divisors of $n^2$ are less than $n$ but do not divide $n$?

We will solve a more general version of the above problem in two different ways, which will lead us to the main result arrived at in the Summary section.

# 2 Solving the Problem

The version of the problem that we will think about is as follows: For some $a, b, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p, q$ distinct primes, let $n = p^a q^b$. How many positive divisors of $n^2$ are less than $n$ but do not divide $n$?

## 2.1 Computational Way

The factors of $n^2$ are in the form $p^r q^s$, $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r \leq 2a, 0 \leq q \leq 2b$
If this factor does not divide $n$ then we must have $r > a$ or $s > b$.
We cannot have both $r > a$ and $s > b$ since that would imply $p^r q^s > n$ and we are counting the factors that are less than n. Thus these cases are disjoint and we can count them separately.

### 2.1.1 Case 1

We will count the case when $r > a$.
Then more specifically, $a < r \leq 2a$ and $0 \leq s < b$. And:

$$p^r q^s < p^a q^b$$
$$\implies p^{r-a} < q^{b-s}$$

Let $x = r - a, y = b - s$
Then with some inequality manipulations we get $1 \leq x \leq a$ and $1 \leq y \leq b$

$$p^x < q^y$$
$$\implies x \log_q p < y \text{ (log rules)}$$
$$\implies \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor + 1 \leq y \leq b \text{ ( } \log_q p \text{ is irrational)}$$

So for any given x, the number of possibilities for y contributed to the count is

$$\max(0, b - \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor)$$

We use the "max" function here to contribute 0 if $\lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor \geq b$ rather than contributing a negative number (since there are 0 possibilities for y in that case) So, the total number of possibilities counted by Case 1 is the sum of this over all possible values of x:

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{a} \max(0, b - \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor)$$

### 2.1.2 Case 2

The case when $s > b$ is symmetric to Case 1, with p,q swapped and a,b swapped but the argument is entirely the same.
Thus similarly to Case 1, the count contributed by this case is

$$\sum_{x=1}^{b} \max(0, a - \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor)$$

### 2.1.3 Total

So the overall answer to the problem is the sum of Case 1 and Case 2, which is

$$\sum_{x=1}^{a} \max(0, b - \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor) + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \max(0, a - \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor)$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{a} \left[ b - \min(b, \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor) \right] + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \left[ a - \min(a, \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor) \right]$$

$$= 2ab - \left[ \sum_{x=1}^{a} \min(b, \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor) + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \min(a, \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor) \right]$$

$$\therefore \text{answer} = 2ab - \left[ \sum_{x=1}^{a} \min(b, \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor) + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \min(a, \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor) \right] \quad (1)$$

## 2.2 Combinatorial Way

We will show that the overall answer is simply $ab$.

$n^2 = p^{2a} q^{2b}$ so there are $(2a + 1)(2b + 1)$ factors of $n^2$.

We can consider positive factor pairs of $n^2$ - one number of the pair will $< n$ and the other will be $> n$, except for the case when the factor pair is $(n, n)$. In other words, if $a$ is a positive factor of $n^2$ and $a < n$ then $\frac{n^2}{a} > n$ so exactly one of the factor pair $(a, \frac{n^2}{a})$ will be less than $n$.

Thus the number of factors of $n^2$ that are less than $n$ is

$$\# \text{ factors of } n^2 \text{ less than } n = \frac{(2a+1)(2b+1) - 1}{2} = 2ab + a + b$$

where we exclude the $(n, n)$ case and then halve.

Now,

$$\# \text{ factors of } n^2 \text{ less than } n \text{ AND divide } n$$
$$(\# \text{ factors of } n) - 1 \text{ (since we exclude n as a factor of n)}$$
$$= (a+1)(b+1) - 1 = ab + a + b$$

Finally,

$$\text{answer} = \# \text{ factors of } n^2 \text{ less than } n \text{ AND NOT divide } n$$
$$= \# \text{ factors of } n^2 \text{ less than } n - \# \text{ factors of } n^2 \text{ less than } n \text{ AND divide } n$$
$$= (2ab + a + b) - (ab + a + b)$$
$$= ab$$

$$\therefore \text{answer} = ab \tag{2}$$

## 3    Summary

Equating (1) and (2):

$$ab = 2ab - \left[ \sum_{x=1}^{a} \min(b, \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor) + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \min(a, \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor) \right]$$

Rearrange to get

$$ab = \sum_{x=1}^{a} \min(b, \lfloor x \log_q p \rfloor) + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \min(a, \lfloor x \log_p q \rfloor)$$
$$\forall a, b, p, q \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } p, q \text{ distinct primes}$$

We have shown our result.

# 4 Conjecture

If we replace $\log_q p$ with the positive irrational constant c, then we have a conjecture:

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, c > 0$$

$$ab = \sum_{x=1}^{a} \min(b, \lfloor cx \rfloor) + \sum_{x=1}^{b} \min(a, \lfloor \frac{x}{c} \rfloor)$$

Then we note that this can be easily proven true by counting the lattice points inside a rectangle cut through by a line (similar to the pretty picture lemma). More specifically:

Consider the line y=cx and the rectangle with points (0,0), (a+1, 0), (b+1,0) (a+1, b+1).

We will count the number of points inside the rectangle in two different ways. (Note c is irrational so no lattice points lie on y=cx)

One way is directly width*height = a*b.
The other way is counting the points inside the rectangle and underneath the line y=cx by summing for each value of x, and then doing the same thing for y.

Doing this, we arrive at the conjecture, QED.