Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth	ո)						
238 +7 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			o Housing						
0 68 no change +1 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	31	0	8						
Fairfield County	57	0	13						
Greater Hartford	53	0	18						
Greater New Haven	37	0	10						
MMW	14	0	4						
Northeast	16	0	4						
Southeast	13	0	4						
Waterbury Litchfield	17	0	7						

Active Individuals (Youth)										
Active in	aiviaua	iis (Youth)								
193 -23 from last week										
fu	ll details for A	ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on pg. 9							
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing							
12 32										
no change		+1 from la	ast week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	10	3	4							
Fairfield County	50	1	4							
Greater Hartford	40	0	9							
Greater New Haven	44	0	6							
MMW	15	0	0							
Northeast	8	4	4							
Southeast	8	0	1							
Waterbury Litchfield	18	4	4							

on is below.												
Active I	Active Families (Youth)											
-1 fr	60 -1 from last week											
		r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8									
Known Unsheltered												
0		1	3									
no change		+1 from la	st week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched									
Central	1	0	1									
Fairfield County	12	0	4									
Greater Hartford	8	0	2									
Greater New Haven	8	0	1									
MMW	4	0	0									
Northeast	1	0	1									
Southeast	21	0	1									
Waterbury Litchfield	5	0	3									

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) -121 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing -8 from last week -9 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 129 18 32 Fairfield County 72 426 11 **Greater Hartford** 372 21 47 7 Greater New Haven 266 38 MMW 74 4 7 Northeast 60 15 11 Southeast 111 33 20 Waterbury Litchfield 234 40 16

7/23/2016 FTI BNL Repoli				0	0		Contact bea	au.anderson@ci.go	<u> </u>
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		050/						
_	Records	8%	25%	22%	16%	5%	4%	7%	13%
Active on BNL	2,163	171	545	473	355	107	85	153	274
Median Days Active	133	145	147	131	131	102	68	61	176
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (3)	-	1% (3)	-	-	-	-	<u>.</u>	-
1	2% (50) 4% (92)	2% (4) 2% (4)	3% (17) 5% (29) 9% (51)	3% (12) 5% (25)	1% (5) 3% (11)	2% (2) 7% (7)	- 7% (6)	1% (2) 1% (1)	3% (8) 3% (9) 7% (20)
3	8% (167) 10% (218)	7% (12)	9% (51) 12% (65)	5% (25) 10% (48)	3% (11) 4% (15)	10% (11)	7% (6) 5% (4)	1% (1) 4% (6)	7% (20)
5	12% (265)	8% (13) 13% (22)	12% (63)	11% (53) 14% (66)	6% (23) 11% (38)	8% (9) 17% (18)	15% (13) 11% (9)	12% (18) 14% (22)	9% (24) 10% (27)
6	14% (302) 11% (243)	12% (20) 13% (23)	14% (75) 9% (50) 11% (59)	12% (57)	10% (37) 12% (44)	23% (25) 10% (11)	14% (12) 9% (8)	18% (28) 14% (22)	15% (41) 10% (28)
9	11% (240) 8% (180)	14% (24) 6% (11) 9% (16)	11% (59) 9% (47)	9% (42) 7% (34)	10% (36) 11% (38)	8% (9) 4% (4)	15% (13) 7% (6)	13% (20) 6% (9) 3% (5)	14% (37) 11% (31)
10	6% (134) 6% (119)	9% (16) 7% (12)	9% (47) 6% (35) 5% (28)	14% (64) 12% (57) 9% (42) 7% (34) 4% (21) 5% (22) 3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (5)	10% (36) 11% (38) 9% (32) 7% (25) 5% (19)	8% (9) 4% (4) 4% (4) 4% (4) 2% (2)	3% (3) 15% (13) 7% (6) 2% (2) 4% (3) 5% (4) 5% (4) 1% (1)	3% (5) 7% (10)	7% (19)
12	3% (59) 2% (53)	7% (12) 2% (4) 2% (3)	1% (6)	3% (13) 2% (9)	5% (19) 5% (19)	2% (2)	5% (4) 5% (4)	7% (10) 3% (4) 3% (4) 1% (1)	5% (15) 3% (7) 1% (4) 1% (2)
14	1% (20) 1% (13)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (10) 1% (3) 1% (3)	1% (5) 0% (1)	5% (19) 2% (7) 1% (5)	- 1% (1)	1% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)
16	0% (4)	1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (1)				-
17	0% (1) -		- -	<u>-</u>					0% (1) -
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	7.05 rds)	6.35	6.34	7.81	5.92	6.75	6.90	6.88
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	18	1	3	7	0	0	0	2	5
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	040	4.5		20			40	40	45
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	213	15	68	38	51 	6	10	10	15
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	161	21	12	21	7	4	19	33	44
Matched/Awarded	356	45	93	76	 55	11	20	26	30
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	330	45		70		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	130	5	53	8	14	4	0	41	5
Youth at Time of Assessment	283	17	65	56	58	22	10	31	24
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days							.,	<u> </u>	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	241	23	53	62	32	12	10	28	21
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive						·			
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	57	0	9	14	4	1	5	17	7
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	298	23	62	76	36	13	15	45	28
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 20 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	l	. ,			4		0	40	4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	61	0	23	9	4	4	2	18	1
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	51	0	19	10	12	3	2	3	2
Housed - RRH	37	0	7	6	5	1	0	17	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	14	0	2	2	4	0	3	3	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	163	0	51	27	25	8	7	41	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	42	2	27	5	0	4	0	2	2
Inactive - In an Institution	10	^		Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	E	Λ
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	10	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inactive - All Other	8	0	0	1	1	0	5	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons					1				
Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	61 224	2 2	32 83	6 33	26	<u>4</u> 12	5 12	8 49	<u>3</u>
z NET INFLOW	74	21	-21	43	10	1	3	<u>-4</u>	21
						•		•	Page 2

Percentage of Statewide	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Active on BNL 253 11 62 48 52 19 9 22 3 Madam Days Active 0 55 134 99 38 80 68 104 118 145 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Control at horizonte horizontal proteins and the proteins of the proteins and th			Central	1 all lielu	Hartiolu	New Haven	IVIIVIVV	Northeast	Journeast	Litteriniera
Median Days Active 95 134 99 38 80 68 104 118 145	_		4%	25%	19%	21%	8%	4%	11%	9%
Separation Security Securit	Active on BNL	253	11	62	48	52	19	9	29	23
Count of a share worder having such assessment score.	Median Days Active	95	134	99	38	80	68	104	118	145
1			ecords)							
Part	0	-								
11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13		2% (6)	-	3% (2)	-	4% (2)	5% (1)		3% (1)	
11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13	4			8% (5)	10% (5)	4% (2)	5% (1) 21% (4)	- 11% (1)	3% (1) 21% (6)	17% (4) 17% (4)
17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	5		9% (1) 18% (2)	10% (6)	17% (8) 17% (8)	6% (3)	-	11% (1) 11% (1)	21% (6) 14% (4)	13% (3) 9% (2)
17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	7	13% (34)	27% (3)	8% (5)	17% (8)	17% (9)	11% (2)	11% (1)	17% (5)	4% (1)
Advance Assessment Score		11% (29)	9% (1)	21% (13)	10% (7)	13% (7) 8% (4)	<u> </u>	22% (2)	7% (2) 3% (1)	13% (3)
Advance Assessment Score			18% (2) -	6% (4) 2% (1)	2% (1) 6% (3)	8% (4) 10% (5)	- 5% (1)	11% (1)	7% (2) 3% (1)	4% (1) 9% (2)
1	12	4% (10)	00/. (1)	-	2% (1)	12% (6)		11% (1)		4% (1)
16	14			2% (1)		2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> <u>-</u>	- 470 (1)
18	16	-					<u>-</u>			
Status Conditions Followed (among active records)	18	- -		<u></u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>		
Clients counted in each row below are cummity action and the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rower depending on their combinations of circumstances.				6.68	6.75	7.94	6.00	7.78	6.00	6.70
Charlest make the way as also control from a control form of Charlest (Verified) 7				ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Clients material of control from the real disperse publicy Chronic (Verified) 7	Refuses CAN Assistance			•	, ,			0	n	0
Clients ment HUD definition of Cirvanic Nonelespasses										
Clients that are confirmed to be unserbered 1/2 3 1 0 0 0 4 0 4 4 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4		7	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	1
Clients that are confirmed to be unshalehed Matched/Awarded Clients matched to a wayeded a housing resource 45 5 8 11 7 0 5 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		12	3	1	0	0	0	4	0	4
Clients national for awarded a housing resources 45				· 						·
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24 3 or older as of report date		45	5	8	11	7	0	5	2	7
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 245 or other as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		42	1	9	0	9	1	0	21	1
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date S1 S O O O O O O O O O		24	2				4	4		4
Newly Added Clients who have never been active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	31	3	8	6	4	1	1	4	4
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive 6										
Clients who have never been active before 43				4.4	40	40				
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 0	Clients who have never been active before	45	0	11	16	10	2	2	2	2
Inflow to Active List TOTAL 51 0 11 17 11 2 2 3 5	. - I	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3
Dutflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	Chante madere for any readon who are new addre	51	0	11	17	11	2	2	3	5
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 1			· · ·							-
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- 10	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - PSH 1		10	0	4	3	1	1	0	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 1		1	^	^	^	1	0	Λ	^	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH S	P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	I	U	U	U 	l 	U	U	U	U
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal 14 O 6 3 2 1 O 2 O O O O O O O O		3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal 14 0 6 3 2 1 0 2 0	Housed - All Other	n	n	Λ	n	Λ	Ω	n	n	Λ
Inactive - Unable to Contact 12 0 10 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0							<u>.</u>			
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 12 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							'	-	_	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		12	0	10	0	0	2	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal 16 0 10 1 1 2 2 0 0 0 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 30 0 16 4 3 3 2 2 0	Inactive - All Other	4	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL 30 0 16 4 3 3 2 2 0	enone made madero in pact of days, an east reacond	16	0	10	1	1	2	2	0	0
					4	3				

П	7/23/2016 FTI BNL Repoli				0	Ouratan		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S All No	tatewide on-Youth	8%	25%	22%	16%	5%	4%	6%	13%
В	Active on BNL	1,910	160	483	425	303	88	76	124	251
c	Median Days Active	140	146	151	145	147	117	65	48	181
-	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			101	170	1-77	117			101
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecorus)							
	0	0% (3)	-	1% (3)	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-
		2% (46) 5% (86)	3% (4) 3% (4)	3% (15) 6% (27)	3% (11) 6% (25)	1% (4) 3% (9)	2% (2) 7% (6)	8% (6)	2% (2)	3% (8)
	3	8% (152)	8% (12)	3% (15) 6% (27) 9% (45) 12% (60)	11% (47)	4% (13)	11% (10)	5% (4)	4% (5)	3% (8) 4% (9) 6% (16)
	5	10% (191) 12% (237)	8% (13) 13% (21)	12% (60) 12% (57)	11% (48) 14% (58)	7% (21) 12% (35)	6% (5) 20% (18)	16% (12) 11% (8)	10% (12) 13% (16)	8% (20) 10% (24)
		14% (263)	11% (18)	14% (66)	14% (58) 13% (56)	11% (32)	19% (17)	14% (11)	19% (24)	10% (24) 16% (39) 11% (27)
		11% (209) 11% (213)	13% (20) 14% (23)	9% (45) 11% (52)	12% (49) 8% (35)	12% (35) 10% (29)	10% (9) 9% (8)	9% (7) 16% (12)	14% (17) 15% (18)	11% (27)
	9	8% (151) 6% (119)	14% (23) 6% (10) 9% (14)	7% (34) 6% (31)	7% (29)	11% (34)	5% (4) 5% (4)	5% (4) 1% (1)	6% (8)	14% (36) 11% (28) 7% (18)
	11	6% (106)	8% (12)	6% (27)	8% (35) 7% (29) 5% (20) 4% (19)	9% (28) 7% (20) 4% (13)	3% (3)	4% (3)	15% (18) 6% (8) 2% (3) 7% (9)	5% (13) 2% (6)
		3% (49) 3% (49)	3% (4) 1% (2)	1% (6) 2% (9)	3% (12)	4% (13) 6% (18)	1% (1) -	4% (3) 5% (4)	3% (4)	2% (6) 1% (3)
	14	1% (18)	1% (1)	2% (9) 0% (2)	2% (9) 1% (5)	6% (18) 2% (6)		5% (4) 1% (1)	3% (4) 1% (1)	1% (3) 1% (2)
		1% (13) 0% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (5) 0% (1)	1% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	1% (1) -	0% (1) -
	17	0% (1)								0% (1)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.72	6.99	6.31	6.29	- 7.79	5.90	6.63	- 7.11	6.90
	Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on a			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
f	Refuses CAN Assistance	18	1	3	7	0	0	0	2	5
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	10	' 	J				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	206	15	66	38	50	6	7	10	14
Ŭ.	Known Unsheltered	4.40	40	4.4				4-		
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	149	18	11	21	7	4	15	33	40
	Matched/Awarded	311	40	85	65	48	11	15	24	23
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource									
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	88	4	44	8	5	3	0	20	4
-	Youth at Time of Assessment	20		າ			·····		~	
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	30	6	3	8	6	3	1	2	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
ľ	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	196	23	42	46	22	10	8	26	19
-	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	<i>-1</i>		^	40	^	<u>,</u>	-	40	
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	51	0	9	13	3	1	5	16	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	247	23	51	59	25	11	13	42	23
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
L	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	51	0	19	6	3	3	2	17	1
٧.	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH				40		<u>-</u>			
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	50	0	19	10	11	3	2	3	2
ľ	Housed - RRH	34	0	5	6	5	1	0	16	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	<u> </u>								
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	14	0	2	2	4	0	3	3	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	149	0	45	24	23	7	7	39	4
-	Inactive - Unable to Contact						-	•		
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	30	2	17	5	0	2	0	2	2
	Inactive - In an Institution	10	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0
U.	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
ľ	Inactive - All Other	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	45	2	22	5	0	2	3	8	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	194	2	67	29	23	9	10	47	7
Z	NET INFLOW	53	21	-16	30	2	2	3	-5	16

									au.anderson@ct.g	
	All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
	Percentage of S	tatewide								
		Families	11%	23%	20%	15%	6%	6%	11%	7%
	Active on BNL	298	32	69	61	45	18	17	34	22
	Median Days Active	102	91	141	91	84	85	48	113	161
٨	ssessment Score Distribution (amo			141	91	04	00	40	113	101
	ount of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus							
	0	-		-						
	1	1% (2) 2% (7)	3% (1)	- 4% (3)	- 2% (1)	- 2% (1)	- 6% (1)	- 6% (1)	<u>-</u>	5% (1) -
	3	3% (10)	3% (1)	4% (3)	2% (1) 15% (9)	4% (2) 7% (3)	6% (1)	-	6% (2)	
		9% (26) 13% (39)	3% (1) 9% (3)	6% (4) 17% (12)	15% (9) 11% (7)	7% (3)	- 6% (1)	18% (3)	12% (4)	9% (2) 5% (1) 27% (6)
		15% (35)	22% (7)	9% (6)	10% (6)	13% (6) 16% (7)	28% (5)	18% (3)	15% (5)	27% (6)
		12% (36)	9% (3)	7% (5)	13% (8)	16% (7)	11% (2)	24% (4)	15% (5)	9% (2)
		14% (43) 11% (32)	9% (3) 22% (7) 3% (1)	14% (10) 17% (12)	10% (6) 15% (9)	16% (7) 18% (8) 9% (4)	22% (4)	18% (3) 12% (2)	26% (9) 15% (5) 15% (5) 9% (3) 6% (2)	9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2)
	10	7% (22)	9% (3)	12% (8)	5% (3)	7% (3)	11% (2)		3% (1)	9% (2)
		6% (17) 3% (10)	6% (2) 3% (1)	1% (1) 3% (2)	3% (2) 11% (7)	9% (4)	11% (2) -	<u>-</u>	9% (3) -	14% (3)
	13	1% (4)	6% (2)	-	2% (1)			6% (1)		
	14 15	1% (2) -		3% (2)		-			 -	
	16	1% (2)		1% (1)	2% (1)					
	17 <mark> </mark>	0% (1)	-	-		<u>-</u>	-		<u> </u>	5% (1)
	Average Assessment Score	7.23	7.53	7.38	7.57	7.00	7.11	6.76	6.38	7.64
S	tatus/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Cli	ients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
C	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy									
	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	11	0	4	4	1	0	0	0	2
	Known Unsheltered									
	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	0.4		4-						
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	81	9	17	20	11	4	5	5	10
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	00	^	2	4	1	^	^	22	2
	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	0	2	1	 	0	0	22	2
	Youth at Time of Assessment	67	3	12	10	9	4	2	22	5
	ctive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	01	J	12	10	<u> </u>				
	flow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Cl	ients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	40	6	1	10	5	4	5	6	3
	Clients who have never been active before									
	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	1
_	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	48	6	1	12	7	4	6	8	4
_	utflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		U	<u> </u>	14	<u> </u>	7	U	U	7
	ients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the nest 30 days							
Oil	Housed - Self-Resolved					_				
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	0	7	0	2	2	0	1	1
	Housed - PSH	<i>-</i>	^	0	^		4		4	
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	1
	Housed - RRH	9	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	1
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	IJ	U	4	U	 	U	U	J	l
	Housed - All Other	4	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	-								
	Housed Outflow subtotal	31	0	14	0	5	3	1	5	3
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
C	lients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact									
	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased									
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	^	^	^		0	^	^	^
C	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	35	0	18	0	5	3	1	5	3
	Outhow Ironi Active List TOTAL									

7/23/2018 FTI BNL Repoli				Cuantau	Cupatan		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of	Statewide dividuals	7%	26%	22%	17%	5%	4%	6%	14%
			470	440	240				050
Active on BNL	1,865	139	476	412	310	89	68	119	252
Median Days Active		147	148	141	147	109	69	54	176
Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
0	0% (3)	-	1% (3)	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	3% (48) 5% (85)	2% (3) 3% (4)	4% (17) 5% (26) 10% (48)	3% (12) 6% (24)	2% (5) 3% (10)	2% (2) 7% (6)	- 7% (5)	2% (2) 1% (1)	3% (7) 4% (9)
3	8% (157)	8% (11) 9% (12)	10% (48) 13% (61)	6% (24) 11% (47) 11% (44)	3% (10) 4% (13)	11% (10)	7% (5) 6% (4)	1% (1) 3% (4) 12% (14)	8% (20)
5	10% (192) 12% (226)	14% (19)	11% (51)	1/1% (50)	6% (20) 10% (32)	10% (9) 19% (17)	15% (10) 13% (9)	11% (13)	9% (22) 10% (26)
6	14% (257) 11% (207)	14% (19) 9% (13) 14% (20)	14% (69) 9% (45)	14% (58) 12% (49)	10% (30) 12% (37)	22% (20) 10% (9)	13% (9) 6% (4)	19% (23) 14% (17)	14% (35) 10% (26)
8	11% (197) 8% (148)	12% (17)	10% (49)	9% (36)	9% (28)	6% (5)	15% (10)	14% (17)	14% (35) 12% (29) 7% (17)
10	6% (112)	12% (17) 7% (10) 9% (13)	17% (59) 14% (69) 9% (45) 10% (49) 7% (35) 6% (27) 6% (27)	14% (58) 12% (49) 9% (36) 6% (25) 4% (18) 5% (20)	10% (50) 12% (37) 9% (28) 11% (34) 9% (29) 7% (21) 6% (19) 6% (19) 2% (7)	22% (20) 10% (9) 6% (5) 4% (4) 2% (2) 2% (2) 2% (2)	6% (4) 15% (10) 6% (4) 3% (2) 4% (3) 6% (4) 4% (3) 1% (1)	14% (17) 6% (7) 3% (4) 6% (7) 3% (4) 3% (4) 1% (1)	7% (17)
11 12	5% (102) 3% (49)	7% (10) 2% (3)	1% (4)	1% (0)	7% (21) 6% (19)	2% (2) 2% (2)	4% (3) 6% (4)	6% (7) 3% (4)	5% (12) 3% (7) 2% (4) 1% (2)
13	3% (49) 1% (18)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (10) 0% (1)	2% (8) 1% (5)	6% (19)		4% (3)	3% (4)	2% (4)
15	1% (13)	1% (1)	1% (3)	0% (1)	2% (7) 2% (5) 0% (1)	1% (1)	- 1 /0 (1)	1% (1)	0% (1)
16	0% (2)	1% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>0% (1)</u> -	<u> </u>		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>
18 Average Assessment Score	6.67	6.94	6.20	6.16	- 7.93	5.67	6.75	7.05	- 6.81
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.20	0.10	1.55	5.07	0.75	7.00	0.01
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	3	6	0	0	0	2	5
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	202	15	64	34	50	6	10	10	13
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	161	21	12	21	7	4	19	33	44
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	275	36	76	56	44	7	15	21	20
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1111/	5	51	7	13	4	0	19	3
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	216	14	53	46	49	18	8	9	19
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in to	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	201	17	52	52	27	8	5	22	18
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	49	0	9	12	2	1	4	15	6
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	250	17	61	64	29	9	9	37	24
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	iys								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	48	0	16	9	2	2	2	17	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	40				40				ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	46	0	17 	10	12	2	2	2	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	28	0	3	6	4	1 	0	14	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	10	0	1	2	2	0	2	3	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	132	0	37	27	20	5	6	36	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	38	2	23	5	0	4	0	2	2
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	10	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	8	0	0	1	1	0	5	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	57	2	28	6	1	4	5	8	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	189	2	65	33	21	9	11	44	4
Z NET INFLOW	61	15	-4	31	8	0	-2	-7	20
	-		•						Page 6

7/25/2016 F11 BNL Repoli							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Families (No		13%	24%	22%	16%	6%	7%	5%	7%
Active on BNL	238	31	57	53	37	14	16	13	17
c Median Days Active	93	85	141	90	95	85	42	41	176
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ong active re	ecords)							
1	1% (2)	3% (1)	-			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		- 6% (1)
2	2% (4) 3% (8)	- 3% (1)	4% (2) 5% (3)	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (1)	- 7% (1)	6% (1)	- 8% (1)	<u> </u>
4	7% (16)	3% (1)	7% (4)	2% (1) 9% (5)	5% (2)	-	- 19% (3)	8% (1)	
5	13% (32) 14% (34)	10% (3) 19% (6)	19% (11) 9% (5)	13% (7) 9% (5)	16% (6) 19% (7)	7% (1)	- 19% (3)	23% (3)	6% (1) 29% (5)
7	13% (31)	10% (3)	9% (5) 7% (4)	15% (8)	16% (6)	14% (2) 14% (2)	19% (3) 19% (3)	8% (1) 23% (3)	29% (5) 12% (2)
8 9	16% (38) 11% (25)	10% (3) 23% (7) 3% (1) 10% (3)	14% (8) 16% (9)	11% (6) 15% (8)	16% (6) 19% (7) 8% (3)	29% (4)	19% (3) 13% (2)	8% (1) 8% (1)	12% (2) 6% (1) 6% (1)
10	7% (16)	10% (3)	11% (6)	15% (8) 4% (2)	5% (2)	14% (2)			6% (1)
11	6% (15) 4% (9)	6% (2) 3% (1)	2% (1) 4% (2)	4% (2) 11% (6)	8% (3) -	14% (2) -	<u>-</u>	15% (2) -	18% (3) -
13	2% (4)	6% (2)	2% (1)	2% (1)			6% (1)		
14	0% (1)	-	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>			
16	1% (2)		2% (1)	2% (1)					- 6% (1)
18	0% (1) -			<u> </u>		<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	-
Average Assessment Score	7.38	7.58	7.23	7.72	7.05	7.71	6.75	6.77	7.94
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		nts may be counte		depending on the					
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	3	4	1	0	0	0	1
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	68	8	13	18	10	4	4	4	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	2
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	7	2	0	2	1	0	1	1	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	37	6	1	10	5	3	5	4	3
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	43	6	1	12	7	3	6	5	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	0	6	0	2	1	0	1	1
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	1
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	0	4	0	1	0	0	2	1
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	28	0	13	0	5	2	1	4	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	31	0	16	0	5	2	11	4	3
z NET INFLOW	12	6	-15	12	2	1	5	1	0

9/25/2018 FYI BNL Report				Outstan	Outstan		Contact bea	au.anderson@ct.g	-
Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of			20%					35%	
Familie	s (Youth)	2%	20%	13%	13%	7%	2%		8%
Active on BNL	60	1	12	8	8	4	1	21	5
Median Days Active	110	118	118	97	56	83	104	182	145
Assessment Score Distribution (am		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment scor	.	_	<u>.</u>	_	_			<u>.</u>	<u>-</u>
1	- 5% (3)		- 8% (1)		13% (1)	- 25% (1)			
3	3% (2)				13% (1) 13% (1)			5% (1)	- 400/ (2)
5	17% (10) 12% (7)		8% (1)	50% (4)	- 13% (1)		-	14% (3) 29% (6) 19% (4)	40% (2)
7	18% (11) 8% (5)	100% (1) -	8% (1) 8% (1)	13% (1)	13% (1) 13% (1)	75% (3) -	- 100% (1)	10% (2)	20% (1) -
9	8% (5) 12% (7)		17% (2) 25% (3)	13% (1)	13% (1) 13% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	10% (2) 5% (1)	20% (1)
10	10% (6) 3% (2)		17% (2) -	13% (1)	13% (1) 13% (1)		-	5% (1) 5% (1)	20% (1)
12	2% (1)			13% (1)					
13	2% (1)	-	8% (1)	-		-	-	-	<u>-</u>
15	-								
17	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Assessment Score	6.65	6.00	8.08	6.63	6.75	5.00	7.00	6.14	6.60
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	U	U				U	·	
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered									
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	13	1	4	2	1	0	1	1	3
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	21	0	0	0	1	0	0	20	0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	 								
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	12	1	3	0	4	1	1	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the		T .							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
Returned from Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL								2	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	ļ	U	U 		U 		·	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	1 4	0 0	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0 0
NET INFLOW	1	0	<u>-2</u>	0	0	0	0	2	1
. ALT INI LOW	<u>'</u>		-4	v	U	v	U		Page 8

9/25/2018 FYI BNL Report							Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of	Statewide		200/						
Individua	Is (Youth)	5%	26%	21%	23%	8%	4%	4%	9%
Active on BNI	<u> </u>	10	50	40	44	15	8	8	18
Median Days Active		175	91	35	88	68	112	54	137
Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment sco	ong active r	ecords)							
0 1	2% (4)	- -	- 4% (2)	3% (1)	- 2% (1)				
3	2% (3) 7% (13)	<u>-</u>	2% (1) 12% (6)	3% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	- 7% (1)	<u>-</u>	13% (1) -	22% (4)
4	9% (17)		10% (5)	3% (1) 3% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	27% (4)	13% (1)	38% (3)	22% (4) 11% (2)
6	11% (21) 15% (28)	10% (1) 10% (1)	10% (5) 16% (8)	20% (8) 18% (7)	7% (3) 11% (5)	33% (5)	13% (1) 13% (1)		17% (3) 6% (1)
7	15% (29)	30% (3) 10% (1) 10% (1)	8% (4)	20% (8) 18% (7) 10% (4)	18% (8) 14% (6) 7% (3)	13% (2)	-	38% (3)	6% (1) 6% (1) 11% (2)
8	11% (22) 11% (22)	10% (1) 10% (1)	10% (5) 20% (10)	18% (7) 10% (4)	14% (6) 7% (3)	7% (1) -	13% (1) 25% (2)	<u>-</u>	6% (1) 11% (2)
10	5% (9)	20% (2)	4% (2)	-	7% (3) 9% (4)		13% (1)	13% (1)	-
11	6% (11) 5% (9)		2% (1)	8% (3)	9% (4) 14% (6)	7% (1) 7% (1)	13% (1)	<u>-</u>	11% (2) 6% (1)
13	2% (4)	10% (1)	2% (1)	-	2% (1)		- 1070 (17	-	6% (1)
14 	1% (1)	-	<u>-</u>		2% (1)				
16	-	-				<u>-</u>			-
17 18	-						·		
Average Assessment Score	7.01	8.20	6.34	6.78	8.16	6.27	7.88	5.63	6.72
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active o		nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	12	3	1	0	0	0	4	0	4
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	32	4	4	9	6	0	4	1 	4
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	21	1 2	9 5	0 6	 0	1 0	0 0	1 3	1 3
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in its	9			0			0	<u> </u>	
Newly Added	42	0	11	16	10	1	2	0	2
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	46	0	11	17	11	1	2	0	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 De Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inc.	active on the BNL in	the past 30 days	ì.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self	1 0	0	3	3	1	0	0	1	0
Housed - PSI Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSI	1 1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRI Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRI	<u> </u>	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Othe Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all othe	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contac		0	5	3	2	0	0	1	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contac Inactive - In an Institution	!	0	9	0	0	2	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Othe	, U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal		0	9	1	1	2	2	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL		0	14	4	3	2	2	1	0
NET INFLOW	20	0	-3	13	8	-1	0	-1	4

Individuals (Non Youth)				Greater	Greater		Contact bei		Waterbury/
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S		00/	25%	22%	16%		***	70/	14%
A Individuals (No		8%				4%	4%	7%	
Active on BNL	1,672	129	426	372	266	74	60	111	234
Median Days Active	147	147	151	159	153	118	69	53	182
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecorus)							
0	0% (3) 3% (44)	2% (3)	1% (3) 4% (15)	3% (11)	2% (4)	3% (2)		2% (2)	3% (7)
2	5% (82) 9% (144)	3% (4) 9% (11)	4% (15) 6% (25)	6% (24) 12% (46) 12% (43) 14% (51) 14% (51)	3% (9)	8% (6)	8% (5)		3% (7) 4% (9) 7% (16)
4	10% (175)	9% (12)	10% (42) 13% (56)	12% (43)	5% (12) 7% (19)	12% (9) 7% (5)	8% (5) 7% (4) 15% (9)	4% (4) 10% (11)	9% (20)
6	12% (205) 14% (229)	14% (18) 9% (12)	11% (46) 14% (61)	14% (51) 14% (51)	11% (29) 9% (25)	23% (17) 20% (15)	13% (8) 13% (8)	12% (13) 21% (23)	10% (23) 15% (34)
8	11% (178) 10% (175)	13% (17) 12% (16)	10% (41) 10% (44)	9% (20)	11% (29) 8% (22)	9% (7) 5% (4) 5% (4) 3% (2)	7% (4) 15% (9)	13% (14) 15% (17)	11% (25) 15% (34)
9	8% (126) 6% (103)	7% (9) 9% (11)	10% (44) 6% (25) 6% (25) 6% (26) 1% (4)	6% (21) 5% (18) 5% (17) 2% (6) 2% (8)	12% (31) 10% (26)	5% (4) 3% (2)	3% (2) 2% (1) 5% (3) 5% (3) 5% (3)	6% (7) 3% (3) 6% (7)	12% (27) 7% (17)
11	5% (91) 2% (40)	8% (10) 2% (3)	6% (26) 1% (4)	5% (17) 2% (6)	6% (17)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (3) 5% (3)	6% (7) 4% (4)	4% (10)
13	3% (45)	1% (1)	2% (9)	2% (8) 1% (5)	5% (13) 7% (18) 2% (6) 2% (5)		5% (3) 2% (1)	4% (4) 1% (1)	3% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
15	1% (17) 1% (13)	1% (1)	0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (1)	2% (5)	1% (1)	<u> </u>	1% (1)	0% (1)
17	0% (2)	1% (1) -		-	0% (1) -			-	<u>-</u>
E Average Assessment Score	6.63	6.84	6.19	6.09	7.89	- 5.55	6.60	- 7.15	6.82
Status/Conditions Followed (among						,			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance			•				^		-
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	3	6	0	0	0	2	5
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	197	15	63	34	49	6	7	10	13
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	149	18	11	21	7	4	15	33	40
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	243	32	72	47	38	7	11	20	16
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	81	4	42	7	5	3	0	18	2
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	23	4	3	6	5	3	0	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	159	17	41	36	17	7	3	22	16
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	45	0	9	11	1	1	4	15	4
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	204	17	50	47	18	8	7	37	20
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da					-				
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	40	0	13	6	1	2	2	16	0
Housed - PSH	45	0	 17	10	 11	2	2	2	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	26	0	 1	6	4	 1	0	 14	0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	10	0	<u>'</u> 1	2	 2	<u>'</u> 0	2	3	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other			<u> </u>						
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	121	0	32	24	18	5	6	35	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	27	2	14	5	0	2	0	2	2
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	10	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
x Other Outflow subtotal	42	2	19	5	0	2	3	8	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	163	2	51	29	18	7	9	43	4
z NET INFLOW	41	15	-1	18	0	1	-2	-6	16

9/25/2018 FYI BNL Report								au.anderson@ct.	·
Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of		88%		86%				77%
Statev	vide BNL	12%		14%		11%	3%	9%	
Active on BNL	2,163	253	1910	298	1865	238	60	193	1672
Median Days Active	133	95	140	102	139	93	110	78	147
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
0	0% (3) 2% (50)	- 2% (4)	0% (3)	- 1% (2)	0% (3) 3% (48)	- 1% (2)		- 20/. (4)	0% (3) 3% (44)
	4% (92)	2% (6)	2% (46) 5% (86) 8% (152)	2% (7) 3% (10) 9% (26) 13% (39) 15% (45) 12% (36) 14% (43)	5% (85) 8% (157)	7% (4) 3% (8) 7% (16) 13% (32) 14% (34) 13% (31) 16% (38)	5% (3)	2% (4) 2% (3)	5% (82)
	8% (167)	6% (15)	8% (152)	3% (10)	8% (157) 10% (192)	3% (8)	3% (2)	7% (13)	9% (144)
	10% (218) 12% (265)	11% (27) 11% (28)	12% (237)	9% (26) 13% (39)	12% (226)	13% (32)	17% (10) 12% (7)	9% (17) 11% (21)	10% (175) 12% (205)
6	14% (302)	11% (28) 15% (39) 13% (34)	10% (191) 12% (237) 14% (263) 11% (209)	15% (45)	14% (257) 11% (207)	14% (34)	18% (11)	15% (28) 15% (29)	14% (229)
	11% (243) 11% (240)	13% (34) 11% (27)	11% (209) 11% (213)	12% (36) 14% (43)	11% (207) 11% (197)	13% (31) 16% (38)	8% (5) 8% (5) 12% (7)	15% (29) 11% (22)	11% (178) 10% (175)
9	8% (180)	11% (29) 6% (15)	8% (151)	11% (32) 7% (22)	8% (148)	11/0 (23)	12% (7)	11% (22) 11% (22)	8% (126) 6% (103)
	6% (134) 6% (119)	6% (15) 5% (13)	6% (119) 6% (106)	7% (22) 6% (17)	6% (112) 5% (102)	7% (16) 6% (15)	10% (6) 3% (2) 2% (1)	5% (9)	6% (103) 5% (01)
	3% (59)	5% (13) 4% (10)	6% (106) 3% (49)	6% (17) 3% (10)	5% (102) 3% (49)	4% (9)	2% (1)	6% (11) 5% (9)	5% (91) 2% (40)
13	2% (53)	2% (4)	3% (49) 1% (18)	1% (4)	3% (49) 1% (18)	4% (9) 2% (4) 0% (1)	-	2% (4)	3% (45) 1% (17)
	1% (20) 1% (13)	1% (2) -	1% (18) 1% (13)	1% (2) -	1% (18) 1% (13)	-	2% (1) -	1% (1)	1% (17) 1% (13)
16	0% (4)		1% (13) 0% (4)	1% (2)	1% (13) 0% (2)	1% (2)			0% (2)
17	0% (1)	-	0% (1)	0% (1)	-	0% (1)	-	-	-
Average Assessment Score	6.74	6.92	6.72	7.23	6.67	7.38	6.65	7.01	6.63
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ad in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of a	ircumetancae			
Refuses CAN Assistance		-							47
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	18	0	18	1	17	1	0	0	17
Chronic (Verified)	213	7	206	11	202	9	2	5	197
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	213	1	200		202	9 	۷	ິນ	197
Known Unsheltered	161	12	149	0	161	0	0	12	149
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	101	14	170		101			16	170
Matched/Awarded	356	45	311	81	275	68	13	32	243
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource		ļ							
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	130	42	88	28	102	7	21	21	81
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment		<u> </u>							
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	283	253	30	67	216	7	60	193	23
nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added		ΛE	106	40	201	27	2	40	150
Clients who have never been active before	241	45	196	40	201	37	3	42	159
Returned from Inactive	57	6	51	8	49	6	2	4	45
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	298	51	247	48	250	43	5	46	204
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	•								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		tne past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	61	10	51	13	48	11	2	8	40
Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH				_		_			
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	51	1	50	5	46	5	0	1	45
Housed - RRH	07	^	0.4	^	00	^		^	00
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	37	3	34	9	28	8	1	2	26
Housed - All Other	14	^	11	1	10	/	0	^	40
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		0	14	4	10	4	0	0	10
Housed Outflow subtotal	163	14	149	31	132	28	3	11	121
Inactive - Unable to Contact	42	12	30	4	38	3	1	11	27
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	42	ΙZ	JU	4	ა0	J	l 	l I	۷1
Inactive - In an Institution	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	10	U	IU		10	U	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10
Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	·	ļ					·		·
Inactive - All Other	8	4	4	0	8	0	0	4	4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Other Outflow subtotal	61	16	45	4	57	3	1	15	42
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	224	30	194	35	189	31	4	26	163
NET INFLOW	74	21	53	13	61	12	1	20	41

9/25/2018 FYI BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		gov with questions Individuals
Central CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		94%		81%		,	,	75%
	tral CAN	6%		19%		18%	1%	6%	
Active on BNL	171	11	160	32	139	31	1	10	129
Median Days Active	145	134	146	91	147	85	118	175	147
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	
1	2% (4) 2% (4)		3% (4) 3% (4)	3% (1)	2% (3) 3% (4)	3% (1)			2% (3) 3% (4)
3	7% (12)		8% (12)	3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (11) 9% (12)	3% (1) 3% (1)		<u>-</u> 	9% (11) 9% (12)
4	8% (13) 13% (22)	9% (1)	8% (13) 13% (21)	3% (1)	9% (12) 14% (19)	3% (1) 10% (3)		10% (1)	9% (12) 14% (18)
6	12% (20)	18% (2)	11% (18)	22% (7)	14% (19) 9% (13)	19% (6)	100% (1)	10% (1)	9% (12)
7	13% (23) 14% (24)	27% (3) 9% (1)	13% (20)	9% (3) 22% (7)	14% (20) 12% (17)	10% (3) 23% (7)	<u>-</u>	30% (3) 10% (1)	13% (17) 12% (16)
9	6% (11)	9% (1)	13% (20) 14% (23) 6% (10) 9% (14)	9% (3) 22% (7) 9% (3) 22% (7) 3% (1)	9% (13) 14% (20) 12% (17) 7% (10) 9% (13) 7% (10) 2% (3) 1% (1)	3% (1) 10% (3) 19% (6) 10% (3) 23% (7) 3% (1) 10% (3) 6% (2) 3% (1)	-	10% (1)	13% (17) 12% (16) 7% (9)
10	9% (16) 7% (12)	18% (2)	9% (14) 8% (12)	9% (3) 6% (2)	9% (13) 7% (10)	10% (3)	<u>-</u>	20% (2)	9% (11) 8% (10)
12	2% (4)		8% (12) 3% (4) 1% (2)	3% (1)	2% (3)	3% (1)	-		2% (3)
13	2% (3) 1% (1)	9% (1)	1% (2) 1% (1)	6% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (2)	<u>-</u>	10% (1)	- 1% (1)
15	1% (1)		1% (1)	-	1% (1)		-		1% (1)
16	1% (1) -		1% (1)		1% (1) -	- -	-		1% (1)
18	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.05 active reco	8.00 rds)	6.99	7.53	6.94	7.58	6.00	8.20	6.84
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	15	0	15	0	15				15
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	15	U	15 	U	15	0	0	0	15
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	21	3	18	0	21	0	0	3	18
Matched/Awarded	45	5	40	9	36	8	1	4	32
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing							·	·	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	17	11	6	3	14	2	1	10	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T		T		T			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	23	0	23	6	17	6	0	0	17
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	23	0	23	6	17	6	0	0	17
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			20	U	11		U	U	11
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	0		0	0	^		·	^	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		0	U	U	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
NET INFLOW	21	0	21	6	15	6	0	0	15
HET HIT LOW	41	U	41	U	10		U	U	Page 12

	Fairfield County CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce Fairfield Cou	entage of	11%	89%	13%	87%	10%	2%	9%	78%
A	Active on BNL	545	62	483	69	476	57	12	50	426
С	Median Days Active	147	99	151	141	148	141	118	91	151
-	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			101		110		110	<u> </u>	101
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		,	40/ (2)		40/ (2)	T			40/ (2)
	1	1% (3) 3% (17)	3% (2) 3% (2)	1% (3) 3% (15) 6% (27) 9% (45)	-	1% (3) 4% (17) 5% (26)	-		4% (2)	1% (3) 4% (15) 6% (25)
	3	5% (29) 9% (51)	10% (6)	6% (27) 9% (45)	4% (3) 4% (3)	10% (48)	4% (2) 5% (3)	<u>8% (1)</u> 	2% (1) 12% (6)	10% (42)
	5	12% (65) 12% (63)	8% (5) 10% (6)	12% (60) 12% (57)	6% (4) 17% (12)	13% (61) 11% (51)	7% (4) 19% (11)	8% (1)	10% (5) 10% (5)	13% (56) 11% (46)
	7	14% (75) 9% (50)	15% (9) 8% (5)	14% (66) 9% (45) 11% (52)	9% (6) 7% (5)	14% (69) 9% (45)	19% (11) 9% (5) 7% (4)	8% (1) 8% (1)	16% (8) 8% (4)	14% (61) 10% (41)
	9	11% (59) 9% (47)	11% (7) 21% (13)	11% (52) 7% (34)	14% (10) 17% (12)	10% (49) 7% (35)	14% (8) 16% (9)	8% (1) 8% (1) 17% (2) 25% (3) 17% (2)	10% (5) 20% (10)	10% (44) 6% (25)
	11	6% (35) 5% (28)	6% (4) 2% (1)	7% (34) 6% (31) 6% (27)	12% (8) 1% (1) 3% (2)	17% (69) 9% (45) 10% (49) 7% (35) 6% (27) 6% (27) 1% (4)	14% (8) 16% (9) 11% (6) 2% (1)	17% (2) -	4% (2) 2% (1)	6% (25) 6% (26)
	13	1% (6) 2% (10)	2% (1)	1% (6) 2% (9) 0% (2)	-	1% (4) 2% (10) 0% (1)	4% (2)		- 2% (1)	6% (26) 1% (4) 2% (9) 0% (1)
	15	1% (3) 1% (3)	2% (1) -	0% (2) 1% (3) 0% (1)	3% (2) -	0% (1) 1% (3)	2% (1) -	8% (1) -		0% (1) 1% (3)
		0% (1) -	-	0% (1)	1% (1) -		2% (1)			
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	6.35	6.68	6.31	7.38	6.20	7.23	8.08	6.34	6.19
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
-	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance				, ,				_	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	68	2	66	4	64	3	1	1	63
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12	1	11	0	12	0	0	1	11
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	93	8	85	17	76	13	4	4	72
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	53	9	44	2	51	2	0	9	42
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	65	62	3	12	53	0	12	50	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	53	11	42	1	52	1	0	11	41
M	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	62	11	51	1	61	1	0	11	50
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	A contract of the contract of								
ļ	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved									
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	23	4	19	7	16	6	1	3	13
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19	0	19	2	17	2	0	0	17
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	2	5	4	3	4	0	2	1
R	Housed - All Other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	51	6	45	14	37	13	1	5	32
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	27	10	17	4	23	3	1	9	14
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
х	Other Outflow subtotal	32	10	22	4	28	3	1	9	19
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	83	16	67	18	65	16	2	14	51
Z	NET INFLOW	-21	-5	-16	-17	-4	-15	-2	-3	-1 Page 13

	A II								
Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	400/	90%	13%	87%	440/		00/	79%
Greater Har	tford CAN	10%		13%		11%	2%	8%	
Active on BNL	_	48	425	61	412	53	8	40	372
Median Days Active	131	38	145	91	141	90	97	35	159
Assessment Score Distribution (am		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	re.	_	_	_		_			
1	3% (12) 5% (25)	2% (1)	3% (11) 6% (25)	- 20/ (1)	3% (12) 6% (24)			3% (1)	3% (11)
3	10% (48)	2% (1)	11% (47)	2% (1) 2% (1)	11% (47)	2% (1)	-	3% (1)	6% (24) 12% (46) 12% (43) 14% (51)
4	11% (53) 14% (66)	10% (5) 17% (8)	11% (48) 14% (58)	15% (9) 11% (7)	11% (44)	9% (5) 13% (7)	50% (4)	3% (1) 20% (8)	12% (43) 14% (51)
6	14% (64)	17% (8)	17% (58) 13% (58) 13% (56) 12% (49) 8% (35) 7% (29) 5% (20)	10% (6) 13% (8) 10% (6) 15% (9) 5% (3)	14% (58) 12% (49) 9% (36) 6% (25) 4% (18) 5% (20) 1% (6)	2% (1) 2% (1) 9% (5) 13% (7) 9% (5) 15% (8) 11% (6) 15% (8) 4% (2) 4% (2) 11% (6)	13% (1)	18% (7)	14% (51)
8	12% (57) 9% (42)	17% (8) 15% (7) 10% (5)	12% (49) 8% (35)	13% (8) 10% (6)	12% (49) 9% (36)	15% (8) 11% (6)	<u>-</u>	20% (8) 18% (7)	11% (41) 8% (29)
10	7% (34) 4% (21)	10% (5) 2% (1)	7% (29)	15% (9)	6% (25)	15% (8)	13% (1) 13% (1)	10% (4)	6% (21) 5% (18)
11	5% (22)	6% (3)	4% (19) 3% (12)	3% (2) 11% (7)	5% (20)	4% (2)	-	8% (3)	5% (16)
12	3% (13) 2% (9)	2% (1)	3% (12) 2% (9)	11% (7) 2% (1)	1% (6) 2% (8)	11% (6) 2% (1)	13% (1)		5% (17) 2% (6) 2% (8) 1% (5)
14	1% (5)		2% (9) 1% (5)		2% (8) 1% (5)				1% (5)
15 16	0% (1) 0% (1)	- -	0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (1)	0% (1)	2% (1)	- -		0% (1)
17 18	-	-	-			-	-	-	
Average Assessment Score		6.75	6.29	7.57	6.16	7.72	6.63	6.78	6.09
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	/	,	tad in multiple rows	dananding on the	ir combination of a	iraumatanaa			
Refuses CAN Assistance		-							
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
Chronic (Verified		0	38	4	34	4	0	0	34
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	; 								
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		0	21	0	21	0	0	0	21
Matched/Awarded		11	65	20	56	18	2	9	47
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	!					10	۷	<u>J</u>	41
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Youth at Time of Assessmen		40		40	40			40	
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	56	48	8	10	46	2	8	40	6
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t	ı								
Newly Added	1 0/	16	46	10	52	10	0	16	36
Returned from Inactive	14	1	13	2	12	2	0	1	11
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active)	·						· ·	
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	76	17	59	12	64	12	0	17	47
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	ıl			^	^	_	^	2	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	. 9	3	6	0	9	0	0	3	6
Housed - PSF	1 111	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	<u>-</u>								
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	ı n	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Housed - All Other	-+	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	<u> </u>	_		_		_			
Housed Outflow subtotal		3	24	0	27	0	0	3	24
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1 3	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Inactive - In an Institution	. †	^	^	^	^	^	Λ	^	^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Othe	<u>, </u>								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Other Outflow subtotal		1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
Tana Talifori Sablolar									
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	33	4	29	0	33	0	0	4	29

9/25/2018 FYI BNL Report								Contact beau.anderson@ct.go			
Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)		(Non Youth)		
		routii	Non-Youth	rannies	87%	(INOIT-TOULIT)	(Poull)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
	entage of	15%	0570	13%	01 70	10%	2%	12%	7.570		
Greater New Ha					212						
Active on BNL	355	52	303	45	310	37	8	44	266		
Median Days Active	131	80	147	84	147	95	56	88	153		
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)									
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
1	1% (5) 3% (11)	2% (1) 4% (2)	1% (4) 3% (9)	2% (1)	2% (5) 3% (10)		- 13% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (4) 3% (9)		
3	4% (15)	4% (2) 4% (2)	4% (13) 7% (21)	4% (2) 7% (3)	4% (13) 6% (20)	3% (1) 5% (2)	13% (1) 13% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 7% (3)	5% (12) 7% (19)		
5	6% (23) 11% (38)	6% (3)	12% (35) 11% (32)	13% (6) 16% (7)	10% (32)	16% (6)	13% (1)	2% (1) 7% (3)	11% (29)		
6	10% (37) 12% (44)	10% (5) 17% (9)	11% (32) 12% (35)	16% (7) 16% (7)	10% (30) 12% (37)	19% (7) 16% (6)	13% (1)	11% (5)	9% (25) 11% (29)		
8	10% (36)	17% (9) 13% (7)	12% (35) 10% (29) 11% (34)	16% (7) 18% (8) 9% (4)	9% (28)	19% (7)	13% (1)	18% (8) 14% (6) 7% (3)	8% (22)		
10	11% (38) 9% (32)	8% (4) 8% (4)	9% (28) 7% (20)	7% (3)	10% (30) 12% (37) 9% (28) 11% (34) 9% (29) 7% (21)	19% (7) 16% (6) 19% (7) 8% (3) 5% (2)	13% (1) 13% (1) 13% (1)	7% (3)	11% (29) 8% (22) 12% (31) 10% (26) 6% (17)		
11 12	7% (25) 5% (19)	10% (5) 12% (6)	7% (20) 4% (13)	9% (4)	7% (21) 6% (19)	8% (3) -	13% (1) -	9% (4) 14% (6)	6% (17) 5% (13)		
13	5% (19)	2% (1)	4% (13) 6% (18)		6% (19)			2% (1)	5% (13) 7% (18)		
14 15	2% (7) 1% (5)	2% (1) -	2% (6) 2% (5)		2% (7) 2% (5) 0% (1)			2% (1) -	2% (6) 2% (5) 0% (1)		
16	0% (1)		0% (1)	-	0% (1)	-	-		0% (1)		
18 Average Assessment Score	7.81	7.94	7.79	7.00	7.93	7.05	6.75	8.16	7.89		
Status/Conditions Followed (among			1.19	7.00	1.93	7.05	0.75	0.10	7.09		
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.					
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)											
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	51	1	50	1	50	1	0	1	49		
Known Unsheltered	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7		
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered											
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	55	7	48	11	44	10	1	6	38		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	14	9	5	1	13	0	1	8	5		
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		3		 	10		I				
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	58	52	6	9	49	1	8	44	5		
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
Newly Added	32	10	22	5	27	5	0	10	17		
- Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive											
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	1		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	36	11	25	7	29	7	0	11	18		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•										
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days									
Housea - Seit-Resolvea Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	1		
Housed - PSH	12	1	11	0	12	0	0	1	11		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		' 	l I		14		·	! 	11		
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4		
Housed - All Other	4	0	4	2	2	2	0	0	2		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	•	_									
Housed Outflow subtotal	25	2	23	5	20	5	0	2	18		
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			U	U	U	U	U		
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - All Other	4	4	^		4		^		^		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Other Outflow subtotal	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	3	23	5	21	5	0	3	18		
NET INFLOW	10	8	2	2	8	2	0	8	0		

MMW CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	entage of	routii	82%	raillilles	83%	(INOTI- FOULTI)	(Touli)	(Toulii)	69%
	MW CAN	18%	52,6	17%	50%	13%	4%	14%	3070
Active on BNL	107	19	88	18	89	14	4	15	74
Median Days Active	102	68	117	85	109	85	83	68	118
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 2% (2)	-	2% (2)		2% (2)	-			3% (2)
2	7% (7) 10% (11)	<u>5% (1)</u> 5% (1)	7% (6) 11% (10)	6% (1) 6% (1)	7% (6)	- 7% (1)	25% (1)	- 7% (1)	3% (2) 8% (6) 12% (9) 7% (5) 23% (17) 20% (15) 9% (7) 5% (4) 5% (4) 3% (2) 1% (1)
4	8% (9)	21% (4)	6% (5)		11% (10) 10% (9)	7% (1)		27% (4)	7% (5)
6	17% (18) 23% (25)	42% (8)	20% (18) 19% (17)	6% (1) 28% (5)	19% (17) 22% (20)	14% (2)	75% (3)	33% (5)	23% (17)
7	10% (11) 8% (9)	11% (2) 5% (1)	10% (9) 9% (8)	11% (2) 22% (4)	10% (9) 6% (5)	14% (2) 29% (4)	<u>-</u> -	13% (2) 7% (1)	9% (7) 5% (4)
9	4% (4) 4% (4)		5% (4) 5% (4)	11% (2)	4% (4) 2% (2)	14% (2)			5% (4)
11	4% (4)	5% (1)	3% (3) 1% (1)	11% (2)	2% (2)	14% (2)	<u>-</u> 	7% (1)	1% (1)
12 13	2% (2)	5% (1) -	1% (1) -	<u>-</u>	2% (2) -			7% (1) -	1% (1) -
14	- 1% (1)				- 1% (1)				- 1% (1)
16	- (1)								
17 18	-	-		<u>-</u>		-			-
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	5.92	6.00	5.90	7.11	5.67	7.71	5.00	6.27	5.55
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy									
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Known Unsheltered	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered									
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	11	0	11	4	7	4	0	0	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	·								
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	19	3	4	18	0	4	15	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.					T			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	12	2	10	4	8	3	1	1	7
Returned from Inactive	4	^	4	^	1	^	^	^	1
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	T
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	13	2	11	4	9	3	1	1	8
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the nast 30 days	c						
Housed - Self-Resolved				_	^		4	^	^
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	1	3	2	2	1	1	0	2
Housed - PSH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	4	^		^		^	^		4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	8	1	7	3	5	2	1	0	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact	4	2	2		4		•	2	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	۷		0	4	0	0		2
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	^	^	0	^	^	0	Λ	0	^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	U	0	0	U	0	U 	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	12	3	9	3	9	2	1	2	7
z NET INFLOW	1	-1	2	1	0	1	0	<u>-1</u>	1
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		i	-		Page 16

Northeast CAN Records Youth Non-Youth Families Individuals Non-Youth Youth Y	s Individuals
Northeast CAN	(Non-Youth)
Northeast CAN	71%
Count of all active records having each assessment score.	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score.	60
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.	69
1	
1	
Solid Soli	- 00/ /E\
11% (9)	8% (5) 7% (4)
14% (12)	15% (9) 13% (8) 13% (8)
15% (13)	13% (8) 7% (4)
1	13% (6) 7% (4) 15% (9) 3% (2) 2% (1) 5% (3) 5% (3) 5% (3) 2% (1)
1	3% (2) 2% (1)
13	5% (3) 5% (3)
15	5% (3)
E Average Assessment Score 6.75 7.78 6.63 6.76 6.75 6.75 7.00 7.88 Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness 10 3 7 0 10 0 0 3	∠70 (1) -
E Average Assessment Score 6.75 7.78 6.63 6.76 6.75 6.75 7.00 7.88 Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness 10 3 7 0 10 0 0 3	<u>-</u>
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic homelessness 10 3 7 0 10 0 0 3	6.60
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness 10 3 7 0 10 0 0 0 3	0.00
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness 10 3 7 0 10 0 3	0
Known Unsheltered 19 4 15 0 19 0 0 4	7
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10	15
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource 20 5 15 5 15 4 1 4	11
Enrolled in Transitional Housing J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 10 9 1 2 8 1 1 8	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Newly Added 10 2 8 5 5 5 0 2	3
Returned from Inactive 5 0 5 1 4 1 0 0	4
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL 15 2 13 6 9 6 0 2	7
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Housed - Self-Resolved O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2
Housed - PSH 2 0 2 0 2 0 0	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Laured DDLI	
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 3 U 3 1 Z I U U	2
s Housed Outflow subtotal 7 0 7 1 6 1 0 0	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 5 2 3 0 5 0 0 2	3
x Other Outflow subtotal 5 2 3 0 5 0 0 2	3
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 12 2 10 1 11 1 0 2	9
z NET INFLOW 3 0 3 5 -2 5 0 0	-2

9/25/2018 FYI BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth
Perc	entage of		81%		78%	,	,	()	73%
	east CAN	19%		22%		8%	14%	5%	
Active on BNL	153	29	124	34	119	13	21	8	111
Median Days Active	61	118	48	113	54	41	182	54	53
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re								
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	_		_			_		
1	1% (2) 1% (1)	- 20/ (4)	2% (2)		2% (2)			- 420/ (4)	2% (2)
3	4% (6)	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (5) 10% (12)	6% (2) 12% (4)	1% (1) 3% (4)	8% (1) 8% (1)	5% (1)	13% (1) 	4% (4) 10% (11)
4	12% (18) 14% (22)	21% (6) 21% (6)	10% (12) 13% (16)	12% (4) 26% (9)	12% (14) 11% (13)	8% (1) 23% (3)	14% (3) 29% (6)	38% (3)	10% (11) 12% (13)
6	18% (28)	21% (6) 14% (4)	13% (16) 19% (24)	26% (9) 15% (5)	19% (23)	8% (1)	29% (6) 19% (4)		12% (13) 21% (23)
7	14% (22) 13% (20)	17% (5) 7% (2)	14% (17) 15% (18) 6% (8)	15% (5) 9% (3) 6% (2) 3% (1) 9% (3)	14% (17) 14% (17) 6% (7)	23% (3) 8% (1) 23% (3) 8% (1) 8% (1)	10% (2) 10% (2)	38% (3)	13% (14) 15% (17) 6% (7) 3% (3) 6% (7) 4% (4) 4% (4)
9	6% (9)	3% (1)	6% (8)	6% (2)	6% (7)	8% (1)	10% (2) 5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1)		6% (7)
10 11	3% (5) 7% (10)	7% (2) 3% (1)	2% (3) 7% (9)	3% (1) 9% (3)	3% (4) 6% (7)	- 15% (2)	5% (1) 5% (1)	13% (1)	3% (3) 6% (7)
12	3% (4)		3% (4)		3% (4) 3% (4)	-			4% (4)
13	3% (4) 1% (1)		3% (4) 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	3% (4) 1% (1)		<u>-</u>		4% (4) 1% (1)
15	1% (1)		1% (1)	-	1% (1)	-			1% (1)
16	-		<u>-</u>			-	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
18	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		-					
Average Assessment Score	6.90	6.00	7.11	6.38	7.05	6.77	6.14	5.63	7.15
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	oir combination of	eircumetances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	l		•						
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Chronic (Verified)	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness									
Known Unsheltered	33	0	33	0	33	0	0	0	33
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	26	2	24	5	21	4	1	1	20
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	41	21	20	22	19	2	20	1	18
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		Z I	20	22	19	<u> </u>	20	 	10
Youth at Time of Assessment	31	29	2	22	9	1	21	8	1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment									
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added		_	00		20	4	2	0	20
Clients who have never been active before	20	2	26	6	22	4	2	0	22
Returned from Inactive	17	1	16	2	15	1	1	0	15
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	3	42	8	37	5	3	0	37
		J	42	0	31	J	<u> </u>	U	3/
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 day	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	18	1	17	1	17	1	0	1	16
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	' 	11	I	1 /		U	l 	10
Housed - PSH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH		ļ		<u></u>		ļ	·		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	17	1	16	3	14	2	1	0	14
Housed - All Other	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		_				-			
Housed Outflow subtotal	41	2	39	5	36	4	1	1	35
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	l								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Inactive - Deceased	4	^	4	^	4		^	^	4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	49	2	47	5	44	4	11	11	43
NET INFLOW	-4	1	-5	3	-7	1	2	-1	-6

Percentage of Waterbury Litchfield CAN 8% 8% 8% 6% 2% 7%	9/25/2018 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Percentage of Waterbury Litchfield CAN 8% 80% 80% 8% 5% 7% 7% 7% 8% 8% 7% 7% 8% 8	Waterbury Litchfield CAN	All	All	All Non Youth	All	All	Families	Families		
Materiary Litchfield CAN 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8			Youth		Families		(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Active on BNL 274 23 251 22 252 17 5 18		•		92%		92%				85%
Median Days Active	Waterbury Litchf	ield CAN	8%		8%		6%	2%	7%	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	Active on BNL	274	23	251	22	252	17	5	18	234
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	Median Days Active	176	145	181	161	176	176	145	137	182
Court of all states records having each assessment boxes		ng active r								
10			,							
10	0	-	-	- 20/ (0)		- 20/ /7\		-	-	- 20/ /7)
The column The				4% (9)	5% (1)	4% (9)	0% (1)		- -	3% (7) 4% (9)
15	3	7% (20)	17% (4)	6% (16)	-	8% (20)		-	22% (4)	7% (16) 9% (20)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Status/Condition			17% (4) 13% (3)	10% (24)	5% (1)	9% (22) 10% (26)	 6% (1)	-	11% (2) 17% (3)	9% (20) 10% (23)
1	6	15% (41)	9% (2)	16% (39)	27% (6)	14% (35)	29% (5)	20% (1)	6% (1)	15% (34)
1			4% (1) 4% (1)	11% (27) 14% (36)	9% (2)	10% (26) 14% (35)	12% (2) 12% (2)		6% (1) 6% (1)	11% (25) 15% (34)
1	9	11% (31)	13% (3)	11% (28)	9% (2)	12% (29)	6% (1)	20% (1)	11% (2)	10% (23) 15% (34) 11% (25) 15% (34) 12% (27)
16 1			4% (1)	7% (18)	9% (2) 14% (3)	7% (17) 5% (12)	6% (1) 18% (3)	20% (1)	-	7% (17) 4% (10)
16 1	12		4% (1)	2% (6)	- 14 /0 (0)	3% (7)	- 10 /0 (3)	-	6% (1)	3% (6)
15			4% (1)	1% (3)		2% (4)			6% (1)	3% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
16				0% (1)	-	0% (1)		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	1% (2) 0% (1)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	16	-		_	-	-				
Status Conditions Followed (among active records)		U% (1) -		U% (1) -	5% (1) -	<u> </u>	<u>0% (1)</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	-
Clients caused in such row below are currently earlier on the ENL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Clients caused file was an subject to the dispusses policy	Average Assessment Score			6.90	7.64	6.81	7.94	6.60	6.72	6.82
Refuses CAN Assistance 5										
Clients counted here are uniformate particles 15	-	he BNL, and clier	nts may be count	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Cleants meet HUD definition of Chronic (Verified) 15		5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Clients mater HLD definition of Chronic Homolespansss 10										
National Clients that are continued to be unshiblered A44		15	1	14	2	13	1	1	0	13
Clients that are confirmed to be unabeletered A4		4.4	4	40	^	4.4		^		40
Clients nethered for avaerded a housing resource Substitution Family Substitution Subs	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	44	4	40	U	44	U	U	4	40
Clients method for awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing 5	Matched/Awarded	30	7	23	10	20	7	3	4	16
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing 9			·			20	'	3		
Active clearls who are enrolled in translational rousing Youth at Time of Assessment 24 23 1 5 19 0 5 18	<u> </u>	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 24 23 1 5 19 0 5 18			ļ							
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.		24	23	1	5	19	0	5	18	1
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.										
Newly Added Cilents who have never been active before Returned from Inactive 7 3 4 1 6 0 1 2		nast 30 davs								
Clients who have never been active before 21 2 19 3 10 3 0 2				40		40	_			40
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	_	21	2	19	3	18	3	0	2	16
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL 28 5 23 4 24 3 1 4	Returned from Inactive	7	2	1	1	6	0	1	2	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days					ı			ı		
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- 1	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	28	5	23	4	24	3	1	4	20
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days, all other reasons Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days Lients returned to lousing in past 30 days Lients retur										
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	•	ive on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S.						
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH 2 0 2 1 1 1 0 0 0		1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, an an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all ot			ļ	•		-				
Housed - RRH		2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH										
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal 4		1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 0		^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Inactive - Unable to Contact 2		U	U	U	U	U	U	<u> </u>	U	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	Housed Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	Λ	2	Λ	2	Λ	Λ	Λ	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution			U	۷	U	۷	U	U	U	۷
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased			ļ			·		-		
Inactive - All Other 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 1										
Other Outflow subtotal 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL 7 0 7 3 4 3 0 0		.3	0	.3	0	.3	n	0	0	3
										4
/			-							16
	NET INFLOW	<u> </u>	Ü	10	ı	20	U	1	4	70 Page 19

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).