Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Fai	milies (N	lon-Youth)					
254 -1 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7								
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing								
4 62								
no change		no cha						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	21	0	5					
F t	31	1	0					
Eastern	01	1	2					
Fairfield County	61	1	19					
			_					
Fairfield County	61	1	19					
Fairfield County Greater Hartford	61 48	1	19 14					
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	61 48 52	1 1 0	19 14 16					

-1 fro	om last	week	
	full details fo	r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8
0		3	3
no change	A - 41	-2 from la	
Central	Active 5	Onsneitered	Matched
Eastern	21	0	2
	11		-
Fairfield County		0	0
Greater Hartford	4	0	2
Greater New Haven	4	0	1
MMW	2	0	1
Waterbury Litchfield	2	0	1

Active Families (Youth)

Active Individuals (Youth) -6 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing -7 from last week no change Active Unsheltered Matched 8 Central 13 33 15 Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford 33 2 15 9 Greater New Haven 1 37 6 1 3 Waterbury Litchfield 20 5

Active Indiv	viduals (14	th)
full detai	ils for Active Ir	ndividuals (Non-Yo	uth) on pg. 10
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing
186		2C)5
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	86	10	14
Eastern	241	71	24
Fairfield County	372	3	61
Greater Hartford	380	47	48
Greater New Haven	256	25	25
MMW	83	0	14
Waterbury Litchfield	224	30	18
			Page 1

All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S		0011111						
	Records	6%	15%	23%	22%	16%	5%	13%
Active on BNL	2,129	125	326	484	465	349	103	275
Median Days Active		140	77	126	161	132	119	159
Assessment Score Distribution (am D Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)						
0	. 0% (2) . 2% (32)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 2% (10)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)
2	. 4% (91) . 7% (157)	5% (6) 5% (6)	2% (8) 4% (13)	2% (11) 7% (33) 12% (56) 14% (67)	5% (22)	5% (17) 3% (11) 8% (28)	2% (2) 7% (7)	1% (3) 7% (19)
5	. 12% (248) . 13% (276)	5% (6) 7% (9)	10% (33)	14% (67) 14% (66)	10% (45) 15% (69) 13% (59)	8% (28) 10% (36)	11% (11)	11% (31)
6 7	. 13% (272) . 12% (259)	12% (15) 14% (17) 20% (25)	15% (50) 16% (51)	14% (66) 13% (63) 11% (55)	13% (59) 12% (58)	10% (36) 11% (37)	16% (16) 13% (13) 14% (14) 10% (10)	12% (34) 12% (32) 11% (30)
9	12% (257) 9% (188)	20% (25) 16% (20)	12% (40) 14% (46) 11% (37)	11% (55) 6% (28)	11% (53) 12% (54)	12% (41) 14% (49) 11% (38)	10% (10)	11% (30) 18% (50)
10	. 6% (120) . 4% (92)	9% (11) 7% (9) 2% (3)	6% (20) 4% (12)	6% (29) 6% (27) 4% (17)	6% (28) 5% (24) 4% (18)	5% (17) 7% (24)	13% (13) 7% (7) 4% (4)	12% (32) 6% (16) 5% (14)
12	. 3% (60) . 2% (53)	2% (3) 2% (2) 1% (1)	1% (3)	3% (13) 3% (15)	3% (12)	6% (22) 5% (18)	2% (2)	2% (6) 2% (5)
13 14 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	. 0% (9) . 0% (10)	0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (2)	1% (3)	3% (12) 2% (7) 1% (3)	0% (0)	2% (2) 2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1)
16	. 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (1)	2% (6) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17 18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	. 0% (1) . 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.66 a active rec	6.70 ords)	6.76	6.11	6.29	7.53	6.83	6.98
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	2	2	0	3	2	1	3
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	200	4	12	58	52	50	10	14
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	203	11	78	4	50	26	1	33
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	336	29	42	86	79	51	19	29
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	156	4	46	80	7	10	4	5
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	260	19	59	63	41	43	9	26
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	he past 30 days.							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	187	20	37	46	37	18	9	20
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	24	2	12	3	0	1	2	4
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	211	22	49	49	37	19	11	24
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inc.		n the past 30 days						
Housed - Self-Resolved	42	0	10	10	4	6	10	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	25	0	4	14	4	 1	2	<u>2</u> 0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	26	2	<u>-</u> 5	8	 6	3	<u>-</u> 1	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	18	1	4	7	4	 1	 1	 0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	111	3	23	39	18	11	14	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		0	3	15	3	3	1	15
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
X Other Outflow subtotal	47	0	7	15	3	4	1	17
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	158	3	30	54	21	15	15	20
z NET INFLOW	53	19	19	-5	16	4	-4	4 Page 2

	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	i all lielu	Tial tiol a	Haven	WINTE	Literineia
Α		All Youth	8%	23%	22%	16%	18%	3%	10%
В	Active on BNL	231	18	54	51	37	41	8	22
С	Median Days Active	84	41	90	90	70	91	99	79
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	1	0% (1) 2% (5)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	5% (12) 11% (26)	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% (2) 9% (5)	4% (2) 22% (11)	11% (4) 19% (7)	0% (0) 5% (2)	13% (1) 0% (0)	9% (2) 0% (0)
	9	19% (43) 12% (28)	11% (2) 17% (3)	28% (15) 13% (7)	18% (9) 12% (6)	19% (7) 14% (5)	15% (6) 10% (4)	13% (1)	14% (3)
		17% (39)	11% (2)	11% (6)	20% (10) 6% (3)	16% (6)	20% (8) 17% (7)	25% (2) 25% (2)	5% (1) 23% (5) 27% (6)
	9	12% (28) 10% (23)	22% (4) 17% (3)	9% (5) 15% (8)	6% (3) 8% (4)	8% (3) 3% (1)	12% (5)	0% (0) 13% (1)	5% (1)
	10	4% (9) 3% (7)	17% (3) 6% (1) 0% (0)	6% (3) 2% (1)	8% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (2) 0% (0)	2% (1) 12% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)
	12	3% (7) 0% (1)	N% (N)	2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	5% (2)	13% (1) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)
	14	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.60	6.67	6.76	5.86	5.78	7.56	6.88	7.33
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	1 	1 	1 	1 	1	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	13	1	6	0	2	1	1	2
	Matched/Awarded	69	10	 16	6	 17	10	4	6
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	38	1	23	6	0	7	 0	1
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months		 						
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	20	1	4	5	3	3	0	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	41	4	7	11	8	4	0	7
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	4	9	11	8	4	1	7
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the nest 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved		,	2	2	^	2	2	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	0	3		0	۷	۷	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	1	0	2	3	1	1	1
	Housed - All Other	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	25	1	3	5	7	4	3	2
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution								
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0 	0	0	0 	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	29	1	5	6	7	4	3	3
Z	NET INFLOW	15	3	4	5	1	0	-2	4 Page 3

	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	EdStern	rairileiu	панноги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Littermeid
Α	•	on-Youth	6%	14%	23%	23%	16%	5%	13%
В	Active on BNL	1,898	107	272	433	428	308	95	253
С	Median Days Active	137	153	77	132	172	137	121	164
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
U	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1 2	2% (31) 5% (86)	1% (1) 5% (5)	1% (4) 3% (8)	2% (10) 7% (31)	2% (10) 5% (21)	1% (4) 5% (16)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (1) 1% (3)
	3	8% (145) 12% (222)	5% (5) 7% (8)	4% (11) 10% (28)	12% (54) 13% (56)	10% (41) 14% (62)	4% (11) 8% (26)	6% (6) 12% (11)	7% (17) 12% (31)
	5	12% (233) 13% (244)	12% (13) 13% (14)	13% (35) 16% (44) 13% (34) 15% (41)	13% (57) 13% (57)	12% (52) 12% (53)	10% (30) 11% (33)	16% (15) 12% (11)	12% (31) 12% (31)
	7	12% (220) 12% (229)	21% (23) 15% (16)	13% (34)	10% (45) 6% (25)	12% (53) 11% (47) 12% (51)	11% (33) 11% (42)	13% (12) 11% (10)	12% (31) 10% (25) 17% (44)
	9	9% (165)	7% (8)	11% (29)	6% (25)	6% (27)	11% (33)	13% (12)	17% (44) 12% (31) 6% (15)
	10	6% (111) 4% (85)	7% (8) 7% (8) 3% (3)	6% (17) 4% (11)	6% (26) 4% (16)	5% (22) 4% (18)	5% (16) 6% (19)	7% (7) 4% (4)	6% (14)
	12	3% (53) 3% (52)	2% (2)	1% (2) 2% (5)	3% (12) 3% (15)	3% (11) 2% (7)	6% (20) 6% (18)	1% (1) 2% (2)	2% (5) 2% (4)
	14 15	0% (9) 0% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (2)	0% (0) 2% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	17 18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.67	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.71	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.14	0% (0) 6.33	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.53	0% (0) 6.83	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.95
-	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.70	0.14	0.33	7.55	0.03	0.95
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	13	2	2	0	3	2	1	3
-	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	195	4	 11	57	 51	49	9	14
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered		· 						
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	190	10	72	4	48	25 	0	31
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	267	19	26	80	62	41	15	23
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	118	3	23	74	7	3	4	4
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	29	1	5	12	4	2	1	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	146	16	30	35	29	14	9	13
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	21	2	10	3	0	1	1	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	167	18	40	38	29	15	10	17
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	32	0	7	8	4	4	8	1
Р	Housed - PSH	23	0	4	13	3	1	2	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	17	1	5	6	3	2	0	0
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	14	1	4	7	 1	0	1	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	86	2	20	34	11	7	11	1
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	37	0	2	14	3	3	1	14
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	4	0	 3	0	0	1	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	 0	0 0	0 0	 0	0 0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other								
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	43 129	<u>0</u> 2	5 25	14	3 14	<u>4</u> 11	1 12	16 17
Y 7	NET INFLOW	38	16	<u> </u>	<u>48</u> -10	15	4	-2	0
4	ALT HAI LOW	30	10	10	-10	10	7	-4	Page 4

	All Families	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	rairileiu	Hartioru	naven	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
Α	_	Families	9%	17%	24%	17%	18%	5%	10%
В	Active on BNL	303	26	52	72	52	56	14	31
С	Median Days Active	105	124	136	97	112	79	90	88
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	1% (4) 3% (9)	0% (0) 8% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2) 7% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)
	3	3% (8) 10% (30)	0% (0) 8% (2)	0% (0)	6% (4)	4% (2)	2% (1)	0% (0)	3% (1)
	5	16% (48)	12% (3) 12% (3)	8% (4) 27% (14)	14% (10) 15% (11)	10% (5) 4% (2)	14% (8) 18% (10)	0% (0) 21% (3)	3% (1) 16% (5)
	7	11% (33) 12% (37)	12% (3) 19% (5)	12% (6) 13% (7)	10% (7) 8% (6) 6% (4)	4% (2) 15% (8) 13% (7) 13% (7)	9% (5) 9% (5)	7% (1) 36% (5)	10% (3) 6% (2) 6% (2)
	8	9% (28) 13% (38)	15% (4) 12% (3)	8% (4) 13% (7)	6% (4) 11% (8)	13% (7) 10% (5)	9% (5) 7% (4)	14% (2) 7% (1)	6% (2) 32% (10)
	10	9% (26) 5% (14)	15% (4) 0% (0)	8% (4) 10% (5)	7% (5) 3% (2)	12% (6) 4% (2)	4% (2) 5% (3)	0% (0) 7% (1)	32% (10) 16% (5) 3% (1)
	12	2% (7) 4% (13)	N% (N)	0% (0)	1% (1)	6% (3)	5% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	13 14 1	1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	6% (4) 3% (2)	6% (3) 2% (1)	9% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15 <mark></mark>	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.31	6.88	7.19	6.75	8.10	7.41	7.79	7.48
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	bination of circumst	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	0	4	1	0	2	1
-	Known Unsheltered	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	4	U	I	l 	l 		U	
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	70	7	3	19	16	17	2	6
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	43	0	24	13	1	3	0	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment					'			
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	57	5	25	12	5	4	3	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	I						
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	28	5	3	6	3	8	0	3
	Returned from Inactive	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	-	-					<u>'</u>	•
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	31	5	5	6	3	8	1	3
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	9	0	1	3	0	3	2	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH			·				-	
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	1	3	0	0	1	0
_	Housed - RRH	6	0	0	5	0	1	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other							-	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	2	<u> </u>	1 	0	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	25	0	4	12	1	4	4	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		U	U	U	·
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	0		1	0	0
X Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	0	<u> </u>	12	<u>0</u>	5	<u> </u>	0
Z	NET INFLOW	5	5	1	<u>-6</u>	2	3	-3	3
-1	2011		<u> </u>	•	•			•	Page 5

All Individuals					Greater	Greater New	2044.4114010011@	Waterbury/
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
Percentage of S			450/	23%	23%	16%		400/
	dividuals	5%	15%				5%	13%
Active on BNL	1,826	99	274	412	413	293	89	244
Median Days Active	137	153	74	131	172	141	121	165
Assessment Score Distribution (am D Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
0	0% (2) 2% (28)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 2% (9)	0% (0) 2% (10)	0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)
2	4% (82)	4% (4) 6% (6)	3% (8)	7% (28)	5% (22)	5% (15)	2% (2)	0% (0) 1% (3)
4	8% (149) 12% (218)	7% (7)	5% (13) 11% (29)	13% (52) 14% (57)	10% (43) 15% (64)	3% (10) 7% (20)	8% (7) 12% (11)	7% (18) 12% (30)
6	12% (228) 13% (239)	12% (12) 14% (14)	13% (36) 16% (45) 12% (33)	13% (55) 14% (56) 12% (49)	14% (57) 12% (50) 11% (46)	9% (26) 11% (32)	15% (13) 13% (12)	12% (29) 12% (29) 11% (28)
8	12% (222) 13% (229)	20% (20) 16% (16) 8% (8)	15% (42)	12% (49) 6% (24) 5% (21)	11% (47)	12% (36) 15% (44)	10% (9) 9% (8)	20% (48)
10	8% (150) 5% (94)	5% (5)	11% (30) 6% (16)	5% (22)	6% (23) 4% (18)	12% (34) 5% (15)	13% (12) 8% (7)	9% (22) 5% (11)
	4% (78) 3% (53)	3% (3) 2% (2) 1% (1)	3% (7) 1% (3)	4% (15) 3% (12)	4% (16) 2% (9)	7% (21) 6% (19)	3% (3) 2% (2)	5% (13) 2% (6) 2% (5) 0% (1)
13	2% (40) 0% (6)	0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (2)	3% (11) 0% (1)	1% (4) 0% (2)	4% (13) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	2% (5) 0% (1)
15	0% (7) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	6.56	6.66	6.68	6.00	6.06	7.55	6.69	6.92
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their com	bination of circumst	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance	13	2	2	0	3	2	1	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		۷			J	۷	 	J
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	192	4	12	54	51	50	8	13
Known Unsheltered	199	11	 77	3	49	26	1	32
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded							47	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	266	22	39	67	63	34	17	23
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	113	4	22	67	6	7	4	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	203	14	34	51	36	39	6	23
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
Newly Added	159	15	34	40	34	10	9	17
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive			40				4	
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	21	2	10	3	0	<u> </u>	1	4
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	180	17	44	43	34	11	10	21
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	33	0	9	7	4	3	8	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	20	0	3	11 	4	1	1	0
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	20	2	5	3	6	2	1	1
Housed - All Other	13	1	2	6	3	1	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	86	3	19	27	17	7	10	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact						2	4	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	39	0	3	15 	3	2	1 	
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other						-		
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
X Other Outflow subtotal	46	0	7	15	3	3	1	17
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	132	3	26	42	20	10	11	20
z NET INFLOW	48	14	18	1	14	1	-1	1 Page 6

		Ctotouddo	Control	Factors	Faladiala	Greater	Greater New	BARADA/	Waterbury/
	Families (Non-Youth) Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
А	Families (No		8%	12%	24%	19%	20%	5%	11%
В	Active on BNL	254	21	31	61	48	52	12	29
С	Median Days Active	101	117	106	103	118	75	105	90
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (3) 3% (8)	0% (0) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 7% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)
		3% (8) 9% (22)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 10% (3)	7% (4) 11% (7)	4% (2) 8% (4)	2% (1) 12% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)
	5	13% (34) 12% (30)	14% (3) 14% (3)	16% (5)	11% (7)	4% (2) 17% (8)	19% (10)	25% (3) 8% (1)	14% (4)
	•	11% (27)	19% (4)	13% (4) 10% (3)	10% (6) 8% (5) 7% (4)	17% (8) 13% (6) 13% (6)	10% (5) 10% (5) 10% (5)	25% (3) 17% (2)	10% (3) 3% (1) 7% (2)
	9	9% (24) 14% (35)	14% (3) 10% (2)	6% (2) 16% (5)	7% (4) 13% (8)	10% (5)	8% (4)	8% (1)	34% (10)
		9% (22) 5% (13)	14% (3) 0% (0)	10% (3) 16% (5)	13% (8) 8% (5) 3% (2)	10% (5) 4% (2)	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 8% (1)	17% (5) 3% (1)
	12	3% (7) 5% (13)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (1) 7% (4)	6% (3) 6% (3)	6% (3) 10% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	1% (3) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0)	0% (U) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.53	6./1	7.77	7.18	8.17	7.42	7.92	7.59
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)							1	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	7	0	0	<u>4</u> 	1 	0	1	·
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	62	5	2	19	14	16	1	5
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	21	0	6	11	1	1	0	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	8	0	4	1	1	0	1	1
Ī	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100.1							
(Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								_
L	Clients who have never been active before	23	4	1	4	3	8	0	3
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	25	4	3	4	3	8	0	3
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
(Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	0	1	3	0	3	2	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	1	3	0	0	1	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	0	4	0	1	0	0
R	Housed - All Other	4	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	23	0	4	11	0	4	4	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	24	0	4	11	0	5	4	0
Z	NET INFLOW	1	4	-1	-7	3	3	-4	3 Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	43%	rairileiu	riai tioi u	riaveii	IVIIVIVV	Litterineia
Α	•	(Youth)	10%	4370	22%	8%	8%	4%	4%
В	Active on BNL	49	5	21	11	4	4	2	2
С	Median Days Active	123	131	155	90	90	212	24	65
_	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score	_	records)						
U	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	9% (1) 9% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 16% (8)	0% (0) 20% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 27% (3)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 50% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	5	29% (14) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	43% (9) 10% (2)	36% (4) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0)
	7	20% (10)	20% (1) 20% (1)	19% (4)	9% (1)	25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	100% (2)	50% (1)
		8% (4) 6% (3)	20% (1) 20% (1)	10% (2) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	10	8% (4) 2% (1)	20% (1) 20% (1) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	25% (1) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	12	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.18	7.60	6.33	4.36	7.25	7.25	7.00	6.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>		0	U
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	8	2	1	0	2	1	1	1
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	22	0	18	2	0	2	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	5	0	1	3	1	0	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	a neat 20 days							
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		,	-			•		
L	Clients who have never been active before	5	1	2	2	0	0	0	0
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	6	1	2	2	0	0	1	0
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved								_
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Z	NET INFLOW	4	1	2	1	-1	0	1	0 Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S								
Α	Individuals		7%	18%	22%	18%	20%	3%	11%
В	Active on BNL	182	13	33	40	33	37	6	20
С	Median Days Active	75	41	63	88	70	91	116	88
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	7% (12) 10% (18)	8% (1) 0% (0)	6% (2)	5% (2) 20% (8)	12% (4)	0% (0)	17% (1)	10% (2)
	5	16% (29)	0% (0) 15% (2)	12% (4) 18% (6)	20% (8) 13% (5) 13% (5)	18% (6) 21% (7)	0% (0) 16% (6) 11% (4)	0% (0) 17% (1)	0% (0) 10% (2)
	6	14% (25) 16% (29)	15% (2) 23% (3) 8% (1) 23% (3)	15% (5) 6% (2)	13% (5) 23% (9) 8% (3)	15% (5) 15% (5)	22% (8)	33% (2) 0% (0)	5% (1) 20% (4) 30% (6)
	8	13% (24) 11% (20)	23% (3) 15% (2)	9% (3) 18% (6)	8% (3) 10% (4)	6% (2) 3% (1)	19% (7) 14% (5)	0% (0) 17% (1)	30% (6) 5% (1)
	10	3% (5) 3% (6)	15% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (2) 3% (1)	10% (4) 3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)
	12	4% (7) 1% (1)	N% (N)	3% (1)	3% (1)	3% (1)	5% (2)	17% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)
	13 14 1	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	15 -	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17 18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.71	6.31	7.03	6.28	5.61	7.59	6.83	7.47
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their com	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	U
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
-	Known Unsheltered	13	1	6	0	2	1	1	2
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	 			Z		 	
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	61	8	15	6	15	9	3	5
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	1	5	4	0	5	0	1
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months		' 		· 				
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	15	1	3	2	2	3	0	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T						
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	36	3	5	9	8	4	0	7
	Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						<u>,</u>		•
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	38 ave	3	7	9	8	4	0	7
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	10	0	3	2	0	2	2	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH				<u>–</u> 				·
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
_	Housed - RRH	8	1	0	1	3	1	1	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				·				
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	23	1	3	4	6	4	3	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution							·	·
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	1	0	 1	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	2	1		0	0	1
X Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	27	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3	3
Z	NET INFLOW	11	2	2	4	2	0	-3	4
-		•••	_		-		•	•	Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	01.1	0.11	_ ,		Greater	Greater New		Waterbury/
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
	Percentage of S Individuals (No		5%	15%	23%	23%	16%	5%	14%
A B	Active on BNL	1,644	86	241	372	380	256	83	224
С	Median Days Active	141	160	75	134	183	153	121	169
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			-	-				
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (28) 5% (78)	1% (1) 3% (3)	0% (1) 2% (4) 3% (8)	0% (0) 2% (9) 7% (27)	3% (10) 6% (21)	1% (3) 5% (14)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 1% (3)
	3	8% (137) 12% (200)	6% (5) 8% (7)	5% (11)	13% (50) 13% (49)	10% (39) 15% (58)	4% (10)	7% (6)	7% (16)
	5	12% (199)	12% (10)	10% (25) 12% (30)	13% (50)	13% (50) 12% (45)	8% (20) 8% (20) 11% (28)	13% (11) 14% (12)	13% (30) 12% (27)
	6 7	13% (214) 12% (193)	13% (11) 22% (19) 15% (13)	12% (30) 17% (40) 13% (31) 16% (39)	14% (51) 11% (40) 6% (21)	12% (45) 11% (41) 12% (45)	11% (28) 11% (28) 14% (37)	12% (10) 11% (9) 10% (8)	13% (28) 11% (24) 19% (42)
	9	12% (205) 8% (130)	15% (13) 7% (6)	10% (24)	5% (17)	6% (22)	14% (37) 11% (29)	13% (11)	9% (21)
	10	5% (89) 4% (72)	7% (6) 6% (5) 3% (3)	6% (14) 2% (6)	6% (21) 4% (14)	4% (17) 4% (16)	6% (15) 7% (17)	8% (7) 4% (3)	4% (10) 6% (13)
	12	3% (46) 2% (39)	2% (2)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (11) 3% (11)	2% (8) 1% (4)	7% (17) 5% (13)	1% (1) 2% (2)	2% (5) 2% (4)
	14	0% (6) 0% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (2)	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
-	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.54 active rec	6.71 ords)	6.63	5.97	6.10	7.55	6.67	6.87
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	2	2	0	3	2	1	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	188	4	11	53	50	49	8	13
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	186	10	71	3	47	25	0	30
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	205	14	24	61	48	25	14	18
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	97	3	17	63	6	2	4	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	21	1	1	11	3	2	0	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	123	12	29	31	26	6	9	10
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	19	2	8	3	0	1	1	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	142	14	37	34	26	7	10	14
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	23	0	6	5	4	1	6	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	18	0	3	 10	3	 1	 1	 0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	12	1		10 2	3 3	1 	 0	
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		 	5					0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	10	1	2	6	1	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	63	2	16	23	11	3	7	1
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	36	0	2	14	3	2	1	14
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	42	0	5	14	3	3	1	16
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	105 37	2 12	21 16	37 -3	14 12	6	2	17 -3
Z	NET INFLOW	3/	12	10	-s	12	1		-3 Page 10

Ī	7/10/2017 111 BIVE REPOR	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	Statewide BNL	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		89%		86%				77%
Α		ide BNL	11%		14%		12%	2%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	2,129	231	1,898	303	1,826	254	49	182	1,644
С	Median Days Active	131	84	137	105	137	101	123	75	141
- 1	Assessment Score Distribution (am									
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.	_								
	1	0% (2) 2% (32)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (2) 2% (31)	0% (0) 1% (4)	0% (2) 2% (28)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 2% (28) 5% (78)
		4% (91) 7% (157)	2% (5) 5% (12)	2% (31) 5% (86) 8% (145)	3% (9) 3% (8)	4% (82) 8% (149)	3% (8) 3% (8)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (4) 7% (12)	5% (78) 8% (137)
	4	12% (248) 13% (276)	11% (26) 19% (43)	12% (222) 12% (233)	10% (30)	12% (218)	9% (22) 13% (34)	16% (8)	10% (18)	8% (137) 12% (200) 12% (199)
	6	13% (272) 12% (259)	11% (26) 19% (43) 12% (28) 17% (39)	13% (244)	16% (48) 11% (33) 12% (37) 9% (28)	12% (228) 13% (239) 12% (222)	9% (22) 13% (34) 12% (30) 11% (27)	29% (14) 6% (3) 20% (10)	16% (29) 14% (25) 16% (29)	13% (214) 12% (193)
	8	12% (257)	12% (28)	3% (149) 12% (222) 12% (233) 13% (244) 12% (220) 12% (229) 9% (165) 6% (111)	9% (28)	13% (229)	9% (24)	8% (4)	13% (24)	12% (205)
	10	9% (188) 6% (120)	12% (28) 10% (23) 4% (9)	9% (165) 6% (111)	13% (38) 9% (26)	8% (150) 5% (94)	14% (35) 9% (22)	6% (3) 8% (4)	11% (20) 3% (5)	8% (130) 5% (89)
	12	4% (92) 3% (60)	3% (7) 3% (7)	4% (85) 3% (53) 3% (52) 0% (9)	5% (14) 2% (7)	4% (78) 3% (53)	5% (13) 3% (7)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (5) 3% (6) 4% (7) 1% (1) 0% (0)	4% (72) 3% (46)
		2% (53) 0% (9)	0% (1) 0% (0)	3% (52) 0% (9)	4% (13) 1% (3)	2% (40) 0% (6)	5% (13) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	2% (39) 0% (6)
	15	0% (10) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (9) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (7) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (6) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	2% (40) 0% (6) 0% (7) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.66	6.60	6.67	7.31	6.56	7.53	6.18	6.71	6.54
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	denending on th	neir combination of	circumetances			
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0	40
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	13
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	200	5	195	8	192	7	1	4	188
Н	Known Unsheltered	203	13	190	4	199	4	0	13	186
''	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	226	60	067	70	066	60	0	G1	205
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	336	69	267	70	266	62	8	61 	205
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	156	38	118	43	113	21	22	16	97
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	260	231	29	57	203	8	49	182	21
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
ļ	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added					.=:		_		
L	Clients who have never been active before	187	41	146	28	159	23	5	36	123
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	24	3	21	3	21	2	1	2	19
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	211	44	167	31	180	25	6	38	142
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
ļ	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 day							
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	42	10	32	9	33	9	0	10	23
Р	Housed - PSH	25	2	23	5	20	5	0	2	18
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	26	9	 17	6	20	5	1	 8	 12
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 18						. <u></u> 1		
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	111	4 25	14 86	5 25	13 86	23	2	23	63
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact									
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	40	3	37	1	39	1	0	3	36
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
x	Other Outflow subtotal	47	4	43	1	46	1	0	4	42
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	158	29	129	26	132	24	2	27	105
Z	NET INFLOW	53	15	38	5	48	1	4	11	37

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	86%		79%	(Hon Foun)	(Touri)	(Touri)	69%
Α		tral CAN	14%		21%		17%	4%	10%	
В	Active on BNL	125	18	107	26	99	21	5	13	86
С	Median Days Active	140	41	153	124	153	117	131	41	160
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		•							
	1	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (2)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
	3	5% (6) 5% (6)	6% (1) 6% (1)	5% (5) 5% (5) 7% (8)	8% (2) 0% (0) 8% (2)	4% (4) 6% (6) 7% (7)	10% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 20% (1)	8% (1) 8% (1) 0% (0)	3% (3) 6% (5) 8% (7)
	5	7% (9) 12% (15)	6% (1) 11% (2) 17% (3)	7% (8) 12% (13) 13% (14)	8% (2) 12% (3)	7% (7) 12% (12) 14% (14)	5% (1) 14% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 15% (2)	8% (7) 12% (10) 13% (11)
	7	14% (17) 20% (25)	17% (3) 11% (2) 22% (4)	13% (14) 21% (23) 15% (16)	12% (3) 19% (5)	14% (14) 20% (20) 16% (16)	0% (0) 10% (2) 0% (0) 5% (1) 14% (3) 14% (3) 19% (4) 14% (3)	0% (0) 20% (1) 20% (1)	15% (2) 23% (3) 8% (1) 23% (3)	13% (11) 22% (19) 15% (13)
	9	16% (20) 9% (11)	22% (4) 17% (3) 6% (1)	7% (8) 7% (8) 7% (8)	15% (4) 12% (3)	16% (16) 8% (8)	14% (3) 10% (2)	20% (1)	23% (3) 15% (2)	7% (6) 6% (5)
	11	7% (9) 2% (3) 2% (2)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (3) 2% (2)	12% (3) 12% (3) 19% (5) 15% (4) 12% (3) 15% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (8) 5% (5) 3% (3)	10% (2) 14% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1) 20% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	15% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (3)
	13	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.70	6.67	6.71	6.88	6.66	6.71	7.60	6.31	6.71
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	11	1	10	0	11	0	0	1	10
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	29	10	19	7	22	5	2	8	14
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	18	1	5	14	0	5	13	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	20	4	16	5	15	4	1	3	12
	Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	22	4	18	5	17	4	1	3	14
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved									
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Y 7	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	<u>3</u> 19	3	2 16	<u> </u>	3 14	0 4	<u> </u>	2	2 12
-	MET IN CON	10		10		17	_ -	<u>'</u>	-	Page 12

7/10/2017111 BIVE REPORT								au.anuerson@ci.				
Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)			
Perce		83%		84%				74%				
	tern CAN	17%		16%		10%	6%	10%				
Active on BNL	326	54	272	52	274	31	21	33	241			
Median Days Active	77	90	77	136	74	106	155	63	75			
Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)										
Count of all active records having each assessment score).	•	00/ (4)	00/ (0)	00/ (4)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (4)			
1	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (4)			
2 3	2% (8) 4% (13)	0% (0) 4% (2)	3% (8) 4% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (4)	3% (8) 5% (13)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (2) 12% (4)	3% (8) 5% (11)			
4	10% (33) 15% (50)	9% (5)	10% (28) 13% (35)	8% (4)	11% (29)	10% (3)	5% (1) 43% (9)	12% (4) 18% (6)	10% (25) 12% (30)			
6	16% (51)	28% (15) 13% (7) 11% (6)	16% (44) 13% (34)	12% (6)	16% (45)	13% (4)	10% (2)	15% (5)	17% (40) 13% (31)			
8	12% (40) 14% (46)	9% (5)	15% (41)	27% (14) 12% (6) 13% (7) 8% (4) 13% (7) 8% (4)	13% (36) 16% (45) 12% (33) 15% (42)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 10% (3) 16% (5) 13% (4) 10% (3) 6% (2) 16% (5) 10% (3)	19% (4) 10% (2)	15% (5) 6% (2) 9% (3)	16% (39)			
10	11% (37) 6% (20)	15% (8) 6% (3)	11% (29) 6% (17)	13% (7) 8% (4)	11% (30) 6% (16)	16% (5) 10% (3)	10% (2) 5% (1)	18% (6) 6% (2)	10% (24) 6% (14)			
11 12	4% (12) 1% (3)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (11) 1% (2)	10% (5) 0% (0)	3% (7) 1% (3)	16% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	2% (6)			
13	2% (5)	0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (1)	1% (4) 1% (2)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (6) 1% (2) 2% (4) 1% (2)			
14	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	16% (5) 16% (5) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	በ% (በ)			
16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)			
18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 7.19	0% (0) 6.68	0% (0) 7.77	0% (0) 6.33	0% (0) 7.03	0% (0) 6.63			
Tiverage Tidecodinent Coore	Average Assessment Score 6.76 6.76 6.76 7.19 6.68 7.77 6.33 7.03 6.63 Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)											
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.						
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2			
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	12	1	 11	0	12	0	0	 1	 11			
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	12	'			1Z 			l 	 			
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	78	6	72	1	77	1	0	6	71			
Matched/Awarded	42	16	26	3	39	2	1	 15	24			
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource							·					
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	46	23	23	24	22	6	18	5	17			
Youth at Time of Assessment	59	54	5	25	34	4	21	33	1			
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days												
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.											
Newly Added	37	7	30	3	34	1	2	5	29			
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive						' 						
A Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	12	2	10	2	10	2	0	2	8			
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	49	9	40	5	44	3	2	7	37			
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•											
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved												
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	3	7	1	9	1	0	3	6			
Housed - PSH	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3			
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH				' 		·						
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5			
Housed - All Other	4	0	4	2	2	2	0	0	2			
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	23	3	20	4	19	4	0	3	16			
Inactive - Unable to Contact								4				
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	1	2	0	3	0	0		2			
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3			
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		U	U 	U	U	U	U		U 			
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0			
Other Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	0	7	0	0	2	5			
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	30	5	25	4	26	4	0	5	21			
NET INFLOW	19	4	15	1	18	-1	2	2	16			
-									Page 13			

	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)			
	Perce	entage of		89%		85%		,	,	77%			
Α	Fairfield Cou	inty CAN	11%		15%		13%	2%	8%				
В	Active on BNL	484	51	433	72	412	61	11	40	372			
С	Median Days Active	126	90	132	97	131	103	90	88	134			
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)										
	0	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (10)	0% (0) 3% (2)	0% (0) 2% (9) 7% (28) 13% (52) 14% (57)	0% (0) 2% (1) 7% (4) 7% (4) 11% (7)	0% (0) 9% (1) 9% (1) 0% (0) 27% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (9)			
		7% (33) 12% (56)	4% (2) 4% (2) 22% (11)	2% (10) 7% (31)	7% (5) 6% (4)	7% (28)	7% (4)	9% (1)	3% (1) 5% (2)	2% (9) 7% (27) 13% (50)			
	4	14% (67)	22% (11)	12% (54) 13% (56)	14% (10)	14% (57)	11% (7)	27% (3)	20% (8)	13% (49)			
	5	14% (66) 13% (63)	18% (9) 12% (6)	13% (57) 13% (57)	15% (11) 10% (7)	13% (55) 14% (56) 12% (49) 6% (24)	11% (7) 10% (6)	9% (1)	13% (5) 13% (5)	13% (50) 14% (51)			
	8	11% (55) 6% (28)	20% (10) 6% (3)	10% (45) 6% (25)	8% (6) 6% (4)	12% (49) 6% (24)	10% (6) 8% (5) 7% (4) 13% (8) 8% (5)	9% (1) 0% (0)	23% (9) 8% (3)	11% (40) 6% (21)			
	9	6% (29) 6% (27)	8% (4) 2% (1)	6% (25) 6% (26)	11% (8) 7% (5)	5% (21) 5% (22)	13% (8) 8% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (4) 3% (1) 3% (1)	6% (21) 5% (17) 6% (21) 4% (14)			
	11	4% (17) 3% (13)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (16) 3% (12)	3% (2) 1% (1)	5% (21) 5% (22) 4% (15) 3% (12) 3% (11)	3% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (14) 3% (11)			
	13	3% (15) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (15) 1% (3)	6% (4) 3% (2)	0% (1)	3% (2) 2% (1) 7% (4) 3% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (11) 3% (11) 0% (1)			
	15	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)			
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	36% (4) 9% (1) 9% (1) 9% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)			
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.11	5.86	6.14	6.75	6.00	7.18	4.36	6.28	5.97			
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.												
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	58	1	57	4	54	4	0	1	53			
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3			
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	86	6	80	19	67	19	0	6	61			
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	80	6	74	13	67	11	2	4	63			
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	63	51	12	12	51	1	11	40	11			
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.											
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	46	11	35	6	40	4	2	9	31			
	Returned from Inactive	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3			
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	49	11	38	6	43	4	2	9	34			
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da							_					
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.									
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	2	8	3	7	3	0	2	5			
_	Housed - PSH	14	1	13	3	11	3	0	1	10			
P Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 8	2	6	5	3	4	1	 1	2			
Q R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6			
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	39	5	34	12	27	11	1	4	23			
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	15	1	14	0	15	0	0	1	14			
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>'</u>	0			
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
۷,	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	15	1	14	0	15	0	0	1	14			
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	54	6	48	12	42	11	1	5	37			
Z	NET INFLOW	-5	5	-10	-6	1	-7	1	4	-3			

	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	Toutif	92%	railliles	89%	(NOH-TOULH)	(Touil)	(Toutil)	82%
٨	Greater Hartl	•	8%		11%		10%	1%	7%	
A B	Active on BNL	465	37	428	52	413	48	4	33	380
C	Median Days Active	161	70	172	112	172	118	90		183
-	Assessment Score Distribution (am			112	112	112	110	- 50	10	100
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	1	0% (0) 2% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (10) 5% (21)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (10) 5% (22) 10% (43) 15% (64)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 3% (10) 6% (21)
	3	5% (22) 10% (45)	3% (1) 11% (4)	5% (21) 10% (41)	0% (0) 4% (2)	5% (22) 10% (43)	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1)	3% (1) 12% (4)	6% (21) 10% (39)
		15% (69) 13% (59)	19% (7)	10% (41) 14% (62) 12% (52)	10% (5) 4% (2)	15% (64) 14% (57)	8% (4) 4% (2)	25% (1) 0% (0)	12% (4) 18% (6) 21% (7)	10% (39) 15% (58) 13% (50)
	6	12% (58) 11% (53)	19% (7) 14% (5) 16% (6) 8% (3)	12% (52) 12% (53)	15% (8)	14% (57) 12% (50) 11% (46) 11% (47)	17% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1) 25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	15% (5)	13% (50) 12% (45)
	8	12% (54)	8% (3)	12% (93) 11% (47) 12% (51) 6% (27) 5% (22) 4% (18) 3% (11) 2% (7)	13% (7)	11% (47)	13% (6)	25% (1)	6% (2)	11% (41) 12% (45)
	10	6% (28) 5% (24)	3% (1) 5% (2)	5% (27) 5% (22)	10% (5)	6% (23) 4% (18) 4% (16)	10% (5)	25% (1)	3% (1)	6% (22) 4% (17) 4% (16)
	12	4% (18) 3% (12)	0% (0) 3% (1)	4% (18) 3% (11)	4% (2) 6% (3)	4% (16) 2% (9) 1% (4)	4% (2) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	4% (16) 2% (8)
	14	2% (7) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3)	6% (3) 2% (1)	1% (4) 0% (2)	6% (3) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (8) 1% (4) 1% (2) 1% (2)
	15	0% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	15% (5) 6% (2) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 10% (5) 4% (2) 15% (8) 13% (7) 13% (7) 10% (5) 12% (6) 4% (2) 6% (3) 6% (3) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (2) 0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 8% (4) 4% (2) 17% (8) 13% (6) 13% (6) 10% (5) 4% (2) 6% (3) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.29	5.78	6.33	8.10	6.06	8.17	7.25	5.61	6.10
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)							·		
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	52	1	51	1	51	1	0	1 	50
н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	50	2	48	1	49	1	0	2	47
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	79	17	62	16	63	14	2	15	48
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	41	37	4	5	36	1	4	33	3
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 days								
	Newly Added	37	8	29	3	34	3	0	8	26
니.	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive									
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	37	8	29	3	34	3	0	8	26
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	'S						
ŀ	Housed - Self-Resolved	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	U	4	U	4	U	U	U 	4
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	3	3	0	6	0	0	3	3
R.	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	3	1	1	3	0	1	2	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	18	7	11	1	17	0	1	6	11
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
xV X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	21	7	14	1	20	0	1	6	14
z	NET INFLOW	16	1	15	2	14	3	-1	2	12

ı	7/10/2017111 BIVE REPOIL	AII	AH	AH	AII	AII	Familias		du.anderson@ct.g	
	Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Porce	entage of	Todai	88%	Tammee	84%	(Hon Todan)	(Toutil)	(Touti)	73%
	Greater New Ha	•	12%		16%		15%	1%	11%	
A	Active on BNL	349	41	308	56	293	52	4	37	256
B C		132	91	137	79	141	75	212	91	153
	Median Days Active			137	19	141	75	212	91	155
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)							
	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
		1% (4) 5% (17)	0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (4) 5% (16)	2% (1) 4% (2)	1% (3) 5% (15)	0% (0) 2% (1) 4% (2) 2% (1) 12% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 5% (14)
		3% (11) 8% (28)	0% (0) 5% (2)	5% (16) 4% (11) 8% (26) 10% (30)	4% (2) 2% (1) 14% (8)	5% (15) 3% (10) 7% (20)	2% (1) 12% (6)	0% (0) 50% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (10)
	5	10% (36)	15% (6)	10% (30)	18% (10)	7% (20) 9% (26) 11% (32)	19% (10) 10% (5)	0% (0)	16% (6)	8% (20) 8% (20)
		11% (37) 12% (41)	10% (4) 20% (8)	11% (33) 11% (33)	9% (5) 9% (5) 9% (5)	11% (32) 12% (36)	10% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (4) 22% (8)	11% (28) 11% (28) 14% (37)
		14% (49) 11% (38)	17% (7) 12% (5)	14% (42) 11% (33)	/% (4)	12% (36) 15% (44) 12% (34) 5% (15)	10% (5) 8% (4) 2% (1) 4% (2) 6% (3) 10% (5) 0% (0) 4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	19% (7) 14% (5)	14% (37) 11% (29)
	10	5% (17)	2% (1)	5% (16) 6% (19) 6% (20) 6% (18) 0% (0)	4% (2)	5% (15)	2% (1)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0)	11% (29) 6% (15)
	12	7% (24) 6% (22)	12% (5) 5% (2)	6% (19) 6% (20)	5% (3) 5% (3)	7% (21) 6% (19)	4% (2) 6% (3)	25% (1) 0% (0)	11% (4) 5% (2)	7% (17) 7% (17) 5% (13) 0% (0)
		5% (18) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (18) 0% (0)	9% (5) 0% (0)	4% (13) 0% (0) 1% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (13) 0% (0)
	15	2% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2)	1% (4)	4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.53	0% (0) 7.56	0% (0) 7.53	0% (0) 7.41	0% (0) 7.55	0% (0) 7.42	0% (0) 7.25	0% (0) 7.59	0% (0) 7.55
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	50	1	49	0	50	0	0	1	49
	Known Unsheltered	26	1	25	0	26	0	0	1	25
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		' 							
- 1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	51	10	41	17	34	16	1	9	25
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	7	3	3	7	1	2	5	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	/	ა 	ა	Ι	 		ວ 	Z
K	Youth at Time of Assessment	43	41	2	4	39	0	4	37	2
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	18	4	14	8	10	8	0	4	6
L	Clients who have never been active before									
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	19	4	15	8	11	8	0	4	7
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		<u> </u>							•
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Indi		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	6	2	4	3	3	3	0	2	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-									
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Housed - RRH	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	ა	 	۷		۷	 	U	I	l
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	11	4	7	4	7	4	0	4	3
٦	Inactive - Unable to Contact			-		•		-	•	
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
п	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons				_					
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	15	4	11	5	10	5	0	4	6
Z	NET INFLOW	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1 Page 16

MMW CAN		All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	· ·
WINTER CAIN		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	00/	92%	14%	86%	12%		00/	81%
A		MW CAN	8%					2%	6%	
	e on BNL	103	8	95	14	89	12	2	6	83
Median Da		119	99	121	90	121	105	24	116	121
Assessment Score Distrib D Count of all active records having each as			recoras)							
0		0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
2		2% (2) 7% (7)	0% (0) 13% (1)	2% (2) 6% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 8% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (3) 8% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 17% (1)	1% (1) 2% (2) 7% (6)
4		11% (11)	0% (0)	12% (11)	0% (0)	12% (11)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	13% (11)
6		16% (16) 13% (13)	13% (1) 25% (2)	16% (15) 12% (11)	21% (3) 7% (1)	12% (11) 15% (13) 13% (12)	25% (3) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (1) 33% (2)	14% (12) 12% (10)
8		14% (14) 10% (10)	25% (2) 0% (0)	12% (11) 13% (12) 11% (10)	36% (5) 14% (2) 7% (1)	10% (9) 9% (8)	25% (3) 17% (2)	100% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (9) 10% (8)
9		13% (13) 7% (7)	13% (1) 0% (0)	13% (12) 7% (7)	7% (1) 0% (0)	13% (12) 8% (7)	8% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (1) 0% (0)	13% (11) 8% (7)
11		4% (4) 2% (2)	0% (0) 13% (1)	4% (4) 1% (1)	0% (0) 7% (1) 0% (0)	3% (3) 2% (2)	8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 17% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1) 2% (2) 0% (0)
13		2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)
15 16		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
17		1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Ass	essment Score	6.83	6.88	6.83	7.79	6.69	7.92	7.00	6.83	6.67
Status/Conditions Follows Clients counted in each row below are cu				ited in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances			
Refuses CAN A		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due of				 		I				I
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic	(Verified) Homelessness	10	1	9	2	8	1	1	0	8
Known Un		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
H Clients that are confirmed to I	Awarded	40	4	45	0	47	4	4		4.4
Clients matched to or awarded a hor	using resource	19 	4	15 	2	17 	1	1 	3	14
Enrolled in Transitional Active clients who are enrolled in Transi	- U	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Youth at Time of Ass	sessment	9	8	1	3	6	1	2	6	0
Inflow to Active List: Past				•			•	_		•
Clients below were made active or added		e past 30 days.								
.	ly Added	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Clients who have never been Returned from										
M Clients inactive for any reason who	are now active	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
Inflow to Active Lis		11	1	10	1	10	0	1	0	10
Outflow from Active List: Clients below were returned to housing or			n the past 30 da	/S.						
Housed - Self-	Resolved	10	2	8	2	8	2	0	2	6
Clients returned to housing in pas	t 30 days, self- sed - PSH									
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 c	lays, with PSH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
	sed - RRH	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 o	All Other	1	0	 1	1	0	1	0	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30		1 1 1			1		1			
s Housed Outflow		14	3	11	4	10	4	0	3	7
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, un	able to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - In an I		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, i		0	0	^	^	0	^	Ω	^	Λ
V Clients made inactive in past 30 d	ays, deceased		0	0	0	U 	0	0	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active Lis		15	3	12	4	11	4	0	3	8
z NET	INFLOW	-4	-2	-2	-3	-1	-4	1	-3	2 Page 17

Waterbury/Litchfield CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of	routii	92%	Tairines	89%	(Non-Toutil)	(Touth)	(Toutil)	81%
Waterbury/Litchf	_	8%		11%		11%	1%	7%	
Active on BNL	275	22	253	31	244	29	2	20	224
Median Days Active	159	79	164	88	165	90	65	88	169
Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score		00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)
1	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 1% (3)
3	1% (3) 7% (19)	0% (0) 9% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 7% (17)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (3) 7% (18)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (2)	1% (3) 7% (16)
4	11% (31) 12% (34)	0% (0)	12% (31)	3% (1)	7% (18) 12% (30)	3% (1)	0% (0) 50% (1)	0% (0)	7% (16) 13% (30)
	12% (32)	14% (3) 5% (1)	12% (31) 12% (31) 10% (25) 17% (44)	16% (5) 10% (3)	12% (29) 12% (29)	14% (4) 10% (3) 3% (1) 7% (2)	0% (0)	10% (2) 5% (1)	12% (27) 13% (28)
	11% (30) 18% (50)	23% (5) 27% (6)	10% (25) 17% (44)	6% (2) 6% (2)	11% (28) 20% (48)	3% (1) 7% (2)	50% (1) 0% (0)	20% (4) 30% (6)	11% (24) 19% (42)
9	12% (32) 6% (16)	5% (1) 5% (1)	12% (31) 6% (15)	32% (10) 16% (5)	11% (28) 20% (48) 9% (22) 5% (11) 5% (13)	34% (10) 17% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0)	9% (21) 4% (10) 6% (13)
11	5% (14) 2% (6)	0% (0) 5% (1)	6% (14) 2% (5)	3% (1) 0% (0)	5% (13)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	6% (13)
13	2% (5)	5% (1)	2% (4)	0% (0)	2% (6) 2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (1)	2% (5) 2% (4) 0% (1)
15	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0) 50% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	0% (0) 6.98	0% (0) 7.33	0% (0) 6.95	0% (0) 7.48	0% (0) 6.92	0% (0) 7.59	0% (0) 6.00	0% (0) 7.47	0% (0) 6.87
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.90	7.40	0.92	7.59	6.00	1.41	0.07
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 14	0	 14	1	 13	1	0	 0	13
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered						· 			
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	33	2	31	1	32	1 	0	2	30
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	29	6	23	6	23	5	1	5	18
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	26	22	4	3	23	1	2	20	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	20	7	13	3	17	3	0	7	10
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	24	7	17	3	21	3	0	7	14
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		,	11	-	£1	<u> </u>	•		17
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as India	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	15	1	14	0	15	0	0	1	14
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	17	1	16	0	17	0	0	1	16
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	20	3	17	0	20	0	0	3	17
z NET INFLOW	4	4	0	3	1	3	0	4	-3
	•	· · · · · ·	-		-	<u> </u>			Page 18

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).