Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Fai	milies (N	lon-Youth	1)					
237 no change full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7								
Known Unsheltered	,	Matched to	. , ,					
2 59 no change -4 from last week								
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	21	0	3					
Certifian			0					
Eastern	23	0	5					
	23 85	0						
Eastern			5					
Eastern Fairfield County	85	1	5 10					
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford	85 38	1	5 10 12					
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	85 38 22	1 1 0	5 10 12 20					

Active In	idividua	Is (Youth)							
134 no change									
		3	outh) on na 0						
Known Unsheltered	ill details for A	Matched to							
Kilowii Olisheitered		Matchedit	Tiousing						
18		5	0						
+2 from last week		-1 from la	st week						
Active Unsheltered Matched									
	7 10 11 7 0	Offstiered							
Central	15	2	3						
Central Eastern									
	15	2	3						
Eastern	15 19	2	3						
Eastern Fairfield County	15 19 38	2 6 0	3 7 2						
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford	15 19 38 21	2 6 0 2	3 7 2 14						
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	15 19 38 21 19	2 6 0 2 5	3 7 2 14 16						
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	15 19 38 21 19	2 6 0 2 5	3 7 2 14 16 6						

is below.								
Active	Familie:	s (Youth)						
52 -3 from last week full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg.								
Known Unsheltered			o Housing					
0		1	1					
no change		+2 from la	st week					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	1	0	0					
Eastern	30	0	2					
Fairfield County	6	0	2					
Greater Hartford	3	0	1					
Greater New Haven	2	0	2					
MMW	3	0	1					
Northwest	7	0	3					

Active Indiv	/iduals	(Non-You	th)						
1,572 -4 from last week									
full detai	ls for Active Ir	ndividuals (Non-Yo	uth) on pg. 10						
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing						
206		30)5						
+2 from last week		-2 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	125	25	13						
Eastern	178	59	46						
Fairfield County	400	0	44						
Greater Hartford	390	34	67						
Greater New Haven	252	65	75						
MMW	98	3	36						
Northwest	129	20	24						
			Page 1						

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Footorn	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northweet
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	rairileiu	пагиоги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	_	Records	8%	13%	27%	23%	15%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,995	162	250	529	452	295	132	174
С	Median Days Active	151	150	103	153	216	146	132	85
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (35) 5% (104)	0% (0) 6% (9)	1% (3) 2% (5)	2% (13) 6% (34)	2% (9) 6% (27)	2% (6) 3% (10)	2% (2) 12% (16)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (3)
	3	8% (157) 12% (240)	4% (7) 12% (20)	6% (15) 10% (25)	10% (55) 13% (70)	9% (42) 15% (69)	5% (15)	10% (13) 15% (20)	6% (10) 8% (14)
	5	13% (261) 14% (284)	10% (16)	17% (42) 14% (35)	12% (66) 16% (86)	16% (73)	7% (22) 12% (34) 11% (32)	9% (12) 15% (20)	10% (18) 19% (33)
	7	11% (220)	9% (15) 15% (24) 13% (21)	14% (35) 11% (28) 15% (37)	13% (67) 8% (42)	16% (73) 14% (63) 9% (40) 9% (39)	11% (32) 11% (32) 13% (38)	5% (7)	19% (33) 13% (22) 14% (24)
		11% (214) 8% (160)	13% (21) 6% (10) 9% (14)	10% (25)	6% (33)	6% (28)	12% (35)	9% (12) 8% (10)	11% (19)
	10	5% (105) 5% (98)	9% (14) 6% (10)	5% (13) 3% (7)	3% (17) 5% (25)	5% (22) 5% (23)	7% (22) 7% (21)	4% (5) 5% (7)	7% (12) 3% (5)
	12	3% (50) 2% (32)	7% (12) 1% (1)	3% (7) 1% (3)	2% (9) 1% (7)	1% (3) 1% (4)	3% (10) 3% (9)	3% (4) 1% (1)	7% (12) 3% (5) 3% (5) 4% (7)
	14	1% (19) 0% (8)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (2) 0% (2)	1% (6) 1% (4)	2% (6) 0% (0)	2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
_	17	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.52 active rec	7.20	6.81	6.00	6.10	7.35	6.05	7.04
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	141	4	18	23	30	38	8	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	226	27	65	1	37	70	3	23
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	425	19	60	58	94	113	48	33
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	106	7	49	40	1	0	6	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	205	17	52	50	28	23	16	18
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	177	13	35	35	36	19	16	23
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	36	3	17	3	1	5	2	5
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	213	16	52	38	37	24	18	28
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	40	2	22	6	0	5	4	1
Р	Housed - PSH	20	0	3	4	0	9	2	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	32	1	5	9	2	11	1	3
	Housed - All Other	35	1	8	2	6	11	7	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	127	4	38	21	8	36	14	6
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	43	1	5	6	7	3	2	19
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	2	0	 1	0	0	 1	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
X	Other Outflow subtotal	52	1	6	7	7	6	2	23
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	179	5	44	28	15	42	16	29
Z	NET INFLOW	34	11	8	10	22	-18	2	-1 Page 2

	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Ochtrai		i all lielu	Tial tiol a	Haven	WINTE	Northwest
Α		All Youth	9%	26%	24%	13%	11%	8%	9%
В	Active on BNL	186	16	49	44	24	21	14	17
С	Median Days Active	77	84	159	81	46	39	56	63
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
٦		0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	2% (4) 5% (9)	6% (1)	2% (1)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (1)	0% (0)
	4	13% (24)	0% (0) 6% (1)	6% (3) 14% (7)	11% (5) 14% (6)	4% (1) 17% (4)	0% (0) 14% (3)	0% (0) 21% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		12% (22) 20% (38)	19% (3) 25% (4) 13% (2)	14% (7) 22% (11)	9% (4) 16% (7)	17% (4) 17% (4)	14% (3) 10% (2)	7% (1) 29% (4)	0% (0) 35% (6)
	7	13% (25) 10% (19)	13% (2)	18% (9) 8% (4)	11% (5) 11% (5)	8% (2) 4% (1)	19% (4) 10% (2)	0% (0) 7% (1)	18% (3) 18% (3)
	10	9% (16) 6% (12)	13% (2) 6% (1) 0% (0)	6% (3) 4% (2)	7% (3) 7% (3)	13% (3) 13% (3)	10% (2) 5% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	12% (2) 6% (1)
	11	3% (6) 3% (5)	N% (N)	0% (0) 2% (1)	7% (3) 2% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	6% (1) 0% (0)
	13	1% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)
	15	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.68	6.44	0% (0) 6.02	0% (0) 6.66	0% (0) 7.04	0% (0) 7.10	0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 7.82
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows don	anding on their comb	ination of circumst	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							^	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	2	6	0	2	5	0	3
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	61	3	9	4	15	18	7	5
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	5	30	4	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	14	1	3	7	1	1	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		_				_		_
L	Clients who have never been active before	38	2	4	9	11	7	3	2
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	1	1	1	0	3	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	44	3	5	10	11	10	3	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the neet 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,	2	1	^	^	^	4
0		4	0			0	0	0	l
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	1	1	2	0	3	0	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	2	0	2	0	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	21	1	6	3	2	4	1	4
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	10	0	1	1	6	2	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	1	1	6	2	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	31	1	7	4	8	6	1	4
Z	NET INFLOW	13	2	-2	6	3	4	2	-2 Page 3

	All Non-Youth	01.1.11	0 ()		F : 6 11	Greater	Greater New	BARBANA.	N. d
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		on-Youth	8%	11%	27%	24%	15%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,809	146	201	485	428	274	118	157
С	Median Days Active	158	165	90	162	224	155	138	90
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		2% (33) 6% (100)	0% (0) 5% (8)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (13) 7% (33)	2% (9) 6% (27)	2% (5) 4% (10)	2% (2) 13% (15)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (3)
	3	8% (148) 12% (216)	5% (7) 13% (19)	6% (12) 9% (18)	10% (50) 13% (64)	10% (41) 15% (65)	5% (15) 7% (19)	11% (13) 14% (17)	6% (10) 9% (14)
	5	13% (239) 14% (246)	9% (13)	17% (35)	13% (62)	16% (69)	11% (31)	9% (11)	11% (18)
	7	11% (195)	9% (13) 8% (11) 15% (22) 13% (19)	17% (35) 12% (24) 9% (19) 16% (33)	16% (79) 13% (62) 8% (37)	16% (69) 14% (59) 9% (38) 9% (38)	11% (30) 10% (28) 13% (36)	14% (16) 6% (7)	17% (27) 12% (19) 13% (21)
	9	11% (195) 8% (144)	13% (19) 5% (8)	11% (22)	6% (30)	6% (25)	12% (33)	9% (11) 8% (9)	11% (17)
	10	5% (93) 5% (92)	5% (8) 9% (13) 7% (10)	5% (11) 3% (7)	3% (14) 5% (22)	4% (19) 5% (23)	8% (21) 7% (20)	3% (4) 5% (6)	7% (11) 3% (4)
	12	2% (45) 2% (30)	8% (12) 1% (1)	3% (6) 1% (3)	2% (8) 1% (6)	0% (2) 1% (4)	3% (9) 3% (9)	3% (3) 1% (1)	3% (5) 4% (6)
	14	1% (18) 0% (7)	1% (2)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (2) 0% (2)	1% (6) 1% (3)	2% (5) 0% (0)	2% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.50	7.28 orde)	7.00	5.94	6.05	7.37	5.98	6.96
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	inces.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	140	4	17 	23	30	38	8	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	208	25	59	1	35	65	3	20
-	Matched/Awarded	364	16	51	54	79	95	41	28
J	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	67	2	 19	36	1	0	6	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	1	3	6	4	2	2	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	139	11	31	26	25	12	13	21
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	30	2	16	2	1	2	2	5
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	169	13	47	28	26	14	15	26
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	36	2	20	5	0	5	4	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	18	0	2	4	0	8	2	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with FSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	22	0	4	7	2	8	1	0
R	Housed - All Other	30	1	6	2	4	 11	6	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	106	3	32	18	6	32	13	2
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	33	1	4	5	1	1	2	19
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
۷	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	42	1	5	6	1	4	2	23
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	148	4	37	24	7	36	15	25
Z	NET INFLOW	21	9	10	4	19	-22	0	1 Page 4

	All Families	Statewide	Control	Footown	Cointiold	Greater	Greater New	NANA/A/	Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	_	Families	8%	18%	31%	14%	8%	8%	12%
В	Active on BNL	289	22	53	91	41	24	23	35
С	Median Days Active	111	133	169	146	120	120	48	72
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
ט	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (2)
	3	6% (18) 11% (32)	9% (2) 27% (6)	4% (2) 9% (5)	8% (7)	10% (4) 7% (3)	0% (0) 8% (2)	9% (2) 22% (5)	3% (1) 0% (0)
	5	7% (19) 16% (45)	9% (2)	8% (4) 17% (9)	12% (11) 4% (4) 16% (15)	7% (3)	8% (2) 13% (3)	9% (2) 22% (5)	6% (2)
	7	14% (40)	9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2)	21% (11)	21% (19)	15% (6) 7% (3)	4% (1)	4% (1)	6% (2) 14% (5) 9% (3) 17% (6)
	8 9	12% (35) 11% (31)	9% (2) 5% (1)	9% (5) 11% (6)	21% (19) 12% (11) 9% (8)	7% (3) 15% (6) 12% (5)	25% (6) 17% (4)	9% (2) 9% (2)	11% (4)
	10	8% (23) 5% (15)	14% (3) 5% (1)	9% (5) 2% (1)	4% (4) 4% (4)	12% (5) 10% (4)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 9% (2)	14% (5) 6% (2)
		3% (10) 2% (5)	5% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (4) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (3) 6% (2)
	14 15	1% (3) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
_	17	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.37	6.55	7.94	6.93	7.59	8.00	6.30	8.17
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)							1	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	4	0	1 	0	2	0	l 	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	70	3	7	12	13	22	6	7
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	41	1	32	8	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	57	1	31	7	3	3	4	8
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	34	0	7	11	5	1	4	6
М	Returned from Inactive	7	0	1	1	0	2	2	1
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41	0	8	12	5	3	6	7
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	0	3	1	0	1	0	1
Р	Housed - PSH	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	6	0	2	1	1	2	0	0
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	13	1	0	 1	3	2	6	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	27	1	5	3	4	5	6	3
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
'	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	 0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	 0	0	 0	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0 0	0	 0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		-		1		1		-
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	4 31	0	<u>0</u> 5	1	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	5
7	NET INFLOW	10	-1	3	8	1	-3	0	2
-1	2011		· ·	~	<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>	•	Page 5

	All Individuals	Ctatamida	Control	Factors	Fallefield	Greater	Greater New	MANAVA	Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		dividuals	8%	12%	26%	24%	16%	6%	8%
В	Active on BNL	1,706	140	197	438	411	271	109	139
С	Median Days Active	154	169	90	154	224	152	138	85
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
ט	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	2% (33) 6% (99)	0% (0) 6% (9)	3% (5)	3% (12) 8% (33)	2% (9) 6% (26)	2% (5) 4% (10)	2% (2) 14% (15)	1% (1)
	3	8% (139) 12% (208)	4% (5) 10% (14)	7% (13) 10% (20)	11% (48) 13% (59)	9% (38) 16% (66)	6% (15) 7% (20)	10% (11) 14% (15)	6% (9) 10% (14)
	5	14% (242) 14% (239)	10% (14)	19% (38) 13% (26)	14% (62) 16% (71)	17% (70) 14% (57)	12% (32) 11% (29)	9% (10) 14% (15)	12% (16) 20% (28)
	7	11% (180) 10% (179)	9% (13) 16% (22) 14% (19)	19% (38) 13% (26) 9% (17) 16% (32)	11% (48) 7% (31)	17% (70) 14% (57) 9% (37) 9% (36)	11% (31) 12% (32)	6% (6) 9% (10)	14% (19) 13% (18)
	9	8% (129) 5% (82)	6% (9) 8% (11)	10% (19) 4% (8)	6% (25) 3% (13)	5% (22)	11% (31) 8% (21)	7% (8) 5% (5)	11% (15) 5% (7)
	11	5% (83) 2% (40)	6% (9) 8% (11)	3% (6) 3% (6)	5% (21) 1% (5)	4% (17) 5% (19) 0% (2)	7% (20) 4% (10)	5% (5) 4% (4)	2% (3) 1% (2)
	13	2% (27) 1% (16)	1% (1) 1% (2)	1% (2) 1% (1)	1% (6)	1% (4) 1% (5)	3% (8)	1% (1) 1% (1)	4% (5)
	14	0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (1)	1% (3)	2% (5) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.37	0% (0) 7.30	0% (0) 6.51	0% (0) 5.81	0% (0) 5.95	0% (0) 7.30	0% (0) 6.00	0% (0) 6.76
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rowe don	anding on their comb	sination of aircumate	2000		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	2	1 	0	1	1 	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	137	4	17	23	28	38	7	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	224	27	65	0	36	70	3	23
	Matched/Awarded	355	16	53	46	81	91	42	26
j	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	65	6	17	32	1	0	6	3
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	148	16	21	43	25	20	12	10
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	143	13	28	24	31	18	12	17
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	29	3	16	2	1	3	0	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	172	16	44	26	32	21	12	21
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the neet 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	34	n the past 30 days.	19	5	0	4	4	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	18	0	3	4	0	9 	2	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	26	1	3	8	1	9	1	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	22	0	8	1	3	9	1	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	100	3	33	18	4	31	8	3
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	39	1	5	5	7	2	2	17
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	48	1	6	6	7	5	2	21
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	148	4	39	24	11	36	10	24
Z	NET INFLOW	24	12	5	2	21	-15	2	-3 Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)					Greater	Greater New		
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		9%	10%	36%	16%	9%	8%	12%
Α	Families (No								
В	Active on BNL	237	21	23	85	38	22	20	28
С	Median Days Active	110	126	54	127	133	120	47	91
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
١	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 7% (2)
	3	7% (17) 9% (21)	10% (2) 29% (6)	4% (1) 0% (0)	8% (7) 11% (9)	11% (4) 3% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	10% (2) 20% (4)	4% (1) 0% (0)
	5	7% (16) 14% (32)	10% (2)	4% (1)	5% (4) 16% (14)	8% (3) 16% (6)	9% (2)	10% (2)	7% (2)
	6	13% (31)	10% (2) 10% (2)	4% (1) 17% (4)	20% (17)	8% (3)	9% (2) 5% (1)	20% (4) 5% (1)	11% (3) 11% (3)
	8	.13% (31) .11% (26)	5% (1) 5% (1)	13% (3) 13% (3)	13% (11) 9% (8)	8% (3) 16% (6)	27% (6) 18% (4)	10% (2) 5% (1)	18% (5) 11% (3)
	10	9% (21) 6% (14)	14% (3)	17% (4) 4% (1)	9% (8) 5% (4) 5% (4)	13% (5) 11% (4)	5% (1) 5% (1)	0% (0) 10% (2)	14% (4) 4% (1)
	12	4% (9) 2% (4)	5% (1) 5% (1)	4% (1)	5% (4) 4% (3)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	11% (3)
	13	1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)
	15 -	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.51	6.48	10.13	6.95	7.58	8.27	6.30	7.96
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			l in multiple rows don	anding on their comb	nination of circumst	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							^	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified)	4	0	1	0	2	0	1	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered				4	4			
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	59	3	5	10	12	20	5	4
'	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	1	5	7	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	 	ე	1	U		U	
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	5	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	31	0	6	11	4	1	4	5
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	0	1	1	0	2	2	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	0	7	12	4	3	6	6
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		n the nort 20 I						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved			_		_		_	_
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	2	1	0	1	0	0
_	Housed - PSH	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH				^	4	4		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	2	0	1 	1 	0	0
R	Housed - All Other	12	1	0	1	3	2	5	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	22	1	4	2	4	4	5	2
۱	Inactive - Unable to Contact		^		1	•	4	-	
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	0	l 	0	l 	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		J		U	U 	U 	U	·
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	1	4	3	4	5	5	4
Z	NET INFLOW	12	-1	3	9	0	-2	1	2
-									Page 7

I							Greater Greater New		
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S			58%					
Α		s (Youth)	2%		12%	6%	4%	6%	13%
В	Active on BNL	52	1	30	6	3	2	3	7
С	Median Days Active	172	209	231	172	57	140	55	60
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)						
	Count of all active records having each assessment score			00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)
	0	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	5	21% (11) 6% (3)	()% (())	17% (5) 10% (3)	33% (2) 0% (0)	67% (2) 0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6	25% (13) 17% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (3) 27% (8) 23% (7)	17% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	50% (1) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 29% (2) 0% (0)
	8	8% (4) 10% (5)	100% (1)	7% (2) 10% (3)	33% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	14% (1) 14% (1)
	10	4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14% (1)
	12	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0)
	14	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0)
	15	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.75	8.00	6.27	6.67	7.67	5.00	6.33	9.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0		0	O		0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	0	U	U	0		U	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered								
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	11	0	2	2	1	2	1	3
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	0	27	1	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months				· 				
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	6	0	3	2	0	1	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	,							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
(1	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
J	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
۲	Housed - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	l F	•	4	4		4	1	_
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	0	7	7	0	7	1	1
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased								
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
Z	NET INFLOW	-2	0	0	-1	1	-1	-1	0
,									Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	rairileid	пагиога	пачеп	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	Individuals		11%	14%	28%	16%	14%	8%	7%
В	Active on BNL	134	15	19	38	21	19	11	10
С	Median Days Active	62	78	78	76	35	28	56	79
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		1% (2) 3% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1) 13% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1)	0% (0) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	6% (8) 10% (13)	0% (0)	11% (2)	13% (5)	5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	5	14% (19)	7% (1) 20% (3) 27% (4)	11% (2) 21% (4) 16% (3)	11% (4) 11% (4)	10% (2) 19% (4)	11% (2) 16% (3)	18% (2) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		19% (25) 12% (16)	13% (2)	16% (3) 11% (2)	16% (6) 8% (3)	19% (4) 10% (2)	5% (1) 21% (4)	27% (3) 0% (0)	40% (4) 30% (3)
		11% (15) 8% (11)	7% (1)	11% (2) 0% (0)	13% (5) 8% (3) 8% (3) 8% (3) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0)	5% (1) 14% (3)	11% (2) 11% (2)	9% (1) 0% (0)	20% (2) 10% (1)
	10	7% (10) 4% (5)	13% (2) 7% (1)	5% (1)	8% (3)	14% (3)	5% (1)	9% (1)	0% (0)
	12	3% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	8% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	9% (1) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	(1% (())	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.66	6.33	5.63	6.66	6.95	7.32	6.73	7.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their coml	bination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	2	6	0	2	5	0	3
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	50	3	7	2	14	16	6	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	5	3	3	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	8	1	0	5	1	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	2	3	9	10	7	3	1
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	1	1	1	0	3	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41	3	4	10	10	10	3	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL ii	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	1	1	1	0	2	0	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	16	1	5	2	2	3	0	3
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	10	0	1	1	6	2	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	1	1	6	2	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	1	6	3	8	5	0	3
Z	NET INFLOW	15	2	-2	7	2	5	3	-2 Page 9

	Tell design (New York)					Greater	Greater New		ci.gov with questions
	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S	tatewide			25%	25%	400/		
Α	Individuals (No	n-Youth)	8%	11%	2070	2570	16%	6%	8%
В	Active on BNL	1,572	125	178	400	390	252	98	129
С	Median Days Active	172	187	91	172	235	165	148	89
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
_	O Common and the comm	0% (3) 2% (31)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	6% (95)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (8) 4% (5)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (12) 8% (32)	2% (9) 7% (26)	2% (4) 4% (10)	2% (2) 14% (14)	2% (2) 1% (1)
	4	8% (131) 12% (195)	10% (13)	6% (11) 10% (18)	11% (43) 14% (55)	9% (37) 16% (64)	6% (15) 7% (18)	11% (11) 13% (13)	7% (9) 11% (14)
	5	14% (223) 14% (214)	9% (11) 7% (9)	19% (34) 13% (23)	15% (58) 16% (65)	17% (66) 14% (53)	12% (29) 11% (28)	9% (9) 12% (12)	12% (16) 19% (24)
	7	10% (164) 10% (164)	16% (20)	8% (15) 17% (30)	11% (45) 7% (26)	9% (35) 9% (35) 5% (19)	11% (27) 12% (30)	6% (6) 9% (9)	12% (16) 12% (16)
		8% (118) 5% (72)	14% (18) 6% (7) 8% (10)	11% (19) 4% (7)	6% (22) 3% (10)	4% (14)	12% (29) 8% (20)	8% (8) 4% (4)	11% (14) 5% (7)
	11	5% (78) 2% (36)	7% (9) 9% (11)	3% (6) 3% (5)	5% (18) 1% (5)	5% (19) 0% (1)	8% (19) 4% (9)	4% (4) 3% (3)	2% (3) 2% (2)
		2% (26) 1% (15)	1% (1) 2% (2)	1% (2) 1% (1)	1% (5) 1% (2)	1% (4) 1% (5)	3% (8) 2% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	4% (5) 0% (0)
	15	0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (3) 1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.35	0% (0) 7.42	0% (0) 6.60	0% (0) 5.73	0% (0) 5.90	0% (0) 7.29	0% (0) 5.92	0% (0) 6.74
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows don	ending on their com	hination of circumst	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance			4			4	^	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	2	l 	0	1 	l 	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	136	4	16	23	28	38	7	20
	Known Unsheltered	206	25	59	0	34	65	3	20
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	305	13	46	44	67	75 	36	24
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	54	1	14	29	1	0	6	3
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment	14	1	2	5	4	1	1	0
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	108	11	25	15	21	11	9	16
	Returned from Inactive	23	2	15	1	1	0	0	4
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	131	13	40	16	22	11	9	20
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	32	2	18	4	0	4	4	0
	Housed - PSH	16	0	2	4	0	8	2	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH								
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	18	0	2	7	1 	7	T 	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	18	0	6	1	1	9	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	84	2	28	16	2	28	8	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	29	1	4	4	1	0	2	17
11	Inactive - In an Institution	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other				l 		۷		
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	38	1	5	5	1	3	2	21
Υ _	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	122	3 10	33 7	21 -5	3	31	10	21
Z	NET INFLOW	9	70		-ე	19	-20	-1	-1

STATEWIND BINL Records Voul Non-Youth Families Individuals Non-Youth Youth Non-Youth Percentage of Statewide BNL 1995 188 1,609 289 1,706 237 52 134 1,572 172	ı	7/1/2020 111 BIVE REPORT	All	All	AII	All	All	Families	Families		
Percentage of Statewide BML 9% 14% 15% 3% 7% 7% 15% 16% 1,009 289 1,706 237 52 134 1,572 1		Statewide BNL									
Active on BML 1,995 186 1,809 289 1,706 237 52 134 1,572		Porce		rodiii		-1-ammics		(Mon Toutil)	- (Podin)	(10011)	,
Status Control constraints Control control constraints Control contr			•	9%		14%		12%	20/	7%	
Median Days Active 151 77 158 111 154 110 172 62 172	Α				4 000	000	4.700	007			4 570
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	- 1				The state of the s		•				
December of the attent month canner good necessaries and constructions of the construction of the constr					158	111	154	110	1/2	62	1/2
Part				recoras)							
1	٦	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (3)
1.5 1.5				1% (2) 2% (4)	2% (33) 6% (100)	1% (2) 2% (5)	2% (33) 6% (99)	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 3% (4)	6% (95)
1				5% (9) 13% (24)	8% (148)	6% (18) 11% (32)	8% (139)	7% (17) 9% (21)	2% (1) 21% (11)	6% (8) 10% (13)	8% (131)
1		5	13% (261)	12% (22)	13% (239)	7% (19)	14% (242)	7% (16)	6% (3)	14% (19)	14% (223)
1			14% (284) 11% (220)	20% (38) 13% (25)	11% (195)	16% (45) 14% (40)	14% (239) 11% (180)	14% (32) 13% (31)	25% (13) 17% (9)	19% (25) 12% (16)	10% (164)
1				10% (19) 9% (16)	11% (195) 8% (144)	12% (35) 11% (31)	10% (179) 8% (129)	13% (31) 11% (26)	8% (4) 10% (5)	11% (15) 8% (11)	10% (164) 8% (118)
1		10	5% (105)	6% (12)	5% (93) 5% (03)	8% (23)	5% (82)	9% (21)	4% (2)	7% (10)	5% (72) 5% (78)
1		12	3% (50)	3% (5)	2% (45)	3% (10)	2% (40)	4% (9)	2% (1)	3% (4)	2% (36)
18		14		1% (2) 1% (1)	2% (30) 1% (18)	2% (5) 1% (3)	2% (27) 1% (16)	2% (4) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (26) 1% (15)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)		15		1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (7) 0% (2)	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (5) 0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (1)
Status (Conditions Followed (Jamong active records) Clients are control (Jamong active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BML and directs may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.			0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
Clients control in each row between currently action or the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple roses depending on their combinations of countermose.	Е										
F Clients contribled have one subsected to due displaces postero Chronic (Verified) 141					to die en die						
Formation Chronic (Verified) Chronic (Verifie	ŀ				ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of				
Clients marel HUD definition of Chronic Promessesses First Title Title	F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Clients that are continend to be unshelment 225 61 364 70 355 59 11 50 305	G		141	1	140	4	137	4	0	1	136
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or averated a housing presence 425 61 364 70 355 59 11 50 305	Н		226	18	208	2	224	2	0	18	206
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	1	Matched/Awarded	425	61	364	70	355	59	11	50	305
Youth at Time of Assessment 205 186 19 57 148 5 52 134 14	J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	106	39	67	41	65	13	28	11	54
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Newly Added Clients returned from Inactive Self-Resolved Newly Added Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed - All Other Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Clients reduce in past 30 days, all other	K	Youth at Time of Assessment	205	186	19	57	148	5	52	134	14
Newly Added Cilients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive Gilents inactive presen who are now active Gilents inactive present Gilents inactive Gilents inactive Gilents inactive Gilents inactive	Ī	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients who have never been active before 117 36 139 34 143 31 3 33 106	ŀ										
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active S0 6 S0 7 29 7 0 6 23	L	Clients who have never been active before	177	38	139	34	143	31	3	35	108
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	М		36	6	30	7	29	7	0	6	23
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	N	,	213	44	169	41	172	38	3	41	131
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Housed - RRH Glients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S											
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Noused - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RIH All States Returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Returned to housing in past 30 da	ļ	Ü	tive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Aboused - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Aboused - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Aboused - All Other All Other All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other All Other	0		40	4	36	6	34	4	2	2	32
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Housed - All Other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other reasons Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all other returned to housing in past 30 days, all other returned to housing in past 30 days, all other returned to housing in past 30 days, all steints returned to housing in past 30 days, all steints returned to housi	_	Housed - PSH	20	2	18	2	18	2	0	2	16
Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, all other 35 5 30 13 22 12 1 4 18	P	Housed - RRH									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	Q								1		
Inactive - Unable to Contact 43 10 33 4 39 4 0 10 29	ŀ	2 ,							5		
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 43 10 33 4 39 4 0 10 29	3										
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 2	Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	43	10	33	4	39	4	U	10	29
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased S O S O O O O O O A Inactive - All Other 4 O 4 O 4 O A O O O A X Other Outflow subtotal 52 10 42 4 48 4 O 10 38 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 179 31 148 31 148 26 5 26 122 Z NET INFLOW 34 13 21 10 24 12 -2 15 9	U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 4 X Other Outflow subtotal 52 10 42 4 48 4 0 10 38 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 179 31 148 31 148 26 5 26 122 Z NET INFLOW 34 13 21 10 24 12 -2 15 9	٧		3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
x Other Outflow subtotal 52 10 42 4 48 4 0 10 38 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 179 31 148 31 148 26 5 26 122 Z NET INFLOW 34 13 21 10 24 12 -2 15 9	W		4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
z NET INFLOW 34 13 21 10 24 12 -2 15 9	Х		52	10	42	4	48	4	0	10	38
	Υ										
Page 11	Z	NET INFLOW	34	13	21	10	24	12	-2	15	

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	90%	1 aiiiiies	86%	(Non-Toutil)	(Touti)	(Touil)	77%
Α		tral CAN	10%		14%		13%	1%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	162	16	146	22	140	21	1	15	125
С	Median Days Active	150	84	165	133	169	126	209	78	187
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		0% (0) 6% (9)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (9) 4% (5) 10% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 10% (2) 29% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0)
	3	4% (7)	0% (0) 6% (1)	5% (8) 5% (7)	9% (2)	4% (5)	10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7 % (1) 0% (0) 7% (1)	6% (8) 4% (5) 10% (13)
	5	12% (20) 10% (16)	19% (3)	13% (19) 9% (13)	27% (6) 9% (2)	10% (14)	29% (6) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (3) 27% (4)	9% (11) 7% (9)
	6 7	9% (15) 15% (24)	19% (3) 25% (4) 13% (2) 13% (2)	8% (11) 15% (22)	9% (2) 9% (2)	9% (13) 16% (22)	10% (2) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 100% (1)	27% (4) 13% (2) 7% (1)	7% (9) 16% (20) 14% (18)
		13% (21) 6% (10)	13% (2) 13% (2)	9% (13) 8% (11) 15% (22) 13% (19) 5% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 9% (2) 27% (6) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 5% (1)	10% (14) 9% (13) 16% (22) 14% (19) 6% (9)	5% (1) 5% (1)	N% (N)	7% (1) 13% (2)	6% (7)
	10	9% (14) 6% (10)	6% (1) 0% (0)	9% (13) 7% (10)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (11) 6% (9)	10% (2) 10% (2) 10% (2) 5% (1) 5% (1) 14% (3) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0)	8% (10) 7% (9)
	12	7% (12) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (12) 1% (1)	5% (1)	8% (11) 1% (1)	5% (1)	0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (11) 1% (1) 2% (2) 0% (0)
	14	1% (2)	0% (0)	1% (2)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (2)
	16	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.20	6.44	7.28	6.55	7.30	6.48	8.00	6.33	7.42
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	 27	2	 25	0	 27	0	0	2	 25
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	19	3	16	3	16	3	0	3	13
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	5	2	1	6	1	0	5 5	1
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	17	16	1	1	 16	0	1	 15	1
ĸ	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	13	2	11	0	13	0	0	2	11
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	16	3	13	0	16	0	0	3	13
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	4	1	3	1	3	1	0	1	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5	1	4	1	4	1	0	1	3
Z	NET INFLOW	11	2	9	-1	12	-1	0	2	10 Page 12

Ė	7/1/2020 111 BNL REPOIL								au.anderson@ci.	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		80%		79%		, ,	, ,	71%
Α		ern CAN	20%		21%		9%	12%	8%	
^ B	Active on BNL	250	49	201	53	197	23	30	19	178
c	Median Days Active	103	159	90	169	90	54	231	78	91
-	Assessment Score Distribution (amo				100	- 00	01	201	70	<u> </u>
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		,							
		0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (3) 3% (5) 7% (13)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	1% (1) 1% (2)
	2	2% (5)	2% (1)	1% (2) 2% (4)	0% (0)	3% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	1% (2) 2% (4) 6% (11)
	4	6% (15) 10% (25)	6% (3) 14% (7)	6% (12) 9% (18)	4% (2) 9% (5)	10% (20)	4% (1) 0% (0)	17% (5)	11% (2) 11% (2)	10% (18)
		17% (42) 14% (35)	14% (7) 22% (11)	9% (18) 17% (35) 12% (24) 9% (19)	8% (4) 17% (9)	19% (38) 13% (26)	4% (1) 4% (1)	10% (3) 27% (8)	21% (4) 16% (3)	19% (34) 13% (23)
	7	11% (28) 15% (37)	18% (9) 8% (4)	9% (19)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 9% (5) 8% (4) 17% (9) 21% (11) 9% (5)	10% (20) 19% (38) 13% (26) 9% (17) 16% (32) 10% (19) 4% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 17% (4) 13% (3) 17% (4)	23% (7) 7% (2) 10% (3)	16% (3) 11% (2) 11% (2)	8% (15) 17% (30)
	9	10% (25)	6% (3) 4% (2)	16% (33) 11% (22)		10% (19)	13% (3)	10% (3)	0% (0) 5% (1)	11% (19)
	11	5% (13) 3% (7)	0% (0)	5% (11) 3% (7) 3% (6)	9% (5) 2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (8) 3% (6) 3% (6)	4% (1) 4% (1) 4% (1)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1)	4% (7) 3% (6) 3% (5)
		3% (7) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	3% (6) 1% (3)	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (6) 1% (2)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	3% (5) 1% (2)
	14	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (1)
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	6.81	6.02	7.00	7.94	6.51	10.13	6.27	5.63	6.60
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the control of the country and the country and the country and the country are control of the country are country and the country are control of the country and the country are country are country and the country are country are country and the country are considered and a country are considered ar			ited in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
-	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	18	1	17	1	17	1	0	1	16
- Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	65	6	 59	0	65	0	0	6	59
`` -	Matched/Awarded	60	9	 51	7	53	5	2	7	46
-	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	49	30	19	32	17	5	27	3	14
	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	52	49	3	31	21	1	30	 19	2
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	lients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	4	31	7	28	6	1	3	25
M	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	17	1	16	1	16	1	0	1	15
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	52	5	47	8	44	7	1	4	40
(Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ıys								
C	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	22	2	20	3	19	2	1	1	18
- Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
Q Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
- R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with NATI Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	2	6	0	8	0	0	2	6
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	38	6	32	5	33	4	1	5	28
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
-	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
- V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ŀ	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
 x	Other Outflow subtotal	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	44	7	37	5	39	4	1	6	33
z	NET INFLOW	8	-2	10	3	5	3	0	-2	7
<u> </u>	L									Page 13

ı	7/1/2020 111 BIVE REPORT	A.II			A.11	A.II	E 10		au.anderson@ct.g	
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	Toutil	92%	raillilles	83%	(INOII-TOULIT)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	76%
		_	8%	52,7	17%	3370	16%	1%	7%	
A	Fairfield Cou			405	04	420	0.5			400
В	Active on BNL	529	44	485	91	438	85	6	38	400
С	Median Days Active	153	81	162	146	154	127	172	76	172
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)							
	0	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	2	2% (13) 6% (34)	2% (1)	3% (13) 7% (33)	1% (1) 1% (1) 8% (7)	3% (12) 8% (33)	1% (1) 1% (1) 8% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 13% (5)	3% (12) 8% (32)
	3	10% (55) 13% (70)	11% (5) 14% (6)	10% (50) 13% (64)	8% (7) 12% (11)	11% (48) 13% (59)	8% (7) 11% (9)	0% (0) 33% (2)	11% (4)	8% (32) 11% (43) 14% (55)
	5 6	12% (66) 16% (86)	9% (4) 16% (7)	13% (62)	12% (11) 4% (4) 16% (15)	14% (62) 16% (71)	5% (4) 16% (14)	0% (0) 17% (1)	11% (4) 16% (6)	15% (58) 16% (65)
	7	13% (67)	11% (5)	13% (62) 8% (37) 6% (30) 3% (14) 5% (22) 2% (8)	21% (19) 12% (11) 9% (8) 4% (4)	11% (//8)	11% (9) 5% (4) 16% (14) 20% (17) 13% (11) 9% (8) 5% (4) 4% (3) 1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	33% (2) 0% (0)	8% (3)	11% (45) 7% (26)
	9	8% (42) 6% (33)	11% (5) 7% (3)	6% (37) 6% (30)	9% (8)	7% (31) 6% (25) 3% (13)	9% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (5) 8% (3) 8% (3)	6% (22) 3% (10)
		3% (17) 5% (25)	7% (3) 7% (3)	3% (14) 5% (22)	4% (4) 4% (4)	3% (13) 5% (21)	5% (4) 5% (4)	0% (0)	8% (3) 8% (3)	3% (10) 5% (18)
		2% (9) 1% (7)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (8) 1% (6)	4% (4) 4% (4) 1% (1)	5% (21) 1% (5) 1% (6)	4% (3) 1% (1)	17% (1) 0% (0)	8% (3) 0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (18) 1% (5)
	14	0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (2)
	16	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.00	6.66	5.94	6.93	5.81	6.95	6.67	6.66	5.73
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	23	0	23	0	23	0	0	0	23
	Known Unsheltered	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered						' 			
- 1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	58	4	54	12	46	10	2	2	44
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	4	36	8	32	7	1	3	29
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	50	44	6	7	43	1	6	38	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	9	26	11	24	11	0	9	15
	Returned from Inactive	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	10	28	12	26	12	0	10	16
N	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		10	20	12	20	12	U	10	10
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	1	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		·							·
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
	Housed - RRH	9	2	7	1	8	0	1	1	7
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				4					
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	21	3	18	3	18	2	1	2	16
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	1	4
- '	Inactive - In an Institution	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - All Other	0	^	^	^	Λ	^	Λ	^	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	7	1	6	1	6	1	0	1	5
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	28 10	6	24 4	<u>4</u> 8	24	<u>3</u> 9	<u>1</u> -1	7	21 -5
۷	NETINFLOW	10	0	4	0		9	-1		- 3

	Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All	Families (Non Youth)	Families	Individuals	
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 91%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
٨	Greater Harti	_	5%		9%		8%	1%	5%	
В	Active on BNL	452	24	428	41	411	38	3	21	390
С	Median Days Active	216	46	224	120	224	133	57	35	235
	Assessment Score Distribution (am						199			
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	09/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	09/ (0)	00/ (0)	09/ (0)
	1	2% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (9) 6% (27)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (9) 6% (26) 9% (38) 16% (66)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (9) 7% (26)
	3	6% (27) 9% (42)	0% (0) 4% (1)	10% (41) 15% (65)	10% (4) 7% (3)	9% (38)	11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1) 10% (2)	9% (37)
	5	15% (69) 16% (73)	17% (4) 17% (4) 17% (4)	15% (65)	7% (3) 7% (3)	1/% (/0)	3% (1) 8% (3)	67% (2) 0% (0)	19% (4) 19% (4)	9% (37) 16% (64) 17% (66) 14% (53)
	7	14% (63) 9% (40)	8% (2) 4% (1)	14% (59) 9% (38)	7% (3)	14% (57) 9% (37) 9% (36)	16% (6) 8% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	19% (4) 10% (2) 5% (1)	9% (35) 9% (35) 9% (35)
	9	9% (39) 6% (28)	4% (1) 13% (3) 13% (3)	9% (38) 6% (25)	7% (3) 15% (6)	9% (36) 5% (22)	8% (3) 16% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 14% (3) 14% (3)	9% (35) 5% (19)
	11	5% (22) 5% (23)	0% (0)	16% (69) 14% (59) 9% (38) 9% (38) 6% (25) 4% (19) 5% (23)	12% (5) 10% (4)	5% (22) 4% (17) 5% (19)	13% (5) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	Nº/- (N)	5% (19) 4% (14) 5% (19)
	13	1% (3) 1% (4)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 1% (4)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)
	15	1% (6) 1% (4)	0% (0) 4% (1)	1% (6) 1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (3) 15% (6) 7% (3) 7% (3) 15% (6) 12% (5) 10% (4) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 11% (4) 3% (1) 18% (3) 16% (6) 8% (3) 16% (6) 11% (4) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 6.10	0% (0) 7.04	0% (0) 6.05	0% (0) 7.59	0% (0) 5.95	0% (0) 7.58	0% (0) 7.67	0% (0) 6.95	0% (0) 5.90
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance							_		
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	30	0	30	2	28	2	0	0	28
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	37	2	35	1	36	1	0	2	34
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	94	15	79	13	81	12	1	14	67
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	28	24	4	3	25	0	3	21	4
Ī	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 days								
ŀ	Newly Added	36	11	25	5	31	4	1	10	21
L	Clients who have never been active before		 	25	<u>J</u>	J1	4	I	10	Z I
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	37	11	26	5	32	4	1	10	22
- 1	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	'S.						
ŀ	Housed - Self-Resolved	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-				·					
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
R	Housed - All Other	6	2	4	3	3	3	0	2	1
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	8	2	6	4	4	4	0	2	2
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	7	6	1	0	7	0	0	6	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۷	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7	6	1	0	7	0	0	6	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	15	8	7	4	11	4	0	8	3
Z	NET INFLOW	22	3	19	1	21	0	1	2	19 Page 15

	Greater New Haven CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 92%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Greater New Ha	-	7%	00,0	8%	5 2,5	7%	1%	6%	3373
A B	Active on BNL	295	21	274	24	271	22	2	19	252
С	Median Days Active	146	39	155	120	152	120	140	28	165
- 1	Assessment Score Distribution (am			100	120	102	120	110		100
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		·	00/ (4)	00/ (0)	00/ (4)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (4)
	1	0% (1) 2% (6)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (1) 2% (5) 4% (10) 5% (15) 7% (19)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (5)	5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (1) 2% (4)
	3	3% (10) 5% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (10) 5% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (2)	4% (10) 6% (15) 7% (20)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (10) 6% (15) 7% (18)
	5	7% (22) 12% (34)	14% (3) 14% (3) 10% (2)	11% (31)	8% (2) 8% (2)	7% (20) 12% (32) 11% (29)	5% (1) 9% (2)	50% (1) 0% (0) 50% (1)	11% (2) 16% (3) 5% (1)	7% (18) 12% (29) 11% (28)
	7	11% (32) 11% (32)	10% (2) 19% (4) 10% (2)	11% (30) 10% (28) 13% (36)	13% (3) 4% (1)	11% (29) 11% (31) 12% (32)	0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 9% (2) 9% (2) 5% (1) 27% (6)	50% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 21% (4) 11% (2)	11% (28) 11% (27) 12% (30)
	9	13% (38) 12% (35)	10% (2) 10% (2) 5% (1)	13% (36) 12% (33)	25% (6) 17% (4)	12% (32) 11% (31)	27% (6) 18% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 11% (2)	12% (29)
		7% (22) 7% (21)	5% (1) 5% (1)	12% (33) 8% (21) 7% (20)	8% (2) 13% (3) 4% (1) 25% (6) 17% (4) 4% (1) 4% (1)	11% (31) 8% (21) 7% (20) 4% (10)	18% (4) 5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 5% (1) 5% (1)	8% (20) 8% (19)
	12	3% (10) 3% (9)	5% (1) 0% (0)	3% (9)	U% (U)	4% (10) 3% (8)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0)	4% (9) 3% (8)
	14	2% (6) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	2% (5) 0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1) 0% (0)	3% (8) 2% (5) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	2% (4) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 7.35	0% (0) 7.10	0% (0) 7.37	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 7.30	0% (0) 0% (0) 8.27	0% (0) 5.00	0% (0) 7.32	0% (0) 7.29
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			1.01	0.00	7.00	Ų.EI	5.00	1.02	1.20
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	38	0	38	0	38	0	0	0	38
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	70	5	65	0	70	0	0	5	65
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	113	18	95	22	91	20	2	16	75
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	23	21	2	3	20	1	2	19	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	19	7	12	1	18	1	0	7	11
	Returned from Inactive	5	3	2	2	3	2	0	3	0
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	24	10	14	3	21	3	0	10	11
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da				-		-	, i		
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	9	1	8	0	9	0	0	1	8
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	3	8	2	9	1	1	2	7
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	0	11	2	9	2	0	0	9
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	36	4	32	5	31	4	1	3	28
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	6	2	4	1	5	1	0	2	3
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	42	6	36	6	36	5	1	5	31
Z	NET INFLOW	-18	4	-22	-3	-15	-2	-1	5	-20 Page 16

	771720201111 BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	_
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		89%		83%	(1011 10011)	(1000)	(1000)	74%
٨		MW CAN	11%		17%		15%	2%	8%	
В	Active on BNL	132	14	118	23	109	20	3	11	98
С	Median Days Active	132	56	138	48	138	47	55	56	148
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo						<u> </u>			
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
		0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)
		12% (16) 10% (13)	7% (1) 0% (0)	13% (15) 11% (13)	4% (1)	14% (15) 10% (11)	5% (1) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1) 0% (0)	14% (14) 11% (11)
	4	15% (20) 9% (12)	21% (3) 7% (1)	14% (17) 9% (11)	22% (5)	14% (15) 9% (10)	2010/2 (71)	33% (1) 0% (0)	18% (2) 9% (1)	13% (13) 9% (9)
	6	15% (20)	29% (4) 0% (0)	14% (16)	22% (5)	14% (15)	20% (4)	33% (1) 0% (0)	27% (3)	12% (12) 6% (6)
	8	5% (7) 9% (12)	7% (1)	6% (7) 9% (11) 8% (9) 3% (4)	9% (2) 9% (2) 22% (5) 4% (1) 9% (2) 9% (2) 0% (0)	6% (6) 9% (10) 7% (8) 5% (5)	20% (4) 10% (2) 20% (4) 5% (1) 10% (2) 5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1) 0% (0) 9% (1)	9% (9)
	10	8% (10) 4% (5)	7% (1) 7% (1)	8% (9) 3% (4)	9% (2) 0% (0)	7% (8) 5% (5)	5% (1) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	8% (8) 4% (4)
	11 12	5% (7) 3% (4)	7% (1) 7% (1)	5% (6) 3% (3)	9% (2) 0% (0)	5% (5) 4% (4)	10% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (1) 9% (1)	4% (4) 3% (3)
	13	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 4% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.05	0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 5.98	0% (0) 6.30	0% (0) 6.00	0% (0) 6.30	0% (0) 6.33	0% (0) 6.73	0% (0) 5.92
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			atad in multiple	donordia	oir combine the	Coiroum et e e e e			
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									_
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified)	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered									
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	48	7	41	6	42	5	1	6	36
į	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing									
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	14	2	4	12	1	3	11	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	16	3	13	4	12	4	0	3	9
	Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		· ·							
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	18	3	15	6	12	6	0	3	9
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	ys.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
_	Housed - RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		<u>-</u>			· 		-		<u>-</u>
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	1	6	6	1	5	1	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	14	1	13	6	8	5	1	0	8
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
•	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		·	U 	U	u	U	·		·
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									-
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	2 16	<u>0</u>	2 15	<u>0</u>	2 10	5	0	<u>0</u>	2 10
7	NET INFLOW	2	2	0	0	2	1	<u> </u>	3	-1
4	IALT HAT LOW			U	U		'	-,	J	- I Page 17

ĺ	7/1/2020 111 BNL Repoli	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias		Individuals	
	Northwest CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		90%		80%				74%
Α		est CAN	10%		20%		16%	4%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	174	17	157	35	139	28	7	10	129
С	Median Days Active	85	63	90	72	85	91	60	79	89
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ong active	records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)
	1	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)
		2% (3) 6% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (10)	6% (2) 3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 6% (9)	0% (0) 7% (2) 4% (1) 0% (0) 7% (2) 11% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 7% (9)
		8% (14) 10% (18)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (14) 11% (18)	I 6% (2)	10% (14) 12% (16)	0% (0) 7% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (14) 12% (16)
	6	19% (33)	35% (6) 18% (3)	17% (27) 12% (19)	14% (5) 9% (3) 17% (6)	10% (14) 12% (16) 20% (28) 14% (19) 13% (18)	11% (3)	29% (2) 0% (0)	40% (4) 30% (3)	19% (24)
	8	13% (22) 14% (24)	18% (3)	13% (21)	9% (3) 17% (6)	13% (18)	11% (3) 18% (5)	14% (1)	20% (2)	12% (16) 12% (16)
	10	11% (19) 7% (12)	12% (2) 6% (1)	11% (17) 7% (11)	11% (4) 14% (5)	5% (7)	11% (3) 14% (4)	14% (1) 14% (1)	10% (1) 0% (0)	11% (14) 5% (7)
	11	3% (5) 3% (5)	6% (1) 0% (0)	3% (4) 3% (5)	6% (2) 9% (3)	2% (3) 1% (2)	4% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 2% (2) 4% (5) 0% (0)
	13	4% (7)	6% (1)	4% (6) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)	4% (5)	4% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (5)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E		0% (0) 7.04	0% (0) 7.82	0% (0) 6.96	0% (0) 8.17	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 7.96	0% (0) 9.00	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 6.74
	Status/Conditions Followed (among					5 5		5.53		V 1
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	00				00				
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	20	0	20	0	20	0	0	0	20
Н	Known Unsheltered	23	3	20	0	23	0	0	3	20
	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	22				00	4			0.4
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	33	5	28	7	26	4	3	2	24
.1	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
٠	Youth at Time of Assessment	18	17	1	8	10	1	7	10	0
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	17	I I	0	10	l l		10	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e nact 30 dave								
	Newly Added		2	01	G	17	Е	4	1	16
L	Clients who have never been active before	23	2	21	6	17 	5	1	1 	16
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	28	2	26	7	21	6	1	1	20
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	ys.	ı					
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
,	Housed - PSH	2	0	2	2	^	2	Λ	Λ	Λ
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	۷	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
	Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other				Ť		-			
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	4	2	3	3	2	1	3	0
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	19	0	19	2	17	2	0	0	17
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
,	Inactive - All Other	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
N X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	23	0	23	2	21	2	0	0	21
^ Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	23 29	4	25 25	5	21 24	4	<u> </u>	3	<u>21</u>
7	NET INFLOW	<u>-1</u>	-2	1	2	-3	2	0	<u>-2</u>	<u>-1</u>
-1				•						Page 18

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).