Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)									
243 -10 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			o Housing						
1 74 -2 from last week -6 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	29	1	6						
Fairfield County	77	0	18						
Greater Hartford	35	0	16						
Greater New Haven	42	0	18						
MMW	16	0	8						
Northeast	11	0	5						
Southeast	11	0	3						
Waterbury Litchfield	22	0	0						

Active In	dividua	ıls (Youth)							
259 +1 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9									
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
8 18									
-2 from last week		-3 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	17	3	0						
Fairfield County	69	2	6						
Greater Hartford	49	0	5						
Greater New Haven	80	0	3						
MMW	12	0	0						
Northeast	5	0	1						
Southeast	13	2	0						
Waterbury Litchfield	14	1	3						

on is below.											
Active I	Active Families (Youth)										
+1 fr	+1 from last week full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8										
full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8											
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing											
0 10											
no change		-1 from la	st week								
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched								
Central	3	0	2								
Fairfield County	12	0	3								
Greater Hartford	9	0	1								
Greater New Haven	9	0	1								
MMW	4	0	1								
Northeast	1	0	1								
Southeast	20	0	1								
Waterbury Litchfield	2	0	0								

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) +14 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing -7 from last week -3 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 135 20 19 Fairfield County 420 13 64 **Greater Hartford** 615 34 41 7 Greater New Haven 269 42 MMW 75 8 10 9 Northeast 52 11 95 22 Southeast 29 Waterbury Litchfield 223 42

AIII	I BNL Report									
All	Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S All	tatewide Records	8%	24%	29%	16%	4%	3%	6%	11%
	Active on BNL	2,447	184	578	708	400	107	69	139	261
	Median Days Active	133	142	134	144	134	104	99	61	158
Count of all active reco	Score Distribution (amounts having each assessment score	ng active r	ecords)			104	104	33	O I	100
1		0% (4) 2% (51)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (2) 2% (14) 5% (31)	0% (1) 2% (17)	2% (6) 2% (9)	1% (1)		- 1% (2)	3% (8)
2		4% (98)	2% (3)	5% (31)	5% (38)	2% (9)	4% (4)	6% (4)	_	3% (9)
4		8% (190) 11% (262)	5% (9) 10% (19)	9% (52) 13% (75)	10% (70) 13% (91)	6% (23) 6% (25)	7% (8) 10% (11)	4% (3) 12% (8)	6% (8) 9% (13)	7% (17) 8% (20)
5		13% (330)	18% (33)	12% (69) 12% (69)	15% (104) 15% (108)	12% (47) 11% (43)	19% (20) 20% (21)	9% (6)	15% (21) 17% (24) 14% (20)	11% (29)
6 7		14% (345) 11% (276)	11% (21) 12% (22)	10% (60)	15% (108) 11% (78)	11% (43) 12% (46)	7% (7)	19% (13) 16% (11)	17% (24) 14% (20)	18% (46) 12% (32)
8		11% (269)	12% (22) 15% (28) 9% (16) 5% (10)	11% (65) 9% (52) 8% (44) 4% (25)	11% (78) 9% (62) 6% (42) 6% (40) 5% (32)	12% (46) 12% (47)	13% (14) 5% (5) 5% (5) 4% (4) 5% (5)	9% (6) 12% (8)	12% (16)	12% (31)
9 10		8% (197) 7% (161)	9% (16) 5% (10)	9% (52) 8% (44)	6% (42) 6% (40)	9% (37) 8% (33)	5% (5) 5% (5)	12% (8) 3% (2)	8% (11) 7% (10)	10% (26) 7% (17)
11		5% (129)	6% (11)	4% (25)	5% (32)	8% (30)	4% (4)	3% (2) 9% (6)	7% (10) 5% (7)	5% (14)
12 13		2% (60) 2% (47)	2% (4) 2% (4)	2% (9) 1% (7)	1% (10) 2% (12)	5% (20) 5% (19)	5% (5) 2% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (4) 1% (1)	3% (7)
14		2 % (47) 0% (11)	- 270 (4)	1% (3)	0% (2)	1% (5)	- Z /0 (Z)	- 170 (1)	- 170 (1)	0% (1)
15		1% (13)		0% (1)	0% (1)	2% (8) 1% (2)			1% (2)	5% (14) 3% (7) 0% (1) 0% (1) 1% (2)
16 17		0% (3) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>		<u>0% (1)</u> -	1% (2) -	<u>-</u> -			0% (1)
18		-	-	-	-	-	-			-
24-4 10 di4i	Average Assessment Score	6.63	6.77	6.35	6.11	7.72	6.44	6.74	6.92	6.77
Clients counted in each	ons Followed (among h row below are currently active on fuses CAN Assistance	the BNL, and clier	nts may be counte		-					
	are subject to due diligence policy	18	1	1 	5	2	1	0	1 	7
Clients meet HUD d	Chronic (Verified) efinition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unabeltored	202	11	65	43	49	9	5	7	13
Clients th	Known Unsheltered	173	24	15	34	7	8	11	31	43
	Matched/Awarded	315	27	91	63	64	19	16	26 	9
Active clients who a	Transitional Housing	128	16	42	13	13	2	0	36	6
Active clients who we	t Time of Assessment	348	25	84	64	99	16	7	35	18
	re List: Past 30 Days ade active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	211	13	48	61	23	17	5	27	17
Clients inactive t	Returned from Inactive for any reason who are now active	38	0	6	4	8	1	1	15	3
	to Active List TOTAL	249	13	54	65	31	18	6	42	20
Clients below were ma	Active List: Past 30 Day									
	oused - Self-Resolved I in the past 30 days, self-resolved	51	2	7	4	2	5	6	21	4
Clients	Housed - PSH housed in past 30 days, with PSH	58	0	22	14	10	2	0	4	6
Clients	Housed - RRH housed in past 30 days, with RRH	35	0	6	10	5	0	3	10	1
	Housed - All Other s housed in past 30 days, all other	17	1	0	2	5	0	0	8	1
	oused Outflow subtotal ve - Unable to Contact	161 86	3 8	35 8	30 40	22 6	<u>7</u> 9	9	43 9	12 2
	in past 30 days, unable to contact							4		
	ve in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	4 0	0	0	0	1	0		1 	1 0
	inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	 79	0	0 0	0 0	0 73	0 0 0	0 3	0 2	U 1
Clients made	mactive - All Other			U	U	10	U	J	_	
	e in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	169	8	8	40	80	9	8	12	4
Clients made inactive	in past 30 days, all other reasons			8 43	40 70	80 102	9 16	8 17	12 55	4 16

6/26/2016 FTT BINL REPORT				0	0		Oontact be	ov with questions	
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of	Statewide		050/		200/				
A	All Youth	6%	25%	18%	28%	5%	2%	10%	5%
Active on BN	L 319	20	81	58	89	16	6	33	16
c Median Days Activ	e 83	180	88	71	106	69	97	83	104
Assessment Score Distribution (an		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment so	ore.		_		_		-		
1	2% (5) 3% (10)	-	4% (3) 7% (6)	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (1)	- 13% (2)			
3	8% (24)	15% (3)	7% (6)	2% (1)	1% (1) 9% (8)			9% (3)	19% (3)
5	11% (36) 14% (45)	10% (2) 15% (3)	10% (8) 10% (8)	19% (11) 19% (11)	4% (4) 11% (10)	19% (3) 6% (1)	17% (1) -	18% (6) 27% (9)	6% (1) 19% (3)
6	14% (46) 12% (37)	15% (3) 10% (2)	15% (12) 9% (7)	16% (9) 10% (6)	11% (10) 15% (13)	38% (6) -	33% (2)	12% (4) 15% (5)	13% (2) 13% (2)
8	11% (34) 9% (29)	10% (2) 15% (3)	12% (10) 11% (9)	10% (6) 7% (4)	15% (13) 9% (8)	6% (1) -	- 33% (2)	6% (2) 6% (2) 3% (1) 3% (1)	- 6% (1)
10	6% (18) 6% (18)	5% (1)	11% (9) 2% (2)	5% (3) 5% (3)	9% (8) 6% (5)		17% (1)	3% (1)	19% (3)
12	3% (10)			3% (2)	6% (5)	13% (2)		3/0(1)	6% (1)
13 14	1% (3) 0% (1)	5% (1) -	- 1% (1)		1% (1) -	6% (1) -			
15 16	0% (1) 1% (2)	-	-	-	1% (1) 2% (2)	-	-		
17		-							
E Average Assessment Sco		6.50	6.33	6.41	7.51	6.38	7.83	5.82	6.75
Status/Conditions Followed (amon Clients counted in each row below are currently active			ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance		l	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence police	<u>y</u> 0	0	U 	U	U 	U	U 	U 	U
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessnes		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltere		3	2	^		^	^	2	1
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	d	<u> </u>	Z	0	0	0	0	Z	
Matched/Awarde Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	· / / / /	2	9	6	4	1	2	1	3
Enrolled in Transitional Housin		6	7	0	10	0	0	 18	1
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housin	g	0	<i>l</i>		10		u	10	
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Month Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report da		4	9	3	4	4	0	1	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	- 1								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in		ı							
Newly Adde Clients who have never been active befo	1 20	1	18	15	11	3	0	8	2
Returned from Inactive			0	1	1	Λ	^	<u> </u>	^
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	re	0		<u>'</u>	I	0	0	2	0
Inflow to Active List TOTA		1	18	16	12	3	0	10	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D. Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in									
Housed - Self-Resolve		0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolve	d	ļ		·	 		·		l
P Housed - PS Clients housed in past 30 days, with PS		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RR	H 8	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	1
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RR Housed - All Othe	H								
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all oth		0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
s Housed Outflow subtota	ıl 18	0	3	5	2	0	0	6	2
Inactive - Unable to Contac	1 19	1	1	11	5	1	0	0	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to conta	ct		^	^	^	^	<i>A</i>	^	
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	n I	0	0	0	0	0	1 	0	0
Inactive - Decease	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decease Inactive - All Othe			^	^		^	4	^	
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reason	s IU	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	0
X Other Outflow subtota		1	1	11	14	1	2	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTA		1	4	16	16	1	2	6	2
z NET INFLOV	V 14	0	14	0	-4	2	-2	4	Page 3

6/26/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide			040/					
_	on-Youth	8%	23%	31%	15%	4%	3%	5%	12%
Active on BNL	2,128	164	497	650	311	91	63	106	245
Median Days Active	<u> </u>	137	147	153	140	109	99	57	165
Assessment Score Distribution (ame				100	110	100		<u> </u>	100
Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
0	0% (4) 2% (46)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (2) 2% (11)	0% (1) 2% (16) 6% (37)	- 2% (5)	- 1% (1)		- 2% (2)	- 3% (8)
2	4% (88)	2% (3)	5% (25)	6% (37)	3% (8)	2% (2)	6% (4)		3% (8) 4% (9)
4	8% (166) 11% (226)	4% (6) 10% (17)	9% (46) 13% (67)	11% (69) 12% (80)	5% (15) 7% (21)	9% (8) 9% (8)	5% (3) 11% (7)	5% (5) 7% (7)	6% (14) 8% (19)
5	13% (285) 14% (299)	18% (30) 11% (18)	12% (61) 11% (57)	14% (93) 15% (99)	12% (37) 11% (33)	21% (19)	10% (6) 21% (13)	11% (12) 19% (20)	11% (26) 18% (44)
7	11% (239)	12% (20) 16% (26)	11% (53)	11% (72)	11% (33)	16% (15) 8% (7)	14% (9)	14% (15)	12% (30) 13% (31)
8 9	11% (235) 8% (168)	16% (26) 8% (13)	11% (55) 9% (43) 7% (35)	11% (72) 9% (56) 6% (38) 6% (37) 4% (29)	11% (34) 9% (29)	14% (13) 5% (5)	14% (9) 10% (6) 10% (6)	14% (15) 13% (14) 8% (9)	13% (31) 10% (25)
10	7% (143)	5% (9)	7% (35)	6% (37)	9% (29) 9% (29) 9% (29) 7% (22) 5% (15) 6% (18)	5% (5)	3% (2) 8% (5) 2% (1) 2% (1)	8% (9) 6% (6)	7% (17)
11	5% (111) 2% (50)	7% (11) 2% (4)	5% (23) 2% (9) 1% (7)	1% (8)	7% (22) 5% (15)	4% (4) 3% (3)	8% (5) 2% (1)	6% (6) 4% (4) 1% (1)	4% (11) 2% (6)
13	2% (44) 0% (10)	2% (3)	1% (7) 0% (2)	2% (12) 0% (2)	6% (18) 2% (5)	1% (1) -	2% (1)	1% (1) -	2% (6) 0% (1) 0% (1)
15	1% (12)		0% (1)	-	2% (5) 2% (7)			2% (2)	1% (2)
16	0% (1) 0% (1)	- -		0% (1)	-	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	- 0% (1)
18 Average Assessment Score	6.62	6.80	6.35	6.08	- 7.77	- 6.45	6.63	7.26	6.77
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.30	0.06	1.11	0.45	0.03	1.20	0.77
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	18	1	1	5	2	1	0	1	7
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	004				40				
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	201	11	64	43	49	9	5	7	13
Known Unsheltered	165	21	13	34	7	8	11	29	42
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	}	·			· 				
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	287	25	82	57	60	18	14	25	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	86	10	25	12		2	^	18	5
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	00	10	35	13	3	Z	0	10	ວ
Youth at Time of Assessment	29	5	3	6	10	0	1	2	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added	153	12	30	46	12	14	5	19	15
Clients who have never been active before	<u> </u>	12					J	13	
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	34	0	6	3	7	1	1	13	3
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	187	12	36	49	19	15	6	32	18
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	<u> </u>	12		70	10			- UL	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	45	2	7	4	1	5	6	17	3
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved		-	·	·			·		
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	57	0	21	14	10	2	0	4	6
Housed - RRH	27	0	4	5	5	0	3	10	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	l			J	J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J	10	
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	14	1	0	2	4	0	0	6	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	143	3	32	25	20	7	9	37	10
Inactive - Unable to Contact						•			
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	67	7	7	29	1	8	4	9	2
Inactive - In an Institution	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased								· 	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	69	0	0	0	64	0	2	2	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									•
Other Outflow subtotal	139	7	7	29	66	8	6	12	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	282	10	39	54	86	15	15	49	14
NET INFLOW	-95	2	-3	-5	-67	0	-9	-17	4

0,20,2010111	BNL Keport							Contact De	au.anderson@ct.g	
All F	amilies	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
	Percentage of S All	tatewide Families	11%	29%	15%	17%	7%	4%	10%	8%
	Active on BNL	303	32	89	44	51	20	12	31	24
		98	84	98	98	84	105	70	103	166
	Median Days Active core Distribution (amods having each assessment score.			90	90	04	105	70	103	100
1		- 1% (2) 2% (6)	3% (1)		2% (1)		- - 10% (2)			4% (1)
3		2% (6) 3% (10)		4% (4)	5% (2)	2% (1)	-	-	- 6% (2)	4% (1)
4		10% (30)	9% (3)	4% (4) 10% (9)	5% (2) 14% (6) 9% (4) 14% (6)	2% (1) 10% (5)	5% (1)	8% (1)	13% (4)	4% (1) 4% (1)
5		14% (43) 15% (45)	9% (3) 22% (7)	16% (14)	9% (4)	14% (7)	20% (4) 15% (3)	25% (2)	23% (7) 23% (7)	17% (4) 25% (6)
7		12% (37)	3% (1)	7% (6) 9% (8)	11% (5)	14% (7) 14% (7) 14% (7) 18% (9) 12% (6) 6% (3)	10% (2)	25% (3) 25% (3)	16% (5)	17% (4)
8		12% (36)	22% (7) 9% (3) 6% (2)	11% (10)	7% (3) 5% (2)	12% (6)	10% (2) 25% (5) 5% (1)	25% (3)	16% (5) 3% (1) 6% (2)	17% (4) 4% (1) 8% (2) 4% (1)
10		9% (28) 9% (28)	9% (3)	16% (14) 13% (12)	5% (2) 9% (4)	6% (3) 10% (5)	5% (1) 10% (2)	8% (1) 8% (1)	6% (2) 3% (1)	8% (2) 4% (1)
11		6% (17)	9% (3)	3% (3)	9% (4)	10% (5)	-	- 070 (17	3% (1)	4% (1)
12		3% (10)	-	3% (3)	9% (4) 9% (4) 9% (4) 9% (4) 2% (1)	10% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1)			3% (1)	
13 14 		2% (6) 1% (2)	6% (2) -	2% (2) 1% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (1)			<u>-</u>	
15		0% (1)		- 1.40.7.17						4% (1)
16		0% (1)			2% (1)					-
17 18		0% (1)		<u> </u>	-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	4% (1)
10	Average Assessment Score	7.22	7.47	7.31	7.73	7.45	6.45	7.17	6.23	7.13
	ons Followed (among a row below are currently active on the			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	ises CAN Assistance	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	re subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) finition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	1
	Known Unsheltered	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded o or awarded a housing resource	84	8	21	17	19	9	6	4	0
Enrolled in	Transitional Housing enrolled in Transitional Housing	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	21	2
	Time of Assessment under 25 at time of assessment	67	5	13	9	10	4	2	21	3
	e List: Past 30 Days le active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Clients wh	Newly Added no have never been active before	33	3	7	8	6	1	1	6	1
	eturned from Inactive or any reason who are now active	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	to Active List TOTAL	35	3	7	8	7	1	1	7	1
	ctive List: Past 30 Day	4								
	used - Self-Resolved in the past 30 days, self-resolved	13	1	2	2	1	2	0	3	2
	Housed - PSH oused in past 30 days, with PSH	16	0	7	7	1	0	0	0	1
	Housed - RRH oused in past 30 days, with RRH	10	0	2	2	3	0	0	2	1
	Housed - All Other housed in past 30 days, all other	8	1	0	2	2	0	0	3	0
	used Outflow subtotal e - Unable to Contact	47	2	11	13	7	2	0	8	4
Clients made inactive in	n past 30 days, unable to contact	9	2	0	0	0	5	1	0	1
	tive - In an Institution in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Clients made in	Inactive - Deceased active in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	n past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Other Outflow subtotal	12	2	0	0	0	5	2	0	3
Outflow fro	m Active List TOTAL	59	4	11	13	7	7	2	8	7
	NET INFLOW	-24	-1	-4	-5	0	-6	-1	-1	-6

6/26/2018 FTT BINL Report				Cuantau	Cupatan		Contact box	Weterbury	
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of	Statewide dividuals	7%	23%	31%	16%	4%	3%	5%	11%
^^									
Active on BNL	2,144	152	489	664	349	87	57	108	237
c Median Days Active		147	138	151	145	95	99	55	152
Assessment Score Distribution (am D Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
0	0% (4)	1% (1)	0% (2)	0% (1)	-	-		-	-
2	2% (49) 4% (92)	1% (1) 1% (2) 2% (3)	3% (14) 6% (28) 10% (48)	3% (17) 6% (37) 10% (68)	2% (6) 3% (9)	1% (1) 2% (2)	- 7% (4)	2% (2) -	3% (7) 4% (9) 7% (16)
3	8% (180) 11% (232)	6% (9) 11% (16)	10% (48) 13% (66)	10% (68) 13% (85)	6% (22)	9% (8) 11% (10)	7% (4) 5% (3) 12% (7)	6% (6) 8% (9)	7% (16) 8% (19)
5	13% (287)	20% (30)	11% (55)	15% (100)	6% (20) 11% (40)	18% (16)	11% (6)	8% (9) 13% (14)	8% (19) 11% (25)
6	14% (300) 11% (239)	20% (30) 9% (14) 14% (21)	13% (63) 11% (52)	15% (102) 11% (73)	10% (36) 11% (37)	21% (18) 6% (5)	18% (10) 14% (8)	16% (17) 14% (15)	17% (40) 12% (28)
8	11% (233) 8% (169)	14% (21) 9% (13)	11% (55) 8% (38)	9% (59) 6% (40)	12% (41) 10% (34)	10% (9) 5% (4) 3% (3)	5% (3) 12% (7)	14% (15) 8% (9)	13% (30) 10% (24)
10	6% (133)	5% (8)	11% (55) 8% (38) 7% (32) 4% (22)	9% (59) 6% (40) 5% (36) 4% (28) 1% (6)	17% (37) 12% (41) 10% (34) 8% (28) 7% (25) 5% (18) 5% (18) 1% (5)	3% (3)	14% (8) 5% (3) 12% (7) 2% (1)	14% (15) 8% (9) 8% (9) 6% (6) 3% (3)	7% (16)
11	5% (112) 2% (50)	5% (8) 3% (4)	1% (6)	4% (28) 1% (6)	7% (25) 5% (18)	5% (4) 6% (5)	11% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (6) 3% (3)	5% (13) 3% (7) 0% (1) 0% (1)
13	2% (41) 0% (9)	1% (2)	1% (5) 0% (2)	2% (11) 0% (1)	5% (18) 1% (5)	2% (2)	2% (1)	1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)
15	1% (12)		0% (1)		2% (8) 1% (2)			- 2% (2)	0% (1)
16	0% (2)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -		1% (2) -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-	
E Average Assessment Score	6.54	6.63	- 6.17	6.00	- 7.75	6.44	6.65	- 7.12	6.73
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.17	0.00	7.10	0.44	0.00	7.12	0.70
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		1	1	5	0	1	0	1	7
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	194	11	60	43	48	9	4	7	12
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	172	23	15	34	7	8	11	31	43
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	231	19	70	46	45	10	10	22	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	104	16	41	13	13	2	0	15	4
Youth at Time of Assessment	281	20	71	55	89	12	5	14	15
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t	1								
Newly Addec	1 1/0	10	41	53	17	16	4	21	16
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1 .5D	0	6	4	7	1	1	14	3
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	214	10	47	57	24	17	5	35	19
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ıys								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t	1								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	1 30	1	5	2	1	3	6	18	2
Housed - PSH		0	 15	7	9	2	0	4	5
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 								
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	.†	0	4	8	2	0	3	 -	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	9	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	1
s Housed Outflow subtotal	114	1	24	17	15	5	9	35	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	11	6	8	40	6	4	3	9	1
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	. 77	0	0	0	73	0	2	2	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	157	6	8	40	80	4	6	12	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	271	7	32	57	95	9	15	47	9
z NET INFLOW	-57	3	15	0	-71	8	-10	-12	10
									Page 6

6/26/2016 FTT BNL REPORT							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.gi	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of Families (N		12%	32%	14%	17%	7%	5%	5%	9%
Active on BN	243	29	77	35	42	16	11	11	22
Median Days Active		84	99	112	94	106	78	46	162
Assessment Score Distribution (am	-	1	- 55	112	<u> </u>	100	10		102
Count of all active records having each assessment sco		ecorus)							
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	1% (2)	3% (1)	-			-			5% (1)
3	2% (4) 3% (8)	-	3% (2) 5% (4)	3% (1) 6% (2)	2% (1)	<u>6% (1)</u> -		-	- 5% (1)
4	9% (22)	10% (3)	12% (9)	9% (3) 9% (3)	10% (4) 14% (6)	6% (1) 19% (3)	9% (1)	9% (1) 18% (2)	- 18% (4)
6	14% (35) 15% (37)	10% (3) 17% (5)	18% (14) 8% (6)	14% (5)	17% (7)	13% (2)	27% (3)	36% (4) 18% (2)	23% (5) 18% (4)
7	13% (31)	3% (1)	9% (7) 8% (6) 16% (12)	14% (5)	19% (8) 10% (4) 2% (1)	13% (2)	18% (2)	18% (2)	18% (4)
9	11% (26) 9% (22)	21% (6) 10% (3)	16% (12)	6% (2) 6% (2)	2% (1)	25% (4) 6% (1) 13% (2)	27% (3) 9% (1)	-	5% (1) 9% (2)
10	9% (22)	7% (2)	10% (8) 4% (3) 4% (3) 3% (2)	11% (4)	10% (4)	13% (2)	9% (1)	- 00/ (4)	9% (2) 5% (1)
11	6% (14) 4% (9)	10% (3)	4% (3) 4% (3)	6% (2) 9% (3) 3% (1) 3% (1)	10% (4) 5% (2)	<u>-</u>		9% (1) 9% (1)	5% (1) -
13	2% (6)	7% (2)	3% (2)	3% (1)	2% (1)				-
14 <mark></mark>	1% (2) 0% (1)	-	1% (1) -	-		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	- 5% (1)
16	0% (1)			3% (1)					-
17 <mark></mark>	0% (1) -	-		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	5% (1) -
Average Assessment Scor		7.55	7.17	7.86	7.36	6.75	7.18	6.82	7.32
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active to	on the BNL, and clie	•	ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence police	y	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessnes	s	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	1
Known Unsheltere Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltere		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarde Clients matched to or awarded a housing resourc	14	6	18	16	18	8	5	3	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		0	1	0	0	0	0	3	2
Youth at Time of Assessmen Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessmer	- /	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in	the past 30 days.								
Newly Adde	1 19	2	3	4	3	0	1	5	1
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	. 20	2	3	4	4	0	1	5	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in									
Housed - Self-Resolve	1 10	1	2	2	0	2	0	1	2
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolve	a	ļ	_ 	_ 		_ 		· 	
Housed - PSI Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSI	וו	0	6	7	1	0	0	0	1
Clients noused in past 30 days, with PSI Housed - RRI Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRI	۸ ۸	0	1	2	3	0	0	2	0
Housed - All Othe	r 5	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtota		2	9	13	5	2	0	4	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact	t g	2	0	0	0	5	1	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	n ₁	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
J Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Decease	d 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decease Inactive - All Othe	r 2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	<u>-</u> 1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reason	S								•
Other Outflow subtota Outflow from Active List TOTAL		2 4	<u>0</u> 9	<u>0</u> 13	0 5	5 7	2 2	<u>0</u> 4	3 6
Z NET INFLOW		-2				•			-5
L NEI INFLOV	-30	-2	-6	-9	-1	-7	-1	1	-5 Page 7

6/26/2016 FTT BNL Kepon							Oontact bet	ov with questions	
Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Families	Statewide s (Youth)	5%	20%	15%	15%	7%	2%	33%	3%
Active on BNL	60	3	12	9	9	4	1	20	2
c Median Days Active	78	84	67	41	54	68	62	146	250
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active r	ecords)							
0	-	<u>-</u>							
2	3% (2)		8% (1)	<u>-</u>		25% (1)	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
3	3% (2)			- 220/ (2)	- 440/ (4)			10% (2)	-
5	13% (8) 13% (8)			33% (3) 11% (1)	11% (1) 11% (1)	25% (1) 25% (1)	<u>-</u> -	15% (3) 25% (5) 15% (3)	50% (1) -
	13% (8)	67% (2)	- 00/ (1)	11% (1)	- 11% (1)	25% (1)	100% (1)	15% (3) 15% (3)	50% (1)
	10% (6) 17% (10)	33% (1)	8% (1) 33% (4) 17% (2)	- 11% (1)	22% (2)	25% (1)	100% (1)	5% (1) 10% (2)	
10	10% (6) 10% (6)		17% (2) 33% (4)		22% (2) 22% (2) 11% (1)			10% (2) 5% (1)	
	5% (3)	-	- 33% (4)	22% (2)	11% (1)	-		5% (1) -	
	2% (1)			11% (1)	-				
13	-			-		<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>
15	-					<u>-</u>			
16	-		<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>			
18	- 0.05	- 0.07	-	- 7.00	-	-	- 7.00	-	-
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.85	6.67	8.25	7.22	7.89	5.25	7.00	5.90	5.00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance	the BNL, and clier		ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	10	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	6	1	2	1	0	2	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	14	1	4	4	3	1	0	1	0
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	15	1	4	4	3	1	0	2	0
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Housed - PSH P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with FSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Housed - All Other	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	2	0	2	0	0	4	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	0	2	0	2	0	0	4	1
z NET INFLOW	6	1	2	4	1	1	0	-2	- 1

_	5/26/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.go	<u> </u>
	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S Individual		7%	27%	19%	31%	5%	2%	5%	5%
В	Active on BNL	259	17	69	49	80	12	5	13	14
С	Median Days Active	86	235	91	71	120	76	102	26	69
	Assessment Score Distribution (amc Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
	1	- 2% (5)		4% (3)	2% (1)	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
	2	3% (8)	- 400/ (2)	7% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (1)	8% (1)		- 00/ (4)	- 040/ (2)
	4	8% (22) 11% (28)	18% (3) 12% (2)	9% (6) 12% (8)	16% (8)	10% (8) 4% (3)	- 25% (3)	20% (1)	8% (1) 23% (3)	21% (3)
	5	14% (37)	18% (3)	12% (8) 12% (8)	20% (10) 16% (8)	4% (3) 11% (9)			23% (3) 31% (4)	21% (3)
	7	15% (38) 12% (31)	6% (1) 12% (2)	17% (12) 9% (6)	12% (6)	13% (10) 15% (12)	42% (5) -	20% (1)	8% (1) 15% (2)	7% (1) 14% (2)
	9	9% (24)	6% (1) 18% (3)	9% (6)	10% (5)	14% (11) 8% (6)		-	8% (1)	-
	10	9% (23) 5% (12)	6% (1)	9% (6) 9% (6) 10% (7) 7% (5)	10% (5) 8% (4) 6% (3) 2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (3)		40% (2) -	-	7% <u>(1)</u> -
	11	6% (15)	-	3% (2)	2% (1)	9% (7) 6% (5)	- 17% (2)	20% (1)	8% (1)	21% (3)
	13	3% (9) 1% (3)	6% (1)	-	<u>Z 70 (1)</u> -	1% (1)	8% (1)	<u>-</u>	-	7% (1) -
	14	0% (1)		1% (1)		- 1% (1)				
	16	0% (1) 1% (2)		<u>-</u>		1% (1) 3% (2)			- - -	
	17	- '								
E	18 Average Assessment Score	6.64	6.47	6.00	6.27	7.46	6.75	8.00	5.69	7.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	18	0	6	5	3	0	1	0	3
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	24	6	7	0	10	0	0	0	1
ĸ	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	21	3	7	2	4	2	0	1	2
	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	44	0	14	11	8	2	0	7	2
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	47	0	14	12	9	2	0	8	2
(Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ys				·	_	·	·	_
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
<u> </u>	Housed - PSH			^		^	^	^	^	^
Ρ	Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	0	0 1		0	0	0	0	0
Q _	Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	6	0	 	5	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	9	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	1
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	19	1	1 	11	5	1	0	0	0
U_	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	10	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	0
X _	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	30 39	1 1	1 	11 16	14 14	1	2 2	<u>0</u> 2	<u>0</u> 1
<u>'</u> -	NET INFLOW	39 8	-1	12	-4	-5	1	<u>-2</u>	6	1
_	ALI INI LOW		-,	14	-7	-0	- '		U	Page 9

6/26/2016 FTI BNL Repoli				Cuantan	Cuantan		OUNTACT DO	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Individuals (No.		7%	22%	33%	14%	4%	3%	5%	12%
Active on BNL	1,885	135	420	615	269	75	52	95	223
14 E D 4 E	145	145	152	158	155	109	99	61	165
· ·			102	100	100	109	99	01	100
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecoras)							
_	0% (4)	1% (1)	0% (2)	0% (1)					
1	2% (44)	1% (1) 1% (2)	3% (11) 5% (23) 10% (42)	0% (1) 3% (16)	2% (5)	1% (1)		2% (2)	3% (7) 4% (9)
	4% (84) 8% (158)	2% (3) 4% (6)	5% (23) 10% (42)	6% (36) 11% (67)	3% (8) 5% (14)	1% (1) 11% (8)	8% (4) 6% (3)	- 5% (5)	4% (9) 6% (13)
4	11% (204)	10% (14)	14% (58)	13% (77)	6% (17) 12% (31)	9% (7)	12% (6) 12% (6)	6% (6)	9% (19) 10% (22)
	13% (250) 14% (262)	20% (27) 10% (13)	11% (47) 12% (51)	13% (77) 15% (90) 15% (94)	12% (31) 10% (26)	9% (7) 21% (16) 17% (13) 7% (5)	19% (10)	11% (10) 17% (16)	10% (22) 17% (39)
7	11% (208)	14% (19)	12% (51) 11% (46)	11% (67)	9% (25)	7% (5)	13% (7)	14% (13)	17% (39) 12% (26)
	11% (209) 8% (146)	15% (20) 7% (10)	12% (49) 7% (31)	9% (54) 6% (36)	11% (30) 10% (28)	12% (9) 5% (4) 4% (3)	13% (7) 6% (3) 10% (5) 2% (1)	15% (14) 9% (9)	13% (30) 10% (23)
10	6% (121)	5% (7)	12% (49) 7% (31) 6% (27) 5% (20)	9% (54) 6% (36) 5% (33) 4% (27) 1% (5)	10% (28) 9% (25) 7% (18) 5% (13)	4% (3)	2% (1)	15% (14) 9% (9) 9% (9) 5% (5) 3% (3)	7% (16)
	5% (97) 2% (41)	6% (8) 3% (4)	1% (6)	4% (27) 1% (5)	7% (18) 5% (13)	5% (4) 4% (3)	10% (5) 2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (5) 3% (3)	4% (10) 3% (6) 0% (1) 0% (1)
13	2% (38)	1% (1)	1% (5) 0% (1)	2% (11) 0% (1)	6% (17) 2% (5)	1% (1)	2% (1)	1% (1)	0% (1)
	0% (8) 1% (11)		0% (1) 0% (1)	<u>U% (1)</u> -	2% (5) 3% (7)	-		2% (2)	0% (1) 0% (1)
16	- ' '								
17	- 	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u>-</u> _
E Average Assessment Score	6.53	6.64	6.20	5.98	7.84	6.39	6.52	7.32	6.71
Status/Conditions Followed (among			al in an IV-I	denerally a	ola o o ook leestleeste				
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the		nts may be counte	ea in muitipie rows	aepenaing on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	16	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	7
Chronic (Verified)	400	4.4		40	40				40
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	193	11	59	43	48	9	4	7	12
Known Unsheltered	164	20	13	34	7	8	11	29	42
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	104	20	10		, 				72
Matched/Awarded	213	19	64	41	42	10	9	22	6
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	80	10	34	13	3	2	0	15	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	00	<u> </u>	^			^	^	4	4
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	3	2	6	9	0	0	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	134	10	27	42	9	14	4	14	14
Clients who have never been active before									
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	33	0	6	3	6	1	1	13	3
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	167	10	33	45	15	15	5	27	17
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		10	33	70	10	10		<u> </u>	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	, ,		-	^		^	^	40	,
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	35	1	5	2	1	3	6	16	1
Housed - PSH	42	0	15	7	9	2	0	4	5
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	TL		10			<u></u>	·	т 	
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	19	0	3	3	2	0	3	8	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	9	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	105	1	23	12	15	5	9	33	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact									
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	58	5	7	29	1	3	3	9	1
Inactive - In an Institution	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	<u>-</u>								
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	67	0	0	0	64	0	1	2	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	127	5	7	29	66	3	4	12	1
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	232	6	30	41	81	8	13	45	8
z NET INFLOW	-65	4	3	4	-66	7	-8	-18	9
- INTERVIEW	-00	7	J	7	-00	-	-0	-10	Page 10

	/26/2018 FYI BNL Report								aa.anaonoon@ot.	gov with questions
	Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		87%		88%				77%
		vide BNL	13%		12%		10%	2%	11%	
3	Active on BNL	2,447	319	2128	303	2144	243	60	259	1885
	Median Days Active	133	83	140	98	138	104	78	86	145
As	ssessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active r	ecords)							
	unt of all active records having each assessment score.									
		0% (4) 2% (51)	- 2% (5)	0% (4) 2% (46)	- 1% (2)	0% (4) 2% (49)	- 1% (2)		2% (5)	0% (4) 2% (44)
	2	4% (98) 8% (190)	3% (10)	4% (88) 8% (166)	2% (6) 3% (10) 10% (30)	4% (92) 8% (180) 11% (232)	2% (4) 3% (8) 9% (22)	3% (2)	2% (5) 3% (8)	4% (84) 8% (158)
	4	11% (262)	11% (36)	11% (226)	10% (30)	11% (232)	9% (22)	3% (2) 13% (8) 13% (8)	11% (28)	11% (204)
		13% (330) 14% (345)	8% (24) 11% (36) 14% (45) 14% (46) 12% (37)	11% (226) 13% (285) 14% (299) 11% (239)	14% (43) 15% (45)	13% (287)	14% (35) 15% (37)	13% (8) 13% (8)	14% (37) 15% (38)	13% (250) 14% (262)
	7	11% (276)	12% (37)	11% (239)	12% (37)	14% (300) 11% (239)	14% (35) 15% (37) 13% (31) 11% (26)	10% (6)	12% (31)	11% (208)
	9	11% (269) 8% (197)	11% (34) 9% (29) 6% (18)	11% (235) 8% (168) 7% (143)	10 % (30) 14% (43) 15% (45) 12% (37) 12% (36) 9% (28) 9% (28)	11% (233) 8% (169) 6% (133)	9% (22) 9% (22)	17% (10) 10% (6)	3% (91 8% (22) 11% (28) 14% (37) 15% (38) 12% (31) 9% (24) 9% (23) 5% (12)	11% (209) 8% (146)
		7% (161) 5% (129)	6% (18) 6% (18)	7% (143) 5% (111)	9% (28) 6% (17)	6% (133) 5% (112)	9% (22) 6% (14)	10% (6)	5% (12) 6% (15)	6% (121)
	12	2% (60)	6% (18) 3% (10)	5% (111) 2% (50) 2% (44) 0% (10) 1% (12) 0% (1)	6% (17) 3% (10)	5% (112) 2% (50) 2% (41) 0% (9) 1% (12) 0% (2)	4% (9) 2% (6) 1% (2)	5% (3) 2% (1)	6% (15) 3% (9)	5% (97) 2% (41)
		2% (47) 0% (11)	1% (3) 0% (1)	2% (44) 0% (10)	2% (6) 1% (2)	2% (41) 0% (9)	2% (6) 1% (2)		1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (38) 0% (8) 1% (11)
		1% (13) 0% (3)	0% (1) 1% (2)	1% (12) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (12) 0% (2)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1)		0% (1) 1% (2)	1% (11) -
	17	0% (1)		0% (1)	0% (1)	-	0% (1)			
	18 Average Assessment Score	6.63	6.68	6.62	7.22	6.54	7.32	6.85	6.64	6.53
	atus/Conditions Followed (among									
Clie	ents counted in each row below are currently active on		nts may be count		depending on the		ircumstances.			
- C	Refuses CAN Assistance lients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	18	0	18	2	16	2	0	0	16
	Chronic (Verified)	202	1	201	8	194	8	0	1	193
3 0	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	202		201	0	194	0	U	 	193
1	Known Unsheltered	173	8	165	1	172	1	0	8	164
ˈ <u> </u>	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	045	00	007	0.4	004	74	40	40	040
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	315	28	287	84	231	74	10	18	213
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	128	42	86	24	104	6	18	24	80
<u> </u>	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
Ac	etive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	348	319	29	67	281	7	60	259	22
In	flow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clie	ents below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	211	58	153	33	178	19	14	44	134
 	Returned from Inactive		4	0.4		00	4			
1	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	38	4	34	2	36	1	1	3	33
1	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	249	62	187	35	214	20	15	47	167
	utflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clie	ents below were made active or added to the BNL in the Housed - Self-Resolved		_					_	_	
)	Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	51	6	45	13	38	10	3	3	35
	Housed - PSH	58	1	57	16	42	15	1	0	42
) 	Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH		ļ							
Q	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	35	8	27	10	25	8	2	6	19
	Housed - All Other	17	3	14	8	9	5	3	0	9
	Clients housed in past 30 days, all other									
	Housed Outflow subtotal	161	18	143	47	114	38	9	9	105
Cli	Inactive - Unable to Contact ents made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	86	19	67	9	77	9	0	19	58
CII	Inactive - In an Institution		1	າ	4	ာ	1	Λ	 1	<u> </u>
) (Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	1	3	1	3	1 	0	1	2
,	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
CI	lients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	79	10	69	2	77	2	0	10	67
	Other Outflow subtotal	169	30	139	12	157	12	0	30	127
1 -	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	330	48	282	59	271	50	9	39	232
_	NET INFLOW	-81	14	-95	-24	-57	-30	6	8	-65

6/26/2018 FYI BNL Report						Contact beau.anderson@ct.gov with questions					
Central CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals		
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
Perc	entage of		89%	470/	83%				73%		
Cei	ntral CAN	11%	_	17%		16%	2%	9%			
Active on BNL	184	20	164	32	152	29	3	17	135		
Median Days Active		180	137	84	147	84	84	235	145		
Assessment Score Distribution (amo											
Count of all active records having each assessment score		0001407									
0	1% (1)	-	1% (1) 2% (3)	- 20/ /4)	1% (1) 1% (2)	3% (1)	-	-	1% (1) 1% (2) 2% (3) 4% (6)		
2	2% (3) 2% (3)	-	2% (3)	3% (1) -	2% (3)	3% (1)	-	<u>-</u>	2% (3)		
3	5% (9)	15% (3) 10% (2)	4% (6) 10% (17)	- 00/ /2)	6% (9)	- 400/ (2)		18% (3)	4% (6) 10% (14)		
5	10% (19) 18% (33)	15% (3)	18% (30)	9% (3) 9% (3)	11% (16) 20% (30) 9% (14)	10% (3) 10% (3)		12% (2) 18% (3)	20% (27)		
6	11% (21) 12% (22)	15% (3) 10% (2)	11% (18)	22% (7) 3% (1)	9% (14) 14% (21)	17% (5) 3% (1)	67% (2)	6% (1)	10% (13) 14% (19)		
8	15% (28)	15% (3) 15% (2) 10% (2) 15% (3)	12% (20) 16% (26)	22% (7)	14% (21)	10% (3) 17% (5) 3% (1) 21% (6)	33% (1)	12% (2) 6% (1)	15% (20)		
9	9% (16) 5% (10)	15% (3) 5% (1)	8% (13) 5% (9)	22% (7) 9% (3) 6% (2)	9% (13) 5% (8)	10% (3) 7% (2)	<u>-</u>	18% (3) 6% (1)	7% (10) 5% (7)		
11	6% (11)		7% (11)	9% (3)	5% (8)	10% (3)			6% (8) 3% (4)		
12	2% (4) 2% (4)	- 5% (1)	2% (4) 2% (3)	6% (2)	3% (4) 1% (2)	- 7% (2)		- 6% (1)	3% (4) 1% (1)		
14	-				-						
15 16	-	-	 								
17	-				-						
Average Assessment Score	6.77	6.50	6.80	7.47	6.63	7.55	6.67	6.47	6.64		
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.					
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	ļ										
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11		
Known Unsheltered	24	3	21	1	23	1	0	3	20		
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	24	J	Z I	 	23	 	U	ა	20		
Matched/Awarded	27	2	25	8	19	6	2	0	19		
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	 										
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	6	10	0	16	0	0	6	10		
Youth at Time of Assessment	25	20	E	E	20	·	າ	17			
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	25	20	5	5	20	2	3	17	3		
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the		I				l .					
Newly Added	13	1	12	3	10	2	1	0	10		
Returned from Inactive											
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	13	1	12	3	10	2	1	0	10		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da											
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.	T				T					
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1		
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved Housed - PSH											
ROUSEG - PSR Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			U	·	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Housed - All Other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1		
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact								U	-		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	1	7	2	6	2	0	1	5		
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	Λ	^	^	^	Λ	0	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	U 	0		
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		ļ <u>-</u>		<u>-</u>							
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other Outflow subtotal	8	1	7	2	6	2	0	1	5		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	1	10	4	7	4	0	1	6		
NET INFLOW	2	0	2	-1	3	-2	1	<u>-1</u>	4		
IALT HAT LOW				-,	J	-2		-,	Page 12		

ı	6/26/2016 FIT BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		86%		85%				73%
Α	Fairfield Cou	•	14%		15%		13%	2%	12%	
В	Active on BNL	578	81	497	89	489	77	12	69	420
С	Median Days Active	134	88	147	98	138	99	67	91	152
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	0% (2)	<u> </u>	0% (2)		0% (2)	_			0% (2)
	1	2% (14)	4% (3)	0% (2) 2% (11) 5% (25)	- 20/ (2)	3% (14) 6% (28)	- 20/ (2)		4% (3) 7% (5) 9% (6)	0% (2) 3% (11)
	3	5% (31) 9% (52)	7% (6) 7% (6)	9% (46)	3% (3) 4% (4)	10% (48)	3% (2) 5% (4)	8% (1) 	9% (6)	5% (23) 10% (42)
	5	13% (75) 12% (69)	10% (8) 10% (8)	13% (67) 12% (61)	10% (9) 16% (14)	13% (66) 11% (55)	12% (9) 18% (14)	 	12% (8) 12% (8)	14% (58) 11% (47)
		12% (69) 10% (60)	15% (12) 9% (7)	11% (57) 11% (53)	16% (14) 7% (6) 9% (8)	13% (63) 11% (52)	8% (6) 9% (7)	8% (1)	17% (12)	12% (51) 11% (46)
		11% (65) 9% (52)	12% (10) 11% (9)	11% (55) 9% (43)	11% (10) 16% (14)	11% (55) 8% (38)	8% (6) 16% (12)	33% (4) 17% (2)	9% (6) 9% (6) 10% (7)	12% (49) 7% (31) 6% (27)
	10	8% (44) 4% (25)	11% (9) 2% (2)	11% (55) 9% (43) 7% (35) 5% (23) 2% (9)	13% (12)	11% (55) 8% (38) 7% (32) 4% (22) 1% (6)	10% (8)	33% (4)	7% (5) 3% (2)	6% (27) 5% (20)
	12	2% (9)		2% (9)	3% (97 11% (10) 16% (14) 13% (12) 3% (3) 3% (3) 2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (6)	9% (1) 9% (6) 16% (12) 10% (8) 4% (3) 4% (3) 3% (2) 1% (1)		-	1% (6)
	14	1% (7) 1% (3)	1% (1)	1% (7) 0% (2)	2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (5) 0% (2)	3% (2) 1% (1)		1% (1)	1% (5) 0% (1)
	16	0% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<u>0% (1)</u> -		0% <u>(1)</u> -				0% (1)
	17 18	- 			<u> </u>					
Ε	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.35	6.33	6.35	7.31	6.17	7.17	8.25	6.00	6.20
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy					·				
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	65	1	64	5	60	5	0	1	59
	Known Unsheltered	15	2	13	0	15	0	0	2	13
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	91	9	82	21	70	18	3	6	64
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	42	7	35	1	41	1	0	7	34
٥	Youth at Time of Assessment	84	81	3	13	71	1	12	69	2
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	04	01	J	13	/ 1	1	12	09	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	naet 30 dave								
	Newly Added		40	20	7	4.4		4	4.4	07
L	Clients who have never been active before	48	18	30	7	41	3	4	14	27
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	18	36	7	47	3	4	14	33
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	ys								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	l							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	7	0	7	2	5	2	0	0	5
	Housed - PSH	22	1	21	7	15	6	1	0	15
Р	Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	6	2	4	2	4	1	1	1	3
Р	Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R S	Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	35	3	32	11	24	9	2	1	23
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	8	1	7	0	8	0	0	1	7
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact			I	·		·		l 	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	8	1	7	0	8	0	0	1	7
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	43	4	39	11	32	9	2	2	30
Z	NET INFLOW	11	14	-3	-4	15	-6	2	12	3 Page 13

6/26/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact beau.anderson@c		
Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Porc	entage of	Toutil	92%	Faiiiiles	94%	(NOH-TOULH)	(Toulit)	(Touli)	87%
Greater Hart	•	8%	02,0	6%	31,0	5%	1%	7%	31 /3
`	708	58	650	44	664	35	9	49	615
14 !! 5 4 !!	144	71	650 153	98	664 151	112	<u>9</u> 41	71	158
Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (amo			100	90	101	112	41	/ 1	100
Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus)							
0	0% (1) 2% (17)	- 2% (1)	0% (1) 2% (16)		0% (1) 3% (17) 6% (37)	<u> </u>		- 20/. (1)	0% (1) 3% (16) 6% (36)
2	5% (38)	2% (1)	6% (37)	2% (1)	6% (37)	3% (1)	 	2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (36)
3	10% (70) 13% (91)	2% (1) 2% (1) 19% (11)	11% (69) 12% (80) 14% (93) 15% (99)	2% (1) 5% (2) 14% (6)	10% (68) 13% (85)	6% (2) 9% (3) 9% (3)	33% (3)	2% (1) 16% (8)	11% (67) 13% (77)
5	15% (104)	19% (11)	14% (93)	9% (4)	15% (100)	9% (3)	11% (1)	20% (10)	15% (77) 15% (90) 15% (94)
6	15% (108) 11% (78)	16% (9)	15% (99)	14% (6)	15% (102)	14% (5)	11% (1)	16% (8)	15% (94)
8	9% (62)	10% (6) 10% (6) 7% (4)	11% (72) 9% (56) 6% (38) 6% (37)	11% (5) 11% (5) 7% (3) 5% (2) 9% (4) 9% (4) 9% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1)	11% (73) 9% (59) 6% (40) 5% (36) 4% (28) 1% (6) 2% (11)	14% (5) 6% (2) 6% (2)	- 11% (1)	12% (6) 10% (5)	11% (67) 9% (54) 6% (36) 5% (33) 4% (27) 1% (5) 2% (11)
9	6% (42)	7% (4)	6% (38)	5% (2)	6% (40)	6% (2)		8% (4)	6% (36)
10	6% (40) 5% (32)	5% (3) 5% (3) 3% (2)	6% (37) 4% (29)	9% (4) 9% (4)	5% (36) 4% (28)	11% (4) 6% (2)	- 22% (2)	6% (3) 2% (1)	5% (33) 4% (27)
12	1% (10)	3% (2)	1% (8) 2% (12)	9% (4)	1% (6)	6% (2) 9% (3) 3% (1)	11% (1)	2% (1)	1% (5)
13 14	2% (12)		2% (12) 0% (2)	2% (1)	2% (11) 0% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)			2% (11) 0% (1)
15	0% (2)	<u>-</u>	-	270 (1)	- 076 (1)	- 3% (1)	-	<u>-</u>	- 076 (1)
16	0% (1)		0% (1)	2% (1)		3% (1)			
17	-	- -		<u>-</u>			- -		
Average Assessment Score	6.11	6.41	6.08	7.73	6.00	7.86	7.22	6.27	5.98
Status/Conditions Followed (among		,							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Chronic (Verified)	43	0	43	0	43	0	0	0	43
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	34	0	34	0	34	0	0	0	34
Matched/Awarded	63	6	57	17	46	16	1	5	41
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	40		40		40				40
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	13
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	64	58	6	9	55	0	9	49	6
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	61	15	46	8	53	4	4	11	42
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	4	1	3	^	1			1	3
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	-	1		0	4	0	0		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	65	16	49	8	57	4	4	12	45
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Housed - Self-Resolved	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	0	4	2	2	2	0	0	2
Housed - PSH	14	0	14	7	7	7	0	0	7
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	10	5	5	2	8	2	0	5	3
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	30	5	25	13	17	13	0	5	12
Inactive - Unable to Contact	40	11	29	0	40	0	0	11	29
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other					^	^	^		^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	40	11	29	0	40	0	0	11	29
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	70	16	54	13	57	13	0	16	41
NET INFLOW	-5	0	-5	-5	0	-9	4	-4	4

	6/26/2016 FTT BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Greater New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		78%		87%	,	,	,	67%
Δ	Greater New Ha	•	22%		13%		11%	2%	20%	
В	Active on BNL	400	89	311	51	349	42	9	80	269
С	Median Days Active	134	106	140	84	145	94	54	120	155
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active r	ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score		I							
		2% (6)	1% (1)	2% (5)		2% (6) 3% (9)		-	1% (1)	2% (5)
	3	2% (9) 6% (23)	1% (1) 9% (8)	3% (8) 5% (15)	2% (1)	6% (22)	2% (1)		1% (1) 10% (8)	3% (8) 5% (14)
		6% (25) 12% (47)	4% (4) 11% (10)	7% (21) 12% (37)	10% (5) 14% (7)	6% (20) 11% (40)	10% (4) 14% (6) 17% (7)	11% (1) 11% (1)	4% (3) 11% (9)	6% (17) 12% (31)
		11% (43) 12% (46)	11% (10) 15% (13)	11% (33) 11% (33)	14% (7) 18% (9)	10% (36) 11% (37)	10% (8)	- 11% (1)	13% (10) 15% (12)	10% (26) 9% (25)
		12% (47) 9% (37)	15% (13) 9% (8)	11% (34) 9% (29)	12% (6) 6% (3) 10% (5)	12% (41) 10% (34)	10% (4) 2% (1)	22% (2) 22% (2) 11% (1)	14% (11) 8% (6)	11% (30) 10% (28) 9% (25)
	10	8% (33) 8% (30)	4% (4) 9% (8)	11% (34) 9% (29) 9% (29) 7% (22) 5% (15)	10% (5)	12% (41) 10% (34) 8% (28) 7% (25) 5% (18)	10% (4)	11% (1) 11% (1)	4% (3) 9% (7) 6% (5)	9% (25) 7% (18)
	12	5% (20)	6% (5)	5% (15)	10% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1)	5% (18)	10% (4) 2% (1) 10% (4) 10% (4) 5% (2) 2% (1)		6% (5)	5% (13)
	14	5% (19) 1% (5)	1% (1) - 1% (1)	6% (18) 2% (5)	<u> </u>	5% (18) 1% (5)			1% (1) - 1% (1)	6% (17) 2% (5)
	16	2% (8) 1% (2)	1% (1) 2% (2)	2% (7) -		2% (8) 1% (2)		<u>-</u>	1% (1) 3% (2)	3% (7)
	17 18	- -	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>	
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.72	7.51	7.77	7.45	7.75	7.36	7.89	7.46	7.84
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	49	0	49	1	48	1	0	0	48
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	64	4	60	19	45	18	1	3	42
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	10	3	0	13	0	0	10	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	99	89	10	10	89	1	9	80	9
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	23	11	12	6	17	3	3	8	9
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	31	12	19	7	24	4	3	9	15
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
Q	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	5	3	2	3	0	0	2
R	Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	5	1	4	2	3	1	1	0	3
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	22	2	20	7	15	5	2	0	15
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	5	1	0	6	0	0	5	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	73	9	64	0	73	0	0	9	64
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	80	14	66	0	80	0	0	14	66
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	102 -71	16 -4	-67	7	95 -71	5 -1	2 1	14 -5	81 -66
Z	NEI INFLOW	-/1	-4	-0/	0	-/1	-1	7	-ე	-66

6/26/2018 FYI BNL Report	AII	AII	All	AII	AII	Familia.		au.anderson@ct.	
MMW CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)		(Non Youth)
		routii	85%	ramilles	81%	(INOTI-YOULTI)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	15%	0370	19%	0170	15%	407	11%	1076
	MW CAN						4%		
Active on BNL	107	16	91	20	87	16	4	12	75
Median Days Active	104	69	109	105	95	106	68	76	109
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	·			_		_			
1	1% (1) 4% (4)	13% (2)	1% (1) 2% (2)	10% (2)	1% (1) 2% (2)		25% (1)	- 8% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)
3	7% (8)		9% (8) 9% (8)	-	9% (8)	<u>6% (1)</u> -	25% (1)	-	11% (8)
5	10% (11) 19% (20)	19% (3) 6% (1)	9% (8) 21% (19)	5% (1) 20% (4)	11% (10) 18% (16)	6% (1) 19% (3)	25% (1)	25% (3)	11% (8) 9% (7) 21% (16) 17% (13)
6	20% (21)	38% (6)	16% (15)	15% (3)	21% (18) 6% (5)	13% (2)	25% (1) 25% (1)	42% (5)	17% (13)
8	7% (7) 13% (14)	- 6% (1)	8% (7) 14% (13)	10% (2) 25% (5) 5% (1)	10% (9) 5% (4)	25% (4)	- 25% (1)	- -	7% (5) 12% (9)
9	5% (5) 5% (5)	<u>-</u>	5% (5) 5% (5)	5% (1) 10% (2)	5% (4) 3% (3)	6% (1) 19% (3) 13% (2) 13% (2) 25% (4) 6% (1) 13% (2)	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	5% (4) 4% (3)
11	4% (4)	-	4% (4)		5% (4)	-		-	5% (4)
12	5% (5) 2% (2)	13% (2) 6% (1)	3% (3) 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	6% (5) 2% (2)			17% (2) 8% (1)	7% (5) 12% (9) 5% (4) 4% (3) 5% (4) 4% (3) 1% (1)
14 15	-	-		-	-	-		-	
16	-			-			-	<u>-</u>	
17 18	-		<u> </u>		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	-
Average Assessment Score	6.44	6.38	6.45	6.45	6.44	6.75	5.25	6.75	6.39
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ad in multinla rows	denending on the	oir combination of a	rircumetancos			
Refuses CAN Assistance	A	-							
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
Matched/Awarded	19	1	18	9	10	8	1	0	10
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	13	' 	10		10		·		10
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment		40			40			40	
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	16	0	4	12	0	4	12	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	I		T .		T			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	17	3	14	1	16	0	1	2	14
Returned from Inactive	1	0	 1	^	1	^	^	0	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		0		0	1	0	0		l
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	18	3	15	1	17	0	1	2	15
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved			_		_	_		_	
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
Housed - PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other		_		Ť		_			
Housed Outflow subtotal	7	0	7	2	5	2	0	0	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	1	8	5	4	5	0	1	3
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	^	^	^	Λ	^	Δ
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U 	0	U 	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	9	1	8	5	4	5	0	1	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	16	1	15	7	9	7	0	1	8
NET INFLOW	2	2	0	-6	8	-7	1	1	7

Northeast CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families	Individuals	
	Records entage of	Youth	91%	Families	83%	(INOTI-YOULTI)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	east CAN	9%		17%		16%	1%	7%	
Active on BNL	69	6	63	12	57	11	1	5	52
Median Days Active	99	97	99	70	99	78	62	102	99
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0		-	-		<u>-</u> -	-			<u>-</u>
2	6% (4) 4% (3)		6% (4) 5% (3)	<u>-</u>	7% (4) 5% (3)				8% (4) 6% (3) 12% (6)
4	12% (8) 9% (6)	17% (1)	11% (7) 10% (6)	8% (1)	12% (7) 11% (6)	9% (1)		20% (1)	12% (6) 12% (6)
6	19% (13)	33% (2)	21% (13)	25% (3)	18% (10) 14% (8)	27% (3) 18% (2)	4000/ (4)	200/ (4)	19% (10) 13% (7)
8	16% (11) 9% (6)	-	14% (9) 10% (6)	25% (3) 25% (3) 25% (3) 8% (1) 8% (1)	5% (3)	27% (3)	100% (1)	20% (1) - 40% (2)	6% (3)
9	12% (8) 3% (2)	33% (2) -	10% (6) 3% (2)	8% (1) 8% (1)	12% (7) 2% (1)	27% (3) 9% (1) 9% (1)		-	10% (5) 2% (1)
11	9% (6) 1% (1)	17% (1) -	8% (5) 2% (1)	-	11% (6) 2% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	20% (1)	6% (3) 10% (5) 2% (1) 10% (5) 2% (1)
13	1% (1)	- -	2% (1) -		2% (1) -			<u> </u>	2% (1) -
15	-		<u>-</u>				 		
17	-								
Average Assessment Score	6.74	7.83	6.63	7.17	6.65	7.18	7.00	8.00	6.52
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	16	2	14	6	10	5	1	1	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	7	6	1	2	5	1	1	5	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	6	0	6	1	5	1	0	0	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th Housed - Self-Resolved	e past 30 days. 6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved Housed - PSH	0	0	6 0	0 0 0	6 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	6 0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0 0	3
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Inactive - Unable to Contact	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	1	1	0	0	 1	0	0	1	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	' 0	0	0	 0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	3	 1	2	1	2	1	0	 1	 1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal		•		•	6				
Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8 17	2 2	6 15	2 2	15	2 2	<u>0</u>	2 2	<u>4</u> 13
NET INFLOW	-11	-2	-9	-1	-10	-1	0	<u>-2</u>	-8
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	J	•	,,,	<u>'</u>			Page 17

Couthocat CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	24%	76%	22%	78%	8%	14%	9%	68%
^`	east CAN		100	24	400				25
Active on BNL	139 61	33 83	106 57	31 103	108 55	11 46	20 146	13 26	95 61
Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (amo			57	103	55	40	140	20	01
Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecorus _j							
1	- 1% (2)	- -	- 2% (2)	- -	2% (2)				- 2% (2)
3	- 6% (8)	- 9% (3)	- 5% (5)	- 6% (2)	- 6% (6)	<u>-</u> -	10% (2)	8% (1)	-
	9% (13) 15% (21)	18% (6) 27% (9)	7% (7) 11% (12)	13% (4) 23% (7)	8% (9) 13% (14)	9% (1) 18% (2) 36% (4)	15% (3) 25% (5)	23% (3) 31% (4)	5% (5) 6% (6) 11% (10)
6	17% (24) 14% (20)	12% (4) 15% (5)	19% (20) 14% (15)	23% (7) 16% (5)	16% (17) 14% (15)	36% (4) 18% (2)	15% (3) 25% (5) 15% (3) 15% (3)	8% (1) 15% (2)	17% (16) 14% (13)
8	12% (16) 8% (11)	6% (2) 6% (2)	13% (14) 8% (9)	3% (1) 6% (2) 3% (1) 3% (1)	14% (15) 8% (9)		5% (1) 10% (2) 5% (1)	8% (1)	15% (14) 9% (9)
10	7% (10) 5% (7)	3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (9) 6% (6)	3% (1)	8% (9) 6% (6)		5% (1)	- 8% (1)	9% (9) 5% (5)
12	3% (4) 1% (1)		4% (4) 1% (1)	3% (1)	3% (3) 1% (1)	9% (1) 9% (1)			15% (14) 9% (9) 9% (9) 5% (5) 3% (3) 1% (1)
14	-		2% (2)		- 2% (2)	<u>-</u>			- 2% (2)
16 16 17	1% (2) -	-	<u>∠ 70 (∠)</u> 	-	270 <u>[</u> 2]	-	-		<u> </u>
17 18 E Average Assessment Score	-	- - 5.82		-		-	-		
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.92 active reco		7.26	6.23	7.12	6.82	5.90	5.69	7.32
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Known Unsheltered	31	2	29	0	31	0	0	2	29
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	26	1	 25	4	22	3	1	0	22
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing							·		
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	36	18	18	21	15	3	18	0	15
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	35	33	2	21	14	1	20	13	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	27	8	19	6	21	5	1	7	14
Returned from Inactive	15	2	13	1	14	0	1	1	13
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	10	32	7	35	5	2	8	27
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day			72	•	30			Ţ,	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	21	4	17	3	18	1	2	2	16
Housed - PSH	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Housed - RRH	10	0	 10	2	8	2	0	0	8
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	8	2	6	3	5	 1	2	0	5
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	43	6	37	8	35	4	4	2	33
Inactive - Unable to Contact	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Other Outflow subtotal	12	0	12	0	12	0	0	0	12
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	55	6	49	8	47	4	4	2	45
z NET INFLOW	-13	4	-17	-1	-12	1	-2	6	-18 Page 18

6/26/2018 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		94%		91%				85%
Waterbury Litcht	-	6%		9%		8%	1%	5%	
Active on BNL	261	16	245	24	237	22	2	14	223
	158	104	165	166	152	162	250	69	165
Median Days Active			100	100	152	102	250	09	100
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1	3% (8)		3% (8)	4% (1)	3% (7)	5% (1)	-		3% (7)
2	3% (9) 7% (17)	19% (3)	4% (9) 6% (14)	4% (1)	4% (9) 7% (16)	- 5% (1)		21% (3)	4% (9) 6% (13)
4	8% (20)	6% (1)	8% (19)	4% (1) 4% (1)	7% (16) 8% (19)	-	50% (1)	-	6% (13) 9% (19)
5	11% (29) 18% (46)	19% (3) 13% (2)	11% (26) 18% (44)	17% (4)	11% (25) 17% (40)	18% (4) 23% (5)	50% (1)	21% (3) 7% (1)	10% (22) 17% (39)
7	12% (32)	13% (2)	12% (30)	17% (4)	12% (28) 13% (30)	18% (4)	- 50 /6 (1)	14% (2)	12% (26)
8	12% (31)	- 6% (1)	12% (30) 13% (31) 10% (25)	4% (1)	13% (30)	5% (1)		- 7% (1)	12% (26) 13% (30)
10	10% (26) 7% (17)	[7% (17)	25% (6) 17% (4) 25% (6) 17% (4) 4% (1) 8% (2) 4% (1) 4% (1)	10% (24) 7% (16)	18% (4) 5% (1) 9% (2) 5% (1) 5% (1)	-	-	10% (23) 7% (16)
11	5% (14)	19% (3)	7% (17) 4% (11) 2% (6) 0% (1)	4% (1)	5% (13)	5% (1)		21% (3)	4% (10) 3% (6) 0% (1) 0% (1)
12	3% (7) 0% (1)	6% (1) -	2% (6) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	3% (7) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>		7% <u>(1)</u> -	3% (b) 0% (1)
14	0% (1)		0% (1)	40/ /4\	0% (1)	- E0/ /4\			0% (1)
15	1% (2)	-	1% (2)	4% (1) -	0% (1)	5% (1) -		<u>-</u>	0% (1) -
17	0% (1)		0% (1)	4% (1)	-	5% (1)			
Average Assessment Score	6.77	6.75	6.77	7.13	6.73	7.32	5.00	7.00	6.71
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	/	U	'	U	1	U	U	0	Ι
Chronic (Verified)	13	0	13	1	12	1	0	0	12
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness				·		·			
Known Unsheltered	43	1	42	0	43	0	0	1	42
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	9	3	6	0	9	0	0	3	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	c	4		^	1	^	^	4	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	l L	5	2	4	2	0	l 	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	18	16	2	3	15	1	2	14	1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	10		3	10	'		17	'
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T				T			
Newly Added	17	2	15	1	16	1	0	2	14
Clients who have never been active before									
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	2	18	1	19	1	0	2	17
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			10	<u> </u>	10	<u>'</u>	U U		- ''
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	•								
Housed - Self-Resolved			•		^				4
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	1
Housed - PSH	6	Λ	6	1	<u> </u>	1	Λ	Λ	
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	ס	0	6	l 	5		0	0	5
Housed - RRH	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	·	ļ		' 	<u> </u>		'		
Housed - All Other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	10		10	_	0	3	1	1	7
Housed Outflow subtotal	12	2	10	4	8	3	I	I	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	^	^	^	^	^	^	Λ	Λ	^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	•			•		'			
Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	16	2	14	7	9	6	11	11	8
NET INFLOW	4	0	4	-6	10	-5	-1	1	9
-	_							_	Page 19

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).