FYI BNL Counts 2/13/2018 - DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

/CEE ATT	ACHED	DAGES EC	ADDITION OF	ONAL DETAIL

						Greater	Greater				Waterbury/	l
	TABLE AF	Families (25+)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield	
AF0		Active Records	281	23	70	60	44	26	15	9	34	
AF1	N Se	0 to 3	19	2	4	4	5	0	1	0	3	
AF2	₹ÿ	4 to 8	159	10	41	25	26	20	9	7	21	ge 7
AF3	F &	9+	103	11	25	31	13	6	5	2	10	paí
AF4		Median Days Active	97	105	80	70	141	111	104	32	116	ou
AF5		Refusers	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	tails
AF6		Chronic (Verified)	10	0	0	2	2	5	1	0	0	det
AF7		Known Unsheltered	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	E.
AF8		Matched/Awarded	69	3	10	25	18	6	2	3	2	
AF9		Housed in Past 30 Days	7	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	2	

	TABLE YF	Families (<25)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
YF0		Active Records	55	2	9	11	7	2	1	17	6	
YF1	S <	0 to 3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
YF2	Na S	4 to 8	37	2	5	4	5	2	1	13	5	ge 8
YF3	F &	9+	17	0	4	7	2	0	0	3	1	bać
YF4		Median Days Active	71	145	71	70	90	110	1	1	106	ou
YF5		Refusers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ails
YF6		Chronic (Verified)	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	deta
YF7		Known Unsheltered	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	큔
YF8		Matched/Awarded	9	0	4	2	1	0	0	2	0	
YF9		Housed in Past 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	

	TABLE YI	Individuals (<25)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	Ì
YI0		Active Records	293	17	62	46	126	8	6	7	21	
YI1	To Se	0 to 3	43	1	10	4	19	3	0	2	4	
YI2	VI/NST Scores	4 to 7	138	10	27	20	56	4	5	5	11	ge 9
YI3	/I/ Sc	8+	112	6	25	22	51	1	1	0	6	paç
YI4		Median Days Active	133	146	77	45	195	244	102	12	95	uo
YI5		Refusers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	details
YI6		Chronic (Verified)	6	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	
YI7		Known Unsheltered	12	3	2	0	2	1	0	0	4	Full
YI8		Matched/Awarded	18	0	3	11	4	0	0	0	0	
YI9		Housed in Past 30 Days	9	4	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	

	TABLE AI	Individuals (25+)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
AI0		Active Records	2,131	113	469	678	386	85	74	81	245	
Al1	Se	0 to 3	364	15	78	147	45	22	9	9	39	0
AI2	> §	4 to 7	1,062	66	237	364	141	40	36	43	135	e 1
AI3	ŭ	8+	705	32	154	167	200	23	29	29	71	pag
Al4		Median Days Active	167	183	172	168	269	133	72	62	132	o l
AI5		Refusers	13	0	0	3	0	2	0	1	1	Sils
Al6		Chronic (Verified)	190	8	8	27	80	6	4	8	17	detai
AI7		Known Unsheltered	193	31	31	41	18	11	12	18	51	
AI8		Matched/Awarded	249	8	36	75	84	7	9	14	16	ш.
AI9		Housed in Past 30 Days	76	11	17	27	1	3	0	14	3	

Brief Description of Data Included

- Table AF Adult Families with a head of household aged 25+ as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Table YF Youth Families with a head of household under 25 years old as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Table YI Youth Individuals under 25 years old as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Table AI Adult Individuals aged 25+ as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Row 0 Total number of active records for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 1 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "no recommended intervention" range for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 2 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "RRH recommended" range for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 3 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "PSH recommended" range for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 4 Median days active for the household type/age, calculated based on days between the data pull date and the active date for all active records.
- Row 5 Total number of active records that are marked as "Refuses CAN Assistance" for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 6 Total number of active records marked as "Chronic (Verified)" for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 7 Total number of active records that are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 8 Total number of active recrods marked as "Matched to a Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy" for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 9 Total number of inactive records that are marked as "Housed" with a "Housed by Program Date" within the past 30 days for the household type/age in the table.

2/13/2018 FTI BNL REPOIL - DRAF	T T OK BIOC	20001011		Greater	Greater		Contact bea	iu.anderson@ci.go	Waterbury/
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide			200/					
_	Records	6%	22%	29%	20%	4%	3%	4%	11%
Active on BNL	2,760	155	610	795	563	121	96	114	306
c Median Days Active	148	160	140	153	232	117	78	41	130
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	0% (7)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (3)				
1 2	2% (60) 5% (145)	1% (2) 4% (6) 6% (9)	2% (13) 5% (33) 7% (44)	0% (1) 3% (22) 7% (52) 10% (80)	1% (7) 4% (22)	3% (4) 5% (6) 12% (15)	1% (1) 7% (7)	1% (1) 4% (5)	3% (10) 5% (14)
3	8% (215) 12% (322)	12% (18)	7% (44) 12% (75)	14% (108)	4% (22) 7% (37) 7% (38)	12% (15) 14% (17)	7% (7) 2% (2) 15% (14)	4% (5) 5% (6) 12% (14)	5% (14) 7% (22) 12% (38)
5	13% (368) 13% (371)	21% (32) 10% (16)	12% (75) 13% (80) 13% (80)	13% (106) 14% (108)	11% (62)	14% (17) 12% (15) 13% (16) 11% (13)	15% (14) 13% (12) 14% (13)	12% (14) 15% (17) 18% (21)	12% (38) 14% (44) 15% (47)
7	11% (298) 11% (302)	12% (19)	13% (80) 11% (65) 11% (67)	11% (86)	12% (70) 10% (55) 15% (83)	11% (13)	10% (10)	11% (12)	15% (47) 12% (38) 10% (32)
9	7% (205) 6% (172)	13% (20) 8% (13) 5% (8)	11% (67) 7% (43) 8% (47) 6% (36) 3% (16)	8% (60) 7% (58) 5% (40) 5% (39) 2% (17)	15% (83) 8% (45) 9% (48) 6% (31) 4% (21) 4% (23) 2% (12)	11% (13) 5% (6) 4% (5) 5% (6) 2% (3)	11% (11) 8% (8) 7% (7) 4% (4) 6% (6)	14% (16) 4% (5) 6% (7) 4% (4)	10% (32) 9% (27) 3% (10)
11 12	5% (138) 3% (76)	5% (7) 1% (2)	6% (36)	5% (39)	6% (31)	5% (6)	4% (4)	4% (4) 4% (4)	4% (11)
13	2% (43)	-	1% (4) 0% (3)	1% (10) 1% (4)	4% (23)	1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (1)	4% (11) 2% (7) 1% (4) 0% (1)
15	1% (22) 0% (12)	1% (1) -	0% (3) 0% (2)	0% (2)	2% (12) 1% (6)	- 1% (1)	-	1% (1) 1% (1)	U% (1) -
16	0% (1) 0% (3)	- 1% (1)		0% (1) 0% (1)		<u>-</u>			- 0% (1)
E Average Assessment Score	- 6.51	6.39	6.48	- 6.12	- 7.33	6.02	- 6.71	- 6.53	- 6.25
Status/Conditions Followed (among			. d in	da di 4b	-ibiti				
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance								4	4
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	0	6 	3	2	4	0	1	1
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	208	8	44	30	85	11	5	8	17
Known Unsheltered	212	36	14	41	21	12	12	19	 57
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	345	11	53	113	107	13	11	19	18
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	97	15	19	29	1	4	1	22	6
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	385	23	77	GE	111	11	10	25	27
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	300	23	77	65	144	14	10	20	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.								
Newly Added	309	20	95	81	31	14	8	32	28
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	76	2	13	28	4	0	10	13	6
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	385	22	108	109	35	14	18	45	34
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	, ,	04	10	0	A	2	2	22	2
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	77	21	13	8	4	3	3	22	3
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	30	0	22	5	1	0	1	0	1
Housed - RRH	28	2	 11	4	5	1	1	4	0
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other						· 	· 		
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	12	0	11	4	1	0	0	6	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	147	23	47	21	11	4	5	32	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	154	24	62	32	8	8	0	16	4
Inactive - In an Institution	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	12	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	5
x Other Outflow subtotal	172	25	63	32	9	10	1	23	9
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	319	48	110	53	20	14	6	55	13
z NET INFLOW	66	-26	-2	56	15	0	12	-10	21 Page 2

2/13/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL - DRAF	T T OK DIG			0	0		OUNIQUE DO	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide				38%				
_	All Youth	5%	20%	16%	3070	3%	2%	7%	8%
Active on BNL	348	19	71	57	133	10	7	24	27
c Median Days Active	117	146	76	56	193	208	99	9	95
Assessment Score Distribution (amo									
Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
0	- 1% (5)		3% (2)	2% (1)	- 2% (2)			<u>-</u>	
2 3	3% (12) 8% (27)	- 5% (1)	6% (4) 6% (4)	2% (1) 2% (1) 4% (2)	3% (4) 10% (13)	10% (1) 20% (2)		4% (1) 8% (2)	4% (1) 11% (3)
4	9% (33)	11% (2)	11% (8)	7% (4)	5% (7)	-	29% (2) 29% (2)	21% (5)	19% (5) 7% (2)
6	14% (50) 14% (50)	26% (5) 11% (2)	14% (10) 10% (7) 7% (5)	14% (8) 14% (8) 7% (4)	14% (18) 14% (18)	20% (2) 20% (2)	29% (2) 29% (2)	13% (3) 21% (5) 8% (2)	7% (2) 22% (6) 11% (3)
7	10% (34) 13% (45)	16% (3) 11% (2)	7% (5) 13% (9)	7% (4) 9% (5)	12% (16) 19% (25)	10% (1) 10% (1)		8% (2) 13% (3)	11% (3) -
9	9% (32) 7% (24)	11% (2) 11% (2) 11% (2)	13% (9) 8% (6) 8% (6)	9% (5) 14% (8)	12% (16) 19% (25) 8% (10) 6% (8)		- 14% (1)	13% (3) 8% (2) 4% (1)	15% (4)
11	5% (16)	-	8% (6)	11% (6) 5% (3) 11% (6)	4% (5) 2% (2)	-	-	470 (1) -	7% (2)
12	4% (13) 1% (2)	-	4% (3) - 1% (1)	11% (6)	2% (2) 2% (2) 2% (3)	10% (1) -	-		4% (1) -
14 15	1% (4)	<u>-</u> -	1% (1) -	<u>-</u>	2% (3)	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -
16	- 0% (1)			2% (1)					
18	-			-	-	-	-		
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.75	6.47	6.80	7.63	6.80	5.70	5.71	5.79	6.22
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	ļ								
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	4	1	3	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered	14	3	2	0	3	1	0	1	4
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered						· 		·	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	27	0	7	13	5	0	0	2	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	14	4	0	2	0	0	1	6	1
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing							·		
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	43	4	8	11	13	2	0	2	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	64	1	18	18	8	0	2	14	3
Returned from Inactive	Λ	0	ე	1	Λ		Λ	^	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	2	l	0	0	0	0	l
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	68	1	20	19	8	0	2	14	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	i i	4	^	-	^	^	^	4	^
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	19	4	6	5 	0	0	0	4	0
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	4	^	4		^	^	^	^	^
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1 	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	20	4	7	5	0	0	0	4	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact	29	2	1	18	4	2	0	2	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		۷	l 		+ 				
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	U 		U 		U	····	U
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	30	2	1	18	4	2	0	2	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	50	6	8	23	4	2	0	6	1
z NET INFLOW	18	-5	12	-4	4	-2	2	8	3
									Page 3

All Non-Youth				Greater	Greater			au.anderson@et.g	Waterbury/
	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide on-Youth	6%	22%	31%	18%	5%	4%	4%	12%
A Active on BNL	2,412	136	539	738	430	111	89	90	279
c Median Days Active	155	179	149	161	251	117	78	54	130
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			140	101	201		70	<u> </u>	100
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		,							
1	0% (7) 2% (55)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (2) 2% (11) 5% (29) 7% (40) 12% (67)	0% (1) 3% (21)	1% (3) 1% (5)	4% (4) 5% (5)	- 1% (1)	- 1% (1)	- 4% (10)
	6% (133) 8% (188)	4% (6) 6% (8)	5% (29) 7% (40)	7% (51) 11% (78)	4% (18) 6% (24) 7% (31)	5% (5) 12% (13)	8% (7) 2% (2) 13% (12)	4% (4) 4% (4) 10% (9)	5% (13) 7% (19)
4	12% (289) 13% (318)	12% (16) 20% (27)	12% (67) 13% (70)	14% (104)	7% (31) 10% (44)	15% (17)	13% (12) 11% (10)	10% (9) 16% (14)	12% (33)
6	13% (321)	10% (14) 12% (16)	14% (73)	13% (98) 14% (100)	100/ (E0)	12% (13) 13% (14)	12% (11)	18% (16)	15% (42) 15% (41)
8	11% (264) 11% (257)	13% (18)	11% (60) 11% (58)	11% (82) 7% (55)	9% (39) 13% (58)	11% (12) 11% (12)	11% (10) 12% (11)	11% (10) 14% (13)	13% (35) 11% (32)
10	7% (173) 6% (148)	8% (11) 4% (6)	7% (37) 8% (41) 6% (30) 2% (13)	7% (55) 7% (50) 5% (34) 5% (36) 1% (11)	12% (32) 9% (39) 13% (58) 8% (35) 9% (40) 6% (26) 4% (19) 5% (21)	5% (6) 5% (5) 5% (6) 2% (2)	9% (8) 7% (6) 4% (4) 7% (6)	3% (3) 7% (6) 4% (4)	8% (23) 4% (10)
	5% (122) 3% (63)	5% (7) 1% (2)	6% (30) 2% (13)	5% (36) 1% (11)	6% (26) 4% (19)	5% (6) 2% (2)	4% (4) 7% (6)	4% (4) 4% (4)	4% (10) 3% (9) 2% (6) 1% (4)
13	2% (41) 1% (18)	- 1% (1)	1% (4) 0% (2)	1% (10) 1% (4)	5% (21) 2% (9)	1% (1)	1% (1)		1% (4) 0% (1)
15	0% (12)		0% (2)	0% (2) 0% (1)	1% (6)	1% (1)		1% (1)	
17	0% (1) 0% (2)	1% (1)	-		-			-	0% (1)
E Average Assessment Score	6.47	6.38	6.43	6.00	7.50	6.05	6.79	6.72	6.25
Status/Conditions Followed (among			al in mar 10's I	danas III	-in-a-mkiti				
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance			•						
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	0	6 	3	2	4	0	1 	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	200	8	40	29	82	11	5	8	17
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	198	33	12	41	18	11	12	18	53
Matched/Awarded	318	11	46	100	102	13	11	17	18
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	83	11	19	 27	 1	4	 0	 16	5
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	37	4	6	8	 11	4	3	1	0
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	01	7			''	7		'	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	245	19	77	63	23	14	6	18	25
Returned from Inactive	72	2	11	27	4	0	10	13	5
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	317	21	88	90	27	14	16	31	30
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	17		01	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	58	17	7	3	4	3	3	18	3
Housed - PSH	30	0	22	5	 1	0	1	0	 1
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH						U	l 		
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	27	2	10	4	5	1	1	4 	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	12	0	1	4	1	0	0	6	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	127	19	40	16	11	4	5	28	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	125	22	61	14	4	6	0	14	4
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	11	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	4
Cherics indee indexive in past 30 days, an other reasons X Other Outflow subtotal	142	23	62	14	5	8	1	21	8
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	269	42	102	30	16	12	6	49	12
z NET INFLOW	48	-21	-14	60	11	2	10	-18	18 Page 4

ı	2/13/2018 FIT BNL REPOIL - DRAF				Creeter	Cuantan		00111401 20	au.anderson@ct.g	
	All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S	tatewide								
Α	_	Families	7%	24%	21%	15%	8%	5%	8%	12%
В	Active on BNL	336	25	79	71	51	28	16	26	40
С	Median Days Active	92	117	76	70	119	111	101	20	115
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		1% (2) 3% (11)	4% (1) 4% (1)	- 4% (3)	- 4% (3)	- 6% (3)		- 6% (1)		3% (1) -
	3	2% (7) 10% (33)	4% (1)	1% (1) 15% (12)	1% (1) 4% (3)	4% (2) 10% (5)	- 11% (3)	19% (3)	4% (1) 12% (3)	5% (2) 8% (3)
	5	13% (42)	12% (3)	10% (8)	8% (6)	18% (9)	7% (2)	13% (2)	23% (6) 8% (2)	15% (6)
	7	9% (31) 16% (53)	8% (2) 12% (3)	10% (8) 10% (8)	6% (4) 15% (11)	10% (5) 18% (9)	11% (3) 32% (9)	6% (1) 13% (2)	19% (5)	15% (6) 15% (6) 15% (6)
		11% (37) 11% (36)	12% (3) 16% (4)	13% (10) 9% (7)	7% (5) 14% (10) 7% (5)	6% (3) 10% (5)	18% (5) 4% (1) 4% (1)	13% (2) 19% (3) 6% (1)	15% (4) 8% (2) 8% (2)	13% (5) 10% (4) 5% (2)
		8% (28) 8% (27)	8% (2) 12% (3)	14% (11) 6% (5)	10% (7)	8% (4) 8% (4)	4% (1) 7% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	8% (2) 4% (1)	5% (2) 10% (4)
	12	4% (15) 1% (5)	4% (1)	4% (3) 3% (2)	13% (9) 3% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (1)		-	
	14 1	1% (2) 1% (3)		1% (1)	3% (2) 3% (2) 1% (1)		- 4% (1)			
	16 🕒	0% (1)	40/ (4)		1% (1)					20/ /4\
	18	1% (3)	4% (1) -		1% (1)	-	-			3% (1)
-	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.52 active reco	7.88 r ds)	7.42	8.72	6.82	7.54	6.75	6.65	7.10
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	12	0	1	2	3	5	1	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered	7	2	 1	0	1	0	0	1	2
''	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	78	3	14	 27	19	6	2	 5	2
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	12	0	2	 0	0	1	0	 7	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	65	3	 11	12	9	3	3	18	6
ı	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days			•••					10	
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	67	6	18	17	4	1	3	14	4
	Returned from Inactive	8	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	3
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	75	6	20	19	4	1	4	14	7
ŀ	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day					<u> </u>	•	- -		
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	17	8	5	1	2	0	1	0	0
	Housed - PSH	6	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
Р	Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	15	 1	6	3	3	 1	 1	 0	 0
Q	Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R	Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	20	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	39	9	<u>16</u>	4	•	1	2	0	0
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	11	3	5 	1 	2	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	14	4	6	1	2	0	0	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	53	13	22	5	9	1	2	0	1
Z	NET INFLOW	22	-7	-2	14	-5	0	2	14	6 Page 5

All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Central				IVIIVIVV	Northeast	Southeast	Literineia
_	dividuals	5%	22%	30%	21%	4%	3%	4%	11%
Active on BNL	2,424	130	531	724	512	93	80	88	266
Median Days Active	160	174	149	159	244	152	77	54	130
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
0	0% (7) 2% (58)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (2) 2% (13) 6% (30) 8% (43)	0% (1) 3% (22)	1% (3) 1% (7)	- 40/. (4)	- 1% (1)	1% (1)	
2	6% (134)	4% (5) 7% (9)	6% (30)	7% (49)	4% (19)	4% (4) 6% (6)	8% (6)	6% (5)	3% (9) 5% (14)
3	9% (208) 12% (289)	7% (9) 13% (17)	12% (63)	11% (79) 15% (105)	4% (19) 7% (35) 6% (33)	16% (15) 15% (14)	8% (6) 3% (2) 14% (11)	6% (5) 6% (5) 13% (11)	8% (20) 13% (35)
5	13% (326) 14% (340)	22% (29) 11% (14)	14% (72) 14% (72)	14% (100) 14% (104)	10% (53) 13% (65)	1/10/, (13)	13% (10) 15% (12)	13% (11)	14% (38) 15% (41)
7	10% (245)	12% (16)	11% (57) 11% (57)	10% (75) 8% (55)	9% (46) 16% (80)	14% (13) 4% (4) 9% (8) 5% (5) 4% (4) 4% (4) 2% (2)	10% (8) 11% (9)	22% (19) 8% (7) 14% (12)	12% (32) 10% (27)
8	11% (265) 7% (169)	13% (17) 7% (9)	11% (57) 7% (36)	8% (55) 7% (48)	16% (80) 8% (40)	9% (8) 5% (5)	11% (9) 6% (5)	14% (12) 3% (3)	10% (27) 9% (23)
10	6% (144) 5% (111)	7% (9) 5% (6) 3% (4)	7% (36) 7% (36) 6% (31) 2% (13)	7% (48) 5% (35) 4% (32) 1% (8)	8% (40) 9% (44) 5% (27) 4% (20) 4% (22)	4% (4) 4% (4)	6% (5) 8% (6) 4% (3) 8% (6)	3% (3) 6% (5) 3% (3)	3% (8) 3% (7)
12	3% (61)	1% (1)	2% (13)	1% (8)	4% (20)	2% (2)	8% (6)	5% (4)	3% (7)
13	2% (38) 1% (20)	- 1% (1)	0% (2) 1% (3)	1% (8) 0% (2)	4% (22) 2% (12)	1% (1) -	1% (1) -	- 1% (1)	9% (23) 3% (8) 3% (7) 3% (7) 2% (4) 0% (1)
15 	0% (9)		0% (1)	0% (1)	1% (6)			1% (1)	
17	-	-				<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	
18 Average Assessment Score	6.37	6.11	6.34	5.86	7.38	5.57	6.70	6.49	6.12
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance		0	6	3	0	2	0	1	1
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 							·	
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	196	8	43	28	82	6	4 	8 	17
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	205	34	13	41	20	12	12	18	55
Matched/Awarded	267	8	39	86	88	7	9	14	16
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	85	15	17	29	 1	3	 1	 15	4
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	ļ	20	 66	53	 135	 11	 7	7	 21
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	320	20		- 55	133	11	<u>'</u>		21
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	242	14	77	64	27	13	5	18	24
Returned from Inactive	68	2	11	26	4	0	9	13	3
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	310	16	88	90	31	13	14	31	27
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	60	13	8	7	2	3	2	22	3
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	24	0	17	5	0	0	1	0	1
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	13	1	5	1	2	0	0	4	0
Housed - All Other	11	0	1	4	0	0	0	6	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	108	14	31	17	4	3	3	32	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		21	57	31	6	8	0	16	4
Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	0	0	0	0	 1	4	0
J Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	 0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	10	0	0	0	 1	 2	0 0	3	4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	158	21	57	31	7	10	1	23	8
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	266	35	88	48	11	13	4	23 	<u> </u>
NET INFLOW	44	-19	0	42	20	0	10	-24	15
1127 1111 2011	1		•	7₽					Page 6

Familias (Nan Vauth)				Greater	Greater				Waterbury/
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		25%	240/					
A Families (No	n-Youth)	8%	2370	21%	16%	9%	5%	3%	12%
Active on BNL	281	23	70	60	44	26	15	9	34
c Median Days Active	97	105	80	70	141	111	104	32	116
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		_							
1	1% (2)	4% (1)	- 40/ /2)		- 70((0)		- 70/ (4)		3% (1)
3	4% (11) 2% (6)	4% (1) -	4% (3) 1% (1)	5% (3) 2% (1) 3% (2)	7% (3) 5% (2)	-	7% (1) 	<u>-</u>	6% (2)
5	9% (26) 11% (31)	4% (1) 9% (2)	16% (11) 10% (7)	3% (2) 7% (4) 7% (4)	11% (5) 16% (7)	12% (3) 8% (2) 12% (3)	20% (3) 7% (1) 7% (1)	33% (3)	6% (2) 3% (1) 15% (5) 12% (4)
6	9% (25) 17% (48)	4% (1) 13% (3)	11% (8) 10% (7)	7% (4) 17% (10)	9% (4) 20% (9)	31% (8)	13% (2)	33% (3)	12% (4) 18% (6)
8	10% (29) 10% (29)	13% (3)	11% (8) 9% (6)	8% (5)	20% (9) 2% (1) 7% (3)	15% (4) 4% (1) 4% (1) 8% (2)	13% (2)	33% (3) 11% (1)	15% (5)
10	9% (24)	17% (4) 9% (2)	11% (8)	15% (9) 8% (5)	7% (3) 9% (4) 9% (4)	4% (1)	20% (3) 7% (1) 7% (1)	11% (1)	9% (3) 6% (2) 12% (4)
12	9% (26) 4% (11)	13% (3) 4% (1)	7% (5) 4% (3)	10% (6) 8% (5)	2% (1)	4% (1)	7% (1) -	11% (1) -	12% (4)
13	2% (5) 1% (2)		3% <u>(2)</u> -	3% (2) 3% (2)	2% (1) -	<u>-</u> -		<u> </u>	
15	1% (3) 0% (1)	-	1% (1) -	2% (1) 2% (1)		4% (1) -		 - -	
17	1% (2)	4% (1) -							3% (1)
E Average Assessment Score	7.57	8.09	7.36	8.55	6.77	7.54	6.87	7.22	7.35
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ad in multiple rows	depending on the	air combination of circ	cumetances			
Refuses CAN Assistance							^	^	^
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	0	2	2	5	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered	<i></i>	0							
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	2	1 	0	0	0	0	0	2
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	69	3	10	25	18	6	2	3	2
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	2
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	, 		Z			l 		Z	
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	50	6	16	15	3	1	2	4	3
Returned from Inactive	6	0	າ	 1	0	0	1	0	າ
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		0	2	I			<u> </u>		2
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	56	6	18	16	3	1	3	4	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th									
Housed - Self-Resolved	13	5	5	0	2	0	1	0	0
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	13	υ 	ິ 			U 	l 	U 	
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	15	1	6	3	3	1	1	0	0
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH		'				·	·		
R Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	35	6	16	3	7	1	2	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact	10	3	4	1	2	0	0	0	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other							^		
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	13	4	5	1	2	0	0	0	1
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	48	10	21	4	9	1	2	0	1
z NET INFLOW	8	-4	-3	12	-6	0	1	4	4 Page 7

Camilian (Vauth)	. r o k Dio			Greater	Greater		Contact bot	au.anderson@ct.gi	Waterbury/
Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S			16%	20%	420/			31%	440/
· ·	s (Youth)	4%			13%	4%	2%		11%
Active on BNL	55	2	9 74	11	7	2	1	17	6
Median Days Active	71	145	71	70	90	110	1	1	106
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecoras)							
0	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>		
2	- 2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	- 6% (1)	<u>-</u>
4	13% (7) 20% (11)	- 50% (1)	11% (1) 11% (1)	9% (1) 18% (2)	- 29% (2)		100% (1)	18% (3) 18% (3)	33% (2) 17% (1)
6	11% (6) 9% (5)	50% (1)	11% (1)	9% (1)	14% (1)	- 50% (1)		12% (2) 12% (2)	33% (2)
8	15% (8)	-	22% (2)	-	29% (2) 29% (2)	50% (1)	<u>-</u>	18% (3) 12% (2)	
10	13% (7) 7% (4)	-	11% (1) 33% (3)	9% (1) - - 9% (1)	29% (2) -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	6% (1)	17% (1) -
11 12	2% (1) 7% (4)		<u>-</u>	9% (1) 36% (4)		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	 	
13	-		<u>-</u> 			<u>-</u>			
15 16	- -		 						
17 <u> </u>	2% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>9% (1)</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>
Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.27	5.50	7.89	9.64	7.14	7.50	5.00	6.35	5.67
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			d in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	2	0	 1	0	1	0	0	 0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered					I				
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Matched/Awarded	9	0	4	2	1	0	0	2	0
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	 5	0
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	ວ 				u				
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	9	2	0	3	1	0	0	2	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added									
Clients who have never been active before	17	0	2	2	1	0	1	10	1
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	19	0	2	3	1	0	1	10	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	<i>y</i> s								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	Λ		^	^	Λ			
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
z NET INFLOW	14	-3	1	2	1	0	1	10	2 Page 8

2/13/2018 FTI BNL REPOIL - DRAF	TTOK BIOC	20001011		Greater	Greater		Outlact bei	au.anderson@ct.g	Waterbury/
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S			21%	400/	43%				
_A Individual	s (Youth)	6%	21/0	16%		3%	2%	2%	7%
Active on BNL	293	17	62	46	126	8	6	7	21
c Median Days Active	133	146	77	45	195	244	102	12	95
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score) .								
1	2% (5)	- -	3% (2)	2% (1)	2% (2)				- -
3	4% (12) 9% (26)	- 6% (1)	6% (4) 6% (4)	2% (1) 2% (1) 4% (2)	3% (4) 10% (13)	13% (1) 25% (2)	<u>-</u>	14% (1) 14% (1)	5% (1) 14% (3)
4	9% (26)	12% (2)	11% (7)	7% (3)	6% (7)	-	33% (2)	29% (2)	14% (3) 5% (1)
6	13% (39) 15% (44)	24% (4) 6% (1)	15% (9) 11% (7)	13% (6) 17% (8) 7% (3)	13% (16) 13% (17)	25% (2) 25% (2)	17% (1) 33% (2)	43% (3)	5% (1) 19% (4) 14% (3)
7	10% (29) 13% (37)	18% (3)	6% (4)	7% (3)	13% (16) 18% (23)	-	-	-	14% (3)
9	9% (25)	12% (2) 12% (2) 12% (2) 12% (2)	11% (7) 8% (5)	11% (5) 15% (7)	6% (8)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		14% (3)
10	7% (20) 5% (15)	12% (2) -	5% (3) 10% (6)	13% (6) 4% (2)	6% (8) 4% (5)	<u>-</u>	17% (1) -	<u>-</u>	10% (2)
12	3% (9)		5% (3)	4% (2)	4% (5) 2% (2)	13% (1)			5% (1)
13	1% (2) 1% (4)		2% (1)	- -	2% (2) 2% (3)	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>
15	-				-		-		-
17	-	-	-						
E Average Assessment Score	6.65	6.59	6.65	7.15	6.78	5.25	5.83	4.43	6.38
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered	12	3	2	0	2	1	0	0	4
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12								
Matched/Awarded	18	0	3	11	4	0	0	0	0
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	ļ							4	
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	9	4	0	2	0	0	1	1	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	34	2	8	8	12	2	0	0	2
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date		_		-					
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne nast 30 davs								
Newly Added		4	40	40	7		4	4	
Clients who have never been active before	41	1	16	16	7	0	1	4	2
Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	49	1	18	16	7	0	1	4	2
	1		10	10	/	U		4	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	15	1	6	4	0	0	0	4	0
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	IU	 	u	4	U	U	U	4	U
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	·								
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other									
Housed Outflow subtotal	16	1	7	4	0	0	0	4	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	28	2	0	18	4	2	0	2	0
Inactive - In an Institution	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	ļ								
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
x Other Outflow subtotal	29	2	0	18	4	2	0	2	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	45	3	7	22	4	2	0	6	1
z NET INFLOW	4	-2	11	-6	3	-2	1	-2	1
	1								Page 9

2/15/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL - DRAF		2000.011		Cuantan	Cuantan		OUNTACT DO	ad.dridoroon@ot.g	gov with questions	
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of S Individuals (No.		5%	22%	32%	18%	4%	3%	4%	11%	
B Active on BNL	2,131	113	469	678	386	85	74	81	245	
							72			
c Median Days Active	167	183	172	168	269	133	12	62	132	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score.										
	0% (7) 2% (53)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (2) 2% (11)	0% (1) 3% (21) 7% (48) 11% (77)	1% (3) 1% (5)	- 5% (4)	- 1% (1)	- 1% (1)	4% (9)	
2	6% (122)	4% (5) 7% (8)	2% (11) 6% (26) 8% (39)	7% (48)	4% (15) 6% (22)	5% (4) 6% (5) 15% (13)	8% (6) 3% (2)	5% (4) 5% (4)	5% (13) 7% (17)	
	9% (182) 12% (263)	7% (8) 13% (15)	8% (39) 12% (56)	11% (77)	6% (22) 7% (26)	15% (13) 16% (14)	3% (2)	5% (4) 11% (9)	7% (17) 13% (32)	
	13% (287)	13% (15) 22% (25)	12% (56) 13% (63)	15% (102) 14% (94)	10% (37)	13% (11)	12% (9) 12% (9)	14% (11)	13% (32) 15% (37)	
	14% (296)	12% (13) 12% (13)	14% (65) 11% (53)	14% (96) 11% (72)	12% (48)	13% (11) 5% (4) 9% (8) 6% (5) 5% (4)	14% (10) 11% (8)	20% (16) 9% (7)	15% (37) 12% (29)	
	10% (216) 11% (228)	13% (15)	11% (53)	7% (50)	15% (57)	9% (8)	12% (9)	15% (12)	11% (29)	
9	7% (144)	13% (15) 6% (7)	7% (31)	6% (41)	8% (32)	6% (5)	7% (5)	4% (3)	11% (27) 8% (20)	
	6% (124) 5% (96)	4% (4) 4% (4)	11% (50) 7% (31) 7% (33) 5% (25) 2% (10)	7% (50) 6% (41) 4% (29) 4% (30) 1% (6)	5% (30) 15% (57) 8% (32) 9% (36) 6% (22) 5% (18) 5% (20) 2% (9)	5% (4) 5% (4)	12% (9) 7% (5) 7% (5) 4% (3) 8% (6)	15% (12) 4% (3) 6% (5) 4% (3) 5% (4)	3% (8) 2% (5) 2% (6) 2% (4) 0% (1)	
12	2% (52)	1% (1)	2% (10)	1% (6)	5% (18)	1% (1)	8% (6)	5% (4)	2% (6)	
	2% (36) 1% (16)	- 1% (1)	0% (2) 0% (2)	1% (8) 0% (2)	5% (20)	1% (1)	1% (1)	- 1% (1)	2% (4)	
	1% (16) 0% (9)	- 1 /0 (1)	0% (2)	0% (2)	2% (9) 2% (6)	-	<u>-</u> -	1% (1)	U /0 (1) -	
16	-					-				
17	- -				<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	 -			
E Average Assessment Score	6.33	6.04	6.30	5.77	7.58	5.60	6.77	6.67	6.09	
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the counted in each row below are currently active on the counted in each row below are currently active on the counter of			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance	13	۸	6	3	0	2	0	1	1	
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	0	0	3	U		U	l 	 	
Chronic (Verified)	190	8	40	27	80	6	4	8	17	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	130	0	40	۷۱		0	4	0	17	
Known Unsheltered	193	31	11	41	18	11	12	18	51	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	100									
Matched/Awarded	249	8	36	75	84	7	9	14	16	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource										
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	76	11	17	27	1	3	0	14	3	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment										
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	27	3	4	7	9	3	1	0	0	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
Newly Added										
Clients who have never been active before	195	13	61	48	20	13	4	14	22	
Returned from Inactive	00							40		
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	66	2	9	26	4	0	9	13	3	
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	261	15	70	74	24	13	13	27	25	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the										
Housed - Self-Resolved	•	40		^	^	_	^	40	^	
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	45	12	2	3	2	3	2	18	3	
Housed - PSH	24	0	17	5	0	0	1	0	1	
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	∠ 4	U	1/	ე	U	U	 	U	 	
Housed - RRH	12	1	4	1	2	0	0	4	0	
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	14	 	4	l 	<u></u>	U	·	+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Housed - All Other	11	0	1	4	0	0	0	6	0	
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other			-							
Housed Outflow subtotal	92	13	24	13	4	3	3	28	4	
Inactive - Unable to Contact	115	19	57	13	2	6	0	14	4	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact					<u>-</u>				· 	
Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution										
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other		l								
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	9	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3	
x Other Outflow subtotal	129	19	57	13	3	8	1	21	7	
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	221	32	81	26	<u>3</u>	<u> </u>	4	49	11	
							<u> </u>			
z NET INFLOW	40	-17	-11	48	17	2	9	-22	14 Page 10	