

Methodology

Mathematical Framework

Our approach is based on a novel optimization framework that combines multiple mathematical techniques, extending classical convex optimization methods [?, ?] with modern adaptive strategies [?, ?]. The core algorithm can be expressed as follows:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \phi_i(x) + \lambda R(x) \quad (1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the optimization variable, w_i are learned weights, ϕ_i are basis functions, and $R(x)$ is a regularization term with strength λ .

The optimization problem we solve is:

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) \quad \text{subject to} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{X} is the feasible set and $g_i(x)$ are constraint functions.

Algorithm Description

Our iterative algorithm updates the solution according to:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k) + \beta_k (x_k - x_{k-1}) \quad (3)$$

where α_k is the learning rate and β_k is the momentum coefficient. The convergence rate is characterized by:

$$\|x_k - x^*\| \leq C\rho^k \quad (4)$$

where x^* is the optimal solution, $C > 0$ is a constant, and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ is the convergence rate.

Implementation Details

The algorithm implementation follows the pseudocode shown in Figure . The key insight is that we can decompose the objective function (1) into separable components, allowing for efficient parallel computation. This approach builds upon proximal optimization techniques [?, ?] and recent advances in large-scale optimization [?, ?].

For numerical stability, we use the following adaptive step size rule:

[width=0.9]..../output/figures/experimental_setup.png

Figure 1: Experimental pipeline showing the complete workflow

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\alpha_0}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \|\nabla f(x_i)\|^2}} \quad (5)$$

This ensures that the algorithm converges even when the gradient varies significantly across iterations.

Performance Analysis

The computational complexity of our approach is $O(n \log n)$ per iteration, where n is the problem dimension. This is achieved through the efficient data structures shown in Figure .

[width=0.9]..../output/figures/data_structure.png

Figure 2: Efficient data structures used in our implementation

The memory requirements scale as:

$$M(n) = O(n) + O(\log n) \cdot \text{number of iterations} \quad (6)$$

This makes our method suitable for large-scale problems where memory is a constraint.

Validation Framework

To validate our theoretical results, we use the experimental setup illustrated in Figure . The performance metrics are computed using:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}[f(x_i) \leq f(x^*) + \epsilon] \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbb{I}[\cdot]$ is the indicator function and ϵ is the tolerance threshold.

The convergence analysis results are summarized in Figure ??, which shows the empirical convergence rates compared to the theoretical bound (4).