Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth)							
243 -20 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7										
Known Unsheltered	Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
2 +1 from last week	2 69 +1 from last week -14 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	21	0	3							
Eastern	23	1	7							
Fairfield County	71	1	12							
Greater Hartford	47	0	16							
Greater New Haven	37	0	10							
MMW	13	0	4							
Waterbury Litchfield	31	0	17							

idividua	ls (Youth)	
.6	7	
rom last	week	
ıll details for A	ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on pg. 9
	Matched to	o Housing
	4	5
	-4 from la	st week
Active	Unsheltered	Matched
17	0	7
33	6	10
45	0	4
36	0	21
22	0	1
6	0	1
0	0	1
8	O	Т.
	Active 17 33 45 36 22 6	17 0 33 6 45 0 36 0 22 0

is below.			
Active	Families	(Youth)	
-3 fr	53 om last	week	
		r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8
Known Unsheltered			
0			
no change		-1 from la	st week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	4	0	0
Eastern	21	0	0
Fairfield County	13	0	3
Greater Hartford	4	0	0
Greater New Haven	3	0	1
MMW	4	0	0
Waterbury Litchfield	4	0	0

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) +21 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 Matched to Housing +8 from last week +17 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched 77 6 Central 11 229 81 50 Eastern Fairfield County 369 Greater Hartford 352 26 64 Greater New Haven 246 39 23 MMW 87 2 11 Waterbury Litchfield 32 240 20 Page 1

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Ochtrai	Lastern	i all lielu	Hartiora	Haven	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
Α	_	Records	6%	15%	24%	21%	15%	5%	14%
В	Active on BNL	2,063	119	306	498	439	308	110	283
С	Median Days Active	134	99	76	140	181	167	91	169
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (3)
	1 2	1% (30) 4% (92)	2% (2) 3% (4)	2% (7)	2% (12) 6% (31)	2% (9) 6% (25)	0% (0) 5% (14)	1% (1) 5% (6)	2% (5)
	3	7% (142) 11% (226)	0% (0) 2% (2) 3% (4) 3% (4) 6% (7)	3% (10) 10% (32)	10% (52) 13% (64)	10% (46) 14% (63)	3% (8) 6% (19)	5% (5) 11% (12)	6% (17) 10% (29)
	5	13% (260) 14% (287)	15% (18)	13% (39) 18% (54) 11% (34) 12% (37)	15% (74) 12% (61) 12% (62) 7% (34)	12% (53) 13% (57)	10% (30) 13% (39)	18% (20) 17% (19)	9% (26) 15% (43)
	6	13% (262)	12% (14) 19% (23) 15% (18)	11% (34)	12% (61)	13% (37) 14% (61) 10% (46)	13% (41) 14% (42)	9% (10) 11% (12)	13% (43) 11% (31) 20% (56)
	8	12% (245) 8% (165)	15% (18) 8% (9)	11% (35)	7% (34) 6% (29) 5% (24)	5% (23)	14% (42) 9% (28) 8% (25)	10% (11)	11% (30)
	10	6% (119) 5% (101)	8% (9) 3% (4) 6% (7)	7% (22) 6% (17)	5% (23)	5% (20) 4% (19)	8% (25) 6% (20)	5% (5) 2% (2)	7% (19)
	12	3% (57) 2% (43)	4% (5)	3% (9) 1% (2)	3% (14) 2% (11) 1% (3)	1% (4) 2% (7)	6% (17) 5% (16)	3% (3) 2% (2)	5% (13) 2% (5) 1% (4)
	14	1% (19) 1% (11)	3% (3)	1% (4) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (2)	1% (3) 1% (3)	1% (4) 1% (4)	0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (2) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.70	7.08	7.04	6.15	6.13	7.74	6.40	6.98
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	14	2	1	2	3	2	1	3
F	Chronic Worlfied		<u></u>						
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	180	0	15	49	48	50	5	13
	Known Unsheltered	200	11	88	2	26	39	2	32
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	341	16	67	68	101	35	16	38
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	126	4	40	65	6	6	2	3
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	254	24	62	66	45	30	10	17
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	223	16	32	67	30	30	10	38
	Returned from Inactive	58	1	27	7	6	4	6	7
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	281	17	59	74	36	34	16	45
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			JJ	/4	30	J 4	10	40
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 days.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	80	0	34	19	9	8	5	5
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH					-			
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	41	2	2	17	1	10	2	7
Q	Housed - RRH	51	5	12	10	4	10	1	9
ų	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		4						
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	29	1	8	4	4	10	1	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	201	8	56	50	18	38	9	22
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	49	0	6	30	4	5	1	3
	Inactive - In an Institution	7	0	5	1	1	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution								
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	60	0	14	31	5	5	1	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	261	8	70	81	23	43	10	26
ź	NET INFLOW	201	9	<u>-10</u> -11	-7	13	<u>-9</u>	6	19
-1	2011		ı <u> </u>	••	•		<u> </u>	•	Page 2

	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central			Haitioia	Haven	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
Α	_	All Youth	10%	25%	26%	18%	11%	5%	5%
В	Active on BNL	220	21	54	58	40	25	10	12
С	Median Days Active	63	99	62	56	72	82	55	26
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0) 3% (2)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (1)	0% (0)
	3	5% (12) 13% (28)	0% (0) 14% (3)	7% (4) 6% (3)	7% (4) 19% (11)	8% (3) 20% (8) 13% (5)	0% (0) 12% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)
	5	18% (40) 21% (47)	14% (3) 24% (5) 10% (2)	22% (12) 30% (16)	21% (12) 14% (8)	13% (5) 15% (6)	16% (4) 28% (7)	20% (2) 20% (2)	17% (2) 25% (3) 8% (1)
	7	11% (24) 9% (20)	24% (5)	11% (6) 6% (3)	10% (6) 9% (5)	15% (6) 13% (5) 8% (3)	8% (2) 12% (3)	20% (2) 0% (0)	8% (1)
	10	7% (15) 6% (13)	5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1)	6% (3) 4% (2)	10% (6) 0% (0) 2% (1)	5% (2) 10% (4)	8% (2) 8% (2)	0% (0) 20% (2)	8% (1)
	11 12	4% (8) 1% (2)	N% (N)	4% (2) 0% (0)	2% (1) 3% (2)	5% (2) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	10% (1) 0% (0)	25% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	13	2% (4) 1% (2)	5% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	• •	0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.48	6.86	0% (0) 6.37	0% (0) 6.07	0% (0) 6.40	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 6.90	0% (0) 7.08
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows don	anding on their comb	nination of circumst	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance								0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	49	7	10	7	21	2	1	1
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	38	2	24	6	0	6	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	19	2	4	4	8	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	an anot 20 days							
	Newly Added			4.4	45	7		0	0
L	Clients who have never been active before	51	2	11 	15 	7 	6	2	8
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	56	2	13	15	7	9	2	8
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the neet 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved			0	7	6	F	^	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		0	2	7	6	5	0	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	22	1	3	4	4	5	0	5
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	0	2	1	2	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	52	1	7	13	12	12	0	7
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	0	0	7	3	3	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	16	0	3	7	3	3	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	68	1	10	20	15	15	0	7
Z	NET INFLOW	-12	1	3	-5	-8	-6	2	1 Page 3

	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	EdStern	rairileiu	панноги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Littermeid
Α	•	on-Youth	5%	14%	24%	22%	15%	5%	15%
В	Active on BNL	1,843	98	252	440	399	283	100	271
С	Median Days Active	148	97	82	152	189	172	101	181
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
D	0	0% (4) 2% (30)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (3)
	2	5% (87)	0% (0) 2% (2) 4% (4)	2% (6)	3% (12) 7% (29)	2% (9) 6% (24)	5% (14)	1% (1) 5% (5)	2% (5)
	3 	7% (130) 11% (198)	4% (4) 4% (4)	2% (6) 12% (29)	11% (48) 12% (53)	11% (43) 14% (55)	3% (8) 6% (16)	5% (5) 12% (12)	6% (16) 11% (29)
	5	12% (220) 13% (240)	15% (15)	11% (27) 15% (38)	14% (62) 12% (53)	12% (48) 13% (51)	9% (26) 11% (32)	18% (18) 17% (17)	9% (24) 15% (40)
	7	13% (238) 12% (225)	9% (9) 21% (21) 13% (13)	11% (27) 15% (38) 11% (28) 13% (34) 13% (32)	14% (62) 12% (53) 13% (56) 7% (29)	14% (56) 11% (43)	14% (39) 14% (39)	8% (8) 12% (12)	11% (30) 20% (55)
	9	8% (150) 6% (106)	8% (8) 4% (4) 6% (6)	13% (32) 8% (20)	5% (23) 5% (24)	5% (21)	9% (26) 8% (23)	11% (11) 3% (3)	11% (29) 6% (16)
	11	5% (93) 3% (55)	6% (6) 5% (5)	6% (15) 4% (9)	5% (22)	4% (16) 4% (17) 1% (4)	7% (19) 6% (17)	1% (1) 3% (3)	5% (13) 2% (5)
	13	2% (39) 1% (17)	0% (0) 3% (3)	0% (1) 1% (3)	3% (12) 2% (10) 1% (3)	2% (6) 1% (3)	6% (16) 1% (3)	2% (2) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (2)
	15	1% (11) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2)	1% (3)	1% (4) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (5) 5% (5) 0% (0) 3% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.73	7.12	7.19	6.17	6.11	7.81	6.35	6.98
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	endina on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	14	2	1	2	3	2	1	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)							' 	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	178	0	15	48	48	50	4	13
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	194	11	82	2	26	39	2	32
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	292	9	57	61	80	33	15	37
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	88	2	16	59	6	0	2	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	34	3	8	8	5	5	0	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	no past 20 days							
	Newly Added	172	14	21	52	23	24	8	30
L	Clients who have never been active before		14		JZ 		<u> </u>	·	
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	53	1	25	7	6	1	6	7
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	225	15	46	59	29	25	14	37
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	58	0	32	12	3	3	5	3
P	Housed - PSH	39	2	2	16	1	9	2	7
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	29	4	9	6	0	5	1	4
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	23	1	6	3	2	9	1	1
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	149	7	49	37	6	26	9	15
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	36	0	6	23	1	2	1	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	5	1	1	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in all institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	44	0	11	24	2	2	1	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	193	7	60	61	8	28	10	19
Z	NET INFLOW	32	8	-14	-2	21	-3	4	18 Page 4

	All Families	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	EdStelli	rairileiu	пагиоги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
Α	_	Families	8%	15%	28%	17%	14%	6%	12%
В	Active on BNL	296	25	44	84	51	40	17	35
С	Median Days Active	83	99	90	100	103	90	77	50
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1 2	1% (2) 3% (10)	0% (0) 8% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 5% (4)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)
	3	3% (10) 7% (21)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (2)	5% (4) 7% (6)	6% (3)	0% (0)	6% (1)	0% (0)
	5	14% (41)	16% (4)	9% (4) 18% (8)	7% (6) 18% (15) 11% (9)	8% (4) 8% (4)	13% (5) 15% (6) 20% (8)	6% (1) 18% (3) 12% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1)
	6	16% (46) 15% (43)	16% (4) 20% (5) 28% (7)	18% (8) 16% (7)	11% (9) 15% (13) 8% (7)	10% (5) 16% (8)	18% (7)	0% (0)	26% (9) 3% (1)
	8	11% (34) 10% (30)	16% (4)	5% (2) 11% (5)	8% (7) 10% (8)	16% (8) 10% (5)	13% (5) 3% (1)	24% (4) 12% (2)	11% (4)
	10	7% (22) 6% (17)	4% (1) 4% (1) 4% (1)	5% (2) 9% (4)	10% (8) 6% (5) 6% (5)	12% (6) 6% (3)	5% (2) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	23% (8) 14% (5) 9% (3)
	12	2% (7) 2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (3)	2% (1)	3% (1)	6% (1)	3% (1)
	13 14	1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (1) 2% (2)	2% (1) 0% (0)	8% (3) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	15 <mark></mark>	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.15	6.60	6.82	7.01	7.63	7.08	7.00	7.77
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	bination of circumst	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							^	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Ŭ	Known Unsheltered	2	^	1	1	0	0	^	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		0	l 	l 	U	U	0	
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	73	3	7	15	16	11	4	17
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	30	0	22	6	0	1	0	1
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	62	5	25	14	5	5	4	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	I						
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	49	4	3	13	9	6	4	10
	Returned from Inactive	5	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	-							•
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	4	6	15	9	6	4	10
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 days.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved		0	8	5	3	2	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		ļ				<u></u>		
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	16	1	0	6	1	1	2	5
	Housed - RRH	21	3	6	2	1	5	0	4
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other								
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	0	2	2	1	1	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	62	4	16	15	6	9	2	10
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	^		^	^	^	^	^	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		-						-
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	-10	0	16 -10	<u>16</u> -1	<u>6</u> 3	<u>9</u> -3	2 2	11 -1
4	IAL I IIAI LOW	-10	l U	-10	-1	J	-5		Page 5

	All Individuals					Greater	Greater New	504414114515611	Waterbury/
	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
	Percentage of S		5%	15%	23%	22%	15%	5%	14%
A		dividuals	94	262	414	200	268		248
B C	Active on BNL Median Days Active	1,767 146	98	262 75	151	388 189	200 185	93 111	246
U	Assessment Score Distribution (am			10	101	103	100	111	211
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score		Í						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0% (3) 2% (28)	0% (0) 2% (2) 2% (2)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (1) 3% (12)	0% (0) 2% (8) 6% (25)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	3	5% (82) 7% (132)	4% (4)	2% (6) 3% (8)	3% (12) 7% (27) 12% (48)	11% (43)	5% (13) 3% (8)	5% (5) 4% (4)	2% (4) 7% (17)
	5	12% (205) 12% (219)	7% (7) 15% (14)	11% (28) 12% (31)	14% (58)	15% (59) 13% (49)	5% (14) 9% (24) 12% (31)	12% (11) 18% (17) 18% (17)	11% (28) 10% (25)
	7	14% (241) 12% (219)	10% (9) 17% (16)	18% (46) 10% (27)	13% (52) 12% (49)	13% (52) 14% (53)	13% (34)	18% (17) 11% (10) 9% (8)	14% (34) 12% (30)
	9	12% (211) 8% (135)	15% (14) 9% (8) 3% (3)	13% (35) 11% (30)	13% (52) 12% (49) 7% (27) 5% (21) 5% (19)	10% (38) 5% (18) 4% (14)	14% (37) 10% (27)	9% (8) 10% (9)	21% (52)
		5% (97) 5% (84)	3% (3) 6% (6)	8% (20) 5% (13)	5% (19) 4% (18)	4% (14) 4% (16)	9% (23) 7% (20)	10% (9) 4% (4) 1% (1)	9% (22) 6% (14) 4% (10)
	12	3% (50) 2% (38)	6% (6) 5% (5) 1% (1)	3% (9) 1% (2)	4% (18) 3% (11) 2% (10)	4% (16) 1% (3) 2% (6)	6% (16) 5% (13) 1% (3)	2% (2) 2% (2)	2% (4)
	14	1% (15) 0% (8)	1% (1) 3% (3) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)	2% (10) 0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (6) 1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (3) 1% (4)	1% (1) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	2% (4) 1% (2) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
F		0% (0) 6.62	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.20	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.08	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.98	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.94	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.84	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.29	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.87
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.00	0.90	J.J .	7.07	0.23	0.07
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	14	2	1	2	3	2	1	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	178	0	15	47	48	50	5	13
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	198	11	87	1	26	39	2	32
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	268	13	60	53	85	24	12	21
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	96	4	18	59	6	5	2	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	192	19	37	52	40	25	6	13
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 davs							
	Newly Added	174	12	29	54	21	24	6	28
M	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	53	1	24	5	 6	4	6	 7
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	227	13	53	59	27	28	12	35
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da					<u>-</u> -	_ - -	· -	
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	62	0	26	14	6	6	5	5
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	25	1	2	11	0	9	0	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	30	2	6	8	3	5	1	5
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	22	1	6	2	3	9	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	139	4	40	35	12	29	7	12
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	47	0	6	29	4	5	1	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	5	1	1	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	58	0	14	30	5	5	1	3
Y 7	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	197 30	9	54 -1	65 -6	17 10	34 -6	<u>8</u> 4	15 20
۷	INC IN LOW	30	<u> </u>	-1	-0	10	-0	4	Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Control	Factoria	Faladiala	Greater	Greater New	DADANA/	Waterbury/
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
Α	Families (No		9%	9%	29%	19%	15%	5%	13%
В	Active on BNL	243	21	23	71	47	37	13	31
С	Median Days Active	88	104	92	96	105	92	81	50
Б	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score	•	records)						
U	O	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	1% (2) 3% (8)	0% (0) 10% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 4% (3)	0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)
	3	4% (9) 6% (15)	0% (0)	9% (2)	6% (4) 6% (4)	6% (3) 6% (3)	0% (0) 11% (4)	8% (1) 8% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)
	5	12% (29) 15% (37)	14% (3) 19% (4)	4% (1) 22% (5)	15% (11) 11% (8)	9% (4) 9% (4)	16% (6) 19% (7)	23% (3) 8% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 26% (8)
	7	.14% (34) .12% (30)	24% (5) 19% (4)	9% (2) 4% (1)	15% (11) 8% (6)	17% (8) 15% (7)	19% (7) 11% (4)	0% (0) 31% (4)	3% (1) 13% (4)
	10	12% (28) 7% (17)	5% (1) 5% (1)	22% (5) 4% (1)	10% (7) 7% (5)	11% (5) 11% (5)	3% (1) 5% (2)	15% (2)	23% (7) 10% (3)
	11 12	6% (15) 2% (6)	5% (1)	13% (3) 0% (0)	7% (5) 3% (2)	6% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1)	10% (3) 3% (1)
	13	2% (5) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	1% (1) 3% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 8% (3) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	15	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	• •	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.30	0% (0) 6.67	0% (0) 7.48	0% (0) 7.18	0% (0) 7.68	0% (0) 7.16	0% (0) 6.92	0% (0) 7.65
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			l in multiple rows don	anding on their comb	ination of circumst	2000		
	Refuses CAN Assistance						0	0	^
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	U 	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	69	3	7	12	16	10	4	17
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	0	4	5	0	0	0	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	9	1	4	1	1	2	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		4	2	11	0	E	2	0
L	Clients who have never been active before	41	4	2	11	9	5	2	8
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	4	4	13	9	5	2	8
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Date Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the neet 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	15	0	7	3	3	2	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	16	1	 0	6	 1		2	
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH		1			١	 		5
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	17 	3	5	2	0	4 	0	3
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	0	2	2	1	1	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	55	4	14	13	5	8	2	9
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	57	4	14	14	5	8	2	10
Z	NET INFLOW	-12	0	-10	-1	4	-3	0	-2 Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Footorn	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern 40%	rairileiu	Haitioiu	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Litermeid
Α		s (Youth)	8%	40%	25%	8%	6%	8%	8%
В	Active on BNL	53	4	21	13	4	3	4	4
С	Median Days Active	67	80	88	118	61	84	41	44
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	2% (1) 11% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 10% (2)	0% (0) 15% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	5	23% (12) 17% (9)	25% (1) 25% (1)	33% (7) 14% (3)	31% (4)	25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0)
	7	17% (9) 8% (4)	25% (1) 25% (1) 50% (2) 0% (0)	24% (5) 5% (1)	31% (4) 8% (1) 15% (2) 8% (1)	25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
		4% (2) 9% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	25% (1)
	11	4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 25% (1)	25% (1) 50% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	13	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.45	0% (0) 6.25	0% (0) 6.10	0% (0) 6.08	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 6.00	0% (0) 7.25	0% (0) 8.75
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)						
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	pination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	4	0	0	3	0	 1	0 0	0
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	20						0	
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months		0	18 	l 	0	 		0
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	5	1	1	2	1	0	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	8	0	1	2	0	1	2	2
	Returned from Inactive	1	0	 1	0	0	0	0	0
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	9	0	2	2	0	1	2	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da					<u> </u>	-		_
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	7	0	2	2	1	1	0	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۷	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	0	2	2	1	1	0	1
Z	NET INFLOW	2	0	0	0	-1	0	2	1 Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S								
Α	Individual		10%	20%	27%	22%	13%	4%	5%
В	Active on BNL	167	17	33	45	36	22	6	8
С	Median Days Active	63	103	47	49	75	72	59	15
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	7% (11) 13% (22)	0% (0) 18% (3)	9% (3) 3% (1)	2% (1) 9% (4) 20% (9)	8% (3) 19% (7)	0% (0) 9% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (1) 0% (0)
	5	17% (28) 23% (38)	12% (2)	15% (5) 39% (13)	18% (8)	14% (5)	18% (4) 27% (6)	33% (2)	25% (2)
	6	9% (15)	24% (4) 0% (0) 29% (5)	3% (1)	16% (7) 9% (4) 9% (4)	14% (5) 14% (5)	9% (2)	17% (1) 33% (2)	25% (2) 25% (2) 13% (1) 13% (1)
	8	10% (16) 8% (13)	29% (5) 6% (1)	6% (2) 9% (3)	11% (5)	6% (2) 6% (2)	9% (2) 9% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	10	5% (8) 4% (6)	6% (1) 0% (0) 6% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	8% (3) 6% (2)	9% (2) 5% (1)	17% (1) 0% (0)	13% (1) 0% (0)
	12	1% (1) 2% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14 15	1% (2) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.49 Lactive rec	7.00	6.55	6.07	6.33	7.14	6.67	6.25
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
Ę	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	45	7	10	4	21	1	 1	1
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	40	/		4	Z I	l 	I	
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	18	2	6	5	0	5	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	14	1	3	2	7	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T						
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	43	2	10	13	7	5	0	6
	Returned from Inactive	4	0	 1	0	0	3	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	47	2	11	13	7	8	0	6
N	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			11	13		0	U	U
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	19	0	1	5	6	5	0	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	1	0	T 	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	18	1	2	4	3	4	0	4
_	Housed - All Other	6	0	2	1	2	1	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		4		14		1 4 4		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	45	-	5	11	11	11	0	6
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	0	0	7	3	3	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	16	0	3	7	3	3	0	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	61	1	8	18	14	14	0	6
Z	NET INFLOW	-14	1	3	-5	-7	-6	0	0
									Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	01.1	0.41	_ ,		Greater	Greater New		Waterbury/
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
	Percentage of S Individuals (No		5%	14%	23%	22%	15%	5%	15%
A B	Active on BNL	1,600	77	229	369	352	246	87	240
С	Median Days Active	160	97	82	166	204	190	111	216
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			<u> </u>					- 1 4
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	10/. (1)	0% (0)
	1	2% (28) 5% (79)	0% (0) 3% (2) 3% (2)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (1) 3% (12)	0% (0) 2% (8)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	3	8% (121)	5% (4) 5% (4)	2% (5) 2% (5)	7% (26) 12% (44) 13% (49)	7% (24) 11% (40)	5% (13) 3% (8)	6% (5) 5% (4)	2% (4) 7% (16) 12% (28)
	5	.11% (183) .12% (191)	16% (12)	12% (27) 11% (26)	13% (49) 14% (51)	15% (52) 13% (44) 13% (47)	5% (12) 8% (20) 10% (25)	13% (11) 17% (15)	12% (28) 10% (23)
	6	13% (203) 13% (204)	6% (5) 21% (16)	11% (26) 14% (33) 11% (26) 14% (33)	14% (51) 12% (45) 12% (45) 6% (23)	13% (47) 14% (48)	10% (25) 13% (32)	18% (16) 9% (8)	10% (23) 10% (23) 13% (32) 12% (29) 21% (51)
	9	12% (195) 8% (122)	12% (9)	14% (33) 12% (27)	6% (23) 4% (16)	14% (48) 10% (36) 5% (16)	14% (35) 10% (25)	9% (8)	21% (51) 9% (22)
	10	6% (89) 5% (78)	9% (7) 4% (3) 6% (5)	8% (19)	5% (19)	3% (11)	9% (21)	10% (9) 3% (3)	5% (13)
	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	3% (49)	6% (5)	5% (12) 4% (9)	5% (17) 3% (10)	4% (14) 1% (3)	8% (19) 7% (16)	1% (1) 2% (2)	4% (10) 2% (4)
	13 	2% (34) 1% (13)	0% (0) 4% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (2)	3% (10) 2% (9) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (5) 1% (3)	5% (13) 1% (2)	2% (2) 0% (0)	2% (4) 1% (2)
	15 	1% (8) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	2% (4) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17 18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.64	7.25	7.16	5.97	5.90	7.91	6.26	6.89
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their com	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	14	2	1	2	3	2	1	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	14	۷	 	Z	ა 	Z	 	J
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	176	0	15	46	48	50	4	13
	Known Unsheltered	192	11	81	1	26	39	2	32
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	223	6	50	49	64	23	11	20
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	78	2	12	54	6	0	2	2
U	Youth at Time of Assessment	25	2	4	7	4	3	0	5
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	25		4		4	J		J
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 davs.							
	Newly Added	131	10	19	41	14	19	6	22
L	Clients who have never been active before	131	10		41	14			
M	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	49	1	23	5	6	1	6	7
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	180	11	42	46	20	20	12	29
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D	•							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	43	0	25	9	0	1	5	3
Р	Housed - PSH	23	1	2	10	0	8	0	2
٢	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	40	4		A	^	4	4	
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	12	1	4	4	0		1	<u> </u>
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	16	1	4	1	1	8	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	94	3	35	24	1	18	7	6
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	34	0	6	22	1	2	1	2
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution								
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	5 	1 	1	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Inactive - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons								•
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	42 136	<u>0</u> 3	11 46	23 47	2 3	2 20	<u>1</u> 8	3 9
Y 7	NET INFLOW	44	8	<u>40</u> -4	<u>41</u> -1	3 17	0	4	20
4	IAL I IIAI LOW	77		- 	-1	11	U	7	20 Page 10

	10/27/2017 111 BNE Repon	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals			
	Statewide BNL	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
	Perce	ntage of		89%		86%	(**************************************	(* 5 5 5 5)	(: - : : : :)	78%		
٨		ide BNL	11%		14%		12%	3%	8%			
В	Active on BNL	2,063	220	1,843	296	1,767	243	53	167	1,600		
С	Median Days Active	134	63	148	83	146	88	67	63	160		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am							<u> </u>		.,,		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score											
	1	0% (4) 1% (30)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (5)	0% (4) 2% (30)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (3) 2% (28) 5% (82)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (3)	0% (3) 2% (28) 5% (79)		
		4% (92) 7% (142)	5% (12)	2% (30) 5% (87) 7% (130)	3% (10) 3% (10)	7% (132)	3% (8) 4% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 2% (1) 11% (6)	7% (11)	5% (79) 8% (121)		
	4	11% (226) 13% (260)	13% (28) 18% (40) 21% (47)	11% (198)	7% (21) 14% (41)	12% (205) 12% (219)	6% (15)	11% (6) 23% (12)	13% (22) 17% (28)	11% (183) 12% (191)		
	6	14% (287) 13% (262)	21% (47)	12% (220) 13% (240) 13% (238)	16% (46)	4.40/ /0.44\	15% (37)	17% (9)	13% (22) 17% (28) 23% (38) 9% (15)	13% (203)		
	8	12% (245)	11% (24) 9% (20)	12% (225)	11% (34)	12% (211)	12% (30)	8% (4)	10% (16)	13% (204) 12% (195)		
	10	8% (165) 6% (119)	7% (15) 6% (13)	12% (225) 8% (150) 6% (106)	3% (10) 3% (10) 7% (21) 14% (41) 16% (46) 15% (43) 11% (34) 10% (30) 7% (22) 6% (17) 2% (7)	5% (97)	7% (17)	4% (2) 9% (5)	8% (13) 5% (8)	8% (122) 6% (89)		
	12	5% (101) 3% (57)	4% (8) 1% (2)	5% (93) 3% (55)	6% (17) 2% (7)	14% (241) 12% (219) 12% (211) 8% (135) 5% (97) 5% (84) 3% (50) 2% (38) 1% (15)	12% (29) 15% (37) 14% (34) 12% (30) 12% (28) 7% (17) 6% (15) 2% (6) 2% (5) 2% (4)	4% (2) 2% (1)	4% (6) 1% (1) 2% (4) 1% (2)	5% (78) 3% (49) 2% (34) 1% (13)		
	14	2% (43) 1% (19)	2% (4) 1% (2)	2% (39) 1% (17)	2% (5) 1% (4)	2% (38) 1% (15)	2% (5) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 1% (2)	2% (34) 1% (13)		
	15	1% (11) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (11) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (8) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (8) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	23% (12) 17% (9) 17% (9) 17% (9) 8% (4) 4% (2) 9% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.70	6.48	6.73	7.15	6.62	7.30	6.45	6.49	6.64		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance	14						0	0	1.1		
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	14	0	14	0	14 	0	0	0	14		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	180	2	178	2	178	2	0	2	176		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	200	6	194	2	198	2	0	6	192		
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	341	49	292	73	268	69	4	45	223		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	126	38	88	30	96	10	20	18	78		
к	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	254	220	34	62	192	9	53	167	25		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	223	51	172	49	174	41	8	43	131		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	58	5	53	5	53	4	1	4	49		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	281	56	225	54	227	45	9	47	180		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da											
ļ	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	80	22	58	18	62	15	3	19	43		
_	Housed - PSH	41	2	39	16	25	16	0	2	23		
7	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	51	22	29	21	30	17	4	 18	12		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	29	6	23	7	22	7	0	6	16		
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	201	52	149	62	139	55	7	45	94		
-	Inactive - Unable to Contact	49	13	36	2	47	2	0	13	34		
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	43 	13	JU 	۷	41	<u> </u>	U		J 4		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7		
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1		
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	60	16	44	2	58	2	0	16	42		
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	261 20	68 -12	193 32	64	197 30	57 -12	7 2	61	136 <i>44</i>		
Z	NEI INFLOW	20	-12	32	-10	30	-12		-14	44 Page 11		

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)		
	Porce	entage of	Toutif	82%	i allilles	79%	(Non-Toutil)	(Touti)	(Toutil)	(140H-170dH) 65%		
Α		tral CAN	18%		21%		18%	3%	14%			
В	Active on BNL	119	21	98	25	94	21	4	17	77		
С	Median Days Active	99	99	97	99	98	104	80	103	97		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)									
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
	1	2% (2) 3% (4)	0% (0)	2% (2) 4% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2)		
	3	3% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (4)	8% (2) 0% (0)	4% (4) 7% (7)	10% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (2) 3% (2) 5% (4) 5% (4)		
	5	6% (7) 15% (18)	14% (3) 14% (3) 24% (5)	4% (4) 15% (15) 9% (9)	0% (0) 16% (4)	15% (14) 10% (9)	14% (3)	25% (1)	18% (3) 12% (2)	16% (12) 6% (5)		
		12% (14) 19% (23)	24% (5) 10% (2) 24% (5)	9% (9) 21% (21) 13% (13)	16% (4) 20% (5) 28% (7) 16% (4)	17% (16)	14% (3) 19% (4) 24% (5) 19% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1) 25% (1) 50% (2) 0% (0)	24% (4) 0% (0)	6% (5) 21% (16)		
		15% (18) 8% (9)	24% (5) 5% (1)	13% (13) 8% (8)	16% (4) 4% (1)	15% (14) 9% (8)	19% (4) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (2) 12% (2) 24% (4) 0% (0) 29% (5) 6% (1)	0% (5) 21% (16) 12% (9) 9% (7) 4% (3) 6% (5) 6% (5)		
	10	3% (4) 6% (7)	5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1)	8% (8) 4% (4) 6% (6)	4% (1) 4% (1) 4% (1)	9% (8) 3% (3) 6% (6)	5% (1) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	4% (3) 6% (5)		
	12	4% (5)	0% (0) 5% (1)	5% (5)	0% (0)	5% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (5)		
	14 =	1% (1) 3% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (3)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	4% (3)		
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.08	6.86	7.12	6.60	7.20	6.67	6.25	7.00	7.25		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2		
G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Н	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11		
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	16	7	9	3	13	3	0	7	6		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2		
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	24	21	3	5	19	1	4	17	2		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	16	2	14	4	12	4	0	2	10		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	17	2	15	4	13	4	0	2	11		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	9	,, ,,,,									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				_	_	_	_	_	_		
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1		
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	1	4	3	2	3	0	1	1		
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	8	1	7	4	4	4	0	1	3		
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	1	7	4	4	4	0	1	3		
Z	NET INFLOW	9	1	8	0	9	0	0	1	8 Page 12		

10/27/2017 TTI BIVE REPORT								au.anderson@ci.		
Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)	
Perce	entage of		82%		86%			, , ,	75%	
	tern CAN	18%		14%		8%	7%	11%		
B Active on BNL	306	54	252	44	262	23	21	33	229	
c Median Days Active	76	62	82	90	75	92	88	47	82	
Assessment Score Distribution (am						<u> </u>				
D Count of all active records having each assessment score).	•								
1	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	
2	2% (7) 3% (10)	2% (1) 7% (4)	2% (6) 2% (6)	2% (1) 5% (2)	2% (6) 3% (8)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 9% (3) 3% (1) 15% (5)	1% (3) 2% (5) 2% (5)	
4	10% (32) 13% (39)	6% (3)	12% (29) 11% (27)	9% (4)	11% (28)	9% (2)	10% (2) 33% (7)	3% (1)	12% (27)	
6	18% (54)	22% (12) 30% (16)	15% (38)	18% (8)	18% (46)	22% (5)	14% (3)	39% (13)	14% (33)	
8	11% (34) 12% (37)	11% (6) 6% (3)	11% (28) 13% (34)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1) 5% (2) 9% (4) 18% (8) 18% (8) 16% (7) 5% (2)	11% (28) 12% (31) 18% (46) 10% (27) 13% (35)	0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1) 9% (2) 4% (1) 22% (5) 9% (2) 4% (1) 22% (5) 4% (1)	24% (5) 5% (1)	3% (1) 6% (2)	11% (26) 14% (33) 11% (26) 14% (33)	
9	11% (35) 7% (22)	6% (3) 4% (2)	13% (32) 8% (20)	5% (2)	8% (20)	22% (5) 4% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	9% (3) 3% (1)	8% (27) 8% (19)	
11 12	6% (17) 3% (9)	4% (2) 0% (0)	6% (15) 4% (9)	9% (4)	5% (13) 3% (9)	13% (3) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	5% (12) 4% (9)	
13	1% (2)	2% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (1)	
14	1% (4) 0% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)	13% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	39% (13) 3% (1) 6% (2) 9% (3) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	
16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	
E Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.04	0% (0) 6.37	0% (0) 7.19	0% (0) 6.82	0% (0) 7.08	0% (0) 7.48	0% (0) 6.10	0% (0) 6.55	0% (0) 7.16	
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)										
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	ents may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Chronic (Verified)	15	0	 15	0	15	0	0	0	 15	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	15	0	15		10	U	<u> </u>		15	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	88	6	82	1	87	1	0	6	81	
Matched/Awarded	67	10	 57	7	60	7	0	10	50	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	07	10	<i>ا</i> ن			1	<u> </u>			
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	24	16	22	18	4	18	6	12	
Youth at Time of Assessment	62	54	8	25	37	4	21	33	4	
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	02	34	0	25	31	4	Z I		4	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	na nast 30 davs									
Newly Added		44	04	2	00	0	4	40	40	
Clients who have never been active before	32	11	21	3	29	2	1	10	19 	
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	27	2	25	3	24	2	1	1	23	
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	59	13	46	6	53	4	2	11	42	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da				,						
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 day	/S.							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	34	2	32	8	26	7	1	1	25	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH					^	^	^	^		
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	12	3	9	6	6	5	1	2	4	
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	·		~	٠	<u></u>		^		4	
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	2	6	2	6	2	0	2	4	
Housed Outflow subtotal	56	7	49	16	40	14	2	5	35	
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	
Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	5	<u></u>	5	^	0	Λ	5	
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	ວ 	ļ	ວ 	0	ວ	0	U	0	ວ	
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Inactive - All Other	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	Λ	
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		2							0	
Other Outflow subtotal	14	3	11	0	14	0	0	3	11	
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	70 -11	10 3	60 -14	16 -10	54 -1	14 -10	2	8	46	
Z NEI INFLOW	-11	J	-14	-10	-1	-10	0	3	-4	

	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)		
	Perce	entage of	Touti	88%	1 diffilio	83%	(11011 1 00111)	(10011)	(Touri)	74%		
Α	Fairfield Cou	_	12%		17%		14%	3%	9%			
В	Active on BNL	498	58	440	84	414	71	13	45	369		
С	Median Days Active	140	56	152	100	151	96	118	49	166		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)									
ע	0	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (2)	1% (1)	0% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)		
	2	2% (12) 6% (31)	0% (0) 3% (2)	0% (2) 3% (12) 7% (29)	1% (1) 0% (0) 5% (4)	3% (12) 7% (27)	0% (0) 4% (3)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	3% (12) 7% (26) 12% (44) 13% (49)		
	4	10% (52) 13% (64)	7% (4) 19% (11)	11% (48) 12% (53)	5% (4) 7% (6)	12% (48) 14% (58)	6% (4) 6% (4)	0% (0) 15% (2)	2% (1) 9% (4) 20% (9)	12% (44) 13% (49)		
		15% (74) 12% (61)	21% (12) 14% (8)	14% (62) 12% (53)	18% (15) 11% (9)	14% (59) 13% (52)	15% (11) 11% (8)	31% (4) 8% (1)	18% (8) 16% (7)	14% (51) 12% (45)		
	8	12% (62) 7% (34)	10% (6) 9% (5)	13% (56) 7% (29)	15% (13) 8% (7)	14% (59) 13% (52) 12% (49) 7% (27)	15% (11) 8% (6)	15% (2) 8% (1)	9% (4) 9% (4)	12% (45) 6% (23)		
	9	6% (29) 5% (24)	10% (6) 0% (0)	5% (23) 5% (24)	10% (8) 6% (5)	5% (21) 5% (19) 4% (18)	10% (7) 7% (5)	8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (5) 0% (0) 2% (1)	4% (16) 5% (19)		
		5% (23) 3% (14)	2% (1) 3% (2)	13% (56) 7% (29) 5% (23) 5% (24) 5% (22) 3% (12) 2% (10) 1% (3) 0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (5) 4% (3) 1% (1)	4% (18) 3% (11)	7% (5) 3% (2)	8% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (17)		
	13	2% (11) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (10) 1% (3)	1% (1) 2% (2)	3% (11) 2% (10) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (1) 3% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (10) 2% (9) 0% (1) 0% (1)		
	15	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)		
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 4% (3) 6% (4) 6% (4) 15% (11) 11% (8) 15% (11) 8% (6) 10% (7) 7% (5) 7% (5) 3% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.15	6.07	6.17	7.01	5.98	7.18	6.08	6.07	5.97		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	49	1	48	2	47	2	0	1	46		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1		
	Matched/Awarded	68	7	61	15	53	12	3	4	49		
١	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing											
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	65	6	59	6	59 	5	1 	5	54		
- 1	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	66	58	8	14	52	1	13	45	7		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 davs.										
	Newly Added	67	15	52	13	54	11	2	13	41		
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive											
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	0	7	2	5	2	0	0	5		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	74	15	59	15	59	13	2	13	46		
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	'S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	19	7	12	5	14	3	2	5	9		
	Housed - PSH	17	1	16	6	11	6	0	 1	10		
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	17 10	4	6	2	 8	2	0	<u>'</u> 4	4		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other											
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	<u>4</u>	1	3	2	2	2 13	0	1	24		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	50	13	37	15	35	_	2	11			
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	30	7	23	1	29	1 	0	7	22		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	31	7	24	1	30	1	0	7	23		
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	81 -7	20 -5	61 -2	16 -1	65 -6	14 -1	0	<u>18</u> -5	47 -1		
۷	NET INFLOW	-1	-0	-2	-1	-0	-1	U	-0	Page 14		

ı	10/27/2017 111 BIVE REPOIL	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias	Families	Individuale		
	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)	
	Parce	entage of	- routil	91%	- T GATHINGS	88%	(.1011 Toutil)			80%	
٨	Greater Hartt	•	9%		12%		11%	1%	8%		
В	Active on BNL	439	40	399	51	388	47	4	36	352	
С	Median Days Active	181	72	189	103	189	105	61	75	204	
-	Assessment Score Distribution (am			100	100	100	100	01	10	201	
	Count of all active records having each assessment score										
		0% (0) 2% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (9) 6% (24) 11% (43)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (8)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (8)	
		6% (25) 10% (46)	3% (1) 8% (3)	6% (24) 11% (43)	0% (0) 6% (3)	6% (25) 11% (43)	0% (0) 6% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 8% (3)	7% (24)	
	4	14% (63) 12% (53)	20% (8) 13% (5)	14% (55)	8% (4) 8% (4)	15% (59) 13% (49)	6% (3) 9% (4)	25% (1)	19% (7) 14% (5)	11% (40) 15% (52) 13% (44)	
	6	13% (57) 14% (61)	15% (6) 13% (5)	12% (48) 13% (51) 14% (56)	8% (4) 8% (4) 10% (5) 16% (8)	15% (59) 13% (49) 13% (52) 14% (53)	9% (4)	25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1)	14% (5)	13% (47)	
	8	10% (46)	8% (3)	11% (43)	16% (8)	10% (38)	0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 6% (3) 6% (3) 9% (4) 9% (4) 17% (8) 15% (7) 11% (5)	25% (1)	6% (2)	14% (48) 10% (36)	
	10	5% (23) 5% (20)	5% (2) 10% (4)	5% (21) 4% (16)	10% (5) 12% (6)	10% (38) 5% (18) 4% (14)	11% (5)	0% (0) 25% (1)	14% (5) 14% (5) 6% (2) 6% (2) 8% (3)	5% (16) 3% (11)	
	12	4% (19) 1% (4)	5% (2) 0% (0)	11% (43) 5% (21) 4% (16) 4% (17) 1% (4)	6% (3) 2% (1)	4% (16) 1% (3)	6% (3) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)	4% (14) 1% (3)	
	13	2% (7) 1% (3)	3% (1) 0% (0)	2% (6) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (6) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3)	
		1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.13	6.40	6.11	7.63	5.94	7.68	7.00	6.33	5.90	
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.										
	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	ა 	<u> </u>	ა		ა		<u> </u>	U 		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	48	0	48	0	48	0	0	0	48	
	Known Unsheltered	26	0	26	0	26	0	0	0	26	
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded										
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	101	21	80	16	85	16	0	21	64	
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	
U	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	45	40			40	1	1	36	·	
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	45	40	5	5	40	1	4	30	4	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 davs									
	Newly Added	30	7	23	0	21	9	0	7	1.4	
L	Clients who have never been active before	30	/	23	9	21	9	0		14	
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	36	7	29	9	27	9	0	7	20	
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	6	3	3	6	3	0	6	0	
Р	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	
٢	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH		A	^	۸	2		4			
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	4	0	1 	3	0	·	3	0	
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	2	2	1	3	1	0	2	1	
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	18	12	6	6	12	5	1	11	1	
Ţ	Inactive - Unable to Contact	4	3	1	0	4	0	0	3	1	
١	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	· 								<u>-</u>	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1	
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons										
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5 23	3 15	<u>2</u>	0 6	5 17	<u>0</u> 5	0	3 14	2 3	
Y 7	NET INFLOW	23 13	-8	21	3	17 10	4	<u>1</u> -1	-7	17	
۷	ALI INI LOW	10	-0	41	J	10	7	-1	-,	Page 15	

Ī	Overton New House CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals		
	Greater New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)	
	Perce	entage of		92%		87%				80%	
Α	Greater New Ha	ven CAN	8%		13%		12%	1%	7%		
В	Active on BNL	308	25	283	40	268	37	3	22	246	
С	Median Days Active	167	82	172	90	185	92	84	72	190	
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)								
٦	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	
		0% (0) 5% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (14)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 5% (13)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (13)	
		3% (8) 6% (19)	0% (0) 12% (3)	3% (8) 6% (16)	0% (0) 13% (5)	3% (8) 5% (14)	0% (0) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 9% (2)	0% (1) 0% (0) 5% (13) 3% (8) 5% (12)	
	5	10% (30) 13% (39)	16% (4) 28% (7)	9% (26) 11% (32)	15% (6) 20% (8)	5% (14) 9% (24) 12% (31) 13% (34)	16% (6)	0% (0) 33% (1)	18% (4) 27% (6)	8% (20) 10% (25)	
	7 8	13% (41) 14% (42)	8% (2) 12% (3)	14% (39)	18% (7)	13% (34) 14% (37)	19% (7) 11% (4)	0% (0) 33% (1)	9% (2) 9% (2)	13% (32) 14% (35)	
	9	9% (28) 8% (25)	8% (2) 8% (2)	14% (39) 9% (26) 8% (23)	13% (5) 3% (1) 5% (2)	13 % (34) 14% (37) 10% (27) 9% (23) 7% (20) 6% (16) 5% (13)	19% (7) 19% (7) 11% (4) 3% (1) 5% (2) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2)	3% (12) 8% (20) 10% (25) 13% (32) 14% (35) 10% (25) 9% (21) 8% (19) 7% (16)	
	11	6% (20) 6% (17)	4% (1) 0% (0)	7% (19) 6% (17)	0% (0) 3% (1)	7% (20) 6% (16)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	8% (19) 7% (16)	
	13	5% (16)	0% (0) 4% (1)	6% (16) 1% (3)	8% (3) 3% (1)	5% (13) 1% (3)	8% (3) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	5% (13) 1% (2)	
	15	1% (4) 1% (4)	0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (4)	
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.74	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 7.81	0% (0) 7.08	0% (0) 7.84	0% (0) 7.16	0% (0) 6.00	0% (0) 7.14	0% (0) 7.91	
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.										
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)										
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	50	0	50	0	50	0	0	0	50	
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	39	0	39	0	39	0	0	0	39	
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	35	2	33	11	24	10	1	1	23	
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	6	0	1	5	0	1	5	0	
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	30	25	5	5	25	2	3	22	3	
Ī	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100.1									
ŀ	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added						_		_	4.0	
L	Clients who have never been active before	30	6	24	6	24	5	1	5	19	
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	3	1	0	4	0	0	3	1	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	34	9	25	6	28	5	1	8	20	
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da										
ŀ	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,				_		_		
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8 	5	3	2	6	2	0	5	1	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8	
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	5	5	5	5	4	1	4	1	
R	Housed - All Other	10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8	
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	38	12	26	9	29	8	1	11	18	
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	3	2	0	5	0	0	3	2	
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other										
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5 43	3 15	2 28	<u>0</u> 9	5 34	8	0	3 14	2 20	
7 7	NET INFLOW	<u>43</u> -9	-6	-3	-3	-6	-3	0	-6	0	
-	1121 1111 2011		· ·	•	•			<u> </u>		Page 16	

MMW CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)	
Porce	entage of	Toutil	91%	1 aiiiiies	85%	(Non-Toutil)	(Touti)	(Toutil)	79%	
	MW CAN	9%		15%		12%	4%	5%		
Active on BNL	110	10	100	17	93	13	4	6	87	
c Median Days Active	91	55	101	77	111	81	41	59	111	
Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)								
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	
1 2	1% (1) 5% (6)	0% (0) 10% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1) 5% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1)	1% (1) 5% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	
3	5% (5)	0% (0)	5% (5) 12% (12)	6% (1)	4% (4) 12% (11)	8% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (5) 5% (4) 13% (11)	
5	11% (12) 18% (20)	0% (0) 20% (2) 20% (2)	12% (12) 18% (18) 17% (17)	6% (1) 18% (3) 12% (2)	12% (11) 18% (17) 18% (17)	8% (1) 23% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (2) 17% (1)	17% (15)	
6	17% (19) 9% (10)	20% (2) 20% (2)	17% (17) 8% (8)	12% (2) 0% (0)	18% (17) 11% (10)	8% (1) 8% (1) 23% (3) 8% (1) 0% (0) 31% (4)	25% (1) 0% (0)	17% (1) 33% (2)	18% (16)	
8 9	11% (12)	20% (2) 0% (0)	8% (8) 12% (12) 11% (11)	0% (0) 24% (4)	11% (10) 9% (8)	31% (4)	0% (0)	33% (2) 0% (0)	9% (8) 9% (8) 10% (9) 3% (3) 1% (1) 2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	
10	10% (11) 5% (5)	0% (0) 20% (2)	3% (3)	12% (2) 6% (1)	10% (9) 4% (4)	15% (2) 0% (0)	25% (1)	0% (0) 17% (1)	3% (3)	
11 12	2% (2) 3% (3)	10% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (3)	6% (1) 6% (1)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2)	
13 14	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	
15	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	
	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	
E Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.40	0% (0) 6.90	0% (0) 6.35	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 6.29	0% (0) 6.92	0% (0) 7.25	0% (0) 6.67	0% (0) 6.26	
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)										
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4	
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	16	1	15	4	12	4	0	1	11	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	10	0	4	6	0	4	6	0	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.									
Newly Added	10	2	8	4	6	2	2	0	6	
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive				·						
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	16	2	14	4	12	2	2	0	12	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da										
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved										
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
s Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	9	2	7	2	0	0	7	
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
x Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	10	0	10	2	8	2	0	0	8	
z NET INFLOW	6	2	4	2	4	0	2	0	4 Page 17	

	Waterbury/Litchfield CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals			
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 88%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
	Percentage of Waterbury/Litchfield CAN		4%	3070	12%	30,0	11%	1%	3%	33%		
A B	Active on BNL	283	12	271	35	248	31	4	8	240		
С	Median Days Active	169	26	181	50	211	50	44	15	216		
-	Assessment Score Distribution (am			101	- 55	211	- 00	''	10	210		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		•	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00((0)		
	1	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3) 2% (5) 6% (16) 11% (29)	0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)		
	3	2% (5) 6% (17)	0% (0) 8% (1)	2% (5) 6% (16)	3% (1) 0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (4) 7% (17)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (1)	2% (4) 7% (16) 12% (28)		
	5	10% (29) 9% (26)	0% (0) 17% (2) 25% (3)	11% (29) 9% (24) 15% (40)	3% (1) 3% (1)	11% (28) 10% (25) 14% (34)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 25% (2) 25% (2)	12% (28) 10% (23) 13% (32)		
		15% (43) 11% (31)	8% (1)	15% (40) 11% (30) 20% (55)	3% (1) 26% (9) 3% (1) 11% (4)	14% (34) 12% (30) 21% (52)	26% (8) 3% (1)	25% (1) 0% (0)	25% (2) 13% (1) 13% (1)	13% (32) 12% (29) 21% (51)		
		20% (56) 11% (30)	8% (1)	20% (55) 11% (29)	11% (4) 23% (8)	21% (52) 9% (22)	0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 26% (8) 3% (1) 13% (4) 23% (7) 10% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0)	21% (51) 9% (22)		
	10	7% (19) 5% (13)	8% (1) 25% (3) 0% (0)	11% (29) 6% (16) 5% (13)	23% (8) 14% (5) 9% (3) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (22) 6% (14) 4% (10)	10% (3) 10% (3)	25% (1) 50% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (1) 0% (0)	9% (22) 5% (13) 4% (10)		
	12	2% (5) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (4)	3% (1)	2% (4) 2% (4) 1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (3) 10% (3) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 2% (4) 1% (2) 0% (0)		
	14	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (2)		
	16	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
_		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.98 active rec	7.08 ords)	6.98	7.77	6.87	7.65	8.75	6.25	6.89		
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	13	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	13		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	32	0	32	0	32	0	0	0	32		
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	38	1	37	17	21	17	0	1	20		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2		
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	17	12	5	4	13	0	4	8	5		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	38	8	30	10	28	8	2	6	22		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	8	37	10	35	8	2	6	29		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays										
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL in	n the past 30 day	'S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	2	3	0	5	0	0	2	3		
Р	Housed - PSH	7	0	7	5	2	5	0	0	2		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	9	5	4	4	5	3	1	4	1		
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	22	7	15	10	12	9	1	6	6		
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
۷	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	7	19	11	15	10	1	6	9		
Z	NET INFLOW	19	1	18	-1	20	-2	1	0	20 Page 18		

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).