Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	Active Families (Non-Youth)								
241 -2 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			Housing						
2 no change	2 73 no change +1 from last week								
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	20	0	2						
Eastern	19	0	8						
Fairfield County	80	1	10						
Greater Hartford	45	0	24						
Greater New Haven	34	0	15						
MMW	15	0	11						
Northwest	28	1	3						

dividua	ls (Youth)								
135									
l details for A	,	, , , ,							
	Matched to	Housing							
	4	5							
	-2 from la	st week							
Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
14	1	3							
25	4	8							
36	1	5							
19	4	10							
15	1	11							
11	0	4							
14	3	4							
	Active 14 25 36 19 15 11	14 1 25 4 36 1 19 4 15 1 11 0							

is below.										
Active I	Families	(Youth)								
48 +2 from last week full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8										
Known Unsheltered			Housing							
0		7	7							
no change		+1 from la	ist week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	1	0	0							
Eastern	28	0	0							
Fairfield County	8	0	1							
Greater Hartford	3	0	2							
Greater New Haven	3	0	2							
MMW	2	0	2							
Northwest	3	0	0							

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) 1597 +7 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10									
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing						
196 +6 from last week	6 330 t week +1 from last week								
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	116	19	10						
Eastern	176	54	54						
Fairfield County	410	0	44						
Greater Hartford	387	30	62						
Greater New Haven	287	72	103						
MMW	95	3	35						
Northwest	126	18	22						

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern		Hartioru	Haven	WINTER	Hortifwest
Α	_	Records	7%	12%	26%	22%	17%	6%	8%
В	Active on BNL	2,021	151	248	534	454	339	123	171
С	Median Days Active	133	141	97	134	174	127	106	82
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (32) 5% (105)	0% (0) 7% (10)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (14) 6% (33)	2% (10) 6% (26)	1% (5) 4% (14)	1% (1) 11% (13)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (5)
	3	8% (166) 13% (255)	7% (10) 3% (5) 11% (17)	6% (16) 13% (32)	11% (60) 13% (72)	10% (45) 16% (73)	6% (20) 9% (30)	8% (10) 12% (15)	6% (10) 9% (16)
		13% (267) 13% (270)	12% (18)	15% (37)	13% (68) 15% (81)	16% (74) 15% (70)	9% (31) 11% (37)	15% (18) 13% (16)	12% (21)
		11% (218)	8% (12) 15% (23) 15% (23)	15% (37) 11% (28) 10% (24) 20% (49)	14% (73) 7% (40)	8% (37) 8% (37)	9% (31) 13% (44)	6% (7)	15% (26) 13% (23) 15% (25)
	9	11% (232) 8% (161)	5% (7)	9% (22)	7% (40) 7% (38) 3% (14)	5% (24)	12% (42)	11% (13) 10% (12)	9% (16)
	10	5% (110) 5% (96)	8% (12) 6% (9)	5% (13) 4% (10)	3% (14) 4% (21)	4% (19) 6% (25)	9% (30) 6% (22)	5% (6) 3% (4)	9% (16) 3% (5)
	12	3% (51) 1% (27)	7% (11) 1% (1)	2% (6) 1% (2)	2% (9) 1% (5)	1% (3) 1% (3)	4% (15) 3% (10)	3% (4) 1% (1)	2% (3) 3% (5)
	14 15	1% (18) 0% (7)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (2)	1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (5) 0% (0)	2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
_	17	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.47	7.16	6.78	5.92	5.96	7.30	6.28	6.94
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	7	2	1	0	1	1	0	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)			· 		·			
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	150	2	19	26	29	52	6	16
	Known Unsheltered	212	20	58	2	34	73	3	22
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
-1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	455	15	70	60	98	131	52	29
.I	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	111	6	52	40	4	0	6	3
	Youth at Time of Assessment	205	16	56	 51	26	21	15	19
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	203	10	30	31	20	21	10	13
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ne nast 30 davs							
	Newly Added		10	22	38	16	24	10	26
L	Clients who have never been active before	154	18		აი	10	Z4	10	26
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	46	6	16	6	0	3	6	9
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	200	24	38	44	16	27	16	35
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.						
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	49	4	21	6	3	10	2	3
_	Housed - PSH	34	0	6	15	3	7	1	2
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH								
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	42	0	13	10	6	11	1	1
R	Housed - All Other	36	1	19	3	0	9	3	1
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	161	5	59	34	12	37	7	7
,	Inactive - Unable to Contact	27	1	6	9	0	7	1	3
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		l	U	J		I	I	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
, .	Inactive - Deceased	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other				•	· 			
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	35	2	7	10	1	7	4	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	196	7	66	44	13	44	11	11
Z	NET INFLOW	4	17	-28	0	3	-17	5	24 Page 2

	All Youth	24	2 / 1			Greater	Greater New		N. d.
	Percentage of S	Statewide tatowide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		All Youth	8%	29%	24%	12%	10%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	183	15	53	44	22	18	13	17
С	Median Days Active	63	49	110	58	49	34	83	35
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
ט		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 14% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		6% (11) 16% (29)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 8% (4) 21% (11)	14% (6) 11% (5)	0% (0) 27% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 17% (3)	0% (0) 23% (3)	6% (1) 0% (0)
	5	16% (29)	33% (5) 7% (1)	17% (9) 19% (10)	9% (4)	18% (4) 23% (5)	17% (3)	8% (1)	18% (3)
	7	18% (33) 11% (21)	7% (1)	15% (8)	16% (7) 14% (6)	5% (1)	22% (4) 6% (1)	23% (3) 0% (0)	18% (3) 24% (4)
	9	13% (23) 9% (16)	27% (4) 7% (1)	9% (5) 6% (3)	11% (5) 11% (5)	5% (1) 9% (2)	11% (2) 11% (2)	15% (2) 8% (1)	18% (3) 12% (2)
	10	4% (8) 1% (2)	0% (0) 7% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	5% (2) 2% (1) 5% (2)	9% (2) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)	12% (2) 6% (1) 0% (0)
	12	4% (7) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1)	5% (2)	5% (1)	11% (2)	8% (1)	0% (0)
	14	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	6.34	6.40	5.79	6.45	6.32	7.00	6.46	6.82
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			l in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	bination of circumst	ances.		
r	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۲ ^	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	14	1	4	 1	4	1	0	3
Η.	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	52	3	 8	6	 12	13	6	4
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	4	32	3	0	0	0	0
J *I/	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	11	0	 1	6	2	0	0	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	3	5	10	6	5	1	5
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	1	1	1	0	3	2	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	43	4	6	11	6	8	3	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		n the neet 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	tive on the BNL II	n the past 30 days.	1	2	3	3	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	12	0	 1	 0	0	 0	0 0	 0
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	13	0	 1	 1	6	 1	 1	0 0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		4	I	·		 0	l	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	27	4	3	3	9	7	1	0
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	1	2	3	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	9	2	2	3	0	0	2	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	36 7	-2	5	6	<u>9</u> -3	7	3	<u> </u>
Z	NET INFLOW	1	-2	1	5	<i>-</i> J	1	0	5 Page 3

	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Factorn	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	Fairfield	пагиоги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α		on-Youth	7%	11%	27%	24%	17%	6%	8%
В	Active on BNL	1,838	136	195	490	432	321	110	154
С	Median Days Active	139	156	85	140	182	133	115	90
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
ט	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	2% (31) 6% (102)	0% (0) 7% (9)	2% (4)	3% (14) 7% (32)	2% (10) 6% (26)	4% (14)	1% (1) 11% (12)	3% (5)
	4	8% (155) 12% (226)	4% (5) 12% (16)	6% (12) 11% (21)	11% (54) 14% (67)	10% (45) 16% (67)	6% (20) 8% (27)	9% (10) 11% (12)	6% (9) 10% (16)
		13% (238) 13% (237)	10% (13)	14% (28)	13% (64) 15% (74)	16% (70) 15% (65)	9% (28) 10% (33)	15% (17) 12% (13)	12% (18)
	7	11% (197) 11% (209)	8% (11) 16% (22) 14% (19)	9% (18) 8% (16) 23% (44)	14% (67) 7% (35)	8% (36) 8% (36)	9% (30) 13% (42)	6% (7) 10% (11)	15% (23) 12% (19) 14% (22)
	9	8% (145) 6% (102)	4% (6) 9% (12)	10% (19) 6% (12)	7% (33) 2% (12) 4% (20)	5% (22)	12% (40)	10% (11)	9% (14)
	11	5% (94) 2% (44)	6% (8)	5% (10)	4% (20)	4% (17) 6% (25)	9% (29) 7% (22)	5% (5) 4% (4)	10% (15) 3% (5) 2% (3)
	13	1% (27)	8% (11) 1% (1)	3% (5) 1% (2)	1% (7) 1% (5)	0% (2) 1% (3)	4% (13) 3% (10)	3% (3) 1% (1)	3% (5)
	15	1% (18) 0% (7)	1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3) 0% (2)	1% (5) 1% (3)	2% (5) 0% (0)	2% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.48	0% (0) 7.24	1% (1) 7.05	0% (0) 5.88	0% (0) 5.94	0% (0) 7.32	0% (0) 6.25	0% (0) 6.95
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)						
ļ	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	inces.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	7	2	1	0	1	1	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified)	150	2	19	26	29	52	6	16
	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	198	19	54	1	30	72	3	19
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	403	12	62	 54	 86	 118	46	25
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	72	2	20	37	4	0	6	3
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	1	3	7	4	3	2	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	ne past 30 days.							
,	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	119	15	17	28	10	19	9	21
М	Returned from Inactive	38	5	15	5	0	0	4	9
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	157	20	32	33	10	19	13	30
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays					-		
ļ	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	37	1	20	4	0	7	2	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	33	0	5	15	3	7	1	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	29	0	12	9	0	7	0	1
	Housed - All Other	35	0	19	3	0	9	3	1
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	134	1	56	31	3	30	6	7
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	21	0	4	6	0	7	1	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	26	0	5	7	1	7	2	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	160	11	61	38	4	37	8	11
Z	NET INFLOW	-3	19	-29	-5	6	-18	5	19

	All Families	Statewide	Control	Footown	Fairfield	Greater	Greater New	NANA/A/	Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern		Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	_	Families	7%	16%	30%	17%	13%	6%	11%
В	Active on BNL	289	21	47	88	48	37	17	31
С	Median Days Active	110	77	162	118	100	97	113	61
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 2% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	6% (2)
	3	6% (18) 11% (31)	10% (2) 24% (5)	2% (1) 11% (5)	7% (6) 11% (10)	8% (4) 13% (6)	8% (3) 8% (3)	6% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 6% (2)
	5	7% (21) 16% (47)	10% (2) 10% (2)	9% (4)	5% (4) 20% (18)	10% (5)	3% (1) 11% (4)	24% (4) 12% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1)
	7	14% (40) 11% (31)	10% (2) 10% (2)	19% (9) 19% (9) 15% (7)	20% (18)	23% (11) 6% (3) 6% (3)	8% (3) 11% (4)	12% (2) 6% (1)	10% (3) 19% (6)
	9	11% (31)	5% (1) 14% (3)	9% (4)	20% (18) 9% (8) 9% (8) 3% (3)	13% (6)	19% (7)	12% (2)	10% (3)
	11	9% (25) 6% (17)	5% (1)	4% (2) 4% (2)	5% (4)	6% (3) 8% (4)	16% (6) 5% (2)	0% (0) 18% (3)	26% (8) 3% (1)
	12	3% (10) 1% (4)	5% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (5) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (2) 3% (1)
	14 - 15 -	1% (3) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (1)	0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
2	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.21 active rec	6.67 ords)	7.49	6.97	6.83	7.51	7.29	8.06
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	3		0	0		2	^	4
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness		0	0	0	0	Z	0	
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	80	2	8	11	26	17	13	3
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	43	1	32	10	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	52	1	28	9	3	4	3	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	36	6	6	8	5	5	2	4
М	Returned from Inactive	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	7	6	9	5	5	2	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	1	0	0	0	2	1	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	9	0	1	4	3	1	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
	Housed - All Other	6	0	4	0	0	1	1	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	24	1	6	6	3	5	2	1
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	0	3	0	0	3	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	 0	0 0	0
W X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	3	0	0	3	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	30	1	9	6	3	<u></u>	2	1
Z	NET INFLOW	9	6	-3	3	2	-3	0	4
									Page 5

All I all all all					Greater	Greater New		ct.gov with questions
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Percentage of S	tatewide			26%	23%	470/		
A All Inc	dividuals	8%	12%	2070	25 /0	17%	6%	8%
Active on BNL	1,732	130	201	446	406	302	106	140
c Median Days Active	138	164	83	140	187	138	105	84
Assessment Score Distribution (amon Distribution fall active records having each assessment score		records)						
0	0% (3) 2% (30)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)
2	6% (99)	8% (10)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (13) 7% (32)	2% (10) 6% (25)	1% (4) 4% (13)	1% (1) 11% (12)	0% (0) 2% (3)
4	9% (148) 13% (224)	2% (3) 9% (12)	7% (15) 13% (27)	12% (54) 14% (62)	10% (41) 17% (67)	6% (17) 9% (27)	8% (9) 14% (15)	6% (9) 10% (14)
6	14% (246) 13% (223)	12% (16) 8% (10)	16% (33) 9% (19) 7% (15)	14% (64) 14% (63) 12% (55)	17% (69) 15% (59)	10% (30) 11% (33)	13% (14) 13% (14)	14% (20) 18% (25) 14% (20)
	10% (178) 12% (201)	16% (21)	21% (42)	12% (55) 7% (32)	8% (34) 8% (34)	9% (28) 13% (40)	5% (5) 11% (12)	14% (20) 14% (19)
9	8% (130) 5% (85)	16% (21) 5% (6) 7% (9)	9% (18) 5% (11)	7% (32) 7% (30) 2% (11)	4% (18) 4% (16)	12% (35) 8% (24)	9% (10) 6% (6)	14% (19) 9% (13) 6% (8)
11	5% (79) 2% (41)	6% (8) 8% (10)	4% (8) 2% (5)	4% (17) 1% (4)	5% (21) 0% (2)	7% (20) 5% (15)	1% (1) 4% (4)	6% (8) 3% (4) 1% (1)
13	1% (23) 1% (15)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (4) 1% (3)	1% (3) 1% (4)	3% (9) 1% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (4) 0% (0)
15	0% (5) 0% (1)	2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (4) 1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
17	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	6.34	0% (0) 7.24	0% (0) 6.62	0% (0) 5.72	0% (0) 5.85	0% (0) 7.28	0% (0) 6.11	0% (0) 6.69
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows don	ending on their comb	hination of circumst	ances		
Refuses CAN Assistance	T and the		ar mulupie rows dep			4	^	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	/	2		0	1 	1	0	2
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	147	2	19	26	29	50	6	15
Known Unsheltered	210	20	58	1	34	73	3	21
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	375	13	62	49	72	114	39	26
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	68	5	20	30	4	0	6	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	153	15	28	42	23	17	12	 15
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	100	10	20	72	20	''	12	10
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
Newly Added	118	12	16	30	11	19	8	22
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	43	5	16	5	0	3	6	8
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	161	17	32	35	11	22	14	30
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days						
Housed - Self-Resolved	44	3	21	6	3	8	1	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	25	0	5	11	0	6	1	2
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days with RRH	38	0	12	8	6	10	1	1
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	30	1	 15	3	Λ	 8	2	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		1			0			1
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	137	4	53	28	9	32	5	6
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	21	1	3	9	0	4	1	3
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days in an institution	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	2	^	^	1	 1	0	0	^
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		0	0	l 	I	U 	U 	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	29	2	4	10	1	4	4	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	166	6	57	38	10	36	9	10
z NET INFLOW	-5	11	-25	-3	1	-14	5	20

	Families (Non-Youth)					Greater	Greater New		
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		8%	8%	33%	19%	14%	6%	12%
Α	Families (No								
В	Active on BNL	241 97	20 69	19	80	45	34 101	15 113	28 72
С	Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (am			109	118	103	101	113	12
	Count of all active records having each assessment score	_	•						
	1	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	2% (6) 7% (17)	0% (0) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	2% (1)	3% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	7% (2) 4% (1)
	4	8% (20) 7% (18)	25% (5)	0% (0) 5% (1)	8% (6) 10% (8) 5% (4)	9% (4) 7% (3) 11% (5)	9% (3) 6% (2)	0% (0) 27% (4)	7% (2)
	6	15% (35) 13% (31)	10% (2) 10% (2) 10% (2)	5% (1)	21% (17) 20% (16)	24% (11) 7% (3)	3% (1) 6% (2) 9% (3)	7% (1) 13% (2)	4% (1) 4% (1)
	8	11% (26) 12% (28)	5% (1)	16% (3) 26% (5)	9% (7)	7% (3)	12% (4)	7% (1)	7% (2) 18% (5)
	10	10% (23) 7% (17)	5% (1) 15% (3)	11% (2) 5% (1)	10% (8) 4% (3)	13% (6) 7% (3)	21% (7) 18% (6)	7% (1) 0% (0)	11% (3) 25% (7)
	12	3% (8)	5% (1) 5% (1)	11% (2) 5% (1)	5% (4) 4% (3)	9% (4) 2% (1) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0) 3% (1)	20% (3) 0% (0)	4% (1) 7% (2)
	14	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)
	15 <mark> </mark>	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	7.37	6.60	9.47	6.91	7.02	7.71	7.27	8.04
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	3							4
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Matched/Awarded	73	2	8	10	24	15	11	3
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	15	1 	5 	9	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	4	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	31	6	5	7	4	4	2	3
	Returned from Inactive	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	34	7	5	8	4	4	2	4
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		•		
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
_	Housed - PSH	8	0	0	4	3	1	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH				· 		· 		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	1 	2	0	1 	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	0	4	0	0	1	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	22	1	5	6	3	4	2	1
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	0	3	0	0	3	0	0
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	^		Λ	0	0	Λ	Λ
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		0	0	0		U	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
vv X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	3	0	0	3	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	28	1_	8	6	3	7	2	1
Z	NET INFLOW	6	6	-3	2	1	-3	0	3

	Families (Youth)	Ctatamida	Control	Fastava	Fatabala	Greater	Greater New	AAAANA/	Nouthwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide Statewide	Central	Eastern 58%	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
٨	•	s (Youth)	2%		17%	6%	6%	4%	6%
В	Active on BNL	48	1	28	8	3	3	2	3
С	Median Days Active	162	160	207	123	63	41	102	51
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	2% (1) 23% (11)	0% (0)	4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	5	6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (5) 11% (3)	25% (2) 0% (0) 13% (1)	100% (3) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0) 67% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	7	25% (12) 19% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (8) 21% (6)	13% (1) 25% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)
	9	10% (5) 6% (3)	100% (1)	7% (2) 7% (2)	25% (2) 13% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 50% (1)	33% (1) 33% (1) 0% (0)
	10	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)
	12	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	25% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.42	8.00	6.14	7.50	4.00	5.33	7.50	8.33
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	7	0	0	 1	2	2	2	0
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	0	 27	 1	 0	 0	 0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	4	0	1	 2	0 0	0	0	1
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	•	-	·	_	•	•	-	
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
1	Newly Added	5	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
٦	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		•						· ·
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	5 ave	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 0	0	 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact			0	•	-	^	-	-
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Z	NET INFLOW	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1 Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Control	Footown	Fairfield	Greater	Greater New		Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	Individuals		10%	19%	27%	14%	11%	8%	10%
В	Active on BNL	135	14	25	36	19	15	11	14
С	Median Days Active	48	45	67	47	43	33	83	34
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
ט	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 17% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		7% (10) 13% (18)	0% (0) 7% (1)	12% (3) 24% (6)	17% (6) 8% (3)	0% (0) 16% (3)	0% (0) 13% (2)	0% (0) 27% (3)	7% (1) 0% (0)
		19% (26) 16% (21)	36% (5) 7% (1)	24% (6) 8% (2)	8% (3) 11% (4) 17% (6)	21% (4) 26% (5)	20% (3) 13% (2)	9% (1) 18% (2)	21% (3) 21% (3)
	7	9% (12) 13% (18)	7% (1)	8% (2) 12% (3)	11% (4)	5% (1) 5% (1)	7% (1) 13% (2)	0% (0) 18% (2)	21% (3)
	9	10% (13) 4% (6)	21% (3) 7% (1) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	11% (4) 14% (5) 6% (2) 3% (1)	11% (2) 11% (2)	13% (2) 7% (1)	0% (0) 9% (1)	14% (2) 14% (2) 0% (0)
	11	1% (2) 4% (5)	7% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1)	3% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 13% (2)	0% (0) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	13	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.31	0% (0) 6.29	0% (0) 5.40	0% (0) 6.22	0% (0) 6.68	0% (0) 7.33	0% (0) 6.27	0% (0) 6.50
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their coml	bination of circumst	ances.		
إ	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0 0	0	0	 0	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	 14	 1	4	 1	4	 1	<u>-</u> 0	3
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	45	3	 8	 5	 10	<u>'</u> 11	4	 4
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	 11	4	5 5		0	 0	0	 0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	 7							
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		0	0	4	2	0	0	1
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	30	3	4	9	5	4	1	4
M	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	1	1	1	0	3	2	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	4	5	10	5	7	3	4
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the neet 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	11	3	1	2	3	2	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	0	0 	0 	0	0 	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	13	0	1 	1 	6	4	1	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	25	4	2	3	9	6	1	0
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	1	2	3	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	9	2	2	3	0	0	2	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	34 4	-2	4	6	<u>9</u> -4	6	<u>3</u> 0	0 4
Z	NEI INFLOW	4	-2	1	4	-4	7	U	Page 9

Individuals (Non Youth)					Greater	Greater New		cc.gov with questions
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Percentage of S		7%	11%	26%	24%	18%	69/	8%
A Individuals (No				440	007		6%	
Active on BNL Median Days Active	1,597 147	116 172	176 84	410 145	387 197	287 138	95 116	126 94
Assessment Score Distribution (am			04	145	191	130	110	34
Count of all active records having each assessment score								
1	0% (3) 2% (29)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 3% (13)	0% (0) 3% (10)	1% (2) 1% (4)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6% (96) 9% (138)	8% (9) 3% (3)	2% (4) 7% (12)	8% (31) 12% (48)	6% (25) 11% (41)	5% (13) 6% (17)	12% (11) 9% (9)	2% (3) 6% (8)
5	13% (206) 14% (220)	9% (11) 9% (11) 8% (9)	12% (21) 15% (27)	14% (59) 15% (60)	17% (64) 17% (65)	9% (25) 9% (27)	13% (12) 14% (13)	11% (14) 13% (17)
	13% (202) 10% (166)	8% (9) 17% (20)	10% (17)	14% (57)	14% (54) 9% (33) 9% (33)	11% (31) 9% (27)	13% (12) 5% (5)	17% (22) 13% (17)
	11% (183) 7% (117)	16% (18)	7% (13) 22% (39) 10% (17)	12% (51) 7% (28) 6% (25)	9% (33) 4% (16)	13% (38) 11% (33)	11% (10) 11% (10)	13% (17) 9% (11)
	5% (79) 5% (77)	4% (5) 8% (9) 6% (7)	6% (11) 5% (8)	6% (25) 2% (9) 4% (16)	4% (16) 4% (14) 5% (21)	8% (23) 7% (20)	5% (5) 1% (1)	6% (8) 3% (4)
12	2% (36) 1% (23)	9% (10) 1% (1)	2% (4) 1% (1)	4% (16) 1% (4) 1% (4)	0% (1) 1% (3)	5% (13)	3% (3) 1% (1)	1% (1)
14	1% (15) 0% (5)	2% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (3) 1% (4) 1% (3)	3% (9) 1% (4) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0)
16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.35 active rec	7.35 ords)	6.79	5.67	5.81	7.28	6.09	6.71
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	bination of circumsta	nces.		
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	7	2	1	0	1	1	0	2
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	147	2	 19	26	29	50	6	15
Known Unsheltered	196	19	54	0	30	72	3	18
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	330	10	54	44	62	103	35	 22
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	57	1	 15	28	4	0	6	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	18	1	3	6	4	2	1	1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	10	'			<u> </u>		'	'
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	88	9	12	21	6	15	7	18
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	35	4	15	4	0	0	4	8
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	123	13	27	25	6	15	11	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		- #						
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved			22		^			
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	33	0	20	4	0	6 	1	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	25	0	5	11	0	6	1	2
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	25	0	11	7	0	6	0	1
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	29	0	15	3	0	8	2	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	112	0	51	25	0	26	4	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	15	0	1	6	0	4	1	3
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	20	0	2	7	1	4	2	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	132	0	53	32	1	30	6	10
z NET INFLOW	-9	13	-26	-7	5	-15	5	16

ı	7/14/2020111 BNL Repoli	AII	AH	AII	AII	AII	Familias			Judiciel designs
	Statewide BNL	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Poros		Toutif	91%	1 annies	86%	(14011-1 Outil)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	79%
		entage of	9%	0.70	14%	3373	12%	2%	7%	
Α		vide BNL		4 000		4.500				4 -0-
В	Active on BNL	2,021	183	1,838	289	1,732	241	48	135	1,597
С	Median Days Active	133	63	139	110	138	97	162	48	147
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)							
	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (3)
		2% (32) 5% (105)	1% (1) 2% (3)	2% (31) 6% (102)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (6)	2% (30) 6% (99) 9% (148)	1% (2) 2% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (1) 2% (3) 7% (10)	0% (3) 2% (29) 6% (96)
	3	8% (166) 13% (255)	6% (11) 16% (29)	8% (155) 12% (226)	6% (18) 11% (31)	9% (148) 13% (224)	7% (17) 8% (20)	2% (1) 23% (11)	7% (10) 13% (18)	9% (138) 13% (206)
	5	13% (267)	16% (29) 18% (33)	13% (238) 13% (237)	7% (21) 16% (47)	14% (246) 13% (223)	7% (18)	23% (11) 6% (3)	19% (26) 16% (21)	14% (220) 13% (202)
	7	13% (270) 11% (218)	11% (21) 13% (23)	11% (197)	14% (40) 11% (31)	10% (178)	13% (31)	25% (12) 19% (9)	9% (12)	10% (166)
	9	11% (232) 8% (161)	13% (23) 9% (16) 4% (8)	11% (209) 8% (145)	11% (31) 11% (31)	12% (201) 8% (130)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (6) 7% (17) 8% (20) 7% (18) 15% (35) 13% (31) 11% (26) 12% (28) 10% (23) 7% (17) 3% (8)	19% (9) 10% (5) 6% (3) 4% (2) 0% (0) 4% (2)	13% (18) 10% (13)	10% (166) 11% (183) 7% (117) 5% (79)
		5% (110) 5% (96)	4% (8) 1% (2)	6% (102) 5% (94)	11% (31) 9% (25) 6% (17) 3% (10)	5% (85) 5% (79)	10% (23) 7% (17)	4% (2) 0% (0)	4% (6) 1% (2)	5% (79) 5% (77)
	12	3% (51) 1% (27)	1% (2) 4% (7)	8% (145) 6% (102) 5% (94) 2% (44) 1% (27) 1% (18)	3% (10)	10% (178) 10% (201) 8% (130) 5% (85) 5% (79) 2% (41) 1% (23)	3% (8)	4% (2)	10% (13) 4% (6) 1% (2) 4% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (36)
	14	1% (18)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (18)	1% (4) 1% (3)	1% (15)	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (23)
	16	0% (7) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (7) 0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (77) 2% (36) 1% (23) 1% (15) 0% (5) 0% (1) 0% (1)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.47	6.34	6.48	7.21	6.34	7.37	6.42	6.31	6.35
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		U	Ι	U	1		·····	U	
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	150	0	150	3	147	3	0	0	147
	Known Unsheltered	212	14	198	2	210	2	0	 14	196
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	Z I Z	14	130	۷	210			14	130
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	455	52	403	80	375	73	7	45	330
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	111	39	72	43	68	15	28	11	57
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	205	183	22	52	153	4	48	135	18
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	154	35	119	36	118	31	5	30	88
	Returned from Inactive	46	8	38	3	43	3	0	8	35
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			157		161	34	5	38	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	200 ave	43	197	39	101	34	Ü	30	123
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	49	12	37	5	44	4	1	11	33
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	34	1	33	9	25	8	1	0	25
^	Housed - RRH	42	13	29	4	38	4	0	13	25
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	36	1	35	6	30	6	0	<u> </u>	29
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	161	27	134	24	137	22	2	25	112
Ţ	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	27	6	21	6	21	6	0	6	15
Ė	Inactive - In an Institution	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Inactive - All Other	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons				_		_			
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	35 196	9 36	26 160	6 30	29	6 28	<u>0</u>	9 34	20
Y 7	NET INFLOW	196 4	7	-3	9	166 -5	28 6	3	34 4	132 -9
۷	ALI INI LOW	7	,	-0	J	-0	U	J	7	-9 Page 11

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	
	Perce	entage of	routii	90%	raillilles	86%	(NOH-TOUTH)	(Touil)	(Toutil)	77%
Α		tral CAN	10%		14%		13%	1%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	151	15	136	21	130	20	1	14	116
С	Median Days Active	141	49	156	77	164	69	160	45	172
D	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)							
	0	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	7% (10) 3% (5)	7% (1) 0% (0)	7% (9) 4% (5)	0% (0)	8% (10) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0) 7% (1)	8% (9) 3% (3)
	4	11% (17) 12% (18)	7% (1) 33% (5)	12% (16)	24% (5) 10% (2)	9% (12) 12% (16)	25% (5) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 36% (5)	9% (11) 9% (11)
	6	8% (12) 15% (23)	7% (1) 7% (1)	10% (13) 8% (11) 16% (22)	10% (2) 10% (2)	8% (10) 16% (21)	25% (5) 10% (2) 10% (2) 10% (2) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	36% (5) 7% (1) 7% (1)	9% (11) 9% (11) 8% (9) 17% (20)
	8	15% (23) 5% (7)	27% (4) 7% (1)	14% (19) 4% (6) 9% (12)	10% (2) 24% (5) 10% (2) 10% (2) 10% (2) 10% (2) 5% (1)	16% (21) 5% (6) 7% (9)	5% (1) 5% (1)	100% (1)	21% (3)	16% (18)
	10	8% (12) 6% (9)	0% (0) 7% (1)	6% (8)	14% (3)	7% (9) 6% (8)	5% (1) 15% (3) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0) 7% (1)	4% (5) 8% (9) 6% (7)
	13	7% (11) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (11) 1% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (8) 8% (10) 1% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (10)
	14 	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2) 0% (0)
	17	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 7% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.16	0% (0) 6.40	0% (0) 7.24	0% (0) 6.67	0% (0) 7.24	0% (0) 6.60	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 6.29	0% (0) 7.35
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	20	1	19	0	20	0	0	 1	19
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	15	3	12	2	13	2	0	3	10
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	4	2	1	 5	1	0	4	1
ĸ	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	15	1	1	15	0	1	14	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	o poet 20 dovo								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	18	3	15	6	12	6	0	3	9
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	 1	4
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	24	4	20	7	17	7	0	4	13
N	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		*	20	,	11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	U	4	13
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	rs.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	3	1	1	3	1	0	3	0
P	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
r Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q R	Housed - All Other	1	1	0	0	 1	0	0	 1	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	5	4	1	1	4	1	0	4	0
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
T U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Y -	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	6	1 10	1	6	1	0	6	0
Z	NET INFLOW	17	-2	19	6	11	6	0	-2	13

	.,,								au.anderson@ci.	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		79%		81%	,	, ,	,	71%
		ern CAN	21%		19%		8%	11%	10%	
A	Active on BNL	248	53	195	47	201	19	28	25	176
В		97	110	85	162	83	109	207	67	84
С	Median Days Active			00	102	03	109	207	01	04
n	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)							
_	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		1% (2) 2% (4)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (2) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (4) 7% (12)
		6% (16) 13% (32)	8% (4) 21% (11)	6% (12) 11% (21)	2% (1) 11% (5)	2% (4) 7% (15) 13% (27)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 18% (5)	12% (3) 24% (6)	7% (12) 12% (21)
	5	15% (37)	17% (9) 19% (10)	14% (28)	9% (4)	13% (27) 16% (33)	5% (1)	11% (3)	24% (6)	15% (27)
		11% (28) 10% (24)	19% (10) 15% (8) 9% (5)	14% (28) 9% (18) 8% (16)	19% (9) 19% (9)	9% (19) 7% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 5% (1) 16% (3) 26% (5)	29% (8) 21% (6)	8% (2) 8% (2)	10% (17) 7% (13)
		20% (49) 9% (22)	9% (5) 6% (3)	23% (44) 10% (19)	11% (5) 9% (4) 19% (9) 19% (9) 15% (7) 9% (4) 4% (2) 4% (2) 2% (1)	21% (42)	26% (5) 11% (2)	7% (2) 7% (2)	12% (3)	7% (13) 22% (39) 10% (17)
	10	5% (13)	2% (1)	6% (12)	4% (2)	9% (18) 5% (11)	11% (2) 5% (1)	4% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	6% (11)
	12	4% (10) 2% (6)	0% (0) 2% (1)	5% (10) 3% (5)	4% (2) 2% (1)	4% (8) 2% (5)	11% (2) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	5% (8) 2% (4) 1% (1) 1% (1)
		1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	15	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (1)	2% (9) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.78	0% (0) 5.79	1% (1) 7.05	2% (1) 7.49	0% (0) 6.62	5% (1) 9.47	0% (0) 6.14	0% (0) 5.40	0% (0) 6.79
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)							
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		1					_	_	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1 	0	1 	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	19	0	19	0	19	0	0	0	19
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	58	4	54	0	58	0	0	4	54
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	70	8	62	8	62	8	0	8	54
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	52	32	20	32	20	5	27	5	15
ĸ	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	56	53	3	28	28	0	28	25	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	Τ		Τ					
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	22	5	17	6	16	5	1	4	12
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	16	1	15	0	16	0	0	1	15
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	6	32	6	32	5	1	5	27
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ıys								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
С	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	21	1	20	0	21	0	0	1	20
J	Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH				·	<i>-</i>			^	
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	6	1	5	1 	5	0	1	0	5
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	13	1	12	1	12	1 	0	1	11
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	19	0	19	4	15	4	0	0	15
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	59	3	56	6	53	5	1	2	51
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	2	4	3	3	3	0	2	1
J	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	3	4	3	0	2	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	66	5	61	9	57	8	1	4	53
Z	NET INFLOW	-28	1	-29	-3	-25	-3	0	1	-26
								-		Page 13

	7/14/2020111 BIVE REPORT	All	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias		Individuals	
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
ı	Porce	entage of	- routil	92%	1-4/111105	84%	(.ton routh)	_ (10atil)		77%
	Fairfield Cou	•	8%		16%		15%	1%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	534	44	490	88	446	80	8	36	410
С	Median Days Active	134	58	140	118	140	118	123	47	145
- 1	Assessment Score Distribution (am			110	110	110	110	120	.,,	110
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	1	0% (1) 3% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (1) 3% (14)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 3% (13) 7% (32)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 3% (13)
	2	6% (33) 11% (60)	2% (1) 14% (6)	7% (32) 11% (54)	1% (1) 7% (6)	7% (32) 12% (54)	1% (1) 8% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 17% (6)	8% (31) 12% (48)
	4	13% (72) 13% (68)	11% (5)	14% (67) 13% (64)	11% (10) 5% (4)	12% (54) 14% (62) 14% (64)	10% (8) 5% (4)	25% (2)	8% (3) 11% (4)	14% (59) 15% (60)
		15% (81) 14% (73)	9% (4) 16% (7) 14% (6)	15% (74)	20% (18)	1/10/, (62)	21% (17)	13% (1)	17% (6) 11% (4)	14% (57)
		7% (40)	11% (5)	14% (67) 7% (35) 7% (33) 2% (12)	20% (18) 9% (8)	7% (32)	9% (7)	13% (1)	11% (4)	14% (57) 12% (51) 7% (28) 6% (25) 2% (9)
	10	7% (38) 3% (14)	11% (5) 5% (2)	7% (33) 2% (12)	9% (8) 3% (3)	7% (30) 2% (11)	10% (8) 4% (3)	0% (0)	14% (5) 6% (2)	6% (25) 2% (9)
	11 12	4% (21) 2% (9)	2% (1) 5% (2)	4% (20) 1% (7)	5% (4) 6% (5)	14% (05) 12% (55) 7% (32) 7% (30) 2% (11) 4% (17) 1% (4)	20% (16) 9% (7) 10% (8) 4% (3) 5% (4) 4% (3)	0% (0) 25% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0)	4% (16) 1% (4)
	13 14	1% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (2) 0% (0) 13% (1) 25% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)
	15	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	5.92	6.45	5.88	6.97	5.72	6.91	7.50	6.22	5.67
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances			
ļ	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		U	U 		U 		U	U 	U
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	26	0	26	0	26	0	0	0	26
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	60	6	54	11	49	10	1	5	44
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	3	37	10	30	9	1	2	28
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	51	44	7	9	42	1	8	36	6
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 davs								
	Newly Added	38	10	28	8	30	7	1	9	21
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	6	1	5	 1	5	' 1	<u>'</u> 0	 1	4
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL		·	33	-					-
N	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	44 ave	11	33	9	35	8	1	10	25
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	15	0	15	4	11	4	0	0	11
	Housed - RRH	10	1	9	2	8	2	0	1	7
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	34	3	31	6	28	6	0	3	25
ĺ	Inactive - Unable to Contact	9	3	6	0	9	0	0	3	6
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	 0								
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	10	3	7	0	10	0	0	3	7
X Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	44	6	38	6	38	6	0	6	32
ź	NET INFLOW	0	5	-5	3	-3	2	1	4	-7
-1	2011			ū			_	•	•	Page 14

ı	7/14/2020111 BIVE REPORT	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias	Families	ladividuale	
	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	95%	T CHITTIES	89%	(Mon Todan)	(10441)	(10041)	85 %
٨	Greater Hartt	•	5%		11%		10%	1%	4%	
В	Active on BNL	454	22	432	48	406	45	3	19	387
С	Median Days Active	174	49	182	100	187	103	63	43	197
	Assessment Score Distribution (am									
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	1	0% (0) 2% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (10) 6% (26) 10% (45)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (10) 6% (25)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (10)
	3	6% (26) 10% (45)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (26) 10% (45)	2% (1) 8% (4)	10% (41)	2% (1) 9% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (25) 11% (41)
	4	16% (73) 16% (74)	27% (6) 18% (4)	16% (6/)	13% (6) 10% (5)	17% (67)	7% (3) 11% (5)	100% (3) 0% (0)	16% (3) 21% (4)	17% (64) 17% (65)
	6	15% (70) 8% (37)	23% (5) 5% (1)	16% (70) 15% (65) 8% (36)	23% (11)	17% (69) 15% (59) 8% (34) 8% (34)	24% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	26% (5)	14% (54)
	8	8% (37)	5% (1)	8% (36)	13% (6) 10% (5) 23% (11) 6% (3) 6% (3) 13% (6) 6% (3)	8% (34)	7% (3)	0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)	9% (33) 9% (33) 4% (16) 4% (14)
	10	5% (24) 4% (19)	9% (2) 9% (2)	5% (22) 4% (17)	6% (3)	4% (18) 4% (16)	7% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 11% (2)	4% (16) 4% (14)
	12	6% (25) 1% (3)	0% (0) 5% (1)	8% (36) 8% (36) 5% (22) 4% (17) 6% (25) 0% (2)	2% (1)	5% (21) 0% (2) 1% (3) 1% (4)	9% (4) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	5% (21) 0% (1)
	13 14 	1% (3) 1% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (5)	0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (3) 1% (4)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (4)
	15	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 9% (1) 9% (4) 7% (3) 11% (5) 24% (11) 7% (3) 7% (3) 7% (3) 13% (6) 7% (3) 9% (4) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	5.96	6.32	5.94	6.83	5.85	7.02	4.00	6.68	5.81
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	nted in multiple rough	denending on th	eir combination of	circumetances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance							^	^	4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	11	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	29	0	29	0	29	0	0	0	29
Ŭ	Known Unsheltered	34	4	30	0	34	0	0	4	30
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		4	30			U	0		30
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	98	12	86	26	72	24	2	10	62
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	26	22	4	3	23	0	3	19	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	16	6	10	5	11	4	1	5	6
	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	16	6	10	5	11	4	1	5	6
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		<u> </u>	10	J	11	7		J	U
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	ys.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	3	0	3	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	6	0	0	6	0	0	6	0
٧	Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other									-
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	12	9	3	3	9	3	0	9	0
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
,,	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	13	9	4	3	10	3	0	9	1
Z	NET INFLOW	3	-3	6	2	1	1	1	-4	5
										Page 15

ı	7/14/2020111 BIVE REPORT	AII	AH	AH	AII	AII	Familias		du.anderson@ct.g	
	Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	95%	T CHITTIES	89%	(11011 1 0011)	(Touth)	(Touth)	85%
٨	Greater New Ha	•	5%		11%		10%	1%	4%	
В	Active on BNL	339	18	321	37	302	34	3	15	287
С	Median Days Active	127	34	133	97	138	101	41	33	138
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			.00	<u> </u>			•••		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		•							
		1% (2) 1% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (2) 1% (4)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (4)
		4% (14) 6% (20)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (14) 6% (20)	3% (1) 8% (3)	4% (13) 6% (17)	3% (1) 9% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (13) 6% (17)
		9% (30) 9% (31)	17% (3)	8% (27) 9% (28) 10% (33)	8% (3) 3% (1)	9% (27) 10% (30) 11% (33)	6% (2) 3% (1)	33% (1) 0% (0)	13% (2) 20% (3)	9% (25) 9% (27)
	6	11% (37) 9% (31)	17% (3) 22% (4) 6% (1)	10% (33)	11% (4) 8% (3)	11% (33)	6% (2)	67% (2) 0% (0)	13% (2) 7% (1)	11% (31)
	8	13% (44)	11% (2) 11% (2)	9% (30) 13% (42)	11% (4)	9% (28) 13% (40) 12% (35) 8% (24)	0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 9% (3) 6% (2) 3% (1) 6% (2) 9% (3) 12% (4) 21% (7)	0% (0)	13% (2)	9% (27) 13% (38)
	10	12% (42) 9% (30)	6% (1)	9% (29)	19% (7) 16% (6)	8% (24)	18% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (2) 7% (1)	11% (33) 8% (23)
	12	6% (22) 4% (15)	0% (0) 11% (2)	12% (40) 9% (29) 7% (22) 4% (13) 3% (10) 2% (5)	5% (2) 0% (0)	7% (20) 5% (15)	6% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (2)	7% (20) 5% (13)
		3% (10) 1% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (10) 2% (5)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (9) 1% (4)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (9) 1% (4)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (1)	6% (2) 6% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.30	7.00	7.32	7.51	7.28	7.71	5.33	7.33	7.28
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	I	U	l 		I	U	U	U	I
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	52	0	52	2	50	2	0	0	50
	Known Unsheltered	73	1	72	0	73	0	0	1	72
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded		·							
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	131	13	118	17	114	15	2	11	103
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	04	40	2	4	47	4	2	۸۲	0
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	21	18	3	4	17	1	3	15	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a nact 30 days								
	Newly Added		-	40	_	40	4	4	4	45
L	Clients who have never been active before	24	5	19	5	19 	4		4 	15
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	27	8	19	5	22	4	1	7	15
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	3	7	2	8	1	1	2	6
	Housed - PSH	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH				·		· 			
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	4	7	1	10	1	0	4	6
R	Housed - All Other	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	37	7	30	5	32	4	1	6	26
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	7	0	7	3	4	3	0	0	4
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact			·		'				
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
, ,	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	7	3	4	3	0	0	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	44	7	37	8	36	7	1	6	30
Z	NET INFLOW	-17	1	-18	-3	-14	-3	0	1	-15

	7/14/2020 111 BNL Repoli	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		89%		86%				77%
Δ		MW CAN	11%		14%		12%	2%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	123	13	110	17	106	15	2	11	95
С	Median Days Active	106	83	115	113	105	113	102	83	116
	Assessment Score Distribution (am									
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	1	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
		11% (13) 8% (10)	8% (1) 0% (0)	11% (12) 9% (10)	6% (1) 6% (1)	11% (12) 8% (9)	7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1) 0% (0)	12% (11) 9% (9)
		12% (15) 15% (18)	23% (3) 8% (1)	11% (12) 15% (17)	6% (1) 6% (1) 0% (0) 24% (4)	14% (15)	0% (0) 27% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	27% (3) 9% (1)	13% (12) 14% (13)
	6	13% (16) 6% (7)	23% (3) 0% (0)	12% (13)	12% (2)	13% (14) 13% (14) 5% (5)	7% (1)	50% (1) 0% (0)	18% (2) 0% (0)	13% (12) 5% (5)
	8	11% (13)	15% (2)	6% (7) 10% (11)	12% (2) 6% (1) 12% (2) 0% (0)	11% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1) 7% (1) 0% (0) 27% (4) 7% (1) 13% (2) 7% (1) 7% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	18% (2)	11% (10)
	10	10% (12) 5% (6)	8% (1) 8% (1)	10% (11) 5% (5)	0% (0)	11% (12) 9% (10) 6% (6)	7% (1) 0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	11% (10) 5% (5)
	12	3% (4) 3% (4)	0% (0) 8% (1)	4% (4) 3% (3)	18% (3) 0% (0)	1% (1) 4% (4)	20% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	1% (1) 3% (3)
		1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 6% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.28	6.46	6.25	7.29	6.11	7.27	7.50	6.27	6.09
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ated in multiple room	danandina on #	pair combination at	circumetaness			
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	^	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
)	Known Unsheltered	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	ى 		J	U	ى 	U	U		ა
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	52	6	46	13	39	11	2	4	35
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	15	13	2	3	12	1	2	11	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.			l					
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	10	1	9	2	8	2	0	1	7
	Returned from Inactive	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	16	3	13	2	14	2	0	3	11
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		ر ع	13		14		U	<u> </u>	11
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	ys.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1 	0	1 	0	1 	0	0	0	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
×	Housed - All Other	3	0	າ	1	າ	1	0	0	₂
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other			3	1	2	1	-	0	2
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	7	1	6	2	5	2	0	1	4
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	·		·						·
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۱۸/	Inactive - All Other	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2
^ Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	3	8	2	9	2	0	3	6
Z	NET INFLOW	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
,		-			ı		-		-	Page 17

	7/14/2020 I II BIVE REPOIL								au.anderson@ci.g	
	Northwest CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of	routii	90%	T GITTITO	82%	(11011 1 oddil)	(Touth)	(Today)	74%
Δ		est CAN	10%		18%		16%	2%	8%	
В	Active on BNL	171	17	154	31	140	28	3	14	126
С	Median Days Active	82	35	90	61	84	72	<u>5</u>	34	94
	Assessment Score Distribution (am				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·- <u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		3% (5) 6% (10)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 3% (5) 6% (9)	6% (2) 3% (1)	0% (0) 2% (3) 6% (9)	7% (2) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)	2% (3) 6% (8)
		9% (16) 12% (21)	0% (0) 18% (3)	10% (16)	6% (2) 3% (1)	10% (14) 14% (20)	7% (2) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	11% (14) 13% (17)
	6	15% (26) 13% (23)	18% (3) 24% (4)	12% (18) 15% (23)	30/. (1)	18% (25) 14% (20)	4% (1) 7% (2)	0% (0)	21% (3) 21% (3) 21% (3) 21% (3)	17% (22) 13% (17)
	8	15% (25)	18% (3) 12% (2)	12% (19) 14% (22) 9% (14) 10% (15)	19% (6)	14% (19)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (2) 4% (1) 7% (2) 4% (1) 4% (1) 7% (2) 18% (5) 11% (3)	33% (1) 33% (1)	14% (2)	13% (17)
	10	9% (16) 9% (16)	6% (1)	10% (15)	26% (8)	6% (8)	25% (7)	0% (0) 33% (1)	14% (2) 0% (0)	9% (11) 6% (8)
	12	3% (5) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (5) 2% (3)	3% (1) 10% (3) 19% (6) 10% (3) 26% (8) 3% (1) 6% (2)	14% (19) 9% (13) 6% (8) 3% (4) 1% (1)	25% (1) 4% (1) 7% (2) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 1% (1)
	13	3% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (5) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	3% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.94	6.82	6.95	8.06	6.69	8.04	8.33	6.50	6.71
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	O	2	, ,	2	0	0	0	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	Z	U 	Z	0	Z	U	U	U	Z
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	16	0	16	1	15	1	0	0	15
	Known Unsheltered	22	3	19	1	21	1	0	3	18
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded						· 			
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	29	4	25	3	26	3	0	4	22
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
·	Youth at Time of Assessment	19	17	2	4	 15	1	3	14	1
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	.0	• • •		•		·		• • •	•
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	26	5	21	4	22	3	1	4	18
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive							·		
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	35	5	30	5	30	4	1	4	26
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the nect 20 do	ve						
	Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,	<u> </u>	4		4	0	^	
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
^	Housed - RRH	1	0	 1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	1	0	 1	0	1	0	0	0	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other			7	-	1				1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	7	0		1	6	1	0	0	6
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	11 24	<u>0</u> 5	11 19	4	10 20	3	<u>0</u> 1	<u>0</u>	10 16
Z	NETINFLOW	24	J	19	4	20	3	<u> </u>	4	7 0 Page 18

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).