Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)									
+10 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			Housing						
O 81 no change +11 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
6	24	0	_						
Central	24	0	8						
Central Fairfield County	71	0	8 19						
		J.	_						
Fairfield County	71	0	19						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford	71 51	0	19 20						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	71 51 42	0 0	19 20 10						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	71 51 42 13	0 0 0	19 20 10 1						

Active In	idividua	als (Youth)							
199 -2 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9									
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
7 28									
no change		-4 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	14	2	2						
Fairfield County	49	1	2						
Greater Hartford	52	0	10						
Greater New Haven	37	0	6						
MMW	11	0	0						
Northeast	6	1	2						
Southeast	14	1	1						
Waterbury Litchfield	16	2	5						

on is below.										
Active I	Familie:	s (Youth)								
52 -5 from last week full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8										
Known Unsheltered			Housing							
0 12										
no change		+3 from la	ist week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	0	0	0							
Fairfield County	9	0	3							
Greater Hartford	7	0	5							
Greater New Haven	5	0	1							
MMW	1	0	0							
Northeast	3	0	2							
Southeast	25	0	0							
Waterbury Litchfield	2	0	1							

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) -8 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing +7 from last week +15 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 102 13 19 Fairfield County 9 361 61 **Greater Hartford** 424 36 56 Greater New Haven 202 8 38 MMW 77 5 10 Northeast 63 18 21 Southeast 111 32 27 Waterbury Litchfield 208 43 20

10/30/2016 FTT BNL Report				0 1	0 1		Contact Dec	u.anderson@ci.go	<u> </u>
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		70/	24%	26%	14%	E0/	40/	8%	12%
`` <u> </u>	Records	7%				5%	4%		
Active on BNL	2,046	140	490	534	286	102	90	163	241
Median Days Active	113	112	126	126	110	104	82	64	203
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
0	0% (2)		0% (2)						
1	2% (46) 4% (86)	1% (2) 3% (4)	3% (15) 6% (30) 9% (45)	3% (15) 5% (27)	1% (4) 2% (5)	2% (2) 3% (3)	- 7% (6)	- 1% (2)	3% (8) 4% (9) 7% (17)
3	7% (151) 10% (201)	8% (11) 8% (11)	9% (45) 11% (52)	5% (27) 10% (51) 11% (60)	2% (5) 4% (12)	7% (7) 10% (10)	7% (6) 2% (2) 14% (13)	1% (2) 4% (6)	7% (17) 6% (14)
5	12% (255)	14% (19)	129/. (50)	14% (75)	8% (24)	18% (18)	10% (9)	12% (20) 16% (26)	10% (25)
6 7	14% (286) 12% (238)	10% (14) 12% (17)	12 % (39) 14% (69) 9% (46) 10% (49) 8% (40) 7% (34) 4% (22) 1% (4)	14% (73) 13% (70)	7% (21) 8% (24) 9% (27) 12% (35)	22% (22) 9% (9)	14% (13) 11% (10)	20% (33) 13% (22)	15% (35) 12% (29)
8	11% (225) 9% (176)	16% (22) 9% (13)	10% (49) 8% (40)	10% (52) 7% (35)	<u>11% (31)</u> 13% (36)	110/, (11)	12% (11) 8% (7)	11% (18)	13% (31) 12% (28) 6% (15)
10	7% (133) 5% (106)	8% (11) 4% (5)	7% (34)	10% (52) 7% (35) 4% (22) 5% (25) 3% (14) 2% (9) 1% (4)	11% (31) 10% (28)	5% (5) 8% (8) 3% (3) 2% (2)	8% (7) 6% (5) 4% (4) 4% (4)	7% (12) 4% (7)	6% (15)
12	3% (52)	5% (7)	1% (4)	3% (14)	3% (10)	2% (2)	4% (4)	4% (6) 2% (3) 3% (5) 1% (2)	5% (13) 3% (8) 2% (4) 1% (2)
13	2% (50) 1% (19)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (12) 1% (4)	2% (9) 1% (4)	5% (13) 2% (6)	1% (1)	6% (5)	3% (5) 1% (2)	2% (4) 1% (2)
15	1% (16) 0% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (6) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	- 1% (1)		1% (1)	1% (3)
17	-				-				
Average Assessment Score	6.77	7.04	6.43	6.30	7.94	6.39	7.07	6.85	6.99
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			od in multiple rowe	depending on th	oir combination of oir	oumetonoos			
Refuses CAN Assistance									
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	18	1	2	6	0	1	2	3	3
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	202	7	56	50	49	10	6	10	14
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	4=4								
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	171	15	10	36	8	5	19	33	45
Matched/Awarded	373	29	85	91	55	11	32	38	32
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4.40	4.5			4.4			40	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	143	15	53	9	14	7	0	40	5
Youth at Time of Assessment	276	18	63	70	45	13	9	40	18
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	229	19	62	46	35	15	10	28	14
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	50	6	4	17	1	3	3	16	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	279	25	66	63	36	18	13	44	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		W 100							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	l	, ,							
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	76	3	34	3	10	6	2	13	5
Housed - PSH	50	8	20	11	8	0	0	2	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									·
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	48	2	11	2	11	5	2	14	1
Housed - All Other	17	0	1	3	5	1	0	6	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	191	13	66	19	34	12	4	35	8
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact							•		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	75	3	51	3	2	9	0	5 	2
Inactive - In an Institution	11	0	0	0	1	0	3	4	3
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Inactive - All Other	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	95	3	51	4	3	10	6	10	8
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	286	16	117	23	37	22	10	45	16
z NET INFLOW	-7	9	-51	40	<u>-1</u>	-4	3	<u>-10</u>	-2
					-	-	-	•	Page 2

10/30/2018 F11 BNL Repoli				Cuantau	Cuantau		Contact bei	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		6%	23%	24%	17%	5%	4%	16%	7%
	All Youth								
Active on BNL	251	14	58	59	42	12	9	39	18
Median Days Active	88	107	109	61	114	97	61	88	148
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.	ng active re	ecords)							
0	- 2% (4)		3% (2)	2% (1)	2% (1)				
2	2% (4)		3% (2)	-	2% (1) 2% (2)				6% (1) 22% (4)
	6% (14) 9% (22)		9% (5) 7% (4)	3% (2) 7% (4)	5% (2) 5% (2)	- 17% (2)	- 11% (1)	3% (1) 18% (7)	22% (4) 11% (2)
	14% (36) 18% (45)	14% (2) 7% (1)	10% (6) 19% (11)	20% (12)	5% (2) 2% (1) 12% (5)	8% (1) 33% (4)	11% (1) 33% (3)	18% (7) 26% (10) 18% (7)	11% (2) 17% (3) 6% (1)
7	14% (34)	14% (2)	10% (6)	22% (13) 17% (10)	19% (8)	25% (3)	11% (1)	18% (7)	-
9	11% (28) 12% (31)	7% (1) 29% (4)	14% (8) 19% (11) 3% (2)	14% (8) 10% (6)	14% (6) 10% (4)	25% (3) -		3% (1) 8% (3) 8% (3)	6% (1) 17% (3)
	6% (14) 3% (8)	14% (2) -	3% (2) 2% (1)	10% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	12% (5) 7% (3) 5% (2)	8% (1)	11% (1) 11% (1)	8% (3) -	-
12	2% (5) 2% (4)	- 7% (1)		2% (1)	5% (2) 5% (2)	8% (1)			6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1)
14	0% (1)	7% (1)	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>		<u>-</u> 	
15 16	0% (1) -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	11% (1) -		<u>-</u>
17	-	-			-		-		
E Average Assessment Score	6.78	8.64	6.36	6.47	7.74	7.00	7.78	6.08	6.33
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	0	U	U 	U 	U 	U	U 	U
Chronic (Verified) G. Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered			4						
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	2
Matched/Awarded	40	2	5	15	7	0	4	1	6
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	57	6	12	0	10	3	0	25	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	24	1	5	5	3	0	1	6	3
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added	42	2	8	13	4	2	3	9	1
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	50	6	9	16	4	2	3	9	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact Housed - Self-Resolved									
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	0	5	0	4	0	0	2	0
Housed - PSH	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH									
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	0	4	0	2	1	0	0	0
Housed - All Other	3	0	 1	0	0	1	0	1	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other			<u> </u>			•		<u> </u>	
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	23	0	10	1	7	2	0	3	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution					·				
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	•				U		<u> </u>		0
Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	12	0	2	2	1	4	2	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	35	0	12	3	8	6	2	3	1
z NET INFLOW	15	6	-3	13	-4	-4	1	6	0 Page 3

ı	10/30/2016 FTT BNL Report				0	0		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Δ	Percentage of S All No	Statewide on-Youth	7%	24%	26%	14%	5%	5%	7%	12%
R	Active on BNL	1,795	126	432	475	244	90	81	124	223
С	Median Days Active	121	112	129	134	110	104	82	64	207
-	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			125	104	110	104	02	UT	201
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		colus							
ľ		0% (2)	-	0% (2)		-	-			-
		2% (42) 5% (82)	2% (2) 3% (4)	3% (13) 6% (28) 9% (40) 11% (48)	3% (14) 6% (27)	1% (3) 2% (4)	2% (2) 3% (3)	- 7% (6)	2% (2)	4% (8) 4% (8) 6% (13)
		8% (137) 10% (179)	9% (11)	9% (40)	6% (27) 10% (49)	2% (4) 4% (10)	8% (7)	7% (6) 2% (2)	2% (2) 4% (5)	6% (13) 5% (13)
	5	12% (219)	9% (11) 13% (17)	12% (53)	12% (56) 13% (63)	8% (19) 9% (23) 9% (22)	8% (7) 9% (8) 19% (17)	15% (12) 10% (8)	10% (13) 13% (16)	5% (12) 10% (22)
		13% (241) 11% (204)	10% (13) 12% (15)	13% (58) 9% (40)	13% (60) 13% (60)	9% (22) 11% (27)	200/. (19)	12% (10) 11% (9)	21% (26) 12% (15)	15% (34) 13% (29)
	8	11% (197)	17% (21) 7% (9)	9% (41)	9% (44)	10% (25) 13% (32)	9% (8)	14% (11)	14% (17)	13% (30) 11% (25)
		8% (145) 7% (119)	7% (9)	13% (58) 9% (40) 9% (41) 7% (29) 7% (32) 5% (21)	13% (60) 13% (60) 9% (44) 6% (29) 4% (21) 5% (24) 3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (4)	13% (32) 11% (26)	10% (9) 9% (8) 6% (5) 9% (8) 2% (2) 1% (1)	14% (11) 9% (7) 5% (4) 4% (3) 5% (4)	14% (17) 7% (9) 3% (4) 5% (6) 2% (3) 4% (5) 2% (2)	7% (15)
	11	5% (98)	4% (5) 6% (7)	5% (21) 1% (4)	5% (24)	10% (25) 3% (8)	2% (2)	4% (3)	5% (6)	5% (12) 3% (7) 1% (3) 1% (2)
	13	3% (47) 3% (46)	- 0% (7)	3% (12) 1% (4)	2% (9)	5% (6) 5% (11) 2% (6)	1% (1)	6% (5)	2% (3) 4% (5)	1% (3)
		1% (18) 1% (15)	- 1% (1)	1% (4) 1% (6)	1% (4) 0% (1)	2% (6) 1% (2)	- 1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (2) 1% (3)
	16	0% (4)	1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (1)				-
	17 18	-	-	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.77	6.86	6.44	6.28	7.97	6.31	6.99	7.09	7.04
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ad in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	rumetancos			
ł	Refuses CAN Assistance		,	•						
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	18	1	2	6	0	1	2	3	3
	Chronic (Verified)	198	7	56	49	47	10	5	10	14
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	130								
н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	164	13	9	36	8	5	18	32	43
"	Matched/Awarded									
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	333	27	80	76	48	11	28	37	26
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	86	9	41	9	4	4	0	15	4
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing			T I					10	
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	25	4	5	11	3	1	0	1	0
·`	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
İ	Newly Added	187	17	54	33	31	13	7	19	13
L	Clients who have never been active before	107	11	J 1			10		13	10
М	Returned from Inactive	42	2	3	14	1	3	3	16	0
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	229	19	57	47	32	16	10	35	13
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		13	J1	71	J2	70	10	30	10
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
ľ	Housed - Self-Resolved	65	3	29	3	6	6	2	11	5
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	00	J	∠IJ	J	·····	·····	۷	11	J
Р	Housed - PSH	48	8	20	10	7	0	0	2	1
'	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	4.4				^	4	^	4.4	
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	41	2	7	2	9	4	2	14	1
	Housed - All Other	14	0	0	3	5	0	0	5	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other									•
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	168	13	56	18	27	10	4	32	8
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	66	3	49	1	2	5	0	5	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	^	^	^		^	^	^		
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	3
	Inactive - Deceased	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		ļ		·		·		·	
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
x	Other Outflow subtotal	83	3	49	2	2	6	4	10	7
Ϋ́	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	251	16	105	20	29	16	8	42	15
7	NET INFLOW	-22	3	-48	27	3	0	2	<u>-7</u>	-2
4	IAL! IIII LOW	-		-70	£1	<u> </u>	U		-1	-2 Page 4

All Families	01.1.11	0 1 1	F : 6 11	Greater	Greater			ad.anderson@et.g	Waterbury/
	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S	Families	8%	27%	19%	16%	5%	7%	13%	6%
Active on BNL	299	24	80	58	47	14	21	38	17
c Median Days Active	85	59	130	95	89	62	42	84	56
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		_							
1	0% (1) 3% (8)		- 6% (5)	- 2% (1)	- 20/. (1)		- 5% (1)		6% (1)
3	2% (7)	4% (1)	5% (4)	-	2% (1) 2% (1) 9% (4)		-	3% (1)	
5	9% (27) 12% (37)	21% (5)	<u>8% (6)</u> 11% (9)	14% (8) 10% (6)	11% (5)	14% (2)	10% (2) -	16% (6) 24% (9)	6% (1) 6% (1) 12% (2)
7	14% (42) 14% (41)	29% (7) 13% (3) 25% (6)	10% (8) 9% (7)	10% (6) 17% (10)	11% (5) 13% (6) 19% (9)	21% (3) 14% (2)	14% (3) 29% (6) 19% (4)	21% (8) 11% (4)	12% (2) 18% (3)
8	15% (46) 12% (36)	25% (6) 4% (1)	16% (13)	12% (7)	11% (5)	29% (4)	19% (4) 10% (2)	8% (3) 8% (3)	- 18% (3)
10	6% (17)		18% (14) 9% (7) 1% (1)	14% (8) 2% (1) 3% (2)	9% (4) 15% (7)	14% (2) 7% (1)	5% (1)	5% (2) 5% (2)	24% (4)
12	6% (17) 4% (11)	4% (1)	3% (2)	12% (7)	15% (1)			5% (Z) -	6% (1)
13	1% (4) 0% (1)	- -	3% (2) 1% (1)	2% (1) -		-	5% (1) -		
15	1% (2) 1% (2)	-	- 1% (1)	2% (1)	-	-	5% (1) -	-	6% (1) -
17	- ' '								
E Average Assessment Score	7.29	6.67	7.24	7.64	7.49	7.50	7.52	6.34	8.29
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cin	cumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	U	U	U	U 	U	U	U	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	12	0	4	6	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	02	0	20	05	44			10	 7
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	93	8	22	25	11 	1 	9	10	/
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	43	0	14	1	1	0	0	25	2
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	58	0	10	10	7	1	3	25	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	,							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	60	5	17	6	9	4	7	8	4
Returned from Inactive	5	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		_				4	7		
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	65 vs	5	19	8	9	4	/	9	4
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days.							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	0	4	1	2	1	1	1	0
Housed - PSH	5	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	16	1 	2	1 	4	4	1 	2	1
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	37	1	9	5	8	6	2	5	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
X Other Outflow subtotal Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	2
z NET INFLOW	44 21	3	10 9	<u>5</u> 	<u>8</u> 1	<u>8</u> -4	<u>3</u>	5 4	3
4 NET INFLOW	21	ا ع	J	J	<u>'</u>	-4	4	4	Page 5

	0/30/2018 FIT BNL Kepon				0	Ouration		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	•
	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
A	Percentage of S All Inc	Statewide dividuals	7%	23%	27%	14%	5%	4%	7%	13%
R -	Active on BNL	1,747	116	410	476	239	88	69	125	224
c	Median Days Active	125	132	126	130	119	105	90	63	210
1	Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re		120			100			
		0% (2) 3% (45)	- 2% (2)	0% (2) 4% (15)	- 3% (15)	2% (4)	- 2% (2)			3% (7)
	2	4% (78)	2% (2) 3% (4)	4% (15) 6% (25) 10% (41)	5% (26) 11% (51)	2% (4)	2% (2) 3% (3)	7% (5) 3% (2)	2% (2) 4% (5)	3% (7) 4% (9) 8% (17)
	4	8% (144) 10% (174)	9% (10) 9% (11)	11% (46)	11% (52)	5% (11) 7% (17)	8% (7) 11% (10)	3% (2) 16% (11) 13% (9)	11% (14)	6% (17) 6% (13) 11% (24)
		12% (218) 14% (244)	12% (14) 6% (7)	12% (50) 15% (61)	14% (69) 14% (67)	8% (19) 9% (22)	18% (16) 22% (19)	1/10/2 (101)	14% (17) 20% (25)	11% (24) 15% (33)
	7	11% (197) 10% (179)	6% (7) 12% (14)	10% (39)	13% (60)	12% (29)	8% (7)	6% (4)	20% (25) 14% (18)	15% (33) 12% (26)
	9	8% (140)	14% (16) 10% (12)	6% (26)	14% (67) 13% (60) 9% (45) 6% (27)	7% (17) 8% (19) 9% (22) 12% (29) 9% (22) 13% (31)	6% (5)	7% (5)	12% (15) 7% (9) 4% (5)	14% (31) 11% (25)
		7% (116) 5% (89)	9% (11) 4% (5)	19% (91) 10% (39) 9% (36) 6% (26) 7% (27) 5% (21) 0% (2)	4% (21) 5% (23) 1% (7)	11% (27)	22% (19) 8% (7) 8% (7) 6% (5) 7% (6) 2% (2) 2% (2)	6% (4) 10% (7) 7% (5) 6% (4) 6% (4) 6% (4)	4% (5) 3% (4)	7% (15) 4% (9)
		2% (41) 3% (46)	5% (6) 1% (1)	0% (2) 2% (10)	1% (7) 2% (8)	9% (21) 4% (10) 5% (13) 3% (6)	2% (2) 1% (1)	6% (4) 6% (4)	3% (4) 2% (3) 4% (5) 2% (2)	4% (9) 3% (7) 2% (4) 1% (2)
	14	1% (18)	1% (1)	2% (10) 1% (3)	2% (8) 1% (4)	3% (6)	1% (1)		2% (2)	1% (2)
	16	1% (14) 0% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (6) -	0% (1) -	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (1) -		1% (1) -	1% (2) -
	17	-	-							
E	Average Assessment Score	6.68	7.11	6.27	6.13	8.03	6.22	6.93	7.00	6.89
	Status/Conditions Followed (among lients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F _	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	18	1	2	6	0	1	2	3	3
G_	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	190	7	52	44	48	10	5	10	14
н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	171	15	10	36	8	5	19	33	45
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	280	21	63	66	44	10	23	28	25
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	100	15	39	8	13	7	0	15	3
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	218	18	53	60	38	12	6	15	16
	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days lients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	169	14	45	40	26	11	3	20	10
M	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	45	6	2	15	1	3	3	15	0
''' - N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	214	20	47	55	27	14	6	35	10
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day lients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ys								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	66	3	30	2	8	5	1	12	5
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	45	8	17	9	8	0	0	2	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	32	1	9	1	7	1	1	12	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	0	1	2	3	0	0	4	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	154	12	57	14	26	6	2	30	7
T	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	71	2	50	3	2	7	0	5	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	10	0	0	0	1	0	3	4	2
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
xΣ	Other Outflow subtotal	88	2	50	4	3	8	5	10	6
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	242	14	107	18	29	14	7	40	13
Z	NET INFLOW	-28	6	-60	37	-2	0	-1	-5	-3

10/30/2016 FTI BNL Repoli							Ochtaat ba	au.anderson@ct.go	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of Families (N		10%	29%	21%	17%	5%	7%	5%	6%
Active on BNL	247	24	71	51	42	13	18	13	15
c Median Days Active		59	132	91	86	39	44	47	56
Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active r								55
1	0% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-	-		<u>-</u>		- 7% (1)
2	3% (7)	-	7% (5)	2% (1)			6% (1)		-
4	2% (5) 8% (19)	4% (1)	6% (4) 8% (6)	10% (5) 12% (6)	 7% (3)	<u>-</u>	- 11% (2)	23% (3)	<u> </u>
5	12% (29)	21% (5)	13% (9)	12% (6)	7% (3) 12% (5)	15% (2)	-	23% (3) 8% (1)	7% (1) 13% (2) 20% (3)
7	13% (32) 15% (36)	29% (7) 13% (3)	8% (6) 8% (6)	10% (5) 20% (10)	12% (5) 14% (6)	15% (2) 15% (2)	11% (2) 28% (5)	23% (3) 8% (1)	20% (3)
8	17% (42)	25% (6) 4% (1)	14% (10) 17% (12)	14% (7)	21% (9)	31% (4)	22% (4)	15% (2) 8% (1)	-
10	11% (28) 5% (13)	470 (1)	8% (6)	2% (1)	21% (9) 10% (4) 7% (3)	15% (2)	11% (2) 6% (1)	-	13% (2) -
11 12	7% (17) 4% (10)	- 4% (1)	1% (1) 3% (2)	14% (7) 12% (6) 2% (1) 4% (2) 12% (6) 2% (1)	17% (7)	8% (1)	-	15% (2)	27% (4) 7% (1)
13	2% (4)	- 470 (1)	3% (2)	2% (1)		<u>-</u>	6% (1)		
14	0% (1) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	1% (1)					-	- 7% (1)
16	1% (2)	-	1% (1)	2% (1)		<u>-</u>			1 /0 (1) -
17	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
E Average Assessment Score	7.42	6.67	7.15	7.75	- 7.71	7.62	7.22	6.85	8.53
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active or	n the BNL, and clie		ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	11	0	4	5	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	01	8	19	20	10	1	7	10	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessmen	10	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessmen Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	ı n	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	he past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	31	5	15	6	9	4	5	3	4
Returned from Inactive		0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active)	5	16	•	•		<u> </u>	1	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL		J	10	6	9	4	5	4	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Do Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inc Housed - Self-Resolved	active on the BNL in								
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self	.	0	1 	1	2	1	1 	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	5	0	3 	2	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRF Housed - All Othe	13	1	1 	1	3	3	 	2	
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all others Housed Outflow subtotal		0	5	1 	7	0 4	2	2 4	0
Inactive - Unable to Contac	t a	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	. 2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
X Other Outflow subtotal		1	0	0	0	2	1	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL		2	5	5	7	6	3	4	3
z NET INFLOW	18	3	11	1	2	-2	2	0	1 Page 7

ı	10/30/2018 FTT BINL REPORT				0	Ourston		00111401 201	au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S			17%	13%	10%		00/	48%	407
Α		s (Youth)	0%				2%	6%		4%
В	Active on BNL	52	0	9	7	5	1	3	25	2
С	Median Days Active	114	-	127	130	89	90	25	143	60
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
_	0	-								
		2% (1)				20% (1) 20% (1)	<u>-</u>			
	3 4	4% (2) 15% (8)			43% (3)	20% (1) 20% (1)	<u>-</u> -		4% (1) 12% (3)	- 50% (1)
	5	15% (8) 19% (10)		- 22% (2)	14% (1)		- 100% (1)	- 33% (1)	32% (8) 20% (5)	
	7	10% (5) 8% (4)		11% (1)				33% (1)	12% (3) 4% (1)	
	9	15% (8)	-	33% (3) 22% (2)	29% (2)	20% (1) 20% (1)	-		8% (2)	50% (1)
	10	8% (4) -		11% (1) -		20% (1)	<u>-</u>		8% <u>(2)</u> -	
	12	2% (1)			14% (1)		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	
	14 15 <mark></mark>	- 2% (1)	-				<u>-</u>	- 33% (1)		
	16	(-)								
_	18	-				-				
	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.65 active reco	rds)	7.89	6.86	5.60	6.00	9.33	6.08	6.50
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	12	0	3	5	1	0	2	0	1
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	0	2	0	1	0	0	25	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	9	0	2	0	0	0	2	5	0
	Returned from Inactive	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	12	0	3	2	0	0	2	5	0
IN	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			J		U	U		J	U
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
	Housed - Self-Resolved	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	^								
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	3	0	1 	0	1 	1	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	8	0	4	0	1	2	0	1	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	0	5	0	1	2	0	1	0
Z	NET INFLOW	3	0	-2	2	-1	-2	2	4	Page 8

10/30/2016 FTI BNL Repoli				Cuantau	Cuantar		Contact bea	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		7%	25%	26%	19%	6%	3%	7%	8%
· ·	. ,		40	50	07				
Active on BNL	199	14	49	52	37	11	6	14	16
c Median Days Active	74	107	105	55	120	103	80	33	172
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 2% (4)		- 4% (2)	- 2% (1)	3% (1)	<u>-</u>			
2	2% (3)		4% (2)	-	-				6% (1) 25% (4)
4	6% (12) 7% (14)	-	10% (5) 8% (4)	4% (2) 2% (1) 23% (12)	3% (1) 3% (1)	18% (2) 9% (1)	- 17% (1)	- 29% (4)	6% (1) 19% (3)
5	14% (28) 18% (35)	14% (2) 7% (1)	12% (6) 18% (9)	23% (12)	3% (1) 14% (5)	9% (1) 27% (3)	17% (1) 33% (2)	14% (2) 14% (2)	19% (3) 6% (1)
7	15% (29)	14% (2)	18% (9) 10% (5)	23% (12) 19% (10)	22% (8)	27% (3)	-	29% (4)	-
8	12% (24) 12% (23)	7% (1) 29% (4)	10% (5) 18% (9)	15% (8) 8% (4)	16% (6) 8% (3)	27% (3)		 7% (1)	6% (1) 13% (2)
10	5% (10)	14% (2)	2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	11% (4)	- 9% (1)	17% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	_
12	4% (8) 2% (4)	-	2% (1) -	2% (1) -	8% (3) 5% (2)	9% (1) 9% (1)	17% (1) -		6% (1) 6% (1)
13	2% (4) 1% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	-	<u>-</u>	5% (2)	-	-	-	6% <u>(1)</u>
15	- (1)							<u>-</u>	
16	-	-		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
18	- 04	- 0.04	-			- 7.00	- 7.00		
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.81	8.64 rds)	6.08	6.42	8.03	7.09	7.00	6.07	6.31
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	,	,	•	, 0			^	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness							·		
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	2
Matched/Awarded	00			40				4	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	28	2	2	10	6	0	2	1	5
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	29	6	10	0	9	3	0	0	1
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	20								'
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months *K Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	19	1	5	5	2	0	0	3	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	33	2	6	13	4	2	1	4	1
Clients who have never been active before	აა	۷	6	13	4	2	l 	4	l
Returned from Inactive	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		6	-	11		2	4		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	6	6	14	4	2	1	4	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the nast 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved							•		•
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	0	2	0	4	0	0	1	0
Housed - PSH	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	<u>-</u>								
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	15	0	6	1	6	0	0	2	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact	8	0	1	2	0	4	0	0	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact				<u>_</u>					
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	•				-		•		
X Other Outflow subtotal	11	0	1	2	1	4	2	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	0	7	3	7	4	2	2	1
z NET INFLOW	12	6	-1	11	-3	-2	-1	2	0

10/30/2018 F11 BNL Repoll				Cuantan	Cuantan		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of Individuals (No.		7%	23%	27%	13%	5%	4%	7%	13%
Active on BNL		102	361	424	202	77	63	111	208
c Median Days Active		137	127	141	118	105	90	64	211
Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active r		121	- 111	110	100		01	211
0	0% (2)	-	1% (2)	-			-	-	-
1 2	3% (41) 5% (75)	2% (2) 4% (4)	4% (13) 6% (23) 10% (36)	3% (14) 6% (26)	1% (3) 2% (4)	3% (2) 4% (3)	- 8% (5)	2% (2)	3% (7) 4% (8) 6% (13)
3	9% (132) 10% (160)	10% (10) 11% (11)	10% (36)	6% (26) 12% (49)	5% (10)	4% (3) 9% (7)	8% (5) 3% (2)	2% (2) 5% (5)	6% (13)
5	12% (190)	12% (12)	12% (42) 12% (44)	12% (51) 13% (57)	8% (16) 9% (18) 8% (17)	10% (8) 19% (15)	16% (10) 13% (8)	9% (10) 14% (15)	6% (12) 10% (21)
6	14% (209) 11% (168)	6% (6) 12% (12)	12/0 (52) 14% (52) 9% (34) 9% (31) 5% (17) 7% (26) 6% (20)	13% (55) 12% (50) 9% (37) 5% (23) 5% (20) 5% (22) 2% (7)	8% (17) 10% (21)	21% (16) 9% (7)	13% (8) 6% (4)	21% (23) 13% (14)	15% (32) 13% (26)
8	10% (155)	15% (15) 8% (8)	9% (31)	9% (37)	8% (16)	9% (7) 5% (4) 6% (5) 8% (6)	11% (7)	14% (15) 7% (8)	14% (30) 11% (23)
10	8% (117) 7% (106)	8% (8) 9% (9)	5% (17) 7% (26)	5% (23) 5% (20)	14% (28) 11% (23)	6% (5) 8% (6)	8% (5) 5% (3) 5% (3) 6% (4)	4% (4)	7% (15)
11	5% (81) 2% (37)	5% (5) 6% (6)	6% (20) 1% (2)	5% (22) 2% (7)	9% (18) 4% (8)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (3) 6% (4)	4% (4) 3% (3)	4% (8) 3% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
13	3% (42)		3% (10) 1% (3)	2% (8) 1% (4)	5% (11)	1% (1)	6% (4)	5% (5) 5% (5) 2% (2)	1% (3)
15	1% (17) 1% (14)	1% (1)	1% (3) 2% (6)	1% (4) 0% (1)	3% (6) 1% (2)	- 1% (1)	<u></u>	2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (2) 1% (2)
16	0% (2)	1% (1)			0% (1)				
17	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Average Assessment Score		6.90	6.29	6.10	8.02	6.09	6.92	7.12	6.94
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1 10	1	2	6	0	1	2	3	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	<u> </u>	7	 52	44	46	10	 4	10	 14
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	ļ	·							
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awardec	104	13	9	36	8	5	18	32	43
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	252	19	61	56 	38	10 	21 	27	20
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	/	9	29	8	4	4	0	15 	2
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	1 19	4	4	8	1	1	0	1	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t	he past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	1.50	12	39	27	22	9	2	16	9
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	40	2	2	14	1	3	3	15	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	176	14	41	41	23	12	5	31	9
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina									
Housed - Self-Resolved		3	28	2	4	5	1	11	5
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	43	8	17	 8	7	0	0	2	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	28	1	6	1	6	1	 1	 12	 0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	<u> </u>	0	0		3	 0	 0	3	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all others Housed Outflow subtotal		12	51	13	20	6	2	28	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact	t 63	2	49	13	20	3	0	5	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	 2	4	 2
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	l 3	0	0 0	 1	0	1	 1	0	 0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
X Other Outflow subtotal Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	77 216	2 14	49 100	2 15	2 22	<u>4</u> 	3 5	10 38	5 12
z NET INFLOW		0	-59	26	1	2	0	-7	-3
4LI INFLOW	- 	U	-03	20			U	-1	Page 10

STATEWINDERSON_ Percentage of Statewinde BNL 2046 251 1795 299 1747 247 52 199 1544 130 140 1	10/30/2018 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Percentage of Statewide BNL 2046 251 1795 299 1747 247 3% 160% 251 275 2	Statewide BNI	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		
Statewide BNL 2,046 251 1795 299 1747 247 52 199 1544 130 144 14			Youth		Families		(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Active on PMI	Perce	entage of		88%	4=0/	85%				76%
Median Days Active 113 88 121 85 125 82 114 74 130	Statev	vide BNL	12%		15%		12%	3%	10%	
Median Days Active	Active on BNL	2,046	251	1795	299	1747	247	52	199	1548
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Section of a business records in the property of the	Median Days Active	113	88		85	125	82	114	74	130
Status Conditions Followed (among active records)		ng active r	ecords)							
1.5 1.5										
1.5 1.5			- 2% (4)	0% (2) 2% (42)	- 0% (1)	0% (2) 3% (45)	- 0% (1)	-	- 2% (4)	0% (2) 3% (41)
Section Sect	2	4% (86)	2% (4)	5% (82)	3% (8)	4% (78)	20/. (7)	2% (1)	2% (3)	5% (75)
Section Sect			6% (14) 9% (22)	8% (137) 10% (179)	2% (7) 9% (27)	8% (144) 10% (174)	2% (5) 8% (19)	4% (2) 15% (8)	6% (12) 7% (14)	9% (132) 10% (160)
Section Sect	5	12% (255)	14% (36)	12% (219)	12% (37)	12% (218)	12% (29)	15% (8)	14% (28)	12% (190)
Section Sect			18% (45)	13% (241)	14% (42)	14% (244)	15% (32)	19% (10)	15% (35)	11% (168)
Section Sect			11% (28) 12% (31)	11% (197) 8% (145)	15% (46) 12% (36)	10% (179) 8% (140)	17% (42) 11% (28)	8% (4) 15% (8)	12% (24) 12% (23)	10% (155) 8% (117)
1	10	7% (133)	6% (14)	7% (119)	6% (17)	7% (116)	5% (13)	8% (4)	5% (10)	7% (106)
191			2% (5)	5% (98) 3% (47)	4% (11)	5% (89) 2% (41)	7% (17) 4% (10)	2% (1)	4% (8) 2% (4)	5% (81) 2% (37)
191	13	2% (50)	2% (4)	3% (46)	1% (4)	3% (46)	2% (4)		2% (4)	3% (42)
191	15	1% (16)	0% (1)	1% (16)	1% (2)	1% (16)	0% (1)	2% (1)	170 (1) -	1% (17)
18		0% (4)		0% (4)	1% (2)	0% (2)	1% (2)	<u>-</u>		0% (2)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	18					-	-	-	-	
Clients control was believe are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple roses depending on their combination of circumstances. Clients counted through their and subject to due dilipence polity.				6.77	7.29	6.68	7.42	6.65	6.81	6.67
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients control flows are subject to due difference policy Chronic (Verified) 2002 4 198 12 190 111 1 3 187 187 187 188				ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Chemis need are subjected to due displaced policy			-	•				0	0	18
Clients meter HUD definition of Chronic Fromeissness EUZ 4 150 12 150 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1										
Clients that are confirmed to be unshelmed Matched/Awarded M		202	4	198	12	190	11	1	3	187
Clients material are continued to be unsigned expected Silents material for or expected a flourising resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active Clients who are varied at housing resource 143 57 86 43 100 15 28 29 71		171	7	164	0	171	0	0	7	164
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource 373										
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth AT Time of Assessment Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing You that Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active clients who have neared active to be BNL in the past 30 days. Newly Added Clients who have neared active to before New York (Clients who have never bear active before Set on the BNL in the past 30 days. Newly Added Clients who have never bear active before Set on the BNL in the past 30 days. Newly Added Clients who have never bear active before Set on the BNL in the past 30 days. Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any neason who are now active Clients inactive for any neason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL 279 50 229 65 214 53 12 38 176 Outflow from Active List Past 30 Days Clients below were returned to housing a remarked as Inachive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Set Freshold		373	40	333	93	280	81	12	28	252
Youth at Time of Assessment 276 251 25 58 218 6 52 199 19 19 19 10 10 10 1		143	57	86	43	100	15	28	29	71
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Section Sectio	Ü									
Newly Added Clients who have remote active before Clients who have remote hear active before Clients who have remote hear active before Clients who have remote hear active before Clients inactive for any reason who are now active So		276	251	25	58	218	6	52	199	19
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive 50										
Clients who have never been active before 229 42 161 60 169 51 9 35 136		e past 30 days.			ı					
Returned from Inactive Cilients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL 279 50 229 65 214 53 12 38 176		229	42	187	60	169	51	9	33	136
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		50	ρ	12	5	45	2	3	5	40
Dutflow from Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Formula of the Inactive In past 30 days, unith PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Housed - RRH Housed - RIH Housed - RIH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - All Other To a significant of the Inactive - Unable to Contact To a significant To a signific										
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Flooring in past 30 days, self-to-clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-to-clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH SD SD SD SD SD SD SD			ου	229	00	214	5 3	12	JÖ	7/0
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - All Other 17 3 14 6 11 5 1 2 9 1 10 1 3 15 139 15 139 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1			the past 30 days	S.						
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Fo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,		10	ee.	G	1	7	50
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other 17 3 14 6 11 5 1 2 9	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10		ບວ	10	υυ	U	4	1	0 9
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 17 3 14 6 11 5 1 2 9 9 1 15 1 2 9 1 10 1 1 10 1 10 1 10		50	2	48	5	45	5	0	2	43
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH 40		40	7	11	16	აი	42	ე	Α	20
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 17 3 14 6 11 5 1 2 9 8 15 139	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	48	/	41	16	3Z	13	ა	4	∠ŏ
Housed Outflow subtotal 191 23 168 37 154 29 8 15 139		17	3	14	6	11	5	1	2	9
Inactive - Unable to Contact 75 9 66 4 71 3 1 8 63			23					Q		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 75 9 60 4 71 3 1 6 63 Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 11 2 9 1 10 1 0 2 8 Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 Inactive - All Other Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 6 1 5 2 4 2 0 1 3 Other Outflow subtotal 95 12 83 7 88 6 1 11 77 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 286 35 251 44 242 35 9 26 216										
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 11 2 9 1 10 1 0 2 8 Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 3 Inactive - All Other Inactive - All Other Possons 6 1 5 2 4 2 0 1 3 Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 6 1 5 2 4 2 0 1 3 Other Outflow subtotal 95 12 83 7 88 6 1 11 77 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 286 35 251 44 242 35 9 26 216		75	9	66	4	71	3	1	8	63
Inactive - Deceased 3		11	2	9	1	10	1	0	2	8
Inactive - All Other 6	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Other Outflow subtotal 95 12 83 7 88 6 1 11 77 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 286 35 251 44 242 35 9 26 216	Inactive - All Other	6	1	5	2	4	2	0	1	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL 286 35 251 44 242 35 9 26 216		95	12	83	7	88	6	1	11	77
								9		
	NET INFLOW	-7	15	-22	21	-28	18	3	12	-40

10/30/2018 FYI BNL Report					Contact beau.anderson@ct.gov with question All All Families Families Individuals Individuals						
Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth		
Perc	entage of		90%		83%		,	,	73%		
	ntral CAN	10%		17%		17%	0%	10%			
Active on BNL	140	14	126	24	116	24	0	14	102		
Median Days Active	112	107	112	59	132	59	-	107	137		
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)									
1	- 1% (2)	<u>-</u>	2% (2)		2% (2)				- 2% (2)		
2	3% (4)		3% (4)	- 407 (4)	3% (4)	- 40((4)			4% (4)		
3	8% (11) 8% (11)		9% (11) 9% (11)	4% (1) -	9% (10) 9% (11) 12% (14) 6% (7)	4% (1)	<u>-</u>		10% (10) 11% (11)		
5	14% (19)	14% (2)	13% (17)	21% (5) 29% (7)	12% (14)	21% (5)		14% (2)	12% (12) 6% (6)		
6	10% (14) 12% (17)	7% (1) 14% (2)	10% (13) 12% (15)	13% (3)	12% (14)	29% (7) 13% (3)	-	7% (1) 14% (2)	12% (12)		
8	16% (22)	14% (2) 7% (1) 29% (4)	17% (21) 7% (9)	13% (3) 25% (6) 4% (1)	12% (14) 14% (16) 10% (12)	13% (3) 25% (6) 4% (1)		14% (2) 7% (1) 29% (4)	15% (15) 8% (8)		
10	9% (13) 8% (11)	29% (4) 14% (2)	7% (9)	4% (1)	9% (12)	4% (1)	<u>-</u>	29% (4) 14% (2)	9% (9)		
11	4% (5)		4% (5) 6% (7)	- 40/ /4)	9% (11) 4% (5)	- 40/ /4)			9% (9) 5% (5) 6% (6)		
13	5% (7) 1% (1)	7% (1)	- 076 (17)	<u>4% (1)</u> -	5% (6) 1% (1)	4% (1) -		7% (1)	- 0 /0 (0)		
14 15	1% (1)	7% (1)	10/, (1)	-	1% (1) 1% (1)	-	-	7% (1)	10/, /1)		
16	1% (1) 1% (1)	-	1% (1) 1% (1)	-	1% (1)	-			1% (1) 1% (1)		
17 18	-		-	-		-					
Average Assessment Score	7.04	8.64	6.86	6.67	7.11	6.67	-	8.64	6.90		
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		•	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of o	circumstances.					
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7		
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	15	2	13	0	15	0	0	2	13		
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	29	2	27	8	21	8	0	2	19		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	15	6	9	0	15	0	0	6	9		
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	18	14	4	0	18	0	0	14	4		
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	19	2	17	5	14	5	0	2	12		
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	4	2	0	6	0	0	4	2		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	25	6	19	5	20	5	0	6	14		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da							•				
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 day	'S.								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8		
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1		
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Housed Outflow subtotal	13	0	13	1	12	1	0	0	12		
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2		
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	16	0	16	2	14	2	0	0	14		
NET INFLOW	9	6	3	3	6	3	0	6	0		

Ī	Foliation at OAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	400/	88%	460/	84%	4.40/			74%
Α	Fairfield Cou		12%		16%		14%	2%	10%	
В	Active on BNL	490	58	432	80	410	71	9	49	361
С	Median Days Active	126	109	129	130	126	132	127	105	127
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
ŀ		0% (2) 3% (15)	3% (2)	0% (2) 3% (13)		0% (2) 4% (15)	<u>-</u>		- 4% (2)	1% (2) 4% (13) 6% (23)
	2	6% (30) 9% (45)	3% (2) 3% (2) 9% (5)	0% (2) 3% (13) 6% (28) 9% (40)	6% (5) 5% (4)	0% (2) 4% (15) 6% (25) 10% (41)	7% (5) 6% (4)		4% (2) 10% (5)	6% (23)
	4	11% (52)	7% (4)	11% (48) 12% (53)	8% (6) 11% (9)	11% (46)	8% (6)		8% (4) 12% (6)	10% (36) 12% (42) 12% (44)
	6	12% (59) 14% (69)	10% (6) 19% (11)	13% (58)	10% (8) 9% (7)	12% (50) 15% (61)	8% (6) 13% (9) 8% (6) 8% (6)	22% (2)	12% (6) 18% (9) 10% (5)	14% (52)
	8	9% (46) 10% (49)	10% (6) 14% (8) 19% (11)	9% (40) 9% (41)	16% (13)	10% (39) 9% (36)	8% (6) 14% (10)	11% (1) 33% (3)	10% (5) 10% (5) 18% (9)	9% (34) 9% (31) 5% (17)
	10	8% (40) 7% (34)	3% (2)	12% (58) 13% (58) 9% (40) 9% (41) 7% (29) 7% (32) 5% (21)	18% (14) 9% (7)	9% (36) 6% (26) 7% (27)	17% (12) 8% (6)	33% (3) 22% (2) 11% (1)	18% (9) 2% (1) 2% (1)	7% (26)
	12	4% (22) 1% (4)	2% (1) -	1% (4)	1% (1) 3% (2) 3% (2) 1% (1)	5% (21) 0% (2)	3% (0) 14% (10) 17% (12) 8% (6) 1% (1) 3% (2) 3% (2)		2% (1) 	6% (20) 1% (2)
	14	2% (12) 1% (4)		3% (12) 1% (4)	3% (2) 1% (1)	2% (10) 1% (3)	3% (2) 1% (1)	-		3% (10) 1% (3)
	16	1% (6) 0% (1)	- - 	1% (6) 0% (1)	- 1% (1)	1% (6) -	- 1% (1)		<u> </u>	2% <u>(</u> 6) -
	17 18	- -			<u>-</u> -	<u> </u>	-			
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.43	6.36 rds)	6.44	7.24	6.27	7.15	7.89	6.08	6.29
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	56	0	 56	4	52	4	0	0	52
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	1	9	0	10	0	0	1	9
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	85	5	80	22	63	19	3	2	61
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	53	12	41	14	39	12	2	10	29
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	63	58	5	10	53	1	9	49	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	62	8	54	17	45	15	2	6	39
М	Returned from Inactive	4	1	3	2	2	1	1	0	2
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	66	9	57	19	47	16	3	6	41
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day							-	-	
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		, ,							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	34	5	29	4	30	1	3	2	28
D	Housed - PSH	20	0	20	3	17	3	0	0	17
P Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	4	7	2	9	1	1	3	6
R	Housed - All Other	1	1	0	0	 1	0	0	1	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	66	10	56	9	57	5	4	6	51
_ T	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	51	2	49	1	50	0	1	1	49
i U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	51	2	49	1	50	0	1	1	49
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	117	12	105	10	107	5	5	7	100
Z	NET INFLOW	-51	-3	-48	9	-60	11	-2	-1	-59 Page 13

10/30/2016 FIT BNL REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Greater Hartford CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		89%		89%				79%
Greater Harti	ford CAN	11%		11%		10%	1%	10%	
Active on BNL	534	59	475	58	476	51	7	52	424
c Median Days Active	126	61	134	95	130	91	130	55	141
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
	3% (15)	2% (1)	3% (14)		3% (15) 5% (26) 11% (51)	-	-	2% (1)	3% (14)
3	5% (27) 10% (51)	3% (2)	6% (27) 10% (49)	2% (1) -	5% (26) 11% (51)	<u>2% (1)</u> -	-	4% (2)	3% (14) 6% (26) 12% (49)
	11% (60) 14% (75)	7% (4) 20% (12)	12% (56) 13% (63)	14% (8) 10% (6)	11% (52) 14% (69)	10% (5) 12% (6)	43% (3)	2% (1) 23% (12)	12% (51) 13% (57)
6	14% (73) 13% (70)	22% (13) 17% (10)	13% (60) 13% (60)	10% (6)	1/10/. (67)	10% (5) 20% (10)	14% (1) -	23% (12) 19% (10)	13% (55) 12% (50)
8	10% (52) 7% (35)	14% (8)	9% (44)	12% (7)	9% (45)	14% (7)	- 29% (2)	15% (8)	13% (55) 12% (50) 9% (37) 5% (23)
10	4% (22)	14% (8) 10% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1)	13% (60) 13% (60) 9% (44) 6% (29) 4% (21) 5% (24) 3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (4)	17 % (10) 12% (7) 14% (8) 2% (1) 3% (2) 12% (7) 2% (1)	14% (07) 13% (60) 9% (45) 6% (27) 4% (21) 5% (23) 1% (7)	10% (5) 12% (6) 10% (5) 20% (10) 14% (7) 12% (6) 2% (1) 4% (2) 12% (6) 2% (1)	-	15% (8) 8% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (20) 5% (22) 2% (7) 2% (8) 1% (4)
12	5% (25) 3% (14)	2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (24) 3% (13)	3% (2) 12% (7)	5% (23) 1% (7)	4% (2) 12% (6)	14% (1)	2% (1)	5% (22) 2% (7)
13	2% (9) 1% (4)	-	2% (9) 1% (4)	2% (1)	2% (8) 1% (4)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	2% (8) 1% (4)
15	0% (1) 0% (1)	-	0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (1)	0% (1)	2% (1)			0% (1)
17	-				-				-
E Average Assessment Score	6.30	6.47	6.28	7.64	6.13	7.75	6.86	6.42	6.10
Status/Conditions Followed (among			to all in one West	danaa dhaa	da annah li sell	dan com a factor			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Chronic (Verified)	50	1	49	6	44	5	1	0	44
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	36	0	36	0	36	0	0	0	36
Matched/Awarded	91	15	76	25	66	20	5	10	56
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing						4			
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	9	0	9	1 	8	1	0	0	8
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	70	59	11	10	60	3	7	52	8
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	46	13	33	6	40	6	0	13	27
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	17	3	14	2	15	0	2	1	14
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	63	16	47	8	55	6	2	14	41
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive		the part 20 days	0						
Housed - Self-Resolved			1	4	^		^	^	^
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	3	1 	2	1	0	0	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	11	1	10	2	9	2	0	1	8
Housed - RRH	2	Λ	2	 1	 1	1	Λ	Λ	1
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	۷	0		I	l 	1 	0	0	1
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
s Housed Outflow subtotal	19	1	18	5	14	5	0	1	13
Inactive - Unable to Contact	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									·
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	23	3	20	5	18	5	0	3	15
z NET INFLOW	40	13	27	3	37	1	2	11	26

	TO FIT BINE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Greate	er New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		85%		84%				71%
A	Greater New Ha	ven CAN	15%		16%		15%	2%	13%	
В	Active on BNL	286	42	244	47	239	42	5	37	202
С	Median Days Active	110	114	110	89	119	86	89	120	118
	ent Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all acti	ve records having each assessment score.	_	_	-			_			
1		1% (4) 2% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (3) 2% (4)	- 20/. (1)	2% (4) 2% (4)		- 20% (1)	3% (1)	1% (3) 2% (4) 5% (10)
3		4% (12)	5% (2)	4% (10)	2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (11)		20% (1) 20% (1)	3% (1)	5% (10)
5		7% (21) 8% (24)	5% (2) 2% (1)	8% (19) 9% (23)	9% (4) 11% (5)	7% (17) 8% (19)	7% (3) 12% (5) 12% (5)	20% (1) -	3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (16) 9% (18)
6 7		9% (27) 12% (35)	12% (5) 19% (8)	8% (19) 9% (23) 9% (22) 11% (27)	11% (5) 13% (6)	7% (17) 8% (19) 9% (22) 12% (29) 9% (22) 13% (31)	12% (5) 14% (6)	<u>-</u>	14% (5) 22% (8)	8% (17) 10% (21)
8		11% (31) 13% (36)	14% (6) 10% (4) 12% (5)	10% (25) 13% (32)	19% (9) 11% (5) 9% (4)	9% (22) 13% (31)	14% (6) 21% (9) 10% (4) 7% (3)	20% (1)	16% (6) 8% (3)	8% (16) 14% (28)
10		11% (31)	12% (5) 7% (3)	11% (26)	9% (4)	11% (27)	7% (3)	20% (1) 20% (1)	11% (4)	11% (23)
11 12		10% (28) 3% (10)	5% (2)	11% (26) 10% (25) 3% (8)	15% (7) -	9% (21) 4% (10) 5% (13) 3% (6)	17% (7) -	-	8% (3) 5% (2)	9% (18) 4% (8)
13 14		5% (13) 2% (6)	5% (2) -	5% (11) 2% (6)	 	5% (13) 3% (6)			5% <u>(2)</u> -	5% (11) 3% (6) 1% (2)
15 16		1% (2) 0% (1)		1% (2) 0% (1)	-	1% (2) 0% (1)				1% (2) 0% (1)
17		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>-</u>						
E	Average Assessment Score	7.94	7.74	7.97	7.49	8.03	7.71	5.60	8.03	8.02
	nditions Followed (among a in each row below are currently active on t			tad in multiple rowe	dononding on the	oir combination of a	piroumetanoos			
Cherits counted	Refuses CAN Assistance									
F Clients counte	d here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet	Chronic (Verified)	49	2	47	1	48	1	0	2	46
Clients meet	HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
H Cli	ents that are confirmed to be unsheltered	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
Clianto m	Matched/Awarded	55	7	48	11	44	10	1	6	38
	atched to or awarded a housing resource ed in Transitional Housing	14	10	1	1	13	0	1	9	1
J Active clients	who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	14	10	4	 	13	U	I		4
	th at Time of Assessment tho were under 25 at time of assessment	45	42	3	7	38	2	5	37	1
	Active List: Past 30 Days									
	ere made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	35	4	31	9	26	9	0	4	22
L	lients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	4								4
	active for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	flow to Active List TOTAL	36	4	32	9	27	9	0	4	23
	om Active List: Past 30 Day ere returned to housing or marked as Inact		the nest 30 days	s						
Clients below w	Housed - Self-Resolved						_	^	A	4
O Clients	returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	4	6	2	8	2	0	4 	4
P Cliente mt	Housed - PSH ned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	8	1	7	0	8	0	0	1	7
· _ Cherits return	Housed - RRH	11	·		Л	7	າ	1	1	6
Q Clients return	ned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	2	9	4 	7	3	l 	 	6
R Clients retu	Housed - All Other rned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
S Clients retu	Housed Outflow subtotal	34	7	27	8	26	7	1	6	20
In	active - Unable to Contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
T Clients made in	nactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		ļ			<u></u>				
U Clients made	Inactive - In an Institution inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients	made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		ļ							
W Clients made i	Inactive - All Other nactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
Y Outflo	w from Active List TOTAL	37	8	29	8	29	7	1	7	22
Z	NET INFLOW	-1	-4	3	1	-2	2	-1	-3	1 Page 15

MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of MW CAN	12%	88%	14%	86%	13%	1%	11%	75%
A Active on BNL	102	12	90	14	88	13	1	11	77
c Median Days Active	104	97	104	62	105	39	90	103	105
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			101	- 02	100			100	100
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		•							
	2% (2)	<u>-</u>	2% (2)		2% (2)		<u>-</u>		3% (2)
3	3% (3) 7% (7)	-	3% (3) 8% (7)	-	3% (3) 8% (7)		<u>-</u>	-	3% (2) 4% (3) 9% (7) 10% (8)
5	10% (10) 18% (18)	17% (2) 8% (1)	9% (8) 19% (17)	- 14% (2)	11% (10) 18% (16)	- 15% (2) 15% (2)	<u>-</u>	18% (2) 9% (1)	
	22% (22) 9% (9)	33% (4) -	20% (18) 10% (9)	21% (3) 14% (2)	22% (19) 8% (7)	15% (2) 15% (2) 31% (4)	100% (1) -	27% (3)	21% (16) 9% (7)
	11% (11) 5% (5)	25% (3)	9% (8)	29% (4) -	8% (7) 6% (5)	-		27% (3)	5% (4) 6% (5)
10	8% (8) 3% (3)	- 8% (1)	6% (5) 9% (8) 2% (2)	14% (2) 7% (1)	7% (6) 2% (2) 2% (2)	15% (2) 8% (1)		9% (1)	8% (6) 1% (1)
12	2% (2) 1% (1)	8% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)			9% (1)	21% (16) 9% (7) 5% (4) 6% (5) 8% (6) 1% (1) 1% (1)
14	-		1% (1)		1% (1)				1% (1)
16	1% (1) -		1% (1) 		1% (1)		<u>-</u>		176 (1) -
17	-	- - -	-	- - -	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.39 active reco	7.00 rds)	6.31	7.50	6.22	7.62	6.00	7.09	6.09
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	11	0	11	1	10	1	0	0	10
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	13	12	1	1	12	0	1	11	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	15	2	13	4	11	4	0	2	9
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	18	2	16	4	14	4	0	2	12
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		_	.,,	•		•		_	,-
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	0	6	1	5	1	0	0	5
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	5	 1	4	4	1	3	 1	0	 1
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		·					 1		
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	12	2	10	6	6	4	2	0	6
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	4	5	2	7	2	0	4	3
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	10	4	6	2	8	2	0	4	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	22	6	16	8	14	6	2	4	10
z NET INFLOW	-4	-4	0	-4	0	-2	-2	-2	2 Page 16

10/30/2018 FYI BNL Report		I		I		I		au.anderson@ct.	
Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families	Individuals	
Poro		Toutil	90%	ranniles	77%	(INOTI- FOULTI)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of east CAN	10%	0070	23%	1170	20%	3%	7%	1070
			04	04	00	40			<u> </u>
Active on BNL	90 82	9 61	81 82	21 42	69 90	18 44	3 25	6 80	63 90
Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (amo			02	42	90	44		00	90
Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus)							
0	-		-		-		-		
2	7% (6)	-	7% (6)	5% (1)	7% (5)	6% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	8% (5)
3	2% (2) 14% (13)	- 11% (1)	2% (2) 15% (12)	- 10% (2)	3% (2) 16% (11)	- 11% (2)		- 17% (1)	3% (2) 16% (10)
5	10% (9) 14% (13)	11% (1) 33% (3)	10% (8) 12% (10)	14% (3)	13% (9) 14% (10)	- 11% (2)	33% (1)	17% (1) 33% (2)	13% (8)
7	11% (10) 12% (11)	11% (1)	11% (9)	29% (6) 19% (4) 10% (2)	14% (10) 6% (4)	11% (2) 28% (5) 22% (4) 11% (2)	33% (1)		13% (8) 6% (4) 11% (7)
9	8% (7) 6% (5)	- 11% (1)	14% (11) 9% (7) 5% (4)	10% (2) 5% (1)	10% (7) 7% (5) 6% (4)	11% (2) 6% (1)		- 17% (1)	11% (7) 8% (5) 5% (3) 5% (3)
11	4% (4)	11% (1)	4% (3)		6% (4)		<u>-</u>	17% (1)	5% (3)
12 13	4% (4) 6% (5)	- - -	5% (4) 6% (5)	5% (1)	6% (4) 6% (4)	- 6% (1)			6% (4) 6% (4)
14 15 <mark></mark>	- 1% (1)	- 11% (1)	<u> </u>	- 5% (1)			33% (1)		
16	-				-	-	-		
18 Average Assessment Score	7.07	7.78	6.99	7.52	6.93	7.22	9.33	7.00	6.92
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.55	1.02	0.50	1.22	3.00	7.00	0.52
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	1	4
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	19	1	18	0	19	0	0	1	18
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	32	4	28	9	23	7	2	2	21
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	9	9	0	3	6	0	3	6	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	10	3	7	7	3	5	2	1	2
Returned from Inactive	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	13	3	10	7	6	5	2	1	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		<u> </u>	.,,	· · ·			-	· ·	_
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	2	0	0 2	1	 1			 0	 1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				·		1	0		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	2	2	2	0	0	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
Other Outflow subtotal	6	2	4	1	5	1	0	2	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	10	2	8	3	7	3	0	2	5
Z NET INFLOW	3	1	2	4	-1	2	2	-1	0

10/30/2016 FIT BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		76%		77%				68%
	east CAN	24%		23%		8%	15%	9%	
Active on BNL	163	39	124	38	125	13	25	14	111
c Median Days Active	64	88	64	84	63	47	143	33	64
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	_	-	_	-	_			
1	- 1% (2)		-		-				- 20/. (2)
3	4% (6)	3% (1)	2% (2) 4% (5)	3% (1)	2% (2) 4% (5)		4% (1)		2% (2) 5% (5) 9% (10) 14% (15)
5	12% (20) 16% (26)	18% (7) 26% (10)	10% (13) 13% (16)	16% (6) 24% (9)	11% (14) 14% (17)	23% (3) 8% (1)	12% (3) 32% (8)	29% (4) 14% (2)	9% (10) 14% (15)
7	20% (33) 13% (22)	18% (7) 18% (7)	21% (26) 12% (15)	21% (8) 11% (4)	20% (25) 14% (18)	23% (3) 8% (1)	20% (5) 12% (3)	14% (2) 29% (4)	21% (23) 13% (14)
9	11% (18) 7% (12)	3% (1) 8% (3)	14% (17) 7% (9)	8% (3) 8% (3)	12% (15) 7% (9)	23% (3) 8% (1) 23% (3) 8% (1) 15% (2) 8% (1)	4% (1) 8% (2) 8% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	14% (15)
10	4% (7) 4% (6)	8% (3)	3% (4) 5% (6)	8% (3) 8% (3) 5% (2) 5% (2)	4% (5) 3% (4)	- 15% (2)	8% (2)	7% (1) -	7 % (9) 4% (4) 4% (4) 3% (3) 5% (5) 2% (2)
	2% (3) 3% (5)		2% (3)		2% (3)				3% (3)
14	1% (2)		4% (5) 2% (2)		4% (5) 2% (2)				2% (2)
15	1% (1) -	-	1% (1) 	<u>-</u>	1% (1) 		<u>-</u>		1% (1)
17	-	-				<u>-</u>			
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.85	6.08	7.09	6.34	7.00	6.85	6.08	6.07	7.12
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	33	1	32	0	33	0	0	1 	32
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	38	1	37	10	28	10	0	1	27
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	25	15	25	15	0	25	0	15
Youth at Time of Assessment	40	39	1	25	15	0	25	14	 1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	28	9	19	8	20	3	5	4	16
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	16	0	16	1	15	1	0	0	15
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	9	35	9	35	4	5	4	31
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day				,		-			<u> </u>
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	2	11	1	12	0	1	1	11
Housed - PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	14	0	 14	2	12	2	0	0	12
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	1	5	2	4	2	0	1	3
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	35	3	32	5	30	4	1	2	28
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
x Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	45	3	42	5	40	4	1	2	38
z NET INFLOW	-1	6	-7	4	-5	0	4	2	-7 Page 18

10/30/2018 FIT BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		93%		93%	,	, ,		86%
Waterbury Litchf	•	7%		7%		6%	1%	7%	
B Active on BNL	241	18	223	17	224	15	2	16	208
c Median Days Active	203	148	207	56	210	56	60	172	211
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			201	50	210	50	00	172	211
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus							
0	-		-	-	-	- 7% (1)			-
	3% (8) 4% (9)	- 6% (1)	4% (8) 4% (8)	6% (1) -	3% (7) 4% (9)	7% (1) -		- 6% (1)	3% (7) 4% (8) 6% (13)
	7% (17) 6% (14)	22% (4) 11% (2)	6% (13)	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% (9) 8% (17) 6% (13) 11% (24)	-	- 50% (1)	6% (1) 25% (4) 6% (1)	6% (13) 6% (12)
5	10% (25)	17% (3)	10% (22)	6% (1)	11% (24)	7% (1)		19% (3)	6% (12) 10% (21) 15% (32) 13% (26)
7	15% (35) 12% (29)	<u>6% (1)</u> -	15% (34) 13% (29)	12% (2) 18% (3)	15% (33) 12% (26)	13% (2) 20% (3)		6% (1) -	15% (32) 13% (26)
8	13% (31) 12% (28)	6% (1) 17% (3)	5% (12) 10% (22) 15% (34) 13% (29) 13% (30) 11% (25)	- 18% (3)	14% (31)	- 13% (2)	- 50% (1)	6% (1) 13% (2)	14% (30) 11% (23)
10	6% (15)	-	7% (15) 5% (12)	-	14% (31) 11% (25) 7% (15) 4% (9)	-	50% (1) -	_	7% (15)
	5% (13) 3% (8)	6% (1) 6% (1)	5% (12) 3% (7)	24% (4) 6% (1)	4% (9) 3% (7)	27% (4) 7% (1)	<u>-</u>	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% (8) 3% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
13	2% (4)	6% (1)	1% (3) 1% (2)		2% (4) 1% (2)			6% (1)	1% (3)
14 15	1% (2) 1% (3)		1% (2)	- 6% (1)	1% (2) 1% (2)	- 7% (1)			1% (2)
16	-			-	<u> </u>		-		
E Average Assessment Score	-	-	-	-	-		-		-
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.99 active reco	6.33	7.04	8.29	6.89	8.53	6.50	6.31	6.94
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	J	0	J		J	u	U		J
Chronic (Verified) G. Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered			40						40
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	45	2	43	0	45	0	0	2	43
Matched/Awarded	32	6	26	7	25	6	1	5	20
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource				·			·		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
Youth at Time of Assessment	18	40	^	٠	16	^	<u> </u>	10	^
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	18	0	2	16	0	2	16	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T T							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	14	1	13	4	10	4	0	1	9
Returned from Inactive	0	^	0	^	Λ	^	^	^	Λ
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	14	1	13	4	10	4	0	1	9
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved									
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	 1	0	0	0	 1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	I	J	·	·	l		·		I
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days with RRH	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other					4	^	^		4
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
s Housed Outflow subtotal	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution		ļ							
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	Λ	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	U	U	0	U		U
Inactive - All Other W. Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	8	1	7	2	6	2	0	1	5
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	16	1	15	3	13	3	0	1	12
z NET INFLOW	-2	0	-2	1	-3	1	0	0	-3
NLT INFLOW	-2	U	-2	ı	-5	ı	U	U	Page 19

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).