# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth	)						
280 -22 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			o Housing						
6 90 no change -8 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	33	0	8						
Eastern	31	4	15						
Fairfield County	76	1	10						
Greater Hartford	47	0	16						
Greater New Haven	51	0	22						
MMW	18	0	13						
Northwest	24	1	6						

Active Inc	dividua	ls (Youth)						
<b>123</b> -4 from last week								
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Known Unsheltered	i aetalis for A	ctive Individuals (Y	, , , ,					
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing  56								
+1 from last week		-2 from la	st week					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	Active 8	Unsheltered 0	Matched 5					
Central Eastern								
	8	0	5					
Eastern	8 32	0 5	5 19					
Eastern Fairfield County	8 32 23	0 5 0	5 19 3					
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford	8 32 23 24	0 5 0 3	5 19 3 15					
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	8 32 23 24 18	0 5 0 3	5 19 3 15					

 s below.									
Active I	Familie	s (Youth)							
-5 from last week  full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8									
			o Housing						
0		3	3						
no change		+1 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	2	0	0						
Eastern	28	0	3						
Fairfield County	7	0	0						
Greater Hartford	3	0	0						
Greater New Haven	3	0	2						
MMW	4	0	3						
Northwest	0	0	0						

	<b>4 8</b> rom last	37	
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	
161 +2 from last week		21 +3 from la	8 ast week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	94	15	9
Eastern	204	43	42
Fairfield County	328	2	37
Greater Hartford	370	30	47
Greater New Haven	255	57	46
MMW	102	3	23
Northwest	134	11	14
			Page 1

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	rairileiu	Haitioiu	Пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	•	Records	7%	15%	22%	23%	17%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,937	137	295	434	444	327	134	166
С	Median Days Active	120	132	96	154	144	110	95	86
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (2) 2% (32)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (1) 3% (11)	0% (0) 2% (10)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	5% (103) 7% (142)	5% (7)	3% (8)	6% (26)	6% (28)	5% (15)	10% (14)	3% (5)
	<b>3</b>	12% (239)	1% (2) 10% (14)	4% (13) 12% (35)	10% (43) 13% (57)	11% (47) 14% (64)	6% (20) 9% (28)	8% (11) 16% (22)	4% (6) 11% (19)
	5	13% (246) 14% (280)	11% (15) 11% (15)	10% (30) 14% (42)	14% (62) 16% (69)	11% (47) 14% (64) 16% (72) 15% (68)	8% (26) 9% (31)	13% (17) 16% (22)	14% (24) 20% (33)
	7	10% (199) 11% (216)	18% (25) 17% (23)	12% (34) 18% (52)	12% (52) 8% (33)	8% (35) 8% (37)	7% (24) 12% (38)	5% (7) 10% (13)	13% (22) 12% (20)
	9	8% (160) 5% (104)	4% (5) 6% (8)	12% (34) 6% (17)	6% (26) 4% (18)	6% (27) 4% (17)	14% (47) 9% (28)	6% (8) 4% (6)	8% (13) 6% (10)
	11 12	5% (88) 3% (59)	5% (7) 7% (10)	5% (15) 2% (5)	4% (16) 2% (10)	4% (16)	8% (26) 5% (17)	3% (4) 2% (3)	2% (4) 3% (5)
	13	2% (35) 1% (19)	1% (2) 1% (2)	1% (4) 1% (2)	1% (5) 1% (3)	2% (9) 1% (6) 1% (5)	4% (14) 1% (4)	1% (2) 2% (3)	1% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)
	15	1% (11) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3) 0% (0)	1% (3)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17 18	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.57	7.14	7.01	6.03	6.00	7.61	6.08	6.63
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	11	2	3	0	2	1	1	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)							·	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	151	2	19	26	33	54	7	10
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	177	15	52	3	33	58	3	13
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	372	22	79	50	78	79	41	23
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	102	3	49	37	6	0	5	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	197	13	67	37	32	24	15	9
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100.1							
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		45	00	00	00	<b>5</b> 0	47	00
L	Clients who have never been active before	183	15	26	28	22	53	17	22
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	57	2	19	3	10	3	10	10
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	240	17	45	31	32	56	27	32
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	52	0	16	11	4	5	4	12
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	27	0	4	13	2	6	2	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	57	4	<u>'</u> 9	9	<u>-</u> 13	11	2	9
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	50	0	 7	3	6	29	2	3 3
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	186	4	36	36	25	51	10	24
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact	115	0	5	13	1	3	1	92
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	6			0	· •		0	2
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased		0	 		0	3		
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	1	0	 	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
X	Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	124 <b>310</b>	0 <b>4</b>	8 <b>44</b>	13 <b>49</b>	<u>1</u> 26	6 <b>57</b>	1 11	95 <b>119</b>
7	NET INFLOW	-70	13	1	<u>49</u> -18	6	<u>-1</u>	16	-87
-			,,,	•			•		Page 2

	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central		rairileiu	Haitioiu	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	_	All Youth	6%	35%	18%	16%	12%	8%	5%
В	Active on BNL	170	10	60	30	27	21	14	8
С	Median Days Active	76	59	85	104	67	84	56	12
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 4% (6)	0% (0) 10% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 14% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	4% (7) 12% (21)	0% (0) 10% (1)	3% (2) 13% (8)	10% (3) 17% (5)	0% (0) 11% (3)	10% (2) 5% (1)	0% (0) 14% (2)	0% (0) 13% (1)
	5	12% (21)	20% (2)	13% (8) 27% (16)	17% (5)	11% (3)	10% (2) 14% (3)	0% (0)	13% (1)
	7	22% (38) 14% (23)	10% (1) 10% (1)	12% (7)	13% (4) 17% (5) 10% (3)	37% (10) 15% (4) 4% (1)	14% (3)	21% (3) 7% (1)	13% (1) 25% (2)
		11% (18) 11% (18)	20% (2) 10% (1)	10% (6) 12% (7)	10% (3) 3% (1)	15% (4)	10% (2) 14% (3)	14% (2) 14% (2)	13% (1) 25% (2) 25% (2) 0% (0)
	10	5% (9) 1% (2)	10% (1) 0% (0)	3% (2) 0% (0)	7% (2) 3% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)	7% (1) 0% (0)	13% (1) 0% (0)
	12	2% (4)	0% (0)	2% (1)	3% (1)	0% (0)	5% (1)	7% (1)	0% (0)
	14	1% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.57	6.40	6.38	6.30	6.74	7.19	6.64	6.88
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	pination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	0	0	0 	0	0	0 	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	10	0	5	0	3	1 	0	1
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	64	5	22 	3	15	11 	5	3
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	31	1	26	4	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	8	0	1	4	1	0	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	38	4	11	4	7	3	4	5
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	2	0	1	1	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	4	13	4	8	4	4	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	20	0	4	10	3	11	0	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	18	1	4	2	6	0	1	4
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	0	3	0	2	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	44	1	11	12	11	2	1	6
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	0	2	3	1	11	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	3	3	1	1	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	54	1	14	15	12	3	1	8
Z	NET INFLOW	-12	3	-1	-11	-4	1	3	<b>-3</b> Page 3

	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	EdStern	rairileiu	пагиоги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α		on-Youth	7%	13%	23%	24%	17%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,767	127	235	404	417	306	120	158
С	Median Days Active	127	134	96	158	151	120	105	90
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	O	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		2% (32) 5% (97)	2% (2) 5% (6) 2% (2)	3% (6)	3% (11) 6% (26)	2% (10) 7% (28)	5% (14)	1% (1) 10% (12)	1% (2) 3% (5)
	4	8% (135) 12% (218)	I 10% (13)	5% (11) 11% (27)	10% (40) 13% (52)	11% (47) 15% (61)	6% (18) 9% (27)	9% (11) 17% (20)	4% (6) 11% (18)
		13% (225) 14% (242)	10% (13) 11% (14)	9% (22) 11% (26)	14% (57) 16% (65)	17% (69) 14% (58)	8% (24) 9% (28)	14% (17)	15% (23) 20% (32) 13% (20) 11% (18)
	7	10% (176) 11% (198)	19% (24) 17% (21)	11% (25) 11% (27) 20% (46)	12% (47) 7% (30)	7% (31) 9% (36)	7% (21) 12% (36)	16% (19) 5% (6) 9% (11)	13% (20)
	9	8% (142)	3% (4) 6% (7)	11% (27)	6% (25) 4% (16)	6% (23)	14% (44) 9% (27)	5% (6)	8% (13)
	11	5% (95) 5% (86)	6% (7)	6% (15) 6% (15)	4% (15)	4% (16) 4% (16)	8% (25)	4% (5) 3% (4)	6% (9) 3% (4)
		3% (55) 2% (33)	8% (10) 2% (2)	2% (4) 1% (3)	2% (9) 1% (5)	2% (9) 1% (5)	5% (16) 5% (14)	2% (2) 2% (2) 3% (3)	3% (5) 1% (2)
	14	1% (18) 1% (11)	2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (2)	1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (3) 1% (3)	3% (3) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)
	16	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
H	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.57 active rec	7.20 ords)	7.17	6.01	5.95	7.63	6.02	6.61
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	11	2	3	0	2	1	1	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)						 	· 	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	151	2	19 	26	33	54	7	10 
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	167	15	47	3	30	57 	3	12
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	308	17	57	47	63	68	36	20
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	71	2	23	33	6	0	5	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	27	3	7	7	5	3	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a nest 20 days							
ł	Newly Added		44	45	0.4	4.5	F0	40	47
L	Clients who have never been active before	145	11	15 	24	15 	50 	13	17 
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	53	2	17	3	9	2	10	10
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	198	13	32	27	24	52	23	27
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		n the next 20 days						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved			40	_			4	40
Э	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	32	0	12	1 	1 	4 	4	10
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	27	0	4	13	2	6	2	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	39	3	5	7	7	11	1	5
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	44	0	4	3	4	28	2	3
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	142	3	25	24	14	49	9	18
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	106	0	3	10	0	2	1	90
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	1	0	0	3	0	2
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
X	Other Outflow subtotal	114	0	5	10	0	5	1	93
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	256	3	30	34	14	54	10	111
Z	NET INFLOW	-58	10	2	-7	10	-2	13	<b>-84</b> Page 4

	All Families	~				Greater	Greater New		N. d. d.
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
٨	Percentage of S ΔΙΙ	tatewide Families	11%	18%	25%	15%	17%	7%	7%
В	Active on BNL	327	35	59	83	50	54	22	24
С	Median Days Active	89	95	103	95	73	81	83	122
	Assessment Score Distribution (am						-		
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	. 0% (1)	0% (0)	00/ (0)	10/ (1)	09/ (0)	00/ (0)	0% (0)	09/ (0)
	1	0% (1) 0% (1) 4% (14)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	4% (13)	6% (2) 0% (0)	3% (2) 3% (2)	2% (2) 5% (4) 11% (9)	4% (2) 8% (4)	4% (2) 6% (3) 6% (3)	9% (2) 0% (0)	8% (2) 0% (0)
		9% (29) 9% (31)	14% (5) 6% (2)	12% (7) 5% (3)	8% (7)	6% (3) 8% (4)	11% (6)	0% (0) 27% (6)	8% (2) 13% (3)
		16% (53) 11% (36)	6% (2) 23% (8) 17% (6) 17% (6)	17% (10)	23% (19) 13% (11)	20% (10)	4% (2) 6% (3) 15% (8)	14% (3) 5% (1)	4% (1)
	8	13% (42) 10% (34)	17% (6)	15% (9) 14% (8)	12% (10)	6% (3) 8% (4)	15% (8)	9% (2)	13% (3) 17% (4)
	10	7% (22)	0% (0) 6% (2)	8% (5) 7% (4)	7% (6) 4% (3)	18% (9) 6% (3)	17% (9) 15% (8)	9% (2) 5% (1)	13% (3) 4% (1)
	12	6% (18) 6% (19)	6% (2) 3% (1)	8% (5) 2% (1)	4% (3) 6% (5)	4% (2) 8% (4) 2% (1)	6% (3) 7% (4)	14% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 17% (4)
		2% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	2% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1)	2% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.33	6.49	2% (1) 7.42	0% (0) 6.95	0% (0) 7.40	0% (0) 8.06	0% (0) 7.45	0% (0) 7.75
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	6	0	4	1	0	0	0	1 
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	98	8	18	10	16 	24 	16	6
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	43	2	30	11	0	0	0	0
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	53	3	31	8	3	4	4	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
1	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	51	8	6	11	7	8	5	6
М	Returned from Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	52	8	6	11	8	8	5	6
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		. •	•	•		•		, and the second
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	0	3	0	0	2	0	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	26	3	1	4	5	6	1	6
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	0	2	0	2	1	1	2
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	44	3	7	5	7	9	2	11
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	50	3	9	5	7	9	3	14
Z	NET INFLOW	2	5	-3	6	1	-1	2	<b>-8</b> Page 5

	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northweet
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	rairileid	Hartiord	пачеп	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α		dividuals	6%	15%	22%	24%	17%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,610	102	236	351	394	273	112	142
С	Median Days Active	131	132	90	166	157	141	100	85
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
ט	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	2% (31) 6% (89)	1% (1) 5% (5)	3% (6)	3% (11) 7% (24)	3% (10) 7% (26)	1% (4) 5% (13)	1% (1) 11% (12)	2% (3)
	3	8% (129) 13% (210)	2% (2) 9% (9)	5% (11) 12% (28)	11% (39) 14% (48)	11% (43) 15% (61)	6% (17) 9% (25)	10% (11) 20% (22)	4% (6) 12% (17)
	5	13% (215) 14% (227)	13% (13) 7% (7)	11% (27)	16% (55)	17% (68) 15% (58)	7% (20) 11% (29)	10% (11)	15% (21)
		10% (163)	19% (19) 17% (17)	14% (32)	14% (50) 12% (41) 7% (23)	8% (32)	8% (21) 11% (30)	17% (19) 5% (6)	23% (32) 13% (19) 11% (16)
	9	11% (174) 8% (126)	17% (17) 5% (5)	11% (27) 14% (32) 11% (25) 19% (44) 12% (29)	6% (20)	8% (33) 5% (18)	11% (30) 14% (38)	10% (11) 5% (6)	11% (16) 7% (10)
	10	5% (82) 4% (70)	5% (5) 6% (6) 5% (5)	6% (13) 4% (10)	4% (15) 4% (13)	4% (14) 4% (14)	7% (20) 8% (23)	4% (5) 1% (1)	7% (10) 6% (9) 3% (4)
	12	2% (40)	9% (9)	2% (4)	1% (5)	1% (5)	5% (13)	3% (3)	1% (1)
	13	2% (30) 1% (16)	9% (9) 2% (2) 2% (2)	1% (3) 1% (2)	1% (4) 1% (2)	1% (5) 1% (5)	4% (12) 1% (4)	2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (2) 0% (0)
	15 <b></b> 16	0% (6) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (2)	1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	6.42	0% (0) 7.36	0% (0) 6.90	0% (0) 5.82	0% (0) 5.82	0% (0) 7.52	0% (0) 5.81	0% (0) 6.44
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	anding on their comb	hination of circumsta	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							4	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	11	2	3	0	2	1 	1 	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	150	2	19	26	33	54	7	9
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	171	15	48	2	33	58	3	12
-	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	274	14	61	40	62	55	25	17
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	59	1	19	26	6	0	5	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	144	10	36	29	29	20	11	9
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	no poet 20 dovo							
	Newly Added		7	20	47	15	A.E.	12	46
L	Clients who have never been active before	132	1	20	17	15	45	12	16
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	56	2	19	3	9	3	10	10
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	188	9	39	20	24	48	22	26
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		n the nast 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	44	0	13	11	4	3	4	9
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	25	0	3	 12	2	6	2	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH		1						
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	31	l 	8	5	8 	5	1	3
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	42	0	5	3	4	28	1	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	142	1	29	31	18	42	8	13
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	109	0	3	13	1	3	0	89
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	1	0	0	3	0	2
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	118	0	6	13	1	6	0	92
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	260	1	35	44	19	48	8	105
Z	NET INFLOW	-72	8	4	-24	5	0	14	<b>-79</b> Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)					Greater	Greater New		
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S Families (No		12%	11%	27%	17%	18%	6%	9%
A B	Active on BNL	280	33	31	76	47	51	18	24
С	Median Days Active	88	95	88	94	76	82	87	122
	Assessment Score Distribution (am						<u> </u>		<u> </u>
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	10/. /1\	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (1) 4% (12)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	3	4% (11)	6% (2) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (2) 5% (4) 8% (6)	4% (2) 9% (4)	4% (2) 4% (2) 6% (3)	6% (1) 0% (0)	8% (2) 0% (0)
	5	7% (20) 9% (26)	15% (5) 6% (2) 21% (7)	6% (2) 0% (0)	8% (6)	4% (2) 9% (4)	10% (5)	0% (0) 33% (6)	8% (2) 13% (3)
	6 7	15% (41) 10% (29)	18% (6)	6% (2) 13% (4) 23% (7)	25% (19) 12% (9) 13% (10)	19% (9) 6% (3)	2% (1) 6% (3) 16% (8)	11% (2) 6% (1)	4% (1) 13% (3) 17% (4)
		14% (39) 11% (30)	15% (5) 0% (0)	10% (3)	13% (10) 8% (6)	9% (4) 17% (8)	16% (8) 18% (9)	6% (1) 6% (1)	13% (3)
		7% (20) 6% (18)	6% (2) 6% (2)	6% (2) 16% (5)	4% (3) 4% (3)	6% (3) 4% (2)	16% (8) 6% (3)	6% (1) 17% (3)	4% (1) 0% (0)
	12	6% (18) 2% (5)	3% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	5% (4) 1% (1)	9% (4) 2% (1)	8% (4) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (4) 0% (0)
	14	1% (3) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0)	11% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F		0% (1)	0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
-	Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.55 active rec	6.45 ords)	8.68	7.03	7.47	8.25	7.72	7.75
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	0	4	1	0	0	0	1
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	90	8	15	10	16	22	13	6
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	18	2	6	10	0	0	0	0
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	6	1	3	1	0	1	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	45	8	3	10	7	7	4	6
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	46	8	3	10	8	7	4	6
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai	•	n the next 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved			2	0	^	2	0	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	5	0	2	0	0	2	0	
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	2	0	1 <i>.</i>	1 	0 	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	23	3	1 	4	5	6	1 	3
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	0	1	0	2	1	1	2
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	37	3	5	5	7	9	2	6
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	43	3	7	5	7	9	3	9
Z	NET INFLOW	3	5	-4	5	1	-2	1	<b>-3</b> Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Control	Factors	Faladala	Greater	Greater New	AAAANA/	Mouthwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern 60%	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
		s (Youth)	4%		15%	6%	6%	9%	0%
В	Active on BNL	47	2	28	7	3	3	4	0
С	Median Days Active	103	153	184	95	<u> </u>	<u>5</u>	43	-
	Assessment Score Distribution (am								
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ /0\	00/ (0)	
	1	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-
	3	4% (2) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	25% (1) 0% (0)	-
	5	19% (9) 11% (5)	0% (0)	18% (5) 11% (3)	43% (3)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-
	6	26% (12) 15% (7)	50% (1) 0% (0)	29% (8) 18% (5)	14% (1) 0% (0) 29% (2)	33% (1) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	-
	8	6% (3) 9% (4)	I 50% (1)	4% (1) 7% (2)	29% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 25% (1)	
	10	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	
	12	2% (1)	I 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
	15 <b></b>	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.04	7.00	6.04	6.14	6.33	4.67	6.25	-
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy			<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	·	0	
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded								
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	8	0	3	0	0	2	3	0
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	25	0	24	1	0	0	0	0
	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date  Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		•	•		•		•	•
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	6	0	3	1	0	1	1	0
L	Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	6	0	3	1	0	1	1	0
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		n the nest 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved			4	^	^	^	^	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Housed - RRH	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other			·					
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		U	U	U		U	U 	
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
Z	NET INFLOW	-1	0	1	1	0	7	1	<b>-5</b> Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)					Greater	Greater New		
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
^	Percentage of S Individuals		7%	26%	19%	20%	15%	8%	7%
В	Active on BNL	123	8	32	23	24	18	10	8
С	Median Days Active	67	28	41	105	61	93	65	12
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	_	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 3% (4)	0% (0) 13% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 10% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	4% (5) 10% (12)	0% (0)	3% (1)	13% (3)	0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	5	13% (16)	13% (1) 25% (2)	9% (3) 16% (5)	9% (2) 17% (4)	8% (2) 13% (3) 38% (9)	6% (1)	20% (2) 0% (0)	13% (1) 13% (1)
	6	21% (26) 13% (16)	25% (2) 0% (0) 13% (1)	25% (8) 6% (2)	17% (4) 13% (3) 13% (3)	17% (4)	11% (2) 17% (3)	20% (2) 10% (1)	13% (1) 25% (2) 25% (2)
	9	12% (15) 11% (14)	13% (1)	16% (5) 16% (5)	13% (3) 4% (1)	4% (1) 13% (3)	11% (2) 17% (3)	10% (1) 10% (1)	25% (2) 0% (0)
		6% (7) 2% (2)	13% (1) 13% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (2) 4% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	10% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (1) 0% (0)
	12	2% (3) 2% (2)	0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (1)	10% (1)	0% (0)
	13 14 14	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.77	6.25	6.69	6.35	6.79	7.61	6.80	6.88
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	0	5	0	3	1	0	1
	Matched/Awarded	56	5	19	3	 15	9	2	3
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	1	2	3	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	5	0	1	2	1	0	0	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	<u> </u>						
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	32	4	8	3	7	2	3	5
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	2	0	1	1	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	36	4	10	3	8	3	3	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	17	0	3	10	3	1	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	15	1	4	2	6	0	1	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other							I	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	2	0	2	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	37	1	9	12	11	2	1	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	0	2	3	1	1	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۷	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	1	0	 1	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	3	3	1	1	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	47	1	12	<u></u>	12	3	1	3
Z	NET INFLOW	-11	3	-2	-12	-4	0	2	2
,									Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Footorn	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	rairileiu	nartioru	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	Individuals (No		6%	14%	22%	25%	17%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,487	94	204	328	370	255	102	134
С	Median Days Active	138	135	97	172	175	146	108	86
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (1) 2% (31)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 3% (11)	0% (0) 3% (10)	0% (1) 2% (4)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	6% (85) 8% (124)	4% (4) 2% (2) 9% (8)	2% (5) 5% (10)	3% (11) 7% (24)	7% (26)	5% (12) 6% (16)	11% (11) 11% (11) 11% (11)	2% (3)
	4	13% (198) 13% (199)	9% (8) 12% (11)	12% (25)	11% (36) 14% (46)	12% (43) 16% (59)	9% (24)	20% (20) 11% (11)	4% (6) 12% (16)
	6	14% (201) 10% (147)	7% (7)	11% (22) 12% (24)	16% (51) 14% (46)	18% (65) 13% (49)	7% (19) 11% (27) 7% (18)	17% (17)	15% (20) 23% (31)
	8	11% (159) 8% (112)	19% (18) 17% (16)	11% (23) 19% (39)	12% (38) 6% (20)	8% (28) 9% (32) 4% (15)	11% (28) 14% (35)	5% (5) 10% (10)	13% (17) 10% (14) 7% (10)
	10	5% (75) 5% (68)	4% (4) 5% (5) 5% (5)	12% (24) 6% (13) 5% (10)	6% (19) 4% (13) 4% (12)	4% (13) 4% (13) 4% (14)	7% (19) 9% (22)	5% (5) 4% (4) 1% (1)	6% (8) 3% (4)
	12	2% (37) 2% (28)	10% (9) 2% (2)	1% (3) 1% (2)	2% (5) 1% (4)	1% (14) 1% (5) 1% (4)	5% (12) 5% (12)	2% (2) 2% (2)	1% (1) 1% (2)
	14	1% (15) 0% (6)	2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (4) 1% (5) 1% (2)	1% (3) 1% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
F		0% (0) 6.39	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.46	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.94	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.78	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.75	0% (0) 7.51	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.72	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.41
-	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.54	5.10	5.75	1.01	5.12	0.41
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	11	2	3	0	2	1	1	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	150	2	19	26	33	54	7	9
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	161	15	43	2	30	57	3	11
	Matched/Awarded	218	9	42	37	47	46	23	14
'	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	53	0	 17	23	6	0	5	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	21	2	4	6	5	2	 1	1
1	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	21		4	U	J	Z	ı	ı
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	100	3	12	14	8	43	9	11
	Returned from Inactive	52	2	 17	3	 8	2	10	10
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	152	5	29	17	16	45	19	21
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.						
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	27	0	10	1	1	2	4	9
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	25	0	3	12	2	6	2	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	16	0	4	3	2	5	0	2
R	Housed - All Other	37	0	3	3	2	27	1	1
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	105	0	20	19	7	40	7	12
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	100	0	1	10	0	2	0	87
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive is past 20 days in an institution	6	0	1	0	0	3	0	2
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	1	0	 1	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	 1
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	108	0	3	10	0	5	0	90
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	213	0	23	29	7	45	7	102
Z	NET INFLOW	-61	5	6	-12	9	0	12	-81

	4/20/2020 TTT BIVE REPORT	AH	AH	AH	AH	AH	Familia.		au.anderson@ct.g	
	Statewide BNL	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Davas	entage of	routii	91%	Tammes	83%	(Non-Toulit)	( Poull)	(Youth)	77%
		•	9%	0170	17%	0070	14%	2%	6%	1170
A		vide BNL		4 767	227	4 640	200			4.407
В		<b>1,937</b> 120	<b>170</b> 76	<b>1,767</b> 127	<b>327</b> 89	<b>1,610</b> 131	<b>280</b> 88	<b>47</b> 103	<b>123</b> 67	<b>1,487</b> 138
-	Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (amo			121	09	131	00	103	07	130
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		recorus)							
	0	0% (2) 2% (32)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (1) 2% (31)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (31)
	2	5% (103)	4% (6)	2% (32) 5% (97)	4% (14) 4% (13)	6% (89)	4% (12)	4% (2)	0% (0) 3% (4) 4% (5)	6% (85)
	4	7% (142) 12% (239)	4% (7) 12% (21)	8% (135) 12% (218)	4% (13) 9% (29)	8% (129) 13% (210)	4% (11) 7% (20)	4% (2) 4% (2) 19% (9)	10% (12)	8% (124) 13% (198)
		13% (246) 14% (280)	12% (21) 12% (21) 22% (38) 14% (23)	12% (218) 13% (225) 14% (242)	9% (29) 9% (31) 16% (53)	13% (215) 14% (227)	9% (26) 15% (41)	11% (5) 26% (12)	13% (16) 21% (26)	13% (199) 14% (201)
	7	10% (199) 11% (216)	14% (23) 11% (18)	10% (176)	11% (36) 13% (42)	10% (163) 11% (174)	7% (20) 9% (26) 15% (41) 10% (29) 14% (39)	15% (7) 6% (3)	21% (26) 13% (16) 12% (15)	10% (147) 11% (159)
	9	8% (160)	11% (18) 5% (9)	8% (142)	10% (34)	8% (126) 5% (82)	11% (30) 7% (20)	9% (4) 4% (2)	11% (14)	8% (112) 5% (75)
	11	5% (104) 5% (88)	1% (2)	11% (198) 8% (142) 5% (95) 5% (86) 3% (55) 2% (33) 1% (18)	10% (34) 7% (22) 6% (18) 6% (19) 2% (5) 1% (3)	5% (62) 4% (70) 2% (40)	6% (18)	0% (0) 2% (1)	6% (7) 2% (2) 2% (3)	5% (75) 5% (68)
		3% (59) 2% (35)	2% (4) 1% (2)	3% (55) 2% (33)	6% (19) 2% (5)	2% (40) 2% (30) 1% (16)	6% (18) 2% (5)	0% (0)	2% (3) 2% (2) 1% (1)	5% (68) 2% (37) 2% (28) 1% (15)
	14	1% (19) 1% (11)	1% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (18) 1% (11)	1% (3) 2% (5)	1% (16) 0% (6)	2% (5) 1% (3) 2% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (15) 0% (6)
	16	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (11) 0% (0) 0% (1)	2% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (6) 0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (6) 0% (0) 0% (1)
Е	18	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.57	6.57 ords)	6.57	7.33	6.42	7.55	6.04	6.77	6.39
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)									
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	151	0	151	1	150	1	0	0	150
	Known Unsheltered	177	10	167	6	171	6	0	10	161
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded									
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	372	64	308	98	274	90	8	56	218
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	102	31	71	43	59	18	25	6	53
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	407	470						400	04
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	197	170	27	53	144	6	47	123	21
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added									
L	Clients who have never been active before	183	38	145	51	132	45	6	32	100
	Returned from Inactive	57	4	53	1	56	1	0	4	52
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	240	42	198	52	188	46	6	36	152
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		74	190	J J Z	100	70	U	30	102
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	52	20	32	8	44	5	3	17	27
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	27	0	27	2	25	2	0	0	25
^	Housed - RRH	57	18	39	26	31	23	3	15	16
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
R		50	6	44	8	42	7	1	5	37
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	186	44	142	44	142	37	7	37	105
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	115	9	106	6	109	6	0	9	100
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	6	^	e	^	·	^	^	^	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	6 	0	6	0	0	0	6
V	Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other		4	4	^	<u> </u>	^	^	1	
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	124	10	114	6	118	6	0	10	108
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	310	54	256	50	260	43	7	47	213
Z	NET INFLOW	-70	-12	-58	2	-72	3	-1	-11	-61

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	rodui	93%		74%	(11011 10011)	(10001)	(10001)	69%
Α		tral CAN	7%		26%		24%	1%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	137	10	127	35	102	33	2	8	94
С	Median Days Active	132	59	134	95	132	95	153	28	135
7	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
U	Count of all active records having each assessment score  0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	1% (2) 5% (7)	0% (0) 10% (1)	2% (2) 5% (6) 2% (2)	3% (1) 6% (2)	1% (1) 5% (5)	3% (1) 6% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (1)	1% (1) 4% (4) 2% (2) 9% (8)
	3	1% (2) 10% (14)	0% (0) 10% (1)	2% (2) 10% (13)	N% (N)	5% (5) 2% (2) 9% (9)	0% (0) 15% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (1)	2% (2) 9% (8)
		11% (15) 11% (15)	20% (2) 10% (1)	10% (13) 11% (14)	6% (2) 23% (8)	13% (13) 7% (7)	6% (2) 21% (7)	0% (0) 50% (1)	25% (2) 0% (0)	12% (11) 7% (7)
	7	18% (25) 17% (23)	10% (1) 20% (2)	19% (24) 17% (21)	14% (5) 6% (2) 23% (8) 17% (6) 17% (6)	13% (13) 7% (7) 19% (19) 17% (17) 5% (5)	18% (6)	0% (0) 50% (1) 0% (0) 50% (1)	25% (2) 0% (0) 13% (1) 13% (1)	19% (18) 17% (16)
	9	4% (5)	10% (1) 10% (1)	3% (4)	0% (0)	5% (5)	0% (0)	N% (N)	13% (1)	4% (4)
	11	6% (8) 5% (7)	0% (0)	6% (7) 6% (7)	6% (2) 6% (2) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (6) 5% (5)	6% (2) 6% (2)	0% (0)	13% (1) 0% (0)	4% (4) 5% (5) 5% (5)
	13	7% (10) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (10) 2% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0)	9% (9) 2% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (9) 2% (2)
	15	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (9) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 6% (2) 0% (0) 15% (5) 6% (2) 21% (7) 18% (6) 15% (5) 0% (0) 6% (2) 6% (2) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (9) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E		0% (0) 7.14	0% (0) 6.40	0% (0) 7.20	0% (0) 6.49	0% (0) 7.36	0% (0) 6.45	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 6.25	0% (0) 7.46
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)							
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on th		circumstances.			
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	15	0	15	0	15	0	0	0	15
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	22	5	17	8	14	8	0	5	9
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	13	10	3	3	10	1	2	8	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	15	4	11	8	7	8	0	4	3
	Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	17	4	13	8	9	8	0	4	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		,							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with FSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	1	3	3	1	3	0	1	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with NATI Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	4	1	3	3	1	3	0	1	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	4	1	3	3	1	3	0	1	0
Z	NET INFLOW	13	3	10	5	8	5	0	3	<b>5</b> Page 12

ı	., 20, 2020 В. корол								au.anuerson@ci.g	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		80%		80%	(**************************************	( ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	( : • • : : : )	69%
		tern CAN	20%		20%		11%	9%	11%	
A			CO.	225	50	000	24	20	20	20.4
В	Active on BNL	295	60	235	59	236	31	28	32	204
С	Median Days Active	96	85	96	103	90	88	184	41	97
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)							
١	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 3% (8)	0% (0) 3% (2)	1% (2) 3% (6)	0% (0) 3% (2)	1% (2) 3% (6)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1)	1% (2) 2% (5)
	3	4% (13)	3% (2)	3% (6) 5% (11) 11% (27)	3% (2)	5% (11)	3% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1) 18% (5)	3% (1)	5% (10)
	5	12% (35) 10% (30)	13% (8) 13% (8)	9% (22)	12% (7) 5% (3)	12% (28) 11% (27)	0% (2)	11% (3)	9% (3) 16% (5)	11% (23)
		14% (42) 12% (34)	27% (16) 12% (7)	11% (26) 11% (27)	17% (10) 15% (9)	14% (32) 11% (25)	6% (2) 13% (4)	29% (8) 18% (5)	25% (8) 6% (2)	12% (24) 11% (23)
	8	18% (52) 12% (34)	10% (6) 12% (7)	20% (46) 11% (27)	14% (8)	19% (44)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 6% (2) 0% (0) 6% (2) 13% (4) 23% (7) 10% (3) 6% (2)	4% (1)	16% (5)	19% (39)
	10	6% (17)	3% (2)	6% (15)	15% (9) 14% (8) 8% (5) 7% (4)	11% (25) 19% (44) 12% (29) 6% (13)	6% (2)	4% (1) 7% (2) 7% (2) 0% (0)	16% (5) 0% (0)	12% (25) 11% (22) 12% (24) 11% (23) 19% (39) 12% (24) 6% (13)
		5% (15) 2% (5)	0% (0) 2% (1)	6% (15) 6% (15) 2% (4)	8% (5) 2% (1)	4% (10) 2% (4)	0 % (2) 16% (5) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (3)
	13	1% (4) 1% (2)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (2)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (2)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (2)
	15	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	2% (1)	0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 7.01	0% (0) 6.38	0% (1) 7.17	2% (1) 7.42	0% (0) 6.90	3% (1) 8.68	0% (0) 6.04	0% (0) 6.69	0% (0) 6.94
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.11	7.12	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	/	,	ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
_[	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy									
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	19	0	19	0	19	0	0	0	19
	Known Unsheltered	52	5	47	4	48	4	0	5	43
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	JZ	J	41	4	40	4	U	<u> </u>	43
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	79	22	57	18	61	15	3	19	42
ľ	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	00	00	20	40		0.4		47
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	49	26	23	30	19	6	24	2	17
V	Youth at Time of Assessment	67	60	7	31	36	3	28	32	4
1	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	26	11	15	6	20	2	2	0	10
L	Clients who have never been active before	20	11	15	6	20	3	3	8	12
М	Returned from Inactive	19	2	17	0	19	0	0	2	17
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	13	32	6	39	3	3	10	29
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			V2					10	
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	16	4	12	3	13	2	1	3	10
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-			12	ļ		<u></u>			
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
	Housed - RRH	9	Л	5	1	0	1	0	4	л
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	y	4	ე	 	8 	 	U	4	4
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	3	4	2	5	1	1	2	3
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	36	11	25	7	29	5	2	9	20
٦	Inactive - Unable to Contact				-					1
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	2	3	2	3	2	0	2	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - All Other	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		·		_					-
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	8	3	5	2	6	2	0	3	3
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	44	14	30	9	35	7	2	12	23
Z	NET INFLOW	1	-1	2	-3	4	-4	1	-2	<b>6</b>

	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	93%	T diffillion	81%	(itom roddi)	(10011)	(Todail)	76%
Α	Fairfield Cou	_	7%		19%		18%	2%	5%	
В	Active on BNL	434	30	404	83	351	76	7	23	328
С	Median Days Active	154	104	158	95	166	94	95	105	172
	Assessment Score Distribution (amcCount of all active records having each assessment score		records)							
U	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (11)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	3% (11) 6% (26)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (11) 6% (26)	1% (1) 0% (0) 2% (2)	7% (24)	3% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (11) 7% (24)
	4	10% (43) 13% (57)	10% (3) 17% (5)	10% (40) 13% (52)	5% (4) 11% (9)	11% (39) 14% (48)	5% (4) 8% (6)	0% (0) 43% (3)	13% (3) 9% (2)	11% (36) 14% (46)
		14% (62) 16% (69)	17% (5) 13% (4)	14% (57) 16% (65)	8% (7)	16% (55) 14% (50)	8% (6) 25% (19)	14% (1) 0% (0)	17% (4) 17% (4)	16% (51) 14% (46)
	8	12% (52) 8% (33)	17% (5) 10% (3)	12% (47) 7% (30)	23% (19) 13% (11) 12% (10)	16% (55) 14% (50) 12% (41) 7% (23)	12% (9) 13% (10)	14% (1) 0% (0) 29% (2) 0% (0)	13% (3) 13% (3)	12% (38) 6% (20)
	10	6% (26) 4% (18)	3% (1) 7% (2)	6% (25) 4% (16)	7% (6) 4% (3)	6% (20) 4% (15)	8% (6) 4% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 9% (2) 4% (1)	6% (19) 4% (13)
		4% (16) 2% (10)	3% (1) 3% (1)	12% (47) 7% (30) 6% (25) 4% (16) 4% (15) 2% (9)	4% (3) 6% (5)	4% (13) 1% (5)	4% (3) 5% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (12)
	13	1% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (4) 1% (2)
	15	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 3% (2) 5% (4) 8% (6) 8% (6) 25% (19) 12% (9) 13% (10) 8% (3) 4% (3) 5% (4) 1% (1) 1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.03	6.30	6.01	6.95	5.82	7.03	6.14	6.35	5.78
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
E	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ġ	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)	26	0	26	0	26	0	0	0	26
	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	3	0	3	1	2	 1	0	0	2
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded						40			
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	50	3	47	10	40	10	0	3	37
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	37	4	33	11	26	10	1	3	23
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	37	30	7	8	29	1	7	23	6
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	nast 30 davs								
	Newly Added	28	4	24	11	17	10	1	3	14
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	31	4	27	11	20	10	1	3	17
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	S.						
ľ	Housed - Self-Resolved	11	10	1	0	11	0	0	10	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	13	0	13	1	12	1 	0	0	12
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	2	7	4	5	4	0	2	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	36	12	24	5	31	5	0	12	19
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	3	10	0	13	0	0	3	10
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	13	3	10	0	13	0	0	3	10
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	49	15	34	5	44	5	0	15	29
Z	NET INFLOW	-18	-11	-7	6	-24	5	1	-12	-12

	<b>Greater Hartford CAN</b>	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	Toutif	94%	railliles	89%	(NOH-TOULH)	(Touil)	(Toutil)	83%
Α	Greater Harti	•	6%		11%		11%	1%	5%	
В	Active on BNL	444	27	417	50	394	47	3	24	370
С	Median Days Active	144	67	151	73	157	76	67	61	175
İ	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		2% (10)	0% (0)	2% (10) 7% (28)	0% (0)	3% (10) 7% (26)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (10)
	3	6% (28) 11% (47)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (47)	8% (4)	17% (26) 11% (43) 15% (61)	9% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (2)	3% (10) 7% (26) 12% (43) 16% (59)
	5	14% (64) 16% (72)	11% (3) 11% (3) 37% (10)	15% (61) 17% (69) 14% (58)	8% (4)	15% (61)	4% (2) 9% (4)	0% (0)	8% (2) 13% (3) 38% (9)	18% (59) 18% (65) 13% (49)
	7	15% (68) 8% (35)	37% (10) 15% (4) 4% (1)	14% (58) 7% (31)	20% (10) 6% (3)	17% (68) 15% (58) 8% (32) 8% (33)	19% (9) 6% (3)	0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	38% (9) 17% (4) 4% (1)	13% (49) 8% (28)
	9	8% (37) 6% (27)	15% (4)	7% (31) 9% (36) 6% (23) 4% (16) 4% (16)	8% (4) 18% (9)	8% (33) 5% (18)	9% (4) 17% (8)	33% (1)	13% (3)	8% (28) 9% (32) 4% (15) 4% (13) 4% (14)
		4% (17) 4% (16)	4% (1) 0% (0)	4% (16) 4% (16)	6% (3) 4% (2)	5% (18) 4% (14) 4% (14)	6% (3) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	4% (13) 4% (14)
		2% (9) 1% (6)	0% (0) 4% (1)	2% (9) 1% (5)	8% (4) 2% (1)	1% (5) 1% (5)	9% (4) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	1% (5) 1% (4)
	14	1% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 8% (4) 6% (3) 8% (4) 20% (10) 6% (3) 8% (4) 18% (9) 6% (3) 4% (2) 8% (4) 22% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 9% (4) 4% (2) 9% (4) 19% (9) 6% (3) 9% (4) 17% (8) 6% (3) 4% (2) 9% (4) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (4) 1% (5) 1% (2)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 6.00	0% (0) 6.74	0% (0) 5.95	0% (0) 7.40	0% (0) 5.82	0% (0) 7.47	0% (0) 6.33	0% (0) 6.79	0% (0) 5.75
ŀ	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	7.70	0.02	1.71	0.00	0.13	0.10
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	33	0	33	0	33	0	0	0	33
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	33	3	30	0	33	0	0	3	30
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	78	15	63	16	62	16	0	15	47
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	32	27	5	3	29	0	3	24	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	22	7	15	7	15	7	0	7	8
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	32	8	24	8	24	8	0	8	16
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
-	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				_		_	_	_	
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	3	1	0	4	0	0	3	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Q	Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	13	6	7	5	8	5	0	6	2
	Housed - All Other	6	2	4	2	4	2	0	2	2
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	25	11	14	7	18	7	0	 11	7
-	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	12	14	7	19	7	0	12	7
4	NET INFLOW	6	-4	10	1	5	1	0	-4	<b>9</b> Page 15

	Greater New Haven CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	6%	94%	17%	83%	16%	40/	6%	78%
A	Greater New Ha			200	E A	272		1%		255
B C	Active on BNL Median Days Active	<b>327</b> 110	<b>21</b> 84	<b>306</b> 120	<b>54</b> 81	<b>273</b> 141	<b>51</b> 82	<b>3</b> 56	<b>18</b> 93	<b>255</b> 146
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			120	01	141	02		90	140
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		Ť	20/ (1)	00/ (0)	20( (1)	20/ (0)	00/ (0)	20/ (2)	20( (1)
	1	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (4) 5% (12)
	3	5% (15) 6% (20)	10% (2)	5% (14) 6% (18)	6% (3)	5% (13) 6% (17)	4% (2) 4% (2) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	6% (16)
		9% (28) 8% (26)	5% (1) 10% (2) 14% (3)	9% (27) 8% (24)	6% (3) 11% (6)	9% (25) 7% (20) 11% (29)	6% (3) 10% (5) 2% (1)	0% (0) 33% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	9% (24) 7% (19)
		9% (31) 7% (24)	14% (3)	9% (28) 7% (21)	4% (2) 6% (3)	8% (21)	2% (1) 6% (3) 16% (8)	33% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 17% (3)	11% (27) 7% (18) 11% (28)
		12% (38) 14% (47)	10% (2) 14% (3)	12% (36) 14% (44) 9% (27)	15% (8) 17% (9) 15% (8)	11% (30) 14% (38)	16% (8) 18% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 17% (3)	11% (28) 14% (35)
		9% (28) 8% (26)	5% (1) 5% (1)	9% (27) 8% (25)	15% (8) 6% (3)	11% (30) 14% (38) 7% (20) 8% (23) 5% (13) 4% (12) 1% (4)	18% (9) 16% (8) 6% (3) 8% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (3) 6% (1) 6% (1)	14% (35) 7% (19) 9% (22) 5% (12)
	12	5% (17) 4% (14)	5% (1) 0% (0)	8% (25) 5% (16) 5% (14)	7% (4)	5% (13) 4% (12)	8% (4) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	5% (12) 5% (12)
	14	1% (4) 1% (3)	5% (1)	1% (3) 1% (3)	4% (2) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (4) 1% (2)	4% (2) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1)	5% (12) 1% (3) 1% (2)
	16	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 7.61	0% (0) 7.19	0% (0) 7.63	0% (0) 8.06	0% (0) 7.52	0% (0) 8.25	0% (0) 4.67	0% (0) 7.61	0% (0) 7.51
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)							
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance	the BNL, and clie		ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of				
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	54	0	54	0	54	0	0	0	54
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	58	1	57	0	58	0	0	1	57
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	79	11	68	24	55	22	2	9	46
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	24	21	3	4	20	1	3	18	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th  Newly Added			50	0	45	7	4	0	40
L	Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	53	3	50	8	45	7	1	2	43
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	56	4	52	8	48	7	1	3	45
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	6	0	6	0	6 	0	0	0	6
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	11	0	11	6	5	6	0	0	5
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	29	1	28	1	28	1	0	1	27
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	51	2	49	9	42	9	0	2	40
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	57	3	54	9	48	9	0	3	45
Z	NET INFLOW	-1	1	-2	-1	0	-2	1	0	<b>0</b> Page 16

	4/20/2020 111 BNL Repoli	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		90%		84%	(1000)	(	(1000)	76%
٨		MW CAN	10%		16%		13%	3%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	134	14	120	22	112	18	4	10	102
С	Median Days Active	95	56	105	83	100	87	43	65	108
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			.,,,			<u> </u>	.,		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
		0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (6) 11% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
		10% (14) 8% (11)	14% (2) 0% (0)	10% (12) 9% (11)	9% (2) 0% (0)	11% (12) 10% (11)	6% (1) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	10% (1) 0% (0)	11% (11) 11% (11)
	4	16% (22) 13% (17)	14% (2) 0% (0)	17% (20) 14% (17)	0% (0) 27% (6)	20% (22)	0% (0) 33% (6)	0% (0)	20% (2) 0% (0)	20% (20) 11% (11)
	6	16% (22)	21% (3) 7% (1)	16% (19)	1/10/2 (3)	10% (11) 17% (19) 5% (6)	11% (2)	0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1)	20% (2) 10% (1)	17% (17) 5% (5)
	8	5% (7) 10% (13)	14% (2)	9% (11)	9% (2)	10% (11)	6% (1)	25% (1)	10% (1)	10% (10) 5% (5)
	10	6% (8) 4% (6)	14% (2) 7% (1)	5% (6) 9% (11) 5% (6) 4% (5)	5% (1) 9% (2) 9% (2) 5% (1)	10% (11) 5% (6) 4% (5)	6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1)	25% (1) 0% (0)	10% (1) 10% (1)	4% (4)
	11 12	3% (4) 2% (3)	0% (0) 7% (1)	2% (2)	14% (3) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 11% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (1)	1% (1) 2% (2)
	13	1% (2) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 3% (3)	0% (0) 9% (2)	2% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 11% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 1% (1)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.08	0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 6.02	0% (0) 7.45	0% (0) 5.81	0% (0) 7.72	0% (0) 6.25	0% (0) 6.80	0% (0) 5.72
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			tod in multiple	donondia a t	ook oombisstiss st	oiroumoto = ===			
	Refuses CAN Assistance									
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	<u> </u>	0	1 	0	0	0	11
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	41	5	36	16	25	13	3	2	23
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	15	14	1	4	11	0	4	10	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.			l .					
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	17	4	13	5	12	4	1	3	9
М	Returned from Inactive	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	27	4	23	5	22	4	1	3	19
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		· ·				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
_	Housed - PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	2	1	1 	1	1 	1	0	1 	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	10	1	9	2	8	2	0	1	7
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	1	10	3	8	3	0	1	7
Z	NET INFLOW	16	3	13	2	14	1	1	2	<b>12</b> Page 17

	4/20/2020 TTT BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Northwest CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		95%		86%				81%
Α		est CAN	5%		14%		14%	0%	5%	
В	Active on BNL	166	8	158	24	142	24	0	8	134
С	Median Days Active	86	12	90	122	85	122		12	86
	Assessment Score Distribution (am				122		122		12	
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
		0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (3) 4% (6)
	2	3% (5) 4% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (5) 4% (6)	8% (2) 0% (0) 8% (2)	2% (3) 4% (6)	8% (2) 0% (0)		0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 4% (6)
	4	11% (19) 14% (24)	13% (1) 13% (1)	11% (18)	8% (2)	12% (17)	8% (2)		13% (1) 13% (1)	12% (16) 15% (20)
	6	20% (33)	13% (1)	15% (23) 20% (32)	4% (1)	23% (32)	4% (1)	<u>-</u>	13% (1)	23% (31) 13% (17)
	8	13% (22) 12% (20)	25% (2) 25% (2)	13% (20) 11% (18)	13% (3) 4% (1) 13% (3) 17% (4)	12% (17) 15% (21) 23% (32) 13% (19) 11% (16)	13% (3) 17% (4)		25% (2) 25% (2)	10% (14)
	10	8% (13) 6% (10)	0% (0) 13% (1)	8% (13) 6% (9)	13% (3) 4% (1)	7% (10) 6% (9) 3% (4) 1% (1)	0% (0) 8% (2) 0% (0) 8% (2) 13% (3) 4% (1) 13% (3) 17% (4) 13% (3) 4% (1) 13% (0) 17% (4)		0% (0) 13% (1)	7% (10) 6% (8)
		2% (4) 3% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 3% (5)	0% (0) 17% (4)	3% (4) 1% (1)	0% (0) 17% (4)	<u>-</u> -	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 1% (1)
	13	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)		0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 1% (1) 1% (2) 0% (0)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	4% (1)	0% (0)	4% (1)		0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	 	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.63	0% (0) 6.88	0% (0) 6.61	0% (0) 7.75	0% (0) 6.44	0% (0) 7.75	-	0% (0) 6.88	0% (0) 6.41
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
_	Chronic (Verified)	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered									
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	13	1	12	1	12	1	0	<u> </u>	11
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	23	3	20	6	17	6	0	3	14
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		0	Z				U	U	Z
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	9	8	1	0	9	0	0	8	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	22	5	17	6	16	6	0	5	11
	Returned from Inactive	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	32	5	27	6	26	6	0	5	21
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	12	2	10	3	9	1	2	0	9
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
إ	Housed - RRH	9	4	5	6	3	3	3	1	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									<u>-</u>
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	24	6	18	11	13	6	5	1	12
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	92	2	90	3	89	3	0	2	87
	Inactive - In an Institution	2	0	2	^	2	^	0	0	າ
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		U		0	۷	0	U	U 	2
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	1	0	 1	0	 1	0	0	0	 1
N	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	•				-				
X	Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	95 <b>119</b>	2	93	3 <b>14</b>	92	3	<u>0</u>	2	90
7	NET INFLOW	119 -87	-3	111 -84	-8	105 -79	-3	<u>5</u> -5	3 2	102 -81
۷	HET INFLOW	-01	-3	-04	-0	-13	<i>-</i> 3	-0		<b>-0 I</b> Page 18

#### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

## STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$  - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).