Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth)						
276 no change full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			Housing						
5 +3 from last week		9 +10 from l	9 ast week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	30	0	9						
Eastern	37	4	17						
Fairfield County	74	1	17						
Greater Hartford	44	0	11						
Greater New Haven	48	0	24						
MMW	16	0	10						
Northwest	27	0	11						

Active In	dividua	ıls (Youth)							
137 -4 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9									
·	III details for A								
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	nousing						
10		5	9						
+2 from last week		no cha	ange						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	6	0	4						
Eastern	28	8	16						
Fairfield County	39	0	5						
Greater Hartford	27	1	15						
Greater New Haven	19	0	9						
MMW	7	0	2						
Northwest	11	1	8						

is below.									
Active	Families	(Youth)							
+3 fr	51 rom last		41)						
full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8 Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
Kilowii Olisheitered		Matchedit	Housing						
1		+1 from la)						
no change									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	2	0	0						
Eastern	28	0	3						
Fairfield County	6	0	0						
Greater Hartford	5	0	0						
Greater New Haven	3	0	2						
MMW	2	0	1						
	_	4	2						
Northwest	5	1	_						

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) -31 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing -6 from last week +2 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched 87 8 Central 18 204 37 Eastern 41 Fairfield County 348 Greater Hartford 330 28 47 Greater New Haven 251 61 31 MMW 92 1 18 23 Northwest 256 11 Page 1

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Factorn	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		— Central	Eastern	- 1 airileiu	Hartioru	— naven	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α		Records	6%	15%	23%	20%	16%	6%	15%
В	Active on BNL	2,032	125	297	467	406	321	117	299
С	Median Days Active	118	105	81	139	127	120	92	138
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (8)
	2	2% (33) 5% (98)	1% (1) 5% (6)	1% (2) 3% (8)	3% (12) 6% (28)	0% (0) 2% (9) 7% (27)	0% (1) 3% (10)	0% (0) 9% (10)	3% (9)
		8% (164) 12% (252)	5% (6) 2% (3) 7% (9)	4% (13) 10% (31)	11% (52) 14% (67)	11% (46) 16% (63)	5% (16) 8% (27)	9% (11) 18% (21)	8% (23) 11% (34)
		13% (256) 14% (281)	14% (17) 14% (18)	10% (31) 13% (40)	13% (62) 15% (71)	16% (65) 13% (53)	8% (26) 10% (31)	13% (15) 18% (21)	13% (40) 16% (47)
	7	10% (213) 13% (254)	16% (20) 17% (21)	10% (40) 10% (31) 16% (49)	12% (56) 8% (36)	8% (34) 10% (39)	9% (29) 12% (38)	5% (6) 11% (13)	12% (37) 19% (58)
	9	8% (154)	4% (5) 5% (6)	11% (34) 9% (27)	6% (29) 3% (16)	5% (21) 3% (13)	14% (46)	5% (6)	4% (13)
	11	5% (110) 4% (90)	6% (7)	9% (27) 6% (17)	3% (16) 3% (16)	4% (15)	9% (30) 8% (25)	4% (5) 3% (3)	4% (13) 2% (7)
		3% (55) 2% (36)	6% (8) 2% (2)	1% (3) 2% (5)	2% (11) 1% (5)	2% (7) 1% (6)	5% (17) 5% (15)	2% (2) 2% (2)	2% (7) 0% (1)
	14	1% (19) 1% (12)	2% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (2)	1% (3) 0% (2)	1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (4) 1% (3)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)
	16	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
_	18	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.56 Lactive rec	7.13 ords)	7.20	5.94	5.93	7.88	5.98	6.31
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	11	2	2	0	3	1	1	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)						·	·	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	179	4	19	40	35	66	6	9
Н	Known Unsheltered	190	18	53	3	29	61	1	25
П	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	074	04	70		70		04	20
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	371	21	73	75 	73	66	31	32
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	114	4	50	46	6	0	4	4
	Youth at Time of Assessment	215	10	64	51	36	26	9	19
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	210	10						10
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 davs.							
	Newly Added	230	10	24	58	63	38	9	28
L	Clients who have never been active before	230	10						20
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	49	3	22	5	9	3	3	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	279	13	46	63	72	41	12	32
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as India	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	77	0	20	35	6	7	7	2
_	Housed - PSH	19	0	2	11	3	2	0	1
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH								·
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	23	0	5	3	7	7 	0	1
R	Housed - All Other	28	3	8	4	7	4	2	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	147	3	35	53	23	20	9	4
-	Inactive - Unable to Contact	99	2	11	23	13	10	4	36
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		۷	11	20	10		4	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	0	3	3	1	0	1	1
	Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other								•
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	113	2	14	26	14	10	5	42
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	260	5	49	79	37	30	14	46
Z	NET INFLOW	19	8	-3	-16	35	11	-2	-14 Page 2

	All Youth	Ctatawida	Control	Factory	Foirfield	Greater	Greater New		Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	•	All Youth	4%	30%	24%	17%	12%	5%	9%
В	Active on BNL	188	8	56	45	32	22	9	16
С	Median Days Active	63	41	87	67	54	77	28	59
	Assessment Score Distribution (amcCount of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	3% (5) 6% (12)	13% (1)	2% (1) 5% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0)	22% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	4	13% (25)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (3) 13% (7) 13% (7)	9% (4) 13% (6)	6% (2) 16% (5)	9% (2) 9% (2) 18% (4)	0% (0) 33% (3)	6% (1) 13% (2)
	6	14% (27) 20% (38)	38% (3) 13% (1)	27% (15)	18% (8) 16% (7)	6% (2) 25% (8)	18% (4)	0% (0) 11% (1)	19% (3) 13% (2)
	8	12% (23) 11% (20) 9% (16)	38% (3) 13% (1) 13% (1) 13% (1) 0% (0)	13% (7) 7% (4)	13% (6) 13% (6)	16% (5) 9% (3)	9% (2) 9% (2)	11% (1) 22% (2)	6% (1) 13% (2)
	10	4% (8)	0% (0)	7% (4) 9% (5)	9% (4) 2% (1)	13% (4) 0% (0)	14% (3) 0% (0)	22% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 13% (2)
	12	4% (7) 2% (4)	13% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 4% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0)	9% (2) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)
	14	2% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
⊏	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.48 active rec	6.13 ords)	6.57	6.42	6.41	6.77	5.00	7.06
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	11	0	8	0	1	0	0	2
	Matched/Awarded	67	4	19	5	 15	11	3	10
j	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	36	2	28	6	0	0	0	0
*K	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	13	1	1	6	1	1	1	2
	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th Newly Added	, ,							
L	Clients who have never been active before	34	0	7 	7	10	3	5	2
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	0	1	3	1	1	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41	0	8	10	11	4	5	3
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	15	0	2	6	1	2	2	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH					·			
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	1	0	3	2	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	1	1	1	4	1	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	29	1	4	7	8	5	2	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	1	0	2	1	1	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	6	1	0	3	1	1	0	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	35	2	4	10	9	6	2	2
Z	NET INFLOW	6	-2	4	0	2	-2	3	Page 3

	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Control	Factory	Cairfield	Greater	Greater New	BABASA/	Novibuosi
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		on-Youth	6%	13%	23%	20%	16%	6%	15%
В	Active on BNL	1,844	117	241	422	374	299	108	283
С	Median Days Active	125	112	81	147	138	125	92	148
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	2% (33) 5% (93)	1% (1) 4% (5)	3% (7)	3% (12) 7% (28)	2% (9) 7% (26)	0% (1) 3% (10)	0% (0) 7% (8)	0% (0) 3% (8) 3% (9)
	3 4	8% (152) 12% (227)	4% (5) 3% (3) 8% (9)	4% (10) 10% (24)	11% (48) 14% (61)	12% (44) 16% (58)	5% (14) 8% (25)	10% (11) 17% (18)	8% (22) 11% (32)
	5	12% (229) 13% (243)	12% (14)	10% (24) 10% (25)	13% (54) 15% (64)	17% (63) 12% (45)	7% (22) 9% (27)	14% (15) 19% (20)	13% (37) 16% (45)
	7	10% (190) 13% (234)	15% (17) 16% (19) 17% (20)	10% (24) 19% (45)	12% (50) 7% (30)	17% (63) 12% (45) 8% (29) 10% (36)	9% (27) 12% (36)	5% (5) 10% (11)	13% (36) 20% (56)
	10	7% (138) 6% (102)	4% (5) 5% (6) 5% (6)	12% (30) 9% (22)	6% (25) 4% (15)	5% (17) 3% (13)	14% (43) 10% (30)	6% (6) 5% (5)	4% (12) 4% (11)
	11	5% (83) 3% (51)	5% (6) 7% (8)	7% (16) 1% (3)	4% (15) 2% (9)	4% (14) 2% (7)	8% (23) 5% (16)	3% (3) 2% (2)	2% (6) 2% (6)
		2% (33) 1% (19)	2% (2) 2% (2)	1% (3) 1% (3) 1% (3)	1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (5) 1% (5)	5% (16) 5% (15) 1% (4)	2% (2) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)
	15	1% (12) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (2)	0% (2)	1% (3)	1% (3)	1% (1)	0% (1)
	16	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.57	7.20	0% (1) 7.35	0% (0) 5.89	0% (0) 5.89	0% (0) 7.96	0% (0) 6.06	0% (0) 6.27
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	anding on their comb	pination of circumstr	unoon		
	Refuses CAN Assistance					3	nices.	1	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	11	2	2	0			`l 	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	179	4	19	40	35	66	6	9
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	179	18	45	3	28	61	1	23
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	304	17	54	70	58	55	28	22
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	78	2	22	40	6	0	4	4
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	27	2	8	6	4	4	0	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100							
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		40	47	F.4	50	05	4	00
L	Clients who have never been active before	196	10	17 	51 	53 	35 	4	26
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	42	3	21	2	8	2	3	3
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	238	13	38	53	61	37	7	29
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		n the nest 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	62	0 tine past 30 days.	18	29	5	5	5	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19	0	2	11	3	2	0	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	17	0	4	3	4	5	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	20	2	7	3	3	3	2	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	118	2	31	46	15	15	7	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	94	1	11	21	12	9	4	36
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	3	2	1	0	1	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	107	1	14	23	13	9	5	42
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	225	3	45	69	28	24	12	44
Z	NET INFLOW	13	10	-7	-16	33	13	-5	-15 Page 4

	All Families					Greater	Greater New		
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S	tatewide Families	10%	20%	24%	15%	16%	6%	10%
A B	Active on BNL	327	32	65	80	49	51	18	32
С	Median Days Active	74	105	67	105	53	67	88	73
	Assessment Score Distribution (am						-		-
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 3% (11)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (2)	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 11% (2)	3% (1)
	3	5% (16) 9% (30)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (2) 3% (2)	6% (5)	10% (5)	4% (2) 4% (2)	0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)
	5	12% (38)	13% (4) 9% (3)	9% (6) 11% (7)	14% (11) 9% (7)	10% (5) 8% (4)	14% (7)	6% (1) 33% (6)	3% (1) 13% (4)
	6 7	18% (59) 12% (38)	31% (10) 16% (5)	15% (10) 14% (9) 14% (9)	24% (19) 13% (10)	18% (9) 6% (3) 8% (4)	6% (3) 14% (7)	22% (4) 0% (0)	13% (4) 13% (4)
	8	12% (38) 9% (28)	19% (6) 0% (0) 3% (1)	8% (5)	9% (7) 9% (7)	12% (6)	10% (5) 14% (7)	6% (1) 6% (1)	19% (6) 6% (2)
	10	6% (20) 5% (15)	3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (5) 8% (5)	3% (2) 3% (2)	10% (5)	12% (6) 8% (4)	0% (0) 11% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0)
	12	5% (15) 2% (5)	0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (4) 1% (1)	2% (1) 4% (2) 2% (1)	6% (3) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	16% (5) 0% (0)
	14 -	1% (3) 2% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F	17 18 Average Assessment Score	0% (1)	0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.16 active rec	6.25 ords)	7.49	6.66	6.82	8.31	6.39	7.72
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- G	Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	6	0	4	1	0	0	0	1
	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	107	9	20	17	11	26	11	13
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	41	2	28	10	0	0	0	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	59	3	31	9	5	4	2	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	51	5	7	12	15	6	2	4
М	Returned from Inactive	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	5	8	13	16	6	2	4
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	0	1	3	0	3	0	0
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	0	4	1	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	0	2	1	1	6	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	1	1	0	0	2	2	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	29	1	4	8	2	11	2	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	10	0	0	1	9	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	11	0	0	1	9	0	1	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	40	1	4	9	11	11	3	1
Z	NET INFLOW	14	4	4	4	5	-5	-1	3 Page 5

	All Individuals	Ctatamida	Control	Factors	Fairfield	Greater	Greater New	MANA	Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	rairileid	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		dividuals	5%	14%	23%	21%	16%	6%	16%
В	Active on BNL	1,705	93	232	387	357	270	99	267
С	Median Days Active	131	105	90	147	139	133	92	154
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (7)
	1	2% (31) 5% (87)	1% (1) 5% (5)	1% (2) 3% (6)	3% (12) 7% (26)	2% (8) 7% (25)	0% (1) 3% (9)	0% (0) 8% (8)	3% (7) 3% (8)
	3	9% (148) 13% (222)	2% (2) 5% (5)	5% (11)	12% (47) 14% (56)	11% (41)	5% (14)	11% (11)	8% (22) 12% (33)
	5	13% (218)	5% (5) 15% (14)	11% (25) 10% (24)	14% (56) 14% (55) 13% (52)	16% (58) 17% (61)	9% (25) 7% (19) 10% (28)	20% (20) 9% (9)	13% (36)
	6	13% (222) 10% (175)	15% (14) 9% (8) 16% (15)	10% (24) 13% (30) 9% (22) 17% (40)	13% (52) 12% (46)	17% (61) 12% (44) 9% (31) 10% (35)	10% (28) 8% (22)	17% (17) 6% (6)	13% (36) 16% (43) 12% (33) 19% (52)
	8	13% (216) 7% (126)	16% (15)	17% (40) 13% (29)	12% (46) 7% (29) 6% (22)	10% (35)	8% (22) 12% (33) 14% (39)	12% (12) 5% (5)	19% (52) 4% (11)
	10	5% (90)	5% (5) 5% (5) 6% (6)	9% (22)	4% (14)	4% (15) 2% (8) 4% (14)	9% (24)	5% (5)	4% (12)
	11	4% (75) 2% (40)	6% (6) 9% (8)	5% (12) 1% (2)	4% (14) 2% (7)	4% (14) 1% (5)	8% (21) 5% (14)	1% (1) 2% (2)	3% (7) 1% (2)
	13	2% (31) 1% (16)	9% (8) 2% (2) 2% (2)	2% (4) 1% (3)	2% (7) 1% (4) 1% (2)	1% (5) 1% (5)	5% (13) 1% (4)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1)
	15	0% (6) 0% (0)	I 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (2)	1% (2)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.44	0% (0) 7.43	0% (0) 7.12	0% (0) 5.79	0% (0) 5.81	0% (0) 7.79	0% (0) 5.91	0% (0) 6.14
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			2	5.10	5.01	•	5.51	0.11
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
۰	Refuses CAN Assistance	11	2	2	0	3	1	1	2
۲	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)							· ·	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	179	4	19 	40	35	66	6	9
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	184	18	49	2	29	61	1	24
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	264	12	53	58	62	40	20	19
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	73	2	22	36	6	0	4	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	156	7	33	42	31	22	7	14
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T .						
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	179	5	17	46	48	32	7	24
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	46	3	21	4	8	3	3	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	225	8	38	50	56	35	10	28
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	70	0	19	32	6	4	7	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	14	0	2	7	2	2	0	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	12	0	3	2	6	1	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	22	2	7	4	7	2	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	118	2	31	45	21	9	7	3
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	89	2	11	22	4	10	4	36
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	3	3	1	0	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an insulution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
X	Other Outflow subtotal	102	2	14	25	5	10	4	42
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	220	4	45	70	26	19	11	45
Z	NET INFLOW	5	4	-7	-20	30	16	-1	-17
			•						Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	A				Greater	Greater New		
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S Families (No		11%	13%	27%	16%	17%	6%	10%
В	Active on BNL	276	30	37	74	44	48	16	27
С	Median Days Active	74	105	54	105	54	71	88	78
	Assessment Score Distribution (am								
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	10/. /1\	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 3% (9)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (1)
	3	5% (14)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (2) 7% (5) 11% (8)	5% (2) 9% (4)	2% (1) 4% (2) 4% (2)	6% (1) 0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1)
	5	7% (20) 11% (31)	13% (4) 10% (3)	3% (1) 5% (2)	9% (7)	7% (3) 9% (4)	4% (2) 13% (6) 4% (2)	6% (1) 38% (6)	4% (1) 11% (3)
	6 7	16% (45) 12% (32)	30% (9) 17% (5)	5% (2) 14% (5)	26% (19) 11% (8)	18% (8) 7% (3)	15% (7)	19% (3) 0% (0)	7% (2) 15% (4) 22% (6)
	9	13% (36) 9% (26)	17% (5)	22% (8) 11% (4)	9% (7) 9% (7)	9% (4) 11% (5)	10% (5) 15% (7)	6% (1) 6% (1)	7% (2)
	10	6% (17) 5% (14)	0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (3) 14% (5)	3% (2) 3% (2)	11% (5) 2% (1)	13% (6) 6% (3)	0% (0) 13% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	12	5% (13) 2% (5)	0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1)	5% (2) 2% (1)	6% (3) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	15% (4) 0% (0)
	14	1% (3) 2% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	6% (1) 0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F	17 18 Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 7.36	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.20	3% (1) 8.76	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.69	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 0% (0) 8.38	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.69	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.70
٦	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.70	0.09	7.00	0.30	0.09	7.70
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	nnces.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	0	4	1	0	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	99	9	17	17	11	24	10	11
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	18	2	5	10	0	0	0	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	8	1	3	3	0	1	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 davs.							
ŀ	Newly Added	43	5	6	11	12	4	1	4
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive					12			
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	5	7	11	13	4	1	4
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Date Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	5	0	0	2	0	3	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	5 5	0	0	4	1	 0	0	0
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	9	0	 1	1	<u>'</u> 1	5 5	0	 1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	6	1	 1	' 0	' 0	 2	2	 0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	25	1	2	7	2	10	2	1
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact		,		0		-		
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
х	Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	0	0	9	0	1	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	35 10	1	2	7 4	11	10	3	3
4	NETINFLOW	70	4	5	4	2	-6	-2	3 Page 7

	Familias (Vastala)					Greater	Greater New	i beau.anderson@	, anger man queens
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S	tatewide		55%					
Α	Families	(Youth)	4%		12%	10%	6%	4%	10%
В	Active on BNL	51	2	28	6	5	3	2	5
С	Median Days Active	112	111	171	85	25	21	86	64
_	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)						
υ	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 50% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		4% (2) 20% (10)	0% (0)	4% (1) 18% (5)	50% (3)	20% (1) 40% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		14% (7) 27% (14)	0% (0) 50% (1)	18% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 20% (1)	33% (1) 33% (1)	0% (0) 50% (1)	20% (1) 40% (2)
		12% (6) 4% (2)	0% (0) 50% (1)	29% (8) 14% (4) 4% (1)	33% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	9	4% (2) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 7% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 20% (1)
	11	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 17% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 20% (1)
	13	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.08	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 5.82	0% (0) 6.33	0% (0) 5.20	0% (0) 7.33	0% (0) 4.00	0% (0) 7.80
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	hination of circumsts	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	8	0	3	0	0	2	1	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	23	0	23	0	0	0	0	0
*K		1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	8	0	1	1	3	2	1	0
M	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	9	0	1	2	3	2	1	0
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.						
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	4	0	2	1	0	1	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5	0	2	2	0	1	0	0
Z	NET INFLOW	4	0	-1	0	3	1	1	Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Control	Footorn	Egirfield	Greater	Greater New		Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
٨	Individuals		4%	20%	28%	20%	14%	5%	8%
В		137	6	28	39	27	19	7	11
С		61	41	43	67	60	85	28	55
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 17% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 14% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	7% (10) 11% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (2) 7% (2)	0% (0) 10% (4) 8% (3)	4% (1) 11% (3)	11% (2) 11% (2)	0% (0) 43% (3)	9% (1) 18% (2)
	5	15% (20) 18% (24)	50% (3) 0% (0)	7% (2)	21% (8) 18% (7) 10% (4)	7% (2) 26% (7)	16% (3) 16% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (2) 0% (0)
	7	12% (17)	17% (1)	25% (7) 11% (3)	10% (7)	19% (5)	11% (2)	14% (1)	9% (1)
	9	13% (18) 10% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (3) 11% (3)	15% (6) 10% (4)	11% (3) 11% (3)	11% (2) 16% (3)	29% (2) 0% (0)	18% (2) 9% (1)
		4% (5) 4% (6)	17% (1)	11% (3) 4% (1)	15% (6) 10% (4) 3% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (1) 9% (1) 0% (0)
		1% (2) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F		0% (0)	0% (0) 5.83	0% (0) 7.32	0% (0) 6.44	0% (0) 6.63	0% (0) 6.68	0% (0) 5.29	0% (0) 6.73
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among			1.32	0.44	0.00	0.00	5.23	0.73
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	0	8	0	1	0	0	1
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	59	4	16	5	15	9	2	8
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	2	5	6	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	12	1	1	5	1	1	1	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	26	0	6	6	7	1	4	2
	Returned from Inactive	6	0	 1	2	1	1	0	1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	32	0	7	8	8	2	4	3
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		•	•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0		13	0	11	5	1	2	2	2
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	1	1	1	4	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	25	1	2	6	8	4	2	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	5	1	0	2	1	1	0	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	30	<u>2</u> -2	<u>2</u> 5	<u>8</u> 0	<u>9</u> -1	<u>5</u> -3	2 2	<u>2</u> 1
2	NET INFLOW	2	-2	J	U	-1	-J		1 Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)					Greater	Greater New		ca.gov with questions
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
,	Percentage of S Individuals (No		6%	13%	22%	21%	16%	6%	16%
В	Active on BNL	1,568	87	204	348	330	251	92	256
С	Median Days Active	137	113	95	153	149	139	92	167
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	2% (31) 5% (84)	1% (1) 5% (4)	1% (2) 3% (6) 4% (9)	3% (12) 7% (26)	0% (0) 2% (8) 7% (24)	0% (1) 4% (9)	0% (0) 8% (7)	3% (7) 3% (8)
	4	9% (138) 13% (207)	2% (2) 6% (5)	11% (23)	12% (43) 15% (53)	12% (40) 17% (55)	5% (12) 9% (23)	12% (11) 18% (17)	8% (21)
	6	13% (198) 13% (198)	13% (11) 9% (8)	11% (22) 11% (23)	14% (47)	18% (59) 11% (37) 8% (26)	6% (16) 10% (25) 8% (20)	10% (9) 18% (17)	12% (31) 13% (34) 17% (43)
	8	10% (158) 13% (198)	16% (14)	9% (19) 18% (37)	12% (42) 7% (23) 5% (18) 4% (13)	10% (32)	12% (31)	18% (17) 5% (5) 11% (10)	13% (32) 20% (50)
	10	7% (112) 5% (85)	17% (15) 6% (5) 6% (5)	13% (26) 9% (19)	5% (18) 4% (13)	4% (12) 2% (8)	14% (36) 10% (24)	11% (10) 5% (5) 5% (5)	4% (10) 4% (11)
	11	4% (69) 2% (38)	6% (5) 9% (8)	5% (11) 1% (2)	4% (13) 2% (6)	4% (13) 2% (5)	8% (20) 5% (13)	1% (1)	2% (6) 1% (2)
	13	2% (28) 1% (16)	2% (2) 2% (2)	1% (2) 1% (3)	1% (4) 1% (2)	1% (4) 2% (5)	5% (13) 2% (4)	2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	15	0% (6) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2)	1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.43	7.54	7.09	5.72	5.74	7.88	5.96	6.11
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	11	2	2	0	3	1	1	2
Г	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	179	4	 19	40	35	 66	6	 9
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered		· 						
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	174	18	41	2	28	61	1	23
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	205	8	37	53	47	31	18	11
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	60	0	17	30	6	0	4	3
	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	19	1	5	3	4	3	0	3
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		•	<u> </u>			•		-
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	153	5	11	40	41	31	3	22
М	Returned from Inactive	40	3	20	2	7	2	3	3
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	193	8	31	42	48	33	6	25
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				2-	_		_	
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	57	0	18 	27	5	2	5	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	14	0	2	7	2	2	0	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	0	3	2	3	0	0	0
	Housed - All Other	14	1	6	3	3	1	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	93	1	29	39	13	5	5	1
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	85	1	11	21	3	9	4	36
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	7	0	3	2	1	0	 0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased					······			1 4
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	97	1	14	23	4	9	4	42
Y 7	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	190 3	<u>2</u> 6	43 -12	-20	17 31	14 19	<u>9</u> -3	43 -18
4	IALT IIII LOW	J		-12	-20	31	13	-0	-10 Page 10

1	3/17/2020 FFI BNL Report								eau.anderson@ct.g	
	Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	***	91%	16%	84%	4.40/			77%
Α	Statev	vide BNL	9%		10 /0		14%	3%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	2,032	188	1,844	327	1,705	276	51	137	1,568
С	Median Days Active	118	63	125	74	131	74	112	61	137
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score		00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (1)	00((4)	00/ (1)	00((0)	00/ (0)	00((4)
	0 1	0% (2) 2% (33)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 2% (33) 5% (93)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (1) 2% (31) 5% (87) 9% (148) 13% (222) 13% (218)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (3) 7% (10) 11% (15)	0% (1) 2% (31) 5% (84)
		5% (98) 8% (164)	0% (0) 3% (5)	5% (93) 8% (153)	3% (11) 5% (16)	5% (87)	3% (9) 5% (14)	4% (2)	2% (3)	5% (84)
	4	12% (252)	6% (12) 13% (25)	8% (152) 12% (227)	3% (11) 5% (16) 9% (30)	13% (222)	3% (9) 5% (14) 7% (20)	20% (10)	11% (15)	9% (138) 13% (207)
	5 6	13% (256) 14% (281)	14% (27) 20% (38)	12% (229) 13% (243)	12% (38) 18% (59)	13% (218) 13% (222)	11% (31) 16% (45)	20% (10) 14% (7) 27% (14)	15% (20) 18% (24)	13% (198) 13% (198)
		10% (213) 13% (254)	12% (23) 11% (20)	10% (190) 13% (234) 7% (138)	12% (38)	10% (175) 13% (216)	12% (32) 13% (36)	12% (6)	12% (17) 13% (18)	10% (158) 13% (198) 7% (112)
	9	8% (154)	9% (16)	7% (138)	9% (28)	7% (126)	9% (26)	4% (2)	10% (14)	7% (112)
		5% (110) 4% (90)	9% (16) 4% (8) 4% (7) 2% (4) 2% (3)	6% (102) 5% (83) 3% (51) 2% (33)	12% (38) 18% (59) 12% (38) 12% (38) 9% (28) 6% (20) 5% (15)	13% (222) 10% (175) 13% (216) 7% (126) 5% (90) 4% (75) 2% (40) 2% (31)	7% (20) 11% (31) 16% (45) 12% (32) 13% (36) 9% (26) 6% (17) 5% (14)	6% (3) 2% (1)	4% (5) 4% (6) 1% (2) 2% (3) 0% (0)	5% (85) 4% (60)
	12	3% (55) 2% (36)	2% (4)	3% (51)	5% (15) 2% (5)	2% (40)	5% (13) 2% (5)	4% (2)	1% (2)	2% (38)
	14	1% (19)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (19)	1% (3)	1% (10)	1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (16)
	15 -	1% (12) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (12) 0% (0)	1% (3) 2% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (6) 0% (0)	1% (3) 2% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (6) 4% (2) 4% (2) 6% (3) 2% (1) 4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (38) 2% (28) 1% (16) 0% (6) 0% (0) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.56	6.48	6.57	7.16	6.44	7.36	6.08	6.63	6.43
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance				aepending on th					
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	11	0	11	0	11 	0	0	0	11
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	179	0	179	0	179	0	0	0	179
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	190	11	179	6	184	5	1	10	174
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	371	67	304	107	264	99	8	59	205
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	114	36	78	41	73	18	23	13	60
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	215	188	27	59	156	8	51	137	19
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	a neet 20 days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	, ,								
L	Clients who have never been active before	230	34	196	51	179	43	8	26	153
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	49	7	42	3	46	2	1	6	40
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	279	41	238	54	225	45	9	32	193
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	,								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Indi	ctive on the BNL is	n the past 30 day							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	77	15	62	7	70	5	2	13	57
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19	0	19	5	14	5	0	0	14
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with FSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	23	6	17	11	12	9	2	4	8
R	Housed - All Other	28	8	20	6	22	6	0	8	14
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	147	29	118	29	118	25	4	25	93
5	Inactive - Unable to Contact									
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	99	5	94	10	89	9	1	4	85
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	1	8	1	8	1	0	1	7
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	113	6	107	11	102	10	1	5	97
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	260	35	225	40	220	35	5	30	190
Z	NET INFLOW	19	6	13	14	5	10	4	2	3

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)	
	Perce	entage of	routii	94%	1 diffiles	74%	(Non-Toutil)	(10001)	(Toutil)	70%	
Α		tral CAN	6%		26%		24%	2%	5%		
В	Active on BNL	125	8	117	32	93	30	2	6	87	
С	Median Days Active	105	41	112	105	105	105	111	41	113	
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)								
U	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	
	1	1% (1) 5% (6)	0% (0) 13% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 17% (1)	1% (1)	
	3	2% (3) 7% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (5) 3% (3) 8% (9)	3% (1) 13% (4)	5% (5) 2% (2) 5% (5)	3% (1) 13% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (4) 2% (2) 6% (5)	
	5	14% (17)	38% (3) 13% (1)	12% (14) 15% (17)	9% (3) 31% (10)	15% (14) 9% (8)	10% (3)	0% (0)	50% (3) 0% (0)	13% (11) 9% (8)	
	7	14% (18) 16% (20)	13% (1) 13% (1) 13% (1)	16% (17) 16% (19) 17% (20)	16% (5) 19% (6)	16% (15) 16% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 13% (4) 10% (3) 30% (9) 17% (5) 17% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 50% (1) 0% (0) 50% (1)	17% (1) 0% (0)	16% (14) 17% (15)	
	9	17% (21) 4% (5)	13% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (20) 4% (5) 5% (6)	19% (6) 0% (0) 3% (1)	16% (15) 5% (5) 5% (5)	17% (5) 0% (0) 3% (1)	50% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (15) 6% (5) 6% (5)	
	10	5% (6) 6% (7)	13% (1)	5% (6) 5% (6)	3% (1) 3% (1)	6% (6)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (1)	6% (5)	
	12	6% (8) 2% (2)	0% (0)	7% (8)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (8) 2% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (8) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	
	14	2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (2)	
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)		0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.13	6.13 orde)	7.20	6.25	7.43	6.20	7.00	5.83	7.54	
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.										
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	0	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	21	4	17	9	12	9	0	4	8	
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	8	2	3	7	1	2	6	1	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	10	0	10	5	5	5	0	0	5	
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	13	0	13	5	8	5	0	0	8	
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the next 20 d	10							
	Housed - Self-Resolved				0	^	^	0	^	0	
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other										
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1	
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact		1		•		•		1	1	
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	2	·	1	0	2	0	0	 	 	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	
Y 7	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	<u>5</u> 8	-2	3 10	<u>1</u>	4 4	<u>1</u>	0	-2	6	
۷	NLI INI LOW	U	-2	10	7	*	7	U	-2	Page 12	

0,11,2020	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								au.anuerson@ci.	
E	astern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		81%		78%	,	, ,	()	69%
A		ern CAN	19%		22%		12%	9%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	297	56	241	65	232	37	28	28	204
C	Median Days Active	81	87	81	67	90	54	171	43	95
	nt Score Distribution (amo			01	01	30	J 1	17.1	70	33
	e records having each assessment score.		iccords							
0		0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
2		3% (8)	2% (1)	3% (7) 4% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (2) 3% (2) 9% (6) 11% (7)	3% (6)	3% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 7% (2)	1% (2) 3% (6) 4% (9)
4		4% (13) 10% (31)	5% (3) 13% (7)	10% (24)	3% (2) 9% (6)	5% (11) 11% (25)	3% (1) 3% (1)	18% (5)	7% (2) 7% (2) 7% (2)	11% (23)
5		10% (31) 13% (40)	13% (7) 27% (15)	10% (24) 10% (25)	11% (7) 15% (10)	10% (24) 13% (30)	5% (2) 5% (2)	18% (5) 29% (8)	7% (2) 25% (7)	11% (22) 11% (23)
7		10% (31) 16% (49)	27% (15) 13% (7) 7% (4)	10% (24) 19% (45)	15% (10) 14% (9) 14% (9)	10% (24) 13% (30) 9% (22) 17% (40) 13% (29) 9% (22)	0% (0) 0% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1) 5% (2) 5% (2) 14% (5) 22% (8)	14% (4) 4% (1)	11% (3) 11% (3)	9% (19) 18% (37)
9		11% (34)	7% (4)	19% (43) 12% (30) 9% (22)	8% (5) 8% (5)	13% (29)	11% (4) 8% (3)	4% (1)	11% (3)	13% (26)
10 11		9% (27) 6% (17)	9% (5) 2% (1)	9% (22) 7% (16)	8% (5) 8% (5)	9% (22) 5% (12)	8% (3) 14% (5)	4% (1) 7% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (3) 4% (1)	9% (19) 5% (11)
12 13		1% (3) 2% (5)	2% (1) 0% (0) 4% (2)	7% (16) 1% (3)	8% (5) 2% (1)	5% (12) 1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (2)	5% (11) 1% (2)
14		1% (3)	4% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3)	0% (0)	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0) 7% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (3)
15 1 <u>6</u> -		1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17 - 18		0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 3% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0 % (3) 14% (5) 3% (1) 0% (0) 5% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	7.20	6.57	7.35	7.49	7.12	8.76	5.82	7.32	7.09
	nditions Followed (among n each row below are currently active on the contract of the contract			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Chronic (Verified)	19	0	 19	0	 19	0	0	0	19
	UD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	53	8	45	4	49	4	0	 8	 41
H Clien	ts that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	73			20		17	3		
	ched to or awarded a housing resource		19	54	20	53			16 	37
J Active clients w	ho are enrolled in Transitional Housing	50	28	22	28	22	5	23	5	17
K Active clients wh	h at Time of Assessment o were under 25 at time of assessment	64	56	8	31	33	3	28	28	5
	ctive List: Past 30 Days re made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	24	7	17	7	17	6	1	6	11
	Returned from Inactive	22	1	21	1	21	1	0	1	20
Onorito mad	ctive for any reason who are now active DW to Active List TOTAL	46	8	38	8	38	7	1	7	31
	om Active List: Past 30 Da						•	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>
	re returned to housing or marked as Inac	,	n the past 30 day	/S.						
O Clients re	Housed - Self-Resolved sturned to housing in past 30 days, self-	20	2	18	1	19	0	1	1	18
	Housed - PSH ed to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Chonic rotario	Housed - RRH d to housing in past 30 days, with FSH d to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	1	4	2	3	1	1	0	3
	Housed - All Other and to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
S Clients return	Housed Outflow subtotal	35	4	31	4	31	2	2	2	29
	ctive - Unable to Contact	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11
lı	nactive - In an Institution nactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	Inactive - Deceased lade inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Olichia	Inactive - All Other active in past 30 days, deceased active in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made ina	Other Outflow subtotal	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
	from Active List TOTAL	49	4	45	4	45	2	2	2	43
z	NET INFLOW	-3	4	-7	4	-7	5	-1	5	-12
L	1	-	1		1		-			Page 13

ı	3/17/2020 TTT BIVE REPORT								au.anderson@ci.g	
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		90%		83%	(**************************************	(' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	(100.00)	75%
Δ	Fairfield Cou	-	10%		17%		16%	1%	8%	
В	Active on BNL	467	45	422	80	387	74	6	39	348
С	Median Days Active	139	67	147	105	147	105	85	67	153
- 1	Assessment Score Distribution (am			171	100	177	100		<u> </u>	100
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		1000143)							
		0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 3% (12) 7% (28)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (12)	1% (1) 0% (0) 3% (2) 7% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (12)
	2	3% (12) 6% (28)	0% (0)	7% (28)	3% (2)	7% (26)	3% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (26)
		11% (52) 14% (67)	9% (4) 13% (6)	11% (48) 14% (61)	6% (5) 14% (11)	12% (47) 14% (56)	7% (5) 11% (8)	0% (0) 50% (3)	10% (4) 8% (3)	12% (43) 15% (53)
	5	13% (62)	18% (8)	13% (54)	14% (11) 9% (7)	14% (55)	9% (7)	0% (0)	21% (8)	14% (47)
		15% (71) 12% (56)	16% (7) 13% (6)	15% (64) 12% (50)	24% (19) 13% (10)	13% (52) 12% (46)	26% (19) 11% (8)	0% (0) 33% (2)	18% (7) 10% (4)	13% (45) 12% (42)
	8	8% (36) 6% (29)	13% (6) 9% (4)	13% (54) 12% (50) 7% (30) 6% (25) 4% (15) 4% (15) 2% (9)	13% (10) 9% (7) 9% (7) 3% (2)	14% (55) 13% (52) 12% (46) 7% (29) 6% (22) 4% (14)	11% (8) 9% (7) 26% (19) 11% (8) 9% (7) 9% (7) 3% (2) 3% (2) 4% (3) 1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	15% (6) 10% (4)	12% (42) 7% (23) 5% (18) 4% (13)
	10	3% (16)	2% (1)	4% (15)	3% (2)	4% (14)	3% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1)	4% (13)
		3% (16) 2% (11)	2% (1) 4% (2)	4% (15) 2% (9)	3% (2) 5% (4)	4% (14) 2% (7)	3% (2) 4% (3)	0% (0) 17% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (13) 2% (6)
	13	1% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (1)	1% (4) 1% (2)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (2)
		1% (3) 0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	5.94	6.42	5.89	6.66	5.79	6.69	6.33	6.44	5.72
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ited in multiple rows	s dependina on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	Chronic (Verified)	40	0	40	0	40	0	0	0	40
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
	Matched/Awarded	75	5	70	17	58	17	0	5	53
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	73	<u> </u>	70	17	J0	17	U		55
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	46	6	40	10	36	10	0	6	30
Ů	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment		45			40			20	
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	51	45	6	9	42	3	6	39	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.	l							
1	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	58	7	51	12	46	11	1	6	40
-	Returned from Inactive	г	2	۰	4	4	^	4		
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	3	2	1	4	0	1	2	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	63	10	53	13	50	11	2	8	42
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 day I							
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	35	6	29	3	32	2	1	5	27
	Housed - PSH	11	0	 11	4	7	4	0	0	7
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	11	U	l I	4	ı	4	U	U	1
Q	Housed - RRH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
ų	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	4			^	4	^	^	4	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	53	7	46	8	45	7	1	6	39
_[Inactive - Unable to Contact	23	2	21	1	22	0	1	1	21
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		ļ <u>-</u>					·	·	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	Λ	Λ	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	U	U	U	U	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	26	3	23	1	25	0	1	2	23
^	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	79	10	69	9	70	7	2	8	62
7	NET INFLOW	-16	0	-16	4	-20	4	0	0	-20
۷	ALI INI LOW	-10	U	-10	7	-20	7	U	U	-20 Page 14

	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals	Individuals (Non-Youth)		
	Poros		routii	92%	rammes	88%	(INOH-YOULH)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(NON-YOULI) 81%		
	Percentage of Greater Hartford CAN		8%	52,5	12%	3070	11%	1%	7%	0170		
A	Active on BNL	406	32	374	40	357	44	5	27	330		
B C	Median Days Active	127	54	138	49 53	139	54	25	60	149		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			130	33	100	J 4	23	00	143		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score											
	1	0% (0) 2% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (9) 7% (26) 12% (44) 16% (58)	0% (0) 2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 2% (8) 7% (25) 11% (41) 16% (58)	0% (0) 2% (1) 5% (2) 9% (4) 7% (3) 9% (4) 18% (8) 7% (3) 9% (4) 11% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (8) 7% (24)		
	2	7% (27) 11% (46)	3% (1) 6% (2)	7% (26)	4% (2) 10% (5)	7% (25)	5% (2)	0% (0) 20% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1) 11% (3)	7% (24) 12% (40)		
	4	16% (63)	16% (5)	16% (58)	10% (5)	16% (58)	7% (3)	40% (2)	11% (3)	12% (40) 17% (55)		
	6	16% (65) 13% (53)	16% (5) 6% (2) 25% (8) 16% (5) 9% (3)	12% (45)	18% (9)	12% (44)	18% (8)	0% (0) 20% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (2) 26% (7) 19% (5) 11% (3)	18% (59) 11% (37)		
	8	8% (34) 10% (39)	16% (5) 9% (3)	17% (63) 12% (45) 8% (29) 10% (36)	6% (3) 8% (4)	17% (61) 12% (44) 9% (31) 10% (35)	7% (3) 9% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	19% (5) 11% (3)	8% (26) 10% (32)		
		5% (21) 3% (13)	13% (4) 0% (0)	5% (17) 3% (13) 4% (14)	12% (6) 10% (5)	4% (15) 2% (8)	11% (5) 11% (5)	20% (1) 0% (0)	11% (3) 0% (0)	4% (12) 2% (8) 4% (13)		
		4% (15) 2% (7)	3% (1) 0% (0)	2% (7)	2% (1) 4% (2)	4% (14) 1% (5)	2% (1) 5% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	4% (13) 2% (5)		
	13	1% (6) 1% (5)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (5)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (5)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (4) 2% (5) 1% (2)		
	15	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3)	2% (1)	1% (5) 1% (2)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2)		
	17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (5) 10% (5) 10% (5) 8% (4) 18% (9) 6% (3) 8% (4) 12% (6) 10% (5) 2% (1) 4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (5) 2% (1) 5% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.93	0% (0) 6.41	0% (0) 5.89	0% (0) 6.82	0% (0) 5.81	7.00	0% (0) 5.20	0% (0) 6.63	0% (0) 5.74		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
	Refuses CAN Assistance								^	^		
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	35	0	35	0	35	0	0	0	35		
	Known Unsheltered	29	1	28	0	29	0	0	 1	28		
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	73	15	58	11	62	11	0	 15	47		
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6		
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment											
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	36	32	4	5	31	0	5	27	4		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	ne past 30 days.										
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	63	10	53	15	48	12	3	7	41		
,,	Returned from Inactive	9	1	8	1	8	1	0	 1	7		
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	72	11	61	16	56	13	3	8	48		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			• •								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5		
	Housed - PSH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2		
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	7	3	4	<u>'</u>	6	<u>'</u> 1	0	3	3		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other											
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	4	3	0	7	0	0	4	3		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	23	8	15	2	21	2	0	8	13		
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	1	12	9	4	9	0	1 	3		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	14	1	13	9	5	9	0	1	4		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	37	9	28	11	26	11	0	9	17		
Z	NET INFLOW	35	2	33	5	30	2	3	-1	31 Page 15		

	O (N)	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Greater New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		93%		84%				78%
Α	Greater New Ha	•	7%		16%		15%	1%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	321	22	299	51	270	48	3	19	251
С	Median Days Active	120	77	125	67	133	71	21	85	139
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)	-						
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
		0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 3% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 4% (9)
		3% (10) 5% (16)	0% (0) 9% (2)	0% (1) 3% (10) 5% (14)	2% (1) 4% (2) 4% (2)	5% (14)	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 11% (2)	5% (12)
	4	8% (27) 8% (26)	9% (2)	8% (25) 7% (22)	4% (2)	9% (25) 7% (19)	4% (2) 13% (6)	0% (0)	11% (2) 16% (3)	9% (23)
	6	10% (31)	18% (4) 18% (4)	8% (25) 7% (22) 9% (27) 9% (27)	6% (3)	10% (28) 8% (22)	4% (2)	33% (1) 0% (0)	16% (3) 11% (2)	9% (23) 6% (16) 10% (25) 8% (20)
	8	9% (29) 12% (38)	9% (2) 9% (2)	12% (36)	14% (7) 6% (3) 14% (7) 10% (5)	8% (22) 12% (33)	15% (7)	0% (0)	11% (2)	12% (31)
		14% (46) 9% (30)	14% (3) 0% (0)	14% (43) 10% (30)	14% (7) 12% (6)	12% (33) 14% (39) 9% (24)	4% (2) 4% (2) 13% (6) 4% (2) 15% (7) 10% (5) 15% (7) 13% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	16% (3) 0% (0)	14% (36) 10% (24)
		8% (25) 5% (17)	9% (2) 5% (1)	8% (23) 5% (16)	8% (4) 6% (3)	8% (21) 5% (14)	6% (3)	33% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)	8% (20) 5% (13)
	13	5% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (15) 1% (4)	4% (2)	5% (13) 1% (4)	4% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (13) 2% (4)
	15	1% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3)	2% (1)	1% (2)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (2)
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (1)	4% (2) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.88	0% (0) 6.77	0% (0) 7.96	0% (0) 8.31	0% (0) 7.79	0% (0) 8.38	0% (0) 7.33	0% (0) 6.68	0% (0) 7.88
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Chronic (Verified)	66	0	66	0	66	0	0	0	66
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness									
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	61	0	61	0	61	0	0	0	61
	Matched/Awarded	66	11	55	26	40	24	2	9	31
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource					40		۷		J1
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Youth at Time of Assessment	26	22	4	4	22	1	3	19	3
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment			7			'		10	J
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 davs								
	Newly Added		2	25	G	20	1	2	1	24
L	Clients who have never been active before	38	3	35	6	32	4	2	1 	31
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41	4	37	6	35	4	2	2	33
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	'S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	2	5	3	4	3	0	2	2
	Housed - PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	۷	U	۷	U	۷	U	U	U 	۷
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	2	5	6	1	5	1	1	0
	Housed - All Other	4	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		·						1	
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	20	5	15	11	9	10	1	4	5
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	10	1	9	0	10	0	0	1	9
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	10	1	9	0	10	0	0	1	9
X v	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	3 0	6	24	11	10 19	10	<u> </u>	5	9 14
7	NET INFLOW	30 11	-2	13	-5	16	-6	1	<u> </u>	19
4	NET INI LOW	.,,	- L	10	-∪	10	-0		-∪	Page 16

MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 85%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	8%	92%	15%	85%	14%	2%	6%	79%
	117	9	100	10	00	16	2%	7	02
Active on BNL Median Days Active	92	28	108 92	18 88	99 92	88	<u>2</u> 86	28	92 92
Assessment Score Distribution (am			<u> </u>	00	<u> </u>	00	- 00	20	32
D Count of all active records having each assessment score). -		00((0)	20/ (2)	20/ (2)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00((0)	20((2)
1	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
3	9% (10) 9% (11)	22% (2) 0% (0)	7% (8) 10% (11)	11% (2) 0% (0) 6% (1)	8% (8) 11% (11)	6% (1) 0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0)	8% (7) 12% (11)
5	18% (21) 13% (15)	33% (3) 0% (0)	17% (18) 14% (15)	6% (1) 33% (6)	20% (20) 9% (9) 17% (17)	6% (1) 38% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	43% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (17) 10% (9)
6 7	18% (21) 5% (6)	11% (1) 11% (1)	19% (20) 5% (5)	22% (4) 0% (0)	6% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 6% (1) 38% (6) 19% (3) 0% (0) 6% (1)	50% (1) 0% (0)	14% (1)	18% (17) 5% (5)
8	11% (13) 5% (6)	22% (2) 0% (0)	10% (11) 6% (6) 5% (5)	33% (6) 22% (4) 0% (0) 6% (1) 6% (1) 0% (0)	12% (12) 5% (5) 5% (5)	6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (2) 0% (0)	11% (10) 5% (5) 5% (5)
10	4% (5) 3% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (3)	11% (2)	5% (5) 1% (1)	0% (0) 13% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (5) 1% (1)
12 13	2% (2) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 2% (2)	0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)
14	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	6% (1) 0% (0) 13% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.98	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.00	0% (0) 6.06	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.39	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.91	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.69	0% (0) 0% (0) 4.00	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.29	0% (0) 5.96
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)					7.00	J.£3	0.50
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	31	3	28	11	20	10	1	2	18
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	9	9	0	2	7	0	2	7	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in to	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	9	5	4	2	7	1	1	4	3
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	12	5	7	2	10	1	1	4	6
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved				_	_	_	_	_	_
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	2	5 	0	7	0	0	2	5
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	9	2	7	2	7	2	0	2	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	14 -2	2	-5	-1	<u>11</u> -1	-2	0	2	<u>9</u> -3
z NET INFLOW	-2	3	-5	-7	-7	-2	1	2	-3 Page 17

	3717/2020111 BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Northwest CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		95%		89%				86%
Α		est CAN	5%		11%		9%	2%	4%	
В	Active on BNL	299	16	283	32	267	27	5	11	256
С	Median Days Active	138	59	148	73	154	78	64	55	167
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score.	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	3% (8)	0% (0)	3% (8)	3% (1)	3% (7) 3% (8)	4% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (7) 3% (8)
	3	3% (9) 8% (23)	0% (0) 6% (1)	3% (9) 8% (22) 11% (32)	3% (1)	8% (22)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	8% (21)
	5	11% (34) 13% (40)	13% (2) 19% (3) 13% (2)	13% (37)	3% (1) 13% (4)	12% (33) 13% (36)	4% (1) 11% (3)	20% (1)	18% (2) 18% (2)	12% (31) 13% (34)
		16% (47) 12% (37)	6% (1)	16% (45) 13% (36)	13% (4) 13% (4)	12% (33) 13% (36) 16% (43) 12% (33) 19% (52)	7% (2) 15% (4)	40% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	17% (43) 13% (32)
		19% (58) 4% (13)	13% (2) 6% (1)	20% (56)	3% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1) 13% (4) 13% (4) 13% (4) 19% (6) 6% (2) 3% (1)	19% (52) 4% (11)	22% (6) 7% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (2)	20% (50)
	10	4% (13) 2% (7)	13% (2) 6% (1)	4% (12) 4% (11)	3% (1) 0% (0)	4% (11) 4% (12) 3% (7) 1% (2)	4% (1) 4% (1) 4% (1) 4% (1) 11% (3) 7% (2) 15% (4) 22% (6) 7% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 15% (4)	20% (1) 0% (0)	9% (1) 9% (1)	4% (10) 4% (11)
	12	2% (7) 0% (1)	6% (1) 0% (0)	2% (6) 2% (6)	16% (5)	1% (2)	15% (4)	20% (1)	0% (0)	2% (6) 1% (2) 0% (1)
	14	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (1)	0% (0)	9% (1) 9% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.31	7.06 orde)	6.27	7.72	6.14	7.70	7.80	6.73	6.11
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Н	Known Unsheltered	25	2	23	1	24	0	1	1	23
11	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	20	40	00	40	40	44	0	0	44
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	32	10	22	13	19	11	2	8 	11
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
	Youth at Time of Assessment	19	16	3	5	14	0	5	11	3
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	10			''			.,	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	28	2	26	4	24	4	0	2	22
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	32	3	29	4	28	4	0	3	25
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the next 20 day	110						
	Housed - Self-Resolved			•	_	^	_	0	^	^
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Housed - RRH	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH			l 		U 	'	·		·
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	4	2	2	1	3	1	0	2	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	36	0	36	0	36	0	0	0	36
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	1	^	1	^	1	^	Λ	^	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	<u> </u>
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - All Other	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	42		42		42				
X Y	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	42 46	0 2	42 44	0 1	42 45	0 1	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	42 43
7	NET INFLOW	-14	1	<u>-15</u>	3	<u>-17</u>	3	0	1	<u> 43</u> -18
_	2011		•	.,		•••			•	Page 18

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).