# STA 35C Statistical Data Science III

### Midterm exam 2

Instructor: Dogyoon Song

Name:	Student ID:	

Instructions: This midterm exam is a **closed-book** exam. You may bring a pen or pencil, one letter-sized sheet of *hand-written* notes (both sides), and a *non-graphing* calculator. No other materials (e.g., textbooks) are allowed. You have 50 minutes to complete all problems. The **total score is 120 points**, with *up to 5 bonus points available*. Once you receive this exam problem set, please **confirm you have all 7 pages**.

- Make sure to clearly write your name and ID above.
- Present answers succinctly, but include all relevant steps for full credit. Partial credit is possible only if your reasoning is clearly shown and traceable.
- If necessary, round all numerical answers to three decimal places.

Problem	Score
Problem 1	
Problem 2	
Problem 3	
Problem 4	
Problem 5	
Problem 6	
Total	

# Problem 1 (20 points total). True/False with Justification

For each statement below, circle **True** or **False**, and provide a brief justification in one sentence. **If true**, explain why, e.g., by stating a principle or example that supports the statement. **If false**, correct it or briefly explain why it is incorrect. **Each question is worth 4 points**; no partial credit without a justification.

(a)	Using more folds in $k$ -fold cross-validation (e.g., 10 vs. 5) generally increases the computational cost. True / False Reason:
(b)	In each bootstrap sample drawn with replacement, every original data point must appear at least once True / False Reason:
(c)	Forward stepwise selection can remove a predictor added in an earlier step if it later becomes non-significant.  True / False Reason:
(d)	As $\lambda$ increases in Lasso regression, correlated predictors are often shrunk $together$ , whereas in Ridge one might be set to zero while another is kept. True / False Reason:
(e)	When controlling the False Discovery Rate (FDR) at $q=0.05$ , we guarantee that with probability 95% there are no false positives among the rejected null hypotheses. True / False Reason:

#### Problem 2 (20 points total): Cross-Validation

(a) (6 points) Briefly explain one advantage and one disadvantage of 5-fold cross-validation compared to using a single train/validation split.

(b) (14 points total) Suppose that we have a dataset

$$(x_1, y_1) = (-2, 1),$$
  $(x_2, y_2) = (0, 3),$   $(x_3, y_3) = (3, 9).$ 

We want to compare two regression models:

Linear model:  $f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$  or Quadratic model:  $g(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x^2 + \epsilon$ .

(i) (10 points) Use leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) to estimate the test MSE for each model.

(ii) (4 points) Decide which model (f or g) you would select, and briefly justify your choice.

#### Problem 3 (20 points total): Bootstrap

You have a coin with unknown head probability  $p \in [0,1]$ . After 5 flips, you observed the sequence:

$$H$$
,  $T$ ,  $T$ ,  $H$ ,  $T$  (i.e., 2 heads out of 5).

(a) (6 points) If you resample from these 5 flips with replacement to generate a new bootstrap sample of size 5, what is the probability of drawing the exact same sequence (H, T, T, H, T) in that sample?

(b) (8 points) Suppose we generated 4 bootstrap samples (each of size 5) as shown below:

	Bootstrap 1	Bootstrap 2	Bootstrap 3	Bootstrap 4
Flip 1	Т	H	${ m T}$	H
Flip 1 Flip 2	Н	Н	H	Τ
Flip 3	T	${ m T}$	${f T}$	Н
Flip 4	Н	${ m T}$	H	${f T}$
Flip 5	Н	Н	Τ	Т

Construct a 95% confidence interval for the Head probability p, using:

- $\hat{p}$  estimated from the original sample (H, T, T, H, T), and
- the normal approximation, with the standard deviation estimated from the four bootstrapped samples. (*Hint*:  $z_{0.9} \approx 1.28$ ,  $z_{0.95} \approx 1.64$ ,  $z_{0.975} \approx 1.96$ ,  $z_{0.99} = 2.33$ .)

(c) (6 points) In this context, how do we interpret "95%" in the 95% confidence interval for p? Specifically, describe which probability is intended to be approximately 95% succinctly.

#### Problem 4 (20 points total): Subset Selection

You have 4 predictors  $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$  and a response Y. Below is a table of the *Residual Sum of Squares* (RSS) for **all 16 possible subsets** (including the null model), computed from a sample of size n = 11:

Predictors	RSS	Predictors	RSS	Predictors	RSS	Predictors	RSS	Predictors	RSS
Ø	100.0	$X_1$	50.0	$X_1, X_2$	30.0	$X_1, X_2, X_3$	28.0	$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4$	19.0
		$X_2$	40.0	$X_1, X_3$	45.0	$X_1, X_2, X_4$	21.0		
		$X_3$	60.0	$X_1, X_4$	25.0	$X_1, X_3, X_4$	20.0		
		$X_4$	45.0	$X_2, X_3$	35.0	$X_2, X_3, X_4$	25.0		
				$X_2, X_4$	32.0				
				$X_3, X_4$	40.0				

 $\textit{Hint:} \ \operatorname{Recall} \ R^2 = 1 - \tfrac{\operatorname{RSS}}{\operatorname{TSS}} \ \operatorname{and} \ R^2_{\operatorname{adj}} = 1 - \tfrac{\operatorname{RSS}}{\operatorname{TSS}} \cdot \tfrac{n-1}{n-p-1} \ \text{for a model with} \ p \ \operatorname{predictors.} \ \operatorname{Note} \ \operatorname{TSS} = 100 \ \operatorname{here.}$ 

(a) (7 points) Using Best Subset Selection, which subset is chosen for each k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4? Ultimately, which model might you pick to use and why?

(b) (7 points) Using Forward Stepwise, list which subset is chosen at each size k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. Finally, which model might you select to use and why?

(c) (6 points) Briefly state one advantage and one disadvantage of using Backward Stepwise instead of Best subset selection.

#### Problem 5 (20 points total): Regularization

(a) (10 points) Recall the ridge regression estimates for a linear model is obtained by minimizing Suppose we estimate the regression coefficients in a linear regression by minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j x_{ij} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2.$$

As we increase  $\lambda$  from 0 to  $\infty$ , how do you expect each of the following to behave?

Pick among the five options: (1) "Remain constant," (2) "Steadily increase," (3) "Steadily decrease," (4) "Decrease initially, and then eventually start increasing in a U shape," or (5) "Increase initially, and then eventually start decreasing in an inverted U shape."

Each question is worth 2 points; you don't need to justify your choice.

- (i) Training RSS (=training MSE)
- (ii) Test RSS (=test MSE)
- (iii) (Squared) bias
- (iv) Variance
- (v) Irreducible error
- (b) (10 points) Suppose you fit two methods (Method A and Method B) one is **Ridge**, the other is **Lasso** at three values of  $\lambda$  each, obtaining the following 5-fold CV errors and coefficient estimates  $(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)$  for a 2-predictor model:

	Method A				M	letho	d B	
$\lambda$	CV error	$\hat{eta}_0$	$\hat{eta}_1$	$\hat{eta}_2$	CV error	$\hat{eta}_0$	$\hat{\beta}_1$	$\hat{\beta}_2$
0.1	1.10	0.2	0.80	0.40	1.10	0.3	0.75	0.10
1.0	1.05	0.3	0.65	0.25	1.15	0.5	0.70	0.00
5.0	1.30	0.6	0.40	0.10	1.35	0.8	0.40	0.00

- (i) Which method (A or B) is likely Lasso, and which is likely Ridge? Briefly justify your choice.
- (ii) Based on the above table, which  $\lambda$  among  $\{0.1, 1.0, 5.0\}$  might you pick for each method? If you care about achieving simpler models, how could that possibly change your decision?

6

#### Problem 6 (20 points total + 5 bonus points): Multiple Testing

(a) (10 points total) Consider a single null hypothesis  $H_0$ ; the table on the *left* below shows the probabilities of each outcome  $(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 1)$ . Now suppose we have m (e.g. 100) hypotheses tested simultaneously; let  $N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4$  count each outcome, so  $N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4 = m$ .

Single	$H_0$ is true	$H_0$ is not true
Reject $H_0$	$p_1$	$p_2$
Not reject $H_0$	$p_3$	$p_4$

Multiple	$H_0$ is true	$H_0$ is not true
Reject $H_0$	$N_1$	$N_2$
Not reject $H_0$	$N_3$	$N_4$

- (i) (5 points) Often we reject  $H_0$  at significance level  $\alpha$  (e.g. 0.05). Write this requirement as an inequality involving  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  and  $\alpha$ .
- (ii) (5 points) Suppose instead we aim to control the false discovery rate (FDR) at level q (e.g. 0.10). Express this goal as an inequality involving  $N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4$  and q.
- (b) (10 points total + 5 bonus points) You have 8 hypotheses to test (each at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) with p-values:

$$H_{0,1}:0.001, \quad H_{0,2}:0.01, \quad H_{0,3}:0.02, \quad H_{0,4}:0.04,$$
  
 $H_{0,5}:0.06, \quad H_{0,6}:0.10, \quad H_{0,7}:0.15, \quad H_{0,8}:0.25.$ 

- (i) (5 points) With no correction, which hypotheses are rejected at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ?
- (ii) (5 points) With the Bonferroni correction to achieve FWER  $\leq \alpha$ , which hypotheses are rejected?

(iii\*) (5 bonus points\*) Apply the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control FDR at 10%. Which hypotheses are rejected?