Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)										
230 -5 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7										
Known Unsheltered			o Housing							
2 63 no change -9 from last week										
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	16	0	2							
Fairfield County	71	1	18							
Greater Hartford	41	0	9							
Greater New Haven	40	0	20							
Greater New Haven	40 15	0	20 1							
		, and the second								
MMW	15	0	1							

Active Individuals (Youth) 206 +8 from last week										
full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9										
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing										
5 26										
-1 from last week		+3 from la								
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	7	1	0							
Fairfield County	60	1	2							
Greater Hartford	48	0	9							
Greater New Haven	39	0	10							
MMW	13	0	0							
Northeast	12	1	2							
Southeast	10	1	1							
Waterbury Litchfield	17	1	2							

ii is below.										
Active I	Familie	s (Youth)								
+1 from last week full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8										
full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8 Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing										
0 10										
-1 from last week		no cha	ange							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	1	0	0							
Fairfield County	9	0	2							
Greater Hartford	6	0	4							
Greater New Haven	6	0	2							
MMW	2	0	0							
Northeast	2	0	0							
Southeast	17	0	0							
Waterbury Litchfield	3	0	2							

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) -67 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 Matched to Housing -7 from last week -13 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 89 14 Fairfield County 8 359 56 Greater Hartford 381 35 45 Greater New Haven 197 17 40 MMW 79 2 6 9 Northeast 69 16 Southeast 119 22 13 Waterbury Litchfield 180 42 20

12/23/2018 F11 BNL Repoli				Creater	Creater		Oomaci bee	u.anderson@ci.go	<u> </u>
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide		/						
_	Records	6%	25%	24%	14%	6%	5%	8%	11%
Active on BNL	1,959	113	499	476	282	109	100	158	218
c Median Days Active	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	0% (2)		0% (2)	_	_				
1	2% (41) 4% (79)	1% (1) 5% (6)	3% (16) 5% (27) 10% (50)	3% (12)	2% (6)	1% (1) 3% (3)			2% (5) 4% (9) 8% (17)
3	8% (148)	6% (7)	10% (50)	4% (20) 9% (43)	2% (5) 4% (12)	6% (7)	6% (6) 5% (5)	2% (3) 4% (7)	8% (17)
	10% (205) 13% (261)	8% (9) 13% (15)	11% (57) 14% (68)	12% (56) 13% (64)	6% (16) 9% (26)	14% (15) 16% (17)	13% (13) 12% (12)	10% (16) 22% (35)	10% (21) 11% (24)
	14% (270) 12% (232)	15% (17) 14% (16)	14% (69) 11% (53)	13% (64) 13% (64)	11% (31)	24% (26) 11% (12)	10% (10) 14% (14)	22% (35) 16% (26) 11% (18)	12% (27) 10% (21)
8	11% (209) 8% (161)	12% (14) 9% (10)	8% (41) 6% (31)	11% (51) 6% (30)	11% (31) 13% (38)	8% (9) 6% (6)	15% (15) 8% (8)	12% (19) 9% (15)	13% (29) 10% (22)
10	7% (128) 5% (94)	7% (8) 3% (3)	8% (41) 6% (31) 7% (35) 5% (27)	11% (51) 6% (30) 4% (20) 4% (20) 3% (15)	12% (34) 11% (31) 13% (38) 9% (25) 9% (25) 4% (12) 5% (13) 1% (4)	8% (9) 6% (6) 7% (8) 2% (2) 2% (2)	15% (15) 8% (8) 7% (7) 3% (3) 4% (4) 2% (2)	12% (19) 9% (15) 4% (7) 1% (2) 3% (4)	8% (18)
12	3% (55)	4% (5)	1% (5)	3% (15)	4% (12)	2% (2)	4% (4)	3% (4)	6% (12) 3% (7) 1% (3) 0% (1)
14	2% (42) 1% (16)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (8) 1% (3)	2% (11) 1% (4)	5% (13) 1% (4)	1% (1) -	2% (2) -	2% (3) 2% (3)	1% (3) 0% (1)
	1% (13) 0% (3)		1% (6) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>			1% (2) -
17	-	-							-
E Average Assessment Score	6.70	6.73	6.29	6.44	7.85	6.22	6.81	6.68	6.86
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on a			ed in multiple rowe	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance							4	0	2
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	16	1	2	6	0	1	1 	2	3
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	186	4	49	46	51	6	8	7	15
Known Unsheltered	151	10	40	25	17	<u> </u>	44	22	42
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	151	10	10	35	17	2	11 	23	43
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	309	16	78	67	72	7	20	18	31
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	148	17	54	11	 18	7	0	37	4
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	140	17		 	10			J1	4
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	278	11	74	62	48	16	14	29	24
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	224	11	45	38	40	9	11	34	32
Returned from Inactive	33	Λ	0			0	10	o	າ
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		0	8	2	2		10	8	3
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	257	11	53	40	42	9	21	42	35
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inaci		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	56	4	0	7	11	0	13	15	6
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		+		<i>I</i>	ı I		I J	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	32	1	9	3	8	0	1	4	6
Housed - RRH	31	8	0	7	4	0	3	5	4
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	18	0	0	2	3	0	2	10	1
s Housed Outflow subtotal	137	13	9	19	26	0	19	34	17
Inactive - Unable to Contact	32	1	14	5	2	1	6	3	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution		^							
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Inactive - Deceased	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	ი
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
X Other Outflow subtotal	39	1	15	6	2	2	6	5	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	176	14	24	25	28	2	25	39	19
z NET INFLOW	81	-3	29	15	14	7	-4	3	16

12/25/2018 FYI BNL Report				Cuantan	Cuantan		Contact be		
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide								
I	All Youth	3%	27%	21%	18%	6%	6%	11%	8%
Active on BNL	252	8	69	54	45	15	14	27	20
Median Days Active	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 2% (4)		- 3% (2)	- 2% (1)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
2	2% (4)		3% (2)	-	2% (1)		- 7% (1)	- 40/ (4)	10% (2)
	5% (12) 12% (30)	13% (1)	9% (6) 9% (6)	2% (1) 13% (7)	2% (1)	27% (4)	21% (3)	4% (1) 19% (5)	10% (2) 15% (3)
	16% (41) 19% (47)	25% (2) 13% (1)	17% (12) 19% (13)	17% (9) 22% (12)	11% (5) 16% (7)	7% (1)	14% (2) 21% (3)	30% (8) 19% (5)	10% (2) 10% (2)
7	10% (25)	13% (1)	6% (4)	13% (7)	18% (8)	27% (4) 7% (1) 27% (4) 7% (1)	-	11% (3)	5% (1)
	12% (29) 8% (20)	- 13% (1)	13% (9) 9% (6)	11% (6) 9% (5)	18% (8) 16% (7) 9% (4)	20% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1) 7% (1)	11% (3) 4% (1) 7% (2)	5% (1) 10% (2) 5% (1)
10	7% (18)	25% (2)	7% (5)	4% (2)	11% (5)		7% (1) 7% (1)	4% (1)	10% (2) 5% (1)
	3% (7) 3% (7)		4% (3)	4% (2)	2% (1) 7% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	7% (1)	<u>-</u>	5% (1) 5% (1)
13	2% (5)		1% (1)	4% (2)	7% (3) 2% (1)			4% (1)	
	1% (2) 0% (1)		<u>-</u> -		<u>2% (1)</u> -	<u>-</u>	- 7% (1)	<u> </u>	5% <u>(1)</u>
16	(./								
17 18	- -	- -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score	6.71	7.00	6.32	6.69	7.71	6.60	6.86	6.04	6.65
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	6	0	 0	2	2	0	2	0	0
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	1	1 	0	0	0	1 	1 	1
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	36	0	4	13	12	0	2	1	4
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	49	5	9	0	12	3	0	19	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	29	1	9	7	3	0	1	4	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.								
Newly Added	48	1	10	8	16	0	3	3	7
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	3	0	 1	0	1	0	 1	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	51	1	11	8	17	0	4	3	7
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		· ·	,,		.,		T		•
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	12	0	0	0	5	0	2	3	2
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	 1	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	16	0	0	0	5	0	3	5	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	 0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	19	0	0	0	5	0	5	6	3
NET INFLOW	32	1	11	8	12	0	-1	-3	4

12/25/2018 FTT BNL Repoli				Cuantam	Cuantan		Contact bot	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S All No	tatewide n-Youth	6%	25%	25%	14%	6%	5%	8%	12%
Active on BNL	1,707	105	430	422	237	94	86	131	198
c Median Days Active	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
	0% (2) 2% (37)	- 1% (1)	0% (2)	- 3% (11)	- 2% (5)	- 1% (1)			3% (5)
2	1% (75)	6% (6)	3% (14) 6% (25) 10% (44)	5% (20) 10% (42)	2% (5)	1% (1) 3% (3)	7% (6) 5% (4)	2% (3) 5% (6)	3% (5) 4% (7) 8% (15)
	3% (136) 10% (175)	7% (7) 8% (8)	10% (44) 12% (51)	10% (42) 12% (49)	5% (11) 6% (15)	7% (7)	5% (4) 12% (10)	8% (11)	8% (15) 9% (18)
5	13% (220) 13% (223)	12% (13) 15% (16)	12% (51) 13% (56) 13% (56)	12% (49) 13% (55)	6% (15) 9% (21) 10% (24)	12% (11) 17% (16)	12% (10) 12% (10) 8% (7) 16% (14)	21% (27) 16% (21) 11% (15)	9% (18) 11% (22)
7	12% (207)	14% (15)	11% (49)	12% (52) 14% (57)	11% (26)	12% (11)	16% (14)	11% (15)	13% (25) 10% (20)
9	11% (180) 3% (141)	13% (14) 9% (9) 6% (6)	7% (32) 6% (25)	11% (45) 6% (25)	10% (24) 14% (34) 8% (20)	23% (22) 12% (11) 6% (6) 6% (6) 9% (8)	16% (14) 8% (7)	14% (18) 10% (13)	14% (27) 11% (21)
	6% (110) 5% (87)	6% (6) 3% (3)	7% (32) 6% (25) 7% (30) 6% (24)	6% (25) 4% (18) 5% (20) 3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (4)	8% (20) 10% (24)	9% (8) 1% (1)	16% (14) 8% (7) 7% (6) 2% (2) 5% (4) 2% (2)	14% (18) 10% (13) 5% (6) 2% (2) 3% (4)	8% (16) 6% (11)
12	3% (48)	5% (5)	1% (5)	3% (13)	4% (9)	1% (1)	5% (4)	3% (4)	6% (11) 3% (6) 2% (3)
14	2% (37) 1% (14)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)	2% (9) 1% (4)	5% (12) 1% (3)	1% (1) -	2% (2) -	2% (2) 2% (3)	-
	1% (12) 0% (3)		1% (6) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)		-		1% (2) -
17						<u>-</u>			
Average Assessment Score	6.70	6.71	6.29	6.41	7.88	6.16	6.80	6.82	6.88
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on to			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	16	1	2	6	0	1	1	2	3
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	180	4	49	44	49	6	6	7	15
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	146	9	9	35	17	2	10	22	42
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	273	16	74	54	60	7	18	17	27
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	99	12	45	11	6	4	0	18	3
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	26	3	5	8	3	1	0	2	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	176	10	35	30	24	9	8	31	25
Returned from Inactive	30	0	7	2	1	0	9	8	3
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	206	10	42	32	25	9	17	39	28
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	44	4	0	7	6	0	11	12	4
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	32	1	9	3	8	0	1	4	6
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	28	8	0	7	4	0	2	4	3
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	17	0	0	2	3	0	2	9	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	121	13	9	19	21	0	16	29	14
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	29	1	14	5	2	1	4	2	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
X Other Outflow subtotal	36	1	15	6	2	2	4	4	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	157	14	24	25	23	2	20	33	16
z NET INFLOW	49	-4	18	7	2	7	-3	6	12 Page 4

12/23/2016 FTI BNL Repoil				_	-		OUNIDO: DO	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S All	Statewide Families	6%	29%	17%	17%	6%	7%	11%	8%
Active on BNL	276	17	80	47	46	17	19	29	21
Median Days Active	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score	ong active re	ecords)							
1	- 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		- 5% (1)
2	3% (8)	6% (1)	6% (5)	2% (1)			5% (1)	- 20/ (4)	
4	3% (7) 11% (30)	- 6% (1)	8% (6) 8% (6)	- 17% (8)	9% (4)	18% (3)	- 11% (2)	3% (1) 10% (3)	14% (3)
5	14% (40)	29% (5)	15% (12)	11% (5) 11% (5) 11% (5)	13% (6)	6% (1)		24% (7)	19% (4)
6 7	14% (38) 13% (36)	29% (5) 12% (2)	10% (8) 10% (8)	11% (5)	11% (5) 11% (5)	29% (5) 18% (3)	32% (6)	21% (6) 14% (4)	19% (4) 14% (3)
8	13% (37)	6% (1)	14% (11)	15% (7)	15% (7)	18% (3)	21% (4) 11% (2)	14% (4)	-
10	11% (31) 5% (15)	6% (1) -	11% (9) 8% (6)	9% (4) 4% (2)	17% (8) 2% (1)	6% (1)	11% (2)	10% (3) 3% (1)	19% (4) 10% (2)
11	4% (11)	-	5% (4)	- 15% (7)	13% (6)		5% (1)		
12	3% (9) 3% (7)	6% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	4% (2)	- 7% (3)	6% (1) -		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>
14	1% (2)		3% (2)		-		- E0/ (4)		
15 16	1% (2) 1% (2)	-	1% (1)	2% (1)	2% (1) -	<u>-</u>	5% (1) -		
17	- '								
18 Average Assessment Score	7.15	6.18	6.98	7.70	8.02	6.71	7.79	6.31	6.38
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	4	4	1	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	73	2	20	13	22	1	2	4	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	0	15	1	2	0	0	20	1
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	52	1	9	6	8	2	2	19	5
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	46	4	8	6	8	6	0	6	8
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	49	4	9	6	8	6	1	6	9
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	,	the past 30 days).						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	17	0	0	3	3	0	3	5	3
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	16	5	0	2	2	0	2	2	3
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	39	5	1	6	6	0	6	8	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	41	5	1	6	7	1	6	8	7
							-5	-2	

12/23/2010	FYI BNL Keport							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Ir	ndividuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
	Percentage of S	tatewide								
	•	dividuals	6%	25%	25%	14%	5%	5%	8%	12%
	Active on BNL	1,683	96	419	429	236	92	81	129	197
	Median Days Active	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Score Distribution (amo cords having each assessment score.		ecords)							
0		0% (2) 2% (40)	- 1% (1)	0% (2) 4% (16)	- 3% (12)	- 3% (6)	- 1% (1)			2% (4)
2		4% (71)	5% (5)	5% (22)	4% (19)	2% (5)	3% (3)	6% (5)	2% (3)	2% (4) 5% (9)
3		8% (141) 10% (175)	7% (7) 8% (8)	11% (44) 12% (51)	10% (43) 11% (48)	5% (12) 5% (12)	8% (7) 13% (12)	6% (5) 14% (11)	5% (6) 10% (13)	9% (17) 9% (18)
5		13% (221)	10% (10)	13% (56) 15% (61)	11% (49) 14% (59) 14% (59) 14% (59) 10% (44) 6% (26) 4% (18) 5% (20) 2% (8) 2% (9)	8% (20) 11% (26)	17% (16)	15% (12)	22% (28) 16% (20)	10% (20)
6		14% (232) 12% (196)	13% (12)	15% (61)	14% (59)	11% (26)	17% (16) 23% (21) 10% (9) 7% (6) 7% (6)	12% (10) 10% (8)	16% (20)	10% (20) 12% (23)
8		10% (172)	15% (14) 14% (13)	11% (45) 7% (30) 5% (22) 7% (29)	10% (44)	12% (29) 10% (24) 13% (30) 10% (24)	7% (6)	14% (11)	11% (14) 12% (15) 9% (12)	9% (18) 15% (29)
9		8% (130)	9% (9)	5% (22)	6% (26)	13% (30)	7% (6)	7% (6)	9% (12)	15% (29) 9% (18)
10 11		7% (113) 5% (83)	8% (8) 3% (3)	5% (23)	4% (18) 5% (20)	8% (19)	8% (7) 2% (2)	14% (11) 7% (6) 6% (5) 2% (2) 5% (4) 2% (2)	5% (6) 2% (2) 3% (4) 2% (3)	8% (16) 6% (12)
12		3% (46)	5% (5)	1% (4) 2% (7)	2% (8)	8% (19) 5% (12) 4% (10)	1% (1)	5% (4)	3% (4)	4% (7) 2% (3) 1% (1)
13 14		2% (35) 1% (14)	- 1% (1)	2% (7) 0% (1)	2% (9) 1% (4)	4% (10) 2% (4)	1% (1) -	2% (2)	2% (3) 2% (3)	2% (3) 1% (1)
15		1% (11)		1% (6)	0% (1)	2% (4) 1% (2)	-		- /0 /0/	1% (2)
16 17		0% (1) -	-			0% (1)				
18		- 			<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	-	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
.	Average Assessment Score	6.62	6.83	6.16	6.30	7.82	6.13	6.58	6.77	6.91
	tions Followed (among a ch row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	fuses CAN Assistance e are subject to due diligence policy	16	1	2	6	0	1	1	2	3
Clients meet HUD	Chronic (Verified) definition of Chronic Homelessness	177	4	45	42	50	6	8	7	15
Clients t	Known Unsheltered hat are confirmed to be unsheltered	149	10	9	35	17	2	10	23	43
	Matched/Awarded d to or awarded a housing resource	236	14	58	54	50	6	18	14	22
Active clients who	n Transitional Housing are enrolled in Transitional Housing	109	17	39	10	16	7	0	17	3
Active clients who w	at Time of Assessment ere under 25 at time of assessment	226	10	65	56	40	14	12	10	19
	ve List: Past 30 Days nade active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added who have never been active before	178	7	37	32	32	3	11	28	24
Clients inactive	Returned from Inactive	30	0	7	2	2	0	9	8	2
	v to Active List TOTAL	208	7	44	34	34	3	20	36	26
	Active List: Past 30 Day eturned to housing or marked as Inact	<i>(</i>	the past 30 days							
	Housed - Self-Resolved ned to housing in past 30 days, self-	39	4	0	4	8	0	10	10	3
Clients returned to	Housed - PSH housing in past 30 days, with PSH	30	1	8	3	8	0	1	4	5
	Housed - RRH housing in past 30 days, with RRH	15	3	0	5	2	0	1	3	1
	Housed - All Other to housing in past 30 days, all other	14	0	0	1	2	0	1	9	1
	loused Outflow subtotal	98	8	8	13	20	0	13	26	10
Clients made inactive	ive - Unable to Contact e in past 30 days, unable to contact	30	1	14	5	11	0	6	3	0
	ictive - In an Institution	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Clients made	Inactive - Deceased inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients made inactiv	re in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
0 10 1	Other Outflow subtotal	37	1	15	6	1	1	6	5	2
Outflow fr	om Active List TOTAL	135	9	23	19	21	1	19	31	12
	NET INFLOW	73	-2	21	15	13	2	1	5	14

12/25/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		31%						
Families (No	n-Youth)	7%	3170	18%	17%	7%	7%	5%	8%
Active on BNL	230	16	71	41	40	15	17	12	18
Median Days Active		-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ong active r	ecords)							
1	- 0% (1)	<u>-</u>						<u>-</u>	- 6% (1)
2	3% (8) 3% (6)	6% (1)	7% (5) 8% (6)	2% (1)			6% (1)		
4	10% (22)	-	8% (6)	12% (5)	8% (3)	13% (2)	12% (2)	8% (1)	17% (3)
5 6	14% (33) 13% (31)	31% (5) 31% (5)	15% (11) 8% (6)	12% (5) 12% (5)	15% (6) 10% (4)	7% (1) 27% (4)	-	17% (2) 25% (3)	17% (3) 22% (4)
7	13% (31) 13% (31)	13% (2) 6% (1)	10% (7) 11% (8)	12% (5)	10% (4)	20% (3) 20% (3)	35% (6)	8% (1)	17% (3)
9	11% (26)	6% (1)	13% (9)	17% (7) 7% (3)	10% (4) 13% (5) 18% (7) 3% (1)	7% (1)	24% (4) 6% (1) 12% (2)	25% (3) 17% (2)	17% (3)
10	5% (12) 4% (10)	-	7% (5) 4% (3)	5% (2) -	3% (1) 15% (6)	7% (1) -	12% (2) 6% (1)	<u>-</u> -	6% (1) -
12	3% (8) 3% (6)	- 6% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	15% (6) 2% (1)	8% (3)	7% (1)			
14	1% (2)		3% (2)						
15 <mark></mark>	0% (1) 1% (2)	-	- 1% (1)	2% (1)	3% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
17 18	- '/							<u>-</u>	
Average Assessment Score	7.18	6.31	6.89	7.71	8.18	6.93	7.29	6.75	6.11
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	4	3	1 	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	1 	0	0	0	1	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	63	2	18	9	20	1	2	4	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	20	0	13	1	1	0	0	4	1
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	39	3	7	6	5	6	0	5	7
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	3	8	6	5	6	1	5	8
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	13	0	0	3	2	0	3	3	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	2	0	 1	0	 0	 0	 0	 0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	13	5	' 0	2	2	0	 1	 1	' 2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	4	0	0 0	1	 1	0	' 1	' 1	2 0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	32	5	1	6	5	0	5	5	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	34	5	1	6	6	1	5	5	5
NET INFLOW	8	-2	7	0	-1	5	-4	0	3

Familias (Vauth)				Greater	Greater			ad.andcr30n@ct.g	Waterbury/
Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of			20%	400/	400/			37%	
``	ilies (Youth)	2%	20 76	13%	13%	4%	4%		7%
Active on B		1	9	6	6	2	2	17	3
c Median Days Act		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Assessment Score Distribution (a Count of all active records having each assessment		ecords)							
0	-		-				-		
1 2			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>				<u> </u>	
3	2% (1) 17% (8)	100% (1)		50% (3)	- 17% (1)	- 50% (1)		6% (1) 12% (2)	
5	15% (7) 15% (7)		11% (1) 22% (2)		- 17% (1)	- 50% (1)		29% (5) 18% (3)	33% (1)
7	11% (5) 13% (6)		11% (1) 33% (3)		17% (1) 33% (2)			18% (3) 6% (1)	
9	11% (5)		-	17% (1)	17% (1)	<u>-</u>	50% (1)	6% (1)	33% (1)
10	7% (3) 2% (1)		11% (1) 11% (1)					6% (1) -	33% (1) -
12	2% (1) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>	-	17% (1) 17% (1)	<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
14	2% (1)						- 50% (1)		
16									
E Average Assessment S		4.00	 - 7.67	7.67	7.00	5.00	12.00	6.00	8.00
Status/Conditions Followed (amo			1.01	1.01	7.00	5.00	12.00	0.00	0.00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active	e on the BNL, and clie		ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistar F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence p	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verifi G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessi	ed) ₁	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unshelte	red 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H Clients that are confirmed to be unshelt Matched/Award	led ₁₀	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	2
Clients matched to or awarded a housing reso Enrolled in Transitional Hous	ing ₁₉	0	2	0	 1	0	0	16	0
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Mon	ths 10	0	2	0	2	0	1	3	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Day	date		_		_		•		_
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL									
Newly Add		1	1	0	3	0	0	1	1
Returned from Inact	ive ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now a N Inflow to Active List TOT.	ctive	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30		,	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	J	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	,
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as	Inactive on the BNL in	n the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolv Clients returned to housing in past 30 days,	Δ.	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1
Housed - P	+		^	^	^	^	^	^	^
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with Housed - R	PSH U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with I	RRH	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all of	ther	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subto		0	0	0	1	0	1	3	2
Inactive - Unable to Cont Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to cont	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institut U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institut	ion ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceas V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decea	ed ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Ot Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reas	her ₍₎	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subto		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOT.		0	0	0	1	0	1	3	2
z NET INFLO	OW 0	1	1	0	2	0	-1	-2	-1

12/23/2016 FTI BNL Kepoli				0 1	0 1		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of Individua		3%	29%	23%	19%	6%	6%	5%	8%
Active on BNL		7	60	48	39	13	12	10	17
c Median Days Active		-	-	-		-		-	
Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active r	ecords)							
0	- 2% (4)	- -	3% (2)	2% (1)	- 3% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>
2	2% (4)		3% (2)	-	-		- 00/ (4)		12% (2)
3 4	5% (11) 11% (22)	- -	10% (6) 10% (6) 18% (11)	2% (1) 8% (4) 19% (9)	3% (1) -	23% (3) 8% (1)	8% (1) 25% (3) 17% (2)	30% (3)	12% (2)
5	17% (34) 19% (40)	29% (2) 14% (1)	18% (11) 18% (11)	19% (9)	13% (5) 15% (6)	8% (1) 23% (3)	17% (2) 25% (3)	30% (3) 20% (2)	6% (1)
7	10% (20)	14% (1)	5% (3)	25% (12) 15% (7)	18% (7)	23% (3) 8% (1)	-		12% (2) 12% (2) 18% (3) 6% (1) 12% (2) 6% (1)
8	11% (23) 7% (15)	14% (1)	10% (6) 10% (6)	13% (6) 8% (4)	13% (5) 8% (3)	23% (3)	<u>8% (1)</u> -	- 10% (1)	12% (2)
10	7% (15) 3% (6)	29% (2)	7% (4)	4% (2)	13% (5) 3% (1)	- 8% (1)	8% (1) 8% (1)		6% (1) 6% (1)
12	3% (6)		3% (2) -	2% (1)	8% (3)	8% (1)		 	6% (1)
13	2% (4) 1% (2)		2% (1)	2% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)			10% (1) -	- 6% (1)
15	- (-)								
16 17	-		<u>-</u>	-		<u>-</u>		-	<u>-</u>
E Average Assessment Score	- 6.65	7.43	- 6.12	6.56	7.82	6.85	6.00	6.10	6.41
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.12	00.0	1.02	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.41
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	<u> </u>	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Matched/Awarded	20	0	2	9	10	0	2	1	2
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	30	5	7	0	11	3	0	3	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date		1	7	7	1	0	0	1	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	1								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	41	0	9	8	13	0	3	2	6
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1 .5	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	0	10	8	14	0	4	2	6
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inc.	ays active on the BNL in			-	-		•	-	
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	. 0	0	0	0	4	0	2	1	1
Housed - PSh P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSh	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRF Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRF	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	_	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	١	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	, U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	/ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL		0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	12	0	0	0	4	0	4	3	1
z NET INFLOW	32	0	10	8	10	0	0	-1	5 Page 9

12/23/2018 F11 BNL Repoli					Cuantan	Cuantan		Contact bea	au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
Individuals (Non-You		Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentag Individua	•		6%	24%	26%	13%	5%	5%	8%	12%
B Active o	•	1,477	89	359	381	197	79	69	119	180
c Median Days		148	-	-	-	-				-
Assessment Score Distribution Count of all active records having each assessi	n (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
0		0% (2)	- 1% (1)	1% (2)	- 3% (11)		- 40/ (4)			-
2		2% (36) 5% (67)	6% (5)	4% (14) 6% (20) 11% (38)	5% (11) 5% (19) 11% (42)	3% (5) 3% (5)	1% (1) 4% (3)	- 7% (5)	3% (3)	2% (4) 4% (7) 8% (15)
3		9% (130)	8% (7)	11% (38)	11% (42)	6% (11)	4% (3) 9% (7)	7% (5) 6% (4) 12% (8)	3% (3) 5% (6)	8% (15)
5		10% (153) 13% (187)	9% (8) 9% (8)	13% (45) 13% (45)	12% (44) 13% (50)	6% (12) 8% (15)	11% (9) 19% (15)	14% (10)	8% (10) 21% (25) 15% (18)	8% (15) 11% (19)
6		13% (192) 12% (176)	12% (11) 15% (13)	14% (50)	12% (47) 14% (52)	10% (20) 11% (22)	23% (18) 10% (8)	10% (7) 12% (8)	15% (18) 12% (14)	12% (21) 9% (17)
8		10% (176)	15% (13)	7% (24)	10% (38)	10% (19)	4% (3)	14% (10)	13% (15)	15% (27) 10% (18)
9		8% (115)	15% (13) 9% (8) 7% (6)	4% (16)	6% (22)	10% (19) 14% (27) 10% (19)	4% (3) 8% (6) 9% (7)	9% (6)	9% (11)	10% (18) 8% (15)
11		7% (98) 5% (77)	3% (3)	14% (50) 12% (42) 7% (24) 4% (16) 7% (25) 6% (21)	17% (22) 10% (38) 6% (22) 4% (16) 5% (20) 2% (7)	9% (18)	1% (1)	14% (10) 9% (6) 6% (4) 1% (1) 6% (4) 3% (2)	9% (11) 5% (6) 2% (2) 3% (4)	6% (11)
12		3% (40)	6% (5)	1% (4)	2% (7)	9% (18) 5% (9)		6% (4)	3% (4)	6% (11) 3% (6) 2% (3)
13		2% (31) 1% (12)	- 1% (1)	2% (6) 0% (1)	2% (8) 1% (4)	5% (9) 2% (3)	1% (1) -	3% (∠) -	2% (2) 3% (3)	-
15		1% (11)		2% (6)	0% (1)	1% (2)				1% (2)
16		0% (1)	-	<u>-</u>		1% (1) -		<u>-</u>		
18		-	- 0.70		-	-	-	-	-	-
Status/Conditions Followed (s		6.62	6.79	6.17	6.27	7.82	6.01	6.68	6.82	6.96
Status/Conditions Followed (a	active on	the BNL, and clier		ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assis F Clients counted here are subject to due diliger	nce policy	16	1	2	6	0	1	1	2	3
Chronic (Ve	elessness	172	4	45	41	48	6	6	7	15
Known Unshe H Clients that are confirmed to be un	sheltered	144	9	8	35	17 	2	9	22	42
Matched/Av Clients matched to or awarded a housing	resource	210	14	56	45	40	6	16 	13	20
Enrolled in Transitional Ho	l Housing	79	12	32	10	5	4	0	14	2
Youth at Time of Asses Active clients who were under 25 at time of as	sessment	20	3	5	8	1	1	0	0	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 I		e past 30 days.								
Newly A	ive before	137	7	28	24	19	3	8	26	18
Returned from In Clients inactive for any reason who are n		27	0	6	2	1	0	8	8	2
N Inflow to Active List T		164	7	34	26	20	3	16	34	20
Outflow from Active List: Past			,	V7	20	-V	<u> </u>	10	V7	
Clients below were returned to housing or mark	ed as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Res Clients returned to housing in past 30 o	lays, self-	31	4	0	4	4	0	8	9	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days,	with PSH	30	1	8	3	8	0	1	4	5
Housed Clients returned to housing in past 30 days,	with RRH	15	3	0	5	2	0	1	3	1
Housed - All Clients returned to housing in past 30 days	, all other	13	0	0	1	2	0	1	8	1
Housed Outflow st		89	8	8	13	16	0	11	24	9
Inactive - Unable to C Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable	to contact	27	1	14	5	1	0	4	2	0
Inactive - In an Inst Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an	institution	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Inactive - Dec V Clients made inactive in past 30 days,	deceased	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other	r reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Other Outflow st		34	1	15	6	1	1	4	4	2
Y Outflow from Active List T		123	9	23	19	17	1	15	28	11
z NET IN	FLOW	41	-2	11	7	3	2	1	6	9 Page 10

Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	vide BNL	13%	•	14%	5670	12%	2%	11%	
Active on BNL	1,959	252	1707	276	1683	230	46	206	1477
c Median Days Active	133	93	140	90	141	85	105	90	148
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
0	0% (2) 2% (41)	- 20/. (4)	0% (2)	- 0% (1)	0% (2)	- 09/, (1)		- 20/. (4)	0% (2) 2% (36) 5% (67)
2	4% (79)	2% (4) 2% (4)	0% (2) 2% (37) 4% (75) 8% (136)	0% (1) 3% (8)	0% (2) 2% (40) 4% (71) 8% (141)	0% (1) 3% (8)		2% (4) 2% (4) 5% (11)	5% (67)
4	8% (148) 10% (205)	5% (12) 12% (30)	10% (1/5)	3% (7) 11% (30)	8% (141) 10% (175)	3% (6) 10% (22)	2% (1) 17% (8)	11% (22)	9% (130) 10% (153) 13% (187)
	13% (261) 14% (270)	16% (41) 19% (47) 10% (25)	13% (220) 13% (223) 12% (207)	14% (40) 14% (38)	10% (175) 13% (221) 14% (232)	14% (33) 13% (31)	15% (7) 15% (7)	17% (34) 19% (40) 10% (20)	13% (192)
	12% (232) 11% (209)	10% (25) 12% (29)	12% (207) 11% (180)	13% (36) 13% (37)	12% (196)	13% (31) 13% (31)	11% (5)	11% (23)	12% (176) 10% (149)
9	8% (161) 7% (128)	12% (29) 8% (20) 7% (18) 3% (7)	11% (180) 8% (141) 6% (110)	11% (31) 5% (15)	10% (172) 8% (130) 7% (113)	11% (26) 5% (12)	11% (5) 7% (3)	7% (15)	10% (149) 8% (115) 7% (98)
11	5% (94) 3% (55)	3% (7)	6% (110) 5% (87) 3% (48) 2% (37) 1% (14)	11% (30) 14% (40) 14% (38) 13% (36) 13% (37) 11% (31) 5% (15) 4% (11) 3% (9)	5% (83) 3% (46) 2% (35) 1% (14)	10% (22) 14% (33) 13% (31) 13% (31) 13% (31) 11% (26) 5% (12) 4% (10) 3% (8) 3% (6)	13% (6) 11% (5) 7% (3) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	7% (15) 7% (15) 3% (6) 3% (6)	5% (77) 3% (40)
13	2% (42)	3% (7) 2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (37)	3/0(/)	2% (35)	3% (6) 1% (2)	2% (1)	2% (4) 1% (2)	2% (31) 1% (12)
15	1% (16) 1% (13)	0% (1)	1% (14) 1% (12) 0% (3)	1% (2) 1% (2)	1% (11)	0% (1) 1% (2)	2% (1)	1% (Z) -	1% (11)
17	0% (3) -	- - -	0% (3) 	1% (2)	0% (1) -	1% (2) -			0% (1) -
E Average Assessment Score	6.70	6.71	6.70	7.15	6.62	7.18	6.98	6.65	6.62
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on a			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	16	0	16	0	16	0	0	0	16
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	186	6	180	9	177	8	1	5	172
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	151	5	146	2	149	2	0	5	144
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	309			 73		63	10	 26	210
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing		36	273		236				
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	148	49	99	39	109	20	19	30	79
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	278	252	26	52	226	6	46	206	20
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	224	48	176	46	178	39	7	41	137
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	33	3	30	3	30	3	0	3	27
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	257	51	206	49	208	42	7	44	164
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		W							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,		17	20	42	4	0	24
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	56	12	44	17	39	13	4	8	31
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	32	0	32	2	30	2	0	0	30
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	31	3	28	16	15	13	3	0	15
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	18	1	17	4	14	4	0	1	13
Housed Outflow subtotal	137	16	121	39	98	32	7	9	89
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	32	3	29	2	30	2	0	3	27
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
x Other Outflow subtotal	39	3	36	2	37	2	0	3	34
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	176	19	157	41	135	34	7	12	123
z NET INFLOW	81	32	49	8	73	8	0	32	41 Page 11

12/25/2018 FYI BNL REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.	Individuals
Central CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of		93%		85%		,	,	79%
	tral CAN	7%		15%		14%	1%	6%	
Active on BNL	113	8	105	17	96	16	1	7	89
Median Days Active	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>
1	1% (1) 5% (6)		1% (1) 6% (6)	- 6% (1)	1% (1) 5% (5)		-		1% (1) 6% (5)
3	6% (7)	- 120/ (1)	7% (7) 8% (8)	6% (1)	7% (7) 8% (8)		1000/ (1)		6% (5) 8% (7) 9% (8) 9% (8) 12% (11)
5	8% (9) 13% (15)	13% (1) 25% (2)	12% (13)	29% (5)	10% (10)	31% (5)	100% (1)	29% (2)	9% (8) 9% (8)
7	15% (17) 14% (16)	13% (1) 13% (1)	15% (16) 14% (15) 13% (14)	29% (5) 12% (2)	13% (12) 15% (14)	31% (5) 13% (2) 6% (1)	- -	14% (1) 14% (1)	12% (11) 15% (13) 15% (13)
9	12% (14) 9% (10)	- 13% (1)	13% (14) 9% (9)	29% (5) 29% (5) 12% (2) 6% (1) 6% (1)	14% (13) 9% (9)	6% (1) 6% (1)	<u>-</u> -	- 14% (1)	15% (13) 9% (8)
10	7% (8) 3% (3)	25% (2)	6% (6) 3% (3)		8% (8) 3% (3)		-	29% (2)	9% (8) 7% (6) 3% (3)
12	4% (5) 1% (1)		5% (5) 1% (1)	6% (1)	5% (5)	6% (1)			6% (5)
14	1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1)	- 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	1% (1)
15	-	-		<u>-</u> -			-		
17	- -	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> -	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score	6.73	7.00	6.71	6.18	6.83	6.31	4.00	7.43	6.79
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	·		·		·				
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Known Unsheltered	10	1	9	0	10	0	0	1	9
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	10		46		4.4			^	4.4
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	16	0	16	2	14	2	0	0	14
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	17	5	12	0	17	0	0	5	12
Youth at Time of Assessment	11	8	3	1	10	0	1	7	3
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	11	· ·	J		10	U	ı	· ·	J
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	11	1	10	4	7	3	1	0	7
Clients who have never been active before	11	' 	10	4	ı	J			
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	11	1	10	4	7	3	1	0	7
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved							_		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	o		0	<i>F</i>	2	F	^	^	ი
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	0	8	5	3	5	0	0	3
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	13	0	13	5	8	5	0	0	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0		^	^	^		0	^	^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	14 -3	0	14 -4	5 -1	<u>9</u> -2	5 -2	<u>0</u> 1	0	<u>9</u> -2
. IALI IIVI-LOVV	-ა	'	-4	-1	-2	-2		U	-Z Page 12

	T2/23/2016 FTT BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
	Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		86%		84%				72%
Α	Fairfield Cou	inty CAN	14%		16%		14%	2%	12%	
В	Active on BNL	499	69	430	80	419	71	9	60	359
С	Median Days Active	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
n	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
U	0	0% (2)	-	0% (2)		0% (2)	-	-		1% (2)
	2	3% (16) 5% (27)	3% (2) 3% (2)	0% (2) 3% (14) 6% (25) 10% (44)	6% (5) 8% (6)	0% (2) 4% (16) 5% (22) 11% (44)	- 7% (5)	<u>-</u>	3% (2) 3% (2) 10% (6)	1% (2) 4% (14) 6% (20)
		10% (50) 11% (57)	9% (6) 9% (6)	10% (44) 12% (51) 13% (56)	8% (6) 8% (6) 15% (12)	11% (44) 12% (51)	8% (6) 8% (6)		10% (6) 10% (6) 18% (11)	11% (38)
		14% (68) 14% (69)	17% (12) 19% (13)	13% (56)	10% (8)	12% (51) 13% (56) 15% (61)	15% (11) 8% (6)	11% (1) 22% (2)	18% (11)	13% (45) 13% (45) 14% (50)
		11% (53) 8% (41)	6% (4)	11% (49)	10% (8)	11% (45)	10% (7) 11% (8)	22% (2) 11% (1) 33% (3)	5% (3)	14% (50) 12% (42) 7% (24)
	9	6% (31) 7% (35)	13% (9) 9% (6) 7% (5)	7% (32) 6% (25) 7% (30) 6% (24)	14% (11) 11% (9) 8% (6)	7% (30) 5% (22) 7% (29)	13% (9) 7% (5)	11% (1)	10% (6) 10% (6) 10% (6) 7% (4)	7% (24) 4% (16) 7% (25)
	11	5% (27) 1% (5)	4% (3)	6% (24) 1% (5)	8% (6) 5% (4) 1% (1)	5% (23) 1% (4)	7% (5) 8% (6) 	11% (1)	3% (2)	6% (21) 1% (4) 2% (6) 0% (1)
	13	2% (8) 1% (3)	1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)	1% (1) 3% (2)	2% (7) 0% (1)	1% (1) 3% (2)		2% (1)	2% (6)
	15	1% (6)	-	1% (6) 1% (6) 0% (1)	-	1% (6)	-	-		2% (6)
	17	0% (1) -	-	U% (1) -	1% (1) -		1% (1) 	<u>-</u>		
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	6.29	6.32	6.29	6.98	6.16	6.89	7.67	6.12	6.17
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			tod in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of	ircumetanoca			
	Refuses CAN Assistance				, ,			0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	49	0	49	4	45	4	0	0	45
	Known Unsheltered	10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded		· 						·	
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	78	4	74	20	58	18	2	2	56
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	54	9	45	15	39	13	2	7	32
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	74	60	 5	0	GE.		0	60	E
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	74	69	5	9	65	0	9	00	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e nast 30 davs								
	Newly Added	45	10	35	8	37	7	1	9	28
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive					J1	·			20
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	53	11	42	9	44	8	1	10	34
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the next 20 down	s						
	Housed - Self-Resolved				0	^	^	^	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
_	Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	15	0	15	0	15	0	0	0	15
Ϋ́	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	24	0	24	1	23	1	0	0	23
Z	NET INFLOW	29	11	18	8	21	7	1	10	11
										Page 13

12/23/2016 F11 BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Greater Hartford CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		89%		90%		,	, ,	80%
Greater Harti		11%		10%		9%	1%	10%	
B Active on BNL	476	54	422	47	429	41	6	48	381
c Median Days Active	4/0	34	422	41	429	41	0	40	301
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	na activo r	- neorde)	-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus							
0	-	-	-		-			-	-
	3% (12) 4% (20)	2% (1)	3% (11) 5% (20) 10% (42)	2% (1)	3% (12) 4% (19) 10% (43)	2% (1)		2% (1) -	3% (11) 5% (19) 11% (42) 12% (44) 13% (50)
	9% (43) 12% (56)	2% (1) 13% (7)	10% (42) 12% (49)	_	10% (43) 11% (48)		- 50% (3)	2% (1) 8% (4)	11% (42) 12% (44)
5	13% (64)	17% (9)	12% (49) 13% (55) 12% (52) 14% (57)	17% (8) 11% (5)	14% (59)	12% (5) 12% (5) 12% (5) 12% (5) 12% (5) 17% (7) 7% (3) 5% (2)		19% (9)	13% (50)
	13% (64) 13% (64)	22% (12) 13% (7)	12% (52) 14% (57)	11% (5) 11% (5)	14% (59) 14% (59)	12% (5) 12% (5)		25% (12) 15% (7)	12% (47)
	11% (51) 6% (30)	11% (6) 9% (5) 4% (2)	11% (45) 6% (25)	15% (7) 9% (4) 4% (2)	10% (44) 6% (26)	17% (7) 7% (3)	- 17% (1)	13% (6) 8% (4) 4% (2)	10% (38) 6% (22)
10	4% (20)	4% (2)	4% (18)	4% (2)	4% (18)	5% (2)		4% (2)	4% (16)
12	4% (20) 3% (15)	4% (2)	14% (37) 11% (45) 6% (25) 4% (18) 5% (20) 3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (4)	- 15% (7)	10% (44) 6% (26) 4% (18) 5% (20) 2% (8)	15% (6)	- 17% (1)	2% (1)	5% (20) 2% (7) 2% (8) 1% (4)
13 14	2% (11) 1% (4)	4% (2)	2% (9) 1% (4)	4% (2)	2% (9) 1% (4)	2% (1)	17% (1) -	2% (1) -	2% (8) 1% (4)
15	0% (1)		0% (1)		0% (1)				0% (1)
17	0% (1) -		0% (1) -	2% (1)	- - -	2% (1)			- - -
E Average Assessment Score	6.44	6.69	- 6.41	7.70	6.30	7.71	7.67	6.56	- 6.27
Status/Conditions Followed (among			V. 11	70	0.00		1.0.	0.00	0.21
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	46	2	44	4	42	3	1	1	41
Known Unsheltered	35	0	35	0	35	0	0	0	35
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	67	13	54	13	54	9	4	9	45
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	0	11	1	10	1	0	0	10
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		0			10	' 			10
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	62	54	8	6	56	0	6	48	8
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	38	8	30	6	32	6	0	8	24
Clients who have never been active before									<u> </u>
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	40	8	32	6	34	6	0	8	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	VS	L							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the past 30 day	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	7	0	7	3	4	3	0	0	4
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Housed - RRH	7	0	7	2	5	2	0	0	5
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	·	ļ		<u>-</u>					
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
s Housed Outflow subtotal	19	0	19	6	13	6	0	0	13
Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	J								<u>J</u>
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	4	^	·	^	4		·	^	4
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons X Other Outflow subtotal	6			0		0	0	0	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	25	0 0	6 25	6	6 19	6	0	<u> </u>	6 19
z NET INFLOW	15	8	7	0	15	0	0	8	7
ALT INFLOW	10	U	,	v	10	U	U	U	Page 14

12/23/2016 FTT BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
Greater New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of		84%		84%	,	,	, ,	70%
Greater New H	•	16%		16%		14%	2%	14%	
B Active on BNL		45	237	46	236	40	6	39	197
c Median Days Active		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assessment Score Distribution (am	-	ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		, ,							
0 1	- 2% (6)	2% (1)	2% (5)	 	3% (6)			3% (1)	- 3% (5)
3	2% (5) 4% (12)	2% (1)	2% (5) 5% (11)	<u>-</u>	2% (5) 5% (12)		-	3% (1)	3% (5) 3% (5) 6% (11)
4	6% (16) 9% (26)	2% (1) 11% (5)	6% (15) 9% (21)	9% (4) 13% (6)	5% (12) 8% (20)	8% (3) 15% (6)	17% (1)	13% (5)	6% (12) 8% (15)
6	11% (31)	16% (7)	10% (24)	11% (5)	11% (26)	10% (4)	17% (1)	15% (6)	10% (20) 11% (22)
8	12% (34) 11% (31)	18% (8) 16% (7) 9% (4)	11% (26) 10% (24)	11% (5) 15% (7)	12% (29) 10% (24) 13% (30) 10% (24)	10% (4) 13% (5) 18% (7) 3% (1) 15% (6)	17% (1) 33% (2)	18% (7) 13% (5)	11% (22) 10% (19) 14% (27)
9	13% (38) 9% (25)	9% (4) 11% (5)	10% (24) 14% (34) 8% (20) 10% (24)	15% (7) 17% (8) 2% (1)	13% (30) 10% (24)	18% (7) 3% (1)	17% (1) -	8% (3) 13% (5)	10% (19)
11 12	9% (25) 4% (12)	2% (1)	10% (24) 4% (9)	13% (6)	8% (19) 5% (12) 4% (10) 2% (4)	15% (6)		13% (5) 8% (3) 13% (5) 3% (1) 8% (3) 3% (1) 3% (1)	9% (18) 5% (9) 5% (9) 2% (3) 1% (2)
13	5% (13)	7% (3) 2% (1)	5% (12) 1% (3)	7% (3)	4% (10)	8% (3)	-	3% (1)	5% (9)
14	1% (4) 1% (3)	2% (1)	1% (3)	2% (1)	1% (2)	- 3% (1)	<u>-</u>	3% (1)	2% (3) 1% (2)
16 I	0% (1)		0% (1) -		0% (1) -	-			1% (1) -
E Average Assessment Score	- • 7.85	7.71	7.88	8.02	- 7.82	8.18	7.00	7.82	- 7.82
Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.00	0.02	1.02	0.10	7.00	7.02	7.02
Clients counted in each row below are currently active of		nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of d	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	51	2	49	1	50	1	0	2	48
Known Unsheltered	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	17
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	72	12	60	22	50	20	2	10	40
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	9	12	6	2	16	1	1	11	5
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessmen	1	45	3	8	40	2	<u>'</u> 6	39	 1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	t 40	43			40				'
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	the past 30 days.								
Newly Added	40	16	24	8	32	5	3	13	19
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	9	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active)	·	•			-		- 44	20
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL		17	25	8	34	5	3	14	20
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inst		the past 30 day	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1 1 1	5	6	3	8	2	1	4	4
Housed - PSF	1 8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSF Housed - RRH	4	0	4	2	2	2	0	0	2
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRF Housed - All Other	r 3	0	3	 1	2	1	0	0	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all others S Housed Outflow subtotal	r	5	21	6	20	5	1	4	16
Inactive - Unable to Contac	t 2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	n 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Othe	<u>-</u>								
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
× Other Outflow subtotal Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL		5	2 23	7 7	21	6	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> 4	17 17
z NET INFLOW		12	23	1	13	-1	2	10	3
1427 1147 2077	17	1 12	_		10	= 1		10	Page 15

MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 84%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of IMW CAN	14%	00 70	16%	0470	14%	2%	12%	1270
Active on BNL	109	15	94	17	92	15	2	13	79
c Median Days Active	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 1% (1)	-	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)				- 1% (1)
2	3% (3) 6% (7)	-	3% (3) 7% (7)		3% (3) 8% (7)				4% (3) 9% (7) 11% (9)
4	14% (15)	27% (4)	12% (11)	18% (3)	13% (12)	13% (2)	50% (1)	23% (3)	11% (9)
6	16% (17) 24% (26)	7% (1) 27% (4)	17% (16) 23% (22)	6% (1) 29% (5)	17% (16) 23% (21)	13% (2) 7% (1) 27% (4)	50% (1)	8% (1) 23% (3)	19% (15) 23% (18)
7	11% (12) 8% (9)	7% (1) 20% (3)	12% (11) 6% (6)	18% (3) 18% (3)	10% (9) 7% (6)	20% (3) 20% (3)		8% (1) 23% (3)	19% (15) 23% (18) 10% (8) 4% (3)
9	6% (6) 7% (8)	-	6% (6) 9% (8)	6% (1)	7% (6) 8% (7)	7% (1)			8% (6) 9% (7) 1% (1)
10	2% (2)	7% (1)	1% (1)		2% (2)	7% (1) - 7% (1)	-	8% (1)	1% (1)
12	2% (2) 1% (1)	7% (1) -	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	7% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	8% (1) -	- 1% (1)
14	-								
16	-				<u>-</u>		-		
17	- -		<u> </u>	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>		
Average Assessment Score	6.22	6.60	6.16	6.71	6.13	6.93	5.00	6.85	6.01
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier		ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	15	1	2	14	0	2	13	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	na nast 30 days								
Newly Added		0	9	6	3	6	0	0	3
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive					^				
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	9	0	9	6	3	6	0	0	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
z NET INFLOW	7	0	7	5	2	5	0	0	2

12/23/2016 F11 BNL Repoli	AII	AII	AH	AII	AH	Familia.		eau.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of	Toutil	86%	T diffillioo	81%	(11011 1 0 0 0 1)	(10011)	(Touri)	69%
	east CAN	14%		19%		17%	2%	12%	
B Active on BNL	100	14	86	19	81	17	2	12	69
c Median Days Active	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 12	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
0		<u>-</u> -	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u>	<u></u>	
2	6% (6) 5% (5)	- 7% (1)	7% (6) 5% (4)	5% (1) -	6% (5) 6% (5)	6% (1) -		- 8% (1)	7% (5) 6% (4)
4	13% (13) 12% (12)	21% (3) 14% (2)	12% (10) 12% (10)	11% (2)	14% (11) 15% (12)	12% (2)		25% (3) 17% (2)	7% (5) 6% (4) 12% (8) 14% (10) 10% (7)
6	10% (10) 14% (14)	21% (3)	8% (7) 16% (14)	32% (6)	12% (10) 10% (8)	- 35% (6)		25% (3)	10% (7) 12% (8)
8	15% (15)	7% (1)	16% (14)	21% (4) 11% (2)	14% (11) 7% (6)	35% (6) 24% (4) 6% (1)		8% <u>(1)</u>	14% (10)
10	8% (8) 7% (7)	7% (1) 7% (1)	8% (7) 7% (6)	11% (2)	7% (6) 6% (5)	6% (1) 12% (2) 6% (1)	50% (1) -	8% (1)	9% (6) 6% (4)
12	3% (3) 4% (4)	7% (1) -	7% (6) 2% (2) 5% (4)	5% (1) -	6% (5) 2% (2) 5% (4)	6% (1) -		8% (1) -	12.76 (0) 14% (10) 9% (6) 6% (4) 1% (1) 6% (4) 3% (2)
13 14	2% (2)	-	2% (2)		2% (2)				3% <u>(</u> 2)
	1% (1) -	7% (1) -		5% (1)	-	-	50% (1)	-	-
17	-								
E Average Assessment Score	6.81	6.86	6.80	7.79	6.58	7.29	12.00	6.00	6.68
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the conditions of the cond			ed in multiple rows	denending on the	ir combination of a	ircumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		U	l 	U	I	U	U	U	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	2	6	0	8	0	0	2	6
Known Unsheltered	11	1	10	1	10	1	0	1	9
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	20	2	18	2	18	2	0	2	16
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	14	14	0	2	12	0	2	12	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		_	_						_
Clients who have never been active before	11	3	8	0	11	0	0	3	8
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	21	4	17	1	20	1	0	4	16
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact	ive on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	2	11	3	10	3	0	2	8
Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	1
Housed - All Other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	19	3	16	6	13	5	1	2	11
Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		۷						۷	+
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	25	5	20	6	19	5	1	4	15
z NET INFLOW	-4	-1	-3	-5	1	-4	-1	0	1 Page 17

Southbact CAN	12/25/2016 FTT BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
A Southeast CAN 17% 131 29 129 12 17 10 119	Southeast CAN									(Non-Youth)
Southeast CAN 17% 19% 9% 11% 9% 9	Perce			83%			,	,	,	,
Active on BNL 158 27 131 29 129 12 17 10 119		•	17%		18%		8%	11%	6%	
Median Days Active	^`		27	131	29	129	12	17	10	119
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Count of all and the recent handing each assessment received 20	•	ng active r	ecords)							
Section Sect										
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1	-		-	-	-		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> -
10	2		- 4% (1)	2% (3) 5% (6)	3% (1)	2% (3) 5% (6)		- 6% (1)		3% (3) 5% (6)
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4	10% (16)	19% (5)	8% (11)	10% (3)	10% (13)	8% (1) 17% (2)	12% (2)	30% (3)	8% (10) 21% (25)
1	6	16% (26)	19% (5)	16% (21)	21% (6)	16% (20)	25% (3)	18% (3)	20% (2)	15% (18)
1	8	12% (19)	4% (1)	1/10/. (10)	14% (4)	11% (14)	25% (3)	18% (3) 6% (1)	<u>-</u>	12% (14)
18			7% (2) 4% (1)	10% (13) 5% (6)	10% (3) 3% (1)	9% (12) 5% (6)	17% (2) -	6% (1) 6% (1)	10% (1) -	9% (11) 5% (6)
18				2% (2) 3% (4)		2% (2) 3% (4)	-			2% (2) 3% (4)
18	13	2% (3)	4% (1)	2% (2)		2% (3)			10% (1)	2% (2)
17	15	- (0)	-		-	-		-		
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	17	-	- -	- - -	 	<u>-</u> -		-		<u>-</u>
Status Conditions Followed (among active records)		6.68	6.04	6.82	6.31	6.77	6.75	6.00	6.10	6.82
Foundation Committee Com										
Format Control flower are unabled to due difference printy Chronic (Verified) 7			nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Clients most HUD definition of Chronic (Verified) 7		2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients medic Hull Definition of Circonic Hornelespaness Section 1 22 0 23 0 0 1 22 22 23 0 0 1 22 23 1 22 0 23 0 0 1 22 23 1 22 0 23 0 0 1 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24		7	0	7	n	7	0	0	0	7
Clients that are confirmed to be unshaltered 18	Charles most ried deminion of children removed	·		·						
Matched/Awarded 18		23	1	22	0	23	0	0	1	22
Clients instructed for animating in past 30 days, all other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days,		18	1	17	4	14	4	0	1	13
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Si										
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Section Past P		37	19	18	20	17	4	16	3	14
Inflice to Active List: Past 30 Days		29	27	2	19	10	2	17	10	0
Newly Added Clients who have new mede active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.										
Clients who have never been active before S44 S S1 0 20 S 1 2 20		e past 30 days.								
Returned from Inactive Returned from Inact	Newly Added	34	3	31	6	28	5	1	2	26
Citients inactive for any reason who are now active 0										
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	. - I	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. 15 3 12 5 10 3 2 1 9 9 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 1	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	3	39	6	36	5	1	2	34
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH S										
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-				1						
Part Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH S		15	3	12	5	10	3	2	1	9
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH S		4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal 34 5 29 8 26 5 3 2 24										
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 10	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	1	4	2	3	1	1	0	3
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal 34 5 29 8 26 5 3 2 24		10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact 3	energe returned to medering in past of days, an other		5	29	8		5	.3	2	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact S							-			
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Z	T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	ა	 	۷	U	ა	U		I	۷
Nactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Nactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Nactive - All Other Outflow subtotal Sample - All Other - All Other - All Other - Outflow subtotal Sample - All Other - Outflow from Active List TOTAL Sample - All Other - Outflow from Active List TOTAL Sample - All Other - Outflow from Active List TOTAL Sample - All Other - Outflow from Active List TOTAL Sample - Outflow from Acti		2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	Inactive - Deceased	n	Λ	n	n	Λ	n	n	n	Λ
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal 5 1 4 0 5 0 0 1 4 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 39 6 33 8 31 5 3 3 28		·		·		·		·		
X Other Outflow subtotal 5 1 4 0 5 0 0 1 4 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 39 6 33 8 31 5 3 3 28		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Chonte made madrive in past de days, un other reasone	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
z NET INFLOW 3 -3 6 -2 5 0 -2 -1 6			6				5	3	3	
	z NET INFLOW	3	-3	6	-2	5	0	-2	-1	6

	723/2016 FTT BNL REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
V	Vaterbury Litchfield CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Parce	entage of		91%		90%	()	(10001)	(100111)	83%
	Waterbury Litchf	•	9%		10%		8%	1%	8%	
A				400	04	407				400
В	Active on BNL	218	20	198	21	197	18	3	17	180
C	Median Days Active		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	sessment Score Distribution (amount of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
000	0	-		-			-			-
		2% (5) 4% (9)	- 10% (2)	3% (5) 4% (7)	5% (1) -	2% (4) 5% (9)	6% (1) -		12% (2)	2% (4) 4% (7) 8% (15)
	3	8% (17)	10% (2) 10% (2)	8% (15)	- 440/ (2)	5% (9) 9% (17) 9% (18) 10% (20)	- 17% (3)		12% (2) 12% (2)	8% (15)
		10% (21) 11% (24)	15% (3) 10% (2)	9% (18) 11% (22)	14% (3) 19% (4)	9% (18) 10% (20)	17% (3) 17% (3)	33% (1)	18% (3) 6% (1)	8% (15) 11% (19)
		12% (27) 10% (21)	10% (2) 5% (1)	13% (25) 10% (20)	19% (4) 14% (3)	12% (23) 9% (18)	17% (3) 22% (4) 17% (3)		12% (2) 6% (1)	12% (21) 9% (17)
	8	13% (29)	10% (2) 5% (1)	14% (27) 11% (21)	-	15% (29)	-		12% (2)	15% (27)
		10% (22) 8% (18)	5% (1) 10% (2)	11% (21) 8% (16)	19% (4) 10% (2)	10% (23) 9% (18) 15% (29) 9% (18) 8% (16) 6% (12) 4% (7)	17% (3) 6% (1)	33% (1) 33% (1)	- 6% (1)	15% (27) 10% (18) 8% (15)
	11	6% (12) 3% (7)	5% (1) 5% (1)	8% (16) 6% (11) 3% (6)		6% (12)	-		6% (1) 6% (1)	6% (11) 3% (6) 2% (3)
	13	1% (3)	-	2% (3)		2% (3) 1% (1)			_	2% (3)
		0% (1) 1% (2)	5% (1)	- 1% (2)		1% (1) 1% (2)			6% (1) -	- 1% (2)
	16	-								
	17 18	- 		-	-		-	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
E C4	Average Assessment Score	6.86	6.65	6.88	6.38	6.91	6.11	8.00	6.41	6.96
	atus/Conditions Followed (among ints counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	dependina on the	eir combination of o	circumstances			
-	Refuses CAN Assistance							0		2
F Cli	ients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	Chronic (Verified)	15	0	15	0	15	0	0	0	15
G C	lients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	43	1	42	0	43	0	0	1	42
	Matched/Awarded	31	4	27	۰	22	7	າ	2	20
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	٥١ 	4	21	9		/	2	۷	20
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	1	3	1	3	1	0	1	2
J A	ctive clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K Act	tive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	24	20	4	5	19	2	3	17	2
	low to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clie	nts below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.					1			
	Newly Added	32	7	25	8	24	7	1	6	18
`	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	35	7	28	9	26	8	1	6	20
Οι	tflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	/S								
	nts below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	6	2	4	3	3	2	1	1	2
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р (Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	6	1	5	1	0	0	5
	Housed - RRH	4	1	3	3	1	2	1	0	1
Q C	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH					· 	<u>-</u>			
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	17	3	14	7	10	5	2	1	9
	Inactive - Unable to Contact				-				•	
T Clie	ents made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
W Cli	ients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons				-					
X	Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	19	3	16	7	12	5	2	<u>1</u>	11
Z	NET INFLOW	16	4	12	2	14	3	-1	5	9 Page 19

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).