# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	nilies (N	lon-Youth	า)						
242 -6 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			o Housing						
1 no change		5 -11 from l	ast week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	28	1	3						
Central Fairfield County	28 75	1 0	3 15						
		_	Ü						
Fairfield County	75	0	15						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford	75 39	0	15 16						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	75 39 37	0 0	15 16 12						
Fairfield County  Greater Hartford  Greater New Haven  MMW	75 39 37 14	0 0 0	15 16 12 3						

Active In	dividua	ıls (Youth)						
229 -17 from last week  full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9								
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing					
6		1	7					
no change		no cha	ange					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	16	3	2					
Fairfield County	55	1	2					
Greater Hartford	50	0	4					
Greater New Haven	68	0	5					
MMW	11	0	0					
Northeast	3	0	1					
Southeast	11	1	1					
Waterbury Litchfield	15	1	2					

 i is below.			
Active I	Familie:	s (Youth)	
+3 fr	62 om last	week	
	full details for	r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8
			o Housing
0		1	4
no change		+2 from la	ast week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	3	0	2
Fairfield County	13	0	5
Greater Hartford	10	0	3
Greater New Haven	10	0	2
MMW	2	0	0
Northeast	1	0	1
Southeast	21	0	1
Waterbury Litchfield	2	0	0

vvaterbury Literifield	_	U	U
Active Indiv	viduals (	Non-You	th)
1,	9:	17	
	rom last	L WEEK dividuals (Non-You	uth) an na 10
Known Unsheltered	is for Active in	Matched to	, , , ,
Kilowii Olisheitered		Matchedit	DITIOUSING
158		19	8
-6 from last week		-5 from la	st week
-6 from last week	Active	-5 from la	st week Matched
-6 from last week  Central	Active		
		Unsheltered	Matched
Central	140	Unsheltered	Matched 14
Central Fairfield County	140 420	Unsheltered 19 11	Matched 14 61
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford	140 420 652	Unsheltered 19 11 31	Matched  14  61  41
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	140 420 652 246	19 11 31 6	Matched  14  61  41  47
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	140 420 652 246 73	19 11 31 6	Matched  14  61  41  47  9
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW Northeast	140 420 652 246 73 45	19 11 31 6 6 8	Matched  14 61 41 47 9 7

–				Greater	Greater			iu.anderson@ci.go	<u> </u>
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S				040/					
_	Records	8%	23%	31%	15%	4%	2%	7%	11%
Active on BNL	2,450	187	563	751	361	100	61	166	261
Median Days Active	135	153	133	150	120	91	96	49	168
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (4)	1% (1)	0% (2)	0% (1)	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>
1	2% (54) 4% (100)	1% (1) 2% (3) 2% (3)	3% (16) 5% (30) 10% (54)	0% (1) 2% (18) 5% (39) 10% (75)	2% (6) 2% (8)	1% (1) 4% (4)	- 5% (3)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (8) 3% (9) 5% (14)
3	8% (196) 10% (248)	5% (10) 10% (19)	10% (54) 11% (63)	10% (75) 13% (95)	6% (21) 6% (23)	4% (4) 10% (10) 10% (10)	5% (3) 5% (3) 11% (7)	2% (4) 5% (9) 7% (11)	5% (14) 8% (20)
5	14% (334) 14% (354)	18% (34) 12% (22)	13% (71)	15% (110) 15% (115)	11% (39) 11% (38)	19% (19)	11% (7) 15% (9)	16% (26)	8% (20) 11% (28) 17% (44)
7 8	11% (266) 11% (263)	12% (22)	13% (73) 9% (52) 11% (60)	11% (82)	12% (43)	20% (20) 6% (6)	18% (11)	20% (33) 12% (20) 10% (16)	17% (44) 11% (30)
9	8% (196) 7% (171)	15% (28) 7% (13) 6% (12)	9% (53)	6% (44) 6% (43)	10% (36)	10% (10) 3% (3) 8% (8)	8% (5) 5% (3)	8% (14) 8% (13)	13% (34) 11% (28) 7% (19)
11	5% (124)	6% (12)	9% (53) 8% (43) 4% (25) 2% (9)	9% (66) 6% (44) 6% (43) 4% (32) 1% (11)	10% (36) 8% (30) 7% (27) 4% (16) 4% (16) 2% (6)	4% (4) 4% (4)	13% (8) 8% (5) 5% (3) 7% (4) 2% (1)	5% (8) 3% (5)	5% (12)
13	2% (57) 2% (46)	2% (4) 2% (3)	1% (/)	2% (14) 1% (4)	4% (16) 4% (16)	1% (1)		3% (5) 1% (2) 1% (1)	5% (12) 3% (7) 1% (3) 1% (2)
14 <b></b>	1% (15) 1% (17)	1% (1)	0% (2) 0% (2)	0% (1)	2% (6) 2% (9) 1% (2)	<u>-</u>		1% (1) 1% (2)	1% (2) 1% (2)
16 17	0% (4) 0% (1)		0% (1) -	0% (1) -	<u>1% (2)</u> -				- 0% (1)
Average Assessment Score	6.64	- 6.77	- 6.36	6.15	- 7.76	- 6.28	6.62	- 6.93	6.92
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance			ed in multiple rows				_		
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	1 	5	2	1	0	1	6
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	205	12	65	41	50	9	8	10	10
Known Unsheltered	165	23	12	31	6	6	8	38	 41
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	100	23	12	ان 			0	JO	4 I 
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	286	21	83	64	66	12	14	20	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	125	16	39	14	12	2	0	37	5
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	324	27	72	67	86	14	5	34	19
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	io pact 20 days								
Newly Added		12	<i></i>		25	11		4.4	10
Clients who have never been active before	241	13	57	60	35	14	6	44	18 
Returned from Inactive  Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	56	0	8	20	11	0	1	14	2
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	303	13	65	80	46	14	7	58	20
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Housed - Self-Resolved	, , ,		4-		_				
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	45	2	15	5 	5	2	2	13	1 
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	39	1	19	7	5	3	0	2	2
Housed - RRH	30	0	5	3	8	3	1	8	2
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	 						·		
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	13	0	1	2	2	1	0	7	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	127	3	40	17	20	9	3	30	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	35	0	17	2	2	2	5	5	2
Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	 								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	48	0	8	0	28	0	3	0	9
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons  Other Outflow subtotal	88	0	25	2	31	2	8	8	12
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	215	3	65	19	51	11	11	38	17
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	88	10	0	61	-5	3	-4	20	3

//1//2018 FYI BNL Report				Cuantan	Cuantan		Contact be		
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of	Statewide		000/		270/				
_	All Youth	7%	23%	21%	27%	4%	1%	11%	6%
Active on BNL	291	19	68	60	78	13	4	32	17
Median Days Active	92	214	81	89	91	75	111	107	154
Assessment Score Distribution (am		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment scor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	1% (4) 3% (8)	-	3% (2) 7% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	- 8% (1)	-		 
3	7% (19)	11% (2) 11% (2)	4% (3) 7% (5)	2% (1) 18% (11)	9% (7) 5% (4)	23% (3)	-	9% (3) 16% (5)	18% (3) 12% (2)
5	11% (33) 14% (42)	16% (3)	10% (7)	17% (10)	13% (10)	-	25% (1) -	28% (9)	18% (3)
6	15% (44) 13% (39)	16% (3) 11% (2)	16% (11) 10% (7)	17% (10) 12% (7)	9% (7) 19% (15) 10% (8)	46% (6)	- 25% (1)	28% (9) 13% (4) 16% (5) 6% (2) 9% (3)	18% (3) 12% (2)
8	10% (28) 10% (29)	11% (2) 11% (2) 11% (2)	10% (7) 13% (9) 13% (9) 10% (7)	10% (6) 7% (4)	10% (8) 10% (8)	<u>8% (1)</u>	- 50% (2)	6% (2) 9% (3)	- 6% (1)
10	6% (17)	11% (2)	10% (7)	5% (3) 5% (3)	5% (4) 6% (5)			3% (3)	-
11	4% (13) 3% (8)		4% (3)	5% (3) 3% (2) 2% (1)	6% (5) 4% (3) 1% (1)	- 15% (2)			12% (2) 6% (1)
13	1% (3) 0% (1)	5% (1) -	-	2% (1) -	1% (1) 1% (1)	-	-	-	
15	0% (1)				1% (1)				
16 17	1% (2) -	- -	-	-	3% (2) -		-		- -
18 Average Assessment Score	6.70	6.74	6.57	6.55	7.41	6.31	7.25	5.81	6.29
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.01	0.00		0.01	1120	0.01	0.20
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or		nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	Д	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
Known Unsheltered	6	3	 1	0	0	0	0	1	 1
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	1	4	 7	 7	 7	0	2	 2	 2
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing			·						Z
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	41	6	6	0	9	0	0	19 	1 
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months  Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date		2	9	2	1	2	0	2	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in to	he nast 30 davs								
Newly Added	46	0	15	13	11	1	0	4	2
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	<b></b>	0	2	1	4	0	 0	1	 0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active				1				<u>'</u>	
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	0	17	14	15	1	0	5	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	13	0	6	1	2	1	0	2	1
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved  Housed - PSH									
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	ı n	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	1
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	19	0	9	3	2	1	0	2	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1 1.3	0	7	1	1	1	2	1	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	10	0	1 	0	9	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	23 <b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	8 17	<u>1</u>	10 12	<u> </u>	2 <b>2</b>	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>
Outflow from Active List TOTAL									

*/**/	2016 FTI BNL KEPOII							O O I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ad.anderson@et.g	.gov with questions	
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
	Percentage of S	tatewide on-Youth	8%	23%	32%	13%	4%	3%	6%	11%	
A	Active on BNL	2,159	168	405	691	283	87	57	134	244	
В	Median Days Active	141	152	<b>495</b> 153	157	<b>263</b> 127	110	96	43	168	
C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			100	137	121	110	90	43	100	
	sment Score Distribution (amo all active records having each assessment score.		ecoras)								
	0	0% (4)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (2)	0% (1)	-	-	-	-	-	
		2% (50) 4% (92)	2% (3) 2% (3)	3% (14) 5% (25) 10% (51)	2% (17) 5% (38) 11% (74)	2% (5) 2% (7)	1% (1) 3% (3)	- 5% (3)	1% (2) 3% (4)	3% (8) 4% (9) 5% (11)	
		8% (177) 10% (215)	5% (8) 10% (17)	10% (51) 12% (58)	11% (74)	5% (14) 7% (19)	11% (10)	5% (3) 5% (3) 11% (6)	3% (4) 4% (6)	5% (11) 7% (18)	
	5	14% (292)	18% (31)	12% (58) 13% (64)	12% (84) 14% (100)	10% (29)	8% (7) 22% (19) 16% (14) 7% (6)	12% (7)	4% (6) 13% (17)	10% (25)	
		14% (310) 11% (227)	11% (19) 12% (20)	13% (62) 9% (45) 10% (51)	15% (105) 11% (75)	11% (31) 10% (28)	16% (14) 7% (6)	12% (7) 16% (9) 18% (10)	22% (29) 11% (15)	17% (41) 11% (28)	
	8	11% (235) 8% (167)	15% (26) 7% (11)	10% (51)	9% (60) 6% (40)	12% (33) 10% (28)	10% (9) 3% (3)	14% (8) 5% (3)	10% (14) 8% (11)	14% (34) 11% (27)	
	10	7% (154)	6% (10)	9% (44) 7% (36) 4% (22) 2% (9)	6% (40)	10 % (20) 12% (33) 10% (28) 9% (26) 8% (22) 5% (13) 5% (15) 2% (5)	9% (8)	14% (8) 5% (3) 5% (3) 7% (4) 2% (1)	9% (12)	8% (19)	
		5% (111) 2% (49)	6% (10) 7% (12) 2% (4)	4% (22) 2% (9)	6% (40) 4% (29) 1% (9)	8% (22) 5% (13)	9% (8) 5% (4) 2% (2)	7% (4) 2% (1)	6% (8) 4% (5) 1% (2) 1% (1)	4% (10) 2% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)	
	13	2% (43) 1% (14)	1% (2)	1% (7) 0% (2)	2% (13) 1% (4)	5% (15)	1% (1)		1% (2)	1% (3)	
	15	1% (16)	1% (1)	0% (2)	0% (1)	2% (5) 3% (8)	<del>-</del>		1% (1)	1% (2)	
		0% (2) 0% (1)		0% (1) -	0% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> -	- 0% (1)	
F	18 Average Assessment Score	6.63	- 6.77	6.33	6.12	- 7.86	6.28	6.58	7.20	6.96	
Status	s/Conditions Followed (among			0.33	0.12	7.00	0.20	0.50	1.20	0.90	
	ounted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.				
F Clients	Refuses CAN Assistance counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	1	5	2	1	0	1	6	
	Chronic (Verified) meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	201	12	63	41	50	9	6	10	10	
	Known Unsheltered	159	20	11	31	6	6	 8	37	40	
H	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	255	17	76	57	 59	12	 12	 18	4	
	ents matched to or awarded a housing resource rolled in Transitional Housing	84	10	33	14	3	2	0	 18	<u>-</u> 4	
J Active	clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	33							2	<del>-</del> 2	
	to Active List: Past 30 Days	33	8	4	7	8	1	1		2	
	elow were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	201	13	42	47	24	13	6	40	16	
M Clie	Returned from Inactive ents inactive for any reason who are now active	48	0	6	19	7	0	1	13	2	
N C//6	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	249	13	48	66	31	13	7	53	18	
	w from Active List: Past 30 Day		,,,	TV		<u> </u>	, ,	<u> </u>		,,,	
	elow were made active or added to the BNL in the										
0 0	Housed - Self-Resolved lients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	32	2	9	4	3	1	2	11	0	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	39	1	19	7	5	3	0	2	2	
ٔ   و	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	24	0	2	1	8	3	1	8	1	
	Housed - All Other	13	0	1	2	2	1	0	7	0	
R S	Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	108	3	31	14	18	8	3	28	3	
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	22	0	10	1	1	1	3	4	2	
	nade inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	0	0	 1	0	0	3	1	
	made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	 0	0	0	 0	 0	
VC	lients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	38		7		 19		3			
	made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		0		0		0		7	9	
X 01	Other Outflow subtotal utflow from Active List TOTAL	65 <b>173</b>	0	17	15	21 <b>39</b>	9	6 <b>9</b>	7 <b>35</b>	12 <b>15</b>	
1 01	NET INFLOW	76	3 10	48 0	51	39 -8	4	<u>9</u> -2	35 	3	
۷	NET INFLOW	10	10	U	31	-0	4	-2	10	Page 4	

А В	rcentage of S All Active on BNL ian Days Active istribution (amo	Families 304 104 ong active re	3% (1) 	88 113 3% (3) 6% (5) 6% (5) 18% (16) 9% (8)	Hartford  16%  49  91	15% 47 78	5% 16 92	4% 13 83	11% 34 111	9% <b>26</b> 176
A B C Med Assessment Score Di Count of all active records having 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	Active on BNL ian Days Active stribution (amo	Teamilies 304 104 Dong active research 1% (2) 2% (5) 4% (13) 8% (25) 14% (44) 17% (51) 11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	31 90 ecords) 3% (1) 	88 113 3% (3) 6% (5) 6% (5) 18% (16)	49 91 	<b>47</b> 78	<b>16</b> 92	13	34	26
Assessment Score Di Count of all active records having  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ian Days Active	104  ong active research  1% (2) 2% (5) 4% (13) 8% (25) 14% (44) 17% (51) 11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	90 ecords) 	113 	91	78	92			
Assessment Score Di Count of all active records having  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	stribution (amo	ong active research to the control of the control o	ecords)	3% (3) 6% (5) 6% (5) 18% (16)		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	83	111	176
Count of all active records having  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11		- 1% (2) 2% (5) 4% (13) 8% (25) 14% (44) 17% (51) 11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	3% (1) 	6% (5) 6% (5) 18% (16)	4% (2)	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		
0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9		- 1% (2) 2% (5) 4% (13) 8% (25) 14% (44) 17% (51) 11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	10% (3) 10% (3) 26% (8) 3% (1) 23% (7)	6% (5) 6% (5) 18% (16)	4% (2)	- - - -	-			
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10		2% (5) 4% (13) 8% (25) 14% (44) 17% (51) 11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	10% (3) 10% (3) 26% (8) 3% (1) 23% (7)	6% (5) 6% (5) 18% (16)	4% (2)			_		
4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12		4% (13) 8% (25) 14% (44) 17% (51) 11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	10% (3) 26% (8) 3% (1) 23% (7)	6% (5) 6% (5) 18% (16)	4% (2)		6% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u> </u>	4% (1) -
5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11		14% (44) 17% (51) 11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	10% (3) 26% (8) 3% (1) 23% (7)	18% (16)	12% (h)	2% (1) 6% (3)	13% (2) 6% (1)	- 15% (2)	6% (2) 12% (4)	4% (1) 4% (1)
7 8 9 10 11 12		11% (34) 12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29)	3% (1) 23% (7)		12% (6)	15% (7) 13% (6)	13% (2)	-	21% (7)	12% (3) 27% (7)
9 10 11		9% (28) 10% (29)	23% (7)	8% (7)	16% (8) 10% (5)	13% (6) 15% (7) 15% (7)	19% (3) 13% (2)	23% (3) 23% (3) 23% (3)	24% (8) 18% (6) 3% (1)	27% (7) 12% (3) 8% (2)
10 11 12		10% (29)	6% (2)	11% (10) 15% (13)	10% (5) 4% (2)	15% (7) 6% (3)	13% (2)	8% (1)	3% (1) 9% (3)	8% (2) 15% (4)
12			6% (2) 6% (2) 6% (2)	15% (13) 14% (12) 2% (2)	4% (2) 6% (3) 8% (4)	6% (3) 13% (6) 11% (5)	19% (3)	8% (1)	9% (3) 3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1)
13		3% (10)	-	3% (3)	8% (4)	4% (2)		<u>-</u>	3% (1)	470 (1)
14		2% (5) 1% (2)	6% <u>(2)</u> -	2% (2) 1% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u> -
15 16		0% (1) 1% (2)		1% (1)	2% (1)			<u>-</u>		4% (1)
17		0% (1)	<del>-</del>					<u>-</u>		4% (1)
E Avera	age Assessment Score	7.22	7.26	7.38	- 7.51	7.60	6.25	6.92	6.32	7.35
Status/Conditions Fo				ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cin	cumstances			
	AN Assistance		0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject		<u> </u>								
G Clients meet HUD definition of the		12	0	7	2	1 	0	1 	0	1
H Clients that are confi	rmed to be unsheltered	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients matched to or award		71	5	20	19	14	3	6	4	0
Enrolled in Transi  Active clients who are enrolled		26	0	1	1	0	0	0	22	2
Youth at Time of Active clients who were under 25		69	5	14	10	11	2	2	22	3
Inflow to Active List: Clients below were made active o	Past 30 Days	io nast 30 days								
	Newly Added	41	3	11	8	7	3	1	6	2
	ever been active before d from Inactive	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1
M Clients inactive for any reas			-							
	ve List TOTAL	46	3	11	10	9	3	1	6	3
Outflow from Active L Clients below were made active o										
Housed - O Clients housed in the past	Self-Resolved 30 days, self-resolved	8	0	5	1	1	1	0	0	0
	Housed - PSH past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Housed - RRH past 30 days, with RRH	7	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	1
Hou	sed - All Other	2	0	 1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	past 30 days, all other Outflow subtotal	22	0	11	1	5	3	0	1	1
Inactive - Una	able to Contact		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	n an Institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	ive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	 0
	tive - All Other	4	0	1	0	2	0	0	 0	1
W Clients made inactive in past 30 x Other C	days, all other reasons  Outflow subtotal	7	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	3
Outflow from Acti		29	0	13	1	7	3	0	1	4
Z	NET INFLOW	17	3	-2	9	2	0	1	5	<u>-1</u>

7/17/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	Contact beau.anderson@ct.g		
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of S All In	Statewide dividuals	7%	22%	33%	15%	4%	2%	6%	11%	
Active on BNL	2,146	156	475	702	314	84	48	132	235	
		166	146	155	138	91	104	43	168	
Median Days Active			140	100	130	91	104	43	100	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)								
0	0% (4)	1% (1)	0% (2)	0% (1)	_	_				
1	2% (52)	1% (2)	0% (2) 3% (16) 6% (27)	0% (1) 3% (18)	2% (6) 3% (8)	1% (1)		2% (2)	3% (7)	
3	4% (95) 9% (183)	2% (3) 6% (10)	6% (27) 10% (49)	5% (38) 10% (73)	3% (8) 6% (20)	4% (3) 10% (8)	6% (3) 6% (3)	3% (4) 5% (7)	4% (9) 6% (13)	
4	10% (223)	10% (16)	10% (49) 12% (58)	10% (73) 13% (89)	6% (20)	11% (9)	6% (3) 10% (5)	5% (7) 5% (7)	6% (13) 8% (19)	
5	14% (290) 14% (303)	20% (31) 9% (14)	12% (55) 14% (65)	15% (104) 15% (107)	6% (20) 6% (20) 10% (32) 10% (32)	20% (17) 20% (17)	15% (7) 13% (6)	14% (19) 19% (25)	11% (25) 16% (37)	
7	11% (232)	13% (21) 13% (21) 7% (11) 6% (10)	9% (45) 11% (50)	11% (77)	11% (36) 11% (34)	5% (4)	17% (8) 10% (5)	11% (14)	11% (27) 14% (32)	
9	11% (226) 8% (168)	13% (21) 7% (11)	11% (50) 8% (40)	9% (61) 6% (42) 6% (40)	11% (34) 11% (33)	5% (4) 10% (8) 4% (3)	10% (5) 8% (4)	11% (15) 8% (11)	14% (32) 10% (24)	
10	7% (142)	6% (10)	8% (40) 7% (31) 5% (23)	6% (40)	11% (33) 8% (24) 7% (22)	6% (5) 5% (4)	4% (2) 8% (4)	9% (12) 5% (7)	8% (18)	
11	5% (109) 2% (47)	6% (10) 3% (4)	5% (23) 1% (6)	4% (28)	7% (22) 4% (14)	5% (4) 5% (4)	8% (4) 2% (1)	5% (7) 3% (4)	5% (11) 3% (7) 1% (3) 1% (2)	
13	2% (41)	1% (1)	1% (6) 1% (5)	1% (7) 2% (13) 0% (3)	5% (16)	1% (1)		3% (4) 2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (3)	
14 15	1% (13) 1% (16)	1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (2)	0% (3) 0% (1)	2% (6) 3% (9) 1% (2)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	1% (1) 2% (2)	1% (2) 0% (1)	
16	0% (2)	- 170 (17			1% (2)			- Z /0 (Z)	- 070 (1)	
17 18	-				<u>-</u>		<del>-</del>			
Average Assessment Score	6.55	6.67	6.17	6.06	7.78	6.29	6.54	7.09	6.87	
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)								
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	15	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	6	
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	193	12	58	39	49	9	7	10	9	
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	164	22	12	31	6	6	8	38	41	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	215	16	63	45	52	9	8	16	6	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	99	16	38	13	12	2	0	15	3	
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	255	22	58	57	75	12	3	12	16	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ne past 30 days.									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	206	10	46	52	28	11	5	38	16	
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	51	0	8	18	9	0	1	14	1	
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	257	10	54	70	37	11	6	52	17	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	vs	l.								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	•									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	37	2	10	4	4	1	2	13	1	
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	34	1	14	7	5	3	0	2	2	
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	23	0	5	3	5	1	1	7	1	
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	11	0	0	2	1	1	0	7	0	
Housed Outflow subtotal	105	3	29	16	15	6	3	29	4	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	33	0	16	2	2	2	5	5	1	
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	44	0	7	0	26	0	3	0	8	
Other Outflow subtotal	81	0	23	2	29	2	8	8	9	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	186	3	52	18	44	8	11	37	13	
NET INFLOW	71	7	2	52	-7	3	-5	15	4	

7/17/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	ov with question
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S Families (No		12%	31%	16%	15%	6%	5%	5%	10%
Active on BNL		28	75	39	37	14	12	13	24
Median Days Active		89	118	119	84	106	88	43	162
Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score	ong active r		110	113	04	100	00	40	102
1 2	1% (2) 1% (3)	4% (1)		3% (1)	<u>-</u>			<u> </u>	4% (1)
3	5% (11)	<u>-</u>	3% (2) 7% (5)	5% (2)	3% (1)	14% (2) 7% (1)	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	4% (1)
4	7% (17)	11% (3)	7% (5)	8% (3)	3% (1) 5% (2)	7% (1)	17% (2)	8% (1)	-
5 6	15% (37) 17% (42)	11% (3) 21% (6)	21% (16) 11% (8)	5% (2) 8% (3) 13% (5) 18% (7)	16% (6) 16% (6)	14% (2) 14% (2)	25% (3)	15% (2) 31% (4)	13% (3) 25% (6)
7	11% (27)	4% (1) 21% (6)	8% (6) 7% (5)	13% (5) 10% (4) 5% (2) 5% (2)	14% (5) 14% (5) 3% (1)	14% (2)	17% (2)	23% (3)	13% (3) 8% (2)
8	11% (27) 9% (22)	21% (6) 7% (2)	7% (5) 15% (11)	10% (4) 5% (2)	14% (5) 3% (1)	14% (2)	25% (3) 8% (1)	- 8% (1)	8% (2) 17% (4)
10	9% (22)	7% (2) 7% (2)	11% (8)	5% (2)	14% (5) 11% (4)	21% (3)	8% (1)		4% (1)
11	5% (12) 4% (9)	7% (2)	3% (2)	5% (2)	11% (4) 5% (2)	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	8% (1) 8% (1)	4% (1)
13	2% (5)	7% (2)	4% (3) 3% (2)	8% (3) 3% (1)	- 5/0 (2)			- 070 (1)	
14	1% (2)		1% (1)	3% (1)			-	-	40/ (4)
15 <mark> </mark>	0% (1) 1% (2)	<del>-</del>	- 1% (1)	3% (1)		<del>-</del>		<u>-</u>	<u>4% (1)</u> -
17	0% (1)								4% (1)
Average Assessment Score	7.30	7.32	7.23	7.51	7.54	6.57	6.92	7.00	7.54
Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.20	7.01	7.01	0.01	0.02	7.00	7.01
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of ci	rcumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness		0	6	2	1	0	1	0	1
Known Unsheltered		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	37	3	15	16	12	3	5	3	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	/	0	1 	1	0	0	0	3	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	7	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	30	3	8	4	5	3	1	4	2
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	35	3	8	6	7	3	1	4	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	/	0	5	1	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	ר	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	O	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	0
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other		0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	20	0	11	1	5	2	0	1	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	ļ !	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	27	0	13	1	7	2	0	1	3
NET INFLOW	8	3	-5	5	0	1	1	3	0

7/17/2018 FYI BNL Report				0 1	0 1		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S		5%	21%	16%	16%	3%	20/	34%	3%
	(Youth)						2%		
Active on BNL	62	3	13	10	10	2	1	21	2
Median Days Active	90	105	84	51	75	65	83	159	271
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.	ng active re	ecords)							
1	-					- - 50% (1)			<u>-</u>
	3% (2) 3% (2)	<u>-</u>	<u>8% (1)</u> -			50% (1)	<u>-</u>	10% (2)	<u>-</u>
4	13% (8)	-		30% (3)	10% (1)			14% (3)	50% (1)
	11% (7) 15% (9)	67% (2)	<u>-</u>	10% (1) 10% (1)	10% (1) -	50% (1)	<u>-</u>	24% (5) 19% (4)	50% (1)
7	11% (7)	- 220/ (4)	8% (1) 38% (5)		20% (2) 20% (2) 20% (2) 10% (1)		100% (1)	14% (3) 5% (1)	
	16% (10) 10% (6)	33% (1) -	38% (5) 15% (2)	10% (1)	20% (2)	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	5% (1) 10% (2)	<u>-</u>
10	11% (7)	-	31% (4)	10% (1)	10% (1)			5% (1)	
	5% (3) 2% (1)		<u>-</u>	20% (2) 10% (1)	10% (1) -		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
13	-								
14			<del>-</del>				<del>-</del>		
16 ·	-								
17	• •			<u>-</u>					
Average Assessment Score	6.92	6.67	8.23	7.50	7.80	4.00	7.00	5.90	5.00
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the counted in each row below are currently active on the counter of the coun		•	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)									
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	1	0	1 	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	14	2	5	3	2	0	1	1	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	7	1	3	1	0	1	0	1	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	11	0	3	4	2	0	0	2	0
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	11	0	3	4	2	0	0	2	0
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved  Housed - PSH									
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
NET INFLOW	9	0	3	4	2	-1	0	2	-1

7/17/2016 FTI BNL Kepoli					0 1	0 1		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Yout	th)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentag				24%	22%	30%				
A Indiv	riduals	s (Youth)	7%	2470	22 76		5%	1%	5%	7%
Active o		229	16	55	50	68	11	3	11	15
c Median Days		92	274	78	92	97	75	132	47	84
Assessment Score Distributio  D Count of all active records having each assessr.			ecords)							
0		-	-	-		-	-	-		-
1 2		2% (4) 3% (6)	- - -	4% (2) 7% (4)	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
3		7% (17) 11% (25)	13% (2) 13% (2)	5% (3)	16% (8)	10% (7) 4% (3)	27% (3)	33% (1)	9% (1) 18% (2)	20% (3) 7% (1)
5		15% (35) 15% (35)	19% (3) 6% (1)	9% (5) 13% (7) 20% (11)	18% (9) 18% (9)	4% (3) 13% (9) 10% (7)	- 45% (5)		36% (4)	7% (1) 20% (3) 13% (2)
7 8		14% (32)	13% (2) 6% (1)	11% (6)	14% (7)	19% (13)	-		18% (2) 9% (1)	13% (2)
9		8% (18) 10% (23)	13% (2)	7% (4) 13% (7)	10% (5) 8% (4)	9% (6) 9% (6)	9% (1) -	67% (2)	9% (1)	7% (1)
10		4% (10) 4% (10)	13% (2) -	5% (3) 5% (3)	4% (2) 2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (3) 6% (4)				13% (2)
12		3% (7) 1% (3)	- 6% (1)	<u>-</u> -	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1)	18% (2)			7% (1) -
14		0% (1) 0% (1)		<del></del>		1% (1) 1% (1) 1% (1)				
16		1% (2)				3% (2)	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>
17 18 Average Assessme	ant Coore	6.64	6.75	 - 6.18	6.36	7.35	6.73	7.33	5.64	6.47
Status/Conditions Followed (a				0.10	0.50	1.00	0.13	1.00	0.04	0.47
Clients counted in each row below are currently	active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assis  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligen		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Ve		3	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Home		3		! 						
H Clients that are confirmed to be un-		6	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Matched/Aw	arded	17	2	2	4	5	0	1	1	2
Clients matched to or awarded a housing  Enrolled in Transitional Ho								·		
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional	_	22	6	6	0	9	0	0	0	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 M		12	1	6	1	1	1	0	1	1
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of re Inflow to Active List: Past 30 E										
Clients below were made active or added to the		e past 30 days.								
Newly A		35	0	12	9	9	1	0	2	2
Clients who have never been active Returned from In					4				4	
M Clients inactive for any reason who are no	ow active	8	0	2	1	4	0	0	1	0
N Inflow to Active List T		43	0	14	10	13	1	0	3	2
Outflow from Active List: Past Clients below were made active or added to the		,								
Housed - Self-Res		12	0	6	1	2	0	0	2	1
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self		12	·		l 		U			l 
P Clients housed in past 30 days,		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed	- RRH	5	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, v										
R Clients housed in past 30 days,		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow su		17	0	9	3	2	0	0	2	1
Inactive - Unable to Control of Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to		13	0	7	1	1	1	2	1	0
Inactive - In an Insti		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an i			U	·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	·	·····
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, of		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All	Other	10	0	1	0	9	0	0	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other X Other Outflow St.		23	0	8	1	10	1	2	1	0
Y Outflow from Active List T		40	<b>0</b>	<u> </u>	4	10 12	1	2	3	<u> </u>
z NET INI		3	0	-3	6	1	0	-2	0	1
		-	· · · · · ·	<u>-</u>		-	-		-	Page 9

7/17/2016 F11 BNL Repoli				Cuantau	Cuantan		OUNTACT DO	au.anderson@et.g	.gov with question	
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of S Individuals (No		7%	22%	34%	13%	4%	2%	6%	11%	
Active on BNL	1,917	140	420	652	246	73	45	121	220	
c Median Days Active	147	155	159	161	145	110	96	42	168	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re		100	101	110	110		12	100	
	0% (4)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (2)	0% (1) 3% (17) 6% (37) 11% (72)		- 1% (1)	-	-	- 20/ /7\	
-	3% (48) 5% (89)	2% (3)	3% (14) 5% (23) 11% (46)	5% (17) 6% (37)	2% (5) 3% (7)	4% (3) 11% (8)	- 7% (3)	2% (2) 3% (4) 5% (6)	3% (7) 4% (9)	
	9% (166) 10% (198)	6% (8) 10% (14)	11% (46) 13% (53)	11% (72)	5% (13) 7% (17)	11% (8) 8% (6)	7% (3) 7% (3) 9% (4)	5% (6) 4% (5)	5% (10) 8% (18)	
5	13% (255)	20% (28) 9% (13)	11% (48)	12% (81) 15% (95)	7% (17) 9% (23)	8% (6) 23% (17)	9% (4) 16% (7)	4% (5) 12% (15)	10% (22)	
	14% (268) 10% (200)	14% (19)	13% (54) 9% (39)	15% (98) 11% (70)	10% (25) 9% (23)	16% (12) 5% (4)	13% (6) 18% (8)	21% (25) 10% (12)	16% (35) 11% (25)	
*******	11% (208)	14% (20) 6% (9)	11% (46)	9% (56) 6% (38) 6% (38) 4% (27) 1% (6)	11% (28)	10% (7) 4% (3) 7% (5)	11% (5) 4% (2) 4% (2)	12% (14) 8% (10)	15% (32) 10% (23)	
	8% (145) 7% (132)	6% (8)	8% (33) 7% (28) 5% (20)	6% (38)	11% (27) 9% (21) 7% (18) 4% (11) 6% (15) 2% (5)	4% (3) 7% (5)	4% (2) 4% (2)	10% (12)	8% (18)	
	5% (99) 2% (40)	7% (10) 3% (4)	5% (20) 1% (6)	4% (27) 1% (6)	7% (18) 4% (11)	5% (4) 3% (2)	9% (4) 2% (1)	6% (7) 3% (4)	4% (9) 3% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)	
13	2% (38)		1% (5) 0% (1)	2% (12) 0% (3)	6% (15)	1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (3)	
	1% (12) 1% (15)	- 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (2)	0% (3) 0% (1)	2% (5) 3% (8)			1% (1) 2% (2)	1% (2) 0% (1)	
16	-									
17	- -	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		
E Average Assessment Score	6.54	6.66	6.17	6.04	7.90	6.22	6.49	7.22	6.90	
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	15	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	6	
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	190	12	57	39	49	9	5	10	9	
Known Unsheltered  Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	158	19	11	31	6	6	8	37	40	
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	198	14	61	41	47	9	7	15	4	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	77	10	32	13	3	2	0	15	2	
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	26	6	3	7	7	1	0	1	1	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	171	10	34	43	19	10	5	36	14	
Returned from Inactive  Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	43	0	6	17	5	0	1	13	1	
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	214	10	40	60	24	10	6	49	15	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the										
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	25	2	4	3	2	1	2	11	0	
Housed - PSH  Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	34	1	14	7	5	3	0	2	2	
Housed - RRH  Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	18	0	2	1	5	1	1	7	1	
Housed - All Other  R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	11	0	0	2	1	1	0	7	0	
s Housed Outflow subtotal	88	3	20	13	13	6	3	27	3	
Inactive - Unable to Contact  T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	20	0	9	1	1	1	3	4	1	
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	34	0	6	0	17	0	3	0	8	
x Other Outflow subtotal	58	0	15	1	19	1	6	7	9	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	146	3	35	14	32	7	9	34	12	
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	68	7	5	46	-8	3	-3	15	<b>3</b> Page 10	

Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 88%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	iide BNL	12%		12%		10%	3%	9%	
Active on BNL	2,450	291	2159	304	2146	242	62	229	1917
c Median Days Active	135	92	141	104	140	106	90	92	147
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
0	0% (4) 2% (54)	- 1% (4)	0% (4) 2% (50)	- 1% (2)	0% (4) 2% (52)	- 1% (2)	<u>-</u>	2% (4)	0% (4) 3% (48)
2	4% (100) 8% (196)	1% (4) 3% (8)	0% (4) 2% (50) 4% (92) 8% (177)	2% (5)	0% (4) 2% (52) 4% (95) 9% (183)	1% (3)	3% (2) 3% (2)	2% (4) 3% (6) 7% (17)	3% (48) 5% (89)
4	10% (248)	7% (19) 11% (33)	10% (215)	1% (2) 2% (5) 4% (13) 8% (25) 14% (44)	10% (223)	5% (11) 7% (17)	13% (8)	11% (25)	9% (166) 10% (198) 13% (255)
6	14% (334) 14% (354)	14% (42) 15% (44) 13% (39)	14% (292) 14% (310)	1/% (51)	14% (290) 14% (303)	7% (17) 15% (37) 17% (42)	11% (7) 15% (9) 11% (7)	15% (35) 15% (35) 14% (32)	14% (268)
8	11% (266) 11% (263)	13% (39) 10% (28)	11% (227) 11% (235)	11% (34) 12% (37)	11% (232) 11% (226) 8% (168)	11% (27) 11% (27)	11% (7) 16% (10)	14% (32) 8% (18) 10% (23)	10% (200) 11% (208)
10	8% (196) 7% (171)	10% (28) 10% (29) 6% (17)	11% (235) 8% (167) 7% (154) 5% (111) 2% (49) 2% (43) 1% (14)	12% (37) 9% (28) 10% (29) 5% (15) 3% (10) 2% (5) 1% (2)	8% (168) 7% (142)	11% (27) 9% (22) 9% (22) 5% (12) 4% (9) 2% (5)	16% (10) 10% (6) 11% (7)	10% (23) 4% (10)	8% (145) 7% (132)
12	5% (124) 2% (57)	4% (13) 3% (8) 1% (3)	5% (111) 2% (49)	5% (15) 3% (10)	7% (142) 5% (109) 2% (47) 2% (41) 1% (13)	5% (12) 4% (9)	5% (3) 2% (1)	4% (10) 4% (10) 3% (7) 1% (3) 0% (1)	7% (132) 5% (99) 2% (40)
13	2% (46) 1% (15)	1% (3) 0% (1)	2% (43) 1% (14)	2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (41) 1% (13)	1% (Z)		1% (3) 0% (1)	2% (38) 1% (12)
15	1% (17) 0% (4)	0% (1) 1% (2)	1% (16) 0% (2)	0% (1) 1% (2)	1% (16) 0% (2)	0% (1) 1% (2)	-	0% (1) 1% (2)	1% (15) -
	0% (1)		0% (1)	0% (1)		0% (1)			
E Average Assessment Score	6.64	6.70	6.63	7.22	6.55	7.30	6.92	6.64	6.54
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	0	17	2	15	2	0	0	15
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	205	4	201	12	193	11	1	3	190
Known Unsheltered  H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	165	6	159	1	164	1	0	6	158
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	286	31	255	71	215	57	14	17	198
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	125	41	84	26	99	7	19	22	77
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	324	291	33	69	255	7	62	229	26
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	noot 20 days								
Newly Added	247	46	201	41	206	30	11	35	171
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	56	8	48	5	 51	5	0	8	43
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	303	54	249	46	257	35	11	43	214
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	45	13	32	8	37	7	1	12	25
Housed - PSH P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	39	0	39	5	34	5	0	0	34
Housed - RRH  Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	30	6	24	7	23	6	1	5	18
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	13	0	13	2	11	2	0	0	11
s Housed Outflow subtotal	127	19	108	22	105	20	2	17	88
Inactive - Unable to Contact  T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	35	13	22	2	33	2	0	13	20
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	48	10	38	4	44	4	0	10	34
x Other Outflow subtotal	88	23	65	7	81	7	0	23	58
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	215	42	173	29	186	27	2	40	146
z NET INFLOW	88	12	76	17	71	8	9	3	<b>68</b> Page 11

7/17/2018 FYI BNL Report						Contact beau.anderson@ct.gov with qu					
Central CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals			
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youtl		
	entage of		90%	470/	83%	450/			75%		
Cen	tral CAN	10%		17%		15%	2%	9%			
Active on BNL	187	19	168	31	156	28	3	16	140		
Median Days Active	153	214	152	90	166	89	105	274	155		
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)									
Count of all active records having each assessment score.  0	1% (1)	_	1% (1)	_	1% (1)	_	_		1% (1)		
1	2% (3)		1% (1) 2% (3)	3% (1)	1% (1) 1% (2)	4% (1)	<del>-</del>		1% (1) 1% (2)		
3	2% (3) 5% (10)	- 11% (2)	2% (3) 5% (8)	<u>-</u>	2% (3) 6% (10) 10% (16)	<u>-</u>		13% (2)	2% (3) 6% (8)		
4	10% (19)	11% (2)	10% (17)	10% (3) 10% (3)	10% (16)	11% (3)		13% (2)	10% (14)		
	18% (34) 12% (22)	16% (3) 16% (3)	18% (31) 11% (19)	10% (3) 26% (8)	20% (31) 9% (14) 13% (21) 13% (21)	11% (3) 21% (6)	- 67% (2)	19% (3) 6% (1)	10% (14) 20% (28) 9% (13)		
7	12% (22)	11% (2)	11% (19) 12% (20) 15% (26)	26% (8) 3% (1)	13% (21)	21% (6) 4% (1)	-	13% (2)	14% (19)		
	15% (28) 7% (13)	11% (2) 11% (2)	15% (26) 7% (11)	23% (7) 6% (2)		21% (6)	33% (1)	6% (1) 13% (2)	14% (20) 6% (9)		
10	6% (12)	11% (2)	6% (10)	6% (2)	6% (10) 6% (10) 6% (10) 3% (4)	7% (2) 7% (2) 7% (2)	-	13% (2)	6% (9) 6% (8)		
	6% (12) 2% (4)		7% (12) 2% (4)	6% (2)	6% (10) 3% (4)	7% (2) -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	7% (10) 3% (4)		
13	2% (3)	5% (1)	1% (2)	6% (2)	1% (1)	- 7% (2)	-	6% (1)			
14	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)		- -		1% (1)		
16	-										
17 18	-	- -				- -	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>		
Average Assessment Score	6.77	6.74	6.77	7.26	6.67	7.32	6.67	6.75	6.66		
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the			tad in multiple rows	dananding on the	ir combination of a	iraumatanaa					
Refuses CAN Assistance	rie dint, and cilei							_			
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Chronic (Verified)	12	0	12	0	12	0	0	0	12		
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness											
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	23	3	20	1	22	1	0	3	19		
Matched/Awarded		·							·		
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	21	4	17	5	16	3	2	2	14		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	6	10	0	16	0	0	6	10		
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10		10		10			·····	10		
Youth at Time of Assessment	27	19	8	5	22	2	3	16	6		
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.										
Newly Added	13	0	13	3	10	3	0	0	10		
Clients who have never been active before	13	U	13	ა	10	ა	U	U	10		
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	13	0	13	3	10	3	0	0	10		
		U	13	3	10	3	U	U	10		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the											
Housed - Self-Resolved	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2		
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	۷	U	۷	U	۷	U	U	U	۷		
Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH											
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Housed - All Other											
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Housed Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
Inactive - Unable to Contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	U	U	U		U	U	U	U	U		
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution											
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - All Other	^	^	^		^	^	^	^	^		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
Cathon Hom Active List 101AL											

Fairfield County CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	12%	88%	16%	84%	13%	20/	10%	75%
Fairfield Cou			405		475		2%		400
B Active on BNL C Median Days Active	<b>563</b> 133	<b>68</b> 81	<b>495</b> 153	<b>88</b> 113	<b>475</b> 146	<b>75</b> 118	<b>13</b> 84	<b>55</b> 78	<b>420</b> 159
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			100	110	140	110	04	70	100
D Count of all active records having each assessment score									
0	0% (2) 3% (16)	- 3% (2)	0% (2) 3% (14) 5% (25) 10% (51)		0% (2) 3% (16) 6% (27) 10% (49)			- 4% (2)	0% (2) 3% (14) 5% (23) 11% (46)
3	5% (30) 10% (54)	7% (5) 4% (3)	5% (25) 10% (51)	3% (3) 6% (5)	6% (27) 10% (49)	3% (2) 7% (5) 7% (5)	<u>8% (1)</u> -	7% (4) 5% (3)	5% (23) 11% (46)
5	11% (63) 13% (71)	7% (5) 10% (7)	12% (58)	6% (5) 18% (16)	12% (58) 12% (55)	7% (5) 21% (16)	<u>-</u>	9% (5) 13% (7)	13% (53) 11% (48)
6	13% (73) 9% (52)	16% (11) 10% (7)	13% (62) 9% (45)	6% (5) 18% (16) 9% (8) 8% (7)	14% (65) 9% (45)	11% (8) 8% (6)	- 8% (1)	20% (11) 11% (6)	13% (54) 9% (39)
8	11% (60) 9% (53)	13% (9) 13% (9)	10% (51) 9% (44)	11% (10) 15% (13)	11% (50) 8% (40)	7% (5) 15% (11)	8% (1) 38% (5) 15% (2)	7% (4) 13% (7)	11% (46) 8% (33)
10	8% (43) 4% (25)	10% (7) 4% (3)	13% (64) 13% (62) 9% (45) 10% (51) 9% (44) 7% (36) 4% (22) 2% (9)	11% (10) 15% (13) 14% (12) 2% (2) 3% (3)	11% (50) 8% (40) 7% (31) 5% (23) 1% (6)	7% (5) 21% (16) 11% (8) 8% (6) 7% (5) 15% (11) 11% (8) 3% (2) 4% (3) 3% (2)	31% (4)	7% (4) 13% (7) 5% (3) 5% (3)	7% (28)
12	2% (9) 1% (7)		2% (9) 1% (7)	3% (3)	1% (6) 1% (5)	4% (3) 3% (2)			5% (20) 1% (6) 1% (5)
14	0% (2) 0% (2)		1% (7) 0% (2)	2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (5) 0% (1) 0% (2)	1% (1)			1% (5) 0% (1) 0% (2)
16	0% (1)		0% (2) 0% (1)	1% (1)		1% (1)			
17 18 E Average Assessment Score	6.36	6.57	6.33	7.38	6.17	7.23	8.23	6.18	6.17
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)					0.20	0.10	0.17
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	65	2	63	7	58	6	1	1	57
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12	1	11	0	12	0	0	1	11
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	83	7	76	20	63	15	5	2	61
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	6	33	1	38	1	0	6	32
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	72	68	4	14	58	1	13	55	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	naet 20 days								
Newly Added	57	15	42	11	46	8	3	12	34
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	8					0	0		
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		2	6	0	8	-		2	6
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	65	17	48	11	54	8	3	14	40
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	15	6	9	5	10	5	0	6	4
Housed - PSH	19	0	19	5	14	5	0	0	14
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	5	3	2	0	5	0	0	3	2
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	1	0	 1	1	0	1	0	0	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	40	9	31	11	29	11	0	9	20
Inactive - Unable to Contact	17	7	10	1	16	1	0	7	9
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	8	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons x Other Outflow subtotal	25	8	17	2	23	2	0	8	15
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	65	17	48	13	52	13	0	17	35
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	0	0	0	-2	2	-5	3	-3	5

7/17/2018 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All Non Youth	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of		92%		93%				87%
Greater Harti	ford CAN	8%		7%		5%	1%	7%	
Active on BNL	751	60	691	49	702	39	10	50	652
Median Days Active	150	89	157	91	155	119	51	92	161
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active r	ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
	0% (1) 2% (18)	- 2% (1)	0% (1) 2% (17)		0% (1) 3% (18) 5% (38)			- 2% (1)	0% (1) 3% (17)
	5% (39)	2% (1)	2% (17) 5% (38)	2% (1)	5% (38)	3% (1)		2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (37)
3	10% (75) 13% (95)	2% (1) 18% (11)	11% (74) 12% (84)	4% (2) 12% (6)	10% (73) 13% (89)	5% (2) 8% (3)	30% (3)	2% (1) 16% (8)	11% (72) 12% (81)
5	15% (110)	17% (10) 17% (10)	14% (100) 15% (105)	12% (6) 16% (8)	15% (104) 15% (107)	13% (5)	30% (3) 10% (1)	2% (1) 16% (8) 18% (9) 18% (9)	15% (95) 15% (98)
6	15% (115) 11% (82)	17% (10) 12% (7)	15% (105) 11% (75)	16% (8) 10% (5)	15% (107) 11% (77)	18% (7) 13% (5)	10% (1)	18% (9) 14% (7)	15% (98) 11% (70)
8	9% (66)	12% (7) 10% (6)	11% (75) 9% (60) 6% (40) 6% (40)	10% (5) 10% (5) 4% (2)	9% (61)	10% (4)	10% (1)	14% (7) 10% (5) 8% (4)	11% (70) 9% (56) 6% (38) 6% (38)
9	6% (44) 6% (43)	7% (4) 5% (3)	6% (40) 6% (40)	4% (2) 6% (3)	6% (42) 6% (40)	5% (2) 5% (2)	10% (1)	8% (4) 4% (2)	6% (38) 6% (38)
11	4% (32)	5% (3)	4% (29)	6% (3) 8% (4)	11% (77) 9% (61) 6% (42) 6% (40) 4% (28) 1% (7) 2% (13) 0% (3)	9 % (5) 13% (5) 18% (7) 13% (5) 10% (4) 5% (2) 5% (2) 5% (2)	20% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (27) 1% (6) 2% (12) 0% (3)
12	1% (11) 2% (14)	3% (2) 2% (1)	1% (9) 2% (13)	8% (4) 2% (1)	1% (7) 2% (13)	8% (3) 3% (1) 3% (1)	10% (1) -	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (6) 2% (12)
14	1% (4)		1% (4)	2% (1)	0% (3)	3% (1)			0% (3)
15	0% (1) 0% (1)	<del>-</del>	0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (1)	0% (1) -	3% (1)	<u>-</u>		0% (1) -
17	-		-		-				
Average Assessment Score	6.15	6.55	6.12	7.51	6.06	7.51	7.50	6.36	6.04
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Chronic (Verified)	4.4								
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	41	0	41	2	39	2	0	0	39
Known Unsheltered	31	0	31	0	31	0	0	0	31
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	01		01		J 1				
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	64	7	57	19	45	16	3	4	41
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4.4		4.4		40	4			40
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	14	0	14	1	13	1	0	0	13
Youth at Time of Assessment	67	60	7	10	57	0	10	50	7
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	<u> </u>		·	.,	<u> </u>		.•		•
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	nact 30 days								
Newly Added		40	4-	_	=-				40
Clients who have never been active before	60	13	47	8	52	4	4	9	43
Returned from Inactive	20	1	19	2	18	2	0	1	17
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		-						•	
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	80	14	66	10	70	6	4	10	60
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	•								
Housed - Self-Resolved			4		4		^		^
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	5	1	4	1	4	1	0	1	3
Housed - PSH	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH		ļ			ı			·	
Housed - RRH  Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
Housed - All Other	^				^		^	^	^
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed Outflow subtotal	17	3	14	1	16	1	0	3	13
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		ļ							
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	^	^	^	^	Λ	Λ	Λ
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons								1	
Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2 19	4	1 15	<u>0</u>	2 18	<u>0</u>	0		1 14
z NET INFLOW	61	10	51	•	52		<u>0</u> 4	6	46
NET INFLOW	01	10	01	9	92	5	4	Ū	<b>40</b> Page 14

7/17/2018 FYI BNL Report							_		gov with questions	
<b>Greater New Haven CAN</b>	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth	
Porc	entage of	Toutil	78%	1 dillillos	87%	(Non Todan)	(Touti)	(Touti)	68%	
Greater New Ha	_	22%		13%		10%	3%	19%		
Active on BNL	361	78	283	47	314	37	10	68	246	
Median Days Active		91	127	78	138	84	75	97	145	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	•					<u> </u>	. •	<u> </u>		
Count of all active records having each assessment score										
0	- 2% (6)		- 2% (5)		2% (6)	<u>-</u> -		1% (1)	- 2% (5)	
2	2% (8) 6% (21)	1% (1) 9% (7)	2% (7)	- 2% (1)	2% (6) 3% (8) 6% (20)	- 3% (1)	-	1% (1) 10% (7)	3% (7) 5% (13)	
4	6% (23)	5% (4)	5% (14) 7% (19)	2% (1) 6% (3) 15% (7) 13% (6)	6% (20)	5% (2)	10% (1)	4% (3)	7% (17)	
5	11% (39) 11% (38)	13% (10) 9% (7)	10% (29) 11% (31)	15% (7) 13% (6)	10% (32) 10% (32)	16% (6) 16% (6)	10% (1)	13% (9) 10% (7)	9% (23) 10% (25)	
7	12% (43)	19% (15)	10% (28) 12% (33)	15% (7) 15% (7) 6% (3) 13% (6)	11% (36) 11% (34)	14% (5) 14% (5)	20% (2)	19% (13) 9% (6)	9% (23)	
9	11% (41) 10% (36)	10% (8) 10% (8)	12% (33) 10% (28)	15% (7) 6% (3)	11% (34) 11% (33)	14% (5) 3% (1)	20% (2) 20% (2)	9% (6) 9% (6)	11% (28) 11% (27)	
10	8% (30)	5% (4)	10% (28) 9% (26) 8% (22)	13% (6)	11% (33) 8% (24) 7% (22)	3% (1) 14% (5)	20% (2) 10% (1)	9% (6) 4% (3)	11% (27) 9% (21)	
11	7% (27) 4% (16)	6% (5) 4% (3)	8% (22) 5% (13)	11% (5) 4% (2)	7% (22) 4% (14)	11% (4) 5% (2)	10% (1)	6% (4) 4% (3)	7% (18) 4% (11)	
13	4% (16)	1% (1)	5% (15)		4% (14) 5% (16)	-	-	4% (3) 1% (1)	6% (15)	
14	2% (6) 2% (9)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (13) 5% (15) 2% (5) 3% (8)		2% (6) 3% (9) 1% (2)		<u>-</u>	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (5) 3% (8)	
16	1% (2)	3% (2)		<del></del>	1% (2) -	-		3% (2)		
18		-			-			-		
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.76	7.41 rds)	7.86	7.60	7.78	7.54	7.80	7.35	7.90	
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)										
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	50	0	50	1	49	1	0	0	49	
Known Unsheltered	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	<del> </del>									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	66	7	59	14	52	12	2	5	47	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	12	9	3	0	12	0	0	9	3	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	<del> </del>									
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	86	78	8	11	75	1	10	68	7	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the		T .								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	35	11	24	7	28	5	2	9	19	
Returned from Inactive	11	4	 7	2	9	2	0	4	5	
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		-								
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	46	15	31	9	37	7	2	13	24	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the										
Housed - Self-Resolved		2	3	1	4	1	0	2	2	
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	ļ	<u> </u>	ა 		4	 	U	۷	۷	
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	
Housed - RRH	8	0	8	3	5	3	0	0	5	
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o	ა 		ა 	·		ິນ	
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	
Housed Outflow subtotal	20	2	18	5	15	5	0	2	13	
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	<b> </b>	· 						· 	·	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	ļ									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	28	9	19	2	26	2	0	9	17	
Other Outflow subtotal	31	10	21	2	29	2	0	10	19	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	51	12	39	7	44	7	0	12	32	
NET INFLOW	-5	3	-8	2	-7	0	2	1	-8	

MMW CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All Individuals	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	84%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of IMW CAN	13%	01 70	16%	0470	14%	2%	11%	7370
Active on BNL	100	13	87	16	84	14	2	11	73
Median Days Active	91	75	110	92	91	106	65	75	110
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
0	- 1% (1)	- -	- 1% (1)		1% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>		- 1% (1)
2	4% (4) 10% (10)	8% (1)	3% (3) 11% (10)	6% (1) 13% (2)	4% (3) 10% (8)	- 14% (2)	50% (1)		4% (3) 11% (8)
4	10% (10)	23% (3)	8% (7)	6% (1)	11% (9)	7% (1)		27% (3)	8% (6)
6	19% (19) 20% (20)	- 46% (6)	22% (19) 16% (14)	13% (2) 19% (3)	20% (17) 20% (17)	14% (2) 7% (1) 14% (2) 14% (2)	50% (1)	- 45% (5)	8% (6) 23% (17) 16% (12)
7	6% (6) 10% (10)	- 8% (1)	7% (6) 10% (9)	13% (2) 13% (2)	20% (17) 5% (4) 10% (8)	14% (2) 14% (2)		9% (1)	5% (4) 10% (7)
9	3% (3)		3% (3) 9% (8)	-	4% (3) 6% (5)	-			4% (3) 7% (5) 5% (4) 3% (2) 1% (1)
10	8% (8) 4% (4)		9% (8) 5% (4) 2% (2)	19% (3) -	5% (4) 5% (4)	21% (3) -		<u></u>	7% (5) 5% (4)
12	4% (4) 1% (1)	15% (2)	2% (2) 1% (1)		5% (4) 1% (1)			18% (2)	3% (2) 1% (1)
14	-								
15 1 <u>6</u>	- -		- -		-				
17	-	- -			-				
Average Assessment Score	6.28	6.31	6.28	6.25	6.29	6.57	4.00	6.73	6.22
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Known Unsheltered  Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	12	0	12	3	9	3	0	0	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	14	13	1	2	12	0	2	11	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 davs								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	14	1	13	3	11	3	0	1	10
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	14	1	13	3	11	3	0	1	10
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	l	,	70	<u> </u>	.,,		<u> </u>	'	10
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Housed - All Other  Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	9	1	8	3	6	2	1	0	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	2	9	3	8	2	1	1	7
NET INFLOW	3	-1	4	0	3	1	-1	0	3

7/17/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL	All	All	_A II		_A 11	F 11		au.anderson@ct.g	· ·
Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	ntage of east CAN	7%	93%	21%	79%	20%	2%	5%	74%
A Active on BNL	61	4	57	42	40	12	1	3	45
	96	111	96	<b>13</b> 83	<b>48</b> 104	88	83	<b>3</b> 132	<b>45</b> 96
Median Days Active			90	03	104	00	03	132	90
Assessment Score Distribution (amount Count of all active records having each assessment score.	ng active re	ecoras)							
0	•	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
2 5	5% (3)	<u>-</u>	- 5% (3)		- 6% (3)				7% (3)
	5% (3) 11% (7)	- 25% (1)	5% (3) 11% (6)	- 15% (2)	6% (3) 6% (3) 10% (5)	- 17% (2)	-	33% (1)	7% (3) 7% (3)
5 1	11% (7)		12% (7)	-	15% (7)	-			9% (4) 16% (7)
	15% (9) 18% (11)	25% (1)	16% (9) 18% (10)	23% (3) 23% (3)	13% (6) 17% (8)	25% (3) 17% (2)	100% (1)		13% (6) 18% (8)
	13% (8) 3% (5)	- 50% (2)	14% (8) 5% (3)	23% (3) 8% (1) 8% (1)	10% (5) 8% (4) 4% (2) 8% (4)	25% (3) 8% (1) 8% (1)		- 67% (2)	11% (5)
10	5% (3)	-	5% (3) 7% (4)	8% (1)	4% (2)				4% (2) 4% (2) 9% (4) 2% (1)
	7% (4) 2% (1)	<u>-</u> -	7% (4) 2% (1)	<del>-</del>	8% (4) 2% (1)				9% (4) 2% (1)
13		-	-		-	-	-	-	-
15									
16	•	- -			-		-		-
18	6.62	- 7.25	6.58	6.92	6.54	6.92	7.00	7.33	6.49
Status/Conditions Followed (among a			0.50	0.32	0.54	0.32	7.00	1.00	0.43
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	2	6	1	7	1	0	2	5
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	14	2	12	6	8	5	1	1	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	5	4	1	2	3	1	1	3	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	6	0	6	1	5	1	0	0	5
Returned from Inactive	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day			'		J		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 1	0	 1	0 0	1	0	0	0 0	 1
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	 0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other									
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	2	3	0	5	0	0	2	3
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
x Other Outflow subtotal	8	2	6	0	8	0	0	2	6
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	2	9	0	11	0	0	2	9
z NET INFLOW	-4	-2	-2	1	-5	1	0	-2	-3

/1//2018 FYI BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		gov with questions Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth
Perc	entage of		81%		80%	,	,	( /	73%
	east CAN	19%		20%		8%	13%	7%	
Active on BNL	166	32	134	34	132	13	21	11	121
Median Days Active		107	43	111	43	43	159	47	42
ssessment Score Distribution (ame	1		10		10	10	100	<u>''</u>	
unt of all active records having each assessment score		,							
0	- 1% (2)		- 1% (2)		- 2% (2)		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	2% (2)
2	2% (4)		3% (4)		3% (4)				3% (4)
3	5% (9)	9% (3) 16% (5)	4% (6) 4% (6)	6% (2) 12% (4)	5% (7) 5% (7)		10% (2) 14% (3)	9% (1) 18% (2)	5% (6) 4% (5)
5	7% (11) 16% (26)	16% (5) 28% (9)	4% (6) 13% (17)	21% (4)	5% (7) 14% (19)	8% (1) 15% (2)	14% (3) 24% (5)	36% (4)	4% (5) 12% (15)
6	20% (33)	28% (9) 13% (4)	22% (29)	24% (8)	19% (25)	31% (4)	24% (5) 19% (4)		12% (15) 21% (25)
7	12% (20)	16% (5) 6% (2)	11% (15) 10% (14) 8% (11) 9% (12)	18% (6) 3% (1)	11% (14)	23% (3)	14% (3) 5% (1) 10% (2)	18% (2)	10% (12) 12% (14) 8% (10) 10% (12)
9	10% (16) 8% (14)	6% (2) 9% (3)	10% (14) 8% (11)	3% (1)	11% (15) 8% (11)	 8% (1)	5% (1) 10% (2)	9% (1) 9% (1)	12% (14) 8% (10)
10	8% (13)	3% (1)	9% (12)	9% (3) 3% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1)	9% (12)	-	5% (1)	- 370 (1)	10% (10)
11	5% (8)		6% (8)	3% (1)	9% (12) 5% (7)	8% (1)	-	-	6% (7) 3% (4) 2% (2) 1% (1)
12	3% (5)	-	4% (5)	3% (1)	3% (4)	8% (1)	-		3% (4)
13 14	1% (2)		1% (2) 1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)		<u>-</u>		2% (2)
15	1% (1) 1% (2)	<u>-</u>	1% (1)		2% (2)				2% (2)
16	-			-			-		- 270 (2)
17	-	-	-	-		-	-		
18	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Average Assessment Score	6.93	5.81	7.20	6.32	7.09	7.00	5.90	5.64	7.22
tatus/Conditions Followed (among			to d'is an illista access	d					
ents counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be count	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
lients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	ļ	<u>_</u>	·		·				
Chronic (Verified)	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	10	U	10	0	U	U	10
Known Unsheltered	20		27	^	20		0		27
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	38	1	37	0	38	0	0	1	37
Matched/Awarded			40		40				
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	20	2	18	4	16	3	1	1	15
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	<del> </del>								
	37	19	18	22	15	3	19	0	15
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	<del> </del>								
Youth at Time of Assessment	34	32	2	22	12	1	21	11	1
ctive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment									
flow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
ents below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added	4.4	4	40	0	20	4	_	0	20
Clients who have never been active before	44	4	40	6	38	4	2	2	36
Returned from Inactive	t								
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	14	1	13	0	14	0	0	1	13
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	E0	-	<b>5</b> 2	6	<b>E</b> 2	4	2	2	40
	58	5	53	6	52	4	2	3	49
utflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
ents below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Housed - Self-Resolved	40	2	11	^	10		^	2	4.4
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	13	2	11	0	13	0	0	۷	11
Housed - PSH		^	^	^	^	^	^	^	
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - RRH	<del> </del>								
	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	<del> </del>	<b> </b>							
Housed - All Other	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other		_	00	-	00	4	^	^	^=
Housed Outflow subtotal	30	2	28	1	29	1	0	2	27
Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
		·	7	U	J		U	I 	+
ients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact			3	^	·		Λ	^	<b>o</b>
ients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution	ာ		5	0	3	0	0	0	3
	3	0	3						
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution						^			_
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	<b> </b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0						
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0			0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other lients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other lients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	0 0 8	0 0	0 0 7		0	0	0	0	0 7
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other lients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

7/17/2018 FYI BNL Report			A.II	A.11				au.anderson@ct.	
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of		93%		90%				84%
Waterbury Litch	ield CAN	7%		10%		9%	1%	6%	
Active on BNL	261	17	244	26	235	24	2	15	220
Median Days Active	168	154	168	176	168	162	271	84	168
Assessment Score Distribution (amo									
0	-		-	- 40/ /4)	-	-			- 20/ (7)
2	3% (8) 3% (9)		3% (8) 4% (9)	4% (1) -	3% (7) 4% (9)	4% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	3% (7) 4% (9)
3	5% (14)	18% (3)	5% (11) 7% (18)	4% (1) 4% (1)	6% (13) 8% (19)	4% (1)	-	20% (3)	5% (10) 8% (18)
4	8% (20) 11% (28)	12% (2) 18% (3)	7% (18) 10% (25)	4% (1) 12% (3)	8% (19) 11% (25)	13% (3)	50% (1)	7% (1) 20% (3)	8% (18) 10% (22)
6	17% (44)	18% (3) 18% (3)	17% (41)	12% (3) 27% (7) 12% (3) 8% (2)	16% (37)	25% (6)	50% (1)	20% (3) 13% (2)	16% (35)
7	11% (30) 13% (34)	12% (2)	11% (28)	12% (3)	11% (27)	13% (3)		13% (2)	11% (25)
9	11% (28)	6% (1)	14% (34) 11% (27)	15% (4) 4% (1)	11% (27) 14% (32) 10% (24) 8% (18) 5% (11)	13% (3) 25% (6) 13% (3) 8% (2) 17% (4) 4% (1)	<del>-</del>	- 7% (1)	10% (22) 16% (35) 11% (25) 15% (32) 10% (23)
10	7% (19)	-	8% (19)	4% (1)	8% (18)	4% (1)		-	8% (18) 4% (9)
11	5% (12) 3% (7)	12% (2) 6% (1)	4% (10) 2% (6)	4% (1)	5% (11) 3% (7)	4% (1)		13% (2) 7% (1)	4% (9) 3% (6)
13	1% (3)		2% (6) 1% (3)		3% (7) 1% (3)				3% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
14	1% (2)		1% (2) 1% (2)	- 40/ /1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	- 40/ /1)			1% (2)
15 <u> </u>	1% (2)		-	<u>4% (1)</u> -	- - -	4% (1) -		<u>-</u>	0% (1) -
17	0% (1)	-	0% (1)	4% (1)	-	4% (1)			
Average Assessment Score	6.92	6.29	6.96	7.35	6.87	7.54	5.00	6.47	6.90
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	1.00	0.01	7.01	0.00	0.11	0.00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance		-					•		•
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Chronic (Verified)	40	^	40	4		4	^	^	^
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
Known Unsheltered	44	4	40	^	44	0	^	4	40
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	41	1	40	0	41	0	0	1	40
Matched/Awarded	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	0	۷	4			<u> </u>	U	۷	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		' 							
Youth at Time of Assessment	19	17	2	3	16	1	2	15	1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment									•
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	ı							
Newly Added	18	2	16	2	16	2	0	2	14
Clients who have never been active before									
Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active				•	47				45
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	2	18	3	17	3	0	2	15
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Housed - Self-Resolved	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	·	ļ			·		·	· 	
Housed - PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH									
Housed - RRH	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	l	l		<b> </b>		<b></b>			
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	5	2	3	1	4	0	1	1	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact					+	U	<u> </u>	•	J
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution	l								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	^	^	^		^	·	^	^	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
Other Outflow subtotal	12	0	12	3	9	3	0	0	9
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	17	2	15	4	13	3	1	1	12
	3	0	3	-1	4	0	<u>-1</u>	1	3
NET INFLOW									

### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

**Row C** – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

### STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

**Row Q** - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

**Row T** – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

**Row Y** - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).