**Evaluation Memo and Selection Recommendation**

**for Joint Warfighting Cloud Capability (JWCC)**

**Name of Requirement:** {title}

**Solicitation number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Proposal/Quote/White Paper Response due date:** (Click or tap to enter a date.)

**Basis of Evaluation:**

{IF exceptionToFairOpportunity}

* {exceptionToFairOpportunity}

{END-IF}

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `NO\_TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

* Government user does not require a technical proposal; award will be made on a Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) basis

{END-IF}

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

* Government User requires a technical proposal
  + Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA)

{END-IF}

{IF method === `BVTO` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

* Government User requires a technical proposal
  + Best Value Trade-Off (BVTO)

{END-IF}

{IF method === `BEST\_USE ` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

* Government would like to purchase a set lump sum dollar amount of offerings from any one Contractor
  + Best Use

{END-IF}

{IF method === `LOWEST\_RISK` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

* Government would like to purchase a set lump sum dollar amount of offerings from any one Contractor
  + Lowest Risk

{END-IF}

{IF sourceSelection === `EQUAL\_SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

* Government would like to purchase an equal set lump sum dollar amount of offerings from each Contractor

{END-IF}

List of Contractors:

{IF exceptionToFairOpportunity}

{proposedVendor}

{END-IF}

{IF !exceptionToFairOpportunity}

* Google Support Services, LLC (GSS)
* Microsoft Corporation
* Oracle America Inc.
* Amazon Web Services, Inc. (AWS)

{END-IF}

Evaluations were conducted in accordance with (IAW) the solicitation dated (click or tap to enter a date) and rated IAW with the below Evaluation Table. As indicated in the solicitation the following compliance standards/differentiators/assessment areas apply:

{IF exceptionToFairOpportunity || sourceSelection === `EQUAL\_SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

TBD

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `NO\_TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

{EXEC

lptaTechStandards = [

"Each requirement element has one or more specific catalog item number/SKU specified",

"The Contractor mapped the catalog item numbers/SKUs to the requirement element(s)"

];

lptaTechStandards = lptaTechStandards.concat(customSpecifications);

}

Compliance standards:

1. {FOR object in lptaTechStandards}
2. {INS $object}
3. {END-FOR object}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table 1: Technical/Management Ratings - LPTA** | |
| **Rating** | **Description** |
| Acceptable | Quote meets the minimum requirements of the solicitation. |
| Unacceptable | Quote does not meet the minimum requirements of the solicitation. |

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

{EXEC

lptaNonTechStandards = [

"The proposed solution fully addresses each requirement element",

"The proposed solution identifies all catalog items (and quantity) necessary to meet the requirements",

"Each catalog item is mapped to a specific requirement and an explanation of how the item will contribute to the solution is provided"

];

lptaNonTechStandards = lptaNonTechStandards.concat(customSpecifications);

}

Compliance standards:

1. {FOR object in lptaNonTechStandards}
2. {INS $object}
3. {END-FOR object}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table 1: Technical/Management Ratings - LPTA** | |
| **Rating** | **Description** |
| Acceptable | Proposal meets the minimum requirements of the solicitation. |
| Unacceptable | Proposal does not meet the minimum requirements of the solicitation. |

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `BVTO` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

{EXEC

bvtoTechStandards = [

"The proposed solution fully addresses each requirement element",

"The proposed solution identifies all catalog items (and quantity) necessary to meet the requirements",

"Each catalog item is mapped to a specific requirement and an explanation of how the item will contribute to the solution is provided"

];

bvtoTechStandards = bvtoTechStandards.concat(customSpecifications);

allDifferentiators = standardDifferentiators.concat(customDifferentiators);

}

Compliance standards:

1. {FOR object in bvtoTechStandards }
2. {INS $object}
3. {END-FOR object}

Differentiators: The solutions offer benefits beyond the solution itself

1. {FOR object in standardDifferentiators}
2. {INS $object}
3. {END-FOR object}
4. {FOR object in customDifferentiators}
5. {INS $object}
6. {END-FOR object}

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 2: Color Scheme for the Combined Technical/Management and Risk Ratings - BVTO** | | |
| **Color** | **Rating** | **Description** |
| **Blue** | Outstanding | Proposal indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple strengths, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low. |
| **Purple** | Good | Proposal indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements and contains at least one strength, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low to moderate. |
| **Green** | Acceptable | Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate. |
| **Yellow** | Marginal | Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is high. |
| **Red** | Unacceptable | Proposal does not meet requirements of the solicitation, and thus, contains one or more deficiencies, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is unacceptable. Proposal is unawardable. |

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `BEST\_USE ` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

{EXEC

bestUseAssessmentAreas = [

"Solution adequately fully addresses each requirement element or identifies any requirement elements which are not explicitly identified in the strategy or approach",

"The proposed solution identifies all cloud service offerings with catalog item numbers/SKUs (and quantities) that are required",

"Each catalog item is mapped to a specific requirement and an explanation of how the item will contribute to the solution is provided",

"The proposed solution addresses how the Contractor will facilitate the described need"

];

bestUseAssessmentAreas = bestUseAssessmentAreas.concat(standardSpecifications, customSpecifications);

}

Assessment areas:

1. {FOR object in bestUseAssessmentAreas}
2. {INS $object}
3. {END-FOR object}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table 3: Best Use/Lowest Risk Ratings** | |
| **“Best Use” Rating** | **Description** |
| Outstanding | White paper meets the assessment criteria, indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements, and provides technical merit. |
| Good | White paper meets the assessment criteria, indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements, and provides technical merit. |
| Acceptable | White paper meets the assessment criteria and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. |
| Unacceptable | White paper does not meet the requirements. |
| **“Risk” Rating** | **Description** |
| Low | White paper demonstrates low risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| Low to Moderate | White paper demonstrates low to moderate risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| No worse than Moderate | White paper demonstrates no worse than moderate risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| High | White paper demonstrates a high risk of unsuccessful performance. |

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LOWEST\_RISK` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

{EXEC

lowestRiskAssessmentAreas = [

"Solution adequately fully addresses each requirement element or identifies any requirement elements which are not explicitly identified in the strategy or approach"

"The proposed solution identifies all cloud service offerings with catalog item numbers/SKUs (and quantities) that are required",

"Each catalog item is mapped to a specific requirement and an explanation of how the item will contribute to the solution is provided",

"The proposed solution addresses how the Contractor will facilitate the described need",

"Risk to the Government"

];

lowestRiskAssessmentAreas = lowestRiskAssessmentAreas.concat(standardSpecifications, customSpecifications);

}

Assessment areas:

1. {FOR object in lowestRiskAssessmentAreas}
2. {INS $object}
3. {END-FOR object}

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table 3: Best Use/Lowest Risk Ratings** | |
| **“Best Use” Rating** | **Description** |
| Outstanding | White paper meets the assessment criteria, indicates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements, and provides technical merit. |
| Good | White paper meets the assessment criteria, indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements, and provides technical merit. |
| Acceptable | White paper meets the assessment criteria and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements. |
| Unacceptable | White paper does not meet the requirements. |
| **“Risk” Rating** | **Description** |
| Low | White paper demonstrates low risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| Low to Moderate | White paper demonstrates low to moderate risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| No worse than Moderate | White paper demonstrates no worse than moderate risk of unsuccessful performance. |
| High | White paper demonstrates a high risk of unsuccessful performance. |

**{END-IF}**

Price quotes were evaluated to determine if they are reasonable and complete. Price reasonableness is established through cost and price analysis techniques as described in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 15.404. For a price to be complete, all solicitation requirements must be priced, figures correctly calculated, and prices presented in a clear and useful format.

**Technical Evaluation of Offerors:**

**{EXEC OFFERORS = [1, 2, 3, 4];}**

{IF exceptionToFairOpportunity}

**Offeror:**

Proposal/Quote:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** lptaTechStandards**}**

Compliance Standard {++index}:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `NO\_TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** lptaNonTechStandards**}**

Compliance Standard {++index}:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `BVTO` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** bvtoTechStandards**}**

Compliance Standard {++index}:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** allDifferentiators**}**

Differentiator {++index}:

* Blue/Outstanding
* Purple/Good
* Green/Acceptable
* Yellow/Marginal
* Red/Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `BEST\_USE` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** bestUseAssessmentAreas**}**

Assessment Area {++index}:

* Outstanding
* Good
* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LOWEST\_RISK` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** lowestRiskAssessmentAreas**}**

Assessment Area {++index}:

* Outstanding
* Good
* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF sourceSelection === `EQUAL\_SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

TBD

**{END-IF}**

**Price Evaluation:**

Pricing Summary with Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Offeror** | **Total Evaluated Price (TEP)** | **Variance from IGCE** |
|  |  | Variance = (TEP-IGCE)/IGCE |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ***IGCE*** |  |  |

**Offeror 1:**

**TEP:**  Offeror 1’s total evaluated price is $$$.

**Completeness:** Offeror 1’s quote is considered to be complete/incomplete. All solicitation requirements are priced, figures are correctly calculated, and prices are presented in a clear and useful format. *(OR Explain how the offeror's proposal is incomplete.)*

**Reasonableness:** The reasonableness of the quoted pricing is established based upon one or more of the techniques defined in FAR Part 15.404.

*IGCE*

The total quoted amount compares favorably to the IGCE and is X% below the IGCE amount of {estimatedValueFormatted}. This is reasonable in accordance with FAR 15.404-1(b)(2)(v). *OR* The total quoted/proposed amount is X% higher than the IGCE amount of {estimatedValueFormatted}. This is reasonable/unreasonable IAW FAR 15.404-1(b)(2)(v).

{IF exceptionToFairOpportunity}

*JWCC Contract Pricing*

The Government verified each Offeror applied the discounts incorporated into its JWCC Contract to its quoted pricing for the TO. Pricing incorporated into the JWCC Catalog and JWCC Contract must be at or below its commercial catalog pricing. IAW FAR 15.404(b)(2)(iv), the quoted pricing is considered to be reasonable.

*Additional Analysis/Cost and Pricing Data*

*Savings:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Offeror** | **Initial** | **Final TEP** |
|  |  |  |
| *Savings* |  | (Final TEP-IGCE)/IGCE |

**{END-IF}**

{IF !exceptionToFairOpportunity}

*Competition*

IAW FAR 15.404(b)(2)(i), adequate price competition established price reasonableness as this effort had X Offerors submit responses, all competing independently. *Describe how the Offerors pricing compares to the competition. For example:* Offeror 1 is the lowest priced Offeror. Their total evaluated price is X% lower than the next lowest Offeror (Offeror 2) and X% lower than the average TEP. Offeror X is considered reasonable IAW FAR 15.404(b)(2)(i)*.*

[Average TEP) formula = (TEP-Avg TEP)/Avg TEP]

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Offeror** | **TEP** | **Variance from Average TEP** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ***Average TEP*** |  |  |
| ***IGCE*** |  |  |

*JWCC Contract Pricing*

The Government verified each Offeror applied the discounts incorporated into its JWCC Contract to its quoted pricing for the TO. Pricing incorporated into the JWCC Catalog and JWCC Contract must be at or below its commercial catalog pricing. IAW FAR 15.404(b)(2)(iv), the quoted pricing is considered to be reasonable.

*Additional Analysis/Cost and Pricing Data:*

**Evaluation Summary:**

* GSS (*Lowest Priced, Second Lowest, Third Lowest, Fourth Lowest, or Sole Source.)*
* Microsoft Corporation *(Lowest Priced, Second Lowest, Third Lowest, Fourth Lowest, or Sole Source.)*
* Oracle America Inc. *(Lowest Priced, Second Lowest, Third Lowest, Fourth Lowest, or Sole Source.)*
* AWS *(Lowest Priced, Second Lowest, Third Lowest, Fourth Lowest, or Sole Source.)*

Trade-Off Discussion/Best Value Analysis:

* GSS
* Microsoft Corporation
* Oracle America Inc.
* AWS

**{END-IF}**

**Section 508 Compliance:**

* Yes
* No (explain): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

If a section 508 Exemption Applies, *(Choose an item)*.

**Signed:**



**Evaluation after Communications with Offerors**

**Interim/Final Evaluation of Offerors:**

{IF exceptionToFairOpportunity}

**Offeror:**

Proposal/Quote:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** lptaTechStandards**}**

Compliance Standard {++index}:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LPTA` && sourceSelection === `NO\_TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** lptaNonTechStandards**}**

Compliance Standard {++index}:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `BVTO` && sourceSelection === `TECH\_PROPOSAL`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** bvtoTechStandards**}**

Compliance Standard {++index}:

* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** allDifferentiators**}**

Differentiator {++index}:

* Blue/Outstanding
* Purple/Good
* Green/Acceptable
* Yellow/Marginal
* Red/Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `BEST\_USE` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** bestUseAssessmentAreas**}**

Assessment Area {++index}:

* Outstanding
* Good
* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF method === `LOWEST\_RISK` && sourceSelection === `SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

**{FOR offeror in OFFERORS}**

**Offeror {$offeror}:**

**{EXEC index = 0;}**

**{FOR object in** lowestRiskAssessmentAreas**}**

Assessment Area {++index}:

* Outstanding
* Good
* Acceptable
* Unacceptable

Narrative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**{END-FOR object}**

**{END-FOR offeror}**

**{END-IF}**

{IF sourceSelection === `EQUAL\_SET\_LUMP\_SUM`}

TBD

**{END-IF}**