Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)									
232 -1 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			o Housing						
2 67 +1 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	21	0	8						
Central Fairfield County	21 63	0	8 18						
		9	_						
Fairfield County	63	1	18						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford	63 42	1 0	18 11						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	63 42 45	1 0 0	18 11 10						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	63 42 45 15	1 0 0	18 11 10 1						

Active In	dividua	ıls (Youth)						
196 -16 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9								
fu	ll details for A	ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on pg. 9					
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing								
7 22								
-1 from last week		-3 from la	st week					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	13	1	0					
Fairfield County	48	1	1					
Greater Hartford	47	0	8					
Greater New Haven	34	0	7					
MMW	13	0	0					
Northeast	13	1	2					
Southeast	13	0	1					
Waterbury Litchfield	15	4	3					

Active Families (Youth)									
no change full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8									
			Housing						
0	0 11								
no change	Active	+1 from la							
Central	0	0	0						
Fairfield County	8	0	2						
Greater Hartford	6	0	4						
Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	6	0	4 1						
			·						
Greater New Haven	4	0	1						
Greater New Haven MMW	4 2	0	1						

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) -3 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing -4 from last week +1 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 107 12 18 Fairfield County 9 330 54 Greater Hartford 399 36 44 Greater New Haven 218 18 46 MMW 84 4 9 Northeast 69 12 19 21 Southeast 118 28 Waterbury Litchfield 188 45 29 Page 1

All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Jennar			New Haven		Hortificact	Countricust	Entormera
_	Records	7%	23%	25%	15%	6%	5%	8%	11%
Active on BNL	1,988	141	449	494	301	114	106	162	221
Median Days Active	124	123	127	145	119	112	82	64	203
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	0% (2) 2% (42)	1% (2)	0% (2) 3% (15)	3% (14)	- 1% (4)	- 1% (1)			- 20/. (6)
2	4% (83)	4% (5)	6% (26)	5% (25)	2% (6)	3% (3)	7% (7)	1% (2)	3% (6) 4% (9)
3 4	7% (149) 10% (199)	7% (10) 8% (11)	10% (46) 11% (49)	10% (48) 11% (53)	3% (10) 7% (22)	7% (8) 11% (13)	7% (7) 3% (3) 13% (14)	4% (7) 11% (18)	8% (17) 9% (19)
5	13% (249) 13% (267)	12% (17) 12% (17)	13% (60)	14% (67) 13% (66)	8% (25) 10% (30)	17% (19)	9% (10) 12% (13)	17% (28) 15% (25)	10% (23) 13% (28)
7	12% (246)	14% (20)	14% (03) 10% (47) 8% (38) 7% (30) 7% (30) 5% (22) 1% (4)	13% (65) 10% (48)	13% (40)	22% (25) 10% (11)	13% (14) 15% (16)	15% (25) 15% (25) 14% (23)	11% (24) 13% (28)
8	11% (214) 8% (164)	12% (17) 11% (16)	8% (38) 7% (30)	10% (48) 7% (33)	11% (32) 13% (38)	11% (12) 4% (5)	15% (16) 7% (7)	14% (23) 8% (13)	13% (28) 10% (22)
10	6% (129) 5% (109)	11% (16) 7% (10) 4% (5)	7% (30) 5% (22)	7% (33) 4% (22) 5% (23) 3% (14) 2% (10)	13% (38) 10% (30) 11% (32)	4% (5) 8% (9)	7% (7) 6% (6) 6% (6)	8% (13) 3% (5) 3% (5)	10% (22) 8% (17) 6% (13)
12	3% (56)	5% (7)	1% (4)	3% (14)	4% (11) 5% (14)	3% (3) 3% (3)	4% (4)	3% (5)	4% (8) 1% (3)
13	2% (45) 1% (15)	1% (1) 1% (2)	2% (8) 0% (2)	1% (4)	5% (14) 1% (3)	1% (1) -	5% (5) -	2% (3) 1% (2)	1% (3) 1% (2)
15	1% (16) 0% (3)	1% (1)	1% (6) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (2)
17	-	-				<u>-</u>		<u>-</u> 	-
Average Assessment Score	6.77	7.01	6.26	6.37	- 7.93	6.43	7.08	6.85	6.92
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance							1	2	2
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	202	5	47	48	53	9	10	10	20
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	173	13	11	36	18	4	14	28	49
Matched/Awarded	340	26	75	67	64	10	29	31	38
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	340	20	/3		04	10	Z9	٦I 	აი
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	137	16	50	10	17	7	0	34	3
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	268	17	61	62	41	16	15	34	22
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	l							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	198	18	48	26	45	15	13	13	20
Returned from Inactive	40	2	8	3	3	1	8	12	3
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	238	20	56	29	48	16	21	25	23
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		20	30	LJ	70	10	41	20	23
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	46	4	14	5	3	0	3	13	4
Housed - PSH	41	2	20	7	5	1	1	1	4
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	38	2	9	 7	6	0	1	11	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other							· 		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	23	1	4	9	2	0	0	3	4
Housed Outflow subtotal	148	9	47	28	16	1	5	28	14
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	64	3	48	7	0	0	1	4	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	8	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	1
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	76	3	50	7	4	2	3	5	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	224	12	97	35	20	3	<u>3</u>	33	16
z NET INFLOW	14	8	-41	<u>-6</u>	28	13	13	<u>-8</u>	7
	,,,		7.						Page 2

TIT/27/2018 FYT BNL Report Contact beau.anderson						ad.anderson@et.g			
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide								
_	All Youth	5%	23%	22%	16%	6%	6%	14%	8%
Active on BNL	243	13	56	53	38	15	15	34	19
Median Days Active	84	56	88	70	151	81	35	97	106
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
	- 2% (4) 1% (3)	-	4% (2) 2% (1)	2% (1)	3% (1)	<u>-</u>	-	-	- - 11% (2)
3	5% (11)		9% (5) 5% (3)	2% (1) 9% (5)	3% (1)	<u>-</u>		3% (1)	16% (3)
	12% (28) 14% (35)	15% (2)	5% (3) 18% (10)	9% (5) 19% (10)	5% (2) 3% (1)	27% (4) 7% (1)	13% (2)	29% (10) 21% (7)	11% (2) 11% (2)
6	17% (41)	15% (2) 8% (1)	21% (12)	21% (11)	8% (3)	27% (4) 7% (1) 27% (4) 7% (1)	13% (2) 27% (4) 7% (1)	12% (4)	11% (2)
	12% (30) 12% (29)	8% (1) 8% (1)	7% (4) 16% (9)	17% (9) 11% (6)	8% (3) 21% (8) 18% (7) 11% (4)	7% (1) 20% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	18% (6) 3% (1) 9% (3)	- 5% (1)
9	10% (25)	38% (5)	9% (5)	11% (6) 9% (5)	11% (4)	-		9% (3)	5% (1) 16% (3)
	7% (16) 4% (10)	15% (2)	5% (3) 2% (1)	4% (2) 2% (1)	13% (5) 11% (4)	- 7% (1)	13% (2) 13% (2)	3% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1)
12	2% (4)	-	-	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (1)	7% (1)	1570 (2)		5% (1)
	2% (4) 1% (2)	8% (1)	2% (1)	2% (1)	3% (1)			3% (1) -	- 5% (1)
15	0% (1)						7% (1)		
16 17	- -					<u>-</u>			
18	-		-	-	-		-		
Average Assessment Score	6.81	8.46	6.29	6.64	7.95	6.60	7.60	5.94	6.58
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on to			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	4
Matched/Awarded	33	0	3	12	8	0	3	1	6
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	50	6	9	0	11	3	0	20	1
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months									' 4
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	27	1	5	8	2	0	1	6	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	33	0	13	8	1	3	2	3	3
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	9	1	0	0	2	0	5	0	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	1	13	8	3	3	7	3	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		· ·	10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		,		7
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact	<i>(</i>	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	12	1	7	0	2	0	0	1	1
Housed - PSH	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 8	0	3	 2			 1	2	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other					0	0	I		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	25	1	12	3	2	0	1	4	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	1 	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	32	1	17	3	3	0	1	5	2

11/2//2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide								
	n-Youth	7%	23%	25%	15%	6%	5%	7%	12%
Active on BNL	1,745	128	393	441	263	99	91	128	202
Median Days Active	130	124	132	153	117	113	92	63	206
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
-	0% (2)	-	1% (2) 3% (13)	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-
	2% (38) 5% (80)	2% (2) 4% (5)	3% (13) 6% (25)	3% (13) 6% (25)	1% (3) 2% (6)	1% (1) 3% (3)	- 90/. (7)	- 20/. (2)	3% (6) 3% (7)
	8% (138)	8% (10)	10% (41)	11% (47)	3% (9)	8% (8)	8% (7) 3% (3)	2% (2) 5% (6)	7% (14)
	10% (171)	9% (11)	12% (46)	110/. (/10)	3% (9) 8% (20)	8% (8) 9% (9)	3% (3) 13% (12)	5% (6) 6% (8)	7% (14) 8% (17)
	12% (214) 13% (226)	12% (15) 13% (16)	13% (50) 13% (51)	13% (57) 12% (55) 13% (56) 10% (42) 6% (28) 5% (20) 5% (22)	9% (24) 10% (27)	18% (18) 21% (21)	9% (8) 10% (9)	16% (21) 16% (21)	10% (21) 13% (26)
7	12% (216)	15% (19)	11% (43) 7% (29) 6% (25) 7% (27)	13% (56)	12% (32) 10% (25) 13% (34) 10% (25)	10% (10) 9% (9) 5% (5)	14% (13) 16% (15) 8% (7)	15% (19)	12% (24) 13% (27)
	11% (185) 8% (139)	13% (16) 9% (11)	7% (29) 6% (25)	10% (42)	10% (25)	9% (9) 5% (5)	16% (15) 8% (7)	17% (22) 8% (10)	13% (27) 9% (19)
10	6% (113)	6% (8)	7% (27)	5% (20)	10% (25)	9% (9)	4% (4) 4% (4)	3% (4)	8% (16)
11	6% (99)	4% (5)	5% (21)	5% (22)	11% (28)	9% (9) 2% (2)	4% (4)	3% (4) 4% (5)	8% (16) 6% (12)
	3% (52) 2% (41)	5% (7) 1% (1)	1% (4) 2% (7)	3% (13) 2% (9)	4% (10) 5% (13)	2% (2) 1% (1)	4% (4) 5% (5)	4% (5) 2% (2)	3% (7) 1% (3)
14	1% (13)	1% (1)	1% (2)	1% (4)	1% (3)	-		2% (2)	0% (1)
	1% (15) 0% (3)	1% (1) -	2% (6) 0% (1)	1% (4) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (1) -		1% (1)	1% (2)
17	-								
Average Assessment Score	6.76	6.86	- 6.25	6.33	- 7.92	6.40	6.99	7.09	6.96
Status/Conditions Followed (among a			0.20	0.33	1.32	0.40	0.99	7.08	0.90
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	196	5	47	46	51	9	8	10	20
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	166	12	10	36	18	4	13	28	45
Matched/Awarded	307	26	72	 55	 56	10	26	30	32
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	87	10	41	10	6	4	0	14	2
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	25	4	5	9	3	1	0	0	3
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	20	'				'		Ů	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	165	18	35	18	44	12	11	10	17
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	31	1	8	3	1	1	3	12	2
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	196	19	43	21	45	13	14	22	19
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	<i>(</i>	the post 20 de							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact Housed - Self-Resolved									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	34	3	7	5	1	0	3	12 	3
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	39	2	20	6	5	1	1	1	3
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	30	2	6	5	6	0	0	9	2
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	20	1	2	9	2	0	0	2	4
Housed Outflow subtotal	123	8	35	25	14	1	4	24	12
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	58	3	43	7	0	0	1	3	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	8	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	1
Other Outflow subtotal	69	3	45	7	3	2	3	4	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	192	11	80	32	17	3	7	28	14
NET INFLOW	4	8	-37	-11	28	10	7	-6	5

11/27/2016 F11 BNL Repoil							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide Families	8%	25%	17%	18%	6%	9%	11%	6%
Active on BNL	279	21	71	48	49	17	24	31	18
c Median Days Active		61	119	95	81	64	70	104	31
Assessment Score Distribution (ame	ong active r		110		<u> </u>	0.	- 10	101	<u> </u>
0	-	-	-		-	-	-		-
2	0% (1) 3% (7)	5% (1)	- 6% (4)	2% (1)			- 4% (1)		6% (1) -
3	2% (6)		7% (5)	13% (6)		-	8% (2)	3% (1)	-
5	10% (28) 14% (38)	19% (4)	<u>6% (4)</u> 17% (12)	13% (6)	8% (4) 12% (6)	6% (1) 12% (2)	-	23% (7) 19% (6)	22% (4) 11% (2)
6	13% (35) 13% (37)	19% (4) 33% (7) 14% (3) 14% (3)	8% (6) 11% (8)	13% (6) 13% (6)	10% (5) 12% (6)	18% (3) 12% (2)	13% (3) 25% (6)	13% (4) 10% (3) 13% (4)	11% (2) 6% (1) 17% (3) 6% (1)
8	14% (40)	14% (3)	15% (11)	10% (5)	12% (6)	29% (5)	21% (5)	13% (4)	6% (1)
9	11% (32) 6% (18)	5% (1) -	13% (9) 10% (7)	10% (5) 4% (2)	10% (5) 12% (6) 12% (6) 12% (8) 6% (3)	- 12% (2)	8% (2) 8% (2)	10% (3) 3% (1)	22% (4) 6% (1)
11 12	5% (15) 4% (10)	- 5% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (1) 15% (7) 4% (2)	16% (8) -	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% <u>(1)</u>	6% (2) -	6% (1) -
13	3% (7)	5% (1)	1% (1)	4% (2)	4% (2)		4% (1)		
14 15	0% (1) 1% (2)	-	1% (1) -	<u>-</u> 	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	- 4% (1)		
16 17	1% (2) -	-	1% (1) -	2% (1) -		-	-	-	
18 Average Assessment Score	7.33	6.81	6.99	7.83	8.10	7.59	7.79	6.35	6.61
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active or	active reco	rds)					1.13	0.00	0.01
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	4	4	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	78	8	20	15	11	1	8	9	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	34	0	11	1	1	0	0	20	1
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	52	0	9	7	6	2	2	21	5
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	51	7	8	7	10	3	6	2	8
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	7	8	8	10	4	6	2	9
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		2	4	2	1	0	2	4	1
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	7	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	15	2	3	2	2	0	1	3	2
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	15	1	3	6	1	0	0	2	2
Housed Outflow subtotal	53	5	12	13	5	0	3	9	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	ა	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	57	5	13	14	6	0	3	9	7
NET INFLOW	-3	2	-5	-6	4	4	3	-7	2 Page 5

11/27/2016 FIT BNL Report			Cunatan	Cuantan		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g		
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage o	f Statewide Individuals	7%	22%	26%	15%	6%	5%	8%	12%
Active on Bl		120	378	446	252	97	82	131	203
c Median Days Acti		139	128	151	131	131	91	61	214
Assessment Score Distribution (a	mong active r		120	101	101	101	<u> </u>	01	214
0	0% (2)	-	1% (2)		<u>-</u>	-	-		
1	2% (41) 4% (76)	2% (2) 3% (4)	4% (15) 6% (22) 11% (41)	3% (14) 5% (24)	2% (4)	1% (1) 3% (3)	- 70/. (6)	- 20/. (2)	2% (5) 4% (9) 8% (17)
3	8% (143)	8% (10)	11% (41)	5% (24) 11% (48)	2% (6) 4% (10)	8% (8)	7% (6) 4% (3)	2% (2) 5% (6)	8% (17)
5	- 10% (171) - 12% (211)	9% (11) 11% (13)	12% (45) 13% (48)	11% (47)	7% (18) 8% (19)	12% (12) 18% (17)	15% (12) 12% (10)	8% (11) 17% (22)	7% (15) 10% (21)
6	- 14% (232)	8% (10) 14% (17)	15% (57)	14% (61) 13% (60) 13% (59)	10% (25)	23% (22) 9% (9)	12% (10)	16% (21) 17% (22)	13% (27) 10% (21)
8	- 12% (209) - 10% (174)	12% (14) 13% (15)	7% (27)	13% (59)	13% (34) 10% (26)	9% (9) 7% (7)	10% (8) 13% (11)	15% (19)	10% (21)
9	- 8% (132) - 6% (111)	13% (15) 8% (10)	10% (39) 7% (27) 6% (21) 6% (23) 6% (21)	10% (43) 6% (28) 4% (20) 5% (22) 2% (7)	10% (26) 12% (30) 11% (27)	7% (7) 5% (5) 7% (7) 2% (2) 2% (2)	13% (11) 6% (5) 5% (4) 6% (5) 5% (4)	8% (10) 3% (4) 2% (3) 4% (5) 2% (3) 2% (2)	13% (27) 9% (18) 8% (16)
11	6% (94)	4% (5)	6% (21)	5% (22)	10% (24)	2% (2)	6% (5)	2% (3)	6% (12)
12	- 3% (46) - 2% (38)	5% (6)	1% (3)	2% (7) 2% (8)	4% (11) 5% (12) 1% (3)	2% (2) 1% (1)	5% (4) 5% (4)	4% (5) 2% (3)	6% (12) 4% (8) 1% (3) 1% (2)
14	1% (14)	2% (2)	2% (7) 0% (1)	2% (8) 1% (4)	1% (3)	1% (1)		2% (2)	1% (2)
15	1% (14) 0% (1)	<u>1% (1)</u> -	2% (6) -	0% <u>(1)</u> -	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (1) -		1% (1) -	1% (2) -
17									
E Average Assessment Sc	ore 6.68	7.04	6.12	6.21	7.89	6.23	6.87	6.97	6.95
Status/Conditions Followed (amo Clients counted in each row below are currently active			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistan F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence po	icy	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
Chronic (Verifie G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessn	ess	5	43	44	52	9	9	10	20
Known Unshelter H Clients that are confirmed to be unshelte	red 171	13	10	36	18	4	13	28	49
Matched/Award Clients matched to or awarded a housing resou	rce Z0Z	18	55	52	53	9	21	22	32
Enrolled in Transitional Housi Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional House	ing 103	16	39	9	16	7	0	14	2
Youth at Time of Assessme	ent 216	17	52	55	35	14	13	13	17
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL	n the past 30 days.								
Newly Add Clients who have never been active bei	ore 147	11	40	19	35	12	7	11	12
Returned from Inacti	1 .5/	2	8	2	3	0	8	12	2
Clients inactive for any reason who are now ac N Inflow to Active List TOTA		13	48	21	38	12	15	23	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30		,,,,				, _			1-7
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as		the past 30 days).						
Housed - Self-Resolv Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, s	elf-	2	10	3	2	0	1	9	3
Housed - PS Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with F	SH 34	2	18	4	4	1	1	1	3
Housed - Ri Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with R	RH 23	0	6	5	4	0	0	8	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all of	her 8	0	1	3	1	0	0	1	2
s Housed Outflow subto		4	35	15	11	1	2	19	8
Inactive - Unable to Conta	act 01	3	47	6	0	0	1	4	0
Inactive - In an Instituti U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institut	ion 4	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Deceas VClients made inactive in past 30 days, decea.	sed U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Oth Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reas	ons	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	1
× Other Outflow subto		3	49	6	3	2	3	5	1
Outflow from Active List TOTA		7	84	21	14	3	5	24	9
z NET INFLO	W 17	6	-36	0	24	9	10	-1	5 Page 6

11/27/2018 FTI BINL REPOIL			0	Ourston		00.11400.00	au.anderson@ct.g		
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Families (No		9%	27%	18%	19%	6%	9%	4%	6%
Active on BNL	232	21	63	42	45	15	22	10	14
c Median Days Active	77	61	119	95	78	64	72	85	30
		-	113	30	70	04	12	00	30
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecoras)							
0	-	_	-	-		_	-	_	_
	0% (1)	-	-				-		7% (1)
	3% (7) 2% (5)	<u>5% (1)</u> -	6% (4) 8% (5)	<u>2% (1)</u> -	-		5% (1) -	<u>-</u>	
4	7% (17)	-	6% (4)	7% (3)	7% (3)	-	9% (2)	20% (2)	21% (3)
	13% (31) 12% (28)	19% (4) 33% (7)	17% (11) 6% (4)	14% (6) 14% (6)	13% (6) 11% (5)	13% (2) 13% (2)	9% (2)	10% (1) 10% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)
	14% (33)	14% (3)	11% (7)	14% (6)	13% (6)	13% (2) 33% (5)	9% (2) 27% (6)	- 30% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1) 21% (3) 7% (1)
	16% (36) 12% (27)	14% (3) 5% (1)	13% (8) 14% (9) 10% (6)	12% (5) 10% (4)	13% (6) 16% (7)	-	23% (5) 9% (2)	30% (3) 10% (1)	21% (3)
	6% (14)	-	10% (6) 2% (1)	5% (2)	4% (2)	13% (2) 7% (1)	23% (5) 9% (2) 9% (2) 5% (1)	- 20% (2)	- 7% (1)
12	6% (14) 4% (9)	5% (1)	2% (1)	10% (4) 5% (2) 2% (1) 14% (6) 2% (1)	16% (7) -	7% (1) 7% (1)	-	20% (2) -	- 170 (1)
13	3% (6)	5% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (1)	4% (2)		5% (1)		
15	0% (1) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	-		2% (1)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
	1% (2)		2% (1)	2% (1)					
18	- -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Average Assessment Score	7.43	6.81	6.95	7.86	8.07	7.93	7.55	7.40	6.50
Status/Conditions Followed (among			al in an IV-I	denerally a	ola o o ook leestleeste				
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		us may be counte	u III IIIUITIPIE rows	uepenaing on the	en combination of cir	cumstances.			
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	4	3	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	۷	0	l 	U		U	l	0	·····
Matched/Awarded	67	8	18	11	10	1	7	9	3
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource									
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	0	9	1	0	0	0	0	1
Youth at Time of Assessment									
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	5	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	47	7	8	7	10	2	6	1	6
Clients who have never been active before	41	'					·····	I	
Returned from Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	E 0	7	0	0	40	2	6		7
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	50	7	8	8	10	3	6	1	7
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the next 20 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	15	2	4	2	1	0	2	3	1
Housed - PSH	c	Λ	ე		1	Λ	^	^	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	2	2	1 	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH	11	2	2	1	2	0	0	2	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH		<u>_</u>	<u>_</u>					<u>_</u>	
Housed - All Other	13	1	2	6	1	0	0	1	2
Chome returned to nedering in past of days, an error	45	5	10	11	5	0	2	6	6
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact			10	11					
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				·····	·	·	
Inactive - All Other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1		1	1	1				1
Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	7	1	7	0	0	0	7
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	49	5	11	12	6	0	2	6	7
z NET INFLOW	1	2	-3	-4	4	3	4	-5	0

	11/27/2016 FTI BNL Kepoli				0 1	0 1		oontaot pot	au.anderson@ct.g	
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S			17%	13%	9%	40/	40/	45%	9%
Α		s (Youth)	0%			970	4%	4%		970
В	Active on BNL	47	0	8	6	4	2	2	21	4
С	Median Days Active	113	-	134	110	138	63	46	128	47
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	-	-	<u>.</u>	-	-	-			
	1	-								
	3	2% (1)							5% (1)	
	5	23% (11) 15% (7)		- 13% (1)	50% (3)	25% (1) -	50% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	24% (5) 24% (5)	25% (1) 25% (1)
	6	15% (7) 9% (4)		25% (2) 13% (1)			50% (1)	50% (1)	14% (3) 14% (3)	<u>-</u>
	8	9% (4)	-	38% (3)					5% (1)	
	10	11% (5) 9% (4)		- 13% (1)	17% (1) -	25% (1) 25% (1)			10% (2) 5% (1)	25% (1) 25% (1)
	11	2% (1) 2% (1)	-	-	- 17% (1)	25% (1)	-			-
	13	2% (1)			17% (1)					
	14 15 <mark></mark>	- 2% (1)	-	 		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	50% (1)		
	16	-					-			
	18	-								<u>-</u>
-	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.81	rde)	7.25	7.67	8.50	5.00	10.50	5.86	7.00
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	U	U	U 	U 	U 	U 	U 	U
G	Chronic (Verified)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	11	0	2	4	1	0	1	0	3
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	23	0	2	0	1	0	0	20	0
	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	3
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	'	U	'						
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	a noat 20 daya								
ŀ	Newly Added	,								
L	Clients who have never been active before	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
ŀ	Housed - Self-Resolved	4			0	^	0	٥	1	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		0	0	0	0	0	0	1 	0
Р	Housed - PSH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
-	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	4	^	4	4	^	^	4		^
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	1 	1	0	0	1	1 	0
إ	Housed - All Other	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	8	0	2	2	0	0	1	3	0
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact						· ·	•	-	
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ľ	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution						······			
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ľ	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	0	2	2	0	0	1	3	0
Z	NET INFLOW	-4	0	-2	-2	0	1	-1	-2	Page 8

ı	11/27/2018 FTI BNL REPOIL				Graatar	Greeter		Contact box	au.anderson@ct.g	
	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		7%	24%	24%	17%	7%	7%	7%	8%
Α	Individual	· ,								
В	Active on BNL	196	13	48	47	34	13	13	13	15
С	Median Days Active	82	56	84	70	151	81	28	61	190
D	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
ı	0	- 00/ (4)								
	2	2% (4) 2% (3)		4% (2) 2% (1)	2% (1) -	3% (1) -	<u>-</u>			13% (2) 20% (3)
	3	5% (10) 9% (17)		10% (5) 6% (3)	2% (1) 4% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1)	23% (3)	- 15% (2)	- 38% (5)	20% (3) 7% (1)
	5	14% (28)	15% (2) 8% (1)	19% (9) 21% (10)	21% (10)	3% (1)	8% (1)	15% (2)	15% (2) 8% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1) 13% (2)
	7	17% (34) 13% (26)	8% (1)	6% (3)	23% (11) 19% (9)	9% (3) 24% (8)	23% (3) 8% (1)	23% (3) 8% (1)	23% (3)	-
	8 9	13% (25) 10% (20)	8% (1) 38% (5) 15% (2)	13% (6) 10% (5)	13% (6) 9% (4) 4% (2)	21% (7) 9% (3)	23% (3)	8% (1) -	8% (1)	7% (1) 13% (2)
	10	6% (12) 5% (9)	15% (2)	4% (2) 2% (1)	4% (2) 2% (1)	21% (7) 9% (3) 12% (4) 9% (3) 3% (1)	- 8% (1)	15% (2)		- 7% (1)
	12	2% (3)		-		3% (1)	8% (1)	15% (2) -	<u>-</u>	7% (1)
	13	2% (3) 1% (2)	8% (1)	2% <u>(1)</u> -	<u>-</u> -	3% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	8% (1) -	- 7% (1)
	15	-								
	16 17	-	-		-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.82	8.46	6.13	6.51	7.88	6.85	- 7.15	6.08	6.47
İ	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0
	Known Unsheltered	7	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	4
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	22	0	1	8	7	0	2	1	3
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing			 					' 	
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	27	6	7 	0	10	3	0	0	1
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	20	1	4	8	2	0	1	3	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a nast 30 days								
	Newly Added	29	0	13	8	1	2	2	2	1
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive					· 				
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	9	1	0	0	2	0	5	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	1	13	8	3	2	7	2	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the next 20 days							
ŀ	Housed - Self-Resolved					•				
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	1	7	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Housed - PSH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
۲	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other								·	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1 10	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	17	1	10	1	2	0	0	1	2
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	1 	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
١٨,	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	0
X Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	24	1	5 15	1	3	0	0	2	2
7	NET INFLOW	14	0	-2	7	0	2	7	0	0
-[7127 1111 2011	17			•	•		•		Page 9

11/27/2018 FIT BNL Report				Cuantan	Cuantan		Waterlessions		
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Individuals (No		7%	22%	26%	14%	6%	5%	8%	12%
Active on BNL	1,513	107	330	399	218	84	69	118	188
c Median Days Active	137	139	133	158	126	132	105	60	215
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			100	130	120	132	103		213
_	0% (2)	-	1% (2)	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	2% (37)	2% (2) 4% (4)	4% (13) 6% (21) 11% (36)	3% (13)	1% (3)	1% (1)	- 00/ (C)		3% (5) 4% (7) 7% (14)
	5% (73) 9% (133)	9% (10)	11% (36)	6% (24) 12% (47)	3% (6) 4% (9)	4% (3) 10% (8)	9% (6) 4% (3)	2% (2) 5% (6)	7% (14)
	10% (154) 12% (183)	10% (11) 10% (11)	13% (42) 12% (39)	11% (45)	8% (17) 8% (18)	11% (9) 19% (16)	14% (10) 12% (8)	5% (6) 17% (20)	7% (14) 11% (20)
	13% (198)	8% (9)	14% (47) 11% (36)	12% (49) 13% (50) 9% (37) 6% (24) 5% (18) 5% (21) 2% (7)	10% (22)	23% (19)	10% (7)	17% (20)	13% (25) 11% (21)
	12% (183) 10% (149)	15% (16)	11% (36)	13% (50)	12% (26) 9% (19) 12% (27)	23% (19) 10% (8) 5% (4) 6% (5) 8% (7)	10% (7)	16% (19)	11% (21)
9	7% (112)	12% (13) 9% (10)	6% (21) 5% (16) 6% (21) 6% (20)	6% (24)	12% (27)	5% (4) 6% (5)	14% (10) 7% (5) 3% (2) 4% (3) 6% (4)	16% (19) 8% (9) 3% (4) 3% (3) 4% (5) 2% (2) 2% (2)	14% (26) 9% (16) 9% (16)
	7% (99) 6% (85)	7% (8) 5% (5)	6% (21) 6% (20)	5% (18) 5% (21)	11% (23) 10% (21)	8% (7)	3% (2)	3% (4)	9% (16) 6% (11)
12	3% (43)	6% (6)	1% (3)	2% (7)	5% (10)	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (4)	4% (5)	6% (11) 4% (7) 2% (3) 1% (1)
	2% (35) 1% (12)	- 1% (1)	2% (6) 0% (1)	2% (8) 1% (4)	5% (11) 1% (3)	1% (1)	6% (4)	2% (2)	2% (3)
15	1% (14)	1% (1)	2% (6)	0% (1)	1% (2)	- 1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (1)
	0% (1)				0% (1)				
18	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score	6.66	6.87	6.12	6.17	7.89	6.13	6.81	7.07	6.99
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	187	5	43	43	50	9	7	10	20
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	164	12	9	36	18	4	12	28	45
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	240	18	54	44	46	9	19	21	29
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	76	10	32	9	6	4	0	14	1
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	4	4	8	1	1	0	0	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	118	11	27	11	34	10	5	9	11
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	28	1	8	2	1	0	3	12	1
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	146	12	35	13	35	10	8	21	12
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact									
Housed - Self-Resolved		,		2	٥	0	4	0	0
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	19	1	3	3	0	0	1	9	2
Housed - PSH	33	2	18	4	4	1	1	1	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	19	0	4	 4	 4	 0	 0	 7	 0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	7	0	 0	3	 1	0 0	 0	 1	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		-			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Housed Outflow subtotal	78	3	25	14	9	1	2	18	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	55	3	42	6	0	0	1	3	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	1
X Other Outflow subtotal	65	3	44	6	2	2	3	4	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	143	6	69	20	11	3	5	22	7
z NET INFLOW	3	6	-34	-7	24	7	3	-1	5 Page 10

Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	ride BNL	12%		14%	5670	12%	2%	10%	
Active on BNL	1,988	243	1745	279	1709	232	47	196	1513
c Median Days Active	124	84	130	78	132	77	113	82	137
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
0	0% (2)		0% (2)		0% (2)				0% (2)
2	2% (42) 4% (83)	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (2) 2% (38) 5% (80) 8% (138)	0% (1) 3% (7) 2% (6)	0% (2) 2% (41) 4% (76) 8% (143)	0% (1) 3% (7)		2% (4) 2% (3) 5% (10)	0% (2) 2% (37) 5% (73)
	7% (149) 10% (199)	5% (11) 12% (28)	10% (171)	2% (6) 10% (28)	8% (143) 10% (171)	2% (5) 7% (17)	2% (1) 23% (11) 15% (7)	5% (10) 9% (17) 14% (28)	9% (133) 10% (154) 12% (183)
	13% (249) 13% (267)	14% (35)	12% (214)	14% (38) 13% (35)	10% (171) 12% (211) 14% (232)	13% (31) 12% (28)	15% (7)	14% (28) 17% (34)	12% (183) 13% (198)
7	12% (246) 11% (214)	17% (41) 12% (30) 12% (29)	13% (226) 12% (216) 11% (185)	13% (37) 14% (40)	12% (209)	14% (33) 16% (36)	9% (4) 9% (4) 11% (5)	17% (34) 13% (26) 13% (25)	12% (183)
9	8% (164) 6% (129)	12% (29) 10% (25)	11% (185) 8% (139)	11% (32)	10% (174) 8% (132) 6% (111)	12% (27)	11% (5)	13% (25) 10% (20) 6% (12)	10% (149) 7% (112) 7% (99)
11	5% (109)	7% (16) 4% (10) 2% (4)	6% (113) 6% (99) 3% (52) 2% (41) 1% (13)	10% (28) 14% (38) 13% (35) 13% (37) 14% (40) 11% (32) 6% (18) 5% (15) 4% (10) 3% (7) 0% (1)	6% (94)	2% (5) 7% (17) 13% (31) 12% (28) 14% (33) 16% (36) 12% (27) 6% (14) 6% (14) 4% (9) 3% (6) 0% (1)	9% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (9)	6% (85) 3% (43)
13	3% (56) 2% (45)	2% (4) 2% (4) 1% (2)	3% (52) 2% (41)	4% (10) 3% (7)	6% (94) 3% (46) 2% (38) 1% (14)	4% (9) 3% (6)	2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (9) 2% (3) 2% (3) 1% (2)	2% (35) 1% (12)
15	1% (15) 1% (16)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (15)	1% (2)	1% (14)	0% (1) 0% (1) 1% (2)	2% (1)	<u>1% (2)</u> -	1% (14)
16	0% (3)		0% (3)	1% (2)	0% (1)	1% (2) -			0% (1) -
E Average Assessment Score	6.77	6.81	6.76	7.33	6.68	7.43	- 6.81	6.82	6.66
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ted in multiple rows	denending on the	oir combination of c	vircumetances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	17
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	202	6	196 	10	192	9	1	5	187
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	173	7	166	2	171	2	0	7	164
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	340	33	307	78	262	67	11	22	240
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	137	50	87	34	103	11	23	27	76
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	268	243	 25	52	216	5	47	196	20
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added									
Clients who have never been active before	198	33	165	51	147	47	4	29	118
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	40	9	31	3	37	3	0	9	28
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	238	42	196	54	184	50	4	38	146
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	46	12	34	16	30	15	1	11	19
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	41	2	39	7	34	6	 1	1	33
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	38	 8	30		23	11			 19
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				15 			4	4	
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	23	3	20	15	8	13	2	1	7
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	148	25	123	53	95	45	8	17	78
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	64	6	58	3	61	3	0	6	55
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
X Other Outflow subtotal	76	7	69	4	72	4	0	7	65
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	224	32	192	57	167	49	8	24	143
z NET INFLOW	14	10	4	-3	17	1	-4	14	3 Page 11

Control CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Central CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	00/	91%	15%	85%	15%		00/	76%
n	ntral CAN	9%					0%	9%	
Active on BNL	141	13	128	21	120	21	0	13	107
Median Days Active		56	124	61	139	61	-	56	139
Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
0 1	- 1% (2)		- 2% (2)		- 2% (2)			-	2% (2)
2	4% (5) 7% (10)	-	4% (5) 8% (10)	<u>5% (1)</u> -	3% (4) 8% (10)	5% (1) -	-		4% (4) 9% (10)
4	8% (11) 12% (17)	- 15% (2)	9% (11) 12% (15) 13% (16) 15% (19) 13% (16)	10% (4)	9% (11)	- 19% (4)		- 15% (2)	10% (11)
6	12% (17)	15% (2) 8% (1)	13% (16)	19% (4) 33% (7) 14% (3) 14% (3) 5% (1)	8% (10) 14% (17) 12% (14) 13% (15)	33% (7) 14% (3)		8% (1)	10% (11) 8% (9) 15% (16)
8	14% (20) 12% (17)	8% (1) 8% (1)	15% (19) 13% (16)	14% (3) 14% (3)	14% (17) 12% (14)	14% (3) 14% (3) 5% (1)		8% (1) 8% (1)	12% (13)
9	11% (16) 7% (10)	8% (1) 38% (5) 15% (2)	9% (11) 6% (8)	5% (1) -	13% (15) 8% (10)	5% (1) -	-	38% (5) 15% (2)	9% (10) 7% (8)
11	4% (5)	-	4% (5)		8% (10) 4% (5) 5% (6)				5% (5) 6% (6)
12	5% (7) 1% (1)		5% (7) 1% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	-	5% (1) 5% (1)			
14 15	1% (2) 1% (1)	8% (1) -	1% (1) 1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)		-	8% (1) -	1% (1) 1% (1)
16	-								
18 Average Assessment Score	7.01	8.46	6.86	6.81	7.04	- - 6.81	-	8.46	6.87
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)				, ,			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		nts may be coun	tea in muitipie rows	aepenaing on the	eir combination of d	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)			·						
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	13	1	12	0	13	0	0	1	12
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	26	0	26	8	18	8	0	0	18
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		6	10	0	16	0	0	6	10
Youth at Time of Assessment		13	4	0	17	0	0	13	4
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ıl					T			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	10	0	18	7	11	7	0	0	11
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	1	19	7	13	7	0	1	12
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		the nact 30 day	ve.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	T	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		0	2	0	2	0	0	 0	 2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	9	1	8	5	4	5	0	1	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	ა	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	12	1	11	5	7	5	0	1	6
z NET INFLOW	8	0	8	2	6	2	0	0	6 Page 12

	Fairfield County CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
	Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	420/	88%	16%	84%	14%		440/	73%
Α	Fairfield Cou		12%					2%	11%	
В	Active on BNL	449	56	393	71	378	63	8	48	330
С	Median Days Active	127	88	132	119	128	119	134	84	133
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
ľ		0% (2) 3% (15)	- 4% (2)	1% (2) 3% (13) 6% (25) 10% (41)	<u>-</u> -	1% (2) 4% (15) 6% (22)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	- 4% (2)	1% (2) 4% (13) 6% (21)
	2	6% (26) 10% (46)	4% (2) 2% (1) 9% (5)	6% (25) 10% (41)	6% (4) 7% (5)	6% (22) 11% (41)	6% (4) 8% (5)		2% (1) 10% (5)	6% (21) 11% (36)
	4	11% (49) 13% (60)	5% (3) 18% (10)	12% (46) 13% (50)	6% (4) 17% (12)	12% (45) 13% (48)	6% (4)	120/ (1)	6% (3) 19% (9)	11% (36) 13% (42) 12% (39)
	6	14% (63)	21% (12)	13% (51)	8% (6) 11% (8)	15% (46) 15% (57) 10% (39)	6% (4)	13% (1) 25% (2) 13% (1)	21% (10)	14% (47) 11% (36)
	8	10% (47) 8% (38)	7% (4) 16% (9) 9% (5)	11% (43) 7% (29)	15% (11) 13% (9)	7% (27)	11% (7)	38% (3)	6% (3) 13% (6) 10% (5)	6% (21) 5% (16)
	10	7% (30) 7% (30)	9% (5) 5% (3) 2% (1)	7% (29) 6% (25) 7% (27) 5% (21)	10% (7)	7% (27) 6% (21) 6% (23)	6% (4) 8% (5) 6% (4) 17% (11) 6% (4) 11% (7) 13% (8) 14% (9) 10% (6)	13% (1)	4% (2)	6% (21)
	12	5% (22) 1% (4)		1% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (21) 1% (3)	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)		2% (1) -	6% (20) 1% (3) 2% (6) 0% (1)
	14	2% (8) 0% (2)	2% (1) -	2% (7) 1% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (7) 0% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)		2% (1) -	2% (6) 0% (1)
	15	1% (6) 0% (1)		2% (6) 0% (1)	- 1% (1)	2% (6) -	- 2% (1)			2% (6) -
	17	-				-				-
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.26	6.29	6.25	6.99	6.12	6.95	7.25	6.13	6.12
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	47	0	47	4	43	4	0	0	43
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	11	1	10	1	10	1	0	1	9
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	75	3	72	20	55	18	2	1	54
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	50	9	41	11	39	9	2	7	32
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	61	56	5	9	52	1	8	48	4
•	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	a nast 30 days								
	Newly Added	48	13	35	8	40	8	0	13	27
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	56 vs	13	43	8	48	8	0	13	35
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	14	7	7	4	10	4	0	7	3
Р	Housed - PSH	20	0	20	2	18	2	0	0	18
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	3	6	3	6	2	1	2	4
R	Housed - All Other	4	2	2	3	1	2	1	1	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	47	12	35	12	35	10	2	10	25
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	48	5	43	1	47	1	0	5	42
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	50	5	45	1	49	1	0	5	44
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	97	17	80	13	84	11	2	15	69
Z	NET INFLOW	-41	-4	-37	-5	-36	-3	-2	-2	-34 Page 13

ı	11/27/2018 FIT BINL REPORT	AII	AII	AH	AII	AII	Families		au.anderson@ct.g	
	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Dovo		Toutil	89%	1 diffiles	90%	(Mon-Touth)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	81%
	Greater Harti	entage of	11%	30 70	10%	0070	9%	40/	10%	0170
Α								1%	_	
В	Active on BNL	494	53	441	48	446	42	6	47	399
С	Median Days Active	145	70	153	95	151	95	110	70	158
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
U	0	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
		3% (14) 5% (25)	2% (1)	3% (13) 6% (25)	- 2% (1)	3% (14) 5% (24)	- 2% (1)		2% (1)	3% (13) 6% (24)
	3	10% (48)	2% (1)	11% (47)	13% (6)	11% (48)	- 7% (3)	-	2% (1) 4% (2)	12% (47) 11% (45)
	5	11% (53) 14% (67)	9% (5) 19% (10)	11% (48) 13% (57)	13% (6)	11% (47) 14% (61)	14% (6)	50% (3) -	21% (10)	13% (45)
		13% (66) 13% (65)	21% (11) 17% (9)	13% (57) 12% (55) 13% (56)	13% (6) 13% (6) 13% (6)	14% (61) 13% (60) 13% (59)	14% (6) 14% (6)	<u>-</u>	23% (11) 19% (9)	12% (49) 13% (50)
	8	10% (48) 7% (33)	11% (6) 9% (5) 4% (2)	10% (42)	10% (5)	10% (43)	12% (5)	- 170/ (1)	13% (6)	9% (37)
	10	4% (22)	4% (2)	10% (42) 10% (42) 6% (28) 5% (20) 5% (22) 3% (13)	10% (5) 10% (5) 10% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1) 15% (7)	10% (43) 10% (43) 6% (28) 4% (20) 5% (22) 2% (7)	14.% (0) 12.% (5) 10.% (4) 5% (2) 2% (1) 14.% (6)	17% (1) 	13% (6) 9% (4) 4% (2)	13% (51) 12% (49) 13% (50) 9% (37) 6% (24) 5% (18) 5% (21) 2% (7) 2% (8) 1% (4)
		5% (23) 3% (14)	2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (22) 3% (13)	2% (1) 15% (7)	5% (22) 2% (7)	2% (1) 14% (6)	- 17% (1)	2% (1) -	5% (21) 2% (7)
	13	2% (10) 1% (4)	2% (1)	2% (9) 1% (4)	4% (2)	2% (8) 1% (4)	2% (1)	17% (1)		2% (8)
	15	0% (1)	-	0% (1)		0% (1)	-			0% (1)
	16 <mark> </mark>	0% (1) -	-	0% (1) -	<u>2% (1)</u> -	<u></u> -	2% (1) -			
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.37	- 6.64	6.33	7.83	6.21	7.86	7.67	- 6.51	- 6.17
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	7.00	0.21	7.00	7.01	0.01	0.17
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	48	2	46	4	44	3	1	1	43
	Known Unsheltered	36	0	36	0	36	0	0	0	36
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered									
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	67	12	55	15	52	11	4	8	44
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10		10			 			
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	62	53	9	7	55	1	6	47	8
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	26	8	18	7	19	7	0	8	11
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	29	8	21	8	21	8	0	8	13
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	ys								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 day	S.						
\cap	Housed - Self-Resolved	5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
U	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	-	4		^	4	^	4	^	
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	7	1	6	3	4	2	1 	0	4
	Housed - RRH	7	2	5	2	5	1	1	1	4
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	9	0	9	6	3	6	0	0	3
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	28	3	25	13	15	11	2	1	14
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۷	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		·				
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
χ	Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	35	3	32	14	21	12	2	1	20
Z	NET INFLOW	-6	5	-11	-6	0	-4	-2	7	-7
			1		1					Page 14

Greater New Haven CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Percentage of Greater New Haven CAN		13%	81%	16%	84%	15%	1%	11%	72%
B Active on BNL	301	38	263	49	252	45	4	34	218
c Median Days Active	119	151	117	81	131	78	138	151	126
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			117	01	101	10	100	101	120
D Count of all active records having each assessment score									
1	- 1% (4)	3% (1)	1% (3)	- -	2% (4)	-	<u>-</u>	3% (1)	1% (3)
3	2% (6) 3% (10)	3% (1)	2% (6) 3% (9)		2% (6) 4% (10)			- 3% (1)	1% (3) 3% (6) 4% (9)
5	7% (22) 8% (25)	5% (2) 3% (1)	8% (20) 9% (24)	8% (4) 12% (6)	7% (18) 8% (19)	7% (3) 13% (6)	25% (1) -	3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (17) 8% (18)
6 7	10% (30) 13% (40)	8% (3) 21% (8)	10% (27) 12% (32)	10% (5) 12% (6)	10% (25) 13% (34)	11% (5)	-	9% (3) 24% (8)	10% (22) 12% (26) 9% (19) 12% (27)
8	11% (32) 13% (38)	18% (7) 11% (4) 13% (5)	10% (25) 13% (34) 10% (25)	12% (6) 16% (8) 6% (3)	10% (26) 12% (30) 11% (27)	13% (6) 13% (6) 16% (7) 4% (2)	- 25% (1)	21% (7) 9% (3)	9% (19) 12% (27)
10	10% (30) 11% (32)	13% (5) 11% (4)	10% (25)	6% (3) 16% (8)	11% (27) 10% (24)	4% (2) 16% (7)	25% (1) 25% (1) 25% (1)	21% (7) 9% (3) 12% (4) 9% (3) 3% (1)	11% (23) 10% (21)
12	4% (11) 5% (14)	3% (1) 3% (1)	11% (28) 4% (10) 5% (13) 1% (3)	4% (2)	10% (24) 4% (11) 5% (12) 1% (3)	4% (2)		3% (1) 3% (1)	5% (10)
14 15	1% (3)		1% (3) 1% (3)	2% (1)	1% (3) 1% (2)	2% (1)	-		5% (11) 1% (3) 1% (2)
16	1% (3) 0% (1)	-	0% (1)	<u> </u>	1% (2) 0% (1)	<u> </u>			0% (1)
17 18 Autor Account Scot	- 700	 - 7.95					-		
Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.93		7.92	8.10	7.89	8.07	8.50	7.88	7.89
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of d	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	53	2	51	1	52	1	0	2	50
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	0	18	0	18	0	0	0	18
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	64	8	56	11	53	10	1	7	46
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	17	11	6	1	16	0	1	10	6
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	41	38	3	6	35	2	4	34	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
Clients who have never been active before	45	1	44	10	35	10	0	1	34
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	48	3	45	10	38	10	0	3	35
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	1	1			_			_	
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	2	1	1 	2	1 	0	2	0
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	6	2	4	2	0	0	4
Housed - All Other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	16	2	14	5	11	5	0	2	9
Inactive - Unable to Contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	 1
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	 0	0	0	0	 0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	1	 1	1	0	0	 1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons				1				1	
Y Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	20	3	3 17	7 6	3 14	1 6	<u>0</u>		2 11
z NET INFLOW	28	0	28	4	24	4	0	0	24
		1		•		•		•	Page 15

MMW CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All Individuals	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	85%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of MW CAN	13%	01 70	15%	00 /0	13%	2%	11%	7470
Active on BNL	114	15	99	17	97	15	2	13	84
c Median Days Active	112	81	113	64	131	64	63	81	132
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)				- 1% (1)
2	3% (3) 7% (8)	-	3% (3) 8% (8)		3% (3)				4% (3) 10% (8) 11% (9)
4	11% (13)	27% (4)	9% (9)	6% (1)	8% (8) 12% (12)		50% (1)	23% (3)	11% (9)
6	17% (19) 22% (25)	7% (1) 27% (4)	18% (18) 21% (21)	12% (2) 18% (3)	18% (17) 23% (22)	13% (2) 13% (2)	50% (1)	8% (1) 23% (3)	19% (16) 23% (19)
7	10% (11) 11% (12)	7% (1) 20% (3)	10% (10) 9% (9)	12% (2) 29% (5)	23% (22) 9% (9) 7% (7)	13% (2) 33% (5)	<u>-</u> -	8% (1) 23% (3)	10% (8) 5% (4)
10	4% (5) 8% (9)		5% (5) 9% (9) 2% (2) 2% (2)	- 12% (2)	5% (5) 7% (7)	13% (2)			6% (5) 8% (7) 1% (1) 1% (1)
11	3% (3)	7% (1)	2% (2)	6% (1)	2% (2) 2% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)		8% (1)	1% (1)
12 13	3% (3) 1% (1)	7% (1) -	2% (2) 1% (1)	6% (1) -	2% (2) 1% (1)	7% (1) -		8% (1) -	1% (1)
14	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	- 1% (1)	<u></u>	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u>	- 1% (1)
16	-	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
E Average Assessment Score	6.43	- 6.60	- 6.40	- 7.59	6.23	7.93	5.00	- 6.85	6.13
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	15	1	2	14	0	2	13	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	15	3	12	3	12	2	1	2	10
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	16	3	13	4	12	3	1	2	10
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			-						
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
z NET INFLOW	13	3	10	4	9	3	1	2	7 Page 16

,,_0	16 FTI BNL KEPOII	AII	AII	AII	AII	AH	Familia.		eau.anderson@ct.	· ·
No	ortheast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		86%		77%			, ,	65%
A		east CAN	14%		23%		21%	2%	12%	
В	Active on BNL	106	15	91	24	82	22	2	13	69
С	Median Days Active	82	35	92	70	91	72	46	28	105
	nt Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all activ	e records having each assessment score.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
1		- 7% (7)		- 8% (7)	- 4% (1)	-	- 5% (1)			- 09/, (6)
3		3% (3)	-	3% (3)	8% (2)	7% (6) 4% (3)	-	<u>-</u>		4% (3)
5		13% (14) 9% (10)	13% (2) 13% (2)	13% (12) 9% (8)	-	15% (12) 12% (10)	9% (2) -	-	15% (2) 15% (2)	9% (6) 4% (3) 14% (10) 12% (8)
6		12% (13) 13% (14)	27% (4) 7% (1)	10% (9) 14% (13)	13% (3) 25% (6)	12% (10) 10% (8)	9% (2) 27% (6)	50% (1) -	23% (3) 8% (1)	10% (7)
8		15% (16) 7% (7)	7% (1)	16% (15) 8% (7)	21% (5) 8% (2) 8% (2)	13% (11)	23% (5)		8% (1)	14% (10)
10		6% (6)	13% (2)	4% (4)	8% (2)	13% (11) 6% (5) 5% (4) 6% (5)	9% (2) 27% (6) 23% (5) 9% (2) 9% (2) 5% (1)		15% (2)	14% (10) 7% (5) 3% (2) 4% (3) 6% (4) 6% (4)
11 12		6% (6) 4% (4)	13% (2) -	4% (4) 4% (4)	4% (1) -	5% (4)	-		15% (2) -	4% (3) 6% (4)
13 14		5% (5) -	-	5% (5) -	4% (1) -	5% (4) -	5% (1) -			6% <u>(</u> 4) -
15 16		1% (1) -	7% (1)		4% (1)			50% (1)		
17		-				<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		
E 18	Average Assessment Score	7.08	7.60	6.99	7.79	6.87	7.55	10.50	7.15	6.81
	nditions Followed (among a in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1		1		1		^	^	1
F Clients counted	here are subject to due diligence policy	 	0	 	0	 	0	0	0	
G Clients meet F	Chronic (Verified) HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	2	8	1	9	1	0	2	7
H Clio	Known Unsheltered nts that are confirmed to be unsheltered	14	1	13	1	13	1	0	1	12
	Matched/Awarded	29	3	26	8	21	7	1	2	19
	tched to or awarded a housing resource d in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	who are enrolled in Transitional Housing th at Time of Assessment									
K Active clients wi	ho were under 25 at time of assessment	15	15	0	2	13	0	2	13	0
	active List: Past 30 Days are made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L Cli	Newly Added ents who have never been active before	13	2	11	6	7	6	0	2	5
	Returned from Inactive	8	5	3	0	8	0	0	5	3
	ctive for any reason who are now active	21	7	14	6	15	6	0	7	8
	om Active List: Past 30 Day		/	14	U	10	U	U		0
	ere returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days	S.						
O Clients n	Housed - Self-Resolved eturned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients return	ed to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 1	1	 0	1	 0	0	1	0	 0
Q Clients returne	ed to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		·		· 					
	ned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal active - Unable to Contact	5	1	4	3	2	2	1	0	2
T Clients made in	active in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - In an Institution inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V Clients n	Inactive - Deceased nade inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other active in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
X Clients made in	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	v from Active List TOTAL	8	1	7	3	5	2	1	0	5
Z	NET INFLOW	13	6	7	3	10	4	-1	7	3
1	L									Page 17

11/2//2018 FIT BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Pero	entage of		79%		81%	,	,	(/	73%
	east CAN	21%		19%		6%	13%	8%	
Active on BNL		34	128	31	131	10	21	13	118
Median Days Active	_	97	63	104	61	85	128	61	60
Assessment Score Distribution (am	1		00	101	01		120	<u> </u>	
Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
1	-		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	-	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
2	1% (2) 4% (7)	3% (1)	2% (2) 5% (6)	3% (1)	2% (2) 5% (6)		- 5% (1)		2% (2) 5% (6) 5% (6) 17% (20)
4	11% (18) 17% (28)	29% (10) 21% (7)	6% (8) 16% (21)	23% (7) 19% (6)	8% (11) 17% (22)	20% (2) 10% (1)	24% (5) 24% (5)	38% (5) 15% (2)	5% (6)
6	15% (25)	12% (4) 18% (6)	16% (21)	13% (4) 10% (3)	16% (21)	10% (1)	14% (3)	8% (1)	17% (20) 17% (20) 16% (19)
8	15% (25) 14% (23)	18% (6) 3% (1)	15% (19) 17% (22)	10% (3) 13% (4)	17% (22) 15% (19)	30% (3)	14% (3) 5% (1)	23% (3)	16% (19) 16% (19)
9	8% (13) 3% (5)	3% (1) 9% (3) 3% (1)	8% (10) 3% (4) 4% (5)	13% (4) 10% (3) 3% (1) 6% (2)	15% (19) 8% (10) 3% (4) 2% (3)	10% (1) -	14% (3) 5% (1) 10% (2) 5% (1)	8% (1) -	8% (9) 3% (4)
11 12	3% (5) 3% (5)		4% (5) 4% (5)	6% (2)	2% (3) 4% (5)	20% (2)			16% (19) 8% (9) 3% (4) 3% (3) 4% (5) 2% (2) 2% (2)
13	2% (3)	3% (1)	2% (2) 2% (2)		4% (5) 2% (3) 2% (2)			8% (1)	2% (2)
15	1% (2) 1% (1)	-	1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)		- -		2% (2) 1% (1)
16 17	-		-	- - -		- -	 		
Average Assessment Score	- e 6.85	- 5.94	7.09	- 6.35	- 6.97	- 7.40	5.86	6.08	- 7.07
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active of		nts may be count	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of o	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Chronic (Verified		0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	<u> </u>								
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1 /ñ	0	28	0	28	0	0	0	28
Matched/Awarded	31	1	30	9	22	9	0	1	21
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	·	· 							
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		20	14	20	14	0	20	0	14
Youth at Time of Assessmen	.34	34	0	21	13	0	21	13	0
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	<u> </u>	-	-		<u> </u>				
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t	he past 30 days.								
Newly Added	13	3	10	2	11	1	1	2	9
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	-					' 	·		
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1 1/	0	12	0	12	0	0	0	12
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	25	3	22	2	23	1	1	2	21
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved	ıl	1							
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		1	12	4	9	3	1	0	9
Housed - PSF	- I	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	<u> </u>	 					·		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	2	9	3	8	2	1	1 	7
Housed - All Other	1 3	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal		4	24	9	19	6	3	1	18
Inactive - Unable to Contac	_	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	ļ'	ა	U	4	U		l 	ა
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	<u> </u>	ļ					·		·
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal		1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	33	5	28	9	24	6	3	2	22
NET INFLOW	-8	-2	-6	-7	-1	-5	-2	0	-1

Watarniirviiitchtiaid (*/\n)	nilies Families Youth) (Youth)		Individuals
Records Fouth Non-Touth Families individuals (Non-		(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Percentage of 91% 92%			85%
	2%	7%	
-	4 4	15	188
	30 47	190	215
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)			
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.			
3% (6) - 3% (6) - 6% (1) 2% (5) 7% (2) 3% (7) - 4% (9)	- 6 (1)		3% (5)
	<u></u>	13% (2) 20% (3)	3% (5) 4% (7) 7% (14)
4 9% (19) 11% (2) 8% (17) 22% (4) 7% (15) 21% 5 10% (23) 11% (2) 10% (21) 11% (2) 10% (21) 10% (21) 7%	% (3) 25% (1) 6 (1) 25% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	7% (14) 11% (20)
6 13% (28) 11% (2) 13% (26) 6% (1) 13% (27) 7%	. (1)	13% (2)	13% (25) 11% (21)
7	% (3) - 6 (1) - 7 (3) 25% (1)	- 7% (1)	14% (26)
8	- 25% (1)	13% (2)	14% (26) 9% (16) 9% (16)
11 6% (13) 5% (1) 6% (12) 6% (1) 6% (12) 7% (12) 4% (8) 5% (1) 3% (7) - 4% (8)	(1) -	7% (1) 7% (1)	6% (11) 4% (7) 2% (3) 1% (1)
1% (3) - 1% (3) - 1% (3)		7% (1) 7% (1)	2% (3)
15 1% (2) 1% (2) - 1% (2) - 1% (2) - 1% (2)		/% (1) 	1% (1) 1% (2)
16			
18	.50 7.00	6.47	6.99
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	.00 1.00	0.47	0.93
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumsta	nnces.		
Refuses CAN Assistance 3 0 3	0 0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) 20 0 20 0 20			
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness 20 0 20 0 20	0 0	0	20
Known Unsheltered 49 4 45 0 49	0 0	4	45
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded 20 C 20 C 20			
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource 38 6 32 6 32	3 3	3	29
Enrolled in Transitional Housing 3 1 2 1 2	1 0	1	1
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	·	·	
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 22 19 3 5 17	1 4	15	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days			
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before 20 3 17 8 12	6 2	1	11
Returned from Inactive 3 1 2 1 2	1 0	1	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			40
	7 2	2	12
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.			
Housed Solf Posolved	1 0	1	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	·	I	<u></u>
Housed - PSH 4 1 3 1 3	1 0	1	2
Housed - RRH 2 0 2 2 0	 2	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	∠ V 		
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 4 0 4 2 2	2 0	0	2
Chorac rotation to housing in past of days, air out of	6 0	2	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact 1 0 1 1	1 0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact			
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased 0 0 0 0	 0 0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Inactive - All Other N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0 0	0	1
onone made madro in pade de days, an anon readone	1 0	0	1
	7 0	2	7
z NET INFLOW 7 2 5 2 5 (0 2	0	5

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).