

Structural Induction

Davood Pour Yousefian Barfeh

Discrete Structures

Department of Computer Science

Structural Induction

- **Structural induction** is a technique that allows us to apply induction on recursive definitions even if there is no integer.
- Structural induction is also no more powerful than regular induction, but can make proofs much easier

Structural Induction Overview

- Suppose we have:
 1. recursively defined structure S
 2. property P we'd like to prove about S
- Structural induction works as follows:
 1. **Base case:** Prove P about base case in recursive definition
 2. **Inductive step:** Assuming P holds for sub-structures used in the recursive step of the definition, show that P holds for the recursively constructed structure.

Sample 1

- Consider the following recursively defined set S :
 1. $a \in S$
 2. If $x \in S$, then $(x) \in S$
- Prove by structural induction that every element in S contains an equal number of right and left parentheses.
 - **Base case:** a has 0 left and 0 right parentheses
 - **Inductive step:** By the inductive hypothesis, x has equal number, say n , of right and left parentheses.
- Thus, (x) has $n + 1$ left and $n + 1$ right parentheses.

Induction Principle for Natural Numbers

- The set of natural numbers is the set $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.
- In the past, opinions have differed as to whether the set of natural numbers should start with 0 or 1, but these days most mathematicians take them to start with 0.
- Logicians often call the function $s(n) = n + 1$ the successor function, since it maps each natural number, n , to the one that follows it.
- What makes the natural numbers special is that they are generated by the number zero and the successor function, which is to say, the only way to construct a natural number is to start with 0 and apply the successor function finitely many times.



**Principle
of
Induction:**

Let P be any property of natural numbers. Suppose P holds of zero, and whenever P holds of a natural number n , then it holds of its successor, $n+1$. Then P holds of every natural number.



This reflects the image of the natural numbers as being generated by zero and the successor operation: by covering the zero and successor cases, we take care of all the natural numbers.

Theorem: For every natural number n ,

$$1+2+\dots+2^n=2^{n+1}-1.$$

Proof: We prove this by induction on n . In the base case, when $n=0$, we have $1=2^{0+1}-1$, as required.

- For the induction step, fix n , and assume the *induction hypothesis*
 $1+2+\dots+2^n=2^{n+1}-1$.
- We need to show that this same claim holds with n replaced by $n+1$. But this is just a calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}1+2+\dots+2^{n+1} &= (1+2+\dots+2^n)+2^{n+1} \\ &= 2^{n+1}-1+2^{n+1} \\ &= 2\cdot 2^{n+1}-1 \\ &= 2^{n+2}-1.\end{aligned}$$

Induction Principle for Strings

- The elements of a string are **symbols** drawn from a set of symbols called an ALPHABET, which is usually denoted Σ .
- For example, if $\Sigma=\{a,b\}$, then strings can consist of sequences of *as* and *bs*.
- Σ^* denotes the set of all possible strings on the alphabet Σ , and always includes the empty string, which is denoted λ .
- Every symbol of Σ is also a string of length 1. (Note: this property in particular distinguishes strings from lists; but in general reasoning about strings is quite similar to reasoning about lists.)

- The basic way to construct strings is by **concatenation**.
- If $s1$ and $s2$ are strings, then their concatenation is also a string and is written $s1s2$ or $s1 \cdot s2$ if punctuation is needed for clarity.

Concatenation is defined as follows:

Axiom 4.1 (Concatenation):

$$\forall s \in \Sigma^* \lambda \cdot s = s \cdot \lambda = s$$

$$\forall a \in \Sigma \forall s1, s2 \in \Sigma^* (a \cdot s1) \cdot s2 = a \cdot (s1 \cdot s2)$$

◦ **Axiom 4.2 (Strings):**

The empty string is a string: $\lambda \in \Sigma$

Joining any symbol to a string gives a string: $\forall a \in \Sigma \forall s \in \Sigma^* a \cdot s \in \Sigma^*$

Because these axioms do not strictly *define* strings, we need an induction principle to construct proofs over all strings:

Axiom 4.3 (String Induction):


For any property P ,

if $P(\lambda)$ and $\forall a \in \Sigma \forall s \in \Sigma^* (P(s) \Rightarrow P(a \cdot s))$,

then $\forall s \in \Sigma^* P(s)$.

Induction Principle for Binary Trees

- **Trees** are a fundamental data structure in computer science, underlying efficient implementations in many areas including databases, graphics, compilers, editors, optimization, game-playing, and so on.
- Trees are also used to represent expressions in formal languages.
- Here we study their most basic form: the **binary tree**.
- Binary trees include lists (as in Lisp and Scheme), which have *nil* as the rightmost leaf.

- 
- In the theory of binary trees, we begin with **atoms**, which are trees with no branches.
 - **A** is the set of atoms, which may or may not be finite.
 - We construct trees (**T**) using the **•** (cons) operator.

◦ **Axiom 4.5 (Binary Trees):**

Every atom is a tree: $\forall a \in \mathbf{A} [a \in \mathbf{T}]$

Consing any two trees gives a tree: $\forall t1, t2 \in \mathbf{T} [t1 \bullet t2 \in \mathbf{T}]$

- The induction principle for trees says that if P holds for all atoms, and if the truth of P for any two trees implies the truth of P for their composition, then P holds for all trees:
- **Axiom 4.6 (Binary Tree Induction):**
For any property P ,
if $\forall a \in \mathbf{A} P(a)$
and $\forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{T} [P(t_1) \wedge P(t_2) \Rightarrow P(t_1 \bullet t_2)]$
then $\forall t \in \mathbf{T} P(t)$.

- Many useful predicates and functions can be defined on trees, including:
 - $leaf(a, t)$ is true if atom a is a leaf of tree t .
 - $t1 < t2$ is true if tree $t1$ is a proper subtree of tree $t2$.
 - $count(t)$ denotes the number of leaves of the tree t .
 - $depth(t)$ denotes the **depth** of the tree, where any atom has depth 0.
 - $balanced(t)$ is true if t is a balanced binary tree.

Induction of Pair of Numbers

- Often we need to prove properties over the **Cartesian product** of some given sets.
- The Cartesian product of sets **A** and **B** is written **A x B**.
- It is the set of all **pairs** (a,b) where $a \in \mathbf{A}$ and $b \in \mathbf{B}$.
- For example, the set **N x N** is the set of all pairs of natural numbers.
- Such sets arise when we prove properties of functions with two arguments, when we prove facts about all points on a grid, etc.

◦ **Axiom 4.10 (Strong Induction (Pairs)):**

For any property P ,

if $\forall x, y \in \mathbf{N}$

$$[\forall x', y' \in \mathbf{N} (x' + y' < (x + y) \Rightarrow P(x', y'))] \Rightarrow P(x, y)$$

then $\forall x, y \in \mathbf{N} P(x, y)$.

References

- [1] (2020). Retrieved 3 September 2020, from <https://www.cs.utexas.edu/~isil/cs311h/lecture-induction3-6up.pdf>
- [2] (2020). Retrieved 16 August 2020, from https://dinus.ac.id/repository/docs/ajar/Discrete_-_Rosen-Ed7.pdf
- [3] 17. The Natural Numbers and Induction — Logic and Proof 3.18.4 documentation. (2020). Retrieved 4 September 2020, from https://leanprover.github.io/logic_and_proof/the_natural_numbers_and_induction.html#:~:text=The%20principle%20of%20induction%20provides,called%20a%20proof%20by%20induction.