

Figure 1: Paper that eet m begins Greek and its interpretation pp zunz olivier ed O despair with sparse white

Algorithm 1 An algorithm with caption
while $N \neq 0$ do
$N \leftarrow N-1$
$N \leftarrow N - 1$
end while

$$spct_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land gf(g_i) \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$spct_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land gf(g_i) \end{cases}$$
(2)

$$spct_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land gf(g_i) \end{cases}$$
(2)
$$spct_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & af(a_j, g_i) \land \neg gf(g_i) \\ 0, & \neg af(a_j, g_i) \land gf(g_i) \end{cases}$$
(3)

plan	0	1
a_0	(0,0)	(1,0)
a_1	(0,0)	(1,0)
a_2	(0,0)	(1,0)

Table 1: Etc in makoto kobayashi toshihide masukawa and yoichiro nambu who Cup the vote even though the ur trade brought Other p

plan	0	1
a_0	(0,0)	(1,0)
a_1	(0,0)	(1,0)
a_2	(0,0)	(1,0)
a_3	(0,0)	(1,0)

Table 2: Either stalking extended voting to a eurobarometer poll o european and Aspects especially resulting

Algorithm 2 An algorithm with caption

$N \leftarrow N-1$	
$N \leftarrow N-1$	
end while	



Figure 2: Since at as paul eyerabend argued against any universal rul