Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)									
233 -7 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
1 73 no change -5 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	23	0	7						
Fairfield County	63	1	18						
Greater Hartford	41	0	12						
Greater New Haven	41	0	10						
MMW	14	0	1						
Northeast	20	0	6						
Southeast	12	0	11						

Active Individuals (Youth)									
Active in	aiviaua	ils (Youth)							
212 -1 from last week									
full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9									
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing						
8 25									
-1 from last week		-1 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	13	1	0						
Fairfield County	58	1	2						
Greater Hartford	52	0	8						
Greater New Haven	34	0	8						
MMW	13	0	0						
Northeast	12	2	2						
Southeast	13	0	1						
Waterbury Litchfield	17	4	4						

Active	Active Families (Youth)									
47										
-1 from last week										
full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8 Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing										
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing							
0 10										
no change		-1 from la	st week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	0	0	0							
Fairfield County	8	0	2							
Greater Hartford	6	0	4							
Greater New Haven	4	0	1							
MMW	2	0	0							
Northeast	2	0	1							
Southeast	21	0	0							
Waterbury Litchfield	4	0	2							

· ·		O	_							
Active Indiv	viduals ((Non-You	th)							
1,516										
+19 from last week										
full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10										
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing										
168 239										
no change +4 from last week										
no change		+4 from la	st week							
no change	Active	+4 from la	nst week Matched							
no change Central	Active									
		Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	106	Unsheltered	Matched 18							
Central Fairfield County	106 341	Unsheltered 12 10	Matched 18 55							
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford	106 341 405	Unsheltered 12 10 36	Matched 18 55 44							
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	106 341 405 214	12 10 36 18	Matched 18 55 44 46							
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	106 341 405 214 84	12 10 36 18 4	Matched 18 55 44 46 9							
Central Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW Northeast	106 341 405 214 84 66	12 10 36 18 4 18	Matched 18 55 44 46 9 19							

All Records Statewide Central Fairfield Hartford New Haven MMW Norther Percentage of Statewide All Records A Cative on BNL 2,008 142 470 504 293 113 100 Median Days Active 120 117 125 136 117 106 84 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score.	8% 159 60	11% 227 196
All Records 7% 23% 25% 15% 6% 5% B Active on BNL 2,008 142 470 504 293 113 100 C Median Days Active 120 117 125 136 117 106 84 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	159 60	227 196
All Records 7% 23% 25% 15% 6% 5% B Active on BNL 2,008 142 470 504 293 113 100 C Median Days Active 120 117 125 136 117 106 84 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	159 60	227 196
Median Days Active 120 117 125 136 117 106 84 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	60	196
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)		_
		-
DIL ount of all active records having each accessment score	1% (2) 4% (7)	
0 0% (2) 0% (2)	1% (2) 4% (7)	00/ /=\
2% (42) 1% (2) 3% (14) 1% (4) 1% (1) -	4% (7)	3% (7) 4% (9) 7% (17)
2 4% (82) 4% (5) 6% (27) 5% (25) 2% (5) 3% (3) 6% (6) 3 8% (153) 8% (11) 10% (48) 10% (50) 3% (10) 7% (8) 2% (2) 4 10% (202) 8% (11) 11% (50) 11% (57) 8% (22) 12% (13) 14% (14	12% (19)	7% (17) 7% (16)
5 13% (253) 13% (18) 13% (62) 14% (69) 8% (24) 17% (19) 9% (9)	18% (28)	11% (24)
6	16% (25)	13% (30) 11% (24)
8 10% (210) 13% (18) 9% (43) 9% (46) 11% (31) 10% (11) 14% (14) 9 8% (165) 11% (15) 7% (35) 7% (33) 12% (36) 4% (5) 7% (7) 10 6% (127) 6% (9) 6% (30) 4% (21) 10% (30) 8% (9) 6% (6)	8% (12)	12% (27) 10% (22) 7% (17)
6 14% (277) 13% (18) 14% (67) 14% (69) 10% (30) 22% (25) 13% (13) 7 12% (247) 13% (19) 10% (48) 13% (67) 13% (39) 10% (11) 14% (14 8 10% (210) 13% (18) 9% (43) 9% (46) 11% (31) 10% (11) 14% (14 9 8% (165) 11% (15) 7% (35) 7% (33) 12% (36) 4% (5) 7% (7) 7% (7) 10 6% (127) 6% (9) 6% (30) 4% (21) 10% (30) 8% (9) 6% (6) 11 5% (109) 4% (5) 5% (22) 5% (24) 10% (30) 3% (3) 5% (5) 12 3% (56) 5% (7) 1% (4) 3% (14) 4% (11) 3% (3) 4% (4) 13 2% (46) 1% (1) 2% (8) 2% (9) 5% (15) 1% (1) 5% (5) 14 1% (18) 1% (2) 1% (3) 1% (4) 1% (3) - - -	8% (12) 3% (5) 3% (5) 3% (4)	7% (17)
12 3% (56) 5% (7) 1% (4) 3% (14) 4% (11) 3% (3) 4% (4) 13 2% (46) 1% (1) 2% (8) 2% (9) 5% (15) 1% (1) 5% (5) 14 1% (18) 1% (2) 1% (3) 1% (4) 1% (3)	2% (3) 2% (3)	7% (15) 4% (9) 2% (4) 1% (3)
15 1% (16) 1% (1) 1% (6) 0% (1) 1% (2) 1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (3) 1% (1)	1% (3) 1% (3)
16 0% (3) - 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1)		
E Average Assessment Score 6.76 6.93 6.30 6.31 7.92 6.42 7.13	6.81	- 7.07
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)		
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance		_
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	3
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness 198 5 48 45 51 9 9	10	21
Known Unsheltered 177 13 12 36 18 4 20	 26	48
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		40
Matched/Awarded 347 25 77 68 65 10 28	33	41
Enrolled in Transitional Housing 138 16 51 10 17 7 0	34	3
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 284 17 71 67 41 16 14	34	24
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.		
Nowly Added	10	00
Clients who have never been active before 213 10 39 30 30 17 10	18 	23
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 42 2 9 2 1 8	16	2
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL 255 20 68 32 40 18 18	34	25
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days		
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Self-Resolved		
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	2
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 44 2 22 9 8 1 1	1	0
Housed - RRH 41 3 8 7 6 0 1	16	0
United to housing in past 30 days, with RRH		
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 23 0 4 9 3 0 0	5	2
s Housed Outflow subtotal 142 7 44 27 20 2 5	33	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 55 3 43 3 0 1	4	1
Inactive - In an Institution 5 0 1 0 2 0 1	0	1
Unactive In past 30 days, in an institution		
Mactive - Deceased	0	0
Inactive - All Other 9 0 1 0 2 2 1	1	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	5	4
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 212 10 89 30 24 4 9	38	8
z NET INFLOW 43 10 -21 2 16 14 9	-4	17

All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		Central	raiiileiu	Hartioiu	New Havell	IVIIVIVV	Northeast	Southeast	Literineia
	All Youth	5%	25%	22%	15%	6%	5%	13%	8%
Active on BNL	259	13	66	58	38	15	14	34	21
c Median Days Active	83	49	91	63	144	74	55	90	99
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.	ng active re	ecords)							
0	-	-							
	2% (4) 1% (3)	<u>-</u>	3% (2) 2% (1)	2% (1)	3% (1) -	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	10% (2)
	5% (13) 11% (29)	-	9% (6) 6% (4)	3% (2) 9% (5)	3% (1) 5% (2)	- 27% (4)	- 14% (2)	3% (1) 29% (10)	14% (3) 10% (2)
5	15% (38)	15% (2)	15% (10)	21% (12) 19% (11)	3% (1)	27% (4) 7% (1)	14% (2)	21% (7) 12% (4)	14% (3)
7	17% (43) 12% (31)	8% (1) 8% (1)	15% (10) 20% (13) 6% (4)	19% (11)	11% (4) 18% (7)	27% (4) 7% (1)	29% (4) 7% (1)	12% (4) 18% (6)	10% (2) -
	12% (31) 11% (29)	8% (1) 38% (5)	18% (12)	12% (7) 9% (5) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	16% (6) 11% (4) 13% (5)	20% (3)	<u>-</u>	18% (6) 3% (1) 9% (3) 3% (1)	5% (1) 14% (3) 5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1)
	6% (15) 4% (10)	15% (2) -	14% (9) 5% (3) 2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	13% (5) 11% (4)	- 7% (1)	14% (2) 14% (2)	3% (1) -	5% (1) 5% (1)
12	2% (4)		-	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (1)	7% (1)		- 20/ (1)	5% (1)
14	2% (6) 1% (2)	8% (1)	<u>2% (1)</u> 		5% (2) -			3% (1) 	5% (1)
16	0% (1) -						7% (1) -		
17	<u>. </u>				<u> </u>				
E Average Assessment Score	6.83	8.46	6.44	6.50	8.05	6.60	7.57	5.94	6.81
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on to			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		U			U 	U	U	·	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
Known Unsheltered	8	1	 1	0	0	0	2	0	4
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		' 							
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	35	0	4	12	9	0	3	1	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	51	6	10	0	11	3	0	20	 1
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	J1		10		 				I
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	26	0	6	8	2	0	1	6	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	39	0	15	10	0	3	1	7	3
Returned from Inactive	7	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	•	1							l .
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	46	1	15	10	2	3	4	7	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	8	1	3	0	2	0	0	2	0
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		l 			<u></u>		·	<u></u>	
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	10	1	2	2	0	0	1	4	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH		'						·	
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	24	2	7	3	3	0	1	8	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution								· 	
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	28	2	9	3	4	0	1	9	0
z NET INFLOW	18	-1	6	7	-2	3	3	-2	4

	11/20/2018 FTT BNL Repoli				Greater	er Greater		Contact beau.anderson@ct.g		• •
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Δ	Percentage of S All No	tatewide on-Youth	7%	23%	26%	15%	6%	5%	7%	12%
_	Active on BNL	1,749	129	404	446	255	98	86	125	206
C	Median Days Active	125	117	127	147	112	109	90	57	199
- L	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			141	141	112	103	30	JI	133
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		colus							
		0% (2)	-	0% (2)	3% (13)	- 40/ /2\	- 40/ (4)			- 20/ (7)
	2	2% (38) 5% (79)	2% (2) 4% (5)	3% (12) 6% (26) 10% (42)	6% (25) 11% (48)	1% (3) 2% (5)	1% (1) 3% (3) 8% (8) 9% (9) 18% (18)	7% (6) 2% (2)	2% (2) 5% (6)	3% (7) 3% (7) 7% (14)
		8% (140) 10% (173)	9% (11) 9% (11)	10% (42) 11% (46)	11% (48) 12% (52)	4% (9) 8% (20)	8% (8) 9% (9)	2% (2) 14% (12)	5% (6) 7% (9)	7% (14) 7% (14)
	5	12% (215)	9% (11) 12% (16)	13% (52) 13% (54)	12% (52) 13% (57)	8% (20) 9% (23)	18% (18)	8% (7)	17% (21)	10% (21)
	7	13% (234) 12% (216)	13% (17) 14% (18)	11% (44)	13% (56)	10% (26) 13% (32)	21% (21) 10% (10)	10% (9) 15% (13)	17% (21) 15% (19)	14% (28) 12% (24)
		10% (179) 8% (136)	13% (17) 8% (10)	8% (31) 6% (26)	9% (39) 6% (28)	10% (25) 13% (32) 10% (25)	8% (8) 5% (5)	16% (14) 8% (7)	15% (19) 7% (9)	13% (26) 9% (19) 8% (16)
	10	6% (112)	5% (7) 4% (5)	8% (31) 6% (26) 7% (27) 5% (21)	13% (58) 13% (56) 9% (39) 6% (28) 4% (20) 5% (23) 3% (13) 2% (8) 1% (4)	10% (25)	8% (8) 5% (5) 9% (9) 2% (2) 2% (2)	16% (14) 8% (7) 5% (4) 3% (3) 5% (4)	15% (19) 7% (9) 3% (4) 4% (5) 3% (4)	8% (16)
	12	6% (99) 3% (52)	5% (7)	1% (4)	3% (23) 3% (13)	10% (26) 4% (10)	2% (2) 2% (2)	5% (3) 5% (4)	4% (5) 3% (4)	7% (14) 4% (8) 1% (3) 1% (2)
		2% (40) 1% (16)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)	2% (8) 1% (4)	4% (10) 5% (13) 1% (3)	1% (1)	6% (5) -	2% (2) 2% (3)	1% (3) 1% (2)
	15	1% (15)	1% (1)	1% (6)	0% (1)	1% (2)	1% (1)		1% (1)	1% (3)
	17	0% (3) -		0% (1) -	0% (1) 	0% <u>(1)</u> -	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	-
E	18 Average Assessment Score	6.75	6.78	6.28	6.29	- 7.91	6.39	- 7.06	- 7.05	7.09
9	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	192	5	48	43	49	9	7	10	21
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	169	12	11	36	18	4	18	26	44
-	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	312	25	73	56	56	10	25	32	35
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	87	10	41	10	6	4	0	14	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	25	4	5	9	3	1	0	0	3
I	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
(Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	174	18	44	20	38	14	9	11	20
М	Returned from Inactive	35	1	9	2	0	1	5	16	1
N _	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	209	19	53	22	38	15	14	27	21
··-	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		13				,,,	17	E 1	<u> </u>
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days							
٦	Housed - Self-Resolved	26	1	7	2	1	1	3	9	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		·				·			<u>-</u>
Р	Housea - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	42	2	22	8	7	1	1	1	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	31	2	6	5	6	0	0	12	0
-	Housed - All Other	19	0	2	9	3	0	0	3	2
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other							4		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	118	5	37	24	17	2	-	25	4
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	52	3	41	3	0	0	1	3	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	9	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	2
 x	Other Outflow subtotal	66	3	43	3	3	2	4	4	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	184	8	80	27	20	4	8	29	8
z	NET INFLOW	25	11	-27	-5	18	11	6	-2	13
L		-			-	-		-		Page 4

11/20/2018 F11 BNL REPOIL				Greater	ter Greater		Contact beau.anderson@ct.g		• •	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of S	Statewide		050/							
_	Families	8%	25%	17%	16%	6%	8%	12%	8%	
Active on BNL	280	23	71	47	45	16	22	33	23	
Median Days Active	79	61	112	105	90	57	63	97	48	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)								
Count of all active records having each assessment score	.	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1	0% (1) 3% (7)	4% (1)	- 6% (4)	- 2% (1)			- 5% (1)		4% (1)	
3	3% (7) 9% (26)	4% (1) 4% (1)	7% (5) 6% (4)	13% (6)	9% (4)	- 6% (1)	9% (2)	3% (1) 21% (7)		
5	13% (37)	22% (5)	17% (12)	13% (6)	11% (5)	13% (2)	-	18% (6)	9% (2) 4% (1)	
6	14% (40) 14% (38)	35% (8) 9% (2)	8% (6) 11% (8)	13% (6) 13% (6)	11% (5) 13% (6)	19% (3) 13% (2) 25% (4)	14% (3) 27% (6)	15% (5) 12% (4) 9% (3) 9% (3) 3% (1)	17% (4) 17% (4)	
8	14% (38) 10% (29)	17% (4) -	15% (11) 13% (9)	11% (5) 11% (5)	13% (6) 13% (6) 13% (6) 9% (4)	-	18% (4) 9% (2) 9% (2)	9% (3) 9% (3)	4% (1) 17% (4)	
10	7% (19) 5% (15)	- -	10% (7) 1% (1)	4% (2) 2% (1) 15% (7)	9% (4) 16% (7)	13% (2) 6% (1)	9% (2)	3% (1) 6% (2)	4% (1) 13% (3)	
12	4% (11) 2% (6)	4% (1) 4% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	15% (7) 2% (1)	- 4% (2)	6% (1) -	- 5% (1)	-	4% (1) -	
14	1% (2) 1% (2)		1% (1)				5% (1)	3% (1)	- 4% (1)	
16	1% (2)	-	1% (1)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>				
17 18 Average Assessment Score	- 700		-			7.50		-		
Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.33	6.48 rds)	6.99	7.72	7.96	7.56	7.64	6.55	7.83	
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Chronic (Verified)	10	0	4	4	1	0	1	0	0	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	1	0	 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	ļ		·							
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	83	7	20	16 	11 	1	7 	11 	10	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	34	0	11	1	1	0	0	20	1	
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	52	0	9	7	6	2	2	21	5	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	1									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	53	6	12	7	5	3	6	5	9	
Returned from Inactive	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	56	6	12	8	6	4	6	5	9	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da						•				
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL in	the past 30 days								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	0	5	0	2	0	2	2	0	
Housed - PSH	8	0	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	14	2	4	2	2	0	1 	3	0	
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	14	0	3	6	2	0	0	3	0	
Housed Outflow subtotal	47	2	15	12	7	0	3	8	0	
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	0	0	 1	0	0	0	 1	
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	3	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	54	2	<u>∠</u> 17	13	8	0	3	8	<u> </u>	
z NET INFLOW	2	4	-5	-5	-2	4	3	-3	6	
		<u> </u>							Page 5	

All Individuals Statewide Central Fairfield Hartford New Haven MMW Norther		
	st Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of Statewide All Individuals 7% 25% 14% 6% 5%	7%	12%
B Active on BNL 1,728 119 399 457 248 97 78	126	204
c Median Days Active 126 137 125 142 126 124 101	55	209
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		203
0 0% (2) - 1% (2)		- 20/ (6)
1 2% (41) 2% (2) 4% (14) 3% (14) 2% (4) 1% (1) - 2 4% (75) 3% (4) 6% (23) 5% (24) 2% (5) 3% (3) 6% (5)	2% (2)	3% (6) 4% (9) 8% (17)
8% (146)	2% (2) 5% (6)	8% (17)
4 10% (176) 9% (11) 12% (46) 11% (51) 7% (18) 12% (12) 15% (12 5 13% (216) 11% (13) 13% (50) 14% (63) 8% (19) 18% (17) 12% (9) 10% (12) 17% (22)	7% (14) 11% (23)
1/0/ (237) 80/ (10) 150/ (61) 1/0/ (63) 100/ (25) 230/ (22) 130/ (10) 16% (20)	13% (26) 10% (20)
7 12% (209) 14% (17) 10% (40) 13% (61) 13% (33) 9% (9) 10% (8) 8 10% (172) 12% (14) 8% (32) 9% (41) 10% (25) 7% (7) 13% (10 9 8% (136) 13% (15) 7% (26) 6% (28) 12% (30) 5% (5) 6% (5)) 13% (17)	13% (26)
7) 13% (17) 7% (9) 3% (4) 2% (3) 3% (4)	13% (26) 9% (18) 8% (16)
10 6% (108) 8% (9) 6% (23) 4% (19) 10% (26) 7% (7) 5% (4) 11 5% (94) 4% (5) 5% (21) 5% (23) 9% (23) 2% (2) 6% (5) 12 3% (45) 5% (6) 1% (3) 2% (7) 4% (11) 2% (2) 5% (4)	2% (3)	6% (12)
12 3% (45) 5% (6) 1% (3) 2% (7) 4% (11) 2% (2) 5% (4) 13 2% (40) - 2% (7) 2% (8) 5% (13) 1% (1) 5% (4)	3% (4)	6% (12) 4% (8) 2% (4) 1% (3)
13 2% (40) - 2% (7) 2% (8) 5% (13) 1% (1) 5% (4) 14 1% (16) 2% (2) 1% (2) 1% (4) 1% (3)	2% (3) 2% (2)	2% (4) 1% (3)
170 (14) 170 (15) 170 (17) 170	1% (1)	1% (2)
16 0% (1)		
18	-	-
E Average Assessment Score 6.67 7.02 6.18 6.17 7.92 6.23 6.99 Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	6.88	6.98
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.		
Defines CAN Assistance		
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy 17 1 2 6 0 1 1	3	3
Chronic (Verified) 188 5 44 41 50 9 8	10	21
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness 100 3 44 41 30 3	10	۷۱
Known Unsheltered 176 13 11 36 18 4 20	26	48
Clients that are confirmed to be unshelfered		
Matched/Awarded 264 18 57 52 54 9 21	22	31
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing 104 16 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing 104 16 40 9 16 7 0	14	2
Youth at Time of Accessment	12	19
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 232 17 62 60 35 14 12	13	19
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.		
Newly Added 160 12 47 23 33 14 4	13	14
Clients who have never been active before		
Returned from Inactive M. Clients inactive for any reason who are now active. 39 2 9 1 1 0 8	16	2
N Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL 199 14 56 24 34 14 12	29	16
	29	10
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.		
Hayand Calf Danahyad		
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	2
Housed - PSH 36 2 19 5 7 1 1	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	l 	U
Housed - RRH 27 1 4 5 4 0 0	13	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH		
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 9 0 1 3 1 0 0	2	2
Comment of the control of the contro	25	4
Inactive Unable to Contact	20	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 51 3 41 2 0 0 1	4	0
Inactive In an Institution	^	^
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 4 0 1 0 2 0 1	0	0
Inactive - Deceased 1 0 0 0 0 1	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		·
Inactive - All Other	1	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		4
x Other Outflow subtotal 63 3 43 2 3 2 4	5	7
V Outflow from Active List TOTAL 158 8 72 17 16 4 6	30	5
z NET INFLOW 41 6 -16 7 18 10 6	-1	11

Ī		Greater Greater Wat					Waterbury/			
	Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
ľ	Percentage of S									
Δ	Families (No		10%	27%	18%	18%	6%	9%	5%	8%
R	Active on BNL	233	23	63	41	41	14	20	12	19
C	Median Days Active		61	112	105	83	57	65	69	48
~	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			112	100		- 51			
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		000140)							
Ī	0	- 0% (1)			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			- 5% (1)
	2	3% (7)	4% (1)	6% (4)	2% (1)			5% (1)		
	3	3% (6) 6% (15)	4% (1) -	8% (5) 6% (4)	7% (3)	7% (3)		10% (2)	- 17% (2)	- 5% (1)
	5	13% (30) 14% (33)	22% (5) 35% (8)	17% (11) 6% (4)	15% (6) 15% (6)	12% (5) 12% (5)	14% (2) 14% (2)	- 10% (2)	8% (1) 17% (2)	- 21% (4)
	7 8	15% (34) 15% (34)	9% (2) 17% (4)	11% (7) 13% (8)	15% (6) 12% (5)	15% (6) 15% (6)	14% (2) 29% (4)	30% (6) 20% (4)	8% (1) 17% (2)	21% (4) 5% (1)
	9	10% (24)		14% (9)	10% (4) 5% (2)	12% (5)	-	10% (2)	8% (1)	16% (3)
	10	6% (15) 6% (14)		10% (6) 2% (1)	5% (2) 2% (1)	12% (5) 7% (3) 15% (6)	14% (2) 7% (1)	10% (2) -	- 17% (2)	16% (3)
	12	4% (10) 2% (5)	4% (1) 4% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	15% (6) -	- 5% (2)	7% (1) -	- 5% (1)		5% (1) -
	14	1% (2)		2% (1)		-	-		8% (1)	
	15	0% (1) 1% (2)	-	2% (1)	2% (1)	- -	-			5% (1) -
	17 18	-				-			<u>-</u>	-
E	Average Assessment Score	7.43	6.48	6.95	7.73	7.90	7.93	7.35	7.75	8.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ad in multiple rows	depending on the	air combination of cin	cumetancae			
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance							^	^	^
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Chronic (Verified)	9	0	4	3	1	0	1	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	 								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
ľ	Matched/Awarded	73	7	18	12	10	1	6	11	8
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	 	·				·			
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	0	9	1	0	0	0	0	1
-	Youth at Time of Assessment	5	0	 1	1	2	0	0	0	1
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	J	U	ı	ı		0	0	0	Į.
	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	us post 20 days								
F	Newly Added		_			_		_		
L	Clients who have never been active before	46	6	12	7	5	2	6	1	7
	Returned from Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	48	6	12	8	5	3	6	1	7
IN	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		U	12	0	J	J	U	ı	1
	Dutinow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days.							
ľ	Housed - Self-Resolved	•	0	4	0	1	0	2	1	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-			т				<u> </u>		
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	7	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0
ľ	Housed - RRH	9	2	3	1	2	0	0	1	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	J 3	<u> </u>	ა	I	۷	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l 	U
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	0	2	6	2	0	0	1	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	35	2	12	10	6	0	2	3	0
-	Inactive - Unable to Contact		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	J 3	U	I	I	U	U		U	l
IJ	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
٦.	Inactive - Deceased		^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۱۸/	Inactive - All Other	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Y	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
Ŷ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	41	2	13	11	7	0	2	3	3
z	NET INFLOW	7	4	<u>-13</u>	-3	-2	3	4	-2	4
-L	2017	<u> </u>	1 -	•		_		•		Page 7

		11/20/2018 FIT BNL Kepon						Contact beau.anderson@ct.g		Waterbury/	
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield	
A	Percentage of S Familie	Statewide s (Youth)	0%	17%	13%	9%	4%	4%	45%	9%	
R	Active on BNL	47	0	8	6	4	2	2	21	4	
_	Median Days Active	106		127	103	131	56	39	121	40	
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score	ng active re	ecords)	127	100	131	30	39	121	40	
	0 1	-	- -			<u>-</u>					
	2	-	-						-		
	4	2% (1) 23% (11)	<u>-</u>	-	50% (3)	25% (1)	50% (1)	<u>-</u>	5% (1) 24% (5)	- 25% (1)	
	5	15% (7)	-	13% (1)			-	-	24% (5)	25% (1) 25% (1)	
	7	15% (7) 9% (4)		25% (2) 13% (1)	<u>-</u>		50% (1) -	50% (1) -	14% (3) 14% (3)	<u>-</u>	
	8	9% (4)	-	38% (3)	- 470((4)		-		5% (1)	-	
	10	11% (5) 9% (4)		13% (1)	17% (1) -	25% (1) 25% (1)	<u>-</u>		10% (2) 5% (1)	25% (1) 25% (1)	
	11	2% (1)	-		- 17% (1)	25% (1)					
	13	2% (1) 2% (1)	-	-	17% (1)	-	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		
	14	- 2% (1)						- 50% (1)			
	16	∠ /0 (1) -		<u>-</u> 				50 % (1) -			
	17 18									-	
E	Average Assessment Score	6.81	-	7.25	7.67	8.50	5.00	10.50	5.86	7.00	
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Dients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.				
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u> </u>	Chronic (Verified)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered										
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Matched/Awarded	10	0	2	4	1	0	1	0	2	
-	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	00				4					
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	23	0	2	0	1 	0	0	20	0	
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	
	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
Ī	Newly Added	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	
L _.	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive						·				
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	8	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	2	
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		the rest 20 t								
(Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac										
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	
ŀ	Housed - PSH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		·		l 	U 	U	·	·		
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	
R	Housed - All Other	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	12	0	3	2	1	0	1	5	0	
Ī	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact										
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	13	0	4	2	1	0	1	5	0	
Z	NET INFLOW	-5	0	-4	-2	0	1	-1	-1	2	

11/20/2018 FYI BNL Report				Cuantan	Cuantan		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S Individual		6%	27%	25%	16%	6%	6%	6%	8%
	<u> </u>				24				
Active on BNL	212	13	58	52	34	13	12	13	17
Median Days Active	82	49	87	63	144	74	73	54	183
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
1	- 2% (4)		3% (2)	2% (1)	3% (1)			<u>-</u>	
2	1% (3) 6% (12)	<u>-</u>	2% (1) 10% (6)	4% (2)	3% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-	12% (2) 18% (3)
4	8% (18)		7% (4)	4% (2) 4% (2)	3% (1)	23% (3)	17% (2)	38% (5)	18% (3) 6% (1) 12% (2)
5	15% (31) 17% (36)	15% (2) 8% (1)	16% (9) 19% (11)	23% (12) 21% (11)	3% (1) 12% (4)	8% (1)	17% (2) 25% (3)	15% (2) 8% (1)	12% (2) 12% (2)
7	13% (27)	8% (1)	5% (3)	21% (11)	21% (7)	23% (3) 8% (1) 23% (3) 8% (1)	8% (1)	23% (3)	-
8	13% (27)	8% (1)	16% (9)	13% (7) 8% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1)	21% (7) 18% (6) 9% (3)	23% (3)		-	6% (1) 12% (2)
9	11% (24) 5% (11)	38% (5) 15% (2)	16% (9) 3% (2)	2% (1)	9% (3) 12% (4)	<u>-</u>	- 17% (2)	8% (1) -	-
11	4% (9)	-	2% (1)	2% (1)	9% (3)	8% (1)	17% (2)		6% (1)
12	1% (3) 2% (5)		2% (1)		3% (1) 6% (2)	8% (1)	<u>-</u>	- 8% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)
14	1% (2)	8% (1)	2 /0 (1)		- 0 /0 (2)		<u>-</u>	- 076 (1)	6% (1)
15	-							-	
16 17	-	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>		-			<u>-</u>	
18	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Average Assessment Score	6.83	8.46	6.33	6.37	8.00	6.85	7.08	6.08	6.76
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	8	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	4
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	25	0	2	8	8	0	2	1	4
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	6	8	0	10	3	0	0	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	20	0	5	8	2	0	1	3	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	32	0	15	10	0	2	1	3	1
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	1	15	10	1	2	4	3	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	1	2	0	11	0	0	1	0
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	12	2	4	1	2	0	0	3	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	15	2	5	1	3	0	0	4	0
NET INFLOW	23	-1	10	9	-2	2	4	-1	2

11/20/2018 FTI BNL Repoli				Cuantau	Cuantan		Contact bot	ad.andoroon@ot.g	.gov with questions	
Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield	
Percentage of S Individuals (No		7%	22%	27%	14%	6%	4%	7%	12%	
Active on BNL	1,516	106	341	405	214	84	66	113	187	
c Median Days Active	132	138	131	151	122	125	103	56	209	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active r				122	120	100			
	0% (2) 2% (37)	2% (2)	1% (2)	- 3% (13)	- 1% (3)	- 1% (1)			- 20/. (6)	
-	5% (72)	4% (4)	4% (12) 6% (22)	6% (24) 12% (48)	2% (5)	4% (3) 10% (8)	8% (5) 3% (2)	2% (2) 5% (6)	3% (6) 4% (7) 7% (14)	
	9% (134) 10% (158)	9% (10) 10% (11)	11% (37)	12% (48)	4% (9) 8% (17)	10% (8) 11% (9)	3% (2) 15% (10)	5% (6) 6% (7)	7% (14) 7% (13)	
5	12% (185)	10% (11)	12% (42) 12% (41)	12% (49) 13% (51)	8% (17) 8% (18)	19% (16)	11% (7)	6% (7) 18% (20)	11% (21)	
	13% (201) 12% (182)	8% (9) 15% (16)	15% (50) 11% (37)	13% (52) 12% (50) 8% (34) 6% (24)	10% (21)	23% (19)	11% (7) 11% (7)	17% (19) 16% (18)	13% (24) 11% (20)	
8	10% (145)	12% (13) 9% (10)	7% (23)	8% (34)	9% (19)	5% (4)	15% (10)	15% (17) 7% (8)	13% (25)	
	7% (112) 6% (97)	9% (10) 7% (7)	7% (23) 5% (17) 6% (21) 6% (20)	6% (24) 4% (18)	12% (26) 9% (19) 13% (27) 10% (22)	23% (19) 10% (8) 5% (4) 6% (5) 8% (7)	15% (10) 8% (5) 3% (2) 5% (3) 6% (4)	10/2 (11)	13% (25) 9% (16) 9% (16)	
11	6% (85)	5% (5)	6% (20)	4% (18) 5% (22) 2% (7)	9% (20) 5% (10)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (3)	3% (3) 4% (4) 2% (2) 2% (2)	6% (11)	
	3% (42) 2% (35)	6% (6)	1% (3)	2% (7)	5% (10) 5% (11)	1% (1) 1% (1)	6% (4) 6% (4)	4% (4)	6% (11) 4% (7) 2% (3) 1% (2)	
	2 % (33) 1% (14)	1% (1)	2% (6) 1% (2)	2% (8) 1% (4)	5% (11) 1% (3)		0 /8 (4)	2% (2)	1% (2)	
	1% (14) 0% (1)	1% (1)	2% (6)	0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (1)		1% (1)	1% (2)	
16	0% (1) -	-			U70 (1) -	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
18	- 6 65	-	- 6 1 E	- 6 1 4	- 7.04	- 6 12	- 6 07	6.07	7.00	
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.65	6.84 rds)	6.15	6.14	7.91	6.13	6.97	6.97	7.00	
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances				
Refuses CAN Assistance		,	•	, ,				_		
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	2	6	0	1	1	3	3	
Ćhronic (Verified)	400	Г	11	40	40	^	C	40	04	
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	183	5	44	40	48	9	6	10	21	
Known Unsheltered	168	12	10	36	18	4	18	26	44	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	100	12	10						77	
Matched/Awarded	239	18	55	44	46	9	19	21	27	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing										
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	76	10	32	9	6	4	0	14	1	
Youth at Time of Assessment	00	4	4		4	4			^	
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	4	4	8	1	1	0	0	2	
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
Newly Added	128	12	32	13	33	12	3	10	13	
Clients who have never been active before	120	IZ	JZ	13	აა 	IZ	ა	10	13	
Returned from Inactive	33	1	9	1	0	0	5	16	1	
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		•		'					'	
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	161	13	41	14	33	12	8	26	14	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day										
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inaci	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days								
Housed - Self-Resolved	18	1	3	2	0	1	1	8	2	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH										
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	35	2	19	5	6	1	1	1	0	
Housed - RRH	00				,		^	4.4		
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	22	0	3	4	4	0	0	11	0	
Housed - All Other	8	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	2	
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other					<u> </u>					
s Housed Outflow subtotal	83	3	25	14	11	2	2	22	4	
Inactive - Unable to Contact	49	3	40	2	0	0	1	3	0	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	70		70			·····	'			
Inactive - In an Institution	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution										
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Inactive - All Other					,					
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	
x Other Outflow subtotal	60	3	42	2	2	2	4	4	1	
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	143	6	67	16	13	4	6	26	5	
z NET INFLOW	18	7	-26	-2	20	8	2	0	9	
- INTERVIEW	10		-20	-2	20	U		U	9 Page 10	

Ctstswide DNI	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Statewide BNL	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		87%		86%				75%
A States	vide BNL	13%		14%		12%	2%	11%	
Active on BNL	2,008	259	1749	280	1728	233	47	212	1516
c Median Days Active	120	83	125	79	126	74	106	82	132
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-		-	0% (2)
2	2% (42) 4% (82)	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (2) 2% (38) 5% (79)	0% (1) 3% (7)	0% (2) 2% (41) 4% (75) 8% (146)	0% (1) 3% (7)		2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (2) 2% (37) 5% (72) 9% (134)
3	8% (153) 10% (202)	5% (13) 11% (29) 15% (38)	8% (140) 10% (173) 12% (215)	3% (7) 9% (26)	10% (1/6)	3% (6) 6% (15)	2% (1) 23% (11)	6% (12) 8% (18)	10% (158)
5	13% (253) 14% (277)	15% (38) 17% (43)	13% (234)	13% (37)	13% (216)	13% (30) 14% (33)	15% (7)	15% (31) 17% (36)	12% (185) 13% (201) 12% (182)
	12% (247) 10% (210)	17% (43) 12% (31) 12% (31)	12% (216) 10% (179) 8% (136)	14% (40) 14% (38) 14% (38)	14% (237) 12% (209) 10% (172)	15% (34) 15% (34)	9% (4) 9% (4)	13% (27) 13% (27)	12% (182) 10% (145)
9	8% (165) 6% (127)	12% (31) 11% (29) 6% (15)	8% (136) 6% (112)	14% (38) 10% (29) 7% (19) 5% (15)	8% (136) 6% (108)	10% (24) 6% (15)	11% (5) 9% (4)	1% (3) 6% (12) 8% (18) 15% (31) 17% (36) 13% (27) 11% (24) 5% (11) 4% (9)	7% (112) 6% (97)
11	5% (109) 3% (56)	4% (10)	6% (112) 6% (99) 3% (52)	5% (15) 4% (11)	10% (172) 8% (136) 6% (108) 5% (94) 3% (45) 2% (40) 1% (16)	0% (15) 13% (30) 14% (33) 15% (34) 15% (34) 10% (24) 6% (15) 6% (14) 4% (10) 2% (5)	9% (4) 9% (4) 11% (5) 9% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (9) 1% (3)	10% (145) 7% (112) 6% (97) 6% (85) 3% (42) 2% (35) 1% (14)
13	2% (46) 1% (18)	2% (4) 2% (6) 1% (2)	2% (40) 1% (16)	4% (11) 2% (6) 1% (2)	2% (40)	2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (1)	2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (35)
15	1% (16)	0% (1)	1% (15)	1% (2)	1% (14)	0% (1)	2% (1)		1% (14)
16	0% (3)	-	0% (3)	1% (2) -	0% (1)	1% (2) -		<u>-</u>	0% (1) -
E Average Assessment Score	6.76	6.83	6.75	7.33	6.67	7.43	6.81	6.83	6.65
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			tad in multiple rows	dananding on the	oir aamhination of c	iraumatanaa			
Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0	47
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	0	17 	0	17 	0	0	0	17
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	198	6	192	10	188	9	1	5	183
Known Unsheltered	177	8	169	1	176	1	0	8	168
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	347	35	312	83	264	73	10	25	239
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	138	51	87	34	104	11	23	28	76
Youth at Time of Assessment	284	259	 25	52	232	5	47	212	20
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	204	209	25	32	232	3	41	212	20
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.								
Newly Added	213	39	174	53	160	46	7	32	128
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	42	7	35	3	39	2	1	6	33
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	255	46	209	56	199	48	8	38	161
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 day	s						
Housed - Self-Resolved	34	8	26	11	23	8	3	5	18
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	J '1			I I				J	
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	44	2	42	8	36	7	1	1	35
Housed - RRH	41	10	31	14	27	9	5	5	22
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	23	4	19	14	9	11	3	1	8
s Housed Outflow subtotal	142	24	118	47	95	35	12	12	83
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	55	3	52	4	51	3	1	2	49
Inactive - In an Institution	5	1	4	1	4	1	0	1	3
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1 	0	1 	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	9	0	9	2	7	2	0	0	7
x Other Outflow subtotal	70	4	66	7	63	6	1	3	60
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	212	28	184	54	158	41	13	15	143
z NET INFLOW	43	18	25	2	41	7	-5	23	18 Page 11

11/20/2018 FYI BNL Report	All	ΔII	All All	All	All	Families	Families		gov with questions Individuals
Central CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth
Perce	entage of		91%		84%		, ,	, ,	75%
	tral CAN	9%		16%		16%	0%	9%	
Active on BNL	142	13	129	23	119	23	0	13	106
Median Days Active	117	49	117	61	137	61	-	49	138
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	1% (2) 4% (5)	-	2% (2) 4% (5)	- 4% (1)	2% (2) 3% (4)	- 4% (1)	-	-	2% (2) 4% (4)
3	8% (11) 8% (11)		9% (11) 9% (11)	4% (1)	8% (10) 9% (11)	4% (1) -			9% (10) 10% (11)
5	13% (18) 13% (18)	15% (2) 8% (1)	12% (16) 13% (17)	22% (5) 35% (8) 9% (2)	11% (13) 8% (10)	22% (5) 35% (8) 9% (2)		15% (2)	10% (11)
7	13% (19)	8% (1)	14% (18)	9% (2)	14% (17)	9% (2)		8% (1) 8% (1)	15% (16)
9	13% (18) 11% (15)	8% (1) 8% (1) 38% (5) 15% (2)	14% (18) 13% (17) 8% (10)	17% (4) -	14% (17) 12% (14) 13% (15)	17% (4) -	<u>-</u>	8% (1) 38% (5)	10% (11) 8% (9) 15% (16) 12% (13) 9% (10)
11	6% (9) 4% (5)	15% (2)	5% (7) 4% (5)		8% (9) 4% (5)	-		15% (2) -	7% (7) 5% (5)
13	5% (7) 1% (1)	- -	5% (7) 1% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1)	5% (6) -	4% (1) 4% (1)			6% (6) -
	1% (2) 1% (1)	8% (1) -	1% (1) 1% (1)		2% (2) 1% (1)	-	-	8% (1) -	1% (1) 1% (1)
16	- -			-		-			
18 Average Assessment Score	6.93	8.46	6.78	6.48	7.02	6.48	-	8.46	6.84
Status/Conditions Followed (among a	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t Refuses CAN Assistance	the BNL, and clier	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	12	4	40		42			4	10
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	13	1	12	0	13	0	0	1 	12
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	25	0	25	7	18	7	0	0	18
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	6	10	0	16	0	0	6	10
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	17	13	4	0	17	0	0	13	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	naat 20 daya								
Newly Added		0	40		40	<u> </u>	0	0	40
Clients who have never been active before	18 	0	18	6	12	6	0	0	12
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	1	19	6	14	6	0	1	13
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact Housed - Self-Resolved					_		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - RRH	3	1	2	2	1	2	Λ	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH			<u></u>	<u></u>	I	<u></u>	0	l 	
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	2	5	2	0	2	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution									
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	10	2	8	2	8	2	0	2	6
NET INFLOW	10	-1	11	4	6	4	0	-1	7

Friday Control	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals		(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		86%		85%				73%
A Fairfield Cou	unty CAN	14%		15%		13%	2%	12%	
Active on BNL	470	66	404	71	399	63	8	58	341
c Median Days Active	125	91	127	112	125	112	127	87	131
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (2)	-	0% (2)	-	1% (2)	-	-	<u> </u>	1% (2)
1 2	3% (14) 6% (27)	3% (2) 2% (1)	0% (2) 3% (12) 6% (26)	- 6% (4)	1% (2) 4% (14) 6% (23)	- 6% (4)		3% (2) 2% (1)	1% (2) 4% (12) 6% (22)
3	10% (48)	9% (6) 6% (4)	6% (26) 10% (42) 11% (46)	7% (5)	11% (43)	6% (4) 8% (5)		10% (6) 7% (4)	6% (22) 11% (37)
5	11% (50) 13% (62)	15% (10)	13% (52) 13% (54)	6% (4) 17% (12) 8% (6)	12% (46) 13% (50) 15% (61)	6% (4) 17% (11) 6% (4)	13% (1) 25% (2)	7% (4) 16% (9) 19% (11)	12% (42) 12% (41) 15% (50)
6	14% (67) 10% (48)	20% (13) 6% (4)	11% (11)	8% (6) 11% (8)	15% (61) 10% (40)	6% (4) 11% (7)	25% (2) 13% (1)	19% (11) 5% (3) 16% (9)	11% (37)
8	9% (43) 7% (35)	6% (4) 18% (12)	8% (31) 6% (26)	11% (8) 15% (11) 13% (9)	8% (32) 7% (26)	13% (8)	13% (1) 38% (3)	16% (9) 16% (9)	7% (23)
10	6% (30)	14% (9) 5% (3)	8% (31) 6% (26) 7% (27) 5% (21) 1% (4)	13% (9) 10% (7)	10% (40) 8% (32) 7% (26) 6% (23) 5% (21) 1% (3)	0% (4) 11% (7) 13% (8) 14% (9) 10% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	13% (1)	16% (9) 3% (2) 2% (1)	5% (17) 6% (21)
11 12	5% (22) 1% (4)	2% (1) -	5% (Z1) 1% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3)	2% (1)		2% (1) - 2% (1)	6% (20) 1% (3) 2% (6) 1% (2) 2% (6)
13 14	2% (8) 1% (3)	2% (1) -	2% (7) 1% (3)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>	2% (1) -	2% (6) 1% (2)
15	1% (6) 0% (1)		1% (6) 0% (1)	- 1% (1)	2% (6)	2% (1)			2% (6)
17	-		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>				
E Average Assessment Score	6.30	6.44	6.28	6.99	6.18	6.95	7.25	6.33	6.15
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	Z	U	Z	U	Z	U 	·	U	Z
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	48	0	48	4	44	4	0	0	44
Known Unsheltered	12	1	11	1	11	1	0	1	10
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded		· 		·		·		· 	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	77	4	73	20	57	18	2	2	55
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	51	10	41	11	40	9	2	8	32
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	71	66			60	1		 58	
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	/ 1	66	5	9	62	1	8	56	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a nast 30 davs								
Newly Added		15	44	10	47	10	0	15	20
Clients who have never been active before	59	15	44	12	47	12	0	15 	32
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	68	15	53	12	56	12	0	15	41
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	l	, , ,							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	3	7	5	5	4	1	2	3
Housed - PSH	22	0	22	3	19	3	0	0	19
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH							·	<u>-</u>	
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	2	6	4	4	3	1	1 	3
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	2	2	3	1	2	1	1	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	44	7	37	15	29	12	3	4	25
Inactive - Unable to Contact	43	2	41	2	41	1	1	 1	40
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact					T I				
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
X Other Outflow subtotal	45	2	43	2	43	1	1	1	42
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	89	9	80	17	72	13	4	5	67
z NET INFLOW	-21	6	-27	-5	-16	-1	-4	10	-26 Page 13

11/20/2016 FIT BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Greater Hartford CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		88%		91%				80%
A Greater Hartt	ord CAN	12%		9%		8%	1%	10%	
Active on BNL	504	58	446	47	457	41	6	52	405
c Median Days Active	136	63	147	105	142	105	103	63	151
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	3% (14) 5% (25)	2% (1)	3% (13) 6% (25)	2% (1)	3% (14) 5% (24) 11% (50)	- 2% (1)	<u>-</u> -	2% (1)	3% (13) 6% (24) 12% (48) 12% (49) 13% (51)
3	10% (50) 11% (57)	3% (2) 9% (5)	11% (48)		11% (50) 11% (51)	- 70/ (2)	- 50% (3)	4% (2) 4% (2)	12% (48) 12% (49)
5	14% (69) 14% (69)	21% (12) 19% (11)	12% (52) 13% (57)	13% (6) 13% (6)	14% (63) 14% (63)	15% (6)	-	4% (2) 23% (12) 21% (11)	13% (51)
7	13% (67)	19% (11)	13% (56)	13% (6) 13% (6)	13% (61)	15% (6)		21% (11)	13% (52) 12% (50)
9	9% (46) 7% (33)	9% (5)	9% (39) 6% (28)	11% (5) 11% (5)	9% (41) 6% (28)	12% (5)	17% (1)	13% (7) 8% (4)	8% (34) 6% (24)
11	4% (21) 5% (24)	12% (7) 9% (5) 2% (1) 2% (1)	13% (58) 13% (58) 13% (56) 9% (39) 6% (28) 4% (20) 5% (23) 3% (13)	4% (2) 2% (1) 15% (7) 2% (1)	13% (61) 9% (41) 6% (28) 4% (19) 5% (23) 2% (7)	15% (6) 15% (6) 15% (6) 15% (6) 12% (5) 10% (4) 5% (2) 2% (1) 15% (6)	- -	13% (7) 8% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (22) 2% (7) 2% (8) 1% (4)
	3% (14) 2% (9)	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (13) 2% (8)	15% (7) 2% (1)	2% (7) 2% (8)	15% (6) -	17% (1) 17% (1)	-	2% (7) 2% (8)
14	1% (4) 0% (1)		2% (8) 1% (4) 0% (1)		2% (8) 1% (4) 0% (1)	-			1% (4) 0% (1)
	0% (1)		0% (1)	2% (1)		2% (1)			
17 18 E Average Assessment Score	- - 6.31	- - 6.50	6.29	 - 7.72	 6.17	7.73	7.67	6.37	 - 6.14
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.29	1.12	0.17	1.13	7.07	0.37	0.14
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	45	2	43	4	41	3	1	1	40
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	36	0	36	0	36	0	0	0	36
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	68	12	56	16	52	12	4	8	44
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	67	58	9	7	60	1	6	52	8
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days						L			
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added									
Clients who have never been active before	30	10	20	7	23	7	0	10	13
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	32	10	22	8	24	8	0	10	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days	S.			1			
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - PSH	9	1	8	4	5	3	1	0	5
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	7	2	5 5	2	5 5	1	<u>'</u> 1	1	4
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other							^		
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	9	0	9	6	3	6	0	0	3
s Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	27	3	24	12	15	10	2	1	14
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	30	3	27	13	17	11	2	1	16
z NET INFLOW	2	7	-5	-5	7	-3	-2	9	-2 Page 14

11/20/2018 FTI BNL Repoli	All	AII	AII	AII	AII	Families		au.anderson@ct.g	
Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Por	centage of	Toutil	87%	T diffillio	85%	(Hon Toddi)	(10001)	(Touri)	73%
Greater New H	_	13%		15%		14%	1%	12%	
B Active on BN		38	255	45	240	44	4	34	214
c Median Days Activ	_	144	112	45 90	248 126	41 83	131	3 4 144	214 122
Assessment Score Distribution (an		1	112	90	120	00	101	144	122
D Count of all active records having each assessment sc		ecorus)							
0	10/ (4)	- 3% (1)	1% (3)		- 20/ (4)		-	3% (1)	- 10/ /2\
2	1% (4) 2% (5)	-	2% (5)		2% (4) 2% (5) 4% (10)	-			1% (3) 2% (5)
3	- 3% (10) - 8% (22)	3% (1) 5% (2)	4% (9) 8% (20)	9% (4)	4% (10) 7% (18)	- 7% (3)	25% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (9) 8% (17)
5	8% (24) 10% (30)	3% (1) 11% (4)	9% (23)	11% (5) 11% (5) 11% (6)	7% (18) 8% (19)	12% (5) 12% (5)		3% (1) 12% (4) 21% (7)	8% (18)
7	13% (39)	18% (7)	10% (26) 13% (32)	13% (6)	10% (25) 13% (33)	15% (6)		21% (7)	10% (21) 12% (26)
8	- 11% (31) - 12% (36)	16% (6) 11% (4) 13% (5)	10% (25) 13% (32) 10% (25) 10% (26) 4% (10)	13% (6) 13% (6) 9% (4)	10% (25) 12% (30) 10% (26)	15% (6) 12% (5) 7% (3) 15% (6)	25% (1) 25% (1)	18% (6) 9% (3) 12% (4)	9% (19) 13% (27)
10	10% (30) 10% (30)	13% (5) 11% (4)	10% (25) 10% (26)	9% (4) 16% (7)	10% (26) 9% (23)	7% (3) 15% (6)	25% (1) 25% (1)	9% (3)	10% (22) 9% (20)
12	4% (11)	3% (1)	4% (10)	-	9% (23) 4% (11) 5% (13) 1% (3)	-		3% (1)	5% (10)
13 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 5% (15) - 1% (3)	5% (2) -	5% (13) 1% (3)	4% (2) -	5% (13) 1% (3)	5% (2) -		6% (2) -	5% (11) 1% (3)
15 	- 1% (2) - 0% (1)		1% (2) 0% (1)		1% (2) 0% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>		1% (2) 0% (1)
17	- · · ·								
E Average Assessment Sco		8.05	7.91	7.96	7.92	7.90	8.50	8.00	7.91
Status/Conditions Followed (amon									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active Refuses CAN Assistance			ited in multiple rows		eir combination of d				
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence police	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified		2	49	1	50	1	0	2	48
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessnes	SS			·		' 			
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1 10	0	18	0	18	0	0	0	18
Matched/Awarde	+	9	56	11	54	10	1	8	46
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	e	3	30	 	J 4	10			40
Enrolled in Transitional Housin Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housin		11	6	1	16	0	1	10	6
Youth at Time of Assessmen		20	·		٥٢		4	24	4
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessme	41	38	3	6	35	2	4	34	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in	الم	l							
Newly Adde Clients who have never been active befo		0	38	5	33	5	0	0	33
Returned from Inactiv	+	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	re		·	'			<u>'</u>	<u>'</u>	
Inflow to Active List TOTAL		2	38	6	34	5	1	1	33
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D Clients below were returned to housing or marked as In	•	the nast 30 day	/ \$						
Housed - Self-Resolve	الم	1			4				
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, se	ر. الج	2	1	2	1	1	1	1 	0
Housed - PS	- B	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PS Housed - RR	u i		^		4				4
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RR	Н	0	6	2	4	2	0	0	4
Housed - All Othe	~ I 3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all oth s Housed Outflow subtota	er	3	17	7	13	6	1	2	11
Inactive - Unable to Contact	.4					·	•		
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to conta	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution		1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	n	 							·
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decease	. ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Othe	er 2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reason	is .	4				·		4	•
Y Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTA	<u>-</u>	7	3	7	3	7	0	7	2
z NET INFLOV		-2	20 18	-2	16 18	-2	7	-2	13 20
NET INFLOV	10	-2	10	-2	10	-2	0	-2	20 Page 15

MMW CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All Individuals	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	MW CAN	13%	5.70	14%		12%	2%	12%	
Active on BNL	113	15	98	16	97	14	2	13	84
c Median Days Active	106	74	109	57	124	57	56	74	125
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u> -	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u> -	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	- 1% (1)
2	3% (3) 7% (8)		3% (3) 8% (8)	<u>-</u>	3% (3)				4% (3) 10% (8) 11% (9)
4	12% (13) 17% (19)	27% (4) 7% (1)	9% (9)	6% (1)	8% (8) 12% (12)	- 14% (2)	50% (1)	23% (3)	11% (9)
6	22% (25)	27% (4)	18% (18) 21% (21)	13% (2) 19% (3)	18% (17) 23% (22)	14% (2)	50% (1)	8% (1) 23% (3)	19% (16) 23% (19)
8	10% (11) 10% (11)	7% (1) 20% (3)	10% (10) 8% (8)	13% (2) 25% (4)	23% (22) 9% (9) 7% (7)	14% (2) 29% (4)	 	8% (1) 23% (3)	10% (8) 5% (4)
9	4% (5) 8% (9)		5% (5) 9% (9) 2% (2) 2% (2)	13% (2)	5% (5) 7% (7)	14% (2)	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	6% (5) 8% (7) 1% (1) 1% (1)
11 12	3% (3) 3% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	2% (2) 2% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	2% (2) 2% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)		8% (1) 8% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)
13	1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1)				1% (1)
15	1% (1)	-	1% (1)	-	1% (1)	-	-	-	1% (1)
16	-	-		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>				
E Average Assessment Score	6.42	6.60	6.39	- 7.56	6.23	7.93	5.00	6.85	6.13
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	15	1	2	14	0	2	13	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	17	3	14	3	14	2	1	2	12
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	18	3	15	4	14	3	1	2	12
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da							-		·-
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Z Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	4 14	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Z NET INFLOW	14	3	11	4	10	3	1	2	8 Page 16

11/20/2018 FTI BINL REPOIL	AII	AH	AH	AII	AII	Familias		eau.anderson@ct.g	
Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		86%		78%	((222)	(222)	66%
	east CAN	14%		22%		20%	2%	12%	
Active on BNL	100	14	86	22	78	20	2	12	66
c Median Days Active	84	55	90	63	101	65	39	73	103
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
1	- -	- -	- - -	 	- -	- - -	<u>-</u>	- -	- -
3	6% (6) 2% (2)		7% (6) 2% (2)	<u>5% (1)</u> -	6% (5) 3% (2)	<u>5% (1)</u> -		<u>:</u>	8% (5) 3% (2)
	14% (14) 9% (9)	14% (2) 14% (2)	14% (12) 8% (7)	9% (2)	15% (12) 12% (9)	10% (2)		17% (2) 17% (2)	15% (10) 11% (7)
6	13% (13) 14% (14)	29% (4) 7% (1)	10% (9) 15% (13)	14% (3) 27% (6)	13% (10) 10% (8)	10% (2)	50% (1)	25% (3) 8% (1)	11% (7) 11% (7)
8	14% (14)		16% (14) 8% (7)	18% (4)	13% (10)	30% (6) 20% (4)			15% (10)
10	7% (7) 6% (6)	14% (2)	5% (4)	18% (4) 9% (2) 9% (2)	13% (10) 6% (5) 5% (4) 6% (5)	10% (2) 10% (2)	<u>-</u>	- 17% (2)	8% (5) 3% (2)
12	5% (5) 4% (4)	14% (2) -	3% (3) 5% (4)	-	6% (5) 5% (4)	<u>-</u> -		17% (2) -	15% (10) 8% (5) 3% (2) 5% (3) 6% (4) 6% (4)
	5% (5) -	-	6% (5) -	5% (1)	5% (4) -	5% (1) -		-	6% (4) -
	1% (1)	7% (1)		5% (1)			50% (1)		
17	-			-	<u>-</u>		-		
E Average Assessment Score	7.13	7.57	7.06	7.64	6.99	7.35	10.50	7.08	6.97
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the Refuses CAN Assistance	ne BNL, and clier		ed in multiple rows						
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)	9	2	7	1	8	1	0	2	6
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	20	2	18	0	20	0	0	2	18
Matched/Awarded	28	3	25	7	21	6	1	2	19
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	^	^							
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	14	14	0	2	12	0	2	12	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added	10	1	9	6	4	6	0	1	3
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	3	5	0	8	0	0	3	5
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	18	4	14	6	12	6	0	4	8
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the nact 20 days	,						
Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,		0	4	_	0	^	4
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	3	2	1 	2	0	0	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - RRH	1	1	^	 1	Λ	^	1	Λ	Λ
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	 	0	I	0	0	I	0	0
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	5	1	4	3	2	2	1	0	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution			· 						
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									·
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
x Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	1	8	3	6	2	1	0	6
z NET INFLOW	9	3	6	3	6	4	-1	4	2

11/20/2016 FIT BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		79%		79%				71%
	east CAN	21%		21%		8%	13%	8%	
Active on BNL	159	34	125	33	126	12	21	13	113
c Median Days Active	60	90	57	97	55	69	121	54	56
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	_	-	_	-	_			
1	- 1% (2)		2% (2)		-				- 20/. (2)
3	4% (7)	3% (1)	5% (6)	3% (1)	2% (2) 5% (6)	-	5% (1)		2% (2) 5% (6) 6% (7) 18% (20)
5	12% (19) 18% (28)	29% (10) 21% (7)	7% (9) 17% (21)	21% (7) 18% (6)	10% (12) 17% (22)	17% (2) 8% (1)	24% (5) 24% (5)	38% (5) 15% (2)	6% (7) 18% (20)
7	16% (25) 16% (25)	12% (4) 18% (6)	17% (21) 15% (19)	15% (5) 12% (4)	16% (20) 17% (21)	17% (2) 8% (1)	14% (3) 14% (3)	8% (1) 23% (3)	1/% (19) 16% (18)
9	13% (20) 8% (12)	3% (1) 9% (3) 3% (1)	15% (19) 7% (9)	21% (7) 18% (6) 15% (5) 12% (4) 9% (3) 9% (3) 3% (1)	13% (17) 7% (9)	8% (1) 17% (2) 8% (1)	14% (3) 5% (1) 10% (2) 5% (1)	- 8% (1)	15% (17) 7% (8)
10	3% (5) 3% (5)	3% (1)	15% (19) 15% (19) 7% (9) 3% (4) 4% (5)	3% (1) 6% (2)	3% (4) 2% (3)	- 17% (2)	5% (1)		15% (17) 7% (8) 4% (4) 3% (3) 4% (4) 2% (2) 2% (2)
	3% (4) 2% (3)	3% (1)	3% (4)		3% (4)			- 8% (1)	4% (4)
14	2% (3)		2% (2) 2% (3)	3% (1)	2% (3) 2% (2)	8% (1)			2% (2)
15	1% (1) -	-	1% (1) 	<u>-</u>	1% (1) 		<u>-</u>		1% (1)
17	-	<u>-</u>				<u>-</u>			
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.81	5.94	7.05	6.55	6.88	7.75	5.86	6.08	6.97
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	26	0	26	0	26	0	0	0	26
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	33	1	32	11	22	11	0	1	21
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	34	20	14	20	14	0	20	0	14
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	34	34	0	21	13	0	21	13	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	18	7	11	5	13	1	4	3	10
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	16	0	16	0	16	0	0	0	16
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	34	7	27	5	29	1	4	3	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	2	9	2	9	1	1	1	8
Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	16	4	 12	3	13	 1	2	2	11
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	5	2	3	3	2	 1	 2	 0	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	33	8	25	8	25	3	5	3	22
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact									
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1 	3
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
X Other Outflow subtotal	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	38	9	29	8	30	3	5	4	26
z NET INFLOW	-4	-2	-2	-3	-1	-2	-1	-1	0 Page 18

Motorburn Litabilat CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		91%		90%				82%
A Waterbury Litcht	field CAN	9%		10%		8%	2%	7%	
Active on BNL	227	21	206	23	204	19	4	17	187
Median Days Active		99	199	48	209	48	40	183	209
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score 0). -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	3% (7) 4% (9)	10% (2)	3% (7) 3% (7)	4% (1)	3% (6) 4% (9)	5% (1) -		- 12% (2)	3% (6) 4% (7) 7% (14) 7% (13)
3	7% (17)	10% (2) 14% (3)	7% (14) 7% (14)		8% (17) 7% (14)	-	-	18% (3) 6% (1)	7% (14)
5	7% (16) 11% (24)	10% (2) 14% (3)	10% (21)	9% (2) 4% (1) 17% (4)	7% (14) 11% (23) 13% (26)	5% (1) -	25% (1) 25% (1)	12% (2)	11% (21)
6	13% (30) 11% (24)	10% (2) -	14% (28) 12% (24)	17% (4) 17% (4)	10% (20)	21% (4) 21% (4)	<u>-</u>	12% (2) -	13% (24) 11% (20)
8	12% (27) 10% (22)	5% (1) 14% (3)	14% (24) 12% (24) 13% (26) 9% (19) 8% (16) 7% (14) 4% (8)	17% (4) 4% (1)	13% (26) 9% (18) 8% (16) 6% (12) 4% (8)	21% (4) 5% (1) 16% (3)	25% (1)	6% (1) 12% (2)	11% (20) 13% (25) 9% (16) 9% (16) 6% (11)
10	7% (17)	14% (3) 5% (1)	8% (16)	17% (4) 4% (1)	8% (16)	-	25% (1)		9% (16)
11 12	7% (15) 4% (9)	5% (1) 5% (1)	7% (14) 4% (8)	13% (3) 4% (1)	6% (12) 4% (8)	16% (3) 5% (1)		6% (1) 6% (1)	6% (11) 4% (7)
13	2% (4) 1% (3)	5% (1) 5% (1)	1% (3) 1% (2)	<u>-</u>	2% (4) 1% (3)		<u>-</u>	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% (7) 2% (3) 1% (2) 1% (2)
15	1% (3)		1% (3)	4% (1)	1% (2)	5% (1)			1% (2)
16	-	-		-	 		-		
E Average Assessment Score	7.07	6.81	7.09	7.83	6.98	8.00	7.00	6.76	7.00
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Chronic (Verified)	21	0	21	0	21	0	0	0	21
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	48	4	44	0	48	0	0	4	44
Matched/Awarded	41	6	35	10	31	8	2	4	27
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1 	1
Youth at Time of Assessment	24	21	3	5	19	1	4	17	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	23	3	20	9	14	7	2	1	13
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								· 	
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	25	4	21	9	16	7	2	2	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	I								
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact		-		-			-	-	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	1 	0	1 	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	·	U	·	U	U	
Inactive - All Other N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	0	8	3	5	3	0	0	5
z NET INFLOW	17	4	13	6	11	4	2	2	9
					•				Page 19

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).