

Ring Pattern Matching Theory

Ha Thi Thu Doan¹, Adrián Riesco², and Kazuhiro Ogata¹

¹ Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Ishikawa, Japan
`{doanha,ogata}@jaist.ac.jp`

² Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
`ariesco@fdi.ucm.es`

1 Sequences

Let **Elt** be the set of (concrete) elements, **EV** be the set of element variables and **SV** be the set of sequence variables.

Definition 1 (Sequence Patterns). *The set **SP** of sequence patterns is inductively defined as follows:*

1. $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{SP}$ (the empty sequence);
2. For each element $e \in \mathbf{Elt}$, $e \in \mathbf{SP}$;
3. For each element variable $E \in \mathbf{EV}$, $E \in \mathbf{SP}$;
4. For each sequence variable $S \in \mathbf{SV}$, $S \in \mathbf{SP}$;
5. For any sequence patterns $SP_1, SP_2 \in \mathbf{SP}$, $SP_1 SP_2 \in \mathbf{SP}$.

The binary juxtaposition operator used in $SP_1 SP_2$ is associative, namely that $(SP_1 SP_2) SP_3 = SP_1 (SP_2 SP_3)$ for any sequence patterns $SP_1, SP_2, SP_3 \in \mathbf{SP}$. ε is an identity of the binary juxtaposition operator, namely that $\varepsilon SP = SP$ and $SP \varepsilon = SP$ for any sequence patterns $SP \in \mathbf{SP}$.

Sequence patterns that do not have any variables at all are called sequences. Let **Seq** \subseteq **SP** be the set of all sequences.

A substitution σ is a function from the disjoint union $\mathbf{EV} \uplus \mathbf{SV}$ of **EV** and **SV** to the disjoint union $\mathbf{Seq} \uplus \mathbf{EV} \uplus \mathbf{SV}$ of **Seq**, **EV** and **SV**. For $E \in \mathbf{EV}$, $\sigma(E)$ is an element $e \in \mathbf{Elt}$ or E and for $S \in \mathbf{SV}$, $\sigma(S)$ is a sequence $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$ or S . The domain of a substitution σ can be naturally extended to **SP** such that $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ is ε , for an element $e \in \mathbf{Elt}$, $\sigma(e)$ is e and for a sequence pattern $SP_1, SP_2 \in \mathbf{SP}$, $\sigma(SP_1 SP_2)$ is $\sigma(SP_1) \sigma(SP_2)$.

Definition 2 (Sequence pattern match). *Pattern match between $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ & $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$ is to find all substitutions σ such that $\sigma(sp) = seq$. Let $sp \stackrel{?}{=} seq$ be the set of all such substitutions.*

Elements, element variables and sequence variables used in sequence patterns are called components in the sequence patterns. For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, let $|sp|$ be the number of components in it. $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ can be in the form $ES_1 ES_2 \dots ES_{|sp|}$, where each ES_i is an element, an element variable or a sequence variable. For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, let $sp(i)$, where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, |sp|\}$, be the i th element ES_i .

in sp . Let $e, e_1, e_2, \dots \in \mathbf{Elt}$, $E, E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathbf{EV}$, $S, S_1, S_2, \dots \in \mathbf{SV}$ and $ES, ES_1, ES_2, \dots \in \mathbf{Elt} \uplus \mathbf{EV} \uplus \mathbf{SV}$. A binary construct $sv(S, I)$ that is not in \mathbf{SV} , where S is a sequence variable and I is either 0 or 1, is used as an extra sequence variable. Let \mathbf{SSV} be $\{sv(S, I) \mid S \in \mathbf{SV}, I \in \{0, 1\}\}$. $\mathbf{SV} \cup \mathbf{SSV}$ may be used as the set of sequence variables instead of \mathbf{SV} .

Definition 3 (Split sequence patterns). For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, $\text{split}(sp)$ is a sequence pattern such that each sequence variable S in sp is replaced with $sv(S, 0) \text{ } sv(S, 1)$. $\text{split}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$, $\text{split}(e) = e$ for $e \in \mathbf{Elt}$, $\text{split}(E) = E$ for $E \in \mathbf{EV}$, $\text{split}(S) = sv(S, 0) \text{ } sv(S, 1)$ for $S \in \mathbf{SV}$ and $\text{split}(SP_1 \text{ } SP_2) = \text{split}(SP_1) \text{ } \text{split}(SP_2)$ for $SP_1, SP_2 \in \mathbf{SP}$.

Definition 4 (Joining split sequence variables). For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$, let σ be in $(\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)$. $\text{join}(\sigma)$ is the substitution σ' such that for each sequence variable S in sp $\sigma'(S) = \sigma(sv(S, 0)) \text{ } \sigma(sv(S, 1))$ and for any other variables X $\sigma'(X) = \sigma(X)$. The domain of join can be naturally extended to the set of substitutions such that $\text{join}(\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)$ is $\{\text{join}(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in (\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)\}$.

Proposition 1. For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, any sequence $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$ and any substitution $\sigma \in (\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)$, $\text{join}(\sigma)(sp) = seq$.

Proof. Let sp be $ES_1 \dots ES_i \dots ES_n$. $\text{split}(sp)$ is $\text{split}(ES_1) \dots \text{split}(ES_i) \dots \text{split}(ES_n)$. Then, $\sigma(\text{split}(sp))$ is $\sigma(\text{split}(ES_1)) \dots \sigma(\text{split}(ES_i)) \dots \sigma(\text{split}(ES_n))$. If ES_i is an element e , $\sigma(\text{split}(ES_i)) = e = \text{join}(\sigma)(ES_i)$. If ES_i is an element variable E , $\sigma(\text{split}(ES_i)) = \sigma(E) = \text{join}(\sigma)(ES_i)$. If ES_i is a sequence variable S , $\sigma(\text{split}(ES_i)) = \sigma(sv(S, 0) \text{ } sv(S, 1)) = \text{join}(\sigma)(ES_i)$. Therefore, $\sigma(\text{split}(sp)) = \text{join}(\sigma)(sp)$ and thus $\text{join}(\sigma)(sp) = seq$. \square

Lemma 1. For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and any sequence $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$, $(sp =?= seq) = \text{join}(\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in (sp =?= seq)$. Let σ' be the substitution such that $\sigma'(sv(S, 0)) = \sigma(S)$ and $\sigma'(sv(S, 1)) = \varepsilon$ for each sequence variable S in sp and $\sigma'(X) = \sigma(X)$ for any other variables X . By the construction of σ' , $\sigma'(\text{split}(sp)) = seq$ and then $\sigma' \in (\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)$. Moreover, $\text{join}(\sigma') = \sigma$ and hence $\sigma \in \text{join}(\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)$. From Definition 4, $\text{join}(\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)$ is $\{\text{join}(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in (\text{split}(sp) =?= seq)\}$. From Proposition 1, $\text{join}(\sigma)(sp) = seq$. Hence, $\text{join}(\sigma) \in (sp =?= seq)$. \square

rtt (that stands for rotate) takes a sequence pattern sp and returns the sequence pattern obtained by rotating sp clockwise. rev (that stands for reverse) takes a sequence pattern sp and returns the sequence pattern obtained by reversing sp . Let sp be $ES_1 \text{ } ES_2 \dots ES_{|sp|-1} \text{ } ES_{|sp|}$. $\text{rtt}(sp) = ES_{|sp|} \text{ } ES_1 \text{ } ES_2 \dots ES_{|sp|-1}$ and $\text{rev}(sp) = ES_{|sp|} \text{ } ES_{|sp|-1} \dots ES_2 \text{ } ES_1$. Let us suppose that a subscript exp of ES_{exp} used as an element in sp is interpreted as $(exp \bmod |sp|) + 1$.

Definition 5 (Reversing substitutions). σ_{rev} is defined as follows: for an element $e \in \mathbf{Elt}$ $\sigma_{\text{rev}}(e) = \sigma(e) = e$, for an element variable $E \in (\mathbf{E})$ $\sigma_{\text{rev}}(E) = \sigma(E)$ and for a sequence variable $S \in (\mathbf{SV})$ $\sigma_{\text{rev}}(S) = \text{rev}(\sigma(S))$.

Proposition 2. *For any substitution σ , $(\text{join}(\sigma_{\text{rev}}))_{\text{rev}} = \text{join}(\sigma)$.*

Proof. For each sequence variable S $(\text{join}(\sigma_{\text{rev}}))_{\text{rev}}(S) = \text{rev}((\text{join}(\sigma_{\text{rev}}))(S)) = \text{rev}(\sigma(\text{sv}(S, 0)))$ $\sigma(\text{sv}(S, 1))$ and for any other variables X $\sigma'(X) = \sigma(X)$. \square

Given two sequence patterns $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$, $spsp'$ holds if there exists a natural number n such that $sp = \text{rtt}^n(sp')$, namely that sp is obtained by rotating sp' finitely many times; $spsp'$ holds if there exists a natural number n such that $sp = \text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'))$, namely that sp is obtained by reversing sp' once and rotating it finitely many times. For example, let sp and sp' be $e_1 S_1 e_2$ and $e_1 e_2 S_1 (= \text{rtt}(sp))$ and then $(spsp')$ holds, while $(spsp')$ does not; let sp and sp' be $e_1 S_1 e_2$ and $e_2 e_1 S_1 (= \text{rtt}(\text{rev}(sp)))$ and then $(spsp')$ does not, while $(spsp')$ holds. $e_1 S_1 e_2$ and $e_2 e_1 S_1 (= \text{rtt}(\text{rev}(sp)))$ and then both $(spsp')$ and $(spsp')$ hold.

Definition 6 (Sequence pattern contexts). *A sequence pattern context is a sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ in which one component (say, i th component, where $1 \leq i \leq |sp|$) is replaced with a special symbol called a hole, denoted $sp_{(i)}\{\}$. A hole is treated as an element. Let sp be $ES_1 \dots ES_i \dots ES_{|sp|}$ and then $sp_{(i)}\{\}$ is $ES_1 \dots ES_{|sp|}$.*

For a sequence pattern or a sequence pattern context spc and a sequence pattern sp , $spc_{(i)}\{sp\}$ is spc in which the i th component in spc is replaced with sp . $(sp_{(i)}\{\})_{(i)}\{sp(i)\} = sp_{(i)}\{sp(i)\} = sp$.

Definition 7 (Correspondent components). *Let $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ be $ES_1 \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{|sp|}$, where $1 \leq i \leq |sp|$ and $sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$ be $ES'_1 \dots ES'_{j-1} ES'_j ES'_{j+1} \dots ES'_{|sp'|}$, where $1 \leq j \leq |sp'|$. If $ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{|sp|} ES_1 \dots ES_{i-1} = ES'_j ES'_{j+1} \dots ES'_{|sp'|} ES'_1 \dots ES'_{j-1}$ or $ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{|sp|} ES_1 \dots ES_{i-1} = ES'_j ES'_{j-1} \dots ES'_1 ES'_{|sp'|} \dots ES'_{j+1}$, then ES'_j is the corresponding component in sp' to ES_i in sp .*

Proposition 3. *For any sequence patterns $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$ and any natural numbers $i \in \{1, \dots, |sp|\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, |sp'|\}$ such that the j th component $sp'(j)$ in sp' is the corresponding component in sp' to $sp(i)$ in sp , (1) $(spsp') \Leftrightarrow sp_{(i)}\{sp'_{(j)}\}$ and (2) $(spsp') \Leftrightarrow sp_{(i)}\{sp'_{(j)}\}$.*

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) There exists a natural number m such that $\text{rtt}^m(sp)$ is $sp(i) sp(i+1) \dots sp(i-1)$ and there exists a natural number n such that $\text{rtt}^n(sp')$ is $sp'(j) sp'(j+1) \dots sp'(j-1)$. Because of $spsp'$, $\text{rtt}^m(sp) = \text{rtt}^n(sp')$ and then $(\text{rtt}^m(sp))_{(1)}\{\} = (\text{rtt}^n(sp'))_{(1)}\{\}$. $\text{rtt}^{-m}((\text{rtt}^m(sp))_{(1)}\{\}) = sp_{(i)}\{\}$ and $\text{rtt}^{-n}((\text{rtt}^n(sp'))_{(1)}\{\}) = sp'_{(j)}\{\}$. Therefore, $sp_{(i)}\{sp'_{(j)}\}$. (\Leftarrow) There exists a natural number m such that $\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\})$ is $sp(i+1) \dots sp(i-1)$ and there exists a natural number n such that $\text{rtt}^n(sp'_{(j)}\{\})$ is $sp'(j+1) \dots sp'(j-1)$. Because of $sp_{(i)}\{sp'_{(j)}\}$, $\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}) = \text{rtt}^n(sp'_{(j)}\{\})$ and then $(\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\} = (\text{rtt}^n(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\}$. Because $sp'(j)$ in sp' is the corresponding component to $sp(i)$ in sp , $sp'(j) = sp(i)$. Therefore, $(\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\} =$

$(\text{rtt}^n(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp'(j)\}$ and then $\text{rtt}^{-m}((\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\}) = sp$ and $\text{rtt}^{-n}((\text{rtt}^n(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp'(j)\}) = sp'$. Thus, $spsp'$.

(2) (\Rightarrow) There exists a natural number m such that $\text{rtt}^m(sp)$ is $sp(i) sp(i+1) \dots sp(i-1)$ and there exists a natural number n such that $\text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'))$ is $sp'(j) sp'(j-1) \dots sp'(j+1)$. Because of $spsp'$, $\text{rtt}^m(sp) = \text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'))$ and then $(\text{rtt}^m(sp))_{(1)}\{\} = (\text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'))_{(1)}\{\}$. $\text{rtt}^{-m}((\text{rtt}^m(sp))_{(1)}\{\}) = sp_{(i)}\{\}$ and $\text{rtt}^{-n}((\text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'))_{(1)}\{\}) = (\text{rev}(sp'))_{(j)}\{\}$. Therefore, $sp_{(i)}\{\} sp'_{(j)}\{\}$. (\Leftarrow) There exists a natural number m such that $\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\})$ is $sp(i+1) \dots sp(i-1)$ and there exists a natural number n such that $\text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))$ is $sp'(j-1) \dots sp'(j+1)$. Because of $sp_{(i)}\{\} sp'_{(j)}\{\}$, $\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}) = \text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))$ and then $(\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\} = (\text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\}$. Because $sp'(j)$ in sp' is the corresponding component to $sp(i)$ in sp , $sp'(j) = sp(i)$. Therefore, $(\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\} = (\text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp'(j)\}$ and then $\text{rtt}^{-m}((\text{rtt}^m(sp_{(i)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp(i)\}) = sp$ and $\text{rtt}^{-n}((\text{rtt}^n(\text{rev}(sp'_{(j)}\{\}))_{(1)}\{sp'(j)\}) = \text{rev}(sp')$. Thus, $spsp'$. \square

2 Rings

Definition 8 (Rings). For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, $[sp]$ is called a ring pattern and satisfies (1) the rotative law $[sp] = [\text{rtt}(sp)]$ and (2) the reversible law $[sp] = [\text{rev}(sp)]$. When sp is a sequence $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$, $[seq]$ is called a ring.

Proposition 4. For any sequence patterns $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$ and natural numbers m, n , if $[sp] = [sp']$, then (1) $[sp] = [\text{rtt}^m(sp')]$ and (2) $[sp] = [\text{rev}^n(sp')]$.

Proof. Let us suppose $[sp] = [sp']$. (1) By induction on m . (1.1) Base case ($m = 0$) can be discharged from the assumption $[sp] = [sp']$. (1.2) Induction case ($m = k + 1$). From Definition 8, $[\text{rtt}^k(sp')] = [\text{rtt}^{k+1}(sp')]$. From this and the induction hypothesis $[sp] = [\text{rtt}^k(sp')]$, $[sp] = [\text{rtt}^{k+1}(sp')]$. (2) By induction on n . (2.1) Base case ($n = 0$) can be discharged from the assumption $[sp] = [sp']$. (2.2) Induction case ($n = k + 1$). From Definition 8, $[\text{rev}^k(sp')] = [\text{rev}^{k+1}(sp')]$. From this and the induction hypothesis $[sp] = [\text{rev}^k(sp')]$, $[sp] = [\text{rev}^{k+1}(sp')]$. \square

For any sequence patterns $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$, if $([sp] = [sp']) \Rightarrow [sp] = [\text{rtt}(sp')] \wedge [sp] = [\text{rev}(sp')]$, then $[sp] = [\text{rtt}(sp)]$ and $[sp] = [\text{rev}(sp)]$ because the equivalence relation is reflexive, namely $[sp] = [sp]$. Therefore, Definition 8 can be rephrased as follows:

Definition 9 (Another definition of rings). For $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$, $[sp] = [sp']$ is inductively defined as follows: (1) $[sp] = [sp]$ and (2) if $[sp] = [sp']$, then $[sp] = [\text{rtt}(sp')]$ and $[sp] = [\text{rev}(sp')]$.

Let sp be $ES_1 ES_2 \dots ES_{|sp|-1} ES_{|sp|}$. $\text{rtt}^{-1}(sp)$ is $ES_2 \dots ES_{|sp|-1} ES_{|sp|} ES_1$ and $\text{rev}^{-1}(sp)$ is $ES_{|sp|} ES_{|sp|-1} \dots ES_1 ES_2$. Therefore, $\text{rtt}^{-1} = \text{rev} \circ \text{rtt} \circ \text{rev}$ and $\text{rev}^{-1} = \text{rev}$.

Proposition 5. For any sequence patterns $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$, if $[sp] = [sp']$, then $[sp] = [\text{rtt}^{-1}(sp')]$ and $[sp] = [\text{rev}^{-1}(sp')]$.

Proof. This is derived from $\text{rtt}^{-1} = \text{rev} \circ \text{rtt} \circ \text{rev}$, $\text{rev}^{-1} = \text{rev}$ and Proposition 4. \square

Definition 10 (Ring pattern match). For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$, pattern match between $[sp]$ and $[seq]$ is to find all substitutions σ such that $[\sigma(sp)] = [seq]$. Let $[sp] =?= [seq]$ be the set of all such substitutions.

Definition 11 (Sequences rotated and/or reversed). For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, $[[sp]]$ is the set of sequences inductively defined as follows: (1) $sp \in [[sp]]$ and (2) if $sp' \in [[sp]]$, then $\text{rtt}(sp') \in [[sp]]$ and $\text{rev}(sp') \in [[sp]]$.

Proposition 6. For any sequence patterns $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$, if $sp' \in [[sp]]$, then $\text{rtt}^{-1}(sp') \in [[sp]]$ and $\text{rev}^{-1}(sp') \in [[sp]]$.

Proof. This is derived from $\text{rtt}^{-1} = \text{rev} \circ \text{rtt} \circ \text{rev}$, $\text{rev}^{-1} = \text{rev}$ and Definition 11. \square

Proposition 7. For any sequences $seq, seq', seq'' \in \mathbf{SP}$ and any natural number $i \in \{1, \dots, |seq|\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, |seq'|\}$ such that $seq'(j)$ is the correspond component to $seq(i)$, seq is $e_1 \dots e_{i-1} e_i e_{i+1} \dots e_{|seq|}$ and seq' is $e'_1 \dots e'_{j-1} e'_j e'_{j+1} \dots e'_{|seq'|}$, (1) if $seq(i) \{seq'(j)\}$, then $[seq(i) \{seq''\}] = [seq'(j) \{seq''\}]$, and (2) if $seq(i) \{seq'(j)\}$, then $[seq(i) \{seq''\}] = [seq'(j) \{\text{rev}(seq'')\}]$.

Proof. (1) Let seq be $e_1 \dots e_{i-1} e_i e_{i+1} \dots e_{|seq|}$ and seq' be $e'_1 \dots e'_{j-1} e'_j e'_{j+1} \dots e'_{|seq'|}$. (1) Because $seq(i) \{seq'(j)\}$, $e_{i+1} \dots e_{|seq|} e_1 \dots e_{i-1} = e'_{j+1} \dots e'_{|seq'|} e'_1 \dots e'_{j-1}$ and then $seq'' e_{i+1} \dots e_{|seq|} e_1 \dots e_{i-1} = seq'' e'_{j+1} \dots e'_{|seq'|} e'_1 \dots e'_{j-1}$. Therefore, $[seq(i) \{seq''\}] = [seq'(j) \{seq''\}]$. (2) Because $seq(i) \{seq'(j)\}$, $e_{i+1} \dots e_{|seq|} e_1 \dots e_{i-1} = e'_{j-1} \dots e'_1 e'_{|seq'|} \dots e'_{j+1}$ and then $seq'' e_{i+1} \dots e_{|seq|} e_1 \dots e_{i-1} = seq'' e'_{j-1} \dots e'_1 e'_{|seq'|} \dots e'_{j+1}$. $\text{rev}(seq'' e'_{j-1} \dots e'_1 e'_{|seq'|} \dots e'_{j+1})$ is $e'_{j+1} \dots e'_{|seq'|} e'_1 \dots e'_{j-1} \text{rev}(seq'')$. Thus, $[seq(i) \{seq''\}] = [seq'(j) \{\text{rev}(seq'')\}]$. \square

Lemma 2. For sequence patterns $sp, sp' \in \mathbf{SP}$, $(sp' \in [[sp]]) \Leftrightarrow ([sp] = [sp'])$.

Proof. $(sp' \in [[sp]]) \Rightarrow ([sp] = [sp'])$ is proved by induction on Definition 11. (1) Base case in which $sp \in [[sp]]$ holds. $[sp] = [sp]$ holds because of Definition 6. (2) Induction case in which $\text{rtt}(sp') \in [[sp]]$ and $\text{rev}(sp') \in [[sp]]$ hold. $sp' \in [[sp]]$ holds from Proposition 6. From the induction hypothesis ($[sp] = [sp']$) and Definition 9, therefore, $[sp] = [\text{rtt}(sp')]$ and $[sp] = [\text{rev}(sp')]$ hold.

$(sp' \in [[sp]]) \Leftarrow ([sp] = [sp'])$ is proved by induction on Definition 9. (1) Base case in which $[sp] = [sp]$ holds. $sp \in [[sp]]$ holds because of Definition 11. (2) Induction case in which $[sp] = [\text{rtt}(sp')]$ and $[sp] = [\text{rev}(sp')]$ hold. $[sp] = [sp']$ holds from Proposition 5. From the induction hypothesis ($sp' \in [[sp]]$) and Definition 11, therefore, $\text{rtt}(sp') \in [[sp]]$ and $\text{rev}(sp') \in [[sp]]$ hold. \square

Let sp be $e_1 S_1 e_4 S_2$ and sp' be $\text{rev}(sp)$, namely $S_2 e_4 S_1 e_1$. Clearly, $sp' \in [[sp]]$ and $[sp] = [sp']$. Let us consider a substitution σ such that $\sigma(S_1) = e_2 e_3$, $\sigma(S_2) = e_5 e_6$ and $\sigma(X) = X$ for any other variable X . $\sigma(sp)$ is $e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 e_5 e_6$ and $\sigma(sp')$ is $e_5 e_6 e_4 e_2 e_3 e_1$. Clearly, $\sigma(sp') \notin [[\sigma(sp)]]$ and $[\sigma(sp)] \neq [\sigma(sp')]$. If $spsp'$ does not hold but $spsp'$ holds, we need to reverse the sequence that replaces each sequence variable. $\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp')$ is $e_6 e_5 e_4 e_3 e_2 e_1$. Therefore, $\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp') \in [[\sigma(sp)]]$ and $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp')]$.

Lemma 3. *For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and any substitution σ , for each $sp' \in [[sp]]$ if $spsp'$, then $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma(sp')]$; if $spsp'$, then $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp')]$.*

Proof. By induction on the number of element and sequence variable occurrences in sp .

(1) Base case in which the number is 0. Because sp does not have any variables, $\sigma(sp) = sp$, $\sigma(sp') = sp'$ and $\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp') = sp'$. From Lemma 2, $[sp] = [sp']$.

(2) Induction case in which the number is $k + 1$. Let us arbitrarily choose a component that is a variable in sp and the component be the i th component $sp(i)$ in sp . Let sp be $sp_1 sp(i) sp_2$. sp' can be obtained by rotating and/or reversing sp and then must have the correspondent component in sp' to $sp(i)$ in sp . Then, sp' can be $sp'_1 sp(i) sp'_2$.

(2.1) Let us suppose that $spsp'$ holds. From Proposition 3, $(sp_1 sp_2)(sp'_1 sp'_2)$. By induction hypothesis, $[\sigma(sp_1 sp_2)] = [\sigma(sp'_1 sp'_2)]$ and then $[\sigma(sp_1) \sigma(sp_2)] = [\sigma(sp'_1) \sigma(sp'_2)]$. From Lemma 7, $[\sigma(sp_1) \sigma(sp(i)) \sigma(sp_2)] = [\sigma(sp'_1) \sigma(sp(i)) \sigma(sp'_2)]$. Hence, $[\sigma(sp_1 sp(i) sp_2)] = [\sigma(sp'_1 sp(i) sp'_2)]$.

(2.2) Let us suppose that $spsp'$ holds. From Proposition 3, $(sp_1 sp_2) (sp'_1 sp'_2)$. By induction hypothesis, $[\sigma(sp_1 sp_2)] = [\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp'_1 sp'_2)]$ and then $[\sigma(sp_1) \sigma(sp_2)] = [\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp'_1) \sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp'_2)]$. From Lemma 7, $[\sigma(sp_1) \sigma(sp(i)) \sigma(sp_2)] = [\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp'_1) \text{rev}(\sigma(sp(i))) \sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp'_2)]$. Because $\text{rev}(\sigma(sp(i))) = \sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp(i))$, $[\sigma(sp_1 sp(i) sp_2)] = [\sigma_{\text{rev}}(sp'_1 sp(i) sp'_2)]$. \square

Definition 12 (Ring pattern match simulated (1)). For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$, pattern match between sp and $[[seq]]$ is to find all substitutions σ such that $\sigma(sp) = seq'$ for some $seq' \in [[seq]]$. Let $sp =?= [[seq]]$ be the set of all such substitutions.

Lemma 4. *For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and any sequence $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$, $([sp] =?= [seq]) = (sp =?= [[seq]])$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in ([sp] =?= [seq])$. $[\sigma(sp)] = [seq]$ by Definition 10. $\sigma(sp) \in [[seq]]$ due to Lemma 2. Thus, $\sigma \in (sp =?= [[seq]])$.

Let $\sigma \in (sp =?= [[seq]])$. Let $seq' \in [[seq]]$ such that $\sigma(sp) = seq'$. $[seq'] = [seq]$ due to Lemma 2 and then $[\sigma(sp)] = [seq]$. Hence, $\sigma \in ([sp] =?= [seq])$. \square

Definition 13 (Ring pattern match simulated (2)). For $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$, pattern match between $[[sp]]$ and seq is to find all substitutions σ such that $\sigma'(sp') = seq$ for some substitution σ' and some $sp' \in [[sp]]$ and if $spsp'$, then $\sigma = \sigma'$ and if $spsp'$, then $\sigma = \sigma'_{\text{rev}}$. Let $[[sp]] =?= seq$ be the set of all such substitutions.

Note that $([[sp]] =?= seq) \subset ([sp] =?= [seq])$ but $([sp] =?= [seq]) \not\subset ([[sp]] =?= seq)$.

Lemma 5. *For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, any sequence $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$ and any substitution $\sigma \in (sp =?= [[seq]])$, there exist σ' and a sequence $seq' \in [[seq]]$ such that $\sigma = \text{join}(\sigma')$, $\sigma'(\text{split}(sp)) = seq'$ and there exists $sp' \in [[\text{split}(sp)]]$ such that $\sigma'(sp') = seq$. Besides, $\sigma \in \text{join}([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$.*

Proof. Let sp be $ES_1 ES_2 \dots ES_m$ and seq be $e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$.

If there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sigma(ES_i)$ is $\dots e_n e_1 \dots$ or $\dots e_1 e_n \dots$, ES_i is a sequence variable S that is replaced with $\text{sv}(S, 0)$ $\text{sv}(S, 1)$ in $\text{split}(sp)$.

If $\sigma(S)$ is $\dots e_n e_1 \dots$, then $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S, 0))$ is $\dots e_n$, $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S, 1))$ is $e_1 \dots$ and $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 0))$ is $\sigma(S')$ and $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 1))$ is ε for any other sequence variable S' in sp , and $\sigma'(E) = \sigma(E)$ for any element variable E in sp . By the construction of σ' , $\sigma = \text{join}(\sigma')$ and $\sigma'(\text{split}(sp)) = \sigma(sp)$, where $\sigma(sp) \in [[seq]]$. Let sp' be $\text{sv}(S, 1) \text{split}(ES_{i+1}) \dots \text{split}(ES_{i-1}) \text{sv}(S, 0)$. Then, $sp' \in [[\text{split}(sp)]]$ and $\sigma'(sp') = seq$. Therefore, $\sigma' \in ([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ because of spsp' from Definition 13. Hence $\sigma \in \text{join}([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ from Definition 4.

If $\sigma(S)$ is $\dots e_1 e_n \dots$, then $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S, 0))$ is $\text{rev}(\dots e_1)$, $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S, 1))$ is $\text{rev}(e_n \dots)$ and $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 0))$ is $\text{rev}(\sigma(S'))$ and $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 1))$ is ε for any other sequence variable S' in sp , and $\sigma'(E) = \sigma(E)$ for any element variable E in sp . By the construction of σ' , $\sigma = \text{join}(\sigma'_{\text{rev}})$ and $\sigma'_{\text{rev}}(\text{split}(sp)) = \sigma(sp)$, where $\sigma(sp) \in [[seq]]$. Let sp' be $\text{rev}(\text{sv}(S, 1) \text{split}(ES_{i+1}) \dots \text{split}(ES_{i-1}) \text{sv}(S, 0))$. Then, $sp' \in [[\text{split}(sp)]]$ and $\sigma'_{\text{rev}}(sp') = seq$. Therefore, $\sigma'_{\text{rev}} \in ([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ because of spsp' from Definition 13. Hence $\sigma \in \text{join}([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ from Definition 4.

If there exists no $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sigma(ES_i)$ is $\dots e_n e_1 \dots$ or $\dots e_1 e_n \dots$, there must be $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sigma(ES_i)$ is $e_1, e_1 \dots$ or $\dots e_1$. If $\sigma(ES_i)$ is e_1 , there are two possible cases: (1) $\sigma(ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1}) = seq$ and (2) $\sigma(ES_i ES_{i-1} \dots ES_{i+1}) = seq$.

Case (1) can be treated in the same way as the case in which $\sigma(ES_i)$ is $e_1 \dots$. In either case, $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 0))$ is $\sigma(S')$ and $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 1))$ is ε for any sequence variable S' in sp , and $\sigma'(E) = \sigma(E)$ for any element variable E in sp . By the construction of σ' , $\sigma = \text{join}(\sigma')$ and $\sigma'(\text{split}(sp)) = \sigma(sp)$, where $\sigma(sp) \in [[seq]]$. Let sp' be $\text{split}(ES_i) \text{split}(ES_{i+1}) \dots \text{split}(ES_{i-1})$. Then, $sp' \in [[\text{split}(sp)]]$ and $\sigma'(sp') = seq$. Therefore, $\sigma' \in ([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ because of spsp' from Definition 13. Hence $\sigma \in \text{join}([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ from Definition 4.

Case (2) can be treated in the same way as the case in which $\sigma(ES_i)$ is $\dots e_1$. In either case, $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 0))$ is $\text{rev}(\sigma(S'))$ and $\sigma'(\text{sv}(S', 1))$ is ε for any sequence variable S' in sp , and $\sigma'(E) = \sigma(E)$ for any element variable E in sp . By the construction of σ' , $\sigma = \text{join}(\sigma'_{\text{rev}})$ and $\sigma'_{\text{rev}}(\text{split}(sp)) = \sigma(sp)$, where $\sigma(sp) \in [[seq]]$. Let sp' be $\text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i+1}) \dots \text{split}(ES_{i-1}) \text{split}(ES_i))$. Then, $sp' \in [[\text{split}(sp)]]$ and $\sigma'_{\text{rev}}(sp') = seq$. Therefore, $\sigma'_{\text{rev}} \in ([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ because of spsp' from Definition 13. \square

Lemma 6. *For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$, any sequence $seq \in \mathbf{Seq}$ and any substitution $\sigma \in \text{join}([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$, $\sigma \in ([sp] =?= [seq])$.*

Proof. Let sp be $ES_1 ES_2 \dots ES_m$ and seq be $e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$. Let σ' be an arbitrary substitution in $([[split(sp)]] =?= seq)$ from which σ is constructed, namely that $\sigma = join(\sigma')$. Let $sp' \in [[split(sp)]]$ such that $\sigma''(sp') = seq$, if $split(sp)sp'$, then $\sigma' = \sigma''$ and if $split(sp)sp'$, then $\sigma' = \sigma''_{rev}$. There are four possible cases: (1) sp' is $split(E_i) split(ES_{i+1}) \dots split(ES_{i-1})$, (2) sp' is $rev(split(E_i)) rev(split(ES_{i-1})) \dots rev(split(ES_{i+1}))$. (3) sp' is $sv(S, 1) split(ES_{i+1}) \dots split(ES_{i-1})$ $sv(S, 0)$ and (4) sp' is $sv(S, 0) rev(split(ES_{i-1})) \dots rev(split(ES_{i+1})) sv(S, 1)$.

(1) For each ES_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we calculate $\sigma''(split(ES_j))$ and $\sigma(ES_j)$. There are three possible cases: (1.1) ES_j is an element e , (1.2) ES_j is an element variable E and (1.3) ES_j is a sequence variable S . (1.1) $\sigma''(split(e)) = e$ and $\sigma(e) = e = \sigma''(split(e))$. (1.2) $\sigma''(split(E)) = \sigma''(E)$ and $\sigma(E) = (join(\sigma''))(E) = \sigma''(E) = \sigma''(split(E))$. (1.3) $\sigma''(split(S))$ and $\sigma(S)$ are calculated as follows:

$$\sigma''(split(S)) = \sigma''(sv(S, 0) sv(S, 1))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S) &= (join(\sigma''))(S) = \sigma''(sv(S, 0)) \sigma''(sv(S, 1)) \\ &= \sigma''(split(S)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sigma(ES_j) = \sigma''(split(ES_j))$ and then $\sigma(ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1})$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1}) &= \sigma(ES_i) \sigma(ES_{i+1}) \dots \sigma(ES_{i-1}) \\ &= \sigma''(split(ES_i)) \sigma''(split(ES_{i+1})) \dots \sigma''(split(ES_{i-1})) \\ &= \sigma''(split(ES_i) split(ES_{i+1}) \dots split(ES_{i-1})) \\ &= \sigma''(sp') \end{aligned}$$

Because $\sigma''(sp') = seq$ from the assumption, $\sigma(ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1}) = seq$. Because $spES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1}$, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma(ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1})]$ from Lemma 3. Thus, $[\sigma(sp)] = [seq]$ and then $\sigma \in ([sp] =?= [seq])$.

(2) For each ES_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we calculate $\sigma''(rev(split(ES_j)))$ and $\sigma(ES_j)$. There are three possible cases: (2.1) ES_j is an element e , (2.2) ES_j is an element variable E and (2.3) ES_j is a sequence variable S . (2.1) $\sigma''(rev(split(e))) = e$ and $\sigma(e) = e = \sigma''(rev(split(e))) = rev(\sigma''(rev(split(e))))$. (2.2) $\sigma''(rev(split(E))) = \sigma''(E)$ and $\sigma(E) = (join(\sigma''_{rev}))(E) = \sigma''(E) = \sigma''(rev(split(E))) = rev(\sigma''(rev(split(E))))$. (2.3) $\sigma''(rev(split(S)))$ and $\sigma(S)$ are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma''(rev(split(S))) &= \sigma''(rev(sv(S, 0) sv(S, 1))) \\ &= \sigma''(sv(S, 1) sv(S, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S) &= (join(\sigma''_{rev}))(S) = \sigma''_{rev}(sv(S, 0)) \sigma''_{rev}(sv(S, 1)) \\ &= rev(\sigma''(sv(S, 0))) rev(\sigma''(sv(S, 1))) \\ &= rev(\sigma''(sv(S, 1)) \sigma''(sv(S, 0))) = rev(\sigma''(rev(split(S)))) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sigma(ES_j) = rev(\sigma''(rev(split(ES_j))))$ and then $\sigma(ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i)$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i) &= \sigma(ES_{i+1}) \dots \sigma(ES_{i-1}) \sigma(ES_i) \\
 &= \text{rev}(\sigma''(\text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i+1})))) \dots \\
 &\quad \text{rev}(\sigma''(\text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i-1})))) \text{rev}(\sigma''(\text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_i)))) \\
 &= \text{rev}(\sigma''(\text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_i)))) \\
 &\quad \sigma''(\text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i-1}))) \dots \sigma''(\text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i+1}))) \\
 &= \text{rev}(\sigma''(sp'))
 \end{aligned}$$

Because $\sigma''(sp') = \text{seq}$ from the assumption, $\sigma(ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i) = \text{rev}(\text{seq})$. Because $spES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i$, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma(ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i)]$ from Lemma 3. Moreover, $[\text{seq}] = [\text{rev}(\text{seq})]$ from Proposition 4. Thus, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\text{seq}]$ and then $\sigma \in ([sp] =?= [\text{seq}])$.

(3) $\text{rtt}(sp')$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{rtt}(sp') &= \text{sv}(S, 0) \text{sv}(S, 1) \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i+1})) \dots \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i-1})) \\
 &= \text{split}(ES_i) \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i+1})) \dots \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i-1}))
 \end{aligned}$$

Because $\sigma''(sp') = \text{seq}$, there exists a natural number k such that $\sigma''(\text{rtt}(sp')) = \text{rtt}^k(\text{seq})$. As what has been done for case (1), we have $\sigma(ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1}) = \text{rtt}^k(\text{seq})$. Because $spES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1}$, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma(ES_i ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1})]$ from Lemma 3. Moreover, $[\text{seq}] = [\text{rtt}^k(\text{seq})]$ from Proposition 4. Thus, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\text{seq}]$ and then $\sigma \in ([sp] =?= [\text{seq}])$.

(4) $\text{rtt}(sp')$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{rtt}(sp') &= \text{sv}(S, 1) \text{sv}(S, 0) \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i-1})) \dots \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i+1})) \\
 &= \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_i)) \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i-1})) \dots \text{rev}(\text{split}(ES_{i+1}))
 \end{aligned}$$

Because $\sigma''(sp') = \text{seq}$, there exists a natural number k such that $\sigma''(\text{rtt}(sp')) = \text{rtt}^k(\text{seq})$. As what has been done for case (2), we have $\sigma(ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i) = \text{rev}(\text{rtt}^k(\text{seq}))$. Because $sp ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i$, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma(ES_{i+1} \dots ES_{i-1} ES_i)]$ from Lemma 3. Moreover, $[\text{seq}] = [\text{rev}(\text{rtt}^k(\text{seq}))]$ from Proposition 4. Thus, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\text{seq}]$ and then $\sigma \in ([sp] =?= [\text{seq}])$. \square

Lemma 7. For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and any sequence $\text{seq} \in \mathbf{Seq}$, $\text{join}([\text{split}(sp)] =?= \text{seq}) = (sp =?= [\text{seq}])$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \text{join}([\text{split}(sp)] =?= \text{seq})$. Let σ' be an arbitrary substitution in $([\text{split}(sp)] =?= \text{seq})$ from which σ is constructed, namely that $\sigma = \text{join}(\sigma')$. Let $\text{split}(sp') \in [\text{split}(sp)]$ such that if $\text{split}(sp')\text{split}(sp)$, $\sigma'(\text{split}(sp')) = \text{seq}$ and if $\text{split}(sp')\text{split}(sp)$, $\sigma''(\text{split}(sp')) = \text{seq}$ such that $\sigma' = \sigma''_{\text{rev}}$. Then, $\sigma(sp') = \text{seq}$. From Lemma 3, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\sigma(sp')]$. Therefore, $[\sigma(sp)] = [\text{seq}]$. From Lemma 4, $\sigma \in (sp =?= [\text{seq}])$. Let $\sigma \in (sp =?= [\text{seq}])$. From Lemma 5, $\sigma \in \text{join}([\text{split}(sp)] =?= \text{seq})$. \square

Theorem 1. For any sequence pattern $sp \in \mathbf{SP}$ and any sequence $\text{seq} \in \mathbf{Seq}$, $\text{join}([\text{split}(sp)] =?= \text{seq}) = ([sp] =?= [\text{seq}])$.

Proof. It is derived from Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and Lemma 6. \square