

© 2018 by Daniel John O'Grady. All rights reserved.

INVESTIGATION OF PELLET CLAD INTERACTION DURING LOAD-FOLLOW
OPERATION IN A PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR USING VERA-CS

BY

DANIEL JOHN O'GRADY

THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science in Nuclear, Plasma, and Radiological Engineering
in the Graduate College of the
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2018

Urbana, Illinois

Master's Committee:

Professor Tomasz Kozloski, Advisor

Abstract

This is a comprehensive study of caffeine consumption by graduate students at the University of Illinois who are in the very final stages of completing their doctoral degrees. A study group of six hundred doctoral students. . . .

To Father and Mother.

Acknowledgments

This project would not have been possible without the support of many people. Many thanks to my adviser, Lawrence T. Strongarm, who read my numerous revisions and helped make some sense of the confusion. Also thanks to my committee members, Reginald Bottoms, Karin Vegas, and Cindy Willy, who offered guidance and support. Thanks to the University of Illinois Graduate College for awarding me a Dissertation Completion Fellowship, providing me with the financial means to complete this project. And finally, thanks to my husband, parents, and numerous friends who endured this long process with me, always offering support and love.

Table of Contents

List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
List of Abbreviations	viii
List of Symbols	ix
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Motivation	1
1.2 Literature Review	3
1.3 Conditioned Power	6
Chapter 2 PWR1	7
2.1 Plant Description	7
2.2 Summary of Previous Results	7
2.3 Load-Follow Operation	7
Chapter 3 Methodology	8
3.1 BISON	8
3.2 MPACT Screening Process	8
Chapter 4 Results and Discussion	9
4.1 BISON	9
4.2 Limiting Pin	9
Chapter 5 Conclusions	10
References	11
Vita	12

List of Tables

List of Figures

1.1	The Power history (left), Falcon predicted hoop stress and Falcon predicted CDI (right) for Q11/1 of the Studsvik ramp program [1]	6
-----	--	---

List of Abbreviations

CA	Caffeine Addict.
CD	Coffee Drinker.

List of Symbols

τ Time taken to drink one cup of coffee.

μg Micrograms (of caffeine, generally).

Chapter 1

Introduction

In the United States (U.S.), nuclear power generation has high fixed costs and low variable costs. As a result, utilities have traditionally sought to operate nuclear stations at full power from Beginning of Cycle (BOC) to End of Cycle (EOC). More recently, the deregulation of the energy market and the emergence of intermittent renewable energy sources have caused load-follow operation to become a more attractive option for nuclear generation.

The deregulation of the energy market has forced utilities to compete against each other to sell electricity within a region. The beneficiary of this competition are the customers, who are guaranteed fair prices for electricity and will not be footing the bill for an inefficient/uneconomical utility project. Nuclear stations have typically been able to economically compete within deregulated markets because the typical plant lifetime of at least 20 years allows owners to spread out the fixed costs. Recently, the low price of natural gas and government subsidized renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar, have made nuclear stations appear uneconomic.

1.1 Background and Motivation

In 2016, the U.S. had approximately 7% of its total electricity generation coming from wind and solar power [2]. This share is likely to increase as the U.S. continues to move away from fossil fuels and towards a "greener" energy future. As a result of this increase, in combination with the deregulation of the energy market, the price of electricity has become volatile. At certain times, the price of selling electricity within a region can even become negative, due a sudden increase in renewable energy output and a low market demand [3]. In some areas, negative electricity prices are further increased due to the fact that large generating facilities would rather sell at a loss to avoid decreasing their power level. This preference is caused by the high capital cost and relatively low variable costs of large generating facilities [4].

Nuclear stations are typically one of these large generating facilities. As a result of the large construction costs and the fixed number of staff members that must be on site at all times, most utilities prefer to keep

a reactor at full power, as it is easiest to maintain constant power. If instead of remaining at full power, a nuclear station operated in load-following mode, could this increase the efficiency of the plant? During load-follow operation, a nuclear station will vary its power output in response to the anticipated demand to better suit the market needs, stabilizing the price of electricity. Theoretically, the current operating plants were all designed with the maneuverability to respond to such change in demand [5]. In fact, many of the reactors in France already participate in load-following maneuvers with the help of grey control rods [5]. Grey control rods are similar to standard Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) control rods but have significantly less rod worth [6]. The low rod worth allows them to be used for reactivity control without putting significant stress on the surrounding fuel. In the U.S., grey rods are not present in PWR, increasing the complexity of load-follow operation [5].

To participate in load-follow operation in the U.S., a PWR can use the critical boron concentration to modify the power level while making minor control rod insertion to manage the core Axial Offset (AO) [5]. This practice, in addition to the response of the local Xenon concentrations, can lead to significant changes in local pin powers throughout the core. Changes in local power can cause fuel to swell or contract, due to thermal expansion [7]. If a utility chooses to ramp down the reactor during times of low demand, or high supply, the fuel pellets will contract. When the decision is made to return the reactor back to full power, the rate at which the power can be increased is limited by the thermal expansion of the fuel pellets [7]. A sudden expansion of the fuel pellet has the potential to exert additional stress on the cladding, commonly referred to as Pellet-Cladding Material Interaction (PCMI). PCMI can lead to fuel failure by Pellet-Cladding Interaction (PCI), and has been extensively investigated since the early 1970s.

PCI induced fuel failure is the result of PCMI and environmental contributions. PCMI is best described as the material interaction at the pellet-clad interface which creates a stress state on the fuel cladding [8]. This material interaction is commonly caused by the thermal expansion of UO_2 fuel pellets during changes in power. In addition to the mechanical stress being exerted on the cladding, environmental contributions, chemical or geometrical in nature, have an adverse effect on the claddings integrity. Chemical environments arise from the release of fission products from the fuel pellet. Iodine and cadmium-in-caesium are considered to be the most corrosive fission products released from the pellet. In the presence of prolonged PCMI, the corrosive chemical environment causes inter granular crack propagation, or Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC), through the zircaloy based cladding. This type of cladding failure is commonly referred to as PCI-SCC, or "classical PCI."

Geometric contributions to PCI are a result of irregularities in the fuel pellet shape. Typical Light Water Reactor (LWR) fuel pellets are ceramic UO_2 cylinder with a height of approximately 1 cm and dishes and

chamfers on the top and bottom of the pellet. Hundreds of these pellets are stacked into a single fuel, with approximately 60,000 fuel rods in a LWR core. The sheer quantity of fuel pellets ordered by a utility makes it impossible for a fuel vendor to ensure no pellet has a defect. The most common defect is a Missing Pellet Surface (MPS), where part of the fuel pellet has chipped off during manufacturing. This chip causes asymmetric expansion of the pellet during power maneuvers leading to an increased local stress on the fuel cladding. If this stress exceeds the yield stress of the cladding, brittle failure is likely to occur. This type of cladding failure is commonly referred to as PCI-MPS, as the missing surface is the critical feature in causing the failure.

1.2 Literature Review

In the early 1970's a string of fuel failures in Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) led to the first classification of PCI induced fuel failure. Shortly after, PCI induced fuel failures were found in PWR and determined to be an inherent problem in LWR zircaloy based fuels. The majority of these failures were observed during or shortly after power maneuvers from hot zero power. To reduce the risk of failure, fuel manufactures made design modifications and began to provide power ramping guidelines [8]. These ramp guideline were particularly conservative and focused primarily on BOC power ramps. Since then, PCI has been extensively studied in order to increase the efficiency of reactor start-up and minimize the number of fuel failures.

Cox [9] performed an extensive review of the work done on zircaloy fuel failures caused by PCI up to 1972. He begins by outlining all of the factors that can contribute to PCI failures. The most significant factor in PCI is the geometry of the fuel pellet. The strain on the cladding is determined by

1. manufactured gap size
2. shape of the fuel pellet
3. effect of the chamfers and groves.

In addition to manufactured defects in the fuel pellets, he highlights that ceramic UO_2 also experiences a number of geometric changes as a function of radiation exposure and temperature/power level. These changes include but are not limited to fuel pellet densification, cracking, relocation, and thermal expansion. Pellet cracking and relocation contribute significantly to strain experience by the cladding due to the differential movement of the pellets relative to one another. This differential movement is further intensified by the locking of crack edges into cracks with the cladding and the local coefficient of friction between the pellet/cladding. At high exposure the coefficient of friction can approach infinity as fission products have

escaped the fuel pellet and have cemented the pellet to the cladding.

In addition to the contribution the fuel pellet geometry has on the local strain, the cladding material and geometry determine how the cladding will respond to the strain. The wall thickness to diameter ratio and creep rate of the cladding effect the magnitude of the initial stress and the rate at which the stress decays. The total stress imposed on the cladding a function of maximum power, which determines the total expansion of the fuel pellet, and the change in power during a power ramp, which determines the increment in cladding strain. Cox goes on to highlight the importance of a corrosive agent at causing PCI, noting that Iodine and Cadmium-in-Cesium are the largest contributors to the corrosive environment at the cladding surface. To minimize the risk of PCI, Cox emphasized the importance of fuel conditioning or holds at intermediate power during large power ramps. Fuel conditioning allows the cladding to relieve some of the stress experienced during the initial ramp while also influencing the chemical environment. Together these effects reduce the propagation of cracks through the cladding surfaces.

Jernkvist [10] developed a model for predicting PCI induced fuel failure based on crack initiation and growth. Jernkvist model stressed three key parameters involved in PCI,

- cladding stress and strain are extremely localized,
- fuel rod failure due to PCI is normally a result of a sudden power increase
- PCI induced failure within a reactor core shows strong variability.

Jernkvist recognized that crack propagation occurs in two stages, slow intergranular propagation followed by rapid transgranular crack growth. Due to the presence of internal flaws within the cladding, Jernkvist neglected the intergranular process. In order to account for the stochastic nature of PCI in reactor cores, a probabilistic treatment of the initial crack size was used. The crack propagation velocity was then determined by the stress, temperature, and iodine concentration at the tip of the crack. Using a finite element solver, Jernkvist calculated the stress at the tip of the crack. If the stress was greater than the iodine stress corrosion crack threshold, the velocity was determined using a correlation requiring the temperature and iodine concentration. To simplify the determination of the iodine concentration, a correlation was used based on the power and fuel burnup. It was then assumed that all of the iodine collects at the pellet-pellet interface and radial pellet cracks.

With the velocity of the crack propagation known the time to cladding failure can be calculated. Using an artificial power history, Jernkvist provides an example where the coefficient of friction is varied for the pellet-clad interface. At sufficiently high coefficients of friction, the local stress on the cladding is high enough to grow the crack through the cladding. This demonstration shows the importance of accurately

determining the stress within the cladding when trying to predict cladding failure. Additionally, it highlights the need for a coupled multiphysics approach as the coefficient of friction at the pellet-clad interface is a function of temperature and burnup.

One of the computational codes that attempts to incorporate this multiphysics approach is FALCON. FALCON is a fully coupled, thermo-mechanical, two dimensional finite element computer code being developed by Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). Building on the material properties provided in MATPRO, FALCON has the ability to simulate UO_2 pellet relocation, fission gas release and zircaloy cladding thermal creep.

FALCON has been extensively benchmarked and is commonly used by contractors and utilities to mitigate the risk of PCI induced fuel failure. Lyon et al. [1] used FALCON in order to determine a criteria for mitigating PCI induced fuel failure during power ramps. The authors point out that power ramp rate restrictions, which are normally set by fuel vendors, and power conditioning, the practice of taking intermediate holds at constant power, are not reliable at mitigating the risk of PCI. Rather, these practices lead to restrictive constraints on plant operation.

Another criteria that is commonly suggested is the implementation of a stress based threshold. The authors argue that stress based thresholds are unable to distinguish between failed and non failed fuel rods, leading to a conservative threshold when applied to power maneuvers. In its place they propose a mechanistic approach that incorporates PCMI and SCC based cumulative damage.

In order to demonstrate the accuracy of a Cumulative Damage Index (CDI) based criteria, Lyon et al. simulated 14 fuel rods from the Studsvik Over Ramp, Super Ramp and Trans Ramp IV program, as well as, rods from a CEA/OSIRIS ramp program using FALCON. These simulations were broken into three parts, first a steady state two dimensional, R-Z, depletion simulation is performed using the power histories of each rod. The results of these simulations are then used as the initial condition for transient R-Z simulations of the different power ramps under investigation. Finally, an R- θ model containing discrete fuel cracks is created for the axial location which experienced the highest R-Z hoop stress. This simulation provides the fuel pins maximum clad hoop stress and CDI which can then be used to determine a threshold criteria.

After validating the steady state simulations against Post Irradiation Examination (PIE) measurements, Lyon et al. performed the transient analysis for all of the fuel rods. The power history of fuel rod Q11/1, along with the maximum cladding hoop stress and CDI are shown in Figure 1.1. It is observed that the CDI only changes during sharp power ramps while the hoop stress more closely follows the power profile.

Using the results of all 14 fuel rods, the authors established a statistical failure criteria using both hoop stress and CDI. A 550 MPa maximum clad hoop stress and a CDI of 5.85 were determined to signify a 50%




Figure 1.1: The Power history (left), Falcon predicted hoop stress and Falcon predicted CDI (right) for Q11/1 of the Studsvik ramp program [1]

chance of rod failure. Using the 50% chance as a hard cut off between non-failed and failed rods, the authors evaluated how each of the criteria performed using the 14 fuel rods. The peak stress was able to corrected predict the behavior of eight out of the 14 fuel rods while the CDI predicted the behavior of 13 out of the 14 rods. Although this work does show the superiority of a CDI based criteria, the training set and validation set are considerably small. Additionally, the power ramps used during the test program are extreme and are unlikely to be performed during standard operation. As a result further validation is needed for a CDI based criteria.

1.3 Conditioned Power

Chapter 2

PWR1

2.1 Plant Description

2.2 Summary of Previous Results

2.3 Load-Follow Operation

Chapter 3

Methodology

3.1 BISON

3.2 MPACT Screening Process

Chapter 4

Results and Discussion

4.1 BISON

4.2 Limiting Pin

Chapter 5

Conclusions

We conclude that graduate students like coffee.

References

- [1] W. LYON, R. MONTGOMERY, J. RASHID, and S. YAGNIK, “PCI analysis and fuel rod failure prediction using FALCON,” in “Water Reactor Fuel Performance Meeting, Paris, France,” .
- [2] U.S ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION, “Electricity data browser - Net generation for all sectors,” .
- [3] F. PARASCHIV, D. ERNI, and R. PIETSCH, “The impact of renewable energies on EEX day-ahead electricity prices,” **73**, 196–210.
- [4] A. LOKHOV, “Load-following with nuclear power plants,” **29**, 2, 18–20.
- [5] A. LOKHOV, “Technical and economic aspects of load following with nuclear power plants,” .
- [6] F. YOUSEFPOUR and M. B. GHOFrani, “Improvement of the axial power distribution control capabilities in VVER-1000 reactors,” **27**, 10, 949 – 957.
- [7] M. GARTNER and J. LAVAKE, “POWER RAMP TESTING AND NON-DESTRUCTIVE POST-IRRADIATION EXAMINATIONS OF HIGH BURN UP PWR FUEL RODS,” pp. 27–38.
- [8] M. W. KENNARD, W. F. LYON, and A. T. MAI, “PCI Margin Analysis Methodology Using the Falcon Fuel Performance Code,” in “Proc. of Top Fuel 2016, Boise, ID, September 11-15, 2016,” .
- [9] B. COX, “Pellet-clad interaction (PCI) failures of zirconium alloy fuel claddinga review,” **172**, 3, 249–292.
- [10] L. O. JERNKVIST, “A model for predicting pellet-cladding interaction-induced fuel rod failure,” **156**, 3, 393–399.

Vita

Juan Valdez was born. . . .