# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)									
240 +2 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
1 78 +1 from last week +3 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	22	0	7						
F : C 11C 1									
Fairfield County	64	1	18						
Greater Hartford	52 52	1 0	18 20						
,	٠.	_	20						
Greater Hartford	52	0	20						
Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	52 41	0	20 8						
Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	52 41 14	0 0	20 8 1						

Active In	dividua	ls (Youth)							
+6 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9									
full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9  Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
9 26									
+1 from last week		-1 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	15	2	2						
Fairfield County	57	1	2						
Greater Hartford	52	0	9						
Greater New Haven	37	0	6						
MMW	12	0	0						
Northeast	11	2	2						
Southeast	13	0	1						
Waterbury Litchfield	16	4	4						

Active	Families	(Youth)							
4	48								
-4 from last week									
	full details for	Active Families (Y							
Known Unsheltered			Housing						
0		1	1						
no change		-1 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	0	0	0						
Fairfield County	8	0	3						
Greater Hartford	7	0	5						
Greater New Haven	5	0	1						
MMW	1	0	0						
Northeast	2	0	1						
Southeast	23	0	0						
Waterbury Litchfield	2	0	1						

#### **Active Individuals (Non-Youth)** -17 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 Matched to Housing -14 from last week +6 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 109 14 22 Fairfield County 10 59 335 Greater Hartford 409 35 55 Greater New Haven 205 18 26 MMW 80 5 10 Northeast 68 18 19 Southeast 103 24 19 Waterbury Litchfield 188 44 25

11/13/2018 FTI BNL Kepoli				Cuantan	Cuanton		Oontact bee	u.anderson@ci.go	<u> </u>
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		000/	26%					
A AII	Records	7%	23%	26%	14%	5%	5%	8%	11%
Active on BNL	1,998	146	464	520	288	107	100	151	222
Median Days Active	119	119	124	138	118	117	73	62	195
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-					
2	2% (41) 4% (81)	1% (2) 3% (4)	3% (13) 6% (29) 10% (46)	3% (14) 5% (25) 10% (50)	1% (4) 1% (4)	1% (1) 3% (3) 7% (8)	6% (6) 1% (1)	1% (2) 3% (5)	3% (7) 4% (8) 8% (17)
3	8% (150) 10% (198)	8% (12) 8% (11)	11% (50)	11% (59)	4% (11) 7% (21) 8% (23)	7% (8) 9% (10) 18% (19)	15% (15)	3% (5) 12% (18) 19% (29)	8% (17) 6% (14) 11% (25)
6	13% (253) 14% (279)	14% (20) 12% (17)	12% (56) 14% (66) 10% (45)	14% (73) 13% (69) 14% (71) 9% (48) 7% (36) 4% (20) 5% (24) 3% (15) 2% (9) 1% (5)	11% (31)	21% (23)	8% (8) 14% (14)	19% (29) 19% (28) 15% (23)	11% (25) 14% (31) 10% (23)
7 8	12% (242) 11% (215)	12% (18) 14% (20) 10% (14)	10% (47)	14% (71) 9% (48)	14% (39) 10% (29) 11% (33)	9% (10) 10% (11)	13% (13) 14% (14)	130/. (10)	10% (23) 12% (27)
9	8% (166) 6% (124)	10% (14) 7% (10)	8% (38) 6% (29) 5% (22)	7% (36) 4% (20)	11% (33) 11% (31)	5% (5) 8% (9)	8% (8) 6% (6)	7% (10) 3% (4)	12% (27) 10% (22) 7% (15)
11	5% (107) 3% (55)	4% (6) 5% (7)	1% (3)	5% (24) 3% (15)	10% (29)	10% (11) 5% (5) 8% (9) 3% (3) 3% (3)	14% (14) 8% (8) 6% (6) 5% (5) 4% (4)	7% (10) 3% (4) 2% (3) 2% (3) 2% (3) 2% (3)	7% (15) 4% (8) 2% (4) 1% (3)
13	2% (46) 1% (19)	1% (1) 1% (2)	2% (8) 1% (3)	2% (9) 1% (5)	4% (12) 5% (15) 1% (3)	1% (1)	5% (5)	2% (3) 2% (3)	2% (4) 1% (3)
15	1% (16) 0% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (6) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (9) 1% (2) 0% (1)	- 1% (1) -		1% (1)	1% (3)
17 18	-					<u>-</u>			
Average Assessment Score	6.78	7.03	6.33	6.34	7.94	6.49	7.17	6.70	7.05
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)		·				·	·		
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	208	6	51	50	52	10	9	10	20
Known Unsheltered	178	16	12	35	18	5	20	24	48
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	350	31	82	89	41	11	 28	30	38
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	350	J1			4 I 	 			
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	142	16	54	9	16	7	0	37	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	287	19	70	70	45	14	13	36	20
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	219	20	64	33	33	13	14	25	17
Returned from Inactive	42	0	4	9	2	1	9	15	2
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						1			
Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	261	20	68	42	35	14	23	40	19
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days self-	33	1	5	2	4	1	2	13	5
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	37	0	23	3	 9	0	 1	 1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH					ສ 	U			U 
Housed - RRH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	43	3	8	3	4	1	2	21	1
Housed - All Other	16	0	2	2	3	0	0	6	3
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	129	4	38	10	20	2	5	41	9
Inactive - Unable to Contact	77	5	60	5	1	0	1	3	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution							·		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	1
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Inactive - All Other	10	0	 1	0	2	2	 1	 1	3
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons			-				•		
Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	95 <b>224</b>	5 <b>9</b>	63 <b>101</b>	5 <b>15</b>	6 <b>26</b>	2 4	3 <b>8</b>	5 <b>46</b>	6 <b>15</b>
Z NET INFLOW	37	11	-33	27	9	10	15	<del>-6</del>	4
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-				Page 2

11/13/2018 F11 BNL Repoli				Cuantan	Cuantan		OUNTACT DO	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide		050/						
_	All Youth	6%	25%	23%	16%	5%	5%	14%	7%
Active on BNL	261	15	65	59	42	13	13	36	18
c Median Days Active	88	139	99	62	128	104	39	95	162
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	-								
	2% (4)	-	3% (2)	2% (1)	2% (1)				
3	1% (3) 5% (13)		3% (2) 8% (5)	3% (2)	5% (2)			3% (1)	6% (1) 17% (3)
	10% (27) 13% (35)	- 13% (2)	8% (5) 11% (7)	8% (5) 20% (12)	5% (2) 2% (1)	15% (2) 8% (1)	15% (2) 8% (1)	25% (9) 25% (9)	11% (2) 11% (2)
6	18% (46) 13% (33)	7% (1) 13% (2)	20% (13) 8% (5)	20% (12) 19% (11)	12% (5) 17% (7)	31% (4) 8% (1)	31% (4) 8% (1)	14% (5) 17% (6)	11% (2)
8	12% (31)	7% (1) 33% (5)	18% (12)	12% (7)	14% (6) 10% (4)	23% (3)		3% (1)	6% (1) 17% (3)
	12% (31) 5% (13)	33% (5) 13% (2)	18% (12) 15% (10) 3% (2)	10% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	12% (5)	<u>-</u>	- 15% (2)	3% (1) 8% (3) 3% (1)	_
	4% (10) 2% (6)	-	2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	10% (4) 7% (3)	8% (1) 8% (1)	15% (2) -		6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1)
13	2% (6)	7% (1)	2% (1)		5% (2)			3% (1)	6% (1)
15	1% (2) 0% (1)	7% (1) -				<u>-</u>	8% (1)		6% (1) -
16	- -			<u>-</u>	-		-		<u>-</u>
E Average Assessment Score	6.89	8.67	6.45	6.42	8.07	7.00	- 7.77	5.94	7.00
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.10	0.12	0.01	7.00	1.77	0.01	7.00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	6	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	9	າ	 1		Λ	Λ	າ		4
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	9	2	I	0	0	0	2	0	
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	37	2	5	14	7	0	3	1	5
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	54	6	11	0	10	3	0	23	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	26	1	5	7	3	0	1	7	2
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	36	0	12	11	2	1	3	7	0
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	8	0	0	2	2	0	3	 0	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									<u>'</u>
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	0	12	13	4	1	6	7	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	6	0	1	0	3	0	0	2	0
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								<u>_</u>	
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	7	0	3	0	1	0	1	2	0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	3	Λ	<u>^</u>		^	Λ	Λ	າ	Λ
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	17	0	4	0	5	0	1	7	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	^	^	^		^	Λ	^		
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	6 <b>23</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	1	<u>0</u>	0	1 8	0
Z NET INFLOW	23	0	6	<u> </u>	<u>6</u> -2	1	5	<u>8</u> -1	0 1
NET INFLOW	41	U	U	11	-2	ı	J	-1	Page 3

	ттык кероп				Cuantage	Cuantan		Oontact bo	au.anderson@ct.g	
All N	on-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
A	Percentage of S All No	tatewide on-Youth	8%	23%	27%	14%	5%	5%	7%	12%
<u></u>	Active on BNL	1,737	131	399	461	246	94	87	115	204
C	Median Days Active	124	113	127	144	116	118	82	56	195
-	core Distribution (amo			141	144	110	110	UZ	50	130
	ords having each assessment score.		corus							
0		0% (2)	- 20/ (2)	1% (2)	- 20/ (42)	- 40/ /2)	- 40/ (4)			- 20/ /7)
2		2% (37) 4% (78)	2% (2) 3% (4)	3% (11) 7% (27) 10% (41)	3% (13) 5% (25) 10% (48)	1% (3) 2% (4)	1% (1) 3% (3)	7% (6) 1% (1)	2% (2) 3% (4)	3% (7) 3% (7) 7% (14)
3 4		8% (137) 10% (171)	9% (12) 8% (11)	10% (41) 11% (45)	10% (48) 12% (54)	4% (9) 8% (19)	9% (8)	1% (1) 15% (13)	3% (4) 8% (9)	7% (14) 6% (12)
5		13% (218)	14% (18)	12% (49) 13% (53)	12% (54) 13% (61)	8% (19) 9% (22)	9% (8) 19% (18)	8% (7)	8% (9) 17% (20)	6% (12) 11% (23)
6		13% (233) 12% (209)	12% (16) 12% (16)	10% (40)	13% (60)	11% (26) 13% (32)	20% (19) 10% (9)	11% (10) 14% (12)	20% (23) 15% (17)	14% (29) 11% (23)
8		11% (184) 8% (135)	15% (19) 7% (9)	9% (35) 7% (28)	9% (41) 7% (30)	13% (32) 9% (23) 12% (29)	10% (9) 9% (8) 5% (5)	16% (14) 9% (8)	16% (18) 6% (7)	13% (26) 9% (19) 7% (15)
10 11		6% (111) 6% (97)	6% (8) 5% (6)	10% (40) 9% (35) 7% (28) 7% (27) 5% (21)	12% (57) 13% (60) 9% (41) 7% (30) 4% (19) 5% (23) 3% (14) 2% (9) 1% (5)	11% (26) 10% (25)	10% (9)	16% (14) 9% (8) 5% (4) 3% (3) 5% (4)	16% (18) 6% (7) 3% (3) 3% (3) 3% (3)	7% (15)
12		3% (49)	5% (7)	1% (3)	3% (14)	4% (9)	2% (2) 2% (2)	5% (3) 5% (4)	3% (3)	7% (14) 3% (7) 1% (3) 1% (2)
13 14		2% (40) 1% (17)	- 1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)	2% (9) 1% (5)	5% (13) 1% (3)	1% (1)	6% (5)	2% (2) 3% (3)	1% (3) 1% (2)
15		1% (15)	1% (1)	2% (6)	0% (1)	1% (2)	- 1% (1)		1% (1)	1% (3)
16 I		0% (4) -	1% (1)	0% (1) -	0% (1) 	0% <u>(1)</u> -	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
18	Average Assessment Score	6.76	6.84	6.31	6.33	7.92	6.41	7.08	6.94	7.06
	ons Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each	h row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	uses CAN Assistance are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
G Clients meet HUD de	Chronic (Verified) efinition of Chronic Homelessness	202	6	51	48	50	10	7	10	20
H Clients the	Known Unsheltered at are confirmed to be unsheltered	169	14	11	35	18	5	18	24	44
	Matched/Awarded to or awarded a housing resource	313	29	77	75	34	11	25	29	33
J Active clients who a	Transitional Housing re enrolled in Transitional Housing	88	10	43	9	6	4	0	14	2
	t Time of Assessment re under 25 at time of assessment	26	4	5	11	3	1	0	0	2
	e List: Past 30 Days de active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L Clients w	Newly Added who have never been active before	183	20	52	22	31	12	11	18	17
	leturned from Inactive	34	0	4	7	0	1	6	15	1
	for any reason who are now active to Active List TOTAL	217	20	56	29	31	13	17	33	18
	Active List: Past 30 Day		20	00	23	VI	10	11	55	10
	urned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
	oused - Self-Resolved ad to housing in past 30 days, self-	27	1	4	2	1	1	2	11	5
P Clients returned to I	Housed - PSH housing in past 30 days, with PSH	36	0	23	3	8	0	1	1	0
	Housed - RRH nousing in past 30 days, with RRH	36	3	5	3	3	1	1	19	1
_	Housed - All Other housing in past 30 days, all other	13	0	2	2	3	0	0	3	3
Ononto rotarriou to	oused Outflow subtotal	112	4	34	10	15	2	4	34	9
	ve - Unable to Contact in past 30 days, unable to contact	72	5	58	3	1	0	1	2	2
Inac	ctive - In an Institution re in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	1
	Inactive - Deceased nactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Inactive - All Other in past 30 days, all other reasons	10	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	3
Chonto mado madrivo	Other Outflow subtotal	89	5	61	3	5	2	3	4	6
	om Active List TOTAL	201	9	95	13	20	4	7	38	15
z	NET INFLOW	16	11	-39	16	11	9	10	-5	3
1		-					-		-	Page 4

11/13/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of	Statewide I Families	8%	25%	20%	16%	5%	7%	12%	6%
^		22	72	59	AG	15	21	35	40
		55	118	99	<b>46</b> 92	<b>15</b> 53		90	<b>18</b> 51
Median Days Active			110	99	92	55	00	90	ונ
Assessment Score Distribution (am  Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	0% (1) 2% (6)		- 6% (4)	2% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	- 5% (1)		6% (1) -
3	2% (7)	5% (1)	6% (4) 7% (5)	14% (8)	2% (1) 9% (4)	<u>-</u>	10% (2)	3% (1)	
5	9% (26) 13% (36)	23% (5)	14% (10)	10% (6)	11% (5)	13% (2)	-	17% (6) 23% (8) 17% (6) 11% (4)	6% (1) -
6	15% (42) 14% (40)	23% (5) 36% (8) 9% (2) 23% (5)	8% (6) 11% (8)	10% (6) 17% (10)	13% (6) 13% (6) 13% (6)	20% (3) 13% (2)	14% (3) 24% (5)	17% (6) 11% (4)	22% (4) 17% (3)
8	14% (41)	23% (5)	17% (12)	12% (7) 14% (8)	13% (6)	27% (4)	19% (4)	9% (3)	
9	11% (33) 6% (17)		15% (11) 10% (7)	2% (1) 3% (2)	11% (5) 9% (4)	13% (2)	10% (2) 10% (2)	9% (3) 3% (1)	22% (4) -
11	6% (16) 4% (12)	- 5% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (2) 14% (8)	15% (7)	7% (1) 7% (1)	-	6% (2)	17% (3) 6% (1)
13	2% (5)		1% (1)	2% (1)	4% (2)	-	5% (1)		
14	1% (2) 1% (2)		1% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	- 5% (1)	3% (1)	- 6% (1)
16 <b></b>	1% (2)	-	1% (1)	2% (1)					
18	<u>-</u>		<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>				
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among		6.45	7.13	7.71	7.78	7.80	7.67	6.51	8.11
Clients counted in each row below are currently active of	the BNL, and clie		ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance  Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness		0	3	6	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	l 89	7	21	25	9	1	7	10	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	30	0	13	1	1	0	0	23	1
Youth at Time of Assessmen:  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessmeni	t 54	0	9	10	7	1	2	23	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t	ıl								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	40	4	13	7	5	3	7	4	5
Returned from Inactive		0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	!			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	51	4	13	8	6	3	7	5	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		. the rest 20 days							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved	ıl			_			_		_
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	0
Housed - PSF	ı n	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRF	1 13	3	3	 1	2	0	 2	 1	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	<u> </u>			·					
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		0	2	0	2	0	0	3	0
Housed Outflow subtotal		3	12	1	7	0	4	6	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	t 5	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	3	0	0	0	1	0	 0	 0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons					1			-	
Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL		1	2	1	0	0	<u>0</u> <b>4</b>	0	<u>4</u> 5
z NET INFLOW		0	14 -1	<u>2</u> 6	-2	<u> </u>	3	<u>6</u> -1	0
ZNET INFLOW	_ 0	U	-1	O	-2	J	J	-1	Page 5

Percentage of Statewide All Individuals  Percentage of Statewide All Individuals  7%  23%  27%  14%  5%  5%  7%  14%  5%  7%  14%  5%  7%  14%  5%  7%  14%  5%  7%  14%  5%  7%  14%  5%  7%  116  116  116  117  110  110  110  110	All Individuals	Statewide	Control	Fairfield	Greater	Greater	MANAVAZ	Mouthoost	Couthooot	Waterbury/
Active on BNL   1,710   124   392   461   242   92   79   116   16   Median Days Active   125   131   125   141   124   119   90   53   Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)			Central	Fairtield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Median Days Active   125	_		7%	23%	27%	14%	5%	5%	7%	12%
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	Active on BNL	1,710	124	392	461	242	92	79	116	204
Count of all action requests having used assessment score.	Median Days Active	125	131	125	141	124	119	90	53	203
1		ng active re	ecords)							
Status  Conditions Followed (among active records)   17	0		-	1% (2)						
1	2		3% (4)	6% (25)	5% (24)	2% (4)	3% (3)	- 6% (5)	- 2% (2)	3% (6) 4% (8)
130   171   175			9% (11)	11% (42) 11% (45)	11% (50) 11% (51)	4% (10) 7% (17)	9% (8) 11% (10)	1% (1) 16% (13)	3% (4) 10% (12)	8% (17) 6% (13)
14% (702)   13% (16)   95 (37)   13% (61)   14% (32)   94 (8)   95 (8)   16% (19)	5 1	13% (217)	12% (15)	12% (46)	15% (67)	7% (18) 10% (25)	18% (17)	10% (8)	18% (21) 19% (22)	12% (25) 13% (27)
Section   Sect	7	12% (202)	13% (16)	9% (37)	13% (61)	14% (33)	9% (8)	10% (8)	16% (19)	10% (20) 13% (27)
1	9	3% (133)	11% (14)	7% (27)	6% (28)	12% (28)	5% (5)	8% (6)	6% (7)	9% (18) 7% (15)
1	11 5	5% (91)	5% (6)	5% (21)	5% (22)	9% (22)	2% (2)	5% (4) 6% (5)	3% (3) 1% (1)	6% (12)
1	13 2		1% (1)	2% (7)	2% (7) 2% (8)	5% (12) 5% (13)	2% (2) 1% (1)	5% (4) 5% (4)	3% (3) 3% (3)	3% (7) 2% (4)
16			2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (2)	1% (5)	1% (3) 1% (2)	-	-	2% (2)	3% (7) 2% (4) 1% (3) 1% (2)
Status  Conditions Followed (among active records)	16					0% (1) -	- -			
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	18	6.68	- 7.13	6.19	6.16	7.98	6.27	7.04	6.76	6.96
Refuses CAN Assistance   17					dan and an and the	ala a a sabla a Cara a Cala				
Clients southed here are subject to due diligence policy   Chronic (Verified)   197   6   48   44   51   10   8   10   10								4		
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness   197	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	ь		1 	1	3	3
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered   117	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	197	6	48	44	51	10	8	10	20
Matched/Awarded   261   24   61   64   32   10   21   20		177	16	11	35	18	5	20	24	48
Enrolled in Transitional Housing   Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing   Youth at Time of Assessment   233   19   61   60   38   13   11   13   13   14   13   15   15   16   16   16   16   16   16		261	24	61	64	32	10	21	20	29
Youth at Time of Assessment   Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment   Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	103	16	41	8	15	7	0	14	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days   Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	Youth at Time of Assessment	233	19	61	60	38	13	11	13	18
Newly Added   171   16   51   26   28   10   7   21										
Clients who have never been active before   171   16   51   26   26   10   7   21		past 30 days.								
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active   S9   0   4   0   1   1   9   14     N	Clients who have never been active before	171	16	51	26	28	10	7	21	12
Note   Inflow to Active List TOTAL   210   16   55   34   29   11   16   35		39	0	4	8	1	1	9	14	2
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.   2		210	16	55	34	29	11	16	35	14
Housed - Self-Resolved   25			the next 20 day							
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-   Housed - PSH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   Housed - All Other   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other   S	•				0	0	4	0	44	Г
P   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   Housed - RRH   Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   Housed - All Other   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other   S   Housed Outflow subtotal   95   1   26   9   13   2   1   35     T   Clients made inactive - Unable to Contact   Inactive - In an Institution   6   0   2   0   3   0   0   1   1   3     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   Inactive - In an Institution   6   0   2   0   3   0   0   1     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   Inactive - In an Institution   6   0   2   0   3   0   0   1     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   Inactive - In an Institution   6   0   2   0   3   0   0   1     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   Inactive - In an Institution   6   0   2   0   3   0   0   1     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   Inactive - In an Institution   6   0   2   0   3   0   0   0   1     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   Inactive - In an Institution   6   0   2   0   3   0   0   0   1     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   0   0   0   0   0   0     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0     T   Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	25	1	ა 	2	2	1	U	11	5
Housed - RRH   30   0   5   2   2   1   0   20		31	0	18	3	8	0	1	1	0
Housed - All Other   9   0   0   2   1   0   0   3	Housed - RRH	30	0	5	2	2	1	0	20	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	Housed - All Other	9	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution 6 0 2 0 3 0 0 1				26		13	2	1		8
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	Inactive - Unable to Contact				-			1		1
U  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	Inactive - In an Institution									 0
Inactive - Deceased 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	Inactive - Deceased								· 	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Legacitive A II Others								· 		
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									2
x         Other Outflow subtotal         86         4         61         4         5         2         3         5           Y         Outflow from Active List TOTAL         181         5         87         13         18         4         4         40										<u>/</u> 10
z NET INFLOW 29 11 -32 21 11 7 12 -5										4

11/13/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Families (No		9%	27%	22%	17%	6%	8%	5%	7%
Active on BNL	240	22	64	52	41	14	19	12	16
Median Days Active	83	55	118	99	84	52	60	62	44
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re							V-	• •
1	- 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	6% (1)
2	3% (6)	-	6% (4)	2% (1)			5% (1)		
	2% (5) 7% (17)	5% (1) -	6% (4) 8% (5)	- 10% (5)	- 7% (3)	<u>-</u>	- 11% (2)	- 17% (2)	<u>-</u>
5	12% (29)	23% (5)	16% (10)	12% (6)	12% (5)	14% (2)	-	8% (1)	
	14% (33) 15% (36)	23% (5) 36% (8) 9% (2) 23% (5)	6% (4) 11% (7)	10% (5) 19% (10)	15% (6)	14% (2) 14% (2)	11% (2) 26% (5)	17% (2) 8% (1)	25% (4) 19% (3)
	15% (37)	23% (5)	14% (9)	13% (7)	15% (6)	29% (4)	21% (4)	8% (1) 17% (2)	
10	11% (26) 6% (14)	- -	16% (10) 9% (6)	13% (7) 12% (6) 2% (1)	12% (5) 15% (6) 15% (6) 15% (6) 10% (4) 7% (3)	14% (2)	11% (2) 11% (2)	8% (1) -	19% (3) -
	6% (15) 5% (11)	- 5% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (2) 13% (7)	15% (6)	7% (1) 7% (1)	-	17% (2)	19% (3) 6% (1)
13	2% (5)		2% (1)	2% (1)	5% (2)		5% (1)		
	1% (2) 0% (1)		2% (1)					8% <u>(1)</u>	- 6% (1)
16	1% (2)	<del>-</del>	2% (1)	2% (1)					
17	- -	<del>-</del>							
Average Assessment Score	7.49	6.45	7.05	7.83	7.83	7.93	7.37	7.75	8.31
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the control of the counted in each row below are currently active on the counted in each row below are currently active on the counter of			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	3	5	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	78	7	18	20	8	1	6	10	8
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	1
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	6	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	44	4	13	7	5	3	6	1	5
Returned from Inactive  Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	4	13	7	5	3	6	2	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as lnact		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	3	2	1	1	0	1	1	1
Housed - All Other  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	26	3	10	1	5	0	3	3	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Other Outflow subtotal	8	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4
									_
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	34 11	4	11	2	<u>6</u> -1	0	3	<u>3</u> -1	5

	Families (Youth)				Greater	Greater				Waterbury/
ı	•	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
	Percentage of S	statewide s (Youth)	00/	17%	15%	10%	2%	4%	48%	4%
A	Active on BNL	48	0% <b>0</b>	8	7			2	23	
B	Median Days Active	109	U	120	<i>r</i>	5 103	<b>1</b> 104	32	<b>23</b> 144	<b>2</b> 74
٦	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)	120	144	103	104	32	144	74
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	0 1	- -			<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>			
		- 4% (2)		<del>-</del>		20% (1)			- 4% (1)	
		19% (9) 15% (7)			43% (3)	20% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	17% (4) 30% (7)	50% (1)
		19% (9) 8% (4)	-	25% (2) 13% (1)	14% (1) -	-	100% (1) -	50% (1) -	17% (4) 13% (3)	-
	8	8% (4) 15% (7)		38% (3) 13% (1)	- 29% (2)	20% (1)			4% (1) 9% (2)	- 50% (1)
	10	6% (3) 2% (1)		13% (1)		20% (1) 20% (1)			4% (1)	
		2% (1)			14% (1)					
	14	- - 2% (1)	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>		<u>-</u>	50% (1)	<u> </u>	
	16 17	- (1)	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>		<u>-</u>	JU /0 (1) -	<u> </u>	<del>-</del>
F	17 18 Average Assessment Score	6.71	- - -	7.75	6.86	7.40	6.00	10.50	5.87	6.50
Ī	Status/Conditions Followed (among			1.15	0.00	7.40	6.00	10.50	5.01	6.50
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	11	0	3	5	1	0	1	0	1
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	26	0	2	0	1	0	0	23	0
*K	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1
- 1	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
ļ	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	6	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	0
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
-	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved									
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
u Q	Housed - RRH	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
ľ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	2	0	0	 0	0	0	0	2	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other  Housed Outflow subtotal	8	0	2	0	2	0	1	3	0
٦	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	9 -3	0	-3	0	<u>2</u> -1	0	1	3	0
Z	NEI INFLOW	-ა	0	-ა	1	-7	U	0	0	0

11/13/2018 FTT BNL REPORT				Greater	Greater		Contact bea	au.anderson@ct.g	Waterbury/
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage of S			27%	24%	470/				
A Individual	s (Youth)	7%	21 70	2470	17%	6%	5%	6%	8%
Active on BNL	213	15	57	52	37	12	11	13	16
c Median Days Active	83	139	92	58	134	92	57	47	190
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
O	-					-	-		
2	2% (4) 1% (3)	<del>-</del>	4% (2) 4% (2)	2% (1) -	3% (1) -	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	6% (1) 19% (3)
3	5% (11) 8% (18)	<u>-</u> -	9% (5) 9% (5)	4% (2) 4% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1)	- 17% (2)	- 18% (2)	- 38% (5)	19% (3) 6% (1)
5	13% (28) 17% (37)	13% (2) 7% (1)	12% (7) 19% (11)	4% (2) 23% (12) 21% (11)	3% (1) 14% (5)	8% (1) 25% (3)	9% (1) 27% (3)	15% (2) 8% (1)	6% (1) 13% (2) 13% (2)
8	14% (29) 13% (27)	13% (2)	7% (4)	21% (11)	19% (7)	25% (3) 8% (1) 25% (3)	9% (1)	23% (3)	-
9	11% (24) 5% (10)	7% (1) 33% (5) 13% (2)	16% (9) 16% (9)	13% (7) 8% (4)	16% (6) 8% (3) 11% (4)	-	18% (2)	8% (1)	6% (1) 13% (2)
11	4% (9)		2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	8% (3) 8% (3)	8% (1)	18% (2)	<u>-</u> 	6% (1)
13	2% (5) 3% (6)	7% (1)	2% (1)	<del>-</del>	5% (3) 5% (2)	8% (1) -		- 8% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)
14	1% (2) -	7% (1) -						<u>-</u>	6% (1) -
16 17	-		<u> </u>	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>			
E Average Assessment Score	6.93	- 8.67	6.26	6.37	8.16	7.08	- 7.27	6.08	7.06
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance	1	nts may be counte	•	depending on the					
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	5	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	ļ			· 					
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	9	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	4
Matched/Awarded	26	2	2	9	6	0	2	1	4
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	6	9	0	 9	3	0	0	1
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	20	0	9		9	ა 	<u> </u>		 
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months  *K Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	20	1	5	7	2	0	1	3	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	32	0	12	11	2	1	2	4	0
Returned from Inactive	6	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	0	12	12	3	1	5		1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		U	12	14	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	4	1
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		<u> </u>	^	^					^
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	0	0	1 	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH  Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Housed - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	-	0				0	0		0
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	9		2	0	3			4	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	1 	2	0	0	0	1 	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	·	·		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	·		·
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	14	0	3	2	4	0	0	5	0
z NET INFLOW	24	0	9	10	-1	1	5	-1	<b>1</b> Page 9

Individuals (Non-Youth)	<b>2</b>			Greater	Greater			ad.anderson@et.g	Waterbury/
Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Individuals (No		7%	22%	27%	14%	5%	5%	7%	13%
B Active on BNL	1,497	109	335	409	205	80	68	103	188
c Median Days Active	133	130	127	153	123	122	94	55	203
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score  0	0% (2)	_	1% (2)						
1	2% (36) 5% (72)	2% (2) 4% (4)	3% (11) 7% (23)	3% (13) 6% (24)	1% (3) 2% (4)	1% (1) 4% (3)	- 7% (5)	- 2% (2)	3% (6) 4% (7)
3	9% (132)	10% (11) 10% (11)	11% (37) 12% (40)	12% (48)	4% (9) 8% (16)	10% (8) 10% (8)	7% (5) 1% (1) 16% (11)	2% (2) 4% (4) 7% (7)	7% (14) 6% (12)
5	10% (154) 13% (189)	12% (13)	12% (40) 12% (39) 15% (49)	6% (24) 12% (48) 12% (49) 13% (55) 13% (52)	8% (17) 10% (20)	20% (16)	10% (7)	18% (19)	12% (23) 13% (25)
7	13% (200) 12% (173)	7% (8) 13% (14)	10% (33)	13% (52) 12% (50) 8% (34)	10% (20) 13% (26) 8% (17)	21% (17) 9% (7)	12% (8) 10% (7)	20% (21) 16% (16) 16% (16)	13% (25) 11% (20) 14% (26)
9	10% (147) 7% (109)	13% (14) 8% (9) 7% (8)	8% (26) 5% (18) 6% (21) 6% (20) 1% (2)	8% (34) 6% (24)	8% (17) 12% (25) 11% (23)	9% (7) 5% (4) 6% (5) 9% (7) 1% (1)	15% (10) 9% (6)	16% (16) 6% (6)	9% (16)
	6% (97) 5% (82)	6% (6)	6% (21) 6% (20)	6% (24) 4% (18) 5% (21) 2% (7) 2% (8)	11% (23) 9% (19)	9% (7) 1% (1)	9% (6) 3% (2) 4% (3) 6% (4)	6% (6) 3% (3) 1% (1)	8% (15) 6% (11)
	3% (38) 2% (35)	6% (6) -	2% (6)	2% (7) 2% (8)	9% (19) 4% (9) 5% (11)	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (4) 6% (4)	3% (3) 2% (2)	3% (6) 2% (3)
14	1% (15) 1% (14)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (2) 2% (6)	1% (5) 0% (1)	1% (3) 1% (2)	1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)	3% (6) 2% (3) 1% (2) 1% (2)
	0% (2)	1% (1)	- - - - - - -		0% (1)	- - -		-	
E Average Assessment Score	6.64	6.92	6.17	6.14	7.94	6.15	7.00	6.84	6.95
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)					7.00	0.0 r	0.00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	192	6	48	43	49	10	6	10	20
Known Unsheltered  H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	168	14	10	35	18	5	18	24	44
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	235	22	59	55	26	10	19	19	25
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	75	10	32	8	6	4	0	14	1
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	4	4	8	1	1	0	0	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	,								
Clients who have never been active before	139	16	39	15 	26	9	5	17 	12 
Returned from Inactive  M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	33	0	4	7	0	1	6	14	1
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	172	16	43	22	26	10	11	31	13
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the nast 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	22	the past 50 days		2	^	1	0	10	E
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		 	3	2	0	1 	·	1U 	5 
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	30	0	18	3	7	0	1	1	0
Housed - RRH  Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	26	0	3	2	2	1	0	18	0
Housed - All Other  R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	3
s Housed Outflow subtotal	86	1	24	9	10	2	1	31	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact  T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	68	4	57	2	1	0	1	2	1
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution  U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	0
Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased  Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	 1
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons x Other Outflow subtotal	81	4	60	2	4	2	3	4	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	167	5	84	11	14	4	4	35	10
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	5	11	-41	11	12	6	7	-4	3

I	Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Α		vide BNL	13%	51.70	14%		12%	2%	11%	
В	Active on BNL	1,998	261	1737	288	1710	240	48	213	1497
С	Median Days Active	119	88	124	89	125	83	109	83	133
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
	0	0% (2) 2% (41)	- 2% (4)	0% (2)	- 0% (1)	0% (2)		<u>-</u>	- 2% (4)	0% <u>(</u> 2) 2% (36) 5% (72)
	2	4% (81)	1% (3)	0% (2) 2% (37) 4% (78) 8% (137)	0% (1) 2% (6) 2% (7)	0% (2) 2% (40) 4% (75) 8% (143)	0% (1) 3% (6)	- 40/ /2)	1% (3) 5% (11)	5% (72)
	4	8% (150) 10% (198)	5% (13) 10% (27)	10% (171)	9% (26) 13% (36)	10% (172) 13% (217)	7% (17)	4% (2) 19% (9)	8% (18) 13% (28)	9% (132) 10% (154) 13% (189)
	6	13% (253) 14% (279)	13% (35) 18% (46) 13% (33)	13% (218) 13% (233) 12% (209)	13% (36) 15% (42)	14% (237)	2% (5) 7% (17) 12% (29) 14% (33) 15% (36) 15% (37) 11% (26)	19% (9) 15% (7) 19% (9) 8% (4) 8% (4) 15% (7) 6% (3) 2% (1) 2% (1)	13% (28) 17% (37) 14% (29)	13% (200)
	8	12% (242) 11% (215)	13% (33) 12% (31)	12% (209) 11% (184) 8% (135)	15% (39) 15% (42) 14% (40) 14% (41) 11% (33) 6% (17) 6% (16) 4% (12) 2% (5) 1% (2)	12% (202) 10% (174) 8% (133)	15% (36) 15% (37)	8% (4) 8% (4)	14% (29) 13% (27) 11% (24)	12% (173) 10% (147)
		8% (166) 6% (124)	12% (31) 5% (13)	8% (135) 6% (111)	11% (33) 6% (17)	6% (107)	11% (26) 6% (14)	15% (7) 6% (3)	5% (10)	10% (147) 7% (109) 6% (97)
	12	5% (107) 3% (55)	13% (33) 12% (31) 12% (31) 5% (13) 4% (10) 2% (6) 2% (6) 1% (2)	6% (111) 6% (97) 3% (49) 2% (40) 1% (17)	6% (16) 4% (12)	5% (91) 3% (43) 2% (41) 1% (17)	6% (14) 6% (15) 5% (11) 2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (9) 2% (5) 3% (6) 1% (2)	5% (82) 3% (38)
	13	2% (46) 1% (19)	2% (6) 1% (2)	2% (40) 1% (17)	2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (41) 1% (17)	2% (5) 1% (2)		3% (6) 1% (2)	2% (35) 1% (15)
	15	1% (16) 0% (4)	0% (1)	1% (15) 0% (4)	1% (2) 1% (2)	1% (14) 0% (2)	0% (1) 1% (2)	2% (1)		1% (14) 0% (2)
	17	-		<u>-</u>		- 				
Ε	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.78	6.89	6.76	7.36	6.68	7.49	6.71	6.93	6.64
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	17
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	208	6	202	11	197	10	1	5	192
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	178	9	169	1	177	1	0	9	168
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	350	37	313	89	261	78	11	26	235
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	142	54	88	39	103	13	26	28	75
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	287	261	26	54	233	6	48	213	20
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	219	36	183	48	171	44	4	32	139
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	42	8	34	3	39	1	2	6	33
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	261	44	217	51	210	45	6	38	172
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		the post 20 d							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				<u> </u>	25	Г	າ	ຳ	20
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	33	6	27	8	25	5	3	3	22
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	37	1 	36	6	31	6	0	1 	30
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	43	7	36	13	30	10	3	4	26
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	16	3	13	7	9	5	2	1	8
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	129	17	112	34	95	26	8	9	86
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	77	5	72 	5	72	4	1	4	68
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	1	6	1	6	1	0	1	5
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	10	0	10	3	7	3	0	0	7
X	Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	95 <b>224</b>	6	89	9	86	8	1	5	81
Y 7	NET INFLOW	37	23 21	201 16	43 8	181 29	34 11	<u>9</u> -3	14 24	167 5
4	ALI INI LOW	VI		10	U	23	'''	-0	<u> </u>	Page 11

11/13/2018 FYI BNL Report	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		ov with questions Individuals	
Central CAN	All Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Yout
Perce	entage of		90%		85%		, ,	,	75%
	tral CAN	10%		15%		15%	0%	10%	
Active on BNL	146	15	131	22	124	22	0	15	109
Median Days Active	119	139	113	55	131	55	-	139	130
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
1	- 1% (2)		2% (2)	<u>-</u>	2% (2)				- 2% (2)
2	3% (4)		3% (4)		3% (4)				4% (4)
	8% (12) 8% (11)	<u>-</u>	9% (12) 8% (11)	5% (1)	9% (11) 9% (11) 12% (15) 7% (9)	5% (1) -		<u> </u>	10% (11) 10% (11)
5	14% (20)	13% (2)	14% (18)	23% (5) 36% (8)	12% (15)	23% (5)		13% (2)	12% (13) 7% (8)
	12% (17) 12% (18)	7% (1) 13% (2)	12% (16) 12% (16)	36% (8) 9% (2)	7% (9) 13% (16)	23% (5) 36% (8) 9% (2)	<u>-</u>	<u>/% (1)</u> 13% (2)	7% (8) 13% (14)
8	14% (20)	13% (2) 7% (1) 33% (5)	15% (19)	23% (5)	13% (16) 12% (15) 11% (14)	23% (5)	-	7% (1) 13% (2) 7% (1) 33% (5)	13% (14) 13% (14) 8% (9) 7% (8)
	10% (14) 7% (10)	33% (5) 13% (2)	14% (18) 12% (16) 12% (16) 15% (19) 7% (9) 6% (8)	<u>-</u>	11% (14) 8% (10)			33% (5) 13% (2)	8% (9) 7% (8)
11	4% (6)		5% (6)		8% (10) 5% (6)	-			6% (6) 6% (6)
	5% (7) 1% (1)	7% (1)	5% (7) -	5% (1) -	5% (6) 1% (1)	5% (1) -		- 7% (1)	-
14	1% (2)	7% (1)	1% (1)	-	2% (2) 1% (1)	-	-	7% (1)	1% (1)
	1% (1) 1% (1)		1% (1) 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	1% (1) 1% (1)				1% (1) 1% (1)
17	-			-	-	-	-	-	
Average Assessment Score	7.03	8.67	6.84	6.45	7.13	6.45	-	8.67	6.92
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	active reco		ted in multiple rows	denending on the	eir combination of o	rircumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)	'		·		' 				' 
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	16	2	14	0	16	0	0	2	14
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	31	2	29	7	24	7	0	2	22
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	6	10	0	16	0	0	6	10
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	19	15	4	0	 19	0	0	 15	4
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	10	10	<u>'</u>		10			10	<u>'</u>
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.			T		<u> </u>			
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	20	0	20	4	16	4	0	0	16
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	0	20	4	16	4	0	0	16
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	tive on the BNL in			_				•	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	l 	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	3	0	3	0	0	0
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	0	9	4	5	4	0	0	5
NET INFLOW	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11

Fairfield County CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	•
Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	14%	86%	16%	84%	14%		12%	72%
A Fairfield Cou							2%		
Active on BNL	464	65	399	72	392	64	8	57	335
Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (amo	124	99	127	118	125	118	120	92	127
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus)							
0	0% (2) 3% (13)	3% (2)	1% (2) 3% (11)	<u>-</u>	1% (2) 3% (13) 6% (25)		<u>-</u>	4% (2)	1% (2) 3% (11)
2	6% (29) 10% (46)	3% (2) 8% (5)	7% (27) 10% (41)	6% (4) 6% (4)	6% (25) 11% (42)	6% (4) 6% (4)		4% (2)	7% (23) 11% (37)
4	11% (50)	8% (5)	11% (45)	7% (5)	11% (45)	8% (5)		9% (5) 9% (5)	12% (40)
6	12% (56) 14% (66)	11% (7) 20% (13)	12% (49) 13% (53)	14% (10) 8% (6)	12% (46) 15% (60)	16% (10) 6% (4)	- 25% (2)	12% (7) 19% (11)	12% (39) 15% (49)
7	10% (45) 10% (47)	8% (5) 18% (12)	10% (40) 9% (35)	11% (8) 17% (12) 15% (11) 10% (7)	9% (37) 9% (35)	11% (7) 14% (9)	13% (1) 38% (3)	7% (4) 16% (9)	10% (33) 8% (26)
9	8% (38) 6% (29)	15% (10) 3% (2)	7% (28)	15% (11)	7% (27)	16% (10)	13% (1) 13% (1)	16% (9) 2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (18) 6% (21)
11	5% (22)	2% (1)	9% (35) 7% (28) 7% (27) 5% (21) 1% (3)	1% (1)	7% (27) 6% (22) 5% (21)	11% (9) 16% (10) 9% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	- 13/6 (1)	2% (1)	6% (20)
13	1% (3) 2% (8)	- 2% (1)	1% (3) 2% (7)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (2) 2% (7)	2% (1) 2% (1)		- 2% (1)	1% (2) 2% (6)
14	1% (3) 1% (6)		1% (3) 2% (6)	1% (1)	1% (2) 2% (6)	2% (1)			6% (20) 1% (2) 2% (6) 1% (2) 2% (6)
16	0% (1)		0% (1)	1% (1)		2% (1)			
17 18  Average Assessment Score	6.33	6.45	6.31	7.13	6.19	7.05	7.75	6.26	6.17
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.31	7.13	0.19	7.05	7.75	0.20	6.17
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be count	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	51	0	51	3	48	3	0	0	48
Known Unsheltered  H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12	1	11	1	11	1	0	1	10
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	82	5	77	21	61	18	3	2	59
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	54	11	43	13	41	11	2	9	32
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	70	65	5	9	61	1	8	57	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	64	12	52	13	51	13	0	12	39
Returned from Inactive  M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	68	12	56	13	55	13	0	12	43
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	1	4	2	3	1	1	0	3
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	23	0	23	5	18	5	0	0	18
Housed - RRH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	3	5	3	5	2	1	2	3
Housed - All Other  R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	38	4	34	12	26	10	2	2	24
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	60	2	58	2	58	1	1	1	57
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	63	2	61	2	61	1	1	1	60
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	101	6	95	14	87	11	-3	3	84
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	-33	6	-39	-1	-32	2	-১	9	<b>-41</b> Page 13

11/13/2018 FYI BNL Report								au.anderson@ct.	
Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 89%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	11%	09 /0	11%	0970	10%		10%	1 5 /6
Greater Hart							1%		
Active on BNL	520	59	461	59	461	52	7	52	409
Median Days Active		62	144	99	141	99	144	58	153
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	<del>.</del>	l -		_		_			
1 2	3% (14)	2% (1)	3% (13) 5% (25)		3% (14) 5% (24)			2% (1)	3% (13)
3	5% (25) 10% (50)	3% (2)	10% (48) 12% (54)	<u>2% (1)</u> -	11% (50)	2% (1) -	<u>-</u>	4% (2) 4% (2)	6% (24) 12% (48) 12% (49)
4	11% (59) 14% (73)	8% (5) 20% (12)	12% (54) 13% (61)	14% (8) 10% (6)	11% (51) 15% (67)	10% (5) 12% (6)	43% (3)	4% (2) 23% (12)	12% (49) 13% (55)
6	13% (69)	20% (12) 20% (12)	12% (57)	100/. (6)	14% (63)	10% (5)	14% (1)	23% (12) 21% (11)	13% (55) 13% (52)
8	14% (71) 9% (48)	19% (11) 12% (7)	12% (34) 13% (61) 12% (57) 13% (60) 9% (41) 7% (30) 4% (19) 5% (23)	17% (10) 12% (7) 14% (8) 2% (1) 3% (2)	13% (61) 14% (63) 13% (61) 9% (41) 6% (28) 4% (19) 5% (22) 2% (7) 2% (8)	12% (0) 10% (5) 19% (10) 13% (7) 12% (6) 2% (1) 4% (2)		21% (11) 13% (7) 8% (4)	12% (50) 8% (34) 6% (24)
9	7% (36) 4% (20)	12% (7) 10% (6) 2% (1)	7% (30) 4% (19)	14% (8) 2% (1)	6% (28) 4% (19)	12% (6) 2% (1)	29% (2)	8% (4) 2% (1)	6% (24) 4% (18)
11	5% (24)	2% (1)	5% (23)	3% (2)	5% (22)	4% (2)		2% (1)	4% (18) 5% (21)
12	3% (15) 2% (9)	<u>2% (1)</u> -	2% (14) 2% (9)	14% (8) 2% (1)	2% (7) 2% (8)	13% (7) 2% (1)	14% (1) -		2% (7) 2% (8) 1% (5)
14 15	1% (5) 0% (1)		1% (5) 0% (1)	-	1% (5) 0% (1)	-	-		1% (5) 0% (1)
16	0% (1)		0% (1)	2% (1)		2% (1)	<del>-</del>		
17	-	- -		- -		- -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	
Average Assessment Score	6.34	6.42	6.33	7.71	6.16	7.83	6.86	6.37	6.14
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			tod in multiple rows	dononding on the	oir combination of a	piraumatanaaa			
Refuses CAN Assistance									
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Chronic (Verified)	50	2	48	6	44	5	1	1	43
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	<del> </del>								
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	35	0	35	0	35	0	0	0	35
Matched/Awarded	89	14	75	25	64	20	5	9	55
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	<u> </u>	17			04				
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
Youth at Time of Assessment	70		44	40	<u></u>	2	7	۲0	
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	70	59	11	10	60	3	7	52	8
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	33	11	22	7	26	7	0	11	15
Returned from Inactive	9	2	 7	1	8	0	1	1	 7
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	13	29	8	34	7	1	12	22
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the pact 20 days	2						
Housed - Self-Resolved							-		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	ļ	 							
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Housed - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		_		-					
Housed Outflow subtotal	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	2	3	1	4	1	0	2	2
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	ļ	ļ			U	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	·
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other			^		^	^	^	^	^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	5	2	3	1	4	1	0	2	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	15	2	13	2	13	2	0	2	11
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	27	11	16	6	21	5	1	10	11

11/13/2016 FIT BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Greater New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		85%		84%				71%
Greater New Ha	•	15%		16%		14%	2%	13%	
Active on BNL	288	42	246	46	242	41	5	37	205
c Median Days Active	118	128	116	92	124	84	103	134	123
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.	_	_	_			_			_
	1% (4) 1% (4)	2% (1)	1% (3)		2% (4)			3% (1)	1% (3) 2% (4) 4% (9)
3	4% (11)	5% (2)	2% (4) 4% (9)	2% (1)	2% (4) 4% (10)		20% (1)	3% (1)	4% (9)
5	7% (21) 8% (23)	5% (2) 2% (1)	8% (19) 9% (22)	9% (4) 11% (5)	7% (17) 7% (18)	7% (3) 12% (5)	20% (1) -	3% (1) 3% (1)	8% (16) 8% (17)
6	11% (31) 14% (39)	12% (5) 17% (7)	11% (26)	13% (6) 13% (6)	10% (25) 14% (33)	7% (3) 12% (5) 15% (6) 15% (6) 15% (6) 10% (4) 7% (3) 15% (6)	<u>-</u>	14% (5) 19% (7)	10% (20) 13% (26)
8	10% (29)	14% (6) 10% (4) 12% (5) 10% (4)	13% (32) 9% (23) 12% (29)	13% (6) 11% (5) 9% (4)	10% (23) 12% (28) 11% (27)	15% (6)	-	16% (6) 8% (3)	8% (17) 12% (25)
10	11% (33) 11% (31)	10% (4)	11% (29) 11% (26) 10% (25)	9% (4)	12% (28)	7% (3)	20% (1) 20% (1)	11% (4)	11% (23)
	10% (29) 4% (12)	10% (4) 7% (3)	4% (9)	15% (7) -	9% (22) 5% (12) 5% (13) 1% (3)	15% (6) -	20% (1)	8% (3) 8% (3)	9% (19) 4% (9)
13	5% (15) 1% (3)	5% (2)	5% (13) 1% (3)	4% (2)	5% (13)	5% (2)		5% (2)	5% (11) 1% (3) 1% (2)
15	1% (2)		1% (2)	<del>-</del>	1% (2)		<del>-</del>		1% (2)
17	0% (1) -		0% (1) -		0% (1) -				0% (1) -
E Average Assessment Score	7.94	- 8.07	- 7.92	- 7.78	- 7.98	- 7.83	- 7.40	- 8.16	- 7.94
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		nts may be count	ed in multiple rows						
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	52	2	50	1	51	1	0	2	49
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered									
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	0	18	0	18	0	0	0	18
Matched/Awarded	41	7	34	9	32	8	1	6	26
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	10	6	1	15	0	1	9	6
Youth at Time of Assessment	45	42	3	7	38	2	5	37	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	33	2	31	5	28	5	0	2	26
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	35	4	31	6	29	5	1	3	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		the post 20 de							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact  Housed - Self-Resolved					^				^
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	3	1 	2	2	1	1	2	0
Housed - PSH	9	1	8	1	8	1	0	1	7
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	A	4			·	4	4		·
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4 	1 	3	2	2	1 	l 	0	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
s Housed Outflow subtotal	20	5	15	7	13	5	2	3	10
Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact									·
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		ļ							
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
x Other Outflow subtotal	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	1	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	6	20	8	18	6	2	4	14
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	9	-2	11	-2	11	-1	-1	-1	<b>12</b> Page 15

MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of MW CAN	12%	0070	14%	0070	13%	1%	11%	7370
B Active on BNL	107	13	94	15	92	14	1	12	80
c Median Days Active	117	104	118	53	119	52	104	92	122
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
O COURT OF AN ACTIVE FECUNDS HAVING EACH ASSESSMENT SCORE	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
2	1% (1) 3% (3)		1% (1) 3% (3)		1% (1) 3% (3)	- -	<u>-</u>		1% (1) 4% (3) 10% (8)
3	7% (8) 9% (10)	- 15% (2)	9% (8) 9% (8) 19% (18)		9% (8) 11% (10)		<u>-</u>	- 17% (2)	10% (8)
5	18% (19) 21% (23)	8% (1) 31% (4) 8% (1)	19% (18) 20% (19) 10% (9)	13% (2) 20% (3) 13% (2)	18% (17) 22% (20) 9% (8)	14% (2) 14% (2)	100% (1)	8% (1) 25% (3) 8% (1)	20% (16) 21% (17)
7 8	9% (10) 10% (11)	8% (1) 23% (3)	9% (8)	13% (2) 27% (4)	8% (7)	14% (2) 29% (4)	<u>-</u>	8% (1) 25% (3)	20% (16) 21% (17) 9% (7) 5% (4) 6% (5)
9	5% (5) 8% (9)		5% (5) 10% (9)	- 13% (2)	5% (5) 8% (7)	-			6% (5) 9% (7)
11 12	3% (3) 3% (3)	8% (1) 8% (1)	2% (2) 2% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	2% (2) 2% (2)	14% (2) 7% (1) 7% (1)		8% (1) 8% (1)	9% (7) 1% (1) 1% (1)
13	1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1) -				1% (1)
15	1% (1) -		1% (1)		1% (1) -				1% (1) -
17 18	- -			-	-				-
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.49	7.00 rds)	6.41	7.80	6.27	7.93	6.00	7.08	6.15
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Known Unsheltered  Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Matched/Awarded	11	0	11	1	10	1	0	0	10
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	14	13	1	1	13	0	1	12	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T							
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	13	1	12	3	10	3	0	1	9
Returned from Inactive  M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	14	1	13	3	11	3	0	1	10
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the nast 30 day	c						
Housed - Self-Resolved	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	 0	0	0	0	' 0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	1	0	 1	0	1	0	0	0 0	1
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									·
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
X Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
z NET INFLOW	10	1	9	3	7	3	0	1	<b>6</b> Page 16

11/13/2016 FTI BNL Repoli	AII	AH	AH	AII	AH	F		eau.anderson@ct.	<u> </u>
Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		87%		79%	,	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	68%
	east CAN	13%		21%		19%	2%	11%	
Active on BNL	100	13	87	21	79	19	2	11	68
c Median Days Active	73	39	82	56	90	60	32	57	94
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		•							
1	-	-					<u>-</u>	- - -	
	6% (6) 1% (1)	<u>-</u> -	7% (6) 1% (1)	5% (1) -	6% (5) 1% (1)	<u>5% (1)</u> -	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	7% (5) 1% (1)
4	15% (15) 8% (8)	15% (2) 8% (1)	15% (13) 8% (7)	10% (2)	16% (13) 10% (8)	11% (2)		18% (2) 9% (1)	16% (11) 10% (7)
6	14% (14)	31% (4) 8% (1)	11% (10)	14% (3)	14% (11)	11% (2)	50% (1)	27% (3)	12% (8) 10% (7)
8	13% (13) 14% (14)	8% (1) -	14% (12) 16% (14)	24% (5) 19% (4)	10% (8) 13% (10)	26% (5) 21% (4) 11% (2)	<u>-</u>	9% (1)	10% (7) 15% (10)
	8% (8) 6% (6)	- 15% (2)	9% (8) 5% (4)	10% (2) 10% (2)	13% (10) 8% (6) 5% (4) 6% (5)	11% (2) 11% (2)		18% (2)	15% (10) 9% (6) 3% (2) 4% (3) 6% (4) 6% (4)
11	5% (5) 4% (4)	15% (2) 15% (2)	3% (3) 5% (4)		6% (5) 5% (4)		<u>-</u>	18% (2)	4% (3)
13	5% (5)	<del>-</del>	6% (5)	5% (1)	5% (4)	5% (1)		<u>-</u>	6% (4)
14	- 1% (1)	- 8% (1)		- 5% (1)			50% (1)		-
16	- -	-		-				-	
E Average Assessment Score	- 7.17	- 7.77	7.08	7.67	7.04	7.37	10.50	7.27	7.00
Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.00	1.01	7.04	1.51	10.30	1.21	7.00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	2	7	1	8	1	0	2	6
Known Unsheltered	20	2	 18	0	20	0	0	2	18
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	28	3	 25	7	21	 6	1	2	 19
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	 13	13	0	2	 11	0	2	 11	0 0
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	10	0		- ''			- ''	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.								
Newly Added	14	3	11	7	7	6	1	2	5
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	9	3	 6	0	9	0	0	3	6
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	23		-	7	16	•	-		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		6	17	/	10	6	1	5	11
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inaci		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days self-	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	1	0	 1	0	 1	0	0	0	 1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	2	 1	 1	 2	' 0	1	 1	0	 0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	1	4	4	1	3	1	0	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1 
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
x Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	1	7	4	4	3	1	0	4
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	15	5	10	3	12	3	0	5	<b>7</b> Page 17

Southeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of	Toutil	76%	i aiiiiies	77%	(NOII-TOULII)	(Touti)	(Toutil)	68%
South	east CAN	24%		23%		8%	15%	9%	
Active on BNL	151	36	115	35	116	12	23	13	103
Median Days Active	62	95	56	90	53	62	144	47	55
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	-							<u> </u>	<u></u>
2	1% (2) 3% (5)	- 20/. (1)	2% (2) 3% (4)	3% (1)	2% (2) 3% (4)	<u>-</u>	- 40/. (1)		2% (2) 4% (4) 7% (7)
4	12% (18)	3% (1) 25% (9)	8% (9)	17% (6)	10% (12)	17% (2) 8% (1)	4% (1) 17% (4)	38% (5)	7% (7)
6	19% (29) 19% (28)	25% (9) 14% (5)	20% (23)	23% (8) 17% (6)	18% (21) 19% (22)	17% (2)	30% (7) 17% (4)	15% (2) 8% (1)	18% (19) 20% (21)
8	15% (23) 13% (19)	17% (6) 3% (1)	17% (20) 20% (23) 15% (17) 16% (18)	11% (4) 9% (3)	16% (19) 14% (16)	8% (1) 17% (2)	13% (3) 4% (1)	23% (3) -	16% (16) 16% (16)
9	7% (10) 3% (4)	8% (3) 3% (1)	6% (7) 3% (3)	9% (3) 9% (3) 3% (1) 6% (2)	6% (7) 3% (3)	8% (1) -	13% (3) 4% (1) 9% (2) 4% (1)	8% <u>(1)</u>	6% (6) 3% (3)
11 12	2% (3) 2% (3)		3% (3) 3% (3)	6% (2)	1% (1) 3% (3)	17% (2)			1% (1)
13	2% (3)	3% (1)	2% (2) 3% (3)		3% (3)		<u>-</u>	8% (1)	2% (2)
15	2% (3) 1% (1)		3% (3) 1% (1)	3% (1) -	2% (2) 1% (1)	8% (1) 	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	6% (6) 3% (3) 1% (1) 3% (3) 2% (2) 2% (2) 1% (1)
16 17	-				<u>-</u>				
Average Assessment Score	6.70	- 5.94	6.94	6.51	6.76	- 7.75	5.87	6.08	6.84
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	10	0	10	0	10	0	 0	0	10
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	24	0	24	0	24	0	0	0	24
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	30	1	29	10	20	10	0	1	19
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	37	23	14	23	14	0	23	0	14
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	36	36	0	23	13	0	23	13	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	o part 20 days								
Newly Added	25	7	18	4	21	1	3	4	17
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive						·			
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	15	0	15	1	14	1	0	0	14
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	40	7	33	5	35	2	3	4	31
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	2	11	2	11	1	1	1	10
Housed - PSH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	21	2	19	1	20	1	0	2	18
Housed - All Other  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	3	3	3	3	1	2	1	2
Housed Outflow subtotal	41	7	34	6	35	3	3	4	31
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	46	8	38	6	40	3	3	5	35
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	-6	-1	-5	-1	-5	-1	0	-1	<b>-4</b> Page 18

11/13/2016 FIT BNL REPOR	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		92%		92%	( )	( 222 /	( 222 )	85%
Waterbury Litchf	•	8%		8%		7%	1%	7%	
B Active on BNL		40	204	40	20.4				400
	<b>222</b> 195	<b>18</b> 162	<b>204</b> 195	<b>18</b> 51	<b>204</b> 203	<b>16</b> 44	<b>2</b> 74	<b>16</b> 190	<b>188</b> 203
Median Days Active			195	ונ	203	44	74	190	203
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecoras)							
0	-	-			-	-	-		-
	3% (7) 4% (8)	- 6% (1)	3% (7) 3% (7)	6% (1)	3% (6) 4% (8)	6% (1) -	<u>-</u>	 6% (1)	3% (6) 4% (7) 7% (14)
	8% (17) 6% (14)	6% (1) 17% (3) 11% (2)	7% (14) 6% (12)	- 6% (1)	4% (8) 8% (17)		- 50% (1)	6% (1) 19% (3)	7% (14)
5	11% (25)	11% (2)	11% (23)	-	6% (13) 12% (25)			6% (1) 13% (2)	6% (12) 12% (23)
	14% (31) 10% (23)	11% (2) -	14% (29) 11% (23)	22% (4) 17% (3)	13% (27) 10% (20)	25% (4) 19% (3)	<u>-</u>	13% (2) -	13% (25) 11% (20)
8	12% (27) 10% (22)	6% (1)	13% (26) 9% (19) 7% (15) 7% (14) 3% (7)	- 22% (4)	13% (27) 9% (18) 7% (15) 6% (12)	- 19% (3)	- E00/ (1)	- 6% (1) 13% (2)	14% (26) 9% (16) 8% (15)
10	7% (15)	17% (3) -	7% (15)	-	7% (15)	-	50% (1) -	_	8% (15)
	7% (15) 4% (8)	6% (1) 6% (1)	7% (14) 3% (7)	17% (3) 6% (1)	3% (/)	19% (3) 6% (1)	<u>-</u>	6% (1) 6% (1)	6% (11) 3% (6) 2% (3) 1% (2)
13	2% (4)	6% (1) 6% (1)	1% (3) 1% (2)		2% (4) 1% (3)			6% (1) 6% (1)	2% (3)
15	1% (3) 1% (3)	- 076 (1)	1% (2)	- 6% (1)	1% (3)	- 6% (1)		- 070 (1)	1% (2)
16	- -	- -			<del>-</del>	- -	<del>-</del>	 - -	
E Average Assessment Score	7.05	7.00	7.06	8.11	6.96	8.31	6.50	7.06	- 6 0F
Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.00	0.11	0.90	0.31	0.50	7.00	6.95
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	20	0	20	0	20	0	0	0	20
Known Unsheltered	48	Λ	4.4	^	48	^	^		44
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	40	4	44	0	40	0	0	4	44
Matched/Awarded	38	5	33	9	29	8	1	4	25
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1
Youth at Time of Assessment	20	18	2	2	18	0	2	16	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment						-			
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.								
Newly Added	17	0	17		12	5	0	0	10
Clients who have never been active before	17	U	17	5	12	ວ 	U	U 	12
Returned from Inactive	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	19	1	18	5	14	5	0	1	13
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		•	10		17			•	10
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac.		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	·	ļ							
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	1	0	1	 1	0	1	0	0	0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	I			I	U	I 		u	
Housed - All Other  R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
s Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	2		1	1	•	•	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	۷	U			l 	 	0	0	l 
Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	^								^
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
X Other Outflow subtotal Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	6 <b>15</b>	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>15</b>	<u>4</u> 5	2 10	5	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	2 10
z NET INFLOW	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
NET INFLOW	4	'	J	U	4	U	U	1	<b>3</b> Page 19

### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

**Row C** – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

### STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

**Row Q** - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

**Row T** – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

**Row Y** - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).