

# 09 appendix

ORCID: 0000-0000-0000-1234 Email: author@example.com DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.12345678

November 21, 2025

## Contents

1	Appendix	1
1.1	A. Detailed Proofs	1
1.1.1	A.1 Proof of Convergence (Theorem 1)	1
1.1.2	A.2 Complexity Analysis	2
1.2	B. Additional Experimental Details	2
1.2.1	B.1 Hyperparameter Tuning	2
1.2.2	B.2 Computational Environment	2
1.2.3	B.3 Dataset Preparation	2
1.3	C. Extended Results	3
1.3.1	C.1 Additional Benchmark Comparisons	3
1.3.2	C.2 Sensitivity Analysis	3
1.4	D. Implementation Details	3
1.4.1	D.1 Pseudocode	3
1.4.2	D.2 Performance Optimizations	4

## 1 Appendix

This appendix provides additional technical details and derivations that support the main results.

### 1.1 A. Detailed Proofs

#### 1.1.1 A.1 Proof of Convergence (Theorem 1)

The convergence rate established in (??) follows from the following detailed analysis.

Proof: Let  $x_k$  be the iterate at step  $k$ . From the update rule (??), we have:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - f(x_k) + \frac{1}{L}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \quad (1.1)$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of  $f$ , there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that:

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X \quad (1.2)$$

Using strong convexity with parameter  $\gamma > 0$  [??]:

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + f(x)^T(y - x) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\|y - x\|^2 \quad (1.3)$$

Combining these properties with the adaptive step size rule (??), following the analysis framework in [??], we obtain the linear convergence rate with  $\alpha = 1/\gamma L$ .  $\square$

### 1.1.2 A.2 Complexity Analysis

The computational complexity per iteration is derived as follows:

1. Gradient computation:  $O(n)$  for dense problems,  $O(k)$  for sparse problems with  $k$  non-zeros
2. Update rule:  $O(n)$  for vector operations
3. Adaptive step size:  $O(1)$  for the update in (??)
4. Momentum term:  $O(n)$  for the momentum computation

Total per-iteration complexity:  $O(n)$  for dense problems.

For structured problems, we can exploit the separable structure of (??) to achieve  $O(n \log n)$  complexity using efficient data structures (see Figure ??).

## 1.2 B. Additional Experimental Details

### 1.2.1 B.1 Hyperparameter Tuning

The following hyperparameters were used in our experiments:

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Range Tested
Learning rate	$\eta$	0.01	[0.001, 0.1]
Momentum		0.9	[0.5, 0.99]
Regularization		0.001	[0, 0.01]
Tolerance		$10^{-6}$	$[10^8, 10^4]$

Table 1. Hyperparameter settings used in experiments

### 1.2.2 B.2 Computational Environment

All experiments were conducted on: - CPU: Intel Xeon E5-2690 v4 @ 2.60GHz (28 cores) - RAM: 128GB DDR4 - GPU: NVIDIA Tesla V100 (32GB VRAM) for large-scale experiments - OS: Ubuntu 20.04 LTS - Python: 3.10.12 - NumPy: 1.24.3 - SciPy: 1.10.1

### 1.2.3 B.3 Dataset Preparation

Datasets were preprocessed using standard normalization:

$$x_i = \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are the mean and standard deviation computed from the training set.

### 1.3 C. Extended Results

#### 1.3.1 C.1 Additional Benchmark Comparisons

Table 2 provides detailed performance comparison across all tested methods.

Method	Time (s)	Iterations	Final Error	Memory (MB)
Our Method	12.3	245	$1.2 \times 10^6$	156
Gradient Descent	18.7	412	$1.5 \times 10^6$	312
Adam	15.4	358	$1.4 \times 10^6$	298
L-BFGS	16.2	198	$1.1 \times 10^6$	425

Table 2. Extended performance comparison with computational details

#### 1.3.2 C.2 Sensitivity Analysis

Detailed sensitivity analysis for all hyperparameters shows robust performance across wide parameter ranges, confirming the theoretical predictions from Section ??.

### 1.4 D. Implementation Details

#### 1.4.1 D.1 Pseudocode

```
\KeywordTok{def} \NormalTok{\ optimize(f, x0, alpha0, beta, max\_iter, tol):}
  \CommentTok{"""
  Optimization algorithm implementation.
  """
  Args:
    f: Objective function
    x0: Initial point
    alpha0: Initial learning rate
    beta: Momentum coefficient
    max\_iter: Maximum iterations
    tol: Convergence tolerance
  """
  Returns:
    x\_opt: Optimal solution
    history: Convergence history
  """
}

x } \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{\ x0}
x\_prev } \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{\ x0}
```

```

\NormalTok{    history }\} \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{[]}
\NormalTok{    grad\_sum\_sq }\} \OperatorTok{=} \DecValTok{0}

\ControlFlowTok{for} \NormalTok{k } \KeywordTok{in} \BuiltInTok{range} \NormalTok{(max\_iter):}
    \CommentTok{\# Compute gradient}
\NormalTok{    grad }\} \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{compute\_gradient(f, x)}
\NormalTok{    grad\_sum\_sq }\} \OperatorTok{+=} \NormalTok{np.linalg.norm(grad)} \OperatorTok{**} \DecValTok{2}

    \CommentTok{\# Adaptive step size}
\NormalTok{    alpha }\} \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{alpha0 } \OperatorTok{/} \NormalTok{np.sqrt(epsilon) \operatorname{D}^2 f(x) / 2}

    \CommentTok{\# Update with momentum}
\NormalTok{    x\_new }\} \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{x } \OperatorTok{-} \NormalTok{alpha } \operatorname{D} f(x)
\NormalTok{    x }\} \operatorname{D} x\_new

    \CommentTok{\# Check convergence}
\ControlFlowTok{if} \NormalTok{np.linalg.norm(x\_new ) \operatorname{D} f(x) \operatorname{D} epsilon:}
    \ControlFlowTok{break}

    \CommentTok{\# Update history}
\NormalTok{    history.append(\{} \StringTok{"iter": iter} \StringTok{"x": x}\})
\NormalTok{    history }\} \operatorname{D} history

    \CommentTok{\# Prepare next iteration}
\NormalTok{    x\_prev }\} \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{x}
\NormalTok{    x }\} \OperatorTok{=} \NormalTok{x\_new}

\ControlFlowTok{return} \NormalTok{x, history}

```

### 1.4.2 D.2 Performance Optimizations

Key performance optimizations implemented: 1. Vectorized operations using NumPy 2. Sparse matrix representations when applicable 3. In-place updates to reduce memory allocation 4. Parallel gradient computations for separable problems