FYI BNL Counts 1/2/2018 - DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

ISFF AT	$T\Delta CHFD$	PAGES FOR	ADDITIONA	I DFTAII

						Greater	Greater				Waterbury/	1
	TABLE AF	Families (25+)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield	İ
AF0		Active Records	262	30	60	40	60	23	15	7	27	
AF1	N Se	0 to 3	16	0	3	3	5	0	2	0	3	
AF2	₹ÿ	4 to 8	161	16	38	21	40	18	7	5	16	ge 7
AF3	F &	9+	85	14	19	16	15	5	6	2	8	paç
AF4		Median Days Active	117	130	130	110	181	71	69	139	100	on
AF5		Refusers	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	details
AF6		Chronic (Verified)	11	1	1	0	2	5	1	1	0	det
AF7		Known Unsheltered	10	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	큔
AF8		Matched/Awarded	62	12	11	16	14	6	1	0	2	
AF9		Housed in Past 30 Days	8	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	2	

						Greater	Greater				Waterbury/	İ
	TABLE YF	Families (<25)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield	İ
YF0		Active Records	45	5	6	8	8	2	0	12	4	
YF1	N Se	0 to 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
YF2	A S	4 to 8	31	5	3	2	6	2	0	9	4	ge 8
YF3	Z S	9+	14	0	3	6	2	0	0	3	0	paç
YF4		Median Days Active	107	107	90	71	114	69	-	251	89	on
YF5		Refusers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ails
YF6		Chronic (Verified)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	det
YF7		Known Unsheltered	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	큔
YF8		Matched/Awarded	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	
YF9		Housed in Past 30 Days	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	

	TABLE YI	Individuals (<25)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
YI0		Active Records	330	19	79	61	125	13	7	7	19	
YI1	To	0 to 3	51	3	15	8	19	3	1	0	2	6
YI2	VI/NST Scores	4 to 7	157	12	30	32	55	7	5	5	11	ge 9
YI3	> ÿ	8+	122	4	34	21	51	3	1	2	6	paç
YI4		Median Days Active	131	114	140	105	167	217	63	56	96	on
YI5		Refusers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	details
YI6		Chronic (Verified)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	det
YI7		Known Unsheltered	18	5	1	2	2	2	0	2	4	ᆵ
YI8		Matched/Awarded	19	2	2	14	1	0	0	0	0	
YI9		Housed in Past 30 Days	6	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	

	TABLE AI	Individuals (25+)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
AI0		Active Records	2,191	138	633	594	357	90	62	95	222	
Al1	S	0 to 3	383	14	112	126	48	30	5	11	37	0
AI2	≥ 8	4 to 7	1,078	79	302	330	131	41	30	49	116	e 1
AI3	Š	8+	730	45	219	138	178	19	27	35	69	oag
Al4		Median Days Active	181	216	225	169	258	155	63	76	109	luo
AI5		Refusers	13	1	1	3	0	1	0	4	1	sils
Al6		Chronic (Verified)	204	9	9	37	82	5	6	2	19	details
AI7		Known Unsheltered	238	52	52	42	18	9	12	29	59	=
AI8		Matched/Awarded	236	20	42	77	71	3	1	12	10	Ь
AI9		Housed in Past 30 Days	81	11	15	29	0	3	0	20	3	

Brief Description of Data Included

- Table AF Adult Families with a head of household aged 25+ as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Table YF Youth Families with a head of household under 25 years old as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Table YI Youth Individuals under 25 years old as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Table AI Adult Individuals aged 25+ as of the date this data was pulled from HMIS.
- Row 0 Total number of active records for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 1 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "no recommended intervention" range for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 2 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "RRH recommended" range for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 3 Total number of active records with an assessment score in the "PSH recommended" range for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 4 Median days active for the household type/age, calculated based on days between the data pull date and the active date for all active records.
- Row 5 Total number of active records that are marked as "Refuses CAN Assistance" for the household type/age in the table.

 Row 6 Total number of active records marked as "Chronic (Verified)" for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 7 Total number of active records that are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 8 Total number of active recrods marked as "Matched to a Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy" for the household type/age in the table.
- Row 9 Total number of inactive records that are marked as "Housed" with a "Housed by Program Date" within the past 30 days for the household type/age in the table.

1/2/2016 FTI BNL KEPOII - DKAFI	TOR DIOC.	3331011		0	0		Waterbury		
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		000/	0.50/					
_	Records	7%	28%	25%	19%	5%	3%	4%	10%
Active on BNL	2,828	192	778	703	550	128	84	121	272
c Median Days Active	166	189	203	155	216	150	63	96	107
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (6)	_	0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (3)	_		_	
1	2% (59) 5% (149)	1% (1) 3% (5)	2% (17) 6% (48) 8% (63)	0% (1) 3% (18) 6% (44) 11% (74)	1% (7)	3% (4)	- - -	2% (3) 2% (3) 4% (5)	3% (9)
3	8% (236)	6% (11)	8% (63)	11% (74)	4% (21) 7% (41)	8% (10) 15% (19)	6% (5) 4% (3)	4% (5)	5% (13) 7% (20)
5	12% (330) 13% (359)	11% (21) 17% (32)	12% (97) 11% (83)	14% (98) 15% (103)	6% (33) 13% (69)	15% (19) 12% (15)	11% (9) 8% (7)	12% (15) 11% (13)	14% (38) 14% (37)
6	13% (379) 11% (318)	12% (23) 16% (31)	12% (97) 11% (87)	14% (101) 11% (78)	12% (68)	12% (15) 13% (16) 10% (13)	18% (15) 11% (9)	17% (21) 11% (13)	14% (38) 11% (31)
8	11% (319) 8% (217)	14% (27) 9% (17)	10% (81) 8% (65)	7% (52) 7% (49)	16% (86) 7% (41)	9% (11) 4% (5) 3% (4)	12% (10) 12% (10)	18% (22) 7% (8)	11% (30)
10	6% (157)	3% (6) 5% (9)	10% (81) 8% (65) 7% (58) 6% (43) 2% (19)	7% (52) 7% (49) 4% (27) 4% (27) 2% (12)	16% (86) 7% (41) 8% (43) 5% (29) 3% (19) 3% (17) 2% (12)	3% (4)	12% (10) 12% (10) 5% (4) 4% (3) 7% (6)	18% (22) 7% (8) 6% (7) 3% (4)	3% (8) 4% (11) 3% (7) 2% (5) 1% (2)
12	5% (133) 3% (77)	3% (5)	2% (19)	2% (12)	3% (29)	5% (7) 3% (4)	7% (6)	4% (5)	3% (7)
13	2% (44) 1% (28)	2% (3)	1% (7) 1% (6)	2% (12) 1% (4)	3% (17) 2% (12)	1% (1) -	2% (2)	- 1% (1)	2% (5) 1% (2)
15	0% (13) 0% (1)	-	1% (5) -	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (5) -	<u>-</u>		1% (1)	
18	0% (3)	1% (1)	- -	0% (1)	-	-			0% (1)
E Average Assessment Score	6.50	6.72	6.50	6.00	7.18	5.70	7.13	6.75	6.29
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rowe	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	16	1	3	3	1	3	0	4	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	10	 	ა 	ა 	 	ა 	U 	4	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	218	10	45	37	87	10	7	3	19
Known Unsheltered	267	63	18	46	21	11	12	31	65
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	207	03	10	40	Z I	11	12	ان 	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	320	34	56	109	86	9	2	12	12
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	106	13	 17	30	0	4	0	36	6
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	ļ	13	11				·		
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	414	27	93	76	144	19	10	22	23
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	•								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	215	9	63	49	39	9	8	8	30
Returned from Inactive	44	3	5	6	3	2	9	 11	5
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	259	12	68	55	42	11	17	19	35
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	49	7	14	3	2	4	2	12	5
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved Housed - PSH	 						<u>_</u>		
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	31	0	18	10	0	0	1	0	2
Housed - RRH	11	0	2	1	1	0	1	6	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 				· 				
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	93	7	34	15	3	4	4	19	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	65	0	35	9	1	1	1	13	5
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	J	U	U	U	U 	U 	U	U 	U
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	24	0	1	0	2	1	2	2	16
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	89	0	36	9	3	2	3 7	15	21
z NET INFLOW	182 77	7 5	70 -2	24 31	6 36	<u>6</u> 5	10	34 -15	28 7
Z NET INFLOW	11	J	-2	JI	30	J	10	-10	Page 2

All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Jonardi		Hartiora	35%		- Trontinouot	Countroust	Litoimoia
1	All Youth	6%	23%	18%	3376	4%	2%	5%	6%
Active on BNL	375	24	85	69	133	15	7	19	23
Median Days Active		114	132	100	167	188	63	166	96
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 1% (5)	-	- 4% (3)		- 2% (2)	-	-		
2	3% (12) 9% (34)	4% (1) 8% (2)	5% (4) 9% (8)	1% (1) 10% (7)	3% (4) 10% (13)	7% (1) 13% (2)	14% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	9% (2)
4	9% (35) 13% (48)	8% (2)	13% (11) 8% (7)	10% (7) 12% (8)	4% (5)		29% (2) 14% (1)	21% (4)	9% (2) 17% (4)
6	13% (50)	25% (6) 17% (4)	7% (6)	14% (10)	17% (22) 13% (17)	13% (2) 20% (3)	29% (2)	11% (2)	9% (2) 26% (6) 13% (3)
8	13% (47) 14% (53)	17% (4) 13% (3)	9% (8) 14% (12)	13% (9) 7% (5)	12% (16) 17% (23)	20% (3) 13% (2)	14% (1)	21% (4) 32% (6)	4% (1)
9	9% (34) 5% (20)	<u>8% (2)</u> -	8% (7) 9% (8)	14% (10) 3% (2)	8% (10) 7% (9)	<u> </u>		11% (2) 5% (1)	13% (3)
11 12	4% (16) 3% (11)		7% (6) 4% (3)	4% (3) 6% (4)	4% (5) 2% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)			4% (1) 4% (1)
13	1% (4) 1% (5)		1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (2)	1% (1) 3% (4)				
15	- (0)								
16 17	0% (1)	-		1% (1)					
Average Assessment Score	6.71	5.83	6.75	7.07	6.80	6.40	5.00	6.95	6.39
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)		0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	19	5	1	2	3	2	0	2	4
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	 		· 						
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	22	2	3	16	1	0	0	0	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	17	2	0	1	0	0	0	13	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	46	5	13	11	9	1	0	4	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before		2	10	4	12	2	1	0	2
Returned from Inactive	3	0	0	 1	1	1	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	36	2	10	5	13	3	1	0	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			10	J	13	J	<u> </u>	U	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Housed - PSH P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	11	1	7	2	0	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	7	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
X Other Outflow subtotal	9	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	20	1	11	3	0	0	1	2	2
z NET INFLOW	16	1	-1	2	13	3	0	-2	0 Page 3

A 11-A1				Greater	Greater			aa.anacroon@ci.g	Waterbury/
All Non-Youth	Statewic	le Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage	e of Statewic	le	28%	200/					
A	All Non-You	th 7%	2070	26%	17%	5%	3%	4%	10%
Active on	BNL 2,453	168	693	634	417	113	77	102	249
c Median Days A	Active 173	209	217	166	241	134	63	83	107
Assessment Score Distribution		e records)							
Count of all active records having each assessme	ent score. 0% (6)	<u> </u>	0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (3)				
1	2% (54) 6% (137)	1% (1) 2% (4)	0% (2) 2% (14) 6% (44)	3% (18) 7% (43)	1% (3) 1% (5)	4% (4) 8% (9)	- 5% (4)	3% (3) 3% (3)	4% (9) 5% (13)
3	8% (202)	5% (9)	6% (44) 8% (55) 12% (86)	11% (67) 14% (91)	4% (17) 7% (28) 7% (28)	15% (17)	4% (3)	5% (5)	5% (13) 7% (18)
5	12% (295) 13% (311)	11% (19) 15% (26)	11% (76)	14% (91) 15% (95) 14% (91)	11% (47)	17% (19) 12% (13)	9% (7) 8% (6)	11% (11) 13% (13)	14% (34) 14% (35) 13% (32)
6	13% (329) 11% (271)	11% (19) 16% (27)	13% (91) 11% (79)	11% (69)	12% (51) 10% (40)	12% (13) 9% (10) 8% (9)	17% (13) 12% (9)	19% (19) 9% (9)	13% (32) 11% (28) 12% (29)
8 9	11% (266) 7% (183)	14% (24) 9% (15) 4% (6)	10% (51) 11% (79) 10% (69) 8% (58) 7% (50) 5% (37) 2% (16)	7% (47) 6% (39) 4% (25) 4% (24)	15% (63) 7% (31) 8% (34) 6% (24)	4% (5)	12% (9) 13% (10)	19% (19) 9% (9) 16% (16) 6% (6) 6% (6) 4% (4)	12% (29) 8% (19)
10	6% (137) 5% (117)	4% (6) 5% (9)	7% (50) 5% (37)	4% (25) 4% (24)	8% (34) 6% (24)	4% (4) 5% (6)	5% (4) 4% (3)	6% (6) 4% (4)	8% (19) 3% (8) 4% (10)
12	3% (66)	3% (5)	2% (16)	1% (8) 2% (10)	4% (17) 4% (16)	3% (3)	8% (6)	5% (5)	4% (10) 2% (6)
14	2% (40) 1% (23)	2% (3)	1% (6) 1% (5)	1% (4)	2% (8)	1% (1) -	3% (2)	1% (1)	2% (5) 1% (2)
15 - 16	1% (13) 0% (1)	-	1% (5) -	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (5) -	<u>-</u>	1% (1) -	1% (1) -	
17 18		<u>1% (1)</u> -	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0% (1) -
E Average Assessmen		6.85	6.47	5.88	7.29	5.61	7.32	6.72	6.28
Status/Conditions Followed (an Clients counted in each row below are currently a			nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assist	tance 16	1	3	3	1	3	0	4	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence	e policy				· 				
Chronic (Ver G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homele		10	45	37	84	10	7	3	19
Known Unshel	tered ₂₄₈	58	17	44	18	9	12	29	61
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsh Matched/Awa	heltered								
Clients matched to or awarded a housing re	esource 298	32	53	93	85 	9	2	12	12
Enrolled in Transitional Hou		11	17	29	0	4	0	23	5
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional F Youth at Time of Assess				7	11		ი	ი	
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of asse	ssment	3	8	7	11	4	3	3	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the E		6							
Newly A	ddod		F0	45	07	7	7	0	00
Clients who have never been active	e before IOZ	7	53	45	27 	7	7	8 	28
Returned from Ina Clients inactive for any reason who are now	1 41	3	5	5	2	1	9	11	5
N Inflow to Active List TC		10	58	50	29	8	16	19	33
Outflow from Active List: Past			<u> </u>						
Clients below were made active or added to the E	. ,	S.							
Housed - Self-Reso Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-re	1 40	6	13	2	2	4	1	12	5
Housed -	PSH 27	0	14	10	0	0	1	0	2
P Clients housed in past 30 days, wi Housed -	ith PSH								
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, wi		0	0	0	1	0	1	6	0
Housed - All (Other 2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, as Housed Outflow sub	all other	6	27	13	3	4	3	19	7
Inactive - Unable to Co	-44	-		-	-		-		
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to	contact 30	0	31	8 	1 	1	1 	11 	5
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an ins	[]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Dece	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, de	ceased		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	U 		·		
Inactive - All (Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other r		0	1	0	2	1	2	2	14
x Other Outflow sub		0	32	8	3	2	3	13	19
Outflow from Active List TC		6	59	21	6	6	6	32	26
z NET INF	LOW 61	4	-1	29	23	2	10	-13	7 Page 4

1/2/2018 FIT BNL REPOIT - DRAFT	TOR DIGG.	3001011		Greater	ter Greater		00111801 308181118013011180		Waterbury/	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield	
Percentage of S										
	Families	11%	21%	16%	22%	8%	5%	6%	10%	
B Active on BNL	307	35	66	48	68	25	15	19	31	
c Median Days Active	112	110	127	104	179	71	69	230	100	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			121	101	110	<u> </u>		200	100	
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		,								
1	- 0% (1)								- 3% (1)	
2	3% (8) 2% (7)		3% (2) 2% (1)	4% (2) 2% (1)	4% (3) 3% (2)		7% (1) 7% (1)		-	
4	10% (31)	3% (1)	15% (10)	4% (2)	12% (8)	12% (3) 8% (2)	13% (2)	11% (2)	6% (2) 10% (3) 13% (4)	
6	11% (33) 12% (37)	6% (2) 17% (6)	11% (7) 11% (7) 12% (8)	13% (6) 6% (3)	15% (10) 15% (10)	8% (2) 12% (3)	7% <u>(1)</u> -	5% (1) 11% (2)	13% (4) 19% (6) 13% (4)	
7	16% (50) 13% (41)	20% (7) 14% (5)	14% (9)	15% (7) 10% (5)	18% (12) 9% (6)	12% (3) 28% (7) 20% (5)	13% (2) 13% (2)	16% (3) 32% (6)	10% (3)	
9	12% (37) 5% (16)	14% (5) 9% (3) 3% (1)	17% (11) 8% (5)	15% (7)	9% (6) 9% (6) 6% (4)	4% (1)	27% (4)	32% (6) 16% (3) 11% (2)	6% (2) 3% (1)	
11	7% (23)	14% (5)	6% (4)	10% (5) 15% (7) 6% (3) 8% (4) 8% (4)	4% (3)	8% (2)	7% (1)	-	13% (4)	
12	4% (11) 1% (4)	6% (2) -	- 2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1)	8% (2) -	- 7% (1)	-	- -	
14	1% (3) 0% (1)	6% (2)	2% (1)	-		<u> </u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	
16	0% (1) 1% (3)	- 3% (1)		2% (1) 2% (1)					3% (1)	
18	-	•	7 47	-	- 6.70	7.00	- 7.00	 - 7.42	-	
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.43	8.57 rds)	7.17	8.27	6.79	7.36	7.20	1.42	6.97	
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)										
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	12	1	1	0	3	5	1	1	0	
Known Unsheltered	11	6	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded		40								
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	65	12	12	18	14	6	1 	0	2	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	19	0	2	0	0	1	0	14	2	
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment										
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	56	5	9	9	11	3	2	13	4	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	· · ·									
L Clients who have never been active before	39	4	9	10	9	2	0	0	5	
Returned from Inactive	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41		10	10	10					
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		4	10	10	10	2	0	0	5	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the										
Housed - Self-Resolved	12	0	7	0	2	0	0	1	2	
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved Housed - PSH										
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	8	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed - RRH	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other										
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
s Housed Outflow subtotal	22	0	15	2	2	0	0	1	2	
Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	^	^	^		^	^	^	^	^	
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	A	^	^				^	^	^	
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	
X Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	27	0	16	2	4	0	0	1	4	
z NET INFLOW	14	4	-6	8	6	2	0	-1	1 Page 5	

1/2/2016 FTT BNE REPOIL - DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION					Cuanton		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		201	28%	26%	19%				400/
^	dividuals	6%				4%	3%	4%	10%
B Active on BNL	2,521	157	712	655	482	103	69	102	241
c Median Days Active	170	210	211	159	232	156	63	73	107
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	0% (6)	_	0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (3)	_			_
1	2% (58)	1% (1) 3% (5)	2% (17) 6% (46) 9% (62)	0% (1) 3% (18) 6% (42) 11% (73)	1% (7)	4% (4)	-	3% (3) 3% (3) 5% (5)	3% (8)
	6% (141) 9% (229)	7% (11)	6% (46) 9% (62)	11% (73)	4% (18) 8% (39)	10% (10) 18% (19)	6% (4) 3% (2)	3% (3) 5% (5)	5% (13) 7% (18)
	12% (299) 13% (326)	13% (20) 19% (30)	12% (87) 11% (76)	15% (96) 15% (97) 15% (98)	5% (25) 12% (59)	16% (16) 13% (13)	1 <u>0% (7)</u> 9% (6)	13% (13) 12% (12)	15% (35) 14% (33)
6	14% (342)	11% (17) 15% (24)	13% (90) 11% (79)	15% (98) 11% (71)	12% (58) 9% (44)	13% (13)	22% (15) 10% (7)	19% (19) 10% (10)	13% (32) 11% (27)
8	11% (268) 11% (278)	14% (22) 9% (14)	10% (72)	7% (47)	9% (44) 17% (80)	6% (6)	12% (8)	16% (16) 16% (16) 5% (5)	11% (27) 11% (27) 8% (20)
	7% (180) 6% (141)	9% (14) 3% (5)	8% (54) 7% (53) 5% (39) 3% (19)	7% (47) 6% (42) 4% (24) 4% (23) 1% (8)	3% (44) 17% (80) 7% (35) 8% (39) 5% (26) 3% (16) 3% (16) 2% (12)	13% (13) 13% (13) 6% (6) 6% (6) 4% (4) 4% (4)	10% (Y) 12% (8) 9% (6) 6% (4) 3% (2) 9% (6) 1% (1)	5% (5) 5% (5)	8% (20) 3% (7)
11	4% (110) 3% (66)	3% (4) 2% (3)	5% (39)	4% (23) 1% (8)	5% (26)	5% (5) 2% (2)	3% (2)	5% (5) 4% (4) 5% (5)	3% (7) 3% (7) 3% (7) 2% (5) 1% (2)
13	2% (40)	-	1% (6) 1% (6)	2% (11) 0% (3)	3% (16)	1% (1)	1% (1)	_	2% (5)
	1% (25) 0% (12)	1% (1) -	1% (6) 1% (4)	0% (3) 0% (1)	2% (12) 1% (5)	<u> </u>	- 1% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (2) -
16	-					-			
18									
Status/Conditions Followed (among a	6.38	6.31	6.44	5.83	7.23	5.30	7.12	6.63	6.20
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	13	1	3	3	0	1	0	4	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	l 	J		U	l 		4	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	206	9	44	37	84	5	6	2	19
Known Unsheltered	050		40	44		44	40	04	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	256	57	18	44	20	11	12	31	63
Matched/Awarded	255	22	44	91	72	3	1	12	10
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	87	13	15	30	0	3	0	22	4
Youth at Time of Assessment	358	22	84	67	133	16	8	9	19
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment				<u> </u>					.,
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	nast 30 davs								
Newly Added		-	Γ.4	20	20	7			٥٢
Clients who have never been active before	176	5	54	39	30	7	8	8	25
Returned from Inactive	42	3	4	6	2	2	9	11	5
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	218	8	58	45	32	9	17	19	30
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		<u> </u>	JU	70	JŁ	3	11	19	30
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	37	7	7	3	0	4	2	11	3
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved						-π	<u></u>	11	
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	23	0	12	8	0	0	1	0	2
Housed - RRH	9	0	0	1	1	0	1	6	0
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	<i>9</i>	U	U	I	I	····	l 	U	U
R Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	71	7	19	13	1	4	4	18	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact	64	0	34			1	 1	13	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	υ4	U	J4	9	 	l 	l 	13	5
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased							^		
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	20	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	14
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons			-		1				
Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	84 155	7	35 54	9 22	<u> </u>	<u>2</u>	3 7	15 33	19 24
z NET INFLOW	63	1	4	23	30	3	10	 -14	6
NET INFLOW	US	ı	4	23	30	J	10	-14	Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	A			Greater	Greater		N 0 4		Waterbury/
		Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S Families (No		11%	23%	15%	23%	9%	6%	3%	10%
В	Active on BNL	262	30	60	40	60	23	15	7	27
С	Median Days Active	117	130	130	110	181	71	69	139	100
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score).								
	1	0% (1)		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>	4% (1)
	3	3% (8) 3% (7)		3% (2) 2% (1)	5% (2) 3% (1)	5% (3) 3% (2)	<u> </u>	7% (1) 7% (1)	<u> </u>	7% (2)
	4	10% (27) 10% (25)	3% (1) 3% (1)	15% (9) 10% (6)	5% (2) 10% (4)	13% (8) 12% (7)	13% (3) 9% (2)	13% (2) 7% (1)	- 14% (1)	7% (2) 7% (2) 11% (3)
	6	11% (30)	10% (3)	12% (7)	8% (3)	15% (9)	13% (3)	-	14% (1)	15% (4)
	8	18% (46) 13% (33)	23% (7) 13% (4) 10% (3)	13% (8) 13% (8) 15% (9)	18% (7) 13% (5) 15% (6)	18% (11) 8% (5) 7% (4)	26% (6) 17% (4)	13% (2) 13% (2)	14% (1) 29% (2)	15% (4) 11% (3)
	9	11% (30) 5% (14)	10% (3) 3% (1)	15% (9) 7% (4)	8% (3)	7% (4) 7% (4)	4% (1) -	27% (4) -	14% (1) 14% (1)	7% (2) 4% (1)
	11	8% (21) 3% (9)	17% (5) 7% (2)	7% (4)	5% (2) 5% (2) 3% (1)	7% (4) 5% (3) 5% (3)	9% (2) 9% (2)	7% (1)		4% (1) 15% (4)
	13	2% (4)	-	2% (1)	3% (1)	2% (1)	5 /0 (Z) -	- 7% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
	14	1% (3) 0% (1)	7% (2) -	2% (1)	3% (1)	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
	16	0% (1) 1% (2)	- 3% (1)		3% (1)	- -		-	<u>-</u>	- 4% (1)
إ	18	-	-			-				-
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.42	8.97	7.13	7.88	6.80	7.35	7.20	7.57	7.22
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	11	1	1	0 0	2	<u>-</u> 5	 1	 1	0
G H	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Matched/Awarded	62	12	11	 16	14	6	1	0	2
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	8	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	11	0	3	1	3	<u>'</u> 1	 2	 1	0
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	- 11	U	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	J	<u>'</u>			
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	32	3	7	7	8	2	0	0	5
-	Returned from Inactive	ე	0	1	0	1	0	^	0	^
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2		1				0		0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	34	3	8	7	9	2	0	0	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	•								
j	Housed - Self-Resolved	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	^	7	^	0	^	^	4	
0	Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	12	0	7	0	2	0	0	1 	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0
· Q	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	18	0	11	2	2	0	0	1	2
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
' U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ň	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	22	0	11	2	4	0	0	1	4
z	NET INFLOW	12	3	-3	5	5	2	0	-1	1
										Page 7

	1/2/2016 FTI BNL Kepoli - DKAFI	TOR DISC.	0001011					Contact bea	au.anderson@ct.gi	
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S Familie	Statewide s (Youth)	11%	13%	18%	18%	4%	0%	27%	9%
В	Active on BNL	45	5	6	8	8	2	0	12	4
С	Median Days Active	107	107	90	71	114	69	-	251	89
D	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
	0				<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>
	2	-	-							
	4	9% (4)	-	17% (1)			-	-	- 17% (2)	25% (1)
	5 6	18% (8) 16% (7)	20% (1) 60% (3)	17% (1) -	25% (2) -	38% (3) 13% (1)	<u>-</u>		- 8% (1)	25% (1) 50% (2)
	7 8	9% (4) 18% (8)	- 20% (1)	- 17% (1)	-	13% (1) 13% (1) 25% (2)	50% (1) 50% (1)	-	17% (2)	-
	9	16% (7)	-	33% (2)	13% (1)	25% (2)			33% (4) 17% (2)	
	11	4% (2) 4% (2)		17% (1) -	25% (2)	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>		8% (1) -	<u>-</u>
	12 13	4% (2)			25% (2)					
	14	-								
	15 16 <u></u>	-								
	17 18	2% (1)	-		13% (1) -			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.47	6.20	7.50	10.25	6.75	7.50	-	7.33	5.25
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	8	3	1	1	1	0	0	2	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	7	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	0
	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IVI	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	7	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	0
IN	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da				<u> </u>	<u>'</u>		<u> </u>		
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
T	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z	NET INFLOW	2	1	-3	3	1	0	0	0	0 Page 8

1/2/2018 FTI BNL KEPOII - DKAFI	TOR BIOC	0001011		Cuantan	Cuantan		OUNTACT DO	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		6%	24%	18%	38%	4%	2%	2%	6%
B Active on BNL	330	19	79	61	125	13	7	7	19
c Median Days Active	131	114	140	105	167	217	63	56	96
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ong active re		110	100	101	211			
1	- 2% (5)	- -	4% (3) 5% (4)		2% (2)	<u>-</u>			
2	4% (12) 10% (34)	5% (1) 11% (2)	5% (4) 10% (8)	2% (1) 11% (7)	3% (4) 10% (13)	8% (1) 15% (2)	14% (1) -		- 11% (2)
4	9% (31)	11% (2)	13% (10)	11% (7)	4% (5)	-	29% (2) 14% (1)	29% (2)	16% (3) 5% (1)
6	12% (40) 13% (43)	26% (5) 5% (1)	8% (6) 8% (6)	10% (6) 16% (10)	15% (19) 13% (16)	15% (2) 23% (3)	14% (1) 29% (2)	- 14% (1)	21% (4)
7 8	13% (43) 14% (45)	21% (4)	10% (8) 14% (11)	15% (9) 8% (5)	12% (15) 18% (22)	15% (2) 8% (1)	- 14% (1)	29% (2) 29% (2)	16% (3) 5% (1)
9	8% (27)	11% (2) 11% (2)	6% (5)	15% (9)	6% (8)		- 1470 (1)	- 23/0 (2)	16% (3)
10	5% (18) 4% (14)	- -	9% (7) 8% (6)	15% (9) 3% (2) 2% (1) 3% (2)	7% (9) 4% (5)	- 8% (1)	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> -	- 5% (1)
12	3% (9)		4% (3)	3% (2)	2% (2)	8% (1)			5% (1)
13 14 11	1% (4) 2% (5)	- -	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (2)	1% (1) 3% (4)	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>
15	-		-			-		-	<u>-</u>
17	-								
E Average Assessment Score	6.61	5.74	6.70	6.66	6.81	6.23	5.00	6.29	6.63
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	40								4
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	5	1 	2	2	2	0	2	4
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	19	2	2	14	1	0	0	0	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
*K Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months *Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	38	2	12	10	8	1	0	2	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	26	1	8	1	11	2	1	0	2
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	29	1	8	2	12	3	1	0	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ys								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	7	1	3	2	0	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
x Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	15	1	6	3	0	0	1	2	2
z NET INFLOW	14	0	2	-1	12	3	0	-2	0 Page 9

1/2/2018 FTT BNL REPOIL - DRAF	TTOK DISC	0337011		Cuantau	Cuantan		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of Individuals (N		6%	29%	27%	16%	4%	3%	4%	10%	
Active on BN		138	633	594	357	90	62	95	222	
14 E D 4 E		216	225	169	258	155	63	76	109	
			220	109	230	100	03	70	109	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score.										
0	0% (6)	I -	0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (3)					
1	2% (53)	1% (1) 3% (4)	2% (14) 7% (42) 9% (54)	0% (1) 3% (18) 7% (41) 11% (66)	1% (5)	4% (4)		3% (3) 3% (3) 5% (5)	4% (8)	
3	6% (129) 9% (195)	3% (4) 7% (9)	7% (42) 9% (54)	7% (41) 11% (66)	4% (14) 7% (26)	10% (9) 19% (17)	5% (3) 3% (2)	3% (3) 5% (5)	6% (13) 7% (16)	
4	12% (268)	13% (18)	12% (77)	15% (89)	6% (20) 11% (40)	18% (16)	8% (5) 8% (5)	12% (11) 13% (12)	14% (32) 14% (32)	
5	13% (286) 14% (299)	18% (25) 12% (16)	11% (70) 13% (84)	15% (91) 15% (88)	11% (40) 12% (42)	12% (11) 11% (10)	8% (5) 21% (13)	13% (12) 19% (18)	14% (32) 13% (28)	
7	10% (225)	14% (20)	11% (71)	10% (62)	12% (42) 8% (29)	4% (4) 6% (5) 4% (4) 4% (4)	11% (7)	8% (8)	13% (28) 11% (24)	
8	11% (233) 7% (153)	14% (20) 9% (12)	10% (61) 8% (49)	7% (42) 6% (33)	16% (58) 8% (27)	6% (5) 4% (4)	11% (7) 10% (6)	15% (14) 5% (5)	12% (26) 8% (17)	
10	6% (123)	4% (5)	8% (49) 7% (46) 5% (33) 3% (16)	11% (89) 15% (89) 15% (91) 15% (88) 10% (62) 7% (42) 6% (33) 4% (22) 4% (22) 1% (6)	3 % (29) 16% (58) 8% (27) 8% (30) 6% (21) 4% (14) 4% (15) 2% (8)	4% (4)	10% (6) 6% (4) 3% (2) 10% (6)	5% (5) 4% (4)	3% (7) 3% (6) 3% (6) 2% (5) 1% (2)	
11 12	4% (96) 3% (57)	3% (4) 2% (3)	5% (33) 3% (16)	4% (22) 1% (6)	6% (21) 4% (14)	4% (4) 1% (1)	3% (2) 10% (6)	4% (4) 5% (5)	3% (6) 3% (6)	
13	2% (36)	-	1% (5) 1% (5)	2% (9) 1% (3)	4% (15)	1% (1)	2% (1)	_	2% (5)	
14 15	1% (20) 1% (12)	1% (1)	1% (5) 1% (4)	1% (3) 0% (1)	2% (8) 1% (5)	<u>-</u>	- 2% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (2) -	
16	- ' '		-							
17 18	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u></u>		<u>-</u>		-		
Average Assessment Sco.		6.38	6.41	5.74	7.38	5.17	7.35	6.65	6.16	
Status/Conditions Followed (amon			. d la 10 1	d	oto constitution of the					
Clients counted in each row below are currently active		nts may be counte	eu in multiple rows	uepending on the	eir compination of cir	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence police	1 1.3	1	3	3	0	1	0	4	1	
Chronic (Verified	1)	ļ								
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessnes		9	44	37	82	5	6	2	19	
Known Unsheltere		F 0	47	40	18	^	40	20	<i>E</i> 0	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7.30	52	17	42	10	9	12	29	59	
Matched/Awarde	· 1 /.50	20	42	77	71	3	1	12	10	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	e		·							
Enrolled in Transitional Housin	יו חו	11	15	29	0	3	0	20	3	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housin Youth at Time of Assessmer										
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessme.	- 78	3	5	6	8	3	1	2	0	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		•								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in	the past 30 days.									
Newly Adde	d ₁₅₀	4	46	38	19	5	7	8	23	
Clients who have never been active before	e 130	4	40	J0	13	υ	<i>l</i>	o		
Returned from Inactiv	.39	3	4	5	1	1	9	11	5	
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	е				20	•				
Inflow to Active List TOTAL		7	50	43	20	6	16	19	28	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in Housed - Self-Resolve	٠ -									
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolve	1 .5.5	6	6	2	0	4	1	11	3	
Housed - PS			40		^	^		^		
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PS	1 /1	0	10	8	0	0	1	0	2	
Housed - RR	H 8	0	0	0	1	0	1	6	0	
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RR	 	ļ	·	·	l 	·····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Housed - All Othe		0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
Clients housed in past 30 days, all oth	er							-		
Housed Outflow subtota	.4	6	16	11	1	4	3	18	5	
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1 70	0	31	8	1	1	1	11	5	
Inactive - In an Institutio		ļ <u>-</u>								
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - Decease		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decease	d U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U 	
Inactive - All Othe	ו וה	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	12	
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reason	S									
Other Outflow subtota		0	32	8	1	2	3	13	17	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL		6	48	19	2	6	6	31	22	
z NET INFLOV	49	1	2	24	18	0	10	-12	6	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			Page 10	