Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth	ո)
	om last letails for Activ	5 week ve Families (Non-Ye	outh) on pg. 7
Known Unsheltered			o Housing
2 no change		-3 from la	2 sst week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	21	0	8
Fairfield County	69	1	18
Greater Hartford	39	0	9
Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	39 41	0	9 21
		J	
Greater New Haven	41	0	21
Greater New Haven	41 15	0	21

Active In	dividua	ls (Youth)						
198 +3 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9								
Known Unsheltered	ii aetalis for A	Matched to	, , , ,					
6 no change		2 +2 from la	3					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	7	1	0					
Fairfield County	58	1	2					
Greater Hartford	45	0	7					
Greater New Haven	36	0	10					
MMW	13	0	0					
Northeast	12	1	1					
Southeast	10	1	1					
Waterbury Litchfield	17	2	2					

on is below.										
Active I	Familie	s (Youth)								
45 +2 from last week										
		Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8							
Known Unsheltered			Housing							
1		1	0							
+1 from last week		+1 from la	st week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	1	0	0							
Fairfield County	9	0	2							
Greater Hartford	6	0	4							
Greater New Haven	5	0	2							
MMW	2	0	0							
Northeast	1	0	0							
Southeast	17	0	0							
Waterbury Litchfield	4	1	2							

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) +11 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing -2 from last week -4 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 103 11 21 Fairfield County 8 59 355 Greater Hartford 388 35 39 Greater New Haven 230 17 42 MMW 80 2 6 Northeast 70 10 14 Southeast 129 24 21 Waterbury Litchfield 188 44 21 Page 1

All Records Fairfield Fa	Waterbury
A Active on BNL 2,022 132 491 478 312 110 103 165 Median Days Active 125 131 134 148 129 111 83 61 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	Litchfield
Active on BNL 2,022 132 491 478 312 110 103 165 C Median Days Active 125 131 134 148 129 111 83 61	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Description 125 131 134 148 129 111 83 61	11%
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Description of all active records having each assessment score. 0	228
December of all active records having each assessment score 1	185
1	
1	_
10% (205)	3% (6) 4% (9) 8% (19)
12% (247)	8% (19)
12% (241)	9% (20) 11% (25)
10	12% (28) 10% (22)
15	13% (30) 10% (22)
15	8% (19)
15	6% (13) 4% (8) 1% (3) 1% (2)
16	1% (2)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Clients matched in Transitional Housing Clients matched in Transi	1% (2)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing 141 15 54 10 11 2 6 0 1 1 1 2 6 0 1 1 1 2 5 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 5 3 5 3 5	
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance 16	6.89
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	
Chronic (Verified) 200 5 52 52 50 5 10 9	3
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	17
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered 160 12 10 35 17 2 12 25	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing 141 15 54 10 19 7 0 32	47
1 141 1 131 34 10 19 1 0 37	31
J. ACTIVE CIJENTS WNO ARE ENTOJIED IN TRANSITIONAL HOUSING.	4
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 268 12 72 59 44 16 13 28	24
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Newly Added 212 14 47 29 41 10 10 28 28	30
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 43 0 9 7 3 0 11 11	2
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL 255 14 56 36 44 10 21 39	32
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Housed - Self-Resolved	5
Housed - PSH 24 0 7 1 7 0 1 3	5
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 14 0 0 2 2 0 1 5	4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	 1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	
s Housed Outflow subtotal 102 0 11 12 21 1 14 28 Inactive - Unable to Contact 26 4 40 5 4 4 7 2	15
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 30 1 10 5 1 1 7 5	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 2 0 0 0 0 0 2	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased 3 0 1 1 0 0 0	0
Inactive - All Other 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	2
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons x Other Outflow subtotal 43 1 19 6 1 2 7 5	2
V Outflow from Active List TOTAL 145 1 30 18 22 3 21 33	
z NET INFLOW 110 13 26 18 22 7 0 6	17

12/18/2018 FYI BNL Report				0	Overeten		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide		28%	249/	4=0/				
<u> </u>	All Youth	3%	20 /0	21%	17%	6%	5%	11%	9%
Active on BNL	243	8	67	51	41	15	13	27	21
Median Days Active	82	135	95	83	132	102	47	78	97
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.	ng active r	ecords)							
0	2% (4)		3% (2)	2% (1)	- 2% (1)				<u>-</u>
2	2% (4)	-	3% (2)	_	2% (1)		- 8% (1)	-	10% (2)
	5% (13) 12% (28)	13% (1)	9% (6) 9% (6)	2% (1) 12% (6)	2% (1)	- 27% (4)	15% (2)	4% (1) 22% (6)	14% (3) 10% (2)
	17% (41) 18% (44)	25% (2) 13% (1)	18% (12) 18% (12)	18% (9) 24% (12)	12% (5) 12% (5)	27% (4) 7% (1) 27% (4) 7% (1)	15% (2) 23% (3)	30% (8) 19% (5)	10% (2)
7	10% (25)	13% (1)	6% (4)	14% (7) 12% (6) 10% (5)	20% (8) 15% (6) 7% (3)	7% (1)	-	11% (3)	5% (1) 10% (2) 5% (1) 14% (3) 5% (1)
	11% (27) 7% (18)	13% (1)	12% (8) 9% (6)	12% (6) 10% (5)	15% (6) 7% (3)	20% (3)	<u>8% (1)</u> -	4% (1) 7% (2)	10% (2) 5% (1)
	8% (20) 3% (7)	25% (2)	7% (5) 4% (3)	4% (2)	15% (6) 2% (1)	- 7% (1)	15% (2) 8% (1)		14% (3) 5% (1)
12	2% (5)	-	-	2% (1)	5% (2) 2% (1)	7% (1)		<u>-</u>	5% (1)
	2% (4) 1% (2)	- -	<u>1% (1)</u> -	2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>		<u>4% (1)</u> -	- 5% (1)
	0% (1)						8% (1)		
17	•	-	-			-			<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score	6.65	7.00	6.30	6.51	7.71	6.60	7.15	5.81	6.76
Status/Conditions Followed (among a	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t	he BNL, and cliei	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	3
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	33	0	4	11	12	0	1	1	4
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	48	5	9	0	13	3	0	17	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	28	1	9	7	1	0	1	5	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	noot 20 days								
Newly Added	41	1	11	5	12	1	3	3	5
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	 7	0	 1	1	2	 0	 1	2	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			•				<u>'</u>		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	48	1	12	6	14	1	4	5	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	18	0	3	0	9	0	1	4	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	2	0	 0	0	0	0	 0	 2	<u>'</u> 0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	23	0	3	0	9	0	2	7	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	31	0	7	0	9	0	<u>5</u>	8	2
NET INFLOW	17	1	5	6	5	1	-1	-3	3

	12/18/2016 F11 BNL Repoli				Cuesta	Cuartan		Contact box	au.anderson@ct.g	
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Δ	Percentage of S All No	Statewide on-Youth	7%	24%	24%	15%	5%	5%	8%	12%
_	Active on BNL	1,779	124	424	427	271	95	90	138	207
C	Median Days Active	131	131	138	155	127	113	93	60	197
-	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			100	100	121	110	33	00	131
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
		0% (2) 2% (37)	- 1% (1)	0% (2) 3% (14)	- 3% (11)	- 1% (4)	- 1% (1)		<u>-</u>	3% (6)
	2	5% (81)	4% (5) 6% (8)	3% (14) 6% (26) 10% (43)	6% (25) 10% (44)	2% (6) 4% (12)	1% (1) 3% (3)	7% (6) 4% (4)	2% (3) 4% (6)	3% (6) 3% (7) 8% (16)
	4	8% (140) 10% (177)	9% (11)	12% (50) 13% (56)	11% (48)	7% (19)	7% (7) 12% (11) 17% (16)	12% (11)	7% (9) 22% (30)	9% (18) 11% (23)
		13% (226) 13% (232)	10% (12) 16% (20)	13% (56) 13% (55)	12% (53) 12% (50) 14% (59)	10% (26) 10% (28)	17% (16) 24% (23)	11% (10) 8% (7)	22% (30) 17% (23)	11% (23) 13% (26)
	7	12% (222) 11% (191)	15% (18)	12% (49) 8% (32)	14% (59) 11% (45)	12% (33) 10% (26)	24% (23) 12% (11) 6% (6) 6% (6) 8% (8)	14% (13)	17% (23) 12% (17) 14% (20)	13% (26) 10% (21) 14% (28)
	9	8% (146)	15% (18) 8% (10)	6% (25)	6% (25)	13% (36) 9% (25)	6% (6)	9% (8)	14% (20) 10% (14)	10% (21)
	11	6% (113) 5% (90)	6% (7) 3% (4)	13% (55) 12% (49) 8% (32) 6% (25) 6% (27) 5% (23)	11% (45) 6% (25) 4% (19) 5% (20) 3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (4)	10% (26)	1% (1)	17% (15) 9% (8) 6% (5) 2% (2) 6% (5) 4% (4)	4% (6) 1% (2) 3% (4)	8% (16) 6% (12)
		3% (51) 2% (40)	6% (7) 1% (1)	1% (5)	3% (13) 2% (9)	3% (9) 5% (13)	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (5) 4% (4)	3% (4) 1% (2)	6% (12) 3% (7) 1% (3) 0% (1)
	14	1% (15)	1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)	1% (4)	5% (13) 1% (4)			1% (2) 1% (2)	0% (1)
	16	1% (13) 0% (3)	1% (1) -	1% (6) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)				1% (2) -
	17	-	-					-		
E	Average Assessment Score	6.72	6.93	6.25	6.37	7.82	6.16	6.97	6.79	6.91
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nd in multiple rows	depending on the	oir combination of cir	cumetances			
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance		,	•	, ,			4	^	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	16	1	2	6	0	1	1	2	3
	Chronic (Verified)	194	5	52	50	48	5	8	9	17
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	153	11	9	35	17	2	11	24	44
ľ	Matched/Awarded	295	29	77	48	63	7	17	27	27
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing						· 			
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	93	10	45	10	6	4	0	15	3
ľ	Youth at Time of Assessment	25	4	5	8	3	1	0	1	3
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	7	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	'		'	<u> </u>
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs								
ŀ	Newly Added		12	20	04	20	0	7	25	OF.
L	Clients who have never been active before	171	13	36	24	29	9	7 	25 	25
М	Returned from Inactive	36	0	8	6	1	0	10	9	2
N N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	207	13	44	30	30	9	17	34	27
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		10	77	00		<u> </u>	11	U-7	<u> </u>
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
٦	Housed - Self-Resolved	33	0	1	7	2	1	9	9	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	24	0	7	1	7	0	1	3	5
ľ	Housed - RRH	11	0	0	2	2	0	0	4	3
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH								T	
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	0	0	2	1	0	2	5	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	79	0	8	12	12	1	12	21	13
ľ	Inactive - Unable to Contact	28	1	14	5	1	1	4	2	0
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		·	I T		l 			<u></u>	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
-	Inactive - Deceased	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	ა	U	·	I	U	I	U	U	U
N	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
x	Other Outflow subtotal	35	1	15	6	1	2	4	4	2
Ϋ́	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	114	1	23	18	13	3	16	25	15
z	NET INFLOW	93	12	21	12	17	6	1	9	12
_L								•		Page 4

12/18/2018 FYI BNL Report							Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		200/						
	Families	8%	28%	16%	16%	6%	8%	9%	8%
Active on BNL	280	22	78	45	46	17	21	26	23
Median Days Active	82	65	125	85	98	53	64	94	37
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 0% (1)		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> -	- 4% (1)
2	3% (8) 3% (7)	5% (1)	6% (5) 8% (6)	2% (1)			5% (1)	- 4% (1)	
4	11% (30)	5% (1)	8% (6)	16% (7)	9% (4)	18% (3)	10% (2)	15% (4)	13% (3)
5 6	13% (37) 13% (37)	18% (4) 36% (8)	15% (12) 9% (7)	9% (4) 11% (5)	13% (6) 9% (4)	6% (1) 29% (5)		27% (7) 15% (4)	13% (3) 17% (4)
7 8	13% (36) 14% (40)	9% (2)	10% (8) 14% (11)	11% (5) 16% (7)	11% (5)	18% (3) 18% (3)	29% (6) 24% (5)	15% (4) 15% (4) 15% (4) 8% (2)	13% (3)
9	11% (32)	14% (3) 5% (1)	12% (9)	16% (7) 9% (4)	11% (5) 13% (6) 17% (8)	6% (1)	10% (2) 10% (2)	8% (2)	22% (5)
10	6% (17) 4% (11)	-	8% (6) 4% (3)	4% (2)	7% (3) 13% (6)	6% (1) -	10% (2) 5% (1)	<u>-</u> -	13% (3) 4% (1)
12	4% (10) 3% (8)	5% (1) 5% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	16% (7) 4% (2)	7% (3)	6% (1)	5% (1)		
14	1% (2)		3% (2)	- 4 /0 (2)	-	<u>-</u>	-		
15 16	1% (2) 1% (2)	- -	- 1% (1)	2% (1)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	5% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
17	-								
Average Assessment Score	7.25	6.64	6.94	7.84	8.15	6.71	8.05	6.00	6.91
Status/Conditions Followed (among						,			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir				
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	4	4	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Matched/Awarded	82	8	20	13	23	1	3	6	8
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	36	0	15	1	3	0	0	16	 1
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	49	1	9	6	7	2	 1	18	5
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		·		<u> </u>	·	_	•		
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	55	5	9	7	10	7	1	5	9
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	60	5	10	7	11	7	2	6	10
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	18	0	1	3	3	1	2	6	2
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	3
Housed - All Other	5	0	0	1	1	0	 1	2	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	33	0	2	6	5	1	4	10	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	35	0	2	6	6	2	4	10	5
NET INFLOW	25	5	8	1	5	5	-2	-4	5

	2/16/2016 FTI BNL Kepoli				Cuantan	Cuantan		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Δ	Percentage of S All Inc	Statewide dividuals	6%	24%	25%	15%	5%	5%	8%	12%
$^{\prime}$	Active on BNL	1,742	110	413	433	266	93	82	139	205
c	Median Days Active	133	145	134	152	135	124	87	60	205
_	ssessment Score Distribution (amo			104	102	100	127	O1	00	200
	ount of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus)							
	0	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	-	-			-
		2% (40) 4% (77)	1% (1) 4% (4)	4% (16) 6% (23) 10% (43)	3% (12) 6% (24)	2% (5) 2% (6)	1% (1) 3% (3)	- 6% (5)	2% (3)	2% (5) 4% (9) 9% (19)
	3	8% (146)	4% (4) 7% (8)	10% (43)	6% (24) 10% (45)	5% (13)	8% (7)	6% (5) 6% (5)	2% (3) 4% (6)	9% (19)
	5	10% (175) 13% (230)	10% (11) 9% (10)	12% (50) 14% (56)	11% (47) 13% (58)	6% (16) 9% (25)	13% (12) 17% (16)	13% (11) 15% (12)	8% (11) 22% (31) 17% (24) 12% (16)	8% (17) 11% (22)
		14% (239) 12% (211)	12% (13) 15% (17)	15% (60) 11% (45)	13% (57)	11% (29) 14% (36)	24% (22) 10% (9)	12% (10)	17% (24) 12% (16)	12% (24) 9% (19)
	8	10% (178)	14% (15) 9% (10)	7% (29)	10% (44)	10% (26) 12% (31)	6% (6)	13% (11)	12% (17)	15% (30) 8% (17)
		8% (132) 7% (116)	9% (10) 8% (9)	7% (29) 5% (22) 6% (26) 6% (23)	14% (01) 10% (44) 6% (26) 4% (19) 5% (20) 2% (7)	11% (28)	24% (22) 10% (9) 6% (6) 6% (6) 8% (7) 2% (2) 1% (1)	5% (1) 13% (11) 7% (6) 6% (5) 2% (2) 6% (5) 4% (3)	12% (17) 10% (14) 4% (6)	8% (16)
	11	5% (86)	4% (4)	6% (23)	5% (20)	8% (21) 4% (11) 4% (11) 2% (5)	2% (2)	2% (2)	1% (2) 3% (4)	6% (12) 4% (8) 1% (3) 1% (2)
	13	3% (46) 2% (36)	5% (6) -	1% (4) 2% (7) 0% (1)	2% (7) 2% (8) 1% (4)	4% (11)	1% (1)	6% (5) 4% (3)	2% (3) 1% (2)	4% (8) 1% (3)
	14	1% (15) 1% (12)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (1) 1% (6)	1% (4) 0% (1)	2% (5) 1% (2)			1% (2)	1% (2) 1% (2)
	16	0% (1)	170 (1)	170 (0)		0% (1)				170 (Z) -
	17 18	-	-	<u>-</u>	-		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
E	Average Assessment Score	6.62	6.99	6.13	6.23	7.74	6.13	6.72	6.75	6.89
	tatus/Conditions Followed (among ients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F (Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	16	1	2	6	0	1	1	2	3
	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	190	5	48	48	49	5	9	9	 17
Ŭ	Known Unsheltered	157	12	9	35	17	2	11	25	46
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	246	21	61	46	 52	6	15	22	23
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing					16	7	0 0	16	3
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	105	15	39	9					
	ctive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	219	11	63	53	37	14	12	10	19
	ients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	157	9	38	22	31	3	9	23	21
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	38	0	8	7	2	0	10	10	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	195	9	46	29	33	3	19	33	22
	utflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
CI	ients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	33	0	3	4	8	0	8	7	3
Ĭ <u></u>	Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, seri- Housed - PSH		^	^			^	4	^	
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	23	0	6	1	7	0	1 	3	5
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	69	0	9	6	16	0	10	18	10
T_C	Inactive - Unable to Contact lients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	34	1	18	5	0	0	7	3	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
· w -	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal	41	1	19	6	0	1	7	5	2
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	110	1	28	12	16	1	17	23	12
7	NET INFLOW	85	8	18	17	17	2	2	10	10
<u>-</u> ∟	2011			.0	.,	.,				Page 6

12/18/2018 F11 BNL Repoli				Cuantan	Cuanton		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	Weterbury	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of S Families (No		9%	29%	17%	17%	6%	9%	4%	8%	
Active on BNL	235	21	69	39	41	15	20	9	19	
c Median Days Active	77	75	124	85	98	53	73	91	28	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re		127	00	30	55	10	J1	20	
0	- 0% (1)						<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	5% (1)	
2	3% (8)	5% (1)	7% (5)	3% (1)			5% (1)			
	3% (6) 9% (21)	<u>-</u>	9% (6) 9% (6)	10% (4)	7% (3)	13% (2)	- 10% (2)	- 11% (1)	16% (3)	
5	13% (30)	19% (4)	16% (11)	10% (4)	15% (6)	13% (2) 7% (1)		22% (2)	16% (3) 11% (2)	
	13% (31) 13% (31)	38% (8) 10% (2)	7% (5) 10% (7)	13% (5) 13% (5)	10% (4) 10% (4)	27% (4) 20% (3)	30% (6)	11% (1) 11% (1)	21% (4) 16% (3)	
8	15% (35)	14% (3) 5% (1)	12% (8) 13% (9)	18% (7)	12% (5)	20% (3)	25% (5) 10% (2)	33% (3)	-	
	12% (28) 6% (13)	5% (1) -	7% (5)	18% (7) 8% (3) 5% (2)	12% (5) 17% (7) 5% (2)	- 7% (1)	10% (2) 10% (2)	11% (1) -	21% (4) 5% (1) 5% (1)	
11	4% (10)	-	3% (2)	-	15% (6)	-	5% (1)		5% (1)	
	4% (9) 3% (7)	5% (1) 5% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	15% (6) 3% (1)	- 7% (3)	7% (1) -	5% (1)	-	<u>-</u>	
14	1% (2)		1% (1) 3% (2)		-					
	0% (1) 1% (2)		- 1% (1)	3% (1)	<u>2% (1)</u> -					
17	-		-							
E Average Assessment Score	7.31	6.76	6.84	7.87	8.22	6.93	7.70	6.67	6.58	
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the state of th			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	4	3	1	0	1	0	0	
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	72	8	18	9	21	1	3	6	6	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	17	0	13	1	1	0	0	1	1	
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	49	4	8	7	8	6	1	4	9	
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	53	4	9	7	9	6	2	4	10	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	14	0	1	3	2	1	2	3	2	
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	0	2	11	0	0	1	2	
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	
Housed Outflow subtotal	25	0	2	6	4	1	3	5	4	
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	27	0	2	6	5	2	3	5	4	
z NET INFLOW	26	4	7	1	4	4	-1	-1	6 Page 7	

	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	1 airiieiu	Hartioru	New Haven	IVIIVIVV	Northeast	38%	Literineia
Α		s (Youth)	2%	20%	13%	11%	4%	2%	30%	9%
В	Active on BNL	45	1	9	6	5	2	1	17	4
С	Median Days Active	97	5	134	131	132	84	60	97	75
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>
	12	-		<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>
	3	2% (1) 20% (9)	100% (1)		50% (3)	- 20% (1)	50% (1)		6% (1) 18% (3)	
	5	16% (7) 13% (6)		11% (1) 22% (2)			- 50% (1)		29% (5) 18% (3)	25% (1) -
	7	11% (5) 11% (5)		11% (1) 33% (3)		20% (1) 20% (1)			18% (3) 6% (1)	
	9	9% (4) 9% (4)		11% (1)	17% (1)	20% (1) 20% (1)			6% (1)	25% (1) 50% (2)
	11	2% (1)		11% (1)	- 470/ (4)		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u> 	
	13	2% (1) 2% (1)		-	17% (1) 17% (1)		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
	14 15	- 2% (1)	- -			<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	- 100% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
	16 17	-	<u>-</u>			<u> </u>				
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	6.93	- 4.00	- 7.67	- 7.67	- 7.60	5.00	15.00	- 5.65	- 8.50
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			d in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	1	0	0 0	 1	 0	 0	 0	0	0 0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	1								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	·	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	10	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	19	0	2	0	2	0	0	15	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	9	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 davs.								
_	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	6	1	1	0	2	1	0	1	0
_	Returned from Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7							<u>'</u>	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	0
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days.							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	1
Z	NET INFLOW	-1	1	1	0	1	1	-1	-3	-1 Page 8

12/10/2010 F11 BI	12 Kepon				0	Ownertow		Contact bea	du.anderson@ct.g	.gov with question		
Individuals		Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield		
F	Percentage of S Individuals		4%	29%	23%	18%	7%	6%	5%	9%		
R	Active on BNL	198	7	58	45	36	13	12	10	17		
C Me	edian Days Active	80	195	89	75	136	102	45	57	97		
Assessment Score Count of all active records having	Distribution (amo	ng active re			7.5	100	102	10				
0		- 2% (4)	- -	3% (2)	2% (1)	3% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>				
2		2% (4)		3% (2)		-		- 8% (1)		12% (2) 18% (3) 12% (2) 6% (1) 12% (2) 6% (1)		
4		6% (12) 10% (19)	- -	10% (6) 10% (6) 19% (11)	2% (1) 7% (3)	3% (1) -	23% (3) 8% (1)	17% (2)	30% (3)	12% (2)		
5		17% (34) 19% (38)	29% (2) 14% (1)	19% (11) 17% (10)	20% (9) 27% (12)	14% (5) 14% (5)	8% (1) 23% (3)	17% (2) 25% (3)	30% (3) 20% (2)	6% (1) 12% (2)		
7		10% (20)	14% (1)	5% (3)	16% (7)	19% (7)	23% (3) 8% (1)	-		6% (1)		
8		11% (22) 7% (14)	14% (1)	9% (5) 10% (6)	13% (6) 9% (4)	14% (5) 6% (2)	23% (3)	8% (1) -	- 10% (1)	12% (2)		
10		8% (16)	29% (2)	7% (4)	4% (2)	14% (5) 3% (1)	- 8% (1)	17% (2)		6% (1) 6% (1)		
11		3% (6) 2% (4)		3% (2)	 -	6% (2)	8% (1) 8% (1)	8% (1) -		6% (1) 6% (1)		
13		2% (3)		2% (1)		3% (1)			10% (1)	- 6% (1)		
14 		1% (2) -	-			3% <u>(1)</u> -	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	U% (I) -		
16 17		-	<u>-</u>	-			<u>-</u>			-		
18		-					<u>-</u>		-			
	verage Assessment Score	6.59	7.43	6.09	6.36	7.72	6.85	6.50	6.10	6.35		
Status/Conditions F Clients counted in each row bea				ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.					
Refuses	CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
F Clients counted here are subject	ect to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	5	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0		
G Clients meet HUD definition of												
H Clients that are co.	nfirmed to be unsheltered	6	1	1 	0	0	0	1 	1 	2		
	latched/Awarded a housing resource	23	0	2	7	10	0	1	1	2		
Enrolled in Tran	sitional Housing	29	5	7	0	11	3	0	2	1		
Aging Out of Yout Active clients who are 24.5	h Next 6 Months	19	1	7	7	1	0	1	1	1		
Inflow to Active List	t: Past 30 Days	e past 30 days.										
	Newly Added	35	0	10	5	10	0	3	2	5		
Return	never been active before ned from Inactive	6	0	 1	1	2	0	 1	 1	 0		
	eason who are now active	41	0	11	6	12	0		3			
			0	11	6	12	0	4	J	5		
Outflow from Active Clients below were returned to			the past 30 days									
	d - Self-Resolved sing in past 30 days, self-	14	0	3	0	8	0	1	1	1		
P Clients returned to housing i	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Q Clients returned to housing i	Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Ho	oused - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	 1	0		
R Clients returned to housing S Housea	in past 30 days, all other Outflow subtotal	15	0	3	0	8	0	1	2	1		
Inactive - U T Clients made inactive in past 3	nable to Contact	8	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	0		
	In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
lna	ctive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Ina	n past 30 days, deceased active - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W Clients made inactive in past 3 x Other	30 days, all other reasons r Outflow subtotal	8	0	4	0	0	0	3	1	0		
Outflow from Ac		23	0	7	0	8	0	4	3	1		
z	NET INFLOW	18	0	4	6	4	0	0	0	4		
_			-		-			_		Page 9		

Percentage of Statewise Percentage of Percentage	12/16/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL				Cuantan	Cuantan		Contact bot	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Mon-Youth) 76			Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Active on BNL 1,544 103 355 388 230 80 70 129 188	1		7%	23%	25%	15%	5%	5%	8%	12%
Median Days Active 139 145 140 164 135 126 103 60 216	-		103	355	388	230	80	70	129	188
Security of all school possible stage (set all socious of source of a school possible stage) (set all socious of source of a school possible stage) (set all socious of source of a school possible stage) (set all socious of source of a school possible stage) (set all socious of source of a school possible stage) (set all socious of source of s										
Count of all native records involve peach assessment visuous 10 to 2	,			140	104	133	120	103	00	210
1			ecorus)							
1.5 1.5		0% (2)	-	1% (2)	-		-	-	-	-
1.5 1.5	1		1% (1) 4% (4)	4% (14) 6% (21)	3% (11) 6% (24)	2% (4) 3% (6)	1% (1) 4% (3)	- 7% (5)	2% (3)	3% (5) 4% (7)
1.5	3	9% (134)	8% (8)	10% (37)	11% (44)	5% (12)	9% (7)	6% (4)	5% (6)	9% (16)
1.5	5			12% (44) 13% (45)	13% (49)	7% (16) 9% (20)	11% (9) 19% (15)		6% (8) 22% (28)	8% (15) 11% (21)
1	6	13% (201)	12% (12)	14% (50)	12% (45)	10% (24)	24% (19)	10% (7)	17% (22)	12% (22)
1		10% (156)	15% (15)	7% (24)	10% (38)	9% (21)	4% (3)	14% (10)	13% (17)	15% (28)
1			9% (9) 7% (7)	5% (16) 6% (22)	6% (22) 4% (17)	13% (29)	8% (6)	9% (6) 4% (3)	10% (13)	9% (17) 8% (15)
1	11	5% (80)	4% (4)	6% (21)	5% (20)	9% (20)	1% (1)	1% (1)	2% (2)	6% (11)
15			6% (6)	1% (4)	2% (7) 2% (8)	4% (9) 4% (10)	- 1% (1)	7% (5) 4% (3)	3% (4) 2% (2)	4% (7) 2% (3)
16	14	1% (13)	1% (1)	0% (1)	1% (4)	2% (4)			2% (2)	1% (1)
17			1% (1) -	2% (6) -	<u>0% (1)</u> -	1% (2) 0% (1)	<u> </u>		<u>-</u> -	1% (2) -
Status Control (among active records) 6.96 6.94 6.21 7.75 6.01 6.76 6.80 6.94 Status Counted in each new below are currently, active on the BVL and clients may be counted in multiple nous depending on their combination of circumstances.	17									
Clients control in each row below are currently active on the BAL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.		6.63	6.96	6.14	6.21	7.75	6.01	6.76	6.80	6.94
Chemic foundation and engineer protection 185 5 48 47 47 5 7 9 17				ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Clients most PLIP colorisms of Chronic (Verified) 185 5	Refuses CAN Assistance	16	1	2	6	Λ	1	1	2	2
Clients medit HLD addition of Chronic Homelespeeds 151 11 8 35 17 2 10 24 44 Known Unsheltered Clients that are continued to be unsheltered Clients that are continued to be unsheltered Clients that are continued to a manufactive of the continued to a manufactive of the continued to a manufactive of the clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 21 4 5 8 1 1 0 0 2 Active clients and were under 25 at time of assessment 21 4 5 8 1 1 0 0 0 2 Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Newly Added 122 9 28 17 21 3 6 21 16 Clients who have newled view of a manufactive below 32 0 7 6 0 0 9 9 1 Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days 22 0 7 6 0 0 9 9 1 Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days 23 24 3 15 30 17 Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days 23 24 3 15 30 17 Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days 23 24 3 15 30 17 Outflow from Inactive 19 0 0 4 0 0 7 6 2 Clients returned to housing a past 30 days, self-HOused - PSH 23 0 6 1 7 0 1 3 5 Clients returned to housing a past 30 days, self-HOused - RRH 5 0 0 1 0 0 3 1 Clients returned to housing a past 30 days, self-HOused - RRH 5 0 0 0 1 4 1 Clients returned to housing a past 30 days, self-HOused - RRH 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		10		۷	·····	U	l 	ı		J
National Clients that are continued to be unstableted 151 111 8 35 177 2 10 24 44 44 21 21 21 22 32 21 59 39 42 6 14 21 21 21 21 22 23 21 59 39 42 6 14 21 21 21 21 22 23 21 59 39 42 6 14 21 21 21 21 22 23 21 25 23 21 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		185	5	48	47	47	5	7	9	17
Clients that are confirmed to be unsharized 223 21 59 39 42 6 14 21 21 21 21 21 21 21										
Matched/Awarded 223 21 59 39 42 6 14 21 21		151	11	8	35	17	2	10	24	44
Clients natured to it or awarded a housing in past 30 days. To 10 32 9 5 4 0 14 2 2 2 3 4 5 8 1 1 0 0 2 3 2 3 4 4	Matched/Awarded	223	21	59	39	42	6	14	21	21
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Yo 10 32 9 3 4 0 14 2		220	<u> </u>					17	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Youth at Time of Assessment Zero Zero	1	76	10	32	9	5	4	0	14	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Security Secu		04	4			4	4	^		
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	21	4	5	8	1	1	0	0	2
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive 32 0 7 6 0 0 9 9 1										
Clients who have never been active before 122 9 26 17 21 3 0 21 16										
Returned from Inactive 32 0 7 6 0 0 9 9 1		122	9	28	17	21	3	6	21	16
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 154 9 35 23 21 3 15 30 17										
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days		32	0	1	6	0	0	9	9	1
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	154	9	35	23	21	3	15	30	17
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- 19	·	ctive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH S D D D D D D D D D		19	0	0	4	0	0	7	6	2
Part Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 23 0 6 1 7 0 1 3 5	enerice retained to necessing in past or days, con	ļ								
Housed - RRH		23	0	6	1	7	0	1	3	5
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	Housed - RRH	5	n	n	n	1	n	n	ર	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 7				U		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I
Solution of Interest in Pack of Subject in States Subject in S	_	7	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact 26	Chorte returned to riodoling in past of days, all other	54	0	6	6	8	0	Q	16	Q
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 26							-			
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Z		26	1	14	5	U	U	4	2	U
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		2	n	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased S O O O O O O O O O		 	ļ	·			······	·	<u>-</u> 	
Inactive - All Other 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2		3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Z 0 0 0 0 0 2 X Other Outflow subtotal 33 1 15 6 0 1 4 4 2 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 87 1 21 12 8 1 13 20 11		2	^	Λ		Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	າ
V Outflow from Active List TOTAL 87 1 21 12 8 1 13 20 11	·		U				U	U	U	
							1			
z NET INFLOW 67 8 14 11 13 2 2 10 6							1			
Page 10	z NET INFLOW	67	8	14	11	13	2	2	10	6

12/18/2018 FYI BNL Report								au.anderson@ct.	
Statewide BNL	All	All	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non Youth)	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	88%	Families	86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth
	entage of	12%	00 70	14%	0070	12%	00/	10%	7070
	vide BNL				1= 10		2%		
Active on BNL	2,022	243	1779	280	1742	235	45	198	1544
Median Days Active	125	82	131	82	133	77	97	80	139
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
0	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	-	-	0% (2) 2% (36)
	2% (41) 4% (85)	2% (4) 2% (4)	0% (2) 2% (37) 5% (81)	0% (1) 3% (8)	0% (2) 2% (40) 4% (77)	0% (1) 3% (8)		2% (4) 2% (4)	2% (36) 5% (73)
3	8% (153)	5% (13) 12% (28)	8% (140)	3% (7) 11% (30)	8% (146)	3% (6)	2% (1) 20% (9)	6% (12) 10% (19)	9% (134) 10% (156)
	10% (205) 13% (267)	12% (28) 17% (41)	10% (177) 13% (226)	11% (30) 13% (37)	10% (175) 13% (230)	9% (21) 13% (30)	20% (9) 16% (7) 13% (6)	10% (19) 17% (34) 19% (38)	10% (156) 13% (196)
	14% (276) 12% (247)	17% (41) 18% (44) 10% (25)	13% (232)	13% (37)	14% (239) 12% (211)	13% (31)	13% (6) 11% (5)	19% (38) 10% (20)	13% (201) 12% (191)
8	11% (218)	11% (27)	3% (140) 10% (177) 13% (226) 13% (232) 12% (222) 11% (191) 8% (146)	13% (37) 13% (37) 13% (36) 14% (40) 11% (32)	8% (146) 10% (175) 13% (230) 14% (239) 12% (211) 10% (178) 8% (132)	15% (35)	11% (5)	10% (20) 11% (22)	10% (156)
	8% (164) 7% (133)	7% (18) 8% (20)	8% (146) 6% (113)	11% (32) 6% (17)	8% (132) 7% (116)	12% (28) 6% (13)	9% (4) 9% (4)	7% (14) 8% (16)	8% (118) 6% (100)
11	5% (97) 3% (56)	3% (7) 2% (5)	5% (90) 3% (51) 2% (40) 1% (15) 1% (13) 0% (3)	6% (17) 4% (11)	7% (116) 5% (86) 3% (46) 2% (36) 1% (15) 1% (12)	3% (6) 9% (21) 13% (30) 13% (31) 13% (31) 15% (35) 12% (28) 6% (13) 4% (10) 4% (9) 3% (7)	11% (5) 9% (4) 9% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	8% (16) 3% (6)	6% (100) 5% (80)
13	2% (44)	2% (4)	2% (40)	4% (10) 3% (8)	2% (36)	3% (7)	2% (1)	2% (4) 2% (3)	3% (42) 2% (33)
	1% (17) 1% (14)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (15) 1% (13)	1% (2) 1% (2)	1% (15) 1% (12)	1% (2) 0% (1)	- 2% (1)	1% <u>(2)</u> -	1% (13) 1% (12)
16	0% (3)		0% (3)	1% (2)	0% (1)	1% (2)			0% (1)
17 18	- -		<u>-</u>			-	-	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.71	6.65	6.72	7.25	6.62	7.31	6.93	6.59	6.63
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	16	0	16	0	16	0	0	0	16
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	10				10	U			
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	200	6	194	10	190	9	1	5	185
Known Unsheltered	400	7	450		457		4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	454
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	160	7	153	3	157	2	1	6	151
Matched/Awarded	328	33	295	82	246	72	10	23	223
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	141	48	93	36	105	17	19	29	76
Youth at Time of Assessment	268	243	25	49	219	4	45	198	21
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment									
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.								
Newly Added	212	41	171	55	157	49	6	35	122
Clients who have never been active before	Z 1Z	41			107	49	6 	აა	122
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	43	7	36	5	38	4	1	6	32
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	255	48	207	60	195	53	7	41	154
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day							·		
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	51	18	33	18	33	14	4	14	19
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	24	0	24	1	23	1	0	0	23
Housed - RRH	14	3	11	9	5	6	3	0	5
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH			l I				<u>.</u>		
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	13	2	11	5	8	4	1	1	7
Housed Outflow subtotal	102	23	79	33	69	25	8	15	54
Inactive - Unable to Contact	36	8	28	2	34	2	0	8	26
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	JU	0		<u></u>	J 4	<u></u>	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - Deceased	·	^			<u> </u>	^	^	^	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	43	8	35	2	41	2	0	8	33
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	145	31		35	110	27	<u> </u>	23	87
NET INFLOW	110	17	93	25	85	26	<u>-1</u>	18	67
				0			•		Page 1

12/18/2018 FYI BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		gov with questions Individuals	
Central CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)	
Perce	entage of		94%		83%	(()	()	78%	
	tral CAN	6%		17%		16%	1%	5%		
Active on BNL	132	8	124	22	110	21	1	7	103	
Median Days Active	131	135	131	65	145	75	5	195	145	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)								
Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	
1	1% (1) 4% (5)		1% (1) 4% (5)	5% (1)	1% (1) 4% (4)	5% (1)	-		1% (1) 4% (4) 8% (8) 11% (11)	
3	6% (8) 9% (12)	- 13% (1)	6% (8) 9% (11)	5% (1)	7% (8) 10% (11)		- 100% (1)		8% (8)	
5	11% (14)	25% (2)	10% (12)	18% (4)	9% (10)	19% (4)		29% (2)	8% (8)	
7	16% (21) 14% (19)	13% (1) 13% (1)	16% (20) 15% (18)	36% (8) 9% (2)	12% (13) 15% (17)	38% (8) 10% (2)		14% (1) 14% (1)	12% (12) 16% (16)	
8	14% (18) 8% (11)	- 13% (1)	15% (18) 15% (18) 15% (10)	14% (3) 5% (1)	9% (10) 12% (13) 15% (17) 14% (15) 9% (10)	19% (4) 38% (8) 10% (2) 14% (3) 5% (1)	<u>-</u>	- 14% (1)	15% (15) 9% (9)	
10	7% (9) 3% (4)	25% (2) -	6% (7) 3% (4)		6% (9)		-	29% (2)	8% (8) 12% (12) 16% (16) 15% (15) 9% (9) 7% (7) 4% (4)	
12	5% (7) 1% (1)		6% (7) 1% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	4% (4) 5% (6)	5% (1) 5% (1)			0% (0)	
14	1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1)				1% (1)	
15 1 6	1% (1) -	-	1% (1) 		1% (1) -		-	<u>-</u>	1% (1) -	
17	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.93	7.00 rds)	6.93	6.64	6.99	6.76	4.00	7.43	6.96	
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of d	circumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	
Known Unsheltered	12	1	11	0	12	0	0	1	 11	
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	29	0	29	8	21	 8	0	0	 21	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	15	5	10	0	15	0	0	5	10	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	12	8	4	1	 11	0	 1	7	4	
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	12		<u>'</u>	'			'	•	•	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	14	1	13	5	9	4	1	0	9	
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	14	1	13	5	9	4	1	0	9	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		•				· ·		•	•	
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1 	
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Z NET INFLOW	13	1	12	5	8	4	1	0	8 Page 12	

	TZ/16/2016 FTI BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
	Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		86%		84%				72%
Α	Fairfield Cou	inty CAN	14%		16%		14%	2%	12%	
В	Active on BNL	491	67	424	78	413	69	9	58	355
С	Median Days Active	134	95	138	125	134	124	134	89	140
D	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
U	0	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-			1% (2)
	2	3% (16) 6% (28)	3% (2) 3% (2)	0% (2) 3% (14) 6% (26) 10% (43)	6% (5) 8% (6)	0% (2) 4% (16) 6% (23) 10% (43)	- 7% (5)		3% (2) 3% (2) 10% (6)	1% (2) 4% (14) 6% (21)
		10% (49) 11% (56)	9% (6) 9% (6)	10% (43) 12% (50) 13% (56)	8% (6) 8% (6) 15% (12)	12% (50)	9% (6) 9% (6)	<u>-</u> -	10% (6)	10% (37) 12% (44) 13% (45)
		14% (68) 14% (67)	18% (12) 18% (12)	13% (56) 13% (55)	15% (12) 9% (7)	14% (56) 15% (60)	16% (11) 7% (5)	11% (1) 22% (2)	19% (11) 17% (10)	13% (45) 14% (50)
		11% (53) 8% (40)	6% (4)	12% (49) 8% (32)	9% (7) 10% (8) 14% (11)	11% (45)	10% (7) 12% (8)	22% (2) 11% (1) 33% (3)	5% (3)	14% (50) 12% (42) 7% (24)
	9	6% (31) 7% (32)	12% (8) 9% (6) 7% (5)	13% (55) 12% (49) 8% (32) 6% (25) 6% (27) 5% (23)	14% (11) 12% (9) 8% (6) 4% (3)	7% (29) 5% (22) 6% (26) 6% (23)	13% (9) 7% (5)	11% (1)	9% (5) 10% (6) 7% (4)	7% (24) 5% (16) 6% (22)
	11	5% (26) 1% (5)	4% (3)	5% (23) 1% (5)	4% (3) 1% (1)	6% (23) 1% (4)	9% (6) 9% (6) 16% (11) 7% (5) 10% (7) 12% (8) 13% (9) 7% (5) 3% (2) 1% (1)	11% (1)	3% (2)	6% (21) 1% (4) 2% (6) 0% (1)
	13	2% (8) 1% (3)	1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)	1% (1) 3% (2)	2% (7) 0% (1)	1% (1) 1% (2)		2% (1)	2% (6)
	15	1% (6)	-	1% (5) 1% (6) 0% (1)	-	1% (6)	-	-	-	2% (6)
	17	0% (1) -	-	U% (1) - -	1% (1) -		1% (1) 			
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	6.26	6.30	6.25	6.94	6.13	6.84	7.67	6.09	6.14
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ad in multiple rever	depending on the	oir combination of	ircumetances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance				, ,			0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	52	0	52	4	48	4	0	0	48
	Known Unsheltered	10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								·	
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	81	4	77	20	61	18	2	2	59
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	54	9	45	15	39	13	2	7	32
Ü	Youth at Time of Assessment	72	67	5	9	63	0	9	 58	5
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	12	07	3	9	03	U	<u> </u>		J
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.								
	Newly Added	47	11	36	9	38	8	1	10	28
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive							·		
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	9	1	8	1	8	1	0	1	7
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	56	12	44	10	46	9	1	11	35
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the neet 20 down							
	Housed - Self-Resolved				4	2	1	^	2	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	3	1	1	3	1 	0	3	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
-	Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	11	3	8	2	9	2	0	3	6
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	18	4	14	0	18	0	0	4	14
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	19	4	15	0	19	0	0	4	15
Ϋ́	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	30	7	23	2	28	2	0	7	21
Z	NET INFLOW	26	5	21	8	18	7	1	4	14
										Page 13

	Overland and Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.q Individuals	Individuals
	Greater Hartford CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of		89%		91%				81%
Α	Greater Harti		11%		9%		8%	1%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	478	51	427	45	433	39	6	45	388
С	Median Days Active	148	83	155	85	152	85	131	75	164
D	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
	0	-	-	-	-	-		=		-
	2	3% (12) 5% (25)	2% (1) -	3% (11) 6% (25)	2% (1)	3% (12) 6% (24) 10% (45)	3% (1)	<u>-</u>	2% <u>(1)</u> -	3% (11) 6% (24) 11% (44) 11% (44) 13% (49)
		9% (45) 11% (54)	2% (1) 12% (6) 18% (9)	10% (44) 11% (48)	- 16% (7) 9% (4)	11% (47)	- 10% (4)	- 50% (3)	2% (1) 7% (3)	11% (44) 11% (44)
		13% (62) 13% (62)	24% (12)	12% (53) 12% (50) 14% (59)	9% (4) 11% (5)	13% (58) 13% (57) 14% (61)	10% (4) 13% (5)	<u>-</u> -	20% (9) 27% (12)	13% (49) 12% (45) 14% (54)
		14% (66) 11% (51)	14% (7)	14% (59) 11% (45)	11% (5) 11% (5) 16% (7)	14% (61) 10% (44)	13% (5) 18% (7)		16% (7)	14% (54) 10% (38)
	9	6% (30) 4% (21)	12% (6) 10% (5) 4% (2)	6% (25) 4% (19)	16% (7) 9% (4) 4% (2)	6% (26) 4% (19)	10% (4) 10% (4) 13% (5) 13% (5) 18% (7) 8% (3) 5% (2)	17% (1) -	13% (6) 9% (4) 4% (2)	10% (38) 6% (22) 4% (17)
	11	4% (20) 3% (14)	2% (1)	14% (39) 11% (45) 6% (25) 4% (19) 5% (20) 3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (4)	16% (7)	10% (44) 6% (26) 4% (19) 5% (20) 2% (7)	15% (6)	- 17% (1)		5% (20) 2% (7) 2% (8) 1% (4)
	13	2% (10)	2% (1)	2% (9)	4% (2)	2% (8) 1% (4)	3% (1)	17% (1)		2% (8)
	15	1% (4) 0% (1)	-	0% (1)		0% (1)				1% (4) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1) -	-	0% (1) 	2% (1) -	<u>-</u>	3% (1) 	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	6.38	- 6.51	6.37	7.84	6.23	7.87	7.67	6.36	- 6.21
	Status/Conditions Followed (among						. ,			
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on to Refuses CAN Assistance									
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	52	2	50	4	48	3	1	1	47
J	Known Unsheltered	35	0	35	0	35	0	0	0	35
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered			აა			U			
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	59	11	48	13	46	9	4	7	39
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	59	51	8	6	53	0	6	45	8
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	1 20 . 1								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		_	0.4	_		_			4-
L	Clients who have never been active before	29	5	24	7	22	7	0	5	17
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	1	6	0	7	0	0	1	6
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	36	6	30	7	29	7	0	6	23
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact	ive on the BNL in	the past 30 day				T .			
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	0	7	3	4	3	0	0	4
_	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
۲	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	12	0	12	6	6	6	0	0	6
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
ĺ	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
χ ν	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	6 18	0 0	6 18	<u>0</u>	6 12	6 6	<u>0</u>	0 0	6 12
Y 7	NET INFLOW	18	6	18	1	17	1	0	6	11
4	ALI IIII LOW	10	U	14			'		U	Page 14

Orester New House CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Greater New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	400/	87%	450/	85%	400/			74%
A Greater New Ha		13%		15%		13%	2%	12%	
Active on BNL	312	41	271	46	266	41	5	36	230
c Median Days Active	129	132	127	98	135	98	132	136	135
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	-	-			-			-	
2	2% (5) 2% (6)	2% (1) -	1% (4) 2% (6)	-	2% (5) 2% (6) 5% (13)		<u>-</u>	3% <u>(1)</u> -	2% (4) 3% (6) 5% (12)
	4% (13) 6% (20)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (12) 7% (19)	9% (4) 13% (6)	5% (13) 6% (16) 9% (25)	- 7% (3)	20% (1)	3% (1) -	5% (12) 7% (16) 9% (20)
	10% (31) 11% (33)	12% (5) 12% (5)	10% (26) 10% (28) 12% (33)	13% (6) 9% (4) 11% (5)	11% (29)	15% (6) 10% (4)	<u>-</u>	14% (5) 14% (5)	9% (20) 10% (24)
	13% (41) 10% (32)	20% (8)	12% (33) 10% (26)	11% (5) 13% (6)	14% (36) 10% (26)	10% (4) 12% (5) 17% (7) 5% (2) 15% (6)	20% (1) 20% (1)	19% (7)	10% (24) 13% (29) 9% (21)
9	13% (39) 10% (31)	15% (6) 7% (3) 15% (6) 2% (1)	13% (36) 9% (25)	13% (6) 17% (8) 7% (3)	10% (26) 12% (31) 11% (28)	17% (7) 5% (2)	20% (1) 20% (1) 20% (1) 20% (1)	14% (5) 6% (2) 14% (5)	9% (21) 13% (29) 10% (23)
11	9% (27) 4% (11)	2% (1) 5% (2)	10% (26) 13% (36) 9% (25) 10% (26) 3% (9)	13% (6)	8% (21) 4% (11) 4% (11) 2% (5)	15% (6)		14% (5) 3% (1) 6% (2) 3% (1) 3% (1)	10% (23) 9% (20) 4% (9)
13	4% (14)	2% (1)	5% (13) 1% (4)	7% (3)	4% (11)	7% (3)		3% (1)	4% (10) 2% (4) 1% (2)
15	2% (5) 1% (3)	2% (1) -	1% (3)	2% (1)	1% (2)	2% (1)		370 (I) -	1% (2)
17	0% (1) -	-	0% (1) 	-	0% (1) -	<u>-</u>	-	-	0% (1) -
E Average Assessment Score	7.80	7.71	7.82	- 8.15	7.74	8.22	7.60	- 7.72	- 7.75
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		,	,	, 0					_
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	50	2	48	1	49	1	0	2	47
Known Unsheltered	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	17
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	17		17		17	U 			17
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	75	12	63	23	52	21	2	10	42
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	19	13	6	3	16	1	2	11	5
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment						·			
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	44	41	3	7	37	2	5	36	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	, ,					_			
Clients who have never been active before	41	12	29	10	31	8	2	10	21
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	14	30	11	33	9	2	12	21
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inaci	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S.			T			
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	9	2	3	8	2	1	8	0
Housed - PSH	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH					·				·
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	1 	1 	1 	0	0	1
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	21	9	12	5	16	4	1	8	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution				·					
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons				U					
Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	1	16	1	0	0	0
 Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW 	22 22	9 5	13 17	<u>6</u> 5	16 17	5 4	<u>1</u> 1	<u>8</u> 4	8 13
NET INFLOW		Ü	17	J	11	4	1	4	Page 15

MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.g Individuals	Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 85%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of MW CAN	14%	00%	15%	65%	14%	2%	12%	13%
A Active on BNL	110	15	95	17	93	15	2	13	80
c Median Days Active	111	102	113	53	124	53	84	102	126
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			110	- 00	121		01	102	120
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		,				T			
1	1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1)				1% (1)
3	3% (3) 6% (7)		3% (3) 7% (7)		3% (3) 8% (7)				4% (3) 9% (7) 11% (9)
5	14% (15) 15% (17)	27% (4) 7% (1)	12% (11) 17% (16)	18% (3) 6% (1)	13% (12) 17% (16)	13% (2) 7% (1)	50% (1) -	23% (3) 8% (1)	11% (9) 19% (15)
6 7	25% (27) 11% (12)	27% (4) 7% (1)	24% (23) 12% (11)	29% (5) 18% (3)	24% (22) 10% (9)	13% (2) 7% (1) 27% (4) 20% (3) 20% (3)	50% (1) -	23% (3) 8% (1)	19% (15) 24% (19) 10% (8)
8	8% (9) 5% (6)	20% (3) -	6% (6) 6% (6)	18% (3) -	6% (6) 6% (6)	-		23% (3)	4% (3) 8% (6) 9% (7)
10	7% (8) 2% (2)	- 7% (1)	8% (8) 1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (1) -	8% (7) 2% (2) 1% (1)	7% (1) -		- 8% (1)	9% (7) 1% (1)
12	2% (2) 1% (1)	7% (1) -	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% <u>(1)</u> -	1% (1) 1% (1)	7% <u>(1)</u> -	-	8% (1) -	1% (1)
14	-		- -			-			-
16	-		- - -			-			
E Average Assessment Score	6.22	6.60	- 6.16	6.71	6.13	6.93	5.00	6.85	6.01
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	active reco	rds)		depending on the					
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Matched/Awarded	7	0	7	 1	6	1	0	0	6
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	16	15	1	2	14	0	2	13	 1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.					T			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	10	1	9	7	3	6	1	0	3
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	10	1	9	7	3	6	1	0	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		the post 20 d							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				4	0	4	^	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
z NET INFLOW	7	1	6	5	2	4	1	0	2 Page 16

12/18/2018 FTT BNL REPORT	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias		au.anderson@ct.ç	<u> </u>
Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perce	ntage of		87%		80%	()	(222)	(222 /	68%
	ast CAN	13%		20%		19%	1%	12%	
B Active on BNL	103	13	90	21	82	20	1	12	70
c Median Days Active	83	47	93	64	87	73	60	45	103
Assessment Score Distribution (amo				<u> </u>	<u> </u>				.,,,
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.	J								
0			<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -				
2	6% (6) 5% (5)	- 8% (1)	7% (6) 4% (4)	5% (1)	6% (5) 6% (5)	5% (1)		8% (1)	7% (5) 6% (4) 13% (9)
4	13% (13)	15% (2)	12% (11)	10% (2)	13% (11)	10% (2)	<u>-</u>	17% (2)	13% (9)
6	12% (12) 10% (10)	15% (2) 23% (3)	11% (10) 8% (7)		15% (12) 12% (10)		<u>-</u>	17% (2) 25% (3)	14% (10) 10% (7)
	13% (13) 16% (16)	- 8% (1)	14% (13) 17% (15)	29% (6) 24% (5)	9% (7) 13% (11)	30% (6) 25% (5) 10% (2)	 -	- 8% (1)	10% (7)
9	8% (8) 7% (7)	- 15% (2)	17% (15) 17% (15) 9% (8) 6% (5)	24% (5) 10% (2) 10% (2)	13% (11) 7% (6)	10% (2) 10% (2)		17% (2)	14% (10) 9% (6) 4% (3) 1% (1) 7% (5) 4% (3)
11	3% (3)	8% (1)	2% (2)	5% (1)	6% (5) 2% (2)	5% (1)	-	8% (1)	1% (1)
13	5% (5) 4% (4)	-	6% (5) 4% (4)	5% (1)	6% (5) 4% (3)	- 5% (1)			7% (5) 4% (3)
15	1% (1)	- 8% (1)	<u>-</u> -	- 5% (1)			100% (1)		<u>-</u> -
16	•								
18				-			45.00		
Status/Conditions Followed (among a	6.99 active reco	7.15 rds)	6.97	8.05	6.72	7.70	15.00	6.50	6.76
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on to			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	10	2	 8	1	9	 1	0	2	7
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	12	1	11	1	 11	1	0	1	10
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded				·					
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	18	1	17	3	15	3	0	1 	14
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	13	13	0	1	12	0	1	12	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	nost 20 days								
Newly Added	10	3	7	1	9	1	0	3	6
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive				' 					
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	11	1	10	1	10	1	0	1	9
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	21	4	17	2	19	2	0	4	15
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the nast 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved		. ,			^	_	^	4	7
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	1	9	2	8 	2	0	1 	7
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSIT	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Housed - All Other	2	0	2	1	 1	 1	0	0	 1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	14	2	12	4	10	3	1	1	9
Inactive - Unable to Contact	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	21	5	16	4	17	3	1	4	13
z NET INFLOW	0	-1	1	-2	2	-1	-1	0	2 Page 17

12/18/2018 FYI BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of	1000	84%		84%	(11011 1 0 0 0 11)	(1000)	(1000.)	78%
	east CAN	16%		16%		5%	10%	6%	
Active on BNL	165	27	138	26	139	9	17	10	129
Median Days Active		78	60	94	60	91	97	57	60
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			- 00	J-1		J 01		01	
Count of all active records having each assessment score		0001407							
0	-	-		- -	-	-			
2	2% (3)	- 4% (1)	2% (3)	- 40/ /4)	2% (3)				2% (3)
4	4% (7) 9% (15)	22% (6)	4% (6) 7% (9)	4% (1) 15% (4)	4% (6) 8% (11)	11% (1)	6% (1) 18% (3)	30% (3)	5% (6) 6% (8)
5	23% (38) 17% (28)	30% (8) 19% (5)	22% (30) 17% (23)	27% (7) 15% (4)	22% (31) 17% (24)	22% (2) 11% (1)	29% (5) 18% (3)	30% (3) 20% (2)	22% (28) 17% (22)
7	12% (20) 13% (21)	11% (3) 4% (1) 7% (2)	12% (17) 14% (20) 10% (14)	15% (4) 15% (4) 15% (4) 8% (2)	22% (31) 17% (24) 12% (16) 12% (17) 10% (14)	22% (2) 11% (1) 11% (1) 33% (3) 11% (1)	18% (3) 6% (1) 6% (1)		12% (16) 13% (17)
9	10% (16)	7% (2)	10% (14)	8% (2)	10% (14)	11% (1)	6% (1)	10% (1)	10% (13)
10	4% (6) 1% (2)		4% (6) 1% (2)		4% (6) 1% (2)		<u>-</u>		5% (6) 2% (2) 3% (4) 2% (2)
12	2% (4) 2% (3)	- 4% (1)	3% (4) 1% (2)	<u>-</u>	3% (4) 2% (3)		<u>-</u>	10% (1)	3% (4) 2% (2)
14 15	1% (2) -	-	1% (2)	-	1% (2) -	-			2% (2)
16	-								
18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	5.81 rds)	6.79	6.00	6.75	6.67	5.65	6.10	6.80
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of o	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy									
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Known Unsheltered	25	1	24	0	25	0	0	1	24
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	 							· 	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	28	1	27	6	22	6	0	1	21
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	32	17	15	16	16	1	15	2	14
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	28	27	1	18	10	1	17	10	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the						I			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	28	3	25	5	23	4	1	2	21
Returned from Inactive	11	2	9	1	10	0	1	1	9
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						-			
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	5	34	6	33	4	2	3	30
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	13	4	9	6	7	3	3	1	6
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	 								
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Housed - RRH	5	1	4	2	3	1	 1	0	3
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	2	5	2	5	1	1	1	4
Housed Outflow subtotal	28	7	21	10	18	5	5	2	16
Inactive - Unable to Contact	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	 								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	33	8	25	10	23	5	5	3	20
NET INFLOW	6	-3	9	-4	10	-1	-3	0	10

12/16/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL		All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Waterbury Litchfield CA	AN F	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
F		tage of		91%		90%		,		82%
Waterbury L		•	9%		10%		8%	2%	7%	
B Active on			24	207	22	205	40			400
		228	21	207	23	205	19	4	17	188
c Median Days A		185	97	197	37	205	28	75	97	216
Assessment Score Distribution D Count of all active records having each assessment		g active re	ecords)							
0			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	3% 4%		- 10% (2)	3% (6) 3% (7)	4% (1)	2% (5)	5% (1)	-	- 12% (2)	3% (5) 4% (7) 9% (16)
3	8%	(19)	14% (3)	8% (16)		4% (9) 9% (19) 8% (17) 11% (22)		<u>-</u>	12% (2) 18% (3)	9% (16)
4		(20) % (25)	10% (2) 10% (2)	9% (18) 11% (23)	13% (3) 13% (3)	8% (17) 11% (22)	16% (3) 11% (2)	25% (1)	12% (2) 6% (1)	8% (15) 11% (21)
6	129	6 (28)	10% (2)	13% (26)	17% (4) 13% (3)	12% (24)	21% (4)	-	12% (2)	12% (22) 10% (18)
7		% (22) % (30)	5% (1)	10% (21)	13% (3)	9% (19) 15% (30)	16% (3)		6% (1) 12% (2)	10% (18) 15% (28)
9	109	6 (22)	10% (2) 5% (1)	14% (28) 10% (21) 8% (16) 6% (12)	22% (5) 13% (3)	17% (22) 12% (24) 9% (19) 15% (30) 8% (17) 8% (16) 6% (12) 4% (8)	21% (4)	25% (1) 50% (2)	-	15% (28) 9% (17) 8% (15)
10		(19) (13)	14% (3) 5% (1)	8% (16) 6% (12)	13% (3) 4% (1)	8% (16) 6% (12)	21% (4) 5% (1) 5% (1)	50% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	8% (15) 6% (11)
12	4%	(8)	5% (1)	3% (7)		4% (8)	-		6% (1)	6% (11) 4% (7) 2% (3) 1% (1)
13	1% 1%	(3)	- 5% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)		1% (3) 1% (2)			- 6% (1)	2% (3) 1% (1)
15		(2)		1% (2)		1% (2)				1% (2)
16			- -			- -		<u>-</u>		-
18		0.62		-		-			-	-
Status/Conditions Followed (am		6.89 tive reco	6.76	6.91	6.91	6.89	6.58	8.50	6.35	6.94
Clients counted in each row below are currently ac				ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assista		3							0	2
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence	policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Chronic (Veri		17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	17
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homeles										
Known Unshelt		47	3	44	1	46	0	1	2	44
H Clients that are confirmed to be unshe Matched/Awa										
Clients matched to or awarded a housing res		31	4	27	8	23	6	2	2	21
Enrolled in Transitional Hou		4	1	3	1	ა	1	0	1	2
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional He	ousing	4	 	ა	 	3	 	U	 	۷
Youth at Time of Assessr		24	21	3	5	19	1	4	17	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of asses				•			·			
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the Bl		et 30 dave								
Newly Ac			_				_			
Clients who have never been active		30	5	25	9	21	9	0	5	16
Returned from Inac	ctive	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now						!	ı			
N Inflow to Active List TO		32	5	27	10	22	10	0	5	17
Outflow from Active List: Past 3		# 5:	W 160 I							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked		on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Reso Clients returned to housing in past 30 days		5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
Housed -		-			^			^		-
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with	. •	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Housed -	RRH	4	1	3	3	1	2	1	0	1
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with		-т	·				<u>_</u>			
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days all		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
chone returned to nedding in past of days, an		15	2	13	5	10	4	1	1	9
Inactive - Unable to Cor								•	•	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to c		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institu		0	^	^	^	^	^	^	Λ	^
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an inst		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Decea		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, dec			ļ							
Inactive - All O		2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Office of Carlot		2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Y Outflow from Active List TO		17	0						<u> </u>	
			2	15	5	12	4	1		11
z NET INFL	.UVV	15	3	12	5	10	6	-1	4	6 Page 19

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).