# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)										
257 -17 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7										
Known Unsheltered			o Housing							
3 87 no change -1 from last week										
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	27	1	4							
Fairfield County	81	0	20							
Greater Hartford	42	1	24							
Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	42	0	24							
		_								
Greater New Haven	42	0	20							
Greater New Haven	42	0	20							

Active Individuals (Youth)									
<b>251</b> +4 from last week									
	ll details for A	ctive Individuals (Y	, , , ,						
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing						
10		2	4						
no change		+1 from la	ast week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	17	3	0						
Fairfield County	64	2	5						
Greater Hartford	46	0	10						
Greater New Haven	76	0	3						
MMW	13	0	0						
Northeast	7	2	1						
Southeast	14	2	2						
Waterbury Litchfield	14	1	3						

ion is below.											
Active	Familie:	s (Youth)									
+2 fr	<b>61</b> +2 from last week										
	full details fo	r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8								
Known Unsheltered			o Housing								
0		1	2								
no change		no cha	ange								
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched								
Central	3	0	1								
Fairfield County	12	0	4								
Greater Hartford	6	0	1								
Greater New Haven	10	0	2								
MMW	4	0	1								
Northeast	1	0	0								
Southeast	22	0	2								
Waterbury Litchfield	3	0	1								

#### **Active Individuals (Non-Youth)** -5 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing +3 from last week -11 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 130 20 17 Fairfield County 415 18 68 **Greater Hartford** 593 33 43 7 Greater New Haven 270 38 MMW 75 8 11 9 Northeast 51 10 35 Southeast 33 116 Waterbury Litchfield 217 44 8

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact bea	ov with question	
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide			200/					
_	Records	7%	23%	28%	16%	4%	3%	7%	11%
Active on BNL	2,437	177	572	687	398	108	70	162	262
Median Days Active	· ·	133	127	139	132	94	91	54	151
Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score	ong active r		121	100	102	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	101
0	0% (4) 2% (52)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (2) 2% (14)	0% (1) 2% (17) 6% (39)	- 20/ /7\	- 1% (1)		- 1% (2)	- 20/ /0\
2	4% (101)	2% (3)	5% (29)	6% (39)	2% (7) 3% (11)	4% (4)	- 6% (4)	1% (2)	3% (8) 3% (9)
3	8% (187)	5% (9) 10% (18)	9% (52) 13% (75)	10% (66) 13% (87)	6% (22) 6% (24)	9% (10) 12% (13)	1% (1) 13% (9)	7% (11) 9% (14)	6% (16) 7% (19)
5	11% (259) 14% (330)	19% (33) 11% (20)	12% (68)	15% (104) 15% (101)	12% (47) 10% (40)	16% (17)	9% (6) 20% (14)	14% (23)	12% (31)
6	14% (335) 11% (280)	11% (20) 12% (21)	12% (68) 12% (67) 10% (60)	15% (101) 11% (77)	10% (40)	20% (22) 6% (7)	20% (14) 13% (9)	14% (23) 16% (26) 15% (24)	17% (45)
8	11% (264)	15% (27)	11% (61)	9% (61)	12% (47)	13% (14) 5% (5)	10% (7) 11% (8)	10% (16)	13% (34) 12% (31)
9	8% (199) 7% (161)	8% (15) 5% (8)	11% (61) 10% (55) 8% (44) 4% (25)	9% (61) 6% (39) 5% (37) 5% (34)	10% (40) 12% (48) 12% (47) 9% (36) 8% (33) 8% (30) 5% (18)	5% (5)	11% (8)	9% (14)	10% (27)
11	5% (131)	6% (11)	4% (25)	5% (34)	8% (30)	5% (5) 3% (3)	4% (3) 10% (7)	9% (14) 4% (7)	6% (17) 5% (14)
12	2% (59) 2% (45)	2% (4) 2% (4)	2% (11) 1% (5)	1% (9) 2% (12)	5% (18) 5% (18)	5% (5) 2% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (4) 1% (2)	3% (7) 0% (1)
14	1% (13)	-	1% (3)	0% (2)	2% (7)	-		-	0% (1)
15 16	1% (14) 0% (2)	<del>-</del>	0% (1) -	0% (1)	2% (7) 2% (9) 0% (1)			2% (3)	0% (1) -
17	0% (2)		-						0% (1)
Average Assessment Score	6.63	6.73	6.37	6.11	7.69	6.32	6.91	6.94	6.76
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.01	V		0.02	0.01	0.01	00
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	1	5	2	1	0	1	6
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	219	11	71	47	49	10	6	10	15
Known Unsheltered	186	24	20	34	7	8	12	35	46
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing	352	22	97	78	63	20	15	43	14
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing  Youth at Time of Assessment	127	16	41	13	13	2	0	36	6
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	340	25	78	59	96	17	9	37	19
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	196	17	46	31	36	19	1	31	15
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	40	1	4	6	8	0	2	14	5
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	236	18	50	37	44	19	3	45	20
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	58	2	12	9	8	4	10	10	3
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	39	0	15	10	8	0	0	3	3
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	30	0	6	7	3	0	2	10	2
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	14	1	0	2	7	0	0	3	1
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	141	3	33	28	26	4	12	26	9
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution	101	8	17	43	8	14	1	6	4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	13	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	3
Other Outflow subtotal	177	8	18	43	78	15	1	7	7
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	318	11	51	71	104	19	13	33	16
NET INFLOW	-82	7	-1	-34	-60	0	-10	12	4

o/12/2010 I II BNL Kepoli							Contact Dea	<u> </u>	
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast		Waterbury/ Litchfield
<del>_</del>		6%	24%	17%	28%	5%	3%	12%	5%
Active on RNI	312	20	76	52	86	17	8	36	17
									119
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active r		01		100		70	73	119
O	-	_							
		-	4% (3)	2% (1)	1% (1)			3% (1)	-
		15% (3)	5% (4) 7% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (2) 9% (8)	12% (2) 6% (1)	<u>-</u>	8% (3)	- 12% (2)
4	12% (36)	10% (2)	11% (8)	19% (10)	5% (4)	18% (3)	13% (1)	19% (7)	6% (1) 18% (3)
		15% (3) 15% (3)	9% (7)	21% (11) 15% (8)	10% (9) 12% (10)	6% (1) 35% (6)	13% (1)	17% (6) 17% (6)	18% (3) 12% (2)
7	12% (36)	10% (2)	8% (6)	12% (6)	14% (12)	-	25% (2)	14% (5)	18% (3)
		10% (2) 15% (3)	12% (9) 13% (10)	12% (6) 4% (2)	15% (13) 9% (8)	6% (1)	25% (2)	8% (3) 8% (3)	- 6% (1)
10	6% (18)	5% (1)	12% (9)	2% (1)	5% (4)		13% (1)	3% (1)	6% (1)
			3% (2)	8% (4) 2% (1)	9% (8) 3% (3)	12% (2)	13% (1)	3% (1)	18% (3) 6% (1)
13	1% (3)	5% (1)	-	-	1% (1)	6% (1)			-
		-	1% (1)	<u> </u>	1% (1)		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
16		<del>-</del>			1% (1)	<u>-</u>			
17	-								
Average Assessment Score	6.69	6.50	6.59	6.21	7.35	6.18	7.88	5.86	7.18
			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered	10	3	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
Matched/Awarded	36	1	9	11	5	1	1	4	4
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	43	6	6	0	10	0	0	20	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	28	4	10	3	5	3	0	1	2
nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	1 20 . 1								
		l							
Clients who have never been active before	46	2	12	6	13	4	0	6	3
Returned from Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
,	49	2	12	7	13	4	0	8	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	ys .			•		•			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
	12	0	0	2	4	0	1	5	0
Housed - PSH	1	^	^	^	^	Λ	^	1	^
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	·								0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH			1	1 					1 
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0				1	0
	17	0	1	3	4	0	1	7	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	18	1	1	11	5	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7 26	0	0 1	0 11	7 12	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		0 1 1	0 1 2		·	0 1 1			
	Active on BNL Median Days Active  Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score  1	All Youth  Active on BNL Active on BNL Median Days Active  85  Assessment Score Distribution (among active records having each assessment score)  2% (6) 3% (9) 3 7% (23) 4 12% (36) 5 13% (40) 6 15% (47) 7 12% (36) 6 15% (47) 7 12% (36) 8 11% (34) 9% (29) 10 6% (18) 11 6% (19) 12 3% (8) 11 1 6% (19) 12 3% (8) 11 1 7 (2) 15 0% (1) 16 0% (1) 17 18  Average Assessment Score 6.69  Status/Conditions Followed (among active records counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and client scounted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and client scounted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Active clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed inactive in past 30 days, all other	Percentage of Statewide	Active on BNL   312   20   76	Percentage of Statewide	Percentage of Statewide	Percentage of Statewide	Percentage of Statewide	All Youth   Statewide   Central   Fairfield   Greater   Hardford   New Haven   MMW   Northeast   Southeast

ı	6/12/2016 FTI BNL Repoli				Cuantan	Cuantan		Contact bot	au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S	Statewide on-Youth	7%	23%	30%	15%	4%	3%	6%	12%
Α				400	005	040				0.45
В	Active on BNL	2,125	157	496	635	312	91	62	126	245
С	Median Days Active	132	132	139	145	141	96	97	53	153
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		•							
		0% (4) 2% (46)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (2) 2% (11)	0% (1) 3% (16) 6% (38) 10% (65)	2% (6)	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)	3% (8)
	2	4% (92)	2% (3)	2% (11) 5% (25) 9% (47)	6% (38)	2% (6) 3% (9)	1% (1) 2% (2)	6% (4) 2% (1)	2% (2) 6% (8)	3% (8) 4% (9) 6% (14)
		8% (164) 10% (223)	4% (6) 10% (16)	9% (47) 14% (67)	10% (65) 12% (77)	4% (14) 6% (20)	10% (9) 11% (10)	13% (8)	6% (8) 6% (7)	6% (14) 7% (18)
	5	14% (290)	19% (30)	14% (67) 12% (61)	12% (77) 15% (93)	6% (20) 12% (38)	18% (16)	10% (6)	6% (7) 13% (17)	11% (28)
		14% (288) 11% (244)	11% (17) 12% (19)	11% (56) 11% (54)	15% (93) 11% (71)	10% (30) 12% (36)	18% (16) 8% (7)	21% (13) 11% (7)	16% (20) 15% (19)	18% (43) 13% (31)
		11% (230) 8% (170)	16% (25) 8% (12)	10% (52)	9% (55) 6% (37)	11% (34)	14% (13) 5% (5)	11% (7) 10% (6)	10% (13) 9% (11)	13% (31) 11% (26)
	10	7% (143)	4% (7)	10% (52) 9% (45) 7% (35) 5% (23) 2% (10)	6% (36) 5% (30) 1% (8)	9% (28) 9% (29) 7% (22) 5% (15) 5% (17) 2% (6)	14% (13) 5% (5) 5% (5) 3% (3) 3% (3)	11% (7) 10% (6) 3% (2)	10% (13)	7% (16)
		5% (112) 2% (51)	7% (11) 3% (4)	5% (23) 2% (10)	5% (30) 1% (8)	7% (22) 5% (15)	3% (3) 3% (3)	10% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (6) 3% (4)	4% (11) 2% (6) 0% (1) 0% (1)
	13	2% (42)	2% (3)	1% (5) 0% (2)	2% (12) 0% (2)	5% (17)	1% (1)	2% (1)	2% (2)	0% (1)
	15	1% (11) 1% (13)	<u>-</u>	0% (2) 0% (1)		2% (6) 3% (8)		<u> </u>	2% (3)	0% (1) 0% (1)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	-		0% (1)					- 0% (1)
إ	18	-								-
브	Average Assessment Score	6.62	6.76	6.33	6.10	7.79	6.35	6.79	7.25	6.73
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	1	5	2	1	0	1	6
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	217	11	69	47	49	10	6	10	15
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	176	21	18	34	7	8	10	33	45
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	316	21	88	67	58	19	14	39	10
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	84	10	35	13	3	2	0	16	5
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	28	5	2	7	10	0	1	1	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
ŀ	Newly Added									
L	Clients who have never been active before	150	15	34	25	23	15	1	25	12
	Returned from Inactive	37	1	4	5	8	0	2	12	5
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		•							
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	187	16	38	30	31	15	3	37	17
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	46	2	12	7	4	4	9	5	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	38	0	15	10	8	0	0	2	3
Q	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	27	0	5	6	3	0	2	10	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	13	1	0	2	7	0	0	2	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	124	3	32	25	22	4	11	19	8
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	83	7	16	32	3	14	1	6	4
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased  Received in past 30 days, all other reasons	66	0	0	0	63	0	0	0	3
x	Other Outflow subtotal	151	7	17	32	66	14	1	7	7
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	275	10	49	57	88	18	12	26	15
7	NET INFLOW	-88	6	-11	-27	<u>-57</u>	-3	-9	11	2
-	HET HIT EON	30				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.,		Page 4

6/12/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL							Contact Dea	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide Families	9%	29%	15%	16%	6%	4%	10%	10%
		20	00	40	50			20	24
Active on BNL	318	30	93	48	52	20	12	32	31
Median Days Active		87	89	104	88	91	57	132	137
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
O	<del>,</del> -	-		-	-	-	-	_	_
1	1% (2)	3% (1)	-						3% (1)
3	2% (5) 3% (10)		2% (2) 4% (4)	2% (1) 4% (2)	2% (1)	10% (2) -		- 6% (2)	3% (1)
4	10% (32)	10% (3)	10% (9)	120/. (6)	2% (1) 10% (5)	10% (2)	8% (1)	16% (5) 22% (7)	3% (1)
6	14% (45) 14% (43)	10% (3) 20% (6) 3% (1)	15% (14) 9% (8) 10% (9)	13% (6) 13% (6) 10% (5) 13% (6) 6% (3) 8% (4) 8% (4)	12% (6) 13% (7) 19% (10)	15% (3) 15% (3)	25% (3)	16% (5) 16% (5)	19% (6) 19% (6)
7	13% (41)	3% (1) 23% (7)	10% (9) 11% (10)	13% (6)	19% (10)	10% (2) 25% (5)	25% (3) 25% (3)	16% (5)	16% (5)
9	12% (38) 11% (34)	10% (3)	16% (15)	8% (4)	12% (6) 8% (4) 10% (5)	5% (1)	25% (3) 8% (1) 8% (1)	6% (2) 6% (2)	6% (2) 13% (4) 6% (2)
10	9% (29) 6% (18)	3% (1) 10% (3)	13% (12) 3% (3)	8% (4) 10% (5)	10% (5)	10% (2)	8% (1)	6% (2) 3% (1)	6% (2)
12	3% (10)	-	4% (4)	6% (3)	10% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1)	 		3% (1)	3% (1) -
13	2% (6) 1% (2)	7% (2)	2% (2) 1% (1)	10% (5) 6% (3) 2% (1) 2% (1)	<u>2% (1)</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
15	0% (1)			-	-	-			3% (1)
16	0% (1) 0% (1)	ļ <u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	2% (1) -					- 3% (1)
18	-	<u></u>	<u> </u>		- - - -	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-
Average Assessment Score	7.27	7.43	7.41	7.67	7.52	6.40	7.17	6.34	7.23
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie		ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	5	1	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded		<u>-</u>							
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	99	5	24	25	22	9	5	6	3
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		0	1	0	0	0	0	24	2
Youth at Time of Assessment	<b>+</b>	5	13	7	11	4	2	23	4
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Newly Added	25	3	8	4	4	1	0	2	3
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive		<b></b>							
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	32	3	9	5	6	1	2	3	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th									
Housed - Self-Resolved	21	1	5	5	1	1	3	3	2
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	ļ	ļ			· 	·	<del></del>		<u>-</u>
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	8	0	4	2	1	0	0	1	0
Housed - RRH	6	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	1
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	<b> </b>	ļ			<u></u>	·····	·	<u>_</u>	' 
Housed - All Other	5	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	40	2	10	8	6	1	3	7	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact				-	-	•	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	2	1	0	0	5	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	Λ	Λ	0	0	Λ	0	Λ
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	<b>↓</b>	U U	0	0	U 	U	0	U	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<u> </u>	ļ							
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased			^	^	0	0	0	0	2
Inactive - All Other	2	0	0	0	U	U	U	U	_
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2		1		0		0	0	
Inactive - All Other	2 11 <b>51</b>	0 2 4	1 1	0 8		5 <b>6</b>			3 <b>6</b>

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report				0 1	0 1		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide			200/					
_	dividuals	7%	23%	30%	16%	4%	3%	6%	11%
Active on BNL	2,119	147	479	639	346	88	58	130	231
Median Days Active		139	134	145	151	95	94	50	153
Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score	ong active r		-						
0	0% (4)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (2) 3% (14)	0% (1)	-	-	-	-	
1	2% (50) 5% (96)	1% (2) 2% (3)	3% (14) 6% (27)	0% (1) 3% (17) 6% (38)	2% (7) 3% (11)	1% (1) 2% (2)	- 7% (4)	2% (2) 2% (2)	3% (7) 4% (9)
3	8% (177)	6% (9)	10% (48)	10% (64) 13% (81)	5% (11) 6% (21) 5% (19)	11% (10)	2% (1) 14% (8)	7% (9) 7% (9)	6% (15) 8% (18)
4	11% (227) 13% (285)	10% (15)	14% (66) 11% (54) 12% (59)	13% (81) 15% (98)	5% (19) 12% (41)	13% (11) 16% (14)	14% (8) 10% (6)	7% (9) 12% (16)	8% (18) 11% (25)
6	14% (292)	20% (30) 10% (14)	12% (59)	15% (98) 15% (96)	12% (41) 10% (33)	22% (19) 6% (5)	10% (6) 19% (11)	12% (16) 16% (21)	17% (39)
7 8	11% (239) 11% (226)	14% (20) 14% (20)	11% (51)	15% (90) 11% (71) 9% (58) 5% (35) 5% (33) 5% (29)	11% (38) 12% (41) 9% (32) 8% (28) 7% (25)	6% (5) 10% (9)	10% (6) 7% (4) 12% (7) 3% (2) 12% (7) 2% (1) 2% (1)	15% (19) 11% (14)	11% (25) 17% (39) 13% (29) 13% (29)
9	8% (165)	8% (12)	11% (51) 8% (40) 7% (32)	5% (35)	9% (32)	10% (9) 5% (4) 3% (3) 3% (3)	12% (7)	9% (12)	10% (23)
10	6% (132) 5% (113)	5% (7) 5% (8)	7% (32) 5% (22)	5% (33) 5% (20)	8% (28) 7% (25)	3% (3) 3% (3)	3% (2) 12% (7)	9% (12) 5% (6)	6% (15) 6% (13)
12	2% (49)	3% (4)	1% (7) 1% (3)	1% (6) 2% (11)	5% (16) 5% (17)	6% (5)	2% (1)	2% (3) 2% (2)	3% (7) 0% (1)
13	2% (39) 1% (11)	1% (2)	1% (3) 0% (2)	2% (11) 0% (1)	5% (17) 2% (7)	2% (2)	2% (1)	2% (2)	0% (1) 0% (1)
15	1% (13)		0% (2)		2% (7) 3% (9)			2% (3)	
16 17	0% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	0% (1)	<del></del>		<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>
18	-	<del>-</del>					<del>-</del>		
Average Assessment Score		6.59	6.16	5.99	7.72	6.31	6.86	7.08	6.70
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active or.			ad in multiple rouse	depending on the	air combination of -!-	rumetancas			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1								
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	10	1	1 	5	0	1 	0	1 	6
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	211	11	66	46	48	10	5	10	15
Known Unsheltered	183	23	20	33	7	8	12	35	45
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	253	17	73	53	41	11	10	37	 11
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	100	16	40	13	13	2	0	12	4
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	///	20	65	52	85	13	7	14	15
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added		14	38	27	32	18	1	29	12
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	33	1	3	5	6	0	0	13	5
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL			41	32			1	42	17
	204	15	41	32	38	18	<u> </u>	42	17
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	37	1	7	4	7	3	7	7	1
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	31	0	11	8	7	0	0	2	3
Housed - RRH	24	0	5	7	1	0	2	8	 1
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	.	0	0	1	5	0	 0	2	1
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	101	1	23	20	20	3	9	19	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact		6	16	43	8	9	1	6	3
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution		0	1	0	0	1	 0	1	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased		 						· 	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	/ 1	0	0	0	70	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	166	6	17	43	78	10	1	7	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	267	7	40	63	98	13	10	26	10
NET INFLOW	-63	8	1	-31	-60	5	-9	16	7

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report							CONTACT DE	au.anderson@ct.g	ov with question
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S Families (No		11%	32%	16%	16%	6%	4%	4%	11%
Active on BNL	257	27	81	42	42	16	11	10	28
		104	90	107	97	92	64	91	127
Median Days Active <b>Assessment Score Distribution (amo</b> Count of all active records having each assessment score	ong active r		90	107	91	92	04	91	127
0 1 <mark> </mark>	1% (2)	4% (1)							4% (1)
2	2% (4) 3% (8)		2% (2) 5% (4)	2% (1) 5% (2)	2% (1)	6% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	- 4% (1)
4	9% (24)	11% (3)	11% (9)	5% (2) 10% (4)	2% (1) 10% (4)	13% (2)	9% (1)	10% (1)	-
5 6	15% (38) 13% (34)	11% (3) 15% (4)	17% (14)	12% (5) 12% (5)	12% (5) 14% (6)	13% (2) 13% (2)	- 27% (3)	30% (3) 10% (1)	21% (6) 18% (5)
7	14% (36)	4% (1) 22% (6)	17% (14) 10% (8) 10% (8) 7% (6)	14% (6)	24% (10)	13% (2) 25% (4)	18% (2)	20% (2)	18% (5)
8	11% (27)	22% (6)	7% (6)	14% (6) 5% (2)	24% (10) 10% (4) 2% (1)	25% (4)	27% (3)		18% (5) 7% (2)
10	10% (26) 9% (22)	11% (3) 4% (1)	15% (12) 10% (8)	10% (4) 10% (4) 7% (3)	2% (1) 10% (4)	6% (1) 13% (2)	9% (1) 9% (1)	10% (1)	14% (4) 4% (1) 4% (1)
11	6% (15)	11% (3)	4% (3)	7% (3)	10% (4)			10% (1)	4% (1)
13	4% (10) 2% (6)	- 7% (2)	5% (4) 2% (2)	7% (3) 2% (1)	5% (2) 2% (1)			10% (1)	
14	1% (2)	- 1 /0 (2)	1% (1)	2% (1)	- 2 /0 (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	
15	0% (1)	-	-	2% (1)					4% (1)
16 17	0% (1) 0% (1)			2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	- 4% (1)
18	-			-					-
Average Assessment Score	7.33	7.52	7.20	7.74	7.43	6.69	7.18	7.20	7.29
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	,	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of ci	rcumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	5	1	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	87	4	20	24	20	8	5	4	2
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	2
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	8	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	16	2	5	2	3	0	0	1	3
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	22	2	6	3	5	0	2	1	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved		1	5	4	1	1	2	1	2
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	7	0	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	1
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	4	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	34	2	10	7	6	1	2	3	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	2	1	0	0	5	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Other Outflow subtotal	11	2	1	0	0	5	0	0	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	45	4	11	7	6	6	2	3	6
NET INFLOW	-23	-2	-5		-1	-6		-2	-3

6/12/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL				0	Outstan		Contact bea	au.anderson@ct.g	-
Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Familie	Statewide s (Youth)	5%	20%	10%	16%	7%	2%	36%	5%
Active on BNL	61	3	12	6	10	4	1	22	3
c Median Days Active	74	70	67	44	56	54	48	152	211
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ong active re					<u> </u>		102	211
0	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>		<del>-</del>			
2	2% (1)					25% (1)			
3	3% (2) 13% (8)	<u>-</u>		33% (2)	- 10% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	9% (2) 18% (4)	33% (1)
5	11% (7)			17% (1)	10% (1)	25% (1) 25% (1)		18% (4) 18% (4)	
7	15% (9) 8% (5)	67% (2) -	8% (1)	<u>-</u>	10% (1) -	25% (1)	100% (1)	14% (3)	33% (1)
8	18% (11)	33% (1)	33% (4)	17% (1)	20% (2) 30% (3)	25% (1)		9% (2)	
10	13% (8) 11% (7)	-	25% (3) 33% (4)		10% (1)	<u>-</u>		9% (2) 5% (1)	33% (1)
11	5% (3)		<u>-</u>	33% (2)	10% (1)		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
13	-								
14 15	-	<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>
16	-								
17	- -		<u>-</u> -	<u></u>	<u></u>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
E Average Assessment Score	7.00	6.67	8.83	7.17	7.90	5.25	7.00	5.95	6.67
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	rcumstances.			
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered  H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	12	1	4	1	2	1	0	2	1
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months  Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	6	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	9	1	3	2	1	1	0	1	0
Returned from Inactive  M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	10	1	3	2	1	1	0	2	0
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th									
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
Housed - PSH P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Housed - RRH  Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	6	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	6	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	0
z NET INFLOW	4	1	3	1	1	1	-1	-2	<b>0</b> Page 8

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	ov with questions
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Individual		7%	25%	18%	30%	5%	3%	6%	6%
Active on BNL	251	17	64	46	76	13	7	14	14
Median Days Active	88	221	89	62	112	69		36	90
Assessment Score Distribution (amc	ng active r		09	02	112	03	70	30	90
1	2% (6)		5% (3)	2% (1)	1% (1)			7% (1)	
2	3% (8)	- 18% (3)	6% (4)	2% (1)	3% (2)	8% (1) 8% (1)	<del>-</del>	- 7% (1)	- 14% (2)
4	8% (21) 11% (28)	12% (2)	8% (5) 13% (8)	2% (1) 17% (8)	11% (8) 4% (3)	23% (3)	- 14% (1)	21% (3)	1470 (Z) -
5	13% (33)	18% (3)	11% (7)	22% (10)	11% (8) 12% (9)	-	-	21% (3) 14% (2)	21% (3)
6	15% (38) 12% (31)	6% (1)	17% (11) 8% (5)	17% (8) 13% (6)	12% (9) 16% (12)	38% (5)	14% (1) 14% (1)	14% (2)	7% (1) 21% (3)
8	9% (23)	12% (2) 6% (1)	8% (5)	11% (5)	14% (11)		_	14% (2) 7% (1) 7% (1)	-
9	8% (21)	18% (3)	11% (7)	11% (5) 4% (2)	14% (11) 7% (5)		29% (2)	7% (1)	7% (1)
10	4% (11)	6% (1)	8% (5)	2% (1) 4% (2) 2% (1)	4% (3) 9% (7) 4% (3) 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	14% (1)	-	- 21% (3)
11	6% (16) 3% (8)	<del>-</del>	3% (2) 2% (1)	2% (1)	9% (7) 4% (3)	15% (2)	14% (1)	7% (1) -	7% (1)
13	1% (3)	6% (1)	-		1% (1)	8% (1)	-	-	
14	1% (2)		2% (1)		1% (1)				
15   16	0% (1) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -		1% (1) 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		
17	-				- 170 (1)				
18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Assessment Score	6.61	6.47	6.17	6.09	7.28	6.46	8.00	5.71	7.29
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance	the BNL, and clier	,	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	rcumstances.			
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	3	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	24	0	5	10	3	0	1	2	3
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	23	6	6	0	10	0	0	0	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	22	3	8	2	4	2	0	1	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.	ı							
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	37	1	9	4	12	3	0	5	3
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	1	9	5	12	3	0	6	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	,								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	8	0	0	1	4	0	0	3	0
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	11	0	1	2	<u>4</u>	0	0	3	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	18	1	l 	11	5 	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0 	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7 26	0	0	0 11	7 12	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	37	1	2	13	16	1	0	3	1
		-				<u> </u>			
NET INFLOW	2	0	7	-8	-4	2	0	3	2

6/12/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL				Cuantan	Cuantan		00111001 001	au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Individuals (No.		7%	22%	32%	14%	4%	3%	6%	12%
Active on BNL	1,868	130	415	593	270	75	51	116	217
c Median Days Active	138	133	141	149	154	104	99	53	154
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			111	110	101	101		- 00	101
	0% (4) 2% (44)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (2) 3% (11)	0% (1) 3% (16)	- 2% (6)	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)	- 3% (7)
2	5% (88)	2% (3)	3% (11) 6% (23) 10% (43)	6% (37) 11% (63)	3% (9)	1% (1)	8% (4) 2% (1)	2% (2) 7% (8)	3% (7) 4% (9)
	8% (156) 11% (199)	5% (6) 10% (13)	10% (43) 14% (58)	11% (63) 12% (73)	5% (13) 6% (16)	12% (9) 11% (8)	2% (1) 14% (7)	7% (8) 5% (6)	6% (13) 8% (18)
5	13% (252)	21% (27)	11% (47)	12% (73) 15% (88) 15% (88)	6% (16) 12% (33)	19% (14)	14% (7) 12% (6)	5% (6) 12% (14)	10% (22)
	14% (254) 11% (208)	10% (13) 14% (18)	12% (48) 11% (46)	11% (65)	9% (24) 10% (26)	19% (14) 7% (5)	20% (10) 10% (5)	16% (19) 15% (17)	18% (38) 12% (26)
	11% (203) 8% (144)	15% (19) 7% (9)	11% (46)	9% (53) 6% (33)	11% (30)	12% (9) 5% (4) 4% (3)	8% (4)	11% (13) 9% (11)	13% (29) 10% (22)
10	6% (121)	5% (6)	8% (33) 7% (27) 5% (20)	9% (53) 6% (33) 5% (32) 5% (27) 1% (5)	10% (27) 9% (25) 7% (18) 5% (13)	4% (3)	10% (5) 2% (1) 12% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1)	10% (12)	7% (15)
	5% (97) 2% (41)	6% (8) 3% (4)	1% (6)	5% (27) 1% (5)	7% (18) 5% (13)	4% (3) 4% (3)	12% (6) 2% (1)	4% (5) 3% (3)	5% (10) 3% (6)
13	2% (36)	1% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)	2% (11) 0% (1)	6% (16) 2% (6)	1% (1)	2% (1)	2% (2)	0% (1)
15	0% (9) 1% (12)	- - 	0% (1) 0% (1)	U% (1) -	2% (6) 3% (8)			3% (3)	0% (1) -
16	-	-			-	-			
18		-				-			
Status/Conditions Followed (among a	6.52	6.61 rds)	6.16	5.98	7.84	6.28	6.71	7.25	6.66
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	15	1	1	5	0	1	0	1	6
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	209	11	64	46	48	10	5	10	15
Known Unsheltered  H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	173	20	18	33	7	8	10	33	44
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	229	17	68	43	38	11	9	35	8
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing  Youth at Time of Assessment	77	10	34	13	3	2	0	12	3
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	20	3	1	6	9	0	0	0	1
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	134	13	29	23	20	15	1	24	9
Returned from Inactive  M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	31	1	3	4	6	0	0	12	5
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	165	14	32	27	26	15	1	36	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	/S								
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	29	1	7	3	3	3	7	4	1
Housed - PSH P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	31	0	11	8	7	0	0	2	3
Housed - RRH  Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	21	0	4	6	1	0	2	8	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	9	0	0	1	5	0	0	2	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	90	1	22	18	16	3	9	16	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	74	5	15	32	3	9	1	6	3
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased  Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	140	<u> </u>	0	0	63	0	0	7	1
Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	140 <b>230</b>	5 <b>6</b>	16 <b>38</b>	32 <b>50</b>	66 <b>82</b>	9 <b>12</b>	10	7 <b>23</b>	9
z NET INFLOW	-65	8	-6	-23	-56	3	-9	13	5

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report			Contact beau and								
Statewide BNL	All	All	All Non Youth	All	All	Families (New Youth)	Families		Individuals		
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 87%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth		
	entage of	13%	01 /0	13%	01 /0	11%	00/	10%	1170		
	vide BNL						3%				
Active on BNL	2,437	312	2125	318	2119	257	61	251	1868		
Median Days Active	125	85	132	98	131	99	74	88	138		
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)									
O	0% (4)	-	0% (4)	-	0% (4)	-	-	-	0% (4)		
1	2% (52) 4% (101)	2% (6) 3% (9)	2% (46) 4% (92)	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (4) 2% (50) 5% (96)	1% (2) 2% (4)	- 2% (1)	2% (6) 3% (8)	0% (4) 2% (44) 5% (88)		
3	8% (187)	7% (23) 12% (36)	8% (164) 10% (223)	3% (10) 10% (32)	8% (177)	3% (8)	3% (2) 13% (8)	8% (21)	8% (156)		
4 5	11% (259) 14% (330)	12% (36) 13% (40)	10% (223) 14% (290)	10% (32) 14% (45)	11% (227) 13% (285) 14% (292)	9% (24) 15% (38)	110/, (7)	11% (28) 13% (33)	11% (199) 13% (252)		
6	14% (335)	13% (40) 15% (47)	14% (290) 14% (288) 11% (244) 11% (230) 8% (170)	14% (43)	14% (292)	3% (8) 9% (24) 15% (38) 13% (34) 14% (36) 11% (27)	17% (7) 15% (9) 8% (5) 18% (11) 13% (8) 11% (7)	3% (91) 8% (21) 11% (28) 13% (33) 15% (38) 12% (31) 9% (23) 8% (21) 4% (11)	13% (252) 14% (254)		
8	11% (280) 11% (264)	12% (36) 11% (34) 9% (29) 6% (18)	11% (244)	12% (38)	11% (239)	14% (36)	0% (5) 18% (11)	9% (23)	11% (208) 11% (203)		
10	8% (199) 7% (161)	9% (29) 6% (18)	8% (170) 7% (143)	11% (34) 9% (29)	8% (165) 6% (132)	10% (26) 9% (22)	13% (8) 11% (7)	8% (21) 4% (11)	8% (144) 6% (121) 5% (97)		
11	5% (131)	6% (19)	5% (112)	6% (18)	11% (239) 11% (226) 8% (165) 6% (132) 5% (113)	6% (15)	5% (3)	6% (16)	5% (97)		
12	2% (59) 2% (45)	3% (8) 1% (3)	7% (143) 5% (112) 2% (51) 2% (42) 1% (11) 1% (13)	14% (45) 14% (43) 13% (41) 12% (38) 11% (34) 9% (29) 6% (18) 3% (10) 2% (6)	2% (49) 2% (39) 1% (11) 1% (13)	11% (27) 10% (26) 9% (22) 6% (15) 4% (10) 2% (6) 1% (2) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	3% (8) 1% (3)	2% (41) 2% (36)		
14 15	1% (13) 1% (14)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (11)	1% (2) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (11)	1% (2)		1% (2)	0% (9) 1% (12)		
16	0% (2)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (1)	<u>-</u>	0% (1) 0% (1)	- 1 /0 (12)		
18	0% (1)	- -	<u>0% (1)</u> -	<u>0% (1)</u> -	<u>-</u> -	0% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -		
Average Assessment Score	6.63	6.69	6.62	7.27	6.53	7.33	7.00	6.61	6.52		
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances					
Refuses CAN Assistance	17	0	17	2	15	2	0	0	15		
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)											
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	219	2	217	8	211	8	0	2	209		
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	186	10	176	3	183	3	0	10	173		
Matched/Awarded	352	36	316	99	253	87	12	24	229		
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	332	30			233		12	24			
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	127	43	84	27	100	7	20	23	77		
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	340	312	28	69	271	8	61	251	20		
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
Newly Added	196	46	150	25	171	16	9	37	134		
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	40	3	37	7	33	6	1	2	31		
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	236	49	187	32	204	22	10	39	165		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		73	107	J.	207		10	JJ	100		
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th											
Housed - Self-Resolved	58	12	46	21	37	17	4	8	29		
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved Housed - PSH							4				
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	39	1	38	8	31	7	1	0	31		
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	30	3	27	6	24	6	0	3	21		
Housed - All Other	14	1	13	5	9	4	1	0	9		
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	141	17	124	40	101	34	6	11	90		
Inactive - Unable to Contact	101	18	83	9	92	9	0	18			
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		10			JZ 						
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2		
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	72	7		ი	71	·	Λ	7	E1		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	73	7	66	2	71	2	0	7	64		
Other Outflow subtotal	177	26	151	11	166	11	0	26	140		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	318	43	275	51	267	45	6	37	230		
NET INFLOW	-82	6	-88	-19	-63	-23	4	2	-65		

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	E 10			ov with questions
Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth
Perc	entage of		89%		83%	(	(	( 222 )	73%
	tral CAN	11%		17%		15%	2%	10%	
Active on BNL	177	20	157	30	147	27	3	17	130
Median Days Active	133	166	132	87	139	104	70	221	133
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	1% (1)	_	1% (1)	_	1% (1)	l -	-	-	1% (1)
1 2	2% (3)		1% (1) 2% (3)	3% (1)	1% (2)	4% (1)		-	1% (1) 2% (2)
3	2% (3) 5% (9)	15% (3)	2% (3) 4% (6)		2% (3) 6% (9)		-	18% (3) 12% (2)	2% (3) 5% (6) 10% (13)
5	10% (18)	10% (2)	10% (16) 19% (30)	10% (3) 10% (3)	10% (15)	11% (3) 11% (3)	-	12% (2) 18% (3)	10% (13)
6	19% (33) 11% (20)	15% (3) 15% (3)	11% (17)	20% (6)	20% (30) 10% (14)	15% (4)	- 67% (2)	6% (1)	21% (27) 10% (13)
7	12% (21)	10% (2) 10% (2) 15% (3)	12% (19) 16% (25) 8% (12) 4% (7)	20% (6) 3% (1) 23% (7) 10% (3) 3% (1)	14% (20) 14% (20) 8% (12)	15% (4) 4% (1) 22% (6)	-	12% (2) 6% (1) 18% (3)	14% (18)
8	15% (27) 8% (15)	15% (2)	8% (12)	23% (7) 10% (3)	14% (20) 8% (12)	22% (b) 11% (3)	33% (1)	18% (3)	15% (19) 7% (9)
10	5% (8)	5% (1)	4% (7)	3% (1)	5% (/)	4% (1)	-	6% (1)	5% (6) 6% (8) 3% (4)
11	6% (11) 2% (4)	<u>-</u>	7% (11) 3% (4)	10% (3)	5% (8) 3% (4)	11% (3) -			6% (8) 3% (4)
13	2% (4)	5% (1)	3% (4) 2% (3)	7% (2)	1% (2)	7% (2)		6% (1)	1% (1)
14 15	-		<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
16	-			-	-		-	-	
17 18	-			<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>
Average Assessment Score	6.73	6.50	6.76	7.43	6.59	7.52	6.67	6.47	6.61
Status/Conditions Followed (among			1. 1 11	de en eller en eller					
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance	tne BINL, and clief				eir combination of d				
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1 	0	1 	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11
Known Unsheltered	24	3	21	1	23	1	0	3	20
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered				' 		' 			
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	22	1	21	5	17	4	1	0	17
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	6	10	0	16	0	0	6	10
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	05	20			20	2	2	47	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	25	20	5	5	20	2	3	17	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e nast 30 davs								
Newly Added	17	2	15	3	14	2	1	1	13
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive			10		14	<u></u>		·	10
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	18	2	16	3	15	2	1	1	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th									
Housed - Self-Resolved				4	4	4	0	0	4
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	1	7	2	6	2	0	1	5
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	8	1	7	2	6	2	0	1	5
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	1	10	4	7	4	0	11	6
NET INFLOW	7	1	6	-1	8	-2	1	0	8

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Repo	т								au.anderson@ct.	.gov with question
Fairfield County (	CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
Tannora Goanty		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	13%	87%	16%	84%	14%		440/	73%
		inty CAN					14 /0	2%	11%	
	e on BNL	572	76	496	93	479	81	12	64	415
Median Da	,	127	87	139	89	134	90	67	89	141
Assessment Score Distribu  Count of all active records having each ass			ecords)							
Count of all active records having each ass		0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	-	-	0% (2)
1		2% (14) 5% (29)	4% (3) 5% (4)	0% (2) 2% (11) 5% (25)	- 20/. (2)	0% (2) 3% (14) 6% (27)	- 20/. (2)		5% (3) 6% (4)	0% (2) 3% (11) 6% (23)
3		9% (52)	7% (5)	9% (47) 14% (67)	2% (2) 4% (4) 10% (9)	10% (48) 14% (66)	2% (2) 5% (4) 11% (9)		8% (5) 13% (8)	10% (43) 14% (58)
5		13% (75) 12% (68)	11% (8) 9% (7)	14% (67) 12% (61)	10% (9) 15% (14)	14% (66) 11% (54)	11% (9) 17% (14)		13% (8) 11% (7)	14% (58) 11% (47)
6		12% (67)	14% (11)	11% (56)	15% (14) 9% (8)	11% (54) 12% (59)	10% (8)	-	11% (7) 17% (11)	12% (48)
8		10% (60) 11% (61)	8% (6) 12% (9) 13% (10)	11% (54) 10% (52)	10% (9) 11% (10)	11% (51) 11% (51)	10% (8) 7% (6)	8% (1) 33% (4) 25% (3)	8% (5) 8% (5) 11% (7)	11% (46) 11% (46)
9		10% (55)	13% (10)	9% (45) 7% (35) 5% (23) 2% (10) 1% (5) 0% (2)	10% (9) 11% (10) 16% (15) 13% (12) 3% (3)	8% (40) 7% (32) 5% (22)	10% (8) 7% (6) 15% (12) 10% (8) 4% (3) 5% (4) 2% (2)	25% (3)	11% (7)	11% (46) 8% (33) 7% (27)
10		8% (44) 4% (25)	12% (9) 3% (2)	7% (35) 5% (23)	13% (12) 3% (3)	7% (32) 5% (22)	10% (8) 4% (3)	33% (4) -	8% (5) 3% (2)	5% (20)
12		2% (11)	1% (1)	2% (10)	4% (4) 2% (2)	1% (7) 1% (3)	5% (4)	-	2% (1)	1% (6) 1% (3) 0% (1)
13		1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (1)	1% (5) 0% (2)	2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (3) 0% (2)	2% (2) 1% (1)		2% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)
15		0% (1)		0% (1)		0% (1)		-		0% (1)
16		-								
18		-		-		-			-	
	essment Score	6.37	6.59	6.33	7.41	6.16	7.20	8.83	6.17	6.16
Status/Conditions Follower Clients counted in each row below are cur	rently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN As Clients counted here are subject to due of	diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic I		71	2	69	5	66	5	0	2	64
Known Un  Clients that are confirmed to b	be unsheltered	20	2	18	0	20	0	0	2	18
Clients matched to or awarded a hou		97	9	88	24	73	20	4	5	68
Enrolled in Transitional  Active clients who are enrolled in Transi	itional Housing	41	6	35	1	40	1	0	6	34
Youth at Time of Ass Active clients who were under 25 at time of	of assessment	78	76	2	13	65	1	12	64	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 3 Clients below were made active or added to		e past 30 days.								
Clients who have never been		46	12	34	8	38	5	3	9	29
Returned from Clients inactive for any reason who a	are now active	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Inflow to Active Lis		50	12	38	9	41	6	3	9	32
Outflow from Active List: P Clients below were made active or added to										
Housed - Self-	Resolved	12	0	12	5	7	5	0	0	7
	sed - PSH	15	0	15	4	11	4	0	0	11
	sed - RRH	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	1	4
	All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow	w subtotal	33	1	32	10	23	10	0	1	22
Inactive - Unable to		17	1	16	1	16	1	0	1	15
Inactive - In an I		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - I  Clients made inactive in past 30 da	ays, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive -  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all	other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow		18	1	17	1	17	1	0	1	16
Outflow from Active Lis		51	2	49	11	40	11	0	2	38
z  <b>NET</b>	INFLOW	-1	10	-11	-2	1	-5	3	7	-6

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report	A.11		All				Contact beau.anderso		
Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All Families	All	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)		(Non Youth)
	Records	Youth	92%	rannies	Individuals 93%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	8%	32 /0	7%	3370	6%	40/	7%	0070
Greater Harti					222		1%		
Active on BNL	687	52	635	48	639	42	6	46	593
Median Days Active	139	59	145	104	145	107	44	62	149
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
0	0% (1)	-	0% (1)	-	0% (1)	-	-	-	0% (1) 3% (16)
	2% (17) 6% (39)	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (16) 6% (38)	2% (1)	0% (1) 3% (17) 6% (38)	- 2% (1)	<u>-</u> -	2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (37)
3	10% (66)	2% (1)	10% (65) 12% (77)	4% (2) 13% (6)	10% (64) 13% (81)	5% (2)	-	2% (1)	11% (63) 12% (73)
	13% (87) 15% (104)	19% (10) 21% (11)	15% (93) 15% (93)	13% (6)	13% (81) 15% (98) 15% (96)	10% (4) 12% (5)	33% (2) 17% (1)	2% (1) 17% (8) 22% (10) 17% (8)	12% (73) 15% (88) 15% (88)
	15% (101) 11% (77)	15% (8)	15% (93) 11% (71)	10% (5)	15% (96) 11% (71)	12% (5) 14% (6)	-	17% (8) 13% (6)	15% (88) 11% (65)
8	9% (61)	12% (6) 12% (6)	11% (71) 9% (55) 6% (37) 6% (36)	13% (6) 6% (3)	11% (71) 9% (58) 5% (35) 5% (33) 5% (29)	5% (2) 10% (4) 12% (5) 12% (5) 14% (6) 5% (2) 10% (4) 10% (4) 7% (3)	17% (1)	11% (5)	11% (65) 9% (53) 6% (33) 5% (32) 5% (27)
9	6% (39) 5% (37)	4% (2) 2% (1)	6% (37) 6% (36)	8% (4) 8% (4) 10% (5) 6% (3) 2% (1)	5% (35) 5% (33)	10% (4) 10% (4)	- -	11% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1) 4% (2)	6% (33) 5% (32)
11	5% (34)	8% (4)	5% (30)	10% (5)	5% (29)	7% (3)	33% (2)	4% (2)	5% (27)
13	1% (9) 2% (12)	2% (1) -	1% (8) 2% (12)	2% (1)	1% (6) 2% (11)	7% (3) 2% (1) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>	2% <u>(1)</u> -	1% (5) 2% (11)
14   15	0% (2)		0% (2)	2% (1)	0% (1)	2% (1)		<u>-</u>	0% (1)
16	0% (1)		0% (1)	2% (1)		2% (1)			
17	-	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<del>-</del>
Average Assessment Score	6.11	6.21	6.10	7.67	5.99	7.74	7.17	6.09	5.98
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ted in multiple rows	denending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance									
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Chronic (Verified)	47	0	47	1	46	1	0	0	46
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered									
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	34	0	34	1	33	1	0	0	33
Matched/Awarded	78	11	67	25	53	24	1	10	43
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	70	''		20		24		10	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	13
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								40	
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	59	52	7	7	52	1	6	46	6
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	I		I					
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	31	6	25	4	27	2	2	4	23
Returned from Inactive	·	4		4		4	^	4	4
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	1	4
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	37	7	30	5	32	3	2	5	27
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	9	2	7	5	4	4	1	1	3
Housed - PSH	10	0	10	າ	Ω	າ	0	n	Ω
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	IU 	U	IU 	2	8	2	U 	0	8 
Housed - RRH	7	1	6	0	7	0	0	1	6
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	~				4	4	^	^	
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	28	3	25	8	20	7	1	2	18
Inactive - Unable to Contact	43	11	32	0	43	0	0	11	32
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			U		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	43	11	32	0	43	0	0	11	32
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	71	14	57	8	63	7	1	13	50
NET INFLOW	-34	-7	-27	-3	-31	-4	1	-8	-23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ı <u> </u>	<u> </u>	•	•		Page 14

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report								t.gov with questions	
Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non Youth)	Families		Individuals
		Youth	78%	ramilles	87%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	22%	7 0 70	13%	01 /0	11%	20/	19%	00 /0
Greater New Ha					242		3%		270
Active on BNL	398	86	312	52	346	42	10	76	270
Median Days Active	132	106	141	88	151	97	56	112	154
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecoras)							
0	-			-	-	-	-	-	
	2% (7) 3% (11)	1% (1) 2% (2)	2% (6) 3% (9)	<u>-</u>	2% (7) 3% (11)			1% (1) 3% (2)	2% (6) 3% (9)
3	6% (22) 6% (24)	9% (8) 5% (4)	4% (14) 6% (20)	2% (1) 10% (5)	6% (21) 5% (19)	2% (1) 10% (4)	- 10% (1)	11% (8) 4% (3)	5% (13) 6% (16)
5	12% (47)	10% (9) 12% (10)	12% (38) 10% (30)	12% (6)	12% (41) 10% (33)	12% (5)	10% (1)	11% (8)	12% (33) 9% (24)
7	10% (40) 12% (48)	14% (12)	12% (36)	13% (7)	10% (33)	24% (10)	10% (1) -	12% (9) 16% (12)	9% (24) 10% (26) 11% (30)
	12% (47) 9% (36)	15% (13) 9% (8)	11% (34) 9% (28)	12% (6) 13% (7) 19% (10) 12% (6) 8% (4)	12% (41) 9% (32)	10.% (4) 12% (5) 14% (6) 24% (10) 10% (4) 2% (1) 10% (4)	20% (2) 30% (3)	14% (11) 7% (5)	10% (27)
10	8% (33) 8% (30)	5% (4) 9% (8)	11% (34) 9% (28) 9% (29) 7% (22)	10% (5) 10% (5)	11% (38) 12% (41) 9% (32) 8% (28) 7% (25)	10% (4)	10% (1) 10% (1)	4% (3) 9% (7)	9% (25) 7% (18)
12	5% (18)	3% (3)	5% (15)	4% (2) 2% (1)	5% (16) 5% (17)	10% (4) 5% (2)		4% (3) 1% (1)	5% (13)
	5% (18) 2% (7)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (15) 5% (17) 2% (6)	2% (1)	5% (17) 2% (7) 3% (9)	2% (1)	-	1% (1)	5% (13) 6% (16) 2% (6) 3% (8)
	2% (9) 0% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (8)		3% (9) 0% (1)	-	-	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (8)
17 18	-								
Average Assessment Score	7.69	7.35	7.79	7.52	7.72	7.43	7.90	7.28	7.84
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on a			tad in multiple rows	dononding on the	oir aamhination of c	iraumatanaa			
Refuses CAN Assistance		-							
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	49	0	49	1	48	1	0	0	48
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered									
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Matched/Awarded	63	5	58	22	41	20	2	3	38
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing		40			40				
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	10	3	0	13	0	0	10	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	96	86	10	11	85	1	10	76	9
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	36	13	23	4	32	3	1	12	20
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive									
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	0	8	2	6	2	0	0	6
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	13	31	6	38	5	1	12	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	/								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Housed - Self-Resolved	. ,								
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	8	4	4	1	7	1	0	4	3
Housed - PSH	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH				·					
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Housed - All Other	7	0	7	2	5	2	0	0	5
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other  Housed Outflow subtotal	26	4	22	6	20	6	0	4	16
Inactive - Unable to Contact									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	5	3	0	8	0	0	5	3
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	70	7	63	0	70	0	0	7	63
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	78	12	66	0	78	0	0	12	66
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	104	16	88	6	98	6	0	16	82
NET INFLOW	-60	-3	-57	0	-60	-1	1	-4	-56
			٧,					7	Page 15

MMW CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Porc	entage of	Toutil	84%	Faiiilles	81%	(NOTI-TOULT)	(Toutil)	(Touill)	69%
	MW CAN	16%		19%		15%	4%	12%	
Active on BNL	108	17	91	20	88	16	4	13	75
Median Days Active	94	55	96	91	95	92	54	69	104
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0 1	- 1% (1)	- -	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	- 1% (1)		<u></u>	<u> </u>	- 1% (1)
2	4% (4) 9% (10)	12% (2) 6% (1)	2% (2) 10% (9)	10% (2) -	2% (2) 11% (10)	6% (1) -	25% (1) -	8% (1) 8% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1) 12% (9) 11% (8)
4	12% (13)	18% (3)	11% (10)	10% (2)	13% (11)	13% (2)	- 25% (1)	8% (1) 23% (3)	11% (8)
6	16% (17) 20% (22)	6% (1) 35% (6)	18% (16) 18% (16)	15% (3) 15% (3)	16% (14) 22% (19)	13% (2) 13% (2)	25% (1) 25% (1)	- 38% (5)	19% (14) 19% (14)
7	6% (7) 13% (14)	- 6% (1)	8% (7) 14% (13)	10% (2)	22% (19) 6% (5) 10% (9)	13% (2) 13% (2) 13% (2) 13% (2) 25% (4) 6% (1) 13% (2)	- 25% (1)	<u> </u>	7% (5)
9	5% (5)		5% (5) 5% (5)	25% (5) 5% (1)	5% (4) 3% (3)	6% (1)	-		5% (4) 4% (3) 4% (3) 4% (3) 1% (1)
10	5% (5) 3% (3)	-	3% (3) 3% (3)	10% (2) -	3% (3) 3% (3) 6% (5)	13% (2)	<u>-</u>		4% (3) 4% (3)
12	5% (5) 2% (2)	12% (2) 6% (1)	3% (3) 1% (1)		6% (5) 2% (2)			15% (2) 8% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1)
14	-				-				
15 16	-		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	
17	-	<del></del>	<del>-</del>		<del></del>	-		<del>-</del>	<del></del>
Average Assessment Score	6.32	6.18	6.35	6.40	6.31	6.69	5.25	6.46	6.28
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	20	1	19	9	11	8	1	0	11
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	17	17	0	4	13	0	4	13	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	19	4	15	1	18	0	1	3	15
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	19	4	15	1	18	0	1	3	15
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys						-		
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Öther Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	14	0	14	5	9	5	0	0	9
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	15	1	14	5	10	5	0	1	9
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	19	1	18	6	13	6	0	1	12
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	0	3	-3	-5	5	-6	1	2	<b>3</b> Page 16

6/12/2016 FIT BINL REPORT	AIL	AIL	AII	AII	_A II	Families		eau.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	ntage of		89%		83%		, , ,		73%
	ast CAN	11%		17%		16%	1%	10%	
Active on BNL	70	8	62	12	58	11	1	7	51
c Median Days Active	91	78	97	57	94	64	48	78	99
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		_	-		-	-			
1			-		-				- 00/ (4)
3	6% (4) 1% (1)		6% (4) 2% (1)	<del>-</del>	7% (4) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>			0% (4) 2% (1)
5	13% (9) 9% (6)	13% (1) -	13% (8) 10% (6)	<u>8% (1)</u> -	14% (8) 10% (6)	9% (1) -		14% (1) -	8% (4) 2% (1) 14% (7) 12% (6)
	20% (14) 13% (9)	13% (1) 25% (2)	21% (13)	25% (3) 25% (3)	19% (11) 10% (6)	27% (3) 18% (2)	100% (1)	14% (1) 14% (1)	20% (10) 10% (5)
8	10% (7) 11% (8)	-	11% (7)	25% (3) 8% (1) 8% (1)	7% (4)	18% (2) 27% (3) 9% (1) 9% (1)			8% (4) 10% (5)
10	4% (3)	25% (2) 13% (1)	3% (2)	8% (1)	3% (2)	9% (1)		29% (2) 14% (1)	2% (1)
12	10% (7) 1% (1)	13% (1) -	11% (7) 11% (7) 10% (6) 3% (2) 10% (6) 2% (1)	<del>-</del>	7% (4) 12% (7) 3% (2) 12% (7) 2% (1)	<del>-</del>		14% (1) -	2% (1) 12% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1)
14	1% (1)	- -	2% <u>(1)</u> -		2% (1) -				2% (1) -
15								-	
17		-			<u>-</u>				
E Average Assessment Score	6.91	7.88	6.79	7.17	6.86	7.18	7.00	8.00	6.71
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	denending on the	oir combination of a	ircumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance							0	^	^
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	1	5	1	0	0	5
Known Unsheltered	12	2	10	0	12	0	0	2	10
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12	۷	10		12			Z	10
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	15	1	14	5	10	5	0	1	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing					·				·
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	9	8	1	2	7	1	1	7	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	2	0	າ	0	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						2			
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	10	1	9	3	7	2	1	0	7
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved		I		J	ı	۷	I		ı
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	12	1	11	3	9	2	1	0	9
Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution		^			^				^
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	^	^	^	^	^	^			^
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
× Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	13	1	12	3	10	2	1	0	10
z NET INFLOW	-10	-1	-9	-1	-9	0	-1	0	<b>-9</b> Page 17

6/12/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of	200/	78%		80%				72%
South	east CAN	22%		20%		6%	14%	9%	
Active on BNL	162	36	126	32	130	10	22	14	116
Median Days Active	54	75	53	132	50	91	152	36	53
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
0	-								
2	1% (2) 1% (2)	3% (1) -	1% (1) 2% (2)		2% (2) 2% (2) 7% (9)		<del>-</del>	7% (1) -	1% (1) 2% (2)
3 4	7% (11) 9% (14)	8% (3) 19% (7)	6% (8) 6% (7)	6% (2) 16% (5)	7% (9) 7% (9)	- 10% (1)	9% (2) 18% (4) 18% (4)	7% (1) 21% (3) 14% (2)	7% (8) 5% (6)
5	14% (23) 16% (26)	17% (6) 17% (6)	6% (7) 13% (17) 16% (20)	22% (7) 16% (5)	7% (9) 12% (16) 16% (21)	30% (3) 10% (1)	18% (4) 18% (4) 14% (3)	14% (2) 14% (2)	2% (2) 7% (8) 5% (6) 12% (14) 16% (19) 15% (17)
7	15% (24) 10% (16)	17% (6) 14% (5) 8% (3)	15% (19) 10% (13) 9% (11) 10% (13)	16% (5) 22% (7) 16% (5) 16% (5) 6% (2)	15% (19) 11% (14)	20% (2)	14% (3) 9% (2)	14% (2) 14% (2) 7% (1)	15% (17) 11% (13)
9	9% (14) 9% (14)	8% (3) 3% (1)	9% (11) 10% (13)	6% (2) 6% (2)	9% (12) 9% (12)	- 10% (1)	9% (2) 9% (2) 5% (1)	7% (1)	11% (13) 9% (11) 10% (12)
11 12	4% (7) 2% (4)	3% (1)	5% (6) 3% (4)	3% (1) 3% (1)	5% (6) 2% (3)	10% (1) 10% (1)		- 7% (1) -	4% (5) 3% (3)
13	1% (2)		2% (2)		2% (2)				2% (2)
15	2% (3)		2% (3)		2% (3)				3% (3) -
17	-	 							 
Average Assessment Score	6.94	5.86	7.25	6.34	7.08	7.20	5.95	5.71	7.25
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of o	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Known Unsheltered  Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	35	2	33	0	35	0	0	2	33
Matched/Awarded	43	4	39	6	37	4	2	2	35
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	36	20	16	24	12	4	20	0	12
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	37	36	1	23	14	1	22	14	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	I								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the		T				I			
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	31	6	25	2	29	1	1	5	24
Returned from Inactive	14	2	12	1	13	0	1	1	12
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	8	37	3	42	1	2	6	36
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the  Housed - Self-Resolved	· · ·								
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	10	5	5	3	7	1	2	3	4
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	3	1	2	1	2	0	1	0	2
Housed - RRH	10	0	10	2	8	2	0	0	8
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	3	1	2	1	2	0	1	0	2
Housed Outflow subtotal	26	7	19	7	19	3	4	3	16
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U 	U 	U	U		U 	U 
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	33	7	26	7	26	-2	<u>4</u> -2	3	23
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	12	1	11	-4	16	-2	-2	J	<b>13</b> Page 18

6/12/2018 FYI BNL Report			All						.gov with questions
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of		94%		88%				83%
Waterbury Litcht	ield CAN	6%		12%		11%	1%	5%	
Active on BNL	262	17	245	31	231	28	3	14	217
Median Days Active	151	119	153	137	153	127	211	90	154
Assessment Score Distribution (amo									
0	-		-	-	-	-	-		
1	3% (8) 3% (9)		3% (8) 4% (9)	3% (1)	3% (7) 4% (9)	4% (1)			3% (7) 4% (9) 6% (13) 8% (18)
3	6% (16)	12% (2)	6% (14) 7% (18)	3% (1) 3% (1)	6% (15) 8% (18)	4% (1)		14% (2)	6% (13)
5	7% (19) 12% (31)	6% (1) 18% (3)	7% (18) 11% (28)	3% (1) 19% (6)	11% (25)	21% (6)	33% (1)	21% (3)	8% (18) 10% (22)
6	17% (45)	12% (2)	18% (43)	19% (6) 19% (6)	17% (39)	18% (5)	33% (1)	21% (3) 7% (1)	18% (38)
7	13% (34) 12% (31)	18% (3)	13% (31) 13% (31)	16% (5) 6% (2)	13% (29)	18% (5) 18% (5) 7% (2)		21% (3)	12% (26)
9	10% (27)	6% (1)	11% (26)	13% (4)	17% (39) 13% (29) 13% (29) 10% (23)	14% (4)		7% (1)	10% (22) 18% (38) 12% (26) 13% (29) 10% (22)
10	6% (17) 5% (14)	6% (1) 18% (3)	7% (16) 4% (11)	13% (4) 6% (2) 3% (1)	6% (15) 6% (13) 3% (7) 0% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1)	33% (1)	21% (3)	7% (15) 5% (10)
12	3% (7)	6% (1)	4% (11) 2% (6) 0% (1)	- 370 (1)	3% (7)	- 470 (1)	-	7% (1)	3% (6)
13	0% (1) 0% (1)		0% (1) 0% (1)		0% (1) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3% (6) 0% (1) 0% (1)
15	0% (1)		0% (1)	3% (1)		4% (1)			
16	- 0% (1)		- 0% (1)	- 3% (1)	<del>-</del>	- 4% (1)		<del>-</del>	
18	-		-	-		-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score	6.76	7.18	6.73	7.23	6.70	7.29	6.67	7.29	6.66
Status/Conditions Followed (among			tod in multiple	donordina	oir combined and	olroumot			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	15	0	15 	0	15	0	0	0	15 
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	46	1	45	1	45	1	0	1	44
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	14	4	10	3	11	2	1	3	8
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing  Youth at Time of Assessment	6	1	5	2	4	2	0	1	3
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	17	2	4	15	1	3	14	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.	T		T		T			
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	15	3	12	3	12	3	0	3	9
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	3	17	3	17	3	0	3	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	9	1	8	3	6	3	0	1	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	7	3	4	3	0	0	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	16	1	15	6	10	6	0	1	9
NET INFLOW	4	2	2	-3	7	-3	0	2	5

### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

**Row C** – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

### STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

**Row Q** - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

**Row T** – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

**Row Y** - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).