
CPChain PoS: WCP Security Audit Report

DogScan Security Team



August 4th, 2024

Contents

DogScan Security Audit Report **2**

1. Executive Summary 2

2. Audit Scope 2

3. Audit Methodology 3

4. Findings Summary 3

5. Detailed Findings 3

6. Architecture and Design Assessment 4

 Design Strengths 4

 Architectural Advantages 4

 Systemic Risk Assessment 4

7. Conclusion 5

DogScan Security Audit Report

Project	CPChain PoS
Contract File	WCP.sol
Source Path	src/core/token/WCP.sol
Commit	b098dff4589081b8a9996972cc044be552e321a
Audit Date	August 4th, 2024
Report Version	1.0

1. Executive Summary

We conducted a comprehensive security audit of the [WCP](#) contract. This contract is a wrapped CP token implementation in the CPChain PoS system, following the WETH design pattern and providing standard ERC20 functionality with wrapping/unwrapping mechanisms.

The WCP contract is a standard wrapped token implementation following the WETH design pattern. The audit found no security vulnerabilities in the contract. The implementation correctly follows ERC20 standards with proper wrapping and unwrapping mechanisms.

The audit results revealed no security issues.

Overall Risk Rating: No Risk

The contract is safe to use as a basic wrapped token implementation.

2. Audit Scope

The audit scope covers the complete functionality of the [WCP](#) contract:

Contract Information:

- Contract Type: Wrapped Token Contract
- Main Functions: ERC20 token functionality, ETH wrapping and unwrapping
- Base Implementation: Contains both WrappedCP base contract and WCP inherited contract

Key Audit Areas:

- ERC20 standard compliance
- Wrapping and unwrapping mechanisms
- Reentrancy attack protection
- Integer overflow/underflow protection
- State management consistency

3. Audit Methodology

This audit employed a multi-agent AI security analysis framework specifically designed for smart contract security assessment:

1. Specialized Analysis Modules:

- **ERC20 Standards Expert:** Reviews token standard compliance and functionality implementation
- **Wrapping Mechanism Expert:** Evaluates correctness of wrapping and unwrapping logic
- **Reentrancy Protection Expert:** Examines reentrancy attack protection measures
- **Arithmetic Security Expert:** Analyzes integer operations and overflow protection
- **Code Quality Expert:** Evaluates code standards and best practices

2. Comprehensive Analysis:

- Multi-agent AI-powered analysis process with detailed manual code review
- Focus on ERC20 standard compliance, wrapped token mechanism correctness
- Reentrancy protection, integer overflow/underflow protection, and common smart contract security vulnerability checks
- Particular attention to deposit/withdraw function security and contract state management consistency

4. Findings Summary

ID	Title	Severity	Status
-	No security issues found	-	-

5. Detailed Findings

The audit found no security vulnerabilities or issues.

The WCP contract correctly implements all necessary functionality:

- **ERC20 Compliance:** Fully compliant with ERC20 standards, implementing all required functions and events
- **Wrapping Mechanism Safety:** Deposit and withdraw functions correctly handle ETH and token balances
- **State Management:** Contract state remains consistent across all operations
- **Reentrancy Protection:** Provides reentrancy protection through gas-limited transfer method
- **Overflow Protection:** Uses Solidity 0.8.24 which provides built-in overflow protection

6. Architecture and Design Assessment

Design Strengths

1. **Classic Design Pattern:** Follows a classic wrapped token design pattern, very similar to WETH
2. **Clean and Clear Architecture:** Contract architecture is clean and straightforward, containing a base WrappedCP implementation and an inherited WCP contract
3. **Standards Compliance:** Design follows ERC20 standards and implements standard token functionality
4. **User-Friendly Design:** Uses receive and fallback functions to automatically handle directly sent ETH
5. **Secure Version:** Implementation uses Solidity 0.8.24 which provides built-in overflow protection

Architectural Advantages

1. **Modular Implementation:** Base WrappedCP contract provides core functionality, WCP contract provides specific implementation
2. **Standard Interface:** Fully compliant with ERC20 interface standards
3. **Security Practices:** Follows best security practices for smart contract development
4. **Code Simplicity:** Code is clean and easy to understand, reducing potential error risks

Systemic Risk Assessment

This contract serves as a wrapped token with the following characteristics:

1. **Functional Completeness:** Correctly implements ERC20 functionality with proper wrapping and unwrapping mechanisms
2. **Security:** All functions operate as expected for a standard wrapped token implementation
3. **Reliability:** Contract design is robust and suitable for production environment use

7. Conclusion

This security audit **found no security vulnerabilities** in the [WCP](#) contract.

Key Findings Summary:

- **Secure Implementation:** Contract is a correctly implemented wrapped token that follows industry-standard design patterns
- **Standards Compliance:** The implementation is secure and suitable for use as a basic wrapped token
- **Functional Completeness:** Contract correctly implements ERC20 functionality with proper wrapping and unwrapping mechanisms

Overall Risk Rating: No Risk

The audit of the WCP contract found no security vulnerabilities. The contract is a correctly implemented wrapped token that follows industry-standard design patterns. The implementation is secure and suitable for use as a basic wrapped token.

Audit Conclusion:

The WCP contract can be safely deployed and used. All functions operate as expected for a standard wrapped token implementation, with no security risks or improvement recommendations identified.

Disclaimer

This audit report is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice. The analysis is based on smart contract source code provided at a specific point in time and does not constitute an endorsement of the project. Smart contracts carry inherent risks, and users should exercise caution and conduct their own due diligence. The findings in this report are based on automated analysis and manual review, and while extensive, they cannot guarantee the complete absence of vulnerabilities.