**EVALUATION PLAN**

**Task Order Title:{taskOrderTitle}**

**Basis of evaluation:** *LOWEST RISK*

* Government would like to purchase a set lump sum dollar amount of offerings from any one Contractor; award will be made to the Contractor offering the “best use” or “lowest risk”
  + Lowest Risk

The KO will request Contractors submit a white paper identifying a strategy and approach that will meet or exceed the requirements within the proposed costs; must provide a price proposal which includes a complete list of cloud service offerings with catalog item numbers/SKUs and quantities to meet the requirements. Award will be made to the Contractor whose white paper offers the “best use” or “lowest risk” solution as identified.

Assessment areas:

* + - Solution adequately addresses each requirement element or identifies any requirement elements which are not explicitly identified in the strategy or approach
    - The proposed solution identifies all cloud service offerings with catalog item numbers/SKUs (and quantities) that are required
    - Each catalog item is mapped to a specific requirement and an explanation of how the item will contribute to the solution is provided
    - The proposed solution addresses how the Contractor will facilitate the described need
    - Risk to the Government
    - (Optional) Any automation capability proposed to improve reliability and reduce human-error
    - (Optional) MO writes detailed compliance standard applicable to specific need:
    - { FOR object in customSpecifications}
    - { INS $object }
    - {END-FOR object}

**Evaluation Tables:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table 3: Best Use/Lowest Risk Ratings** | |
| **“Best Use” Rating** | **Description** |
| Outstanding | White paper meets the assessment criteria, indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements, and provides technical merit. |
| Good | White paper meets the assessment criteria, indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements, and provides technical merit. |
| Acceptable | White paper meets the assessment criteria and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. |
| Unacceptable | White paper does not meet the requirements. |
| **“Risk” Rating** | **Description** |
| Low | White paper demonstrates low risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| Low to Moderate | White paper demonstrates low to moderate risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| No worse than Moderate | White paper demonstrates no worse than moderate risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| High | White paper demonstrates a high risk of unsuccessful performance. |