FYI BNL Counts 2/20/2018 - DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

/CEE ATT.	ACHED	DAGES	EOR	ΔΠΠΙΤΙΩΝΔΙ	DETAIL

						Greater	Greater				Waterbury/	l
	TABLE AF	Families (25+)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield	
AF0		Active Records	274	23	71	56	40	26	13	11	34	
AF1	N Se	0 to 3	15	2	4	4	2	0	0	0	3	
AF2	₹ÿ	4 to 8	155	10	42	23	23	20	8	8	21	ge 7
AF3	F &	9+	104	11	25	29	15	6	5	3	10	paí
AF4		Median Days Active	97	112	83	65	120	118	111	39	107	ou
AF5		Refusers	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	tails
AF6		Chronic (Verified)	10	0	0	2	2	5	1	0	0	det
AF7		Known Unsheltered	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	E.
AF8		Matched/Awarded	78	4	10	32	19	6	1	3	3	
AF9		Housed in Past 30 Days	8	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	2	

	TARLE VE	Families (<25)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northoast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
	IADEL II			Central	Tairrielu		New Havell	IVIIVIVV	Northeast		Literineiu	
YF0		Active Records	54	2	9	10	7	2	0	18	6	
YF1	N Si	0 to 3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8
YF2	≱ö	4 to 8	35	2	5	2	5	2	0	14	5	ge 8
YF3	F &	9+	18	0	4	8	2	0	0	3	1	pac
YF4		Median Days Active	122	152	78	77	97	117	-	184	113	uo
YF5		Refusers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ails
YF6		Chronic (Verified)	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	det
YF7		Known Unsheltered	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	Full
YF8		Matched/Awarded	13	0	4	4	3	0	0	2	0	
YF9		Housed in Past 30 Days	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	

	TABLE YI	Individuals (<25)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
YI0		Active Records	301	17	67	47	128	8	7	8	19	
YI1	To Se	0 to 3	45	1	11	5	20	3	0	2	3	
YI2	VI/NST Scores	4 to 7	141	10	29	20	56	4	5	6	11	ge 9
YI3	⊼ ×	8+	115	6	27	22	52	1	2	0	5	paç
YI4		Median Days Active	125	153	62	45	201	251	107	19	102	ou
YI5		Refusers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	details
YI6		Chronic (Verified)	7	0	3	1	2	0	1	0	0	det
YI7		Known Unsheltered	11	3	2	0	2	1	0	0	3	<u></u>
YI8		Matched/Awarded	18	0	3	11	4	0	0	0	0	
YI9		Housed in Past 30 Days	9	4	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	

	TABLE AI	Individuals (25+)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
AI0		Active Records	2,119	114	444	686	390	90	72	78	245	
Al1	Se	0 to 3	365	15	72	148	46	23	13	9	39	0
AI2	> ğ	4 to 7	1,053	68	221	365	144	42	37	41	135	e 1
AI3	ŭ	8+	701	31	151	173	200	25	22	28	71	oag
Al4		Median Days Active	166	189	148	173	271	124	62	68	137	uo
AI5		Refusers	13	0	0	3	0	2	0	1	1	sils
Al6		Chronic (Verified)	192	7	7	28	79	9	5	9	18	detai
AI7		Known Unsheltered	192	30	30	44	17	14	9	17	50	ı.
AI8		Matched/Awarded	266	7	33	80	91	10	9	14	22	ш.
AI9		Housed in Past 30 Days	76	11	17	27	1	3	0	14	3	

Brief Description of Data Included

Table AF Adult Families with a head of household aged 25+ as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.

Table YF Youth Families with a head of household under 25 years old as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.

Table YI Youth Individuals under 25 years old as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.

Table AI Adult Individuals aged 25+ as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.

Row 0 Total number of active records for the household type/age in the table.

Row 1 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "no recommended intervention" range for the household type/age in the table.

Row 2 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "RRH recommended" range for the household type/age in the table.

Row 3 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "PSH recommended" range for the household type/age in the table.

Row 4 Median days active for the household type/age, calculated based on days between the data pull date and the active date for all active records.

Row 5 Total number of active records that are marked as "Refuses CAN Assistance" for the household type/age in the table.

Row 6 Total number of active records marked as "Chronic (Verified)" for the household type/age in the table.

Row 7 Total number of active records that are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" for the household type/age in the table.

Row 8 Total number of active recrods marked as "Matched to a Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy" for the household type/age in the table.

Row 9 Total number of inactive records that are marked as "Housed" with a "Housed by Program Date" within the past 30 days for the household type/age in the table.

All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Central	1 all lielu		New Havell	IVIIVIVV	Northeast	Journeast	Literineia
_	Records	6%	22%	29%	21%	5%	3%	4%	11%
Active on BNL	2,748	156	591	799	565	126	92	115	304
c Median Days Active	151	162	125	155	235	124	85	61	128
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	0% (7)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (2)	0% (1) 3% (22)	1% (3) 1% (8)				
	2% (58) 5% (144)	1% (2) 4% (6) 6% (9)	0% (2) 2% (11) 5% (31) 7% (43) 12% (73)	3% (22) 7% (52)	1% (8) 4% (21)	3% (4) 6% (7)	1% (1) 9% (8)	1% (1) 4% (5)	3% (9) 5% (14) 7% (22)
	8% (217) 12% (321)	12% (18)	7% (43) 12% (73)	7% (52) 10% (82) 14% (109)	4% (21) 6% (36) 7% (40)	12% (15) 14% (18)	9% (8) 4% (4) 16% (15)	4% (5) 5% (6) 10% (12)	7% (22) 12% (36)
5	13% (365) 13% (364)	21% (33) 10% (16)	13% (77) 13% (74)	13% (106) 14% (108)	11% (62)	13% (16) 13% (16)	12% (11)	15% (17) 18% (21)	14% (43) 16% (48)
7	11% (298) 11% (300)	13% (20) 12% (19)	11% (63) 11% (66)	10% (83)	10% (56)	10% (13) 10% (13)	9% (8)	13% (15) 14% (16)	13% (40) 11% (33)
9	8% (208)	8% (12) 5% (8)	7% (44)	10% (83) 8% (61) 7% (59) 5% (43) 5% (39) 2% (16)	12 % (97) 10% (56) 15% (84) 8% (45) 9% (50) 5% (31) 4% (21) 4% (23)	5% (6)	9% (8) 9% (8) 10% (9) 3% (3) 5% (5) 5% (5)	5% (6)	9% (27) 3% (9)
11	6% (170) 5% (141)	4% (7)	7% (44) 8% (46) 6% (37) 3% (16)	5% (43) 5% (39)	5% (31)	5% (6) 4% (5) 6% (7) 3% (4)	5% (5) 5% (5)	5% (6) 5% (6) 3% (4)	4% (11)
13	3% (75) 2% (44)	2% (3) -	1% (4)	1% (11)	4% (21) 4% (23)	3% (4) 1% (1)	5% (5) 1% (1)	3% (3) 1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)
	1% (21) 0% (12)	1% (1) -	0% (2) 0% (2)	1% (4) 0% (2)	2% (12) 1% (6)	- 1% (1)	-	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (1) -
16	0% (3)	- 1% (1)		0% (1)		- -			0% (1)
E Average Assessment Score	6.51	6.40	6.53	6.11	7.34	6.06	6.38	6.58	6.26
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	0	6	3	2	4	0	1	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	211	7	41	31	84	14	7	9	18
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	210	35	14	44	20	15	9	18	55
Matched/Awarded	375	11	50	127	117	16	10	19	25
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	108	15	19	29	1	4	1	33	6
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	391	23	82	65	 145	- 14	<u>'</u> 9	27	 26
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	391	23	02	03	145	14	3	21	20
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	311	22	101	78	37	13	11	21	28
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	75	2	15	25	2	1	11	15	4
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	386	24	116	103	39	14	22	36	32
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Housed - Self-Resolved			4-	•	,		_	0.5	
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	73	8	15	9	4	2	8 	22	5
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	28	1	20	5	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with 131 Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	25	2	7	6	4	0	1	5	0
Housed - All Other	13	0	1	5	1	0	0	6	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	139	11	43	25	10	2	9	33	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact	171	8	90	35	8	8	1	16	5
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	0	2	0	1	0	2	4	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	14	0	1	1	2	2	0	2	6
Other Outflow subtotal	194	8	93	36	11	10	3	22	11
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	333	19	136	61	21	12	12	55	17
z NET INFLOW	53	5	-20	42	18	2	10	-19	15

- 1	2/20/2018 FTI BNL KEPOII - DKAF	TOK DIGG			0	0		Contact bo	au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S	tatewide				38%				
Α	,	All Youth	5%	21%	16%		3%	2%	7%	7%
В	Active on BNL	355	19	76	57	135	10	7	26	25
С	Median Days Active	124	153	65	54	195	215	107	114	102
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
		1% (5)	<u>-</u>	3% (2)	2% (1)	1% (2)	-			
	3	4% (13) 8% (28)	- 5% (1)	5% (4) 7% (5)	2% (1) 5% (3)	4% (5) 10% (13)	10% (1) 20% (2)		4% (1) 8% (2)	4% (1) 8% (2)
		10% (36) 14% (50)	11% (2) 26% (5)	13% (10) 14% (11)	7% (4) 12% (7)	6% (8) 13% (18)	20% (2)	29% (2) 14% (1)	19% (5) 15% (4)	20% (5) 8% (2)
	6	14% (49) 9% (33)	11% (2) 16% (3)	8% (6) 7% (5)	14% (8) 5% (3)	13% (17) 12% (16)	20% (2) 10% (1)	29% (2)	15% (4) 23% (6) 8% (2)	24% (6) 12% (3)
	8	13% (45)	11% (2)	12% (9)	9% (5)	19% (25)	10% (1)	<u>-</u>	12% (3) 8% (2)	-
		9% (33) 7% (24)	11% (2) 11% (2)	9% (7) 8% (6)	14% (8) 11% (6)	8% (11) 6% (8)	<u>-</u> -	- 14% (1)	8% (2) 4% (1)	12% (3) -
	11	5% (18) 4% (13)		9% (7) 4% (3)	5% (3) 11% (6)	4% (5) 1% (2)	- 10% (1)	14% (1)		8% (2) 4% (1)
	13	1% (3)		_	2% (1)	1% (2)	-			
	15	1% (4) -		1% (1) 		2% (3) -				
	16 17	- 0% (1)			2% (1)	-				
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	6.75	6.47	6.75	7.70	6.76	5.70	6.57	5.77	6.24
İ	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.10	7.70	0.10	0.70	0.01	0.77	0.21
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	4	1	3	0	1	0	0
J	Known Unsheltered	13	3	2	0	3	1	0	1	3
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded						·		· 	
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	31	0	7 	15 	7	0	0	2	0
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	24	4	0	2	0	0	1	16	11
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	43	4	9	10	14	2	0	2	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	t 20 days								
ł	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		4	0.4	40	40				
L	Clients who have never been active before	65	1	24 	19	10	0	1 	6	4
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	70	1	27	20	10	0	1	7	4
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	/S								
ļ	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	15	0	8	4	0	0	0	3	0
	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	15	0	8	4	0	0	0	3	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	29	1	1	18	4	2	0	2	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
W X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	32	1	2	18	4	2	0	2	3
Ϋ́	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	47	1	10	22	4	2	0	5	3
z	NET INFLOW	23	0	17	-2	6	-2	1	2	1
-1			-			-		-		Page 3

All Non-Youth				Greater	Greater			au.anderson@et.g	Waterbury/
	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide on-Youth	6%	22%	31%	18%	5%	4%	4%	12%
Active on BNL	2,393	137	515	742	430	116	85	89	279
c Median Days Active	155	172	128	167	253	120	80	61	136
Assessment Score Distribution (amo									
Count of all active records having each assessment score		40/ (4)	00/ (2)	00/ (4)	40/ (2)				
1	0% (7) 2% (53)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (2) 2% (9)	0% (1) 3% (21)	1% (3) 1% (6)	3% (4)	1% (1)	1% (1)	3% (9)
3	5% (131) 8% (189)	4% (6) 6% (8)	5% (27) 7% (38)	7% (51) 11% (79)	4% (16) 5% (23) 7% (32)	5% (6) 11% (13)	9% (8) 5% (4) 15% (13)	4% (4) 4% (4) 8% (7)	5% (13) 7% (20)
	12% (285) 13% (315)	12% (16) 20% (28)	12% (63) 13% (66) 13% (68)	14% (105) 13% (99)	10% (44)	16% (18) 12% (14) 12% (14)	12% (10)	15% (13)	11% (31) 15% (41) 15% (42)
	13% (315) 11% (265)	10% (14) 12% (17)	13% (68) 11% (58)	13% (100) 11% (80)	12% (50) 9% (40)	12% (14) 10% (12)	14% (12) 9% (8)	17% (15) 15% (13)	15% (42) 13% (37)
8	11% (255) 7% (175)	12% (17)	11% (58) 11% (57) 7% (37)	8% (56) 7% (51)	14% (59)	10% (12) 10% (12) 5% (6)	9% (8) 9% (8) 11% (9)	15% (13) 15% (13) 4% (4)	13% (37) 12% (33) 9% (24)
10	6% (146) 5% (123)	7% (10) 4% (6) 5% (7)	7% (37) 8% (40) 6% (30) 3% (13)	8% (56) 7% (51) 5% (37) 5% (36) 1% (10)	8% (34) 10% (42) 6% (26)	5% (6) 4% (5) 6% (7) 3% (3)	11% (9) 2% (2) 5% (4) 6% (5)	4% (4) 6% (5) 4% (4)	9% (24) 3% (9) 3% (9) 2% (6) 1% (3)
12	3% (62)	2% (3)	3% (13)	1% (10)	4% (19)	3% (3)	6% (5)	3% (3)	2% (6)
14	2% (41) 1% (17)	1% (1)	1% (4) 0% (1)	1% (10) 1% (4)	6% (26) 4% (19) 5% (21) 2% (9)	1% (1) - 1% (1)	1% (1) 	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)
16	1% (12) -		0% (2) -	0% (2) -	1% (6) -	1% (1) -		1% (1) -	<u>-</u>
18	0% (2) -	1% (1) -	-	<u>-</u>			-		<u>0% (1)</u> -
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.48 active reco	6.39 rds)	6.49	5.99	7.53	6.09	6.36	6.82	6.26
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	0	6	3	2	4	0	1	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	202	7	37	30	81	14	6	9	18
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	197	32	12	44	17	14	9	17	52
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	344	11	43	112	110	16	10	17	25
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	84	11	19	27	1	4	0	17	5
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	36	4	6	8	10	4	2	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100.1								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	246	21	77	59	27	13	10	15	24
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	70	2	12	24	2	1	10 11	14	4
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						•			
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	316	23	89	83	29	14	21	29	28
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	58	8	7	5	4	2	8	19	5
Housed - PSH	28	1	20	5	 1	0	0	0	1
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	25 25		20 7	6			U 1		
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		2			4	0	I	5	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	13	0	1	5	1	0	0	6	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	124	11	35	21	10	2	9	30	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	142	7	89	17	4	6	1	14	4
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	12	0	1	1	2	2	0	2	4
x Other Outflow subtotal	162	7	91	18	7	8	3	20	8
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	286	18	126	39	17	10	12	50	14
z NET INFLOW	30	5	-37	44	12	4	9	-21	14 Page 4

2/20/2010 FTI BNL REPOIL - DRAF	T T OK BIOC			Greater	Greater		Contact bo	au.anderson@ct.g	Waterbury/
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		24%	220/					
A AII	Families	8%	2470	20%	14%	9%	4%	9%	12%
B Active on BNL	328	25	80	66	47	28	13	29	40
c Median Days Active	99	124	83	68	117	118	111	119	109
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	-	-	-		-	-	-		
2	1% (2) 2% (8)	4% (1) 4% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1)	5% (3) 2% (1)	- 2% (1)				3% (1) -
3 4	2% (6) 10% (33)	- 4% (1)	15% (12)	2% (1) 5% (3)	2% (1) 13% (6)	- 11% (3)	23% (3)	3% (1) 7% (2)	5% (2) 8% (3)
5	12% (39) 9% (29)	12% (3) 8% (2)	11% (9)	5% (3) 8% (5) 5% (3)	15% (7) 6% (3)	7% (2) 11% (3)	8% (1) 8% (1) 8% (1)	24% (7) 10% (3)	8% (3) 13% (5) 15% (6)
7 8	16% (53) 11% (36)	12% (3)	10% (8) 10% (8) 13% (10)	15% (10) 6% (4)	19% (9) 6% (3)	32% (9)	8% (1) 15% (2)	21% (6)	18% (7)
9	12% (39) 9% (30)	12% (3) 16% (4) 8% (2)	13% (10) 9% (7) 14% (11)	17% (11)	11% (5)	18% (5) 4% (1) 4% (1) 7% (2)	15% (2) 23% (3) 8% (1)	14% (4) 10% (3) 7% (2)	13% (5) 13% (5) 3% (1)
11	8% (26)	12% (3)	6% (5)	9% (6) 9% (6) 12% (8) 3% (2)	13% (6) 9% (4) 2% (1)	7% (2)	8% (1)	3% (1)	10% (4)
13	4% (14) 2% (5)	4% (1) -	4% (3) 3% (2)	3% (2)	2% (1)	4% (1) -		-	
14 15 15 1	1% (2) 1% (3)		- 1% (1)	3% (2) 2% (1)		- 4% (1)			
16	- 1% (3)	- 4% (1)		2% (1)				<u>-</u>	- 3% (1)
E Average Assessment Score	7.59	7.88	7.39	8.68	7.30	- 7.54	7.23	6.76	7.13
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the					
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	12	0	1	2	3	5	1	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	2	1 	0	1 	0	0	1	2
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	91	4	14	36	22	6	1	5	3
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	23	0	2	0	0	1	0	18	2
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment						·			
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	63	3	11	11	8	3	2	19	6
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	55	6	14	17	8	0	1	5	4
Returned from Inactive	7	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	62	6	16	19	8	0	3	6	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			.,		<u> </u>	•			
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	12	0	5	2	2	0	2	0	1
Housed - PSH	6	0	4	1	 1	0	0	0	0
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH		U	4	l 	l 	U	U	U 	
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	13	1	5	4	2	0	1	0	0
Housed - All Other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	32	1	14	7	6	0	3	0	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact	12	•		•				-	-
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	12	2	5	3	2	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
M Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
X Other Outflow subtotal	15	2	6	4	2	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	47	3	20	11	8	0	3	0	2
z NET INFLOW	15	3	-4	8	0	0	0	6	2 Page 5

	NL Kepon - DKAF	T T OK BISC	20001014		Cuantan	Cuantan		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	•
All Indi	viduals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		E0/	21%	30%	21%	40/	20/	40/	11%
A		dividuals	5%				4%	3%	4%	1170
В	Active on BNL	2,420	131	511	733	518	98	79	86	264
С	Median Days Active	160	172	134	162	245	132	80	54	137
Assessment Scor D Count of all active records h	re Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0		0% (7)	1% (1)	0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (3)	-	-	-	-
2		2% (56) 6% (136)	1% (1) 4% (5) 7% (9)	2% (11) 5% (28) 8% (42)	0% (1) 3% (22) 7% (49) 11% (81)	2% (8) 4% (20) 7% (35)	4% (4) 7% (7)	1% (1) 10% (8) 5% (4)	1% (1) 6% (5) 6% (5)	3% (8) 5% (14) 8% (20)
3		9% (211) 12% (288)	7% (9) 13% (17)	8% (42) 12% (61)	11% (81) 14% (106)	7% (35) 7% (34)	15% (15) 15% (15)	5% (4) 15% (12)	6% (5) 12% (10)	8% (20) 13% (33)
5	-	13% (326)	13% (17) 23% (30)	12% (61) 13% (68)	14% (106) 14% (101)	11% (55)	14% (14) 13% (13)	15% (12) 13% (10)	12% (10) 12% (10)	13% (33) 14% (38)
6 7	·	14% (335) 10% (245)	11% (14) 13% (17)	13% (66) 11% (55)	14% (105) 10% (73)	12% (64) 9% (47)	13% (13) 4% (4)	16% (13) 9% (7)	21% (18) 10% (9)	16% (42) 13% (33)
8		11% (264)	12% (16) 6% (8)	11% (56) 7% (37) 7% (35) 6% (32) 3% (13)	8% (57) 7% (48) 5% (37) 5% (33) 1% (8)	160/. (Q1)	4% (4) 8% (8) 5% (5)	9% (7) 8% (6) 8% (6) 3% (2) 5% (4) 6% (5) 1% (1)	14% (12) 3% (3)	11% (28) 8% (22)
10		7% (169) 6% (140)	5% (6)	7% (37) 7% (35)	7% (46) 5% (37)	8% (40) 8% (44) 5% (27) 4% (20) 4% (22) 2% (12)	4% (4)	3% (2)	5% (3) 5% (4)	3% (22) 3% (8)
11 12		5% (115) 3% (61)	3% (4) 2% (2)	6% (32) 3% (13)	5% (33) 1% (8)	5% (27) 4% (20)	5% (5) 3% (3)	5% (4) 6% (5)	5% (4) 3% (3) 3% (3)	3% (8) 3% (7) 3% (7) 1% (3) 0% (1)
13		2% (39)	-	0% (2) 0% (2)	1% (9) 0% (2)	4% (22)	1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3)
14 15 		1% (19) 0% (9)	1% (1) -	0% (2) 0% (1)	0% (2) 0% (1)	2% (12) 1% (6)			1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (1) -
16 17		-		-						
18		- -		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-	<u> </u>		
C4-4	Average Assessment Score	6.37	6.11	6.39	5.88	7.35	5.63	6.24	6.52	6.13
	s Followed (among below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	es CAN Assistance ubject to due diligence policy	13	0	6	3	0	2	0	1	1
	Chronic (Verified) on of Chronic Homelessness	199	7	40	29	81	9	6	9	18
K	nown Unsheltered confirmed to be unsheltered	203	33	13	44	19	15	9	17	53
	Matched/Awarded	284	7	36	91	95	10	9	14	22
Enrolled in Tra	awarded a housing resource ansitional Housing	85	15	17	29	1	3	1	15	4
Youth at Ti	rolled in Transitional Housing me of Assessment	328	20	71	54	137	11	7	8	20
Inflow to Active L										
Clients below were made ac	ctive or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added ave never been active before	256	16	87	61	29	13	10	16	24
	rned from Inactive by reason who are now active	68	2	13	23	2	1	9	14	4
Ononto madero for an	Active List TOTAL	324	18	100	84	31	14	19	30	28
	ve List: Past 30 Day				<u> </u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	• •	.,		
	ctive or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	ed - Self-Resolved e past 30 days, self-resolved	61	8	10	7	2	2	6	22	4
Olients Housed III (II	Housed - PSH	00	4	40		^	^	^	^	
P Clients hous	ed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	22	1 	16	4	0	0	0	0	1
	ed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	12	1	2	2	2	0	0	5	0
_	sed in past 30 days, all other	12	0	1	5	0	0	0	6	0
s Hous	ed Outflow subtotal	107	10	29	18	4	2	6	33	5
	Unable to Contact st 30 days, unable to contact	159	6	85	32	6	8	1	16	5
Inactive	e - In an Institution past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	0
li	nactive - Deceased ve in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other ast 30 days, all other reasons	12	0	1	0	2	2	0	2	5
Ollotto Illado Illadilvo III pe	ner Outflow subtotal	179	6	87	32	9	10	3	22	10
	Active List TOTAL	286	16	116	50	13	12	9	55	15
z	NET INFLOW	38	2	-16	34	18	2	10	-25	13
<u> </u>										Page 6

Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Construct	Fallefield	Greater	Greater	AAAANA/	Mouthment	Courthoook	Waterbury/
Percentage of S		Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Families (No		8%	26%	20%	15%	9%	5%	4%	12%
Active on BNL	274	23	71	56	40	26	13	11	34
Median Days Active	97	112	83	65	120	118	111	39	107
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 1% (2)	4% (1)				<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	3% (1)
2	3% (8) 2% (5)	4% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1)	5% (3) 2% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)				-
4	9% (26)	4% (1)	15% (11)	4% (2)	13% (5)	12% (3)	23% (3)	- 27% (3)	6% (2) 3% (1) 12% (4) 12% (4)
6	11% (30) 8% (22)	9% (2) 4% (1)	11% (8) 11% (8)	7% (4) 5% (3)	15% (6) 5% (2)	8% (2) 12% (3)	8% (1) 8% (1)	-	12% (4) 12% (4)
7 8	18% (49) 10% (28)	13% (3) 13% (3)	10% (7) 11% (8)	18% (10) 7% (4)	23% (9) 3% (1)	31% (8) 15% (4)	8% (1) 8% (1) 8% (1) 15% (2)	36% (4) 9% (1) 9% (1) 9% (1) 9% (1)	21% (7) 15% (5)
9	12% (32) 9% (26)	17% (4) 9% (2)	8% (6) 11% (8)	18% (10) 11% (6)	8% (3) 15% (6)	15% (4) 4% (1) 4% (1) 8% (2)	23% (3) 8% (1) 8% (1)	9% (1) 9% (1)	12% (4) 3% (1) 12% (4)
11	9% (25)	13% (3) 4% (1)	7% (5) 4% (3)	9% (5) 7% (4)	10% (4) 3% (1)	8% (2) 4% (1)	8% (1)	9% (1)	12% (4)
13	4% (10) 1% (4)	470 (1)	3% (2)	2% (1) 4% (2)	3% (1)	- 470 (1)		<u>-</u>	
14 15 	1% (2) 1% (3)		- 1% (1)	4% (2) 2% (1)		- 4% (1)			
16	- 1% (2)	- 4% (1)	- -	- -	-	-	-	-	3% (1)
18 Average Assessment Score	7.62	8.09	7.32	8.32	7.35	7.54	7.23	7.36	7.38
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	active reco	rds)					1.20	7.50	1.00
Refuses CAN Assistance							•		•
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	0	2	2	5	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	78	4	10	32	19	6	1	3	3
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	8	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	2
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	9	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	t 20 days								
Newly Added	e past 30 days. 48	6	13	16	6	0	1	3	3
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	6	15	17	6	0	3	4	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	11	0	5	1	2	0	2	0	1
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	13	1	5	4	2	0	1	0	0
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	31	1	14	6	6	0	3	0	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	11	2	4	3	2	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	14	2	5	4	2	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	45	3	19	10	8	0	3	0	2
z NET INFLOW	9	3	-4	7	-2	0	0	4	1
	<u> </u>		·		<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	Page 7

Families (Youth)	Statewide	Control	Enirfield	Greater	Greater New Haven	MANAVAZ	Northoost	Southoost	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage o		Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litenfield
_	lies (Youth)	4%	17%	19%	13%	4%	0%	33%	11%
Active on Bl	NL 54	2	9	10	7	2	0	18	6
c Median Days Acti	ve 122	152	78	77	97	117	-	184	113
Assessment Score Distribution (a D Count of all active records having each assessment s		ecords)							
0	-		-			<u>-</u>			
2		-	-			-	-		
4	2% (1) 13% (7)		- 11% (1)	10% (1)	14% (1)	- -		6% (1) 11% (2)	33% (2)
5	17% (9) 13% (7)	50% (1) 50% (1)	11% (1) -	10% (1) -	14% (1) 14% (1)	<u>-</u>		22% (4) 17% (3)	17% (1) 33% (2)
7	7% (4) 15% (8)	-	11% (1) 22% (2)	<u></u>	29% (2)	50% (1) 50% (1)		11% (2) 17% (3)	
9	13% (7) 7% (4)	-	11% (1) 33% (3)	10% (1)	29% (2) -	-	-	11% (2) 6% (1)	17% (1) -
11 12	- 2% (1) - 7% (4)			10% (1) 40% (4)		<u>-</u>			-
13 14	2% (1)			10% (1)		-			
15	-	<u>-</u>							
17 18	2% (1)			10% (1)					
E Average Assessment Sc		5.50	7.89	10.70	7.00	7.50	-	6.39	5.67
Status/Conditions Followed (amorelients counted in each row below are currently active			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistan		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence po Chronic (Verifie	d) 2	0	 1	0	1	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessni Known Unshelter	ed 2	0	0	0	1	0	0	 1	0
H Clients that are confirmed to be unshelte Matched/Award	red	0	4	4	3	0 0	0 0	 2	0
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resour	rce								
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional House Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Mont	ing 13	0	0	0	0	0	0	15 	0
*K Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report d	ate 9	2	1	2	1	0	0	2	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL									
Newly Add Clients who have never been active bef		0	1	1	2	0	0	2	1
Returned from Inacti		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now act	_	0	1	2	2	0	0	2	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL Housed - Self-Resolv	ad .								
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolu	ved	0	0	1 	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with P		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RF Clients housed in past 30 days, with R	RH 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Oth R Clients housed in past 30 days, all of	er 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtor		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Conta		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to continuous Inactive - In an Instituti. U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an instituti	on _O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceas	ed 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decease Inactive - All Oth	er 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reason X Other Outflow subto	ons	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Y Outflow from Active List TOTA		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
z NET INFLO		0	0	1	2	0	0	2	1 Page 8

Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of Statewide 43%										
A Individual		6%		16%		3%	2%	3%	6%	
Active on BNL	301	17	67	47	128	8	7	8	19	
Median Days Active		153	62	45	201	251	107	19	102	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score.										
1	- 2% (5)		3% (2)	2% (1)	- 2% (2)	<u>-</u>				
3	4% (13) 9% (27)	- 6% (1)	6% (4) 7% (5)	2% (1) 6% (3) 6% (3)	4% (5) 10% (13)	13% (1) 25% (2)		13% (1) 13% (1)	5% (1) 11% (2)	
5	10% (29) 14% (41)	12% (2) 24% (4)	13% (9) 15% (10)	6% (3) 13% (6)	5% (7)	- 25% (2)	29% (2) 14% (1)	38% (3) -	16% (3)	
6	14% (42) 10% (29)	24% (4) 6% (1) 18% (3)	9% (6)	17% (8) 6% (3)	13% (17) 13% (16) 13% (16)	25% (2)	29% (2)	38% (3)	5% (1) 21% (4) 16% (3)	
8	12% (37)	12% (2)	6% (4) 10% (7)	11% (5)	18% (23)		-		-	
10	9% (26) 7% (20)	12% (2) 12% (2)	9% (6) 4% (3) 10% (7)	15% (7) 13% (6)	7% (9) 6% (8)	<u>-</u>	- 14% (1)		11% (2) -	
11	6% (17) 3% (9)		10% (7) 4% (3)	4% (2) 4% (2)	4% (5) 2% (2)	- 13% (1)	14% (1) -	<u> </u>	11% (2) 5% (1)	
13	1% (2) 1% (4)		- 1% (1)		2% (2) 2% (3)					
15	-	 				 		<u>-</u>		
17	-	-		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			<u>=</u>		
E Average Assessment Score	6.63	6.59	6.60	7.06	6.75	5.25	6.57	4.38	6.42	
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.										
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)		0	3	1	2	0	1	0	0	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	11	3		 0	2	1	' 0	0 0	3	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	 	ა	2		Z 	l 			ა 	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	18	0	3	11	4	0	0	0	0	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	9	4	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	34	2	8	8	13	2	0	0	1	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne nast 30 days									
Newly Added	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	23	18	8	0	1	4	3	
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	 	· 		0	0 0	0	·	 		
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	62	0	2 6	18	-	0	0 1	5	<u> </u>	
Outflow from Active List 101AL		1	20	10	8	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the										
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	14	0	8	3	0	0	0	3	0	
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	14	0	8	3	0	0	0	3	0	
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		1	0	18	4	2	0	2	1	
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	31	1	1	18	4	2	0	2	3	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	45	1	9	21	4	2	0	5	3	
z NET INFLOW	17	0	17	-3	4	-2	1	0	0 Page 9	

2/20/2018 FTT BNL Report - DRAF	ok Biot	2000/0/1		Cuantan	Cuantan		Oontact be	with questions		
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of S Individuals (No		5%	21%	32%	18%	4%	3%	4%	12%	
Active on BNL	2,119	114	444	686	390	90	72	78	245	
14 E D 4 E	166	189	148	173	271	124	62	68	137	
			140	173	211	124	02	00	137	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score.										
O	0% (7)	1% (1)	0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (3)					
1	2% (51)	1% (1)	0% (2) 2% (9)	0% (1) 3% (21) 7% (48) 11% (78)	2% (6)	4% (4) 7% (6)	1% (1)	1% (1)	3% (8)	
3	6% (123) 9% (184)	4% (5) 7% (8)	5% (24) 8% (37)	7% (48) 11% (78)	4% (15) 6% (22)	7% (6) 14% (13)	11% (8) 6% (4)	5% (4) 5% (4)	5% (13) 7% (18)	
4	12% (259)	13% (15) 23% (26)	12% (52)	15% (103) 14% (95)	7% (27)	17% (15)	14% (10) 13% (9)	9% (7) 13% (10)	12% (30) 15% (37)	
5	13% (285) 14% (293)	23% (26) 11% (13)	13% (58) 14% (60)	14% (95) 14% (97)	10% (38) 12% (48)	13% (12) 12% (11)	13% (9) 15% (11)	13% (10) 19% (15)	15% (37) 16% (38)	
7	10% (216)	12% (14)	14% (60) 11% (51)	14% (97) 10% (70)	12% (48) 8% (31)	12% (11) 4% (4) 9% (8) 6% (5)	10% (7)	12% (0)	16% (38) 12% (30)	
8	11% (227) 7% (143)	12% (14) 5% (6)	11% (49) 7% (31)	8% (52) 6% (41)	15% (58) 8% (31) 9% (36)	9% (8) 6% (5)	8% (6) 8% (6)	15% (12) 4% (3)	11% (28) 8% (20)	
10	6% (120)	4% (4)	7% (31) 7% (32) 6% (25) 2% (10)	8% (52) 6% (41) 5% (31) 5% (31) 1% (6)	9% (36)	4% (4) 6% (5) 2% (2)	8% (6) 8% (6) 1% (1) 4% (3) 7% (5)	15% (12) 4% (3) 5% (4) 4% (3) 4% (3) 1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (8) 2% (5) 2% (6) 1% (3) 0% (1)	
11 12	5% (98) 2% (52)	4% (4) 2% (2)	6% (25) 2% (10)	5% (31) 1% (6)	6% (22) 5% (18) 5% (20) 2% (9)	6% (5) 2% (2)	4% (3) 7% (5)	4% (3) 4% (3)	2% (5) 2% (6)	
13	2% (37)	-	0% (2) 0% (1)	1% (9) 0% (2)	5% (20)	1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (3)	
14	1% (15) 0% (9)	1% (1) -	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (2) 0% (1)	2% (9) 2% (6)	<u>-</u>		1% (1) 1% (1)	∪% (1) -	
16	- '									
17	-	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		
E Average Assessment Score	6.33	6.04	6.36	5.80	7.54	5.67	6.21	6.74	6.10	
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance	13	0	6	3	0	2	0	1	1	
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy								·		
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	192	7	37	28	79	9	5	9	18	
Known Unsheltered										
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	192	30	11	44	17	14	9	17	50	
Matched/Awarded	266	7	33	80	91	10	9	14	22	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	200	/	JJ	00	ات 	10	J	14		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	76	11	17	27	1	3	0	14	3	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing										
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	27	3	4	7	9	3	0	0	1	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.									
Newly Added	,	4.5	0.4	40	0.1	40		40	0.4	
Clients who have never been active before	198	15	64	43	21	13	9	12	21	
Returned from Inactive	64	2	10	23	2	1	9	13	4	
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						1				
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	262	17	74	66	23	14	18	25	25	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
Housed - Self-Resolved	47	8	2	4	2	2	6	19	4	
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved Housed - PSH										
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	22	1	16	4	0	0	0	0	1	
Housed - RRH	40	4				^	^		^	
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	12	1	2	2	2	0	0	5	0	
Housed - All Other	12	0	1	5	0	0	0	6	0	
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other										
Housed Outflow subtotal	93	10	21	15	4	2	6	30	5	
Inactive - Unable to Contact	131	5	85	14	2	6	1	14	4	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact										
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	
Inactive - Deceased	^		^	^	^	^	^	^		
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - All Other	10	0	1	0	2	2	0	2	3	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									J	
Other Outflow subtotal	148	5	86	14	5	8	3	20	7	
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	241	15	107	29	9	10	9	50	12	
z NET INFLOW	21	2	-33	37	14	4	9	-25	13	
									Page 10	