Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Fa	milies (N	lon-Youth)							
+6 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7										
Known Unsheltered	details for Acti	Matched to	, , , ,							
4 61 no change +1 from last week										
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	26	0	5							
Eastern	34	1	2							
Fairfield County	63	1	18							
Greater Hartford	56	1	16							
Greater New Haven	52	0	14							
MMW	12	0	1							
Waterbury Litchfield	28	1	5							

MMW	12	0	1
Waterbury Litchfield	28	1	5
Active In	dividua	ls (Youth)	
1 -1 fr	8 om last	4 week	
		ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on pg. 9
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing
12		5	4
no change		-5 from la	st week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	14	1	8
Eastern	31	5	11
			_
Fairfield County	36	0	7
Fairfield County Greater Hartford	36 37	0 2	13
•		-	,
Greater Hartford	37	2	13
Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	37	2	13

Active	Familie s	(Youth)	
-2 fr	52 om last	week	
	full details fo	r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8
Known Unsheltered			Housing
0		3	3
no change		no cha	ange
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	7	0	2
Eastern	23	0	1
Fairfield County	10	0	0
Greater Hartford	3	0	1
Greater New Haven	5	0	1
MMW	2	0	2
Waterbury Litchfield	2	0	1

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) -7 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing +2 from last week -2 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 90 10 14 238 73 32 Eastern Fairfield County 345 Greater Hartford 409 45 53 Greater New Haven 242 29 22 MMW 84 1 11 Waterbury Litchfield 27 214 17 Page 1

All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Johnan	Luotoiii	rannola		Havon		Litorinoid
	Records	6%	15%	21%	24%	16%	5%	12%
Active on BNL	2,129	137	326	454	505	337	105	265
Median Days Active	128	132	88	127	153	124	106	163
Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)
1	2% (33) 4% (91)	1% (1)	2% (5) 2% (7)	2% (9) 7% (31)	2% (12) 5% (26)	1% (4)	1% (1)	0% (1) 2% (4)
3	7% (147) 11% (238)	4% (6) 4% (5)	3% (11)	11% (48)	9% (47)	4% (15) 3% (10)	2% (2) 7% (7)	7% (19)
5	13% (275)	7% (9) 12% (17) 15% (20)	10% (32) 15% (49)	13% (58) 14% (63)	14% (70) 12% (63)	8% (26) 10% (33)	12% (13) 18% (19)	11% (30) 12% (31)
	13% (273) 13% (275)	15% (20) 21% (29)	15% (49) 17% (56) 13% (42) 13% (41)	13% (58) 12% (54)	12% (63) 13% (65) 13% (66)	9% (30) 12% (41)	11% (12) 13% (14)	12% (32) 11% (29) 18% (47)
8	12% (258) 9% (186)	16% (22)	13% (41)	12% (54) 6% (29)	11% (56)	16% (53)	13% (14) 10% (10)	18% (47)
10	6% (128)	9% (12) 7% (9)	10% (33) 7% (23)	6% (29) 6% (29)	6% (31) 5% (25)	11% (38) 6% (21)	12% (13) 4% (4)	11% (30) 6% (17)
	4% (91) 3% (59)	3% (4) 1% (2)	4% (14) 1% (4)	4% (17) 2% (11)	4% (18) 2% (11)	7% (22) 7% (23)	4% (4) 2% (2)	5% (12) 2% (6)
13	2% (45) 1% (12)	1% (1) 0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (3)	3% (14) 1% (3)	1% (6) 1% (4)	4% (13) 0% (1)	2% (2) 0% (0)	2% (4) 0% (1)
15	1% (13)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (1)	1% (4)	2% (6) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)
17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.70	0% (0) 6.77	0% (0) 6.85	0% (0) 6.23	0% (0) 6.28	0% (0) 7.62	0% (0) 6.72	0% (0) 6.90
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)					5.12	0.00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumst	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	2	2	0	3	2	1	3
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	186	4	11	51	49	50	8	13
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	204	11	79	4	48	31	2	29
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	326	29	46	79	83	45	16	28
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	148	4	48	70	7	10	4	5
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	266	22	61	56	45	45	10	27
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	212	25	41	41	41	35	14	15
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	55	1	21	8	16	3	3	3
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	267	26	62	49	57	38	17	18
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	67	0	19	23	3	9	10	3
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	36	0	2	19	5	6	3	1
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	29	1	3	12	6	5	1	1
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	36	2	12	11	5	2	2	2
Housed Outflow subtotal	168	3	36	65	19	22	16	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact	50	0	8	27	1	2	1	 11
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 20 days, in an institution	5	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	 1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	58	0	13	29	1	2	1	12
Stroi Satron Sabtotal			49	94	20	24	17	19
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	226	3	43	34	20	24	17	10

	All Varith					Greater	Greater New	Deau.anuerson@	Waterbury/
	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
	Percentage of S	tatewide		23%	400/	470/	400/		
Α		All Youth	9%	23 /0	19%	17%	18%	4%	10%
В	Active on BNL	236	21	54	46	40	43	9	23
С	Median Days Active	79	54	104	72	68	85	56	84
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
U	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (1) 2% (4)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 5% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
		4% (9) 11% (26)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1)	2% (1) 9% (5)	2% (1) 22% (10)	5% (2) 10% (4) 18% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (2) 0% (0)
		17% (40) 13% (31)	5% (1) 14% (3) 14% (3)	28% (15) 13% (7)	15% (7) 13% (6)	13% (5) 20% (8)	14% (6)	11% (1) 22% (2)	13% (3)
	7	15% (36) 12% (29)	10% (2)	11% (6)	13% (6) 13% (4)	20% (8)	7% (3) 16% (7) 19% (8)	33% (3) 0% (0)	9% (2) 17% (4)
	9	12% (28)	24% (5) 19% (4)	7% (4) 15% (8)	15% (7)	20% (8) 5% (2) 3% (1) 8% (3)	19% (8) 12% (5) 7% (3)	22% (2) 0% (0)	26% (6) 4% (1)
	11	6% (15) 3% (7)	5% (1) 0% (0)	7% (4) 2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0)	7% (3) 12% (5) 7% (3)	0% (0)	13% (3) 0% (0)
	13	3% (7) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (3) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)
	14	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
F		0% (0) 6.81	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.96	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.24	0% (0) 5.70	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.88	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.56	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.32
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.30	V.27	5.70	7.00	1.00	I .UL
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ì	Chronic (Verified)	4	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4 		 	 		 	l 	U
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12	1	5	0	2	2	1	1
	Matched/Awarded	62	10	12	7	14	9	4	6
١	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	1	26	5	0	7	0	1
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	22	1	7	4	3	3	0	4
	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
,	Newly Added	49	6	4	12	11	7	2	7
٢	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive			4		4	<u> </u>	1	^
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0		0	1	2	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	6	5	12	12	9	3	7
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 days.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	12	0	2	5	1	2	1	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH			<u></u>			۷		
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
_	Housed - RRH	14	0	0	4	5	3	1	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other							^	^
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	2	1	0	2	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	34	0	4	12	7	7	2	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	12	0	3	7	0	1	0	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased								
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۱۸,	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	13	0	3	8	0	1	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	47	0	7	20	7	8	2	3
Z	NET INFLOW	7	6	-2	-8	5	1	1	4
									Page 3

Percentage of Statewide	7/30/2017 111 BNE Repoil					Greater	Greater New		Waterbury/
Active on BNL 1,893 116 272 408 465 294 96 242 Median Days Active 138 152 68 133 164 135 121 173 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Cover of a rather near though age at assessment 150 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield			MMW	Litchfield
Active on BNL 1,893 116 272 408 465 294 96 242 246 246 247 247 246 246 247 246 246 247 246 2	Percentage of S	tatewide			000/	250/			
Median Days Active 133 152 88 133 164 135 121 173 17	All No	on-Youth	6%	14%	22%	23%	16%	5%	13%
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Court of all atoms exorative holds greater assessment Score 1	Active on BNL	1,893	116	272	408	465	294	96	242
Count of all active records having axion assessment cooks 1,000				88	133	164	135	121	173
Status/Conditions Followed among active records Status/Conditions Followed Stat			records)						
Part	O O	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)		0% (1)
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1		1% (1) 4% (5)	2% (5) 3% (7)	2% (8) 7% (30)	3% (12) 5% (24)	1% (4) 5% (15)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (1) 2% (4)
1	3	7% (138)	3% (4)	4% (10)	12% (47)	9% (43)	3% (10)	7% (7)	7% (17)
1	5	12% (235)	12% (14)	13% (34)	14% (56)	12% (58)	9% (27)	14% (13)	12% (30)
1	6 7	13% (239)	23% (27)	18% (49) 13% (36)	13% (52) 12% (48)	12% (57) 12% (58)	9% (27) 12% (34)	11% (11)	12% (30) 10% (25)
1	8		15% (17) 7% (8)	14% (37) 9% (25)	6% (25) 5% (22)	12% (54) 6% (30)	15% (45)	10% (10) 11% (11)	1/% (41)
1		6% (113)	7% (8)	7% (19)	7% (28)	5% (22)	6% (18)	4% (4)	6% (14)
1	12	3% (52)	2% (2)	1% (2)	2% (10)	2% (11)	7% (20)	1% (1)	2% (6)
17	14	1% (12)	0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (3)	3% (14) 1% (3)	1% (6) 1% (4)	4% (13) 0% (1)	2% (2) 0% (0)	
17	16	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (1)	2% (6) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Status Followed (a	17		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple cross depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance	Average Assessment Score	6.68	6.77						
Refuses CAN Assistance Clearly are subjected to the dispense poly Chronic (Verified) 182 4 10 50 49 49 7 13 13 15 15 14 15 15 15 15 15				in multiple rows den	ending on their com	bination of circumst	ances.		
Clients counted have are subselled to the diligence policy Chronic (Verified) 182								1	2
Clients meth-HUD definition of Chronic Fromeisserses 102			۷					l 	
Clients that are confirmed to be unshibitored 192 10 14 4 46 29 1 20 20 1 20 1 20 20	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	182	4	10	50	49	49	7	13
Clients matched to a wavaced a housing resource 264 19 34 1/2 69 36 12 22		192	10	74	4	46	29	1	28
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active Jents who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth At Time of Assessment 30		264	19	34	72	69	36	12	22
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 20 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	108	3	22	65	7	3	4	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL. In the past 30 days.		30	1	7	10	5	2	1	4
Newly Added Clients who have never been addree before Returned from Inactive 50	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	a neet 20 days							
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive So			40	07	00	20	00	40	^
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 30	Clients who have never been active before	163	19	3/	29	30	28	12	გ
Infilow to Active List TOTAL 213 20 57 37 45 29 14 11		50	1	20	8	15	1	2	3
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Foundation		213	20	57	37	45	29	14	11
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RPH TS			n the neet 20 days						
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH 15	· ·			17	10	2	7	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, and institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, and institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days Clients ma									<u></u>
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH 15 1 3 6 1 2 0 0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	33	0	2	17 	4	6	3	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 31	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	15	1	3	8	1	2	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal 134 3 32 53 12 15 14 5 Inactive - Unable to Contact 38 0 5 20 1 1 1 1 10 Inactive - In an Institution 4 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 4 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 Inactive - Deceased 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Inactive - All Other 3 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 45 0 10 21 1 1 1 1 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 179 3 42 74 13 16 15 16		31	2	10	10	5	0	2	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other Structure - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	134	3	32	53	12	15	14	5
Inactive - In an Institution		38	0	5	20	1	1	1	10
Inactive - Deceased 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Inactive - In an Institution	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other 3	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal 45 0 10 21 1 1 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Inactive - All Other	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
		45	0	10	21	1	1	1	11
z NET INFLOW 34 17 15 -37 32 13 -1 -5									
	NET INFLOW	34	17	15	-37	32	13	-1	-5 Page 4

All Families	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	rairileiu	Hartioru	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
	l Families	10%	18%	23%	18%	18%	4%	9%
Active on BNL	323	33	57	73	59	57	14	30
Median Days Active		113	120	98	103	78	104	99
Assessment Score Distribution (and Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
O COUNT OF AIR ACTIVE FECUNDATION OF AIR ACT	_ 0% (0) _ 1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
2	3% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2) 7% (5) 5% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)
3	2% (7) 9% (29)	6% (2)	0% (0) 7% (4)	5% (4) 14% (10)	3% (2) 7% (4)	0% (0) 14% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)
5	_ 15% (50) _ 11% (34)	9% (3) 18% (6)	25% (14) 11% (6)	16% (12)	5% (3) 12% (7)	18% (10) 9% (5)	29% (4) 7% (1)	13% (4) 10% (3)
7	13% (43)	24% (8)	14% (8)	8% (6) 8% (6)	15% (9)	11% (6)	29% (4)	7% (2)
8	10% (32) 13% (42)	15% (5) 9% (3) 12% (4)	7% (4) 14% (8)	7% (5) 10% (7)	14% (8) 14% (8)	11% (6) 9% (5)	14% (2) 7% (1)	7% (2) 33% (10)
10	9% (30) 5% (15)	12% (4)	11% (6) 11% (6)	10% (7) 8% (6)	12% (7) 3% (2)	5% (3) 4% (2)	0% (0) 7% (1)	33% (10) 13% (4) 3% (1)
12	2% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (3) 1% (1)	5% (3)	5% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)
13	3% (10) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	4% (3) 3% (2)	3% (2) 2% (1)	7% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
15	2% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2) 2% (1)	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (1)	0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	. ,	0% (0) 6.85	0% (0) 7.39	0% (0) 6.74	0% (0) 8.34	0% (0) 7.40	0% (0) 7.64	0% (0) 7.30
Status/Conditions Followed (amon Clients counted in each row below are currently active o			I in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	bination of circumst	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance	()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	0	4	0	0	2	1
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	Ι <u>4</u>	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	69	7	3	18	17	15	3	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	44	0	26	12	1	3	0	2
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	t 60	7	27	11	4	5	3	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in	the past 30 days.							
Newly Added	51	12	7	7	12	10	1	2
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive		0	2	 1	2	0	1	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	57	12	9	8	14	10	2	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D	ays				••			_
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inc. Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.						
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	0	1	1	1	4	2	2
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	, o	0	0	4	2	2	0	0
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1 /	0	2	1	2	0	1	1
s Housed Outflow subtotal	29	0	3	9	5	6	3	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	<u> </u>	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	r o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal		0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	31	0	3	11	5	6	3	3
z NET INFLOW	26	12	6	-3	9	4	-1	-1

	All Individuals	2 11				Greater	Greater New		Waterbury/
		Statewide to to wide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
Δ	Percentage of S	dividuals	6%	15%	21%	25%	16%	5%	13%
В	Active on BNL	1,806	104	269	381	446	280	91	235
С	Median Days Active	136	150	82	131	163	141	110	169
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	2	2% (29) 4% (81)	1% (1) 4% (4)	2% (5) 3% (7)	2% (7) 7% (26)	3% (12) 6% (26)	1% (3) 5% (13)	1% (1) 2% (2) 8% (7)	0% (0) 1% (3)
	4	8% (140) 12% (209)	5% (5) 7% (7)	4% (11) 10% (28)	12% (44) 13% (48) 13% (51)	10% (45) 15% (66) 13% (60)	4% (10) 6% (18)	14% (13)	8% (18) 12% (29)
	6	12% (225) 13% (239)	13% (14) 13% (14) 20% (21)	13% (35) 19% (50) 13% (34)	13% (51) 14% (52)	13% (60) 13% (58) 13% (57)	8% (23) 9% (25) 13% (35)	16% (15) 12% (11)	11% (27) 12% (29) 11% (27)
	8	13% (232) 13% (226)	20% (21) 16% (17)	14% (37)	14% (52) 13% (48) 6% (24) 6% (22) 6% (23)	13% (57) 11% (48)	13% (35) 17% (47) 12% (33)	11% (10)	19% (45)
	10	8% (144) 5% (98)	16% (17) 9% (9) 5% (5)	9% (25) 6% (17)	6% (22) 6% (23)	11% (48) 5% (23) 4% (18)	6% (18)	9% (8) 13% (12) 4% (4)	9% (20) 6% (13)
	11	4% (76) 3% (52)	4% (4) 2% (2)	3% (8) 1% (4)	4% (14) 3% (10)	4% (16) 2% (8)	7% (20) 7% (20)	3% (3) 2% (2)	5% (11) 3% (6)
	13	2% (35) 0% (9)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)	3% (11) 0% (1)	1% (4) 1% (3)	3% (9) 0% (1)	2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	2% (4) 0% (1)
	15	0% (8) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.58	6.74	6.74	6.13	6.00	7.66	6.58	6.85
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	13	2	2	0	3	2	1	3
	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 179	4	 11	47	49	50	6	12
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	200	11	78	3	47	31	2	28
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	257	22	43	61	66	30	13	22
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	104	4	22	58	6	7	4	3
.,	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	206	15	34	45	41	40	7	24
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		1.7						
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	161	13	34	34	29	25	13	13
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	49	1	19	7	14	3	2	3
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	210	14	53	41	43	28	15	16
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved					_		_	
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	56 	0	18	22	2	5	8 	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	33	0	2	16	5	6	3	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	21	1	3	8	4	3	1	1
	Housed - All Other	29	2	10	10	3	2	1	1
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	139	3	33	56	14	16	13	4
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	48	0	8	25	1	2	1	11
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	3	2	0	 0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	3							
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		0	2	0	0	2	0	1
χ Υ	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	56 195	<u>0</u> 3	13 46	27 83	15	<u>2</u> 18	14	12 16
Z	NET INFLOW	15	11	7	-42	28	10	1	0

Familias (Na	•					Greater	Greater New	beau.anderson@	Waterbury/
Families (No		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
P	ercentage of S		400/	420/	23%	21%	19%		400/
A	Families (No		10%	13%				4%	10%
В	Active on BNL	271	26	34	63	56	52	12	28
	dian Days Active	98	122	104	98	104	70	119	103
Assessment Score D Count of all active records havir			recoras)						
0		0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)
2		3% (9) 3% (7)	0% (0) 8% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (4) 6% (4)	0% (0)	4% (2)	0% (0)	4% (1)
4		8% (21)	0% (0) 4% (1) 8% (2)	9% (3)	11% (7)	4% (2) 5% (3)	0% (0) 12% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1)
5		13% (36) 11% (31)	8% (2) 23% (6) 27% (7)	15% (5) 12% (4)	14% (9) 8% (5)	5% (3) 13% (7)	19% (10) 10% (5)	33% (4) 8% (1)	11% (3) 11% (3)
7		12% (32) 10% (28)	27% (7) 12% (3)	9% (3) 6% (2)	8% (5) 8% (5)	14% (8) 14% (8)	12% (6) 12% (6)	17% (2) 17% (2)	4% (1) 7% (2)
9		14% (38) 9% (25)	12% (3) 8% (2) 12% (3)	15% (5) 15% (5)	11% (7) 10% (6)	14% (8) 11% (6)	10% (5) 2% (1)	8% (1) 0% (0)	36% (10) 14% (4)
11		5% (14) 3% (7)	0% (0)	18% (6) 0% (0)	5% (3) 2% (1)	4% (2) 5% (3)	2% (1) 6% (3)	8% (1) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)
13		4% (10) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	5% (3) 3% (2)	4% (2) 2% (1)	8% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
14		2% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	4% (2)	0% (0) 4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
16 17		0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Ave	erage Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.56	0% (0) 6.73	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 7.13	0% (0) 8.41	0% (0) 7.37	0% (0) 7.75	0% (0) 7.39
Status/Conditions F				in an Walanaan dan		him the most alarmond			
Clients counted in each row bel	CAN Assistance								
F Clients counted here are subje	ct to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition o		6	0	0	4	0	0	1	1
	wn Unsheltered firmed to be unsheltered	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
Clients matched to or awa	ntched/Awarded rded a housing resource	61	5	2	18	16	14	1	5
Enrolled in Trans J Active clients who are enrolled		20	0	6	10	1	1	0	2
Youth at Time K Active clients who were under the	of Assessment 25 at time of assessment	8	0	4	1	1	0	1	1
Inflow to Active List Clients below were made active		e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	42	9	4	6	11	9	1	2
	ed from Inactive	5	0	2	1	2	0	0	0
ononico indocaro for any for	rive List TOTAL	47	9	6	7	13	9	1	2
Outflow from Active		,							
Clients below were returned to	housing or marked as Inac - Self-Resolved	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
	ing in past 30 days, self-	11	0	1	1	1	4	2	2
P Clients returned to housing in	Housed - PSH past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Q Clients returned to housing in	Housed - RRH past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	0	3	0	2	0	0
	used - All Other	7	0	2	1	2	0	1	1
	Outflow subtotal	26	0	3	8	3	6	3	3
Inactive - Un T Clients made inactive in past 30	able to Contact	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Onomo mado madavo m pada da	In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inac	tive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ctive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other	Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Y Outflow from Act		27	0	3	9	3	6	3	3
Z	NET INFLOW	20	9	3	-2	10	3	-2	-1

	Carrilles (Vauth)					Greater	Greater New	200010110011001100	Waterbury/
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
	Percentage of S	tatewide		44%	400/				
Α	Families	s (Youth)	13%		19%	6%	10%	4%	4%
В	Active on BNL	52	7	23	10	3	5	2	2
С	Median Days Active	117	54	160	102	83	95	38	79
_	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
υ	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (1) 10% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	0% (0) 15% (8)	0% (0) 14% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1)	10% (1) 0% (0) 30% (3)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 40% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		27% (14) 6% (3)	14% (1) 0% (0)	39% (9) 9% (2)	30% (3) 10% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0)
	7	21% (11) 8% (4)	14% (1)	22% (5)	10% (1)	33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	100% (2)	50% (1)
	ğ	8% (4)	29% (2) 14% (1)	9% (2) 13% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	11	10% (5) 2% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	40% (2) 20% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.48	0% (0) 0% (0) 4.30	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.80	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.00
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.33 active rec	7.29 ords)	0.40	4.30	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.00
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	pination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 1	0	0	0	 0	0	1	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	I	U	U	U	U	U	I	<u> </u>
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	8	2	1	0	1	1	2	1
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource		<u> </u>	I		·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	24	0	20	2	0	2	0	0
41.	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	8	0	3	3	1	0	0	1
'K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	-		-	<u> </u>	-	•	-	•
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	9	3	3	1	1	1	0	0
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	10	3	3	1	1	1	1	0
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		n the neet 20 days						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	Housed - RRH	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	ى 	J	U	l 	۷	U	U	······
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution						^	^	^
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	Λ	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		-			0			
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u>1</u>	0 0	0	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	0 0	0	<u>0</u>
Y 7	Outflow from Active List 101AL NET INFLOW	<u>4</u> 6	3	<u>0</u> 3	<u>2</u> -1	<u>2</u> -1	1	0 1	0
_	IAL I IIAI LOW	U	J	J	-1	-1		ı	Page 8

Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	raii ilelu	Hartioru	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Littillelu
A Individuals		8%	17%	20%	20%	21%	4%	11%
Active on BNL	184	14	31	36	37	38	7	21
c Median Days Active	69	54	77	57	67	85	106	84
Assessment Score Distribution (am D Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
O	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
1 2	0% (0) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 5% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
3 4	5% (9) 10% (18)	7% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 13% (4)	19% (7)	11% (4) 16% (6)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (2) 0% (0)
5	14% (26) 15% (28)	14% (2) 21% (3)	19% (6) 16% (5)	11% (4) 14% (5) 14% (5)	14% (5) 22% (8) 19% (7)	16% (6) 8% (3)	14% (1) 29% (2)	10% (2) 10% (2)
7	14% (25) 14% (25)	7% (1) 21% (3)	3% (1) 6% (2)	11% (4)	19% (7) 5% (2)	18% (7) 21% (8)	14% (1) 0% (0)	14% (3)
10	13% (24) 5% (10)	21% (3) 0% (0)	16% (5) 10% (3)	19% (7) 3% (1)	3% (1) 5% (2)	13% (5) 3% (1)	29% (2) 0% (0)	29% (6) 5% (1) 14% (3)
11 12	3% (6) 4% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 6% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (4) 8% (3)	0% (0) 14% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
13	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)
15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	6.95	6.50	7.32	6.78	5.59	7.89	7.71	7.45
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumst	tances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	12	 1	 5	 0	2	 2	1	 1
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded							· 	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	54	8	11	7	13	8	2	5
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	1	6	3	0	5	0	1
*K Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	14	1	4	1	2	3	0	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ne past 30 days.							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	40	3	1	11	10	6	2	7
Returned from Inactive	4	0	1	0	1	2	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	3	2	11	11	8	2	7
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays						-	
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.						
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	12	0	2	5	1	2	1	1
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Housed - RRH © Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	0	0	3	3	3	1	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	2	1	0	2	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	31	0	4	11	5	7	2	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	11	0	3	6	0	1	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	12	0	3	7	0	1	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	43	0	7	18	5	8	2	3
z NET INFLOW	1	3	-5	-7	6	0	0	Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern			Haven	WINTY	Literineia
Α	Individuals (No		6%	15%	21%	25%	15%	5%	13%
В	Active on BNL	1,622	90	238	345	409	242	84	214
С	Median Days Active	145	167	83	139	182	146	121	178
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (2) 2% (29)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (5)	0% (0) 2% (7)	0% (0) 3% (12)	0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	2	5% (78) 8% (131)	3% (3)	3% (7) 4% (10)	8% (26)	6% (24) 10% (41)	5% (13) 4% (10)	2% (2) 8% (7)	1% (3)
	4	12% (191) 12% (199)	4% (4) 8% (7) 13% (12)	10% (24)	12% (43) 12% (41) 14% (47)	15% (60)	7% (17)	15% (13) 17% (14)	7% (16) 14% (29) 12% (25) 13% (27)
	6	13% (211) 13% (207)	12% (11) 22% (20) 16% (14)	12% (29) 19% (45)	14% (47) 12% (43) 6% (20)	13% (55) 12% (50)	7% (17) 9% (22) 12% (28)	11% (9)	13% (27)
	8	12% (201) 7% (120)	16% (14)	14% (33) 15% (35) 8% (20)	6% (20) 4% (15)	12% (50) 11% (46) 5% (22)	12% (28) 16% (39) 12% (28)	11% (9) 10% (8) 12% (10)	11% (24) 18% (39) 9% (19)
	10	5% (88) 4% (70)	7% (6) 6% (5) 4% (4)	6% (14) 3% (7)	6% (22) 4% (13)	4% (16) 4% (16)	7% (17) 7% (16)	5% (4) 4% (3)	5% (10) 5% (11)
	12	3% (45) 2% (34)	2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (9) 3% (11)	2% (8) 1% (4)	7% (10) 7% (17) 4% (9)	1% (1) 2% (2)	3% (6) 1% (3)
	14 15	1% (9) 0% (7)	0% (0)	2% (4) 1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3) 0% (2)	0% (1) 2% (4)	2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
F	17 18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.54	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.78	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.66	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.07	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.04	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.62	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.49	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.79
Ī	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	0.07	0.04	1.02	0.49	0.19
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	2	2	0	3	2	1	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	176	4	10	46	49	49	6	12
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	188	10	73	3	45	29	1	27
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	203	14	32	54	53	22	11	17
.I	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	88	3	16	 55	6	2	4	2
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	1	3	9	4	2	0	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	121	10	33	23	19	19	11	6
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	45	1	18	7	13	1	2	3
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	166	11	51	30	32	20	13	9
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	_	n the neet 20 days						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inat Housed - Self-Resolved			16	17	4	2	7	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	44	0	16		1 	3	7	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	30	0	2	14	4	6	3	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	1	3	5	1	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	24	2	8	9	3	0	1	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	108	3	29	45	9	9	11	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	37	0	5	19	1	1	1	10
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	44	0	10	20	1	1	1	11
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	152	3	39	65	10	10	12	13
Z	NET INFLOW	14	8	12	-35	22	10	1	-4

	7/30/2019 FTI BNL Report								eau.anderson@ct.g	
	Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	440:	89%	4 = 0 /	85%	400/			76%
Α	Statev	vide BNL	11%		15%		13%	2%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	2,129	236	1,893	323	1,806	271	52	184	1,622
С	Median Days Active	128	79	138	98	136	98	117	69	145
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score		00/ (0)	00/ (2)	00/ (0)	00/ (2)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (2)
	1	0% (2) 2% (33)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (2) 2% (32) 5% (87)	0% (0) 1% (4)	0% (2) 2% (29) 4% (81)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 2% (29) 5% (78)
		4% (91) 7% (147)	2% (4) 4% (9)	5% (87) 7% (138)	3% (10) 2% (7)	4% (81) 8% (140)	3% (9) 3% (7)	2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (3) 5% (9) 10% (18)	5% (78) 8% (131)
	4	11% (238) 13% (275)	11% (26)	11% (212)	9% (29) 15% (50)	12% (209)	8% (21) 13% (36)	0% (0) 15% (8)	10% (18)	8% (131) 12% (191) 12% (199)
	6	13% (273)	13% (31)	13% (242)	3% (10) 2% (7) 9% (29) 15% (50) 11% (34)	12% (225) 13% (239)	11% (31)	27% (14) 6% (3)	14% (26) 15% (28)	13% (211)
		13% (275) 12% (258)	15% (36) 12% (29)	7% (138) 11% (212) 12% (235) 13% (242) 13% (239) 12% (229) 8% (158)	13% (43) 10% (32)	13% (232) 13% (226) 8% (144)	17% (3) 3% (9) 3% (7) 8% (21) 13% (36) 11% (31) 12% (32) 10% (28) 14% (38)	21% (11) 8% (4) 8% (4)	14% (25) 14% (25)	13% (207) 12% (201)
		9% (186) 6% (128)	17% (40) 13% (31) 15% (36) 12% (29) 12% (28) 6% (15)		13% (43) 10% (32) 13% (42) 9% (30) 5% (15) 2% (7) 3% (10)	8% (144) 5% (98)	14% (38) 9% (25)	8% (4) 10% (5)	14% (25) 14% (25) 13% (24) 5% (10) 3% (6)	7% (120)
	11	4% (91)	3% (7)	4% (84) 3% (52) 2% (44) 1% (12) 1% (12) 0% (1)	5% (15)	5% (98) 4% (76)	9% (25) 5% (14)	2% (1)	3% (6)	5% (88) 4% (70)
	13	3% (59) 2% (45)	3% (7) 0% (1)	3% (52) 2% (44)	2% (7) 3% (10)	3% (52) 2% (35)	3% (7) 4% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (7) 1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1)	3% (45) 2% (34) 1% (9) 0% (7)
		1% (12) 1% (13)	0% (0) 0% (1)	1% (12) 1% (12)	1% (3) 2% (5) 0% (1)	0% (9) 0% (8)	1% (3) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (9) 0% (7)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	3% (52) 2% (35) 0% (9) 0% (8) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (14) 3% (7) 4% (10) 1% (3) 2% (5) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	6.70	6.81	6.68	7.37	6.58	7.56	6.33	6.95	6.54
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	13	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	13
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	10		10		10			·	
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	186	4	182	7	179	6	1	3	176
_	Known Unsheltered	204	10	100	1	200	4	^	40	100
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	204	12	192	4	200	4	0	12	188
	Matched/Awarded	326	62	264	69	257	61	8	54	203
İ	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4.40	40	400	4.4	404	00	04	40	00
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	148	40	108	44	104	20	24	16	88
K	Youth at Time of Assessment	266	236	30	60	206	8	52	184	22
- 1	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	212	49	163	51	161	42	9	40	121
L	Clients who have never been active before	Z I Z	43	105	J I		42		40	121
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	55	5	50	6	49	5	1	4	45
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	267	54	213	57	210	47	10	44	166
- 1	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	ys.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	67	12	55	11	56	11	0	12	44
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	36	3	33	3	33	3	0	3	30
اً	Housed - RRH	29	14	15	8	21	5	3	11	10
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	36	5	31	7	29	7	0	5	24
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	168	34	134	29	139	26	3	31	108
İ	Inactive - Unable to Contact	50	12	38	2	48	1	1	11	37
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		12				' 			
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		U	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U 	U	U	·	U
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
X	Other Outflow subtotal	58	13	45	2	56	1	1	12	44
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	226	47	179	31	195	27	4	43	152
z	NET INFLOW	41	7	34	26	15	20	6	1	14
ļ			1	-	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Dogo 11

	Central CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	76%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth) 66%
Δ		tral CAN	15%		24%		19%	5%	10%	
В	Active on BNL	137	21	116	33	104	26	7	14	90
С	Median Days Active	132	54	152	113	150	122	54	54	167
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
U		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	1% (1) 4% (6)	0% (0) 5% (1)	1% (1) 4% (5)	0% (0) 6% (2)	1% (1) 4% (4)	0% (0) 8% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 3% (3)
	4	4% (5) 7% (9)	5% (1) 5% (1)	3% (4) 7% (8)	0% (0) 6% (2)	5% (5) 7% (7)	0% (0) 4% (1)	14% (1)	0% (0)	4% (4) 8% (7)
	6	12% (17) 15% (20)	14% (3) 14% (3)	12% (14) 15% (17)	9% (3) 18% (6)	13% (14) 13% (14)	8% (2) 23% (6)	14% (1) 0% (0)	14% (2) 21% (3)	13% (12) 12% (11)
	8	21% (29) 16% (22)	10% (2) 24% (5)	23% (27) 15% (17)	24% (8) 15% (5) 9% (3) 12% (4)	20% (21) 16% (17) 9% (9) 5% (5)	27% (7) 12% (3)	14% (1) 29% (2) 14% (1) 14% (1)	7% (1) 21% (3)	22% (20) 16% (14)
	10	9% (12) 7% (9)	19% (4) 5% (1)	23% (27) 15% (17) 7% (8) 7% (8)	9% (3) 12% (4)	9% (9) 5% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (2) 0% (0) 4% (1) 8% (2) 23% (6) 27% (7) 12% (3) 8% (2) 12% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 14% (1)	21% (3) 0% (0)	13% (12) 12% (11) 22% (20) 16% (14) 7% (6) 6% (5) 4% (4) 2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	12	3% (4) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (4) 2% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (4) 2% (2)
	14	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	6.76 ords)	6.77	6.85	6.74	6.73	7.29	6.50	6.78
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	11	1	10	0	11	0	0	1	10
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	29	10	19	7	22	5	2	8	14
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	21	1	7	15	0	7	14	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a pact 20 days								
	Newly Added	25	6	19	12	13	9	3	3	10
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	26	6	20	12	14	9	3	3	11
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	1	0	1	0	 1	0	 0	0	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 2	0	 2	0	 2	0	0	0	2
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u>0</u>	0 0	<u>0</u>	0 0	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
r Z	NET INFLOW	23	6	17	12	<u>3</u> 	9	3	3	8
				- •		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-	Page 12

	750/2017 I I I BIVE REPOR								au.anuerson@ci.	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Percentage of			83%		83%				73%
Α		ern CAN	17%		17%		10%	7%	10%	
^ B	Active on BNL	326	54	272	57	269	34	23	31	238
_С	Median Days Active	88	104	88	120	82	104	160	77	83
-	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			00	120	02	104	100	11	00
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		iecorus)							
		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	2% (5) 2% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (5) 3% (7)
		3% (11) 10% (32)	2% (1) 9% (5)	4% (10) 10% (27)	0% (0) 7% (4)	4% (11)	0% (0) 9% (3)	0% (0) 4% (1)	3% (1) 13% (4)	4% (10) 10% (24)
	5	15% (49)	28% (15)	13% (34)	25% (14)	10% (28) 13% (35)	15% (5)	39% (9)	19% (6)	12% (29)
	7	17% (56) 13% (42)	28% (15) 13% (7) 11% (6)	18% (49) 13% (36)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (4) 25% (14) 11% (6) 14% (8) 7% (4)	19% (50) 13% (34)	9% (3)	9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2)	16% (5) 3% (1) 6% (2)	19% (45) 14% (33)
		13% (41) 10% (33)	7% (4) 15% (8)	14% (37) 9% (25) 7% (19)	7% (4) 14% (8)	14% (37) 9% (25) 6% (17) 3% (8) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 9% (3) 15% (5) 12% (4) 9% (3) 6% (2) 15% (5)	9% (2) 13% (3)	6% (2) 16% (5)	15% (35) 8% (20)
	10	7% (23)	7% (4)	7% (19)	14% (8) 11% (6)	6% (17)	15% (5)	4% (1)	10% (3)	6% (14)
	12	4% (14) 1% (4)	2% (1) 4% (2)	5% (13) 1% (2)	11% (6) 0% (0)	3% (6) 1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 6% (2)	3% (7) 1% (2)
	13	2% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 1% (3)
	15	0% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (6) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 6% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.85	0% (0) 6.96	0% (0) 6.83	0% (0) 7.39	0% (0) 6.74	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 6.48	0% (0) 7.32	0% (0) 6.66
	Status/Conditions Followed (among		ords)							
C	lients counted in each row below are currently active on		nts may be coun	nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
F (Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	11	1	10	0	11	0	0	1	10
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	79	5	74	1	78	1	0	5	73
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	46	12	34	3	43	2	1	11	32
 J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	48	26	22	26	22	6	20	6	16
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	61	54	7	27	34	4	23	31	3
l	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
C	lients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	41	4	37	7	34	4	3	1	33
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	21	1	20	2	19	2	0	1	18
N –	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	62	5	57	9	53	6	3	2	51
·	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da						•		_	
	lients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	ys.						
$\overline{}$	Housed - Self-Resolved	19	2	17	1	18	1	0	2	16
٥	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	12	2	10	2	10	2	0	2	8
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	36	4	32	3	33	3	0	4	29
T (Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	3	5	0	8	0	0	3	5
. <u> </u>	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
x	Other Outflow subtotal	13	3	10	0	13	0	0	3	10
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	49	7	42	3	46	3	0	7	39
z	NET INFLOW	13	-2	15	6	7	3	3	-5	12
<u>_</u>	=: = •••						-		-	Page 13

- 1	7/30/2017 111 BIVE REPORT								au.anderson@ci.g	
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		90%		84%	(**************************************	(1000)	(1000)	76%
Δ	Fairfield Cou	•	10%		16%		14%	2%	8%	
В	Active on BNL	454	46	408	73	381	63	10	36	345
С	Median Days Active	127	72	133	98	131	98	102	57	139
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)			-			-	
	Count of all active records having each assessment score			00/ (0)	00((0)	00((0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00((0)
	1	0% (0) 2% (9)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (8) 7% (30)	0% (0) 3% (2)	0% (0) 2% (7)	0% (0) 2% (1) 6% (4) 6% (4)	0% (0) 10% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (7)
		7% (31) 11% (48)	2% (1) 2% (1)	12% (47)	7% (5) 5% (4)	7% (26) 12% (44)	6% (4) 6% (4)	10% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	8% (26) 12% (43) 12% (41)
		13% (58) 14% (63)	22% (10) 15% (7)	12% (48) 14% (56)	14% (10) 16% (12)	13% (48) 13% (51) 14% (52)	11% (/)	30% (3) 30% (3)	19% (7) 11% (4)	12% (41) 14% (47)
	6	13% (58) 12% (54)	13% (6) 13% (6)	120/ (E2)	8% (6) 8% (6) 7% (5)	14% (52) 13% (48)	8% (5) 8% (5)	10% (1) 10% (1)	14% (5) 14% (5)	14% (47)
	8	6% (29) 6% (29)	9% (4) 15% (7)	12% (48) 6% (25) 5% (22) 7% (28) 4% (16) 2% (10) 3% (14) 1% (3)	7% (5)	13% (48) 6% (24)	14% (9) 8% (5) 8% (5) 8% (5) 8% (5) 11% (7)	0% (0)	11% (4) 19% (7)	12% (43) 6% (20)
	10	6% (29)	2% (1)	7% (28)	10% (7) 8% (6)	6% (22) 6% (23)	10% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1)	4% (15) 6% (22)
	12	4% (17) 2% (11)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (16) 2% (10)	4% (3) 1% (1)	4% (14) 3% (10)	5% (3) 2% (1) 5% (3) 3% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (13) 3% (9)
		3% (14) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (14) 1% (3)	4% (3) 3% (2)	3% (11) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (3) 3% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (11) 0% (1)
		0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.23	6.24	6.23	6.74	6.13	7.13	4.30	6.78	6.07
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	51	1	50	4	47	4	0	1	46
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	79	7	72	18	61	18	0	7	54
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	70	5	65	12	58	10	2	3	55
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	56	46	10	11	45	1	10	36	9
- 1	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		10	10		10	'	10		
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	41	12	29	7	34	6	1	11	23
	Returned from Inactive	8	0	8	1	7	 1	0	0	7
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	49	12	37	8	41	7	1	11	30
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		12	<i>31</i>	U U	71	,	,	,,	30
- 1	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	23	5	18	1	22	1	0	5	17
	Housed - PSH	19	2	17	3	16	3	0	2	14
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	12	4	8	4	8	3	1	3	5
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	<u></u> 11	1	10		10	1	 0	 1	9
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	65	12	53	9	56	8	1	11	45
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact							1		
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	27	7	20	2	25	1 	1	6	19
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	29	8	21	2	27	1	1	7	20
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	94	20	74	11	83	9	2	18	65
Z	NET INFLOW	-45	-8	-37	-3	-42	-2	-1	-7	-35

	7/30/2017111 BIVE REPORT	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias	Families	ladividuale	
	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Porce	entage of	- routil	92%	- T arriffed	88%	(Mon Touth)	_ (Podti)	<u>(10001)</u>	81%
	Greater Hartt	•	8%		12%		11%	1%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	505	40	465	59	446	56	3	37	409
С	Median Days Active	153	68	164	103	163	104	83	67	182
ŭ	Assessment Score Distribution (am			101	100	100	101		<u> </u>	102
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
		0% (0) 2% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (12) 6% (26)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 5% (3) 5% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (2)	0% (0) 3% (12)
		5% (26) 9% (47)	5% (2) 10% (4)	3% (12) 5% (24) 9% (43) 14% (63)	0% (0) 3% (2)	10% (45)	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (2) 11% (4)	6% (24) 10% (41)
	4	14% (70) 12% (63)	18% (7) 13% (5)	14% (63) 12% (58)	7% (4) 5% (3)	15% (66) 13% (60)	5% (3) 5% (3)	33% (1) 0% (0)	16% (6) 14% (5)	15% (60) 13% (55)
	6	13% (65) 13% (66)	20% (8) 20% (8)	12% (58) 12% (57)	120/. (7)	15% (66) 13% (60) 13% (58) 13% (57)	13% (7)	0% (0)	22% (8)	12% (50) 12% (50) 12% (50) 11% (46)
	8	11% (56)	5% (2)	12% (54)	14% (8)	11% (48)	14% (8) 14% (8) 14% (8) 11% (6)	33% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	19% (7) 5% (2) 3% (1) 5% (2)	11% (46)
	10	6% (31) 5% (25)	3% (1) 8% (3)	12% (58) 12% (58) 12% (54) 6% (30) 5% (22) 4% (18) 2% (11)	12% (7) 15% (9) 14% (8) 14% (8) 12% (7) 3% (2) 5% (3)	11% (48) 5% (23) 4% (18)	11% (6)	33% (1)	5% (2)	5% (22) 4% (16)
	12	4% (18) 2% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (18) 2% (11)	3% (2) 5% (3)	4% (16) 2% (8)	17% (2) 4% (2) 5% (3) 4% (2) 2% (1) 4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (16) 2% (8)
	13 14	1% (6) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (6)	3% (2) 2% (1) 3% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)	4% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)
	15	1% (4) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (1) 0% (0)	3% (2) 2% (1)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.28	5.70	6.32	8.34	6.00	8.41	7.00	5.59	6.04
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy			ა 		ა 		·	U	
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	49	0	49	0	49	0	0	0	49
	Known Unsheltered	48	2	46	1	47	1	0	2	45
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	83	14	69	17	66	16	1	13	53
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
·	Youth at Time of Assessment	45	40	 5	4	41	1	3	37	4
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	40	40	<u> </u>	7	41	'	<u> </u>	31	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 davs.								
	Newly Added	41	11	30	12	29	11	1	10	19
L	Clients who have never been active before							·		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	16	1	15	2	14	2	0	1	13
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	57	12	45	14	43	13	1	11	32
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved									,
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
•	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	6	E	 1	ာ	4	^	2	3	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	5	 	2	4	0	۷	ა	I
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	19	7	12	5	14	3	2	5	9
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	^		^		^				
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u>1</u> 20	7	1 13	5	1 15	0 3	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> 5	1 10
r 7	NET INFLOW	37	5	32	9	28	10	<u>-1</u>	6	22
_		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			,,,	•		Page 15

	Greater New Haven CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	420/	87%	17%	83%	15%		440/	72%
Α	Greater New Ha		13%					1%	11%	
В	Active on BNL	337	43	294	57	280	52	5	38	242
С	Median Days Active	124	85	135	78	141	70	95	85	146
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)							
	0	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (3)
	2	4% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (15)	4% (2) 0% (0)	5% (13) 4% (10)	0% (0) 2% (1) 4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (13)
		3% (10) 8% (26)	7% (3)	3% (10) 8% (23)	14% (8)	6% (19)	12% (6)	40% (2)	3% (1)	4% (10) 7% (17)
		10% (33) 9% (30)	14% (6) 7% (3)	9% (27) 9% (27)	18% (10) 9% (5)	9% (25)	19% (10) 10% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	16% (6) 8% (3)	9% (22)
	8	12% (41) 16% (53)	16% (7) 19% (8)	9% (27) 12% (34) 15% (45)	11% (6) 11% (6)	13% (35) 17% (47)	12% (6) 12% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (7) 21% (8)	12% (28) 16% (39)
	10	11% (38) 6% (21)	12% (5) 7% (3)	11% (33) 6% (18)	9% (5) 5% (3)	8% (23) 9% (25) 13% (35) 17% (47) 12% (33) 6% (18) 7% (20)	10 % (5) 12% (6) 12% (6) 10% (5) 2% (1) 2% (1) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 40% (2)	13% (5) 3% (1)	12% (28) 7% (17)
	12	7% (22) 7% (23)	12% (5) 7% (3)	6% (17) 7% (20)	4% (2) 5% (3)	7% (20) 7% (20)	2% (1) 6% (3)	20% (1)	11% (4) 8% (3)	7% (16) 7% (17)
	14	4% (13) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (17) 7% (20) 4% (13) 0% (1)	7% (4) 0% (0)	7% (20) 3% (9) 0% (1)	8% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (9) 0% (1)
	16	2% (6) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (6) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (17) 7% (17) 7% (17) 9% (22) 12% (28) 16% (39) 12% (28) 7% (17) 7% (16) 7% (17) 4% (9) 0% (1) 2% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.62	7.88 orde)	7.58	7.40	7.66	7.37	7.80	7.89	7.62
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	50	1	49	0	50	0	0	1	49
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	31	2	29	0	31	0	0	2	29
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	45	9	36	15	30	14	1	8	22
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	7	3	3	7	1	2	5	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	45	43	2	5	40	0	5	38	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	7	28	10	25	9	1	6	19
М	Returned from Inactive	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	9	29	10	28	9	1	8	20
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays			-					
ļ	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	2	7	4	5	4	0	2	3
Р	Housed - PSH	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	3	2	2	3	2	0	3	0
	Housed - All Other	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	22	7	15	6	16	6	0	7	9
٥	Inactive - Unable to Contact	22	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution								I	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2	1	1	0	2 18	0	0	1	10
Y 7	NET INFLOW	24 14	8	16 13	6 4	18 10	<u>6</u> 3	0 1	8 0	10
4	IALT HAT LOW	17	'	10	7	10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	U	Page 16

	7/00/2017 111 BNL Repoli	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		91%		87%				80%
Α		MW CAN	9%		13%		11%	2%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	105	9	96	14	91	12	2	7	84
С	Median Days Active	106	56	121	104	110	119	38	106	121
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2)
	3	7% (7)	0% (0)	2% (2) 7% (7)	0% (0)	2% (2) 8% (7)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (7)
	5	12% (13) 18% (19)	0% (0) 11% (1)	14% (13) 19% (18)	0% (0) 29% (4)	14% (13) 16% (15) 12% (11)	33% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 14% (1)	15% (13) 17% (14)
	7	11% (12) 13% (14)	22% (2) 33% (3)	10% (10) 11% (11)	7% (1) 29% (4)	12% (11) 11% (10) 9% (8)	8% (1) 17% (2)	0% (0) 100% (2)	29% (2) 14% (1) 0% (0)	11% (9) 11% (9) 10% (8)
	9	10% (10) 12% (13)	0% (0) 22% (2)	10% (10) 11% (11)	29% (4) 7% (1) 29% (4) 14% (2) 7% (1) 0% (0)	9% (8) 13% (12) 4% (4)	17% (2) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (2)	10% (8) 12% (10) 5% (4)
	10	4% (4) 4% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (4)	0% (0) 7% (1)	4% (4) 3% (3)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (3)
	12	2% (2) 2% (2)	11% (1) 0% (0)	4% (4) 1% (1) 2% (2)	7% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 14% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1)
	14	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)
	16	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	3% (3) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (4) 8% (1) 17% (2) 17% (2) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.72	7.56 ords)	6.65	7.64	6.58	7.75	7.00	7.71	6.49
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	8	1	 7	2		1	4	0	6
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	 		2	6	 	I	U	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
	Matched/Awarded	16	4	12	3	13	1	2	2	11
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing		·				<u></u>			
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment	10	9	1	3	7	1	2	7	0
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	14	2	12	1	13	1	0	2	11
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	2	1	2	0	1	0	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	17	3	14	2	15	1	1	2	13
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	10	1	9	2	8	2	0	1	7
0									I	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
^	Housed - RRH	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other						4		^	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	16	2	14	3	13	3	0	2	11
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	17	2	15	3	14	3	0	2	12
Z	NET INFLOW	0	1	-1	-1	1	-2	1	0	1
										Page 17

	7/30/2017111 BIVE REPORT	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias		au.anderson@ct.g			
	Waterbury/Litchfield CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
	Perce	entage of		91%		89%				81%		
Α	Waterbury/Litchf	•	9%		11%		11%	1%	8%			
В	Active on BNL	265	23	242	30	235	28	2	21	214		
С	Median Days Active	163	84	173	99	169	103		84	178		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am				- 55	100	100		<u> </u>			
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score		Ť									
		0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)		
	2	2% (4)	0% (0) 9% (2)	0% (1) 2% (4) 7% (17)	3% (1)	1% (3)	4% (1) 4% (1) 4% (1) 11% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)		
		7% (19) 11% (30)	0% (0)	12% (30)	3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (18) 12% (29) 11% (27)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (2) 0% (0)	7% (16) 14% (29)		
		12% (31) 12% (32)	13% (3) 9% (2)	12% (28) 12% (30)	13% (4) 10% (3)	11% (27) 12% (29)		50% (1) 0% (0)	10% (2) 10% (2)	12% (25) 13% (27)		
	7	11% (29)	17% (4)	10% (25)	7% (2)	11% (27)	4% (1)	50% (1)	14% (3)	11% (24) 18% (39)		
	9	18% (47) 11% (30)	26% (6) 4% (1)	12% (28) 12% (30) 12% (30) 10% (25) 17% (41) 12% (29) 6% (14)	7% (2) 33% (10) 13% (4)	11% (27) 19% (45) 9% (20) 6% (13)	7% (2) 36% (10)	0% (0)	29% (6) 5% (1) 14% (3)	9% (19) 5% (10)		
		6% (17) 5% (12)	13% (3) 0% (0)	6% (14) 5% (12)	13% (4) 3% (1)	6% (13) 5% (11)	14% (4) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (3) 0% (0)	5% (10) 5% (11)		
	12	2% (6)	0% (0)	2% (6)	0% (0)	5% (11) 3% (6)	17% (2) 4% (1) 7% (2) 36% (10) 14% (4) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (6)		
	14	2% (4) 0% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 0% (1)	U% (U)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 50% (1) 0% (0) 50% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0)	5% (11) 3% (6) 1% (3) 0% (1)		
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.90	7.32	6.86	7.30	6.85	7.39	6.00	7.45	6.79		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
	Refuses CAN Assistance	3				3		0	0	3		
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)		0	3	0		0					
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	13	0	13	1 	12	1 	0	0	12		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	29	1	28	1	28	1	0	1	27		
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	28	6	22	6	22	5	1	5	17		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2		
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	27	23	4	3	24	1	2	21	3		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th											
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	15	7	8	2	13	2	0	7	6		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	18	7	11	2	16	2	0	7	9		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da											
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	0		
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
	Housed - RRH	1	1	0	0	 1	0	0	 1	0		
·	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	2	0	2	1	 1	 1	0	0	1		
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	3	4	3	0	2	2		
·	Inactive - Unable to Contact	<u>.</u> 11	1	10	0	 11	0	0	1	10		
ı	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	 0	0		
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0		
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	12	1	11	0	12	0	0	1	11		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	19	3	16	3	16	3	0	3	13		
Z	NET INFLOW	-1	4	-5	-1	0	-1	0	4	-4 Page 18		

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).