# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Fa	milies (N	lon-Youth	ո)
	om last	week	outh) on pg. 7
Known Unsheltered			Housing
2 no change		-2 from la	1 st week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	17	0	2
Fairfield County	72	1	18
Greater Hartford	38	0	8
Greater New Haven	40	0	20
MMW	15	0	1
Northeast	15	1	1
Southeast	12	0	4

vvaterbar y Entermena	10	O .	,
Active In	dividua	ls (Youth)	
2 +4 fr	om last	O week	
		ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on pg. 9
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing
5		2	6
no change		no cha	ange
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	9	1	0
Fairfield County	59	1	2
Greater Hartford	49	0	9
Greater New Haven	40	0	10
MMW	13	0	0
Northeast	12	1	2
Southeast	10	1	1

Active l	Familie	s (Youth)	
1.50	45		
-T II	om last	<b>week</b> r Active Families (Y	outh) on ng 8
	jun uctuns joi	Matched to	
0		1	0
no change		no cha	ange
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	1	0	0
Fairfield County	8	0	2
Greater Hartford	6	0	4
Greater New Haven	6	0	2
MMW	2	0	0
Northeast	2	0	0
Southeast	17	0	0
Waterbury Litchfield	3	0	2

	3	U	_
Active Indiv	/iduals	(Non-You	th)
1,	45	58	
	om last		
·	Is for Active Ir	ndividuals (Non-Yo	, , , ,
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing
135		21	LO
-9 from last week		no cha	ange
			_
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	Active 91		Matched 14
Central Fairfield County		Unsheltered	
	91	Unsheltered 9	14
Fairfield County	91 367	Unsheltered 9 8	14 57
Fairfield County Greater Hartford	91 367 389	Unsheltered 9 8 35	14 57 44
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	91 367 389 187	9 8 35 17	14 57 44 41
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	91 367 389 187 79	9 8 35 17 2	14 57 44 41 6
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW Northeast	91 367 389 187 79 65	9 8 35 17 2 7	14 57 44 41 6 16

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report				0	0		Contact bea	au.anderson@ct.go	•
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S			26%	25%	14%			20/	400/
All	Records	6%			14 70	6%	5%	8%	10%
Active on BNL	1,940	118	506	482	273	109	94	163	194
Median Days Active	127	125	145	147	133	123	79	72	145
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
0	0% (2)	-	0% (2)		-	-			
2	2% (37)	1% (1)	0% (2) 3% (16) 5% (27)	2% (11)	2% (6)	1% (1)	-		1% (2)
3	4% (78) 8% (151)	5% (6) 8% (9)	10% (52)	4% (20) 9% (44)	2% (5) 4% (12)	3% (3) 6% (7)	6% (6) 5% (5) 13% (12)	2% (3) 4% (7)	4% (8) 8% (15)
4	11% (204)	8% (10)	11% (57)	9% (44) 11% (55)	4% (12) 6% (16)	14% (15)	13% (12)	11% (18)	8% (15) 11% (21)
5 6	13% (259) 14% (268)	13% (15) 14% (17)	14% (69) 14% (70)	14% (66) 13% (63)	9% (25) 11% (31)	16% (17) 24% (26)	11% (10) 10% (9)	22% (36) 16% (26)	11% (21) 13% (26)
7	12% (236)	14% (16) 12% (14)	11% (54)	14% (68)	12% (33) 11% (31)	11% (12)	15% (14)	11% (18) 12% (20)	11% (21)
8	11% (206) 8% (153)	12% (14) 10% (12)	11% (54) 8% (40) 6% (31)	11% (51) 6% (29) 5% (22) 4% (21) 3% (15) 2% (10)	11% (31) 12% (34)	11% (12) 8% (9) 6% (6) 7% (8) 2% (2) 2% (2)	15% (14) 15% (14) 15% (7)	12% (20) 9% (15)	14% (27) 9% (18)
10	7% (127)	7% (8)	8% (38)	5% (22)	11% (31) 12% (34) 8% (22) 9% (25) 4% (12) 5% (13)	7% (8)	7% (7)	4% (7) 1% (2)	8% (15) 4% (8)
11	5% (91) 3% (54)	3% (3) 4% (5)	5% (27)	4% (21)	9% (25)	2% (2)	7% (7) 3% (3) 4% (4) 2% (2)	1% (2) 3% (5)	4% (8)
13	2% (41)	1% (1)	1% (5) 2% (8)	2% (10)	5% (13)	1% (1)	2% (2)	2% (3)	3% (6) 2% (3) 1% (1)
14	1% (15)	1% (1)	1% (3)	1% (4)	1% (3)		-	2% (3)	1% (1)
15 <b></b>	1% (15) 0% (3)		1% (6) 0% (1)	0% (2) 0% (1)	1% (4) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	1% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	1% (2) -
17	- 1-1								
Average Assessment Score	6.69	6.69	6.29	6.47	7.83	6.22	6.85	6.68	6.84
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.23	0.47	7.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.04
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	14	1	2	4	0	1	1	2	3
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	ļ	ļ		·		·	·		
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	189	4	49	49	52	6	8	7	14
Known Unsheltered	142	10	10	35	17	2	9	23	36
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded		4.0						40	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	307	16	79	65	73	7	19	18	30
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	148	17	54	11	18	7	0	37	4
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	281	13	72	63	49	16	4.4	29	25
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	201	13	12	03	49	16	14	29	20
nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added		14	44	35	37	4	7	28	31
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	<del> </del>	<u> </u>							
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	27	0	5	8	2	0	7	3	2
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	228	14	49	43	39	4	14	31	33
<b>Dutflow from Active List: Past 30 Da</b>	•								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	ì.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	37	4	0	2	8	0	11	5	7
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	<del> </del>	<del> </del>							
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19	0	5	2	5	0	2	2	3
Housed - RRH	20	5	0	4	3	0	2	2	4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH				7			۷	۷	
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	0	0	2	2	0	1	6	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	87	9	5	10	18	0	16	15	14
Inactive - Unable to Contact		2	2	0	2	1	6	1	9
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution		<u> </u>				· 		· 	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Other Outflow subtotal	29	2	2	1	3	1	7	1	12
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	116	11	7	11	21	1	23	16	26
NET INFLOW	112	3	42	32	18	3	-9	15	7

	I BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	ov with question
Al	I Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
	Percentage of S									
		All Youth	4%	26%	22%	18%	6%	5%	11%	8%
	Active on BNL	255	10	67	55	46	15	14	27	21
	Median Days Active	90	87	111	90	70	117	60	98	61
	Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
	cords having each assessment score	).	ľ							
0 1 <b></b>		- 2% (4)		3% (2)	2% (1)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
2		2% (5)	- 400/ (4)	3% (2)	-	- 40/ /0\		7% (1)	- 40/ (4)	10% (2)
4		5% (14) 12% (30)	10% (1) 10% (1)	9% (6) 9% (6)	2% (1) 13% (7)	4% (2) 4% (2)	27% (4)	7% (1) 14% (2)	4% (1) 19% (5) 30% (8)	10% (2) 14% (3)
5 6		15% (39) 18% (47)	20% (2)	16% (11) 19% (13)	16% (9)	9% (4)	7% (1)	14% (2) 14% (2)	30% (8) 19% (5)	10% (2) 10% (2)
7		10% (26)	10% (1) 10% (1)	6% (4)	22% (12) 15% (8)	15% (7) 17% (8)	27% (4) 7% (1) 27% (4) 7% (1)	21% (3) -	11% (3)	5% (1)
8		11% (29) 8% (21)	20% (2)	12% (8) 9% (6)	11% (6) 9% (5)	15% (7) 9% (4)	20% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	4% (1) 7% (2)	14% (3) 5% (1)
10		7% (18)	20% (2)	7% (5)	4% (2)	11% (5)	-	7% (1)	4% (1)	5% (1) 10% (2) 5% (1)
11 12		3% (7) 3% (7)		4% (3)	4% (2)	11% (5) 2% (1) 7% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	7% (1) -		5% (1) 5% (1)
13		2% (5)	-	1% (1)	4% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1)	-	-	4% (1)	
14 15		1% (2) 0% (1)		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>2% (1)</u> -	<u> </u>	- 7% (1)	<u> </u>	5% (1) -
16		1:1					-			
17 18		- -		<u> </u>			<u>-</u>			
	Average Assessment Score	6.69	6.80	6.31	6.69	7.59	6.60	6.71	6.04	6.71
	tions Followed (among the row below are currently active on					-i				
	fuses CAN Assistance			ea in muiupie rows	aepenaing on the					
-	e are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients meet HUD	Chronic (Verified) definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
Clients th	Known Unsheltered hat are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
	Matched/Awarded d to or awarded a housing resource	36	0	4	13	12	0	2	1	4
Enrolled in	n Transitional Housing	49	5	9	0	 12	3	0	19	1
Aging Out o	are enrolled in Transitional Housing of Youth Next 6 Months	29	1	9	 7	3	0	 1	4	4
	o are 24.5 or older as of report date ve List: Past 30 Days									
	ade active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	45	3	8	8	15	0	2	2	7
01101110	who have never been active before	T								
	Returned from Inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
	v to Active List TOTAL	49	3	9	8	17	0	3	2	7
	Active List: Past 30 Da				<u> </u>		•			,
	turned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Н	loused - Self-Resolved	9	0	0	0	4	0	2	1	2
Clients return	ed to housing in past 30 days, self-	 								
Clients returned to	Housed - PSH housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to	Housed - RRH housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Housed - All Other to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Housed Outflow subtotal	11	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	3
	ive - Unable to Contact e in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0
Ina	ctive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made	inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	 0	0	0 0	0	0
Clients made inactiv	e in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0
Outflow fr	om Active List TOTAL	16	0	2	0	4	0	4	3	3
	NET INFLOW	33	3	7	8	13	0	<u>-1</u>	-1	4

1/1/2017 FIT BNL Repoil				Cuantage	Cuantan		Contact bei	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide on-Youth	6%	26%	25%	13%	6%	5%	8%	10%
B Active on BNL	1,685	108	439	427	227	94	80	136	173
c Median Days Active	133	127	149	158	134	126	102	69	154
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			110	100	101	120	102		
D Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	0% (2) 2% (33)	1% (1)	0% (2) 3% (14)	- 2% (10)	- 2% (5)	- 1% (1)	- -		- 1% (2)
2	4% (73) 8% (137)	6% (6) 7% (8)	3% (14) 6% (25) 10% (46)	5% (20) 10% (43)	2% (5) 4% (10)	1% (1) 3% (3) 7% (7)	6% (5) 5% (4)	2% (3) 4% (6)	1% (2) 3% (6) 8% (13)
4	10% (174)	8% (9) 12% (13)	12% (51) 13% (58)	11% (48) 13% (57)	6% (14) 9% (21)	12% (11) 17% (16)	13% (10)	10% (13)	10% (18) 11% (19)
6	13% (220) 13% (221)	15% (16)	13% (57)	12% (51) 14% (60)	11% (24)	23% (22)	10% (8) 8% (6)	21% (28) 15% (21)	14% (24) 12% (20)
8	12% (210) 11% (177)	14% (15) 13% (14) 9% (10)	11% (50) 7% (32)	110/. (45)	11% (25) 11% (24)	12% (11) 6% (6)	18% (14) 16% (13)	11% (15) 14% (19) 10% (13)	12% (20) 14% (24) 10% (17)
	8% (132) 6% (109)	6% (6)	7% (32) 6% (25) 8% (33) 5% (24)	6% (24) 5% (20)	13% (30) 7% (17)	23% (22) 12% (11) 6% (6) 6% (6) 9% (8)	8% (6) 8% (6)	1% (6)	8% (13)
	5% (84) 3% (47)	3% (3) 5% (5)	5% (24) 1% (5)	6% (24) 5% (20) 5% (21) 3% (13) 2% (8) 1% (4)	11% (24) 4% (9)	1% (1) 1% (1)	16% (13) 8% (6) 8% (6) 3% (2) 5% (4) 3% (2)	1% (2) 4% (5) 1% (2) 2% (3)	4% (7) 3% (5) 2% (3)
13	2% (36) 1% (13)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (7) 1% (3)	2% (8)	5% (12) 1% (2)	1% (1)	3% (2)	1% (2)	2% (3)
15	1% (14)	- 1/0 717	1% (6)	0% (2)	2% (4) 0% (1)			<u> </u>	1% (2)
17	0% (3) -	- - -	0% (1) -	0% (1)	U% (1) -				 
E Average Assessment Score	6.69	- 6.68	6.29	6.44	- 7.88	- 6.16	- 6.88	- 6.81	- 6.85
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	14	1	2	4	0	1	1	2	3
Chronic (Verified)	183	4	49	47	50	6	6	7	14
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered								·	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	137	9	9	35	17	2	8	22	35
Matched/Awarded	271	16	75	52	61	7	17	17	26
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	99	12	45	11	6	4	0	18	3
Youth at Time of Assessment	26	3	5	8	3	1	0	2	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	156	11	36	27	22	4	5	26	24
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	23	0	4	8	0	0	6	3	2
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	179	11	40	35	22	4	11	29	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the next 20 do							
Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,		0	4	^	^	4	F
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	28	4	0	2	4	0	9	4	5
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19	0	5	2	5	0	2	2	3
Housed - RRH	19	F	^	л	າ	0	າ	າ	າ
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	19	5	0	4	3	0	2	2	3
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	10	0	0	2	2	0	1	5	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	76	9	5	10	14	0	14	13	11
Inactive - Unable to Contact	18	2	0	0	2	1	4	0	9
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact					<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									·
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
x Other Outflow subtotal	24	2	0	1	3	1	5	0	12
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	100	11	5	11	17	1	19	13	23
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	79	0	35	24	5	3	-8	16	3

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		29%						
All	<b>Families</b>	7%	25 /0	16%	17%	6%	6%	11%	8%
Active on BNL	272	18	80	44	46	17	17	29	21
Median Days Active	88	55	140	106	94	68	71	90	43
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	). -	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1	0% (1) 3% (7)	6% (1)	- 6% (5)	2% (1)					5% (1)
3	3% (7)	-	8% (6)	-		<del>-</del>		3% (1)	
5	11% (30) 15% (41)	11% (2) 28% (5) 28% (5)	8% (6) 16% (13)	16% (7) 11% (5)	9% (4) 13% (6)	18% (3) 6% (1)	12% (2) -	10% (3) 24% (7)	14% (3) 19% (4)
6	14% (39) 13% (36)	28% (5) 11% (2)	10% (8)	11% (5)	13% (6) 13% (6)	29% (5) 18% (3)	- 35% (6)	21% (6) 14% (4)	19% (4) 14% (3)
8	13% (35)	6% (1)	10% (8) 13% (10)	11% (5) 14% (6) 9% (4)	15% (7)	18% (3)	24% (4)	14% (4) 10% (3)	-
9	11% (29) 5% (14)	<u>6% (1)</u> -	11% (9) 8% (6)	9% (4) 2% (1)	11% (5) 15% (7) 15% (7) 2% (1)	- 6% (1)	24% (4) 6% (1) 12% (2)	10% (3) 3% (1)	19% (4) 10% (2)
11 12	4% (11)		5% (4) 1% (1)	16% (7)	13% (6)		6% (1)		
13	3% (9) 3% (7)	6% (1)	1% (1)	5% (2)	- 7% (3)	6% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	
14	1% (2) 1% (2)		3% (2) -		2% (1)		- 6% (1)	 -	
16	1% (2)		1% (1)	2% (1)					
17 18	-	- -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		<u></u>	<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score	7.13	6.06	6.94	7.73	7.96	6.71	8.06	6.31	6.38
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	denending on the	eir combination of cir	rumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance			•						
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	9	0	4	4	1	0	0	0	0
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness		·	·	·			·		
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Matched/Awarded	74	<u> </u>	20	40	20	4	4	1	
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	71	2	20	12	22	1	] 	4	9
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	0	15	1	2	0	0	20	1
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	ļ								
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	51	1	8	6	8	2	2	19	5
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	29	4	7	4	3	3	0	3	5
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive									
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	30	4	7	4	4	3	0	3	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	8	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	4	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Housed - RRH	8	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	 				<u>_</u>				
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	22	2	1	2	3	0	6	2	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact			1		1	4	-		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	l 	0	 	l 	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	 								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	_				-				
Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	25	2	2	2	4	1	6	2	6
NET INFLOW	5	2	5	2	0	2	-6	1	-1

1/1/2017 FTI BNL Kepoli				0	Ownerhous		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	•
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide dividuals	6%	26%	26%	14%	6%	5%	8%	10%
B Active on BNL	1,668	100	426	438	227	92	77	134	173
c Median Days Active	133	159	146	152	140	139	91	69	160
Assessment Score Distribution (amo			140	102	140	100	31	09	100
D Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
0	0% (2) 2% (36)	- 1% (1)	0% (2) 4% (16)	- 3% (11)	- 3% (6)				
2	4% (71) 9% (144)	5% (5) 9% (9)	4% (16) 5% (22) 11% (46)	4% (19) 10% (44)	2% (5) 5% (12)	1% (1) 3% (3) 8% (7)	8% (6) 6% (5)	2% (3) 4% (6)	1% (1) 5% (8) 9% (15)
4	10% (174)	8% (8)	12% (51) 13% (56)	11% (48)	5% (12) 5% (12) 8% (19)	13% (12)	13% (10)	11% (15)	10% (18)
5	13% (218) 14% (229)	10% (10) 12% (12)	15% (62)	14% (61) 13% (58) 14% (63)	11% (25)	17% (16) 23% (21)	13% (10) 12% (9) 10% (8)	22% (29) 15% (20)	10% (17) 13% (22) 10% (18)
8	12% (200) 10% (171)	14% (14) 13% (13)	11% (46) 7% (30)	14% (63) 10% (45)	12% (28) 11% (24)	23% (21) 10% (9) 7% (6) 7% (6) 8% (7) 2% (2) 1% (1)	10% (8) 13% (10)	10% (14)	10% (18) 16% (27)
10	7% (124) 7% (113)	11% (11) 8% (8)	7% (30) 5% (22) 8% (32) 5% (23)	6% (25) 5% (21)	12% (27)	7% (6)	8% (6) 6% (5)	12% (16) 9% (12) 4% (6)	16% (27) 8% (14) 8% (13)
11	5% (80)	3% (3)	5% (23)	10% (45) 6% (25) 5% (21) 5% (21) 2% (8)	11% (24) 12% (27) 9% (21) 8% (19) 5% (12)	2% (2)	13% (10) 8% (6) 6% (5) 3% (2) 5% (4) 3% (2)	1% (2) 4% (5) 2% (3) 2% (3)	5% (8)
12	3% (45) 2% (34)	5% (5) -	1% (4) 2% (7) 0% (1)	2% (8) 2% (8) 1% (4)	5% (12) 4% (10) 1% (3)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (4) 3% (2)	4% (5) 2% (3)	5% (8) 3% (6) 2% (3) 1% (1)
14 15	1% (13) 1% (13)	1% (1) -	0% (1) 1% (6)	1% (4) 0% (2)	1% (3) 1% (3)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	2% (3) -	1% (1) 1% (2)
16	0% (1)				0% (1)	<u>-</u>			
18	- 0.00	-			- 7.04				
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.62 active reco	6.80 rds)	6.17	6.34	7.81	6.13	6.58	6.76	6.89
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	14	1	2	4	0	1	1	2	3
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	180	4	45	45	51	6	8	7	14
Known Unsheltered  Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	140	10	9	35	17	2	8	23	36
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	236	14	59	53	51	6	18	14	21
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	109	17	39	10	16	7	0	17	3
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	230	12	64	57	41	14	12	10	20
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	172	10	37	31	34	1	7	25	26
Returned from Inactive	26	0	5	8	1	0	7	3	2
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	198	10	42	39	35	1	14	28	28
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									-
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	29	4	0	2	7	0	7	4	5
Housed - PSH	15	0	4	1	5	0	1	2	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	12	3	0	4	 1	0	<u>'</u> 1	2 2	2 1
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	9	0	0	1		0		<u>-</u> 5	' 0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	65	7	4	8	15	0	10	13	8
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact						-			
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	20	2	1 	0	1 	0	6	1	9
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
X Other Outflow subtotal	26	2	1	1	2	0	7	1	12
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	91	9	5 27	9	17	0	17	14	20
z NET INFLOW	107	1	37	30	18	1	-3	14	8 Page 6

1/1/2019 F11 BNL Repoli							Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Families (No		7%	32%	17%	18%	7%	7%	5%	8%
Active on BNL	227	17	72	38	40	15	15	12	18
Median Days Active		62	136	106	105	68	65	56	43
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score	ong active re		100	100	100	00			10
1	- 0% (1)	- -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>			- 6% (1)
2	3% (7)	6% (1)	7% (5)	3% (1)					
3 4	3% (6) 10% (22)	- 6% (1)	8% (6) 8% (6)	- 11% (4)	8% (3)	13% (2)	13% (2)	- 8% (1)	- 17% (3)
5	15% (34)	29% (5)	17% (12)	13% (5)	15% (6)	7% (1)		17% (2)	17% (3)
6 7	14% (32) 14% (31)	29% (5) 29% (5) 12% (2)	8% (6) 10% (7)	13% (5) 13% (5)	13% (5) 10% (4) 13% (5)	27% (4) 20% (3)	40% (6)	25% (3) 8% (1)	22% (4) 17% (3)
9	13% (30)	6% (1)	11% (8)	16% (6)	13% (5)	20% (3)	27% (4)	25% (3)	- 17% (3)
10	11% (24) 5% (11)	6% (1) -	13% (9) 7% (5)	16% (6) 8% (3) 3% (1)	15% (6) 3% (1)	- 7% (1)	13% (2)	17% (2) -	6% (1)
11 12	4% (10) 4% (8)	-	4% (3) 1% (1)	- 16% (6)	15% (6)	- 7% (1)	7% (1)	-	-
13	3% (6)	6% (1)	1% (1)	3% (1)	8% (3)	- 1 /0 (1)	-		
14	1% (2) 0% (1)		3% (2)	·				<del>-</del>	
16	1% (1) 1% (2)	<del>-</del>	1% (1)	3% (1)	3% (1) 		<u>-</u>		
17	-					<del>-</del>			<b></b>
Average Assessment Score	7.16	6.18	6.86	7.74	8.10	6.93	7.53	6.75	6.11
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	61	2	18	8	20	1	1	4	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	20	0	13	1	1	0	0	4	1
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	24	3	6	4	1	3	0	3	4
Returned from Inactive  Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	24	3	6	4	1	3	0	3	4
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	7	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	1
Housed - PSH 'Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	4	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	7	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	20	2	1	2	3	0	6	2	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	22	2	<u>1</u>	2	4	1	6	2	4
NET INFLOW	2	1	5	2	-3	2	-6	1	0

Part	1/1/2017 FIT BNL Repoli				Ourston	Ourston			au.anderson@ct.g	
## Active on BNL   45   1   8   6   6   2   2   1   7   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Central	Fairfield			MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Refuse Can Assistance   Sept.   Sept			2%	18%	13%	13%	4%	4%	38%	7%
Median Days Active   98   20   170   146   31   99   73   128   6			1	Q	6	6	2	2	17	3
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)			•							<b>3</b>
Description of all activities records having each assessment score.	•			170	140	JI	33	13	120	01
100   100			ecorus							
18% (8)   19% (8)   19% (9)   19% (1)   19%	0	-		-		-	-		-	-
19% (8)   19% (8)   19% (9)   19% (1)   19%	2	-		<del>-</del>				<u>-</u>		
16% (7)   33% (1)   27% (3)   15% (1)   15% (3)   15% (1)   15% (3)   15% (1)   15% (3)   15% (1)   15% (3)   15% (1)   15% (3)   15% (1)   15% (3)   15% (1)   15% (3)   15% (1)   15%	3 4		100% (1)		50% (3)	- 17% (1)	- 50% (1)			
11% (6)   13% (1)   17% (1)   18% (3)   18% (3)   11% (6)   28% (10   17% (1)   17% (1)   50%	5	16% (7)		13% (1)		-			29% (5)	33% (1)
10	7	11% (5)		13% (1)		17% (1)	50% (1)		18% (3)	 
10	8		- -	25% (2) -	- 17% (1)	33% (2) 17% (1)	<u> </u>	- 50% (1)	6% (1)	33% (1)
12   2% (1)   - 17% (1)   - 17% (1)   - 1   - 17% (1)   - 1   - 1   - 17% (1)   - 1   -		7% (3)							6% (1)	33% (1) 33% (1)
14   16   17   18   2% (1)	12	2% (1)		13% (1)	- 17% (1)			<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
15   16   17   18   18   19   19   19   19   19   19		2% (1)	- -	<u>-</u> -	17% (1) -	-	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<u>-</u> -	
17	15	2% (1)						50% (1)		
Number   N	17	-	<del>-</del>		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)  Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.  Refuses CAN Assistance F. Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G. Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched I Awarded Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Adjing Out of Youth Next 6 Months K. Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.  Newly Added Clients who have rever been active before Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - Returned of housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - All Other Housed - All Other		- e 6.96	4.00	7.63	7.67	7.00	5.00	12.00	6.00	8.00
Refuses CAN Assistance   Citients counted here are subject to due diligence policy   Chronic (Verified)   1										
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	Clients counted in each row below are currently active of	n the BNL, and clie		ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness   1	F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence polic	/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered   0	G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessnes.	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource   10		1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing   19	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	IU	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	2
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date   10	J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	19	0	2	0	1	0	0	16	0
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.   Newly Added   S			0	2	0	2	0	1	3	2
Clients who have never been active before   S		the past 30 days.								
M   Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	.	1 5	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
N   Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days			0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days           Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.           Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other         1         0	·					1				
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.			7	7	U	3	U	U	U	1
Housed - Self-Resolved   1			the past 30 days							
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-  Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	•		1		0	Λ	0	0	0	1
P   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   1   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self	<u> </u>	U	U	U 	U	U	U	U	I
Housed - RRH 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		- 1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other	Housed - RRI	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Housed - All Othe	r 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chorac Total Total To Troubing III pade to days, an other	Chorica rotarriou to riouding in page of days, an other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Inactive Unable to Contact		•			-		-	•	•	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	t '	U	T 	U 	U	U	U	U	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decease	d U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X         Other Outflow subtotal         1         0         1         0         0         0         0         0	Other Outflow subtota	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	1	0		0	0	0	2
	z <b>NET INFLO</b> W	/ 3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	-1

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report				Greater	Greater		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of	Statewide		000/						
Individual		4%	28%	23%	19%	6%	6%	5%	9%
Active on BNL	210	9	59	49	40	13	12	10	18
Median Days Active	86	90	110	84	96	117	54	72	71
Assessment Score Distribution (am		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment scor	e. -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	2% (4) 2% (5)		3% (2) 3% (2)	2% (1)	3% (1) -		- 8% (1)		- 11% (2)
3	6% (13)	11% (1)	10% (6)	2% (1) 8% (4)	5% (2)		8% (1) 17% (2)	-	11% (2) 17% (3)
5	10% (22) 15% (32)	- 22% (2)	10% (6) 17% (10)	18% (9)	3% (1) 10% (4)	23% (3) 8% (1)	17% (2) 17% (2)	30% (3) 30% (3)	6% (1)
6	19% (40)	11% (1)	19% (11)	24% (12)	15% (6)	23% (3) 8% (1)	25% (3)	30% (3) 20% (2)	11% (2)
7 8	10% (21) 11% (24)	11% (1) -	5% (3) 10% (6)	16% (8) 12% (6)	18% (7) 13% (5) 8% (3)	8% (1) 23% (3)	- 8% (1)	<u>-</u>	6% (1) 17% (3)
9	8% (16)	22% (2) 22% (2)	10% (6)	12% (6) 8% (4)	8% (3)		8% (1)	10% (1)	
10	7% (15) 3% (6)	22% (2) -	7% (4) 3% (2)	4% (2)	13% (5) 3% (1)	8% (1)	8% (1) 8% (1)		6% (1) 6% (1)
12	3% (6)	-	-	2% (1)	8% (3)	8% (1)		-	6% (1)
13	2% (4) 1% (2)		<u>2% (1)</u> -	2% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	10% (1)	- 6% (1)
15	- '								
16	-		<u>-</u> -			<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u></u>	<u>-</u>
18	-	-	-	-	-		-		-
Average Assessment Score		7.11 rdc)	6.14	6.57	7.68	6.85	5.83	6.10	6.50
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance			•						
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)		0	0	1	2	0	2	0	0
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	U	U		۷	U	۷	U	U
Known Unsheltered	1 5	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	<del> </del>	·						·	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	70	0	2	9	10	0	2	1	2
Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1 .30	5	7	0	11	3	0	3	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months		1	7	7	1	0	0	1	2
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date									
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	he past 30 days.								
Newly Added	ıl		7	0	12	^	2	2	
Clients who have never been active before		2	7	8	13	0	2	2	6
Returned from Inactive	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	43	2	8	8	14	0	3	2	6
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			U U		17	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		U
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved			0	0	4	n	2	1	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	U	0	4	0	۷	l 	 
Housed - PSH	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		ļ	·	·			·		
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other			^	^			^	4	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact	4	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	·	0	 	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		l 	<u> </u>
Inactive - In an Institution	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		ļ	·	·			·	<del></del>	<del></del>
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	.†								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	13	0	1	0	4	0	4	3	1
NET INFLOW	30	2	7	8	10	0	<u>-1</u>	-1	5
			•				•	•	Page 9

	1/1/2017 FIT BNL KEPOII							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.go	
	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S Individuals (No		6%	25%	27%	13%	5%	4%	9%	11%
В	Active on BNL	1,458	91	367	389	187	79	65	124	155
С	Median Days Active	141	159	149	167	140	139	110	69	167
4	Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re				110	100			
	0	0% (2) 2% (32)	- 1% (1)	1% (2) 4% (14)	- 3% (10)	- 3% (5)	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u>		- 1% (1)
	2	5% (66)	5% (5)	4% (14) 5% (20)	5% (19) 11% (43)	3% (5)	4% (3) 9% (7)	8% (5) 6% (4)	2% (3) 5% (6)	4% (6) 8% (13)
	3	9% (131)	9% (8) 9% (8)	11% (40) 12% (45)	11% (43) 11% (44)	5% (10) 6% (11)	9% (7) 11% (9)	6% (4)	5% (6) 10% (12)	8% (13)
	5	10% (152) 13% (186)	9% (8)	13% (46)	13% (52)	8% (15)	19% (15)	12% (8) 12% (8)	21% (26)	10% (15) 10% (16)
	6	13% (189) 12% (179)	12% (11) 14% (13)	14% (51) 12% (43)	12% (46) 14% (55)	10% (19) 11% (21)	23% (18) 10% (8)	9% (6) 12% (8)	15% (18) 11% (14)	13% (20) 11% (17)
	8	10% (179)	14% (13)	7% (24)	10% (39)	10% (19)	4% (3)	14% (9)	13% (14)	15% (24)
	9	7% (108)	14% (13) 10% (9)	4% (16)	10% (39) 5% (21)	10% (19) 13% (24)	4% (3) 8% (6) 9% (7)	14% (9) 9% (6)	9% (11)	15% (24) 9% (14)
	10 11	7% (98) 5% (74)	7% (6) 3% (3)	7% (24) 4% (16) 8% (28) 6% (21)	5% (19) 5% (21) 2% (7) 2% (7) 1% (4)	9% (16) 10% (18)	9% (7) 1% (1)	6% (4) 2% (1)	13% (16) 9% (11) 5% (6) 2% (2) 4% (5)	8% (12) 5% (7)
	12	3% (39)	5% (5)	1% (4)	2% (7)	5% (9) 5% (9) 1% (2)		6% (4)	4% (5)	5% (7) 3% (5)
	13	2% (30) 1% (11)	1% (1)	2% (6) 0% (1)	2% (7) 1% (4)	5% (9) 1% (2)	1% (1)	3% (2)	2% (2) 2% (3)	2% (3)
1	15	1% (13)		2% (6)	1% (4)	2% (3)				1% (2)
1	16	0% (1)				1% (1)			-	
	17 18	-			 -		<u>-</u>			
E	Average Assessment Score	6.62	6.77	6.18	6.32	7.83	6.01	6.72	6.81	6.94
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	14	1	2	4	0	1	1	2	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	175	4	45	44	49	6	6	7	14
Н.	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	135	9	8	35	17	2	7	22	35
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	210	14	57	44	41	6	16	13	19
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing  Youth at Time of Assessment	79	12	32	10	5	4	0	14	2
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	3	5	8	1	1	0	0	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	132	8	30	23	21	1	5	23	20
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	23	0	4	8	0	0	6	3	2
N N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	155	8	34	31	21	1	11	26	22
(	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	21	4	0	2	3	0	5	3	4
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	15	0	4	1	5	0	1	2	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	12	3	0	4	1	0	1	2	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	0	0	1	2	0	1	4	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	56	7	4	8	11	0	8	11	7
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	16	2	0	0	1	0	4	0	9
U _	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
N	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3 22	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL		2	0	0	2	0	5 12	0	12
Y Z	NET INFLOW	78 77	9 -1	30	9 22	13 8	<u> </u>	13 -2	11 15	19 3
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							,,,	Page 10

Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 86%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	vide BNL	13%		14%		12%	2%	11%	
Active on BNL	1,940	255	1685	272	1668	227	45	210	1458
c Median Days Active	127	90	133	88	133	85	98	86	141
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
0	0% (2) 2% (37)	- 20/. (4)	0% (2)	- 0% (1)	0% (2)	- 09/, (1)		- 20/. (4)	0% (2) 2% (32) 5% (66)
2	4% (78)	2% (4) 2% (5)	0% (2) 2% (33) 4% (73) 8% (137)	0% (1) 3% (7)	0% (2) 2% (36) 4% (71) 9% (144)	0% (1) 3% (7)		2% (4) 2% (5) 6% (13)	5% (66)
4	8% (151) 11% (204)	5% (14) 12% (30)	10% (174)	3% (7) 11% (30) 15% (41)	9% (144) 10% (174)	3% (6) 10% (22)	2% (1) 18% (8)	10% (22)	9% (131) 10% (152) 13% (186)
6	13% (259) 14% (268)	15% (39) 18% (47) 10% (26)	13% (220) 13% (221) 12% (210)	15% (41) 14% (39)	10% (174) 13% (218) 14% (229)	10% (22) 15% (34) 14% (32) 14% (31) 13% (30) 11% (24)	18% (8) 16% (7) 16% (7)	15% (32) 19% (40) 10% (21)	13% (189)
8	12% (236) 11% (206)	10% (26) 11% (29) 8% (21)	12% (210) 11% (177) 8% (132)	13% (36) 13% (35)	12% (200) 10% (171) 7% (124)	14% (31) 13% (30)	11% (5)	11% (24)	12% (179) 10% (147)
	8% (153) 7% (127)	8% (21) 7% (18) 3% (7)	8% (132) 6% (109)	11% (29) 5% (14)	7% (113)	11% (24) 5% (11)	11% (5) 7% (3)	8% (16) 7% (15)	7% (108) 7% (98)
	5% (91) 3% (54)	3% (7) 3% (7)	6% (109) 5% (84) 3% (47) 2% (36) 1% (13)	13% (41) 14% (39) 13% (36) 13% (35) 11% (29) 5% (14) 4% (11) 3% (9)	5% (80) 3% (45) 2% (34) 1% (13)	5% (11) 4% (10) 4% (8) 3% (6)	11% (5) 11% (5) 11% (5) 7% (3) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (6) 3% (6)	10% (147) 7% (108) 7% (98) 5% (74) 3% (39) 2% (30) 1% (11)
13	2% (41) 1% (15)	3% (7) 2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (36) 1% (13)	3% (7) 1% (2)	2% (34) 1% (13)	3% (6) 1% (2)	2% (1)	2% (4) 1% (2)	2% (30) 1% (11)
15	1% (15)	0% (1)	1% (14) 0% (3)	1% (2) 1% (2)	1% (13) 1% (13) 0% (1)	0% (1) 1% (2)	2% (1)		1% (13) 0% (1)
17	0% (3) -					170 (Z) -	<u>-</u> 		
E Average Assessment Score	6.69	6.69	6.69	7.13	6.62	7.16	6.96	6.63	6.62
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on to			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	189	6	183	9	180	8	1	5	175
Known Unsheltered	142	5	137	2	140	2	0	5	135
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	307	36	271	71	236	61	10	 26	210
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	148	49	99	39	109	20	19	30	79
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	281	255	 26	 51	230	6	45	210	20
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.		I			T			
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	201	45	156	29	172	24	5	40	132
Returned from Inactive  M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	27	4	23	1	26	0	1	3	23
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	228	49	179	30	198	24	6	43	155
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the neet 20 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,	28	0	20	7	1	0	21
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	37	9			29	7 	1	8	
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	19	0	19	4	15	4	0	0	15
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	20	1	19 	8	12	7	1	0	12
Housed - All Other  R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	1	10	2	9	2	0	1	8
s Housed Outflow subtotal	87	11	76	22	65	20	2	9	56
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	23	5	18	3	20	2	1	4	16
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
X Other Outflow subtotal	29	5	24	3	26	2	1	4	22
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	116	16	100	25	91	22	3	13	78
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	112	33	79	5	107	2	3	30	<b>77</b> Page 11

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	ov with question
Central CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families		(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth
	entage of		92%	450/	85%	4.407			77%
Cea	ntral CAN	8%		15%		14%	1%	8%	
Active on BNL	118	10	108	18	100	17	1	9	91
Median Days Active	125	87	127	55	159	62	20	90	159
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	9.	T							
0 1	- 1% (1)		1% (1)		- 1% (1)	-	-	- -	- 1% (1)
3	5% (6) 8% (9)	- 10% (1)	6% (6) 7% (8)	6% (1)	5% (5) 9% (9)	6% (1)		- 11% (1)	5% (5) 9% (8) 9% (8) 9% (8)
4	8% (10)	10% (1)	8% (9)	11% (2)	8% (8)	6% (1)	100% (1)	_	9% (8)
5	13% (15)	20% (2) 10% (1)	12% (13)	28% (5)	10% (10)	29% (5)		22% (2) 11% (1)	9% (8) 12% (11)
7	14% (17) 14% (16)	10% (1)	15% (16) 14% (15) 13% (14)	11% (2) 28% (5) 28% (5) 11% (2)	10% (10) 12% (12) 14% (14) 13% (13)	29% (5) 29% (5) 12% (2) 6% (1)		11% (1)	14% (13)
8	12% (14)	- 20% (2)	13% (14) 9% (10)	6% (1)	13% (13)	6% (1) 6% (1)		- 22% (2)	14% (13)
10	10% (12) 7% (8)	20% (2)	6% (6)	6% (1) -	11% (11) 8% (8)	- 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	22% (2) 22% (2)	10% (9) 7% (6)
11	3% (3)		3% (3) 5% (5)		3% (3) 5% (5)				7% (6) 3% (3) 5% (5)
13	4% (5) 1% (1)		1% (1)	6% (1)	5% (5) -	6% (1)	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	-
14 <b></b> 15	1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1)				1% (1)
16	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>				<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
17	-			-	-		-		
18 Average Assessment Score	6.69	6.80	6.68	6.06	6.80	6.18	4.00	7.11	6.77
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Known Unsheltered	10	1	9	0	10	0	0	1	9
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	16	0	16 	2	14	2	0	0	14
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	17	5	12	0	17	0	0	5	12
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	13	10	3	1	12	0	1	9	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
Newly Added	14	3	11	4	10	3	1	2	8
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						_			
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	14	3	11	4	10	3	1	2	8
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai	•	the nast 30 day	c						
Housed - Self-Resolved				_		_			
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	ļ								
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	5 	2	3	2	0	0	3
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	9	2	7	2	0	0	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact		0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	ļ								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	0	11	2	9	2	0	0	9
NET INFLOW	3	3	0	2	1	1	1	2	<b>-1</b> Page 1

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Fairfield County CAN	All	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non Youth)	Families		Individuals
	Records	routh	87%	ramilles	84%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	13%	01 /0	16%	0470	14%	20/	12%	1370
Fairfield Co			400	22	100		2%		227
Active on BNL	506	67	439	80	426	72	8	59	367
Median Days Active	145	111	149	140	146	136	170	110	149
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
0	0% (2)	-	0% (2) 3% (14)		0% (2)				1% (2) 4% (14)
2	3% (16) 5% (27)	3% (2) 3% (2)	6% (25)	6% (5)	0% (2) 4% (16) 5% (22)	7% (5)	<u>-</u>	3% (2) 3% (2)	5% (20)
3	10% (52) 11% (57)	9% (6) 9% (6)	10% (46) 12% (51)	8% (6) 8% (6)	11% (46) 12% (51)	8% (6) 8% (6)	<u>-</u> -	10% (6) 10% (6)	11% (40) 12% (45)
5	14% (69) 14% (70)	16% (11) 19% (13)	13% (58) 13% (57)	16% (13) 10% (8)	13% (56)	17% (12) 8% (6)	13% (1) 25% (2) 13% (1)	17% (10) 19% (11) 5% (3)	13% (46) 14% (51)
7	11% (54)	6% (4)	11% (50)	10% (8) 13% (10)	15% (62) 11% (46) 7% (30) 5% (22) 8% (32) 5% (23)	10% (7) 11% (8) 13% (9) 7% (5)	13% (1)	5% (3)	12% (43)
9	8% (40) 6% (31)	12% (8) 9% (6)	11% (50) 7% (32) 6% (25) 8% (33)	13% (10) 11% (9)	7% (30) 5% (22)	11% (8) 13% (9)	25% (2) -	10% (6) 10% (6)	12% (43) 7% (24) 4% (16)
10	8% (38) 5% (27)	7% (5) 4% (3)	8% (33) 5% (24)	11% (9) 8% (6) 5% (4)	8% (32) 5% (23)	7% (5) 4% (3)	13% (1) 13% (1)	7% (4) 3% (2)	8% (28) 6% (21)
12	1% (5) 2% (8)	1% (1)	1% (5) 2% (7)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (4) 2% (7)	1% (1) 1% (1)		2% (1)	1% (4) 2% (6) 0% (1)
14	1% (3)	- 170 (1)	1% (3)	3% (2)	0% (1)	3% (2)	<del>-</del>	270 (1)	0% (1)
15	1% (6) 0% (1)		1% (6) 0% (1)	- 1% (1)	1% (6) -	- 1% (1)	- - -		2% (6)
17	-					-	-		
Average Assessment Score	6.29	6.31	6.29	6.94	6.17	6.86	7.63	6.14	6.18
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	denending on the	eir combination of c	rircumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	Z	U	Z	U 	Z	U	U	U	Z
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	49	0	49	4	45	4	0	0	45
Known Unsheltered	10	1	9	1	9	1	^	 1	8
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	 	y	 	9	 	0	 	0
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	79	4	75	20	59	18	2	2	57
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	54	9	45	15	39	13	2	7	32
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	<b> </b>	3	45			13	۷	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	72	67	5	8	64	0	8	59	5
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	44	8	36	7	37	6	1	7	30
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	<i>-</i>	4	4						4
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	49	9	40	7	42	6	1	8	34
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the nest 30 days	9						
Housed - Self-Resolved					^	_	^	^	^
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	^		^		^	^	^	^	^
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact				<u>-</u>	·			· 	
J Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
/ Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	2	5	2	5	1	1	1	4
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	42	7	35	5	37	5	0	7	30

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All Families	All	Families (New Youth)	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	89%	Families	Individuals 91%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	11%	03 /0	9%	3170	8%	40/	10%	0170
Greater Hartt			407		400		1%		200
Active on BNL	482	55	427	44	438	38	6	49	389
Median Days Active	147	90	158	106	152	106	146	84	167
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecoras)							
0	-	-		-	-	-	-		
	2% (11) 4% (20)	2% (1)	2% (10) 5% (20)	2% (1)	3% (11) 4% (19)	3% (1)	<u>-</u> -	2% (1)	3% (10) 5% (19)
3	9% (44) 11% (55)	2% (1) 13% (7)	10% (43) 11% (48)	- 16% (7)	10% (44)	- 11% (4)	- E09/ (2)	2% (1) 8% (4)	11% (43) 11% (44)
5	14% (66)	16% (9) 22% (12)	13% (57) 12% (51)	11% (5)	14% (61)	13% (5)	50% (3) -	18% (9) 24% (12)	13% (52) 12% (46)
	13% (63) 14% (68)	22% (12) 15% (8)	12% (51) 14% (60)	11% (5) 11% (5)	13% (58) 14% (63)	13% (5) 13% (5)		24% (12) 16% (8)	12% (46) 14% (55)
8	11% (51)	15% (8) 11% (6) 9% (5)	11% (45)	14% (6)	10% (45)	16% (6)	- 170/ (1)	16% (8) 12% (6) 8% (4)	14% (55) 10% (39) 5% (21)
10	6% (29) 5% (22)	4% (2)	12% (91) 14% (60) 11% (45) 6% (24) 5% (20) 5% (21)	11% (5) 14% (6) 9% (4) 2% (1)	11% (48) 14% (61) 13% (58) 14% (63) 10% (45) 6% (25) 5% (21) 5% (21) 2% (8) 2% (8)	11% (4) 13% (5) 13% (5) 13% (5) 16% (6) 8% (3) 3% (1)	17% (1) -	4% (2)	5% (21) 5% (19) 5% (21)
	4% (21) 3% (15)	4% (2)	5% (21) 3% (13)	16% (7)	5% (21) 2% (8)	16% (6)	- 17% (1)	2% (1)	5% (21) 2% (7)
13	2% (10) 1% (4)	4% (2)	3% (13) 2% (8)	5% (2)	2% (8) 1% (4)	3% (1)	17% (1)	2% (1)	2% (7) 2% (7) 2% (7) 1% (4)
15	0% (2)		1% (4) 0% (2)		0% (2)				1% (2)
16	0% (1) -		0% (1)	2% (1) -	<u> </u>	3% (1) -			
18 Average Assessment Score	6.47	6.69	6.44	7.73	6.34	7.74	- 7.67	6.57	6.32
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.44	7.70	0.04	7.14	1.01	0.01	0.02
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	49	2	47	4	45	3	1	1	44
Known Unsheltered	35	0	35	0	35	0	0	0	35
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	65	13	 52	12	53	8	4	9	44
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	0	 11	1	10	1	0	0	10
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	63	55	8	6	57	0	6	49	8
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment					<u> </u>				
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	35	8	27	4	31	4	0	8	23
Returned from Inactive	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	43	8	35	4	39	4	0	8	31
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day			30			7	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1 
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Housed - All Other  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	10	0	10	2	8	2	0	0	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	 1	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	0	11	2	9	2	0	0	9
NET INFLOW	32	8	24	2	30	2	0	8	22
	<b></b>		<b>4</b> 7	_					Page 14

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
<b>Greater New Haven CAN</b>	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Poros	entage of	Toutil	83%	raillilles	83%	(INOTI- FOULTI)	(Touti)	(Touli)	68%
Greater New Ha	•	17%	3070	17%	3070	15%	2%	15%	0070
`		40	007	40	007	40		40	407
Active on BNL	273	46	227	46	227	40	6	40	187
Median Days Active	133	70	134	94	140	105	31	96	140
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecoras)							
0	-	-	-		-			-	-
	2% (6) 2% (5)	2% (1) -	2% (5) 2% (5)	- -	3% (6) 2% (5)	- -	-	3% (1) -	3% (5) 3% (5)
	4% (12) 6% (16)	4% (2) 4% (2)	4% (10) 6% (14)	9% (4)	5% (12) 5% (12)	- 8% (3)	- 17% (1)	5% (2) 3% (1)	5% (10) 6% (11)
5	9% (25)	9% (4) 15% (7)	9% (21) 11% (24)	13% (6) 13% (6)	8% (19) 11% (25)	15% (6)	17% (1)	10% (4) 15% (6)	8% (15) 10% (19)
7	11% (31) 12% (33)	17% (8) 15% (7)	11% (25)	11% (5)	12% (28)	10% (4)	17% (1)	18% (7)	11% (21)
	11% (31) 12% (34)	9% (4)	11% (24) 13% (30) 7% (17)	11% (5) 15% (7) 15% (7) 2% (1)	12% (28) 11% (24) 12% (27)	8% (3) 15% (6) 13% (5) 10% (4) 13% (5) 15% (6) 3% (1)	17% (1) 33% (2) 17% (1)	18% (7) 13% (5) 8% (3) 13% (5)	10% (19) 13% (24)
10	8% (22) 9% (25)	11% (5) 2% (1)	7% (17) 11% (24)	2% (1) 13% (6)	9% (21) 8% (19) 5% (12) 4% (10)	3% (1) 15% (6)		13% (5) 3% (1)	9% (16) 10% (18)
12	4% (12)	7% (3)	4% (9) 5% (12)	-	5% (12)	-		8% (3)	5% (9)
	5% (13) 1% (3)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (2)	7% (3) -	4% (10) 1% (3) 1% (3)	8% (3) -	-	3% (1) 3% (1)	5% (9) 1% (2)
	1% (4) 0% (1)	- -	2% (4) 0% (1)	2% (1) -	1% (3) 0% (1)	3% (1) -	-		5% (9) 5% (9) 1% (2) 2% (3) 1% (1)
17 18	- ' '								
Average Assessment Score	7.83	7.59	7.88	7.96	7.81	8.10	7.00	7.68	7.83
Status/Conditions Followed (among			ad in multiple rows	dananding on the	ir combination of a	irau matanaa			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	52	2	50	1	51	1	0	2	49
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered									
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	17
Matched/Awarded	73	12	61	22	51	20	2	10	41
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	18	12	6	2	16	1	1	11	5
Youth at Time of Assessment	49	46	3	8	41	2	6	40	1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	37	15	22	3	34	1	2	13	21
- Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive					O-T	' 			
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	17	22	4	35	1	3	14	21
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	4	4	1	7	1	0	4	3
Housed - PSH	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH					J			·	
Housed - RRH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Housed - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other						_			
Housed Outflow subtotal	18	4	14	3	15	3	0	4	11
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	 1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		ļ							
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	21 18	4 13	17 5	0	17 18	-3	3	<u>4</u> 10	13 8
. INET INFLOW	10	13	J	U	10	<b>-</b> 3	J	10	<b>o</b> Page 15

	MMWA CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		entage of	14%	86%	16%	84%	14%		12%	72%
Α		MW CAN						2%		
В	Active on BNL	109	15	94	17	92	15	2	13	79
С	Median Days Active	123	117	126	68	139	68	99	117	139
D	Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecorus)							
	0	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)	-			- 1% (1)
	2	3% (3) 6% (7)		3% (3) 7% (7)		3% (3) 8% (7)				1% (1) 4% (3) 9% (7) 11% (9) 19% (15) 23% (18) 10% (8)
	4	14% (15)	27% (4)	12% (11)	18% (3)	13% (12)	13% (2)	50% (1)	23% (3)	11% (9)
	6	16% (17) 24% (26)	7% (1) 27% (4)	17% (16) 23% (22)	6% (1) 29% (5)	17% (16) 23% (21)	7% (1) 27% (4)	50% (1)	8% (1) 23% (3)	23% (18)
	8	11% (12) 8% (9)	7% (1) 20% (3)	12% (11) 6% (6)	18% (3) 18% (3)	10% (9) 7% (6) 7% (6)	20% (3) 20% (3)	<u>-</u>	8% (1) 23% (3)	4% (3)
		6% (6) 7% (8)	<u>-</u> -	6% (6) 9% (8)	6% (1)	7% (6) 8% (7)	- 7% (1)	<u>-</u>		8% (6) 9% (7)
		2% (2) 2% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	- 6% (1)	8% (7) 2% (2) 1% (1)	- 7% (1)		8% (1) 8% (1)	1% (1) -
		1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1)		<u>-</u>		1% (1)
	15	-								-
	16	-	<del>-</del>		<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u> 		
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	6.22	6.60	6.16	6.71	6.13	6.93	5.00	6.85	6.01
	Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	air combination of	oircumetances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	ane bivL, and cile!		a in munipie rows		a Combination of C		0	0	4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1 	0	1 	0	1 	0	0	0	1 
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
•	Known Unsheltered	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	۷								
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment					·				·
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	15	1	2	14	0	2	13	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added			,						_
L	Clients who have never been active before	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1 
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact						I			
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ר	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
+	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution				·					
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	-		U	Ú		U			
X	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Y 7	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	3	0	3	1 2	0 1	2	0	0	0 1
۷	METHALLOW	J	U	J				U	U	Page 16

1/1/2019 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Northeast CAN	All	All	All Non Youth	All	All	Families (Non Youth)	Families	Individuals	
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 82%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	15%	0370	18%	0270	16%	2%	13%	0370
`	east CAN	44		47		45		40	
Active on BNL	94	14	80	17	77	15	2	12	65
Median Days Active	79	60	102	71	91	65	73	54	110
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus)							
0	-								
2	- 6% (6)	- 7% (1)	- 6% (5)		- 8% (6)			8% (1)	- 8% (5)
3	5% (5) 13% (12)	7% (1) 14% (2)	5% (4) 13% (10)	- 12% (2)	6% (5) 13% (10)	- 13% (2)		8% (1) 17% (2)	6% (4) 12% (8)
5	11% (10) 10% (9)	14% (2) 21% (3)	10% (8) 8% (6)		13% (10)			17% (2) 25% (3)	12% (8)
7	15% (14)	-	18% (14)	35% (6)	12% (9) 10% (8)	40% (6)	<u>-</u>		12% (8) 9% (6) 12% (8) 12% (8) 14% (9) 9% (6) 6% (4) 2% (1)
9	15% (14) 7% (7)	7% (1) 7% (1)	16% (13) 8% (6)	35% (6) 24% (4) 6% (1)	13% (10) 8% (6)	27% (4) -	- 50% (1)	8% (1) - - 8% (1)	14% (9) 9% (6)
10	7% (7) 3% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	8% (6) 3% (2)	12% (2) 6% (1)	6% (5) 3% (2)	13% (2) 7% (1)	-	8% (1) 8% (1)	6% (4) 2% (1)
12	4% (4) 2% (2)		5% (4) 3% (2)		5% (4) 3% (2)				6% (4) 3% (2)
14	-							<u>-</u> 	3 /0 (2)
15 <b></b>	1% (1) -	<u>7% (1)</u> 		<u>6% (1)</u> -			50% (1)		
17	-	-		-	- - -	-	-	 - -	
Average Assessment Score	6.85	6.71	6.88	8.06	6.58	7.53	12.00	5.83	6.72
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ed in multiple rows	denending on the	eir combination of c	rircumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	4	O	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	U	1	0	1 	U	U	U 	
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	2	6	0	8	0	0	2	6
Known Unsheltered	9	1					^		
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	9	1	8	1	8	1	0	1 	7 
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	19	2	17	1	18	1	0	2	16
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	^								^
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	14	14	0	2	12	0	2	12	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	7	2	5	0	7	0	0	2	5
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive									
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	1	6	0	7	0	0	1	6
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	14	3	11	0	14	0	0	3	11
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the part 20 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved					_				-
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	2	9	4	7	4	0	2	<u> </u>
Housed - PSH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	•	·			4	4	^		1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	1	1 	1	0	0	
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	16	2	14	6	10	6	0	2	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		ļ <sup>2</sup>							
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		ļ	J		·				
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	0	7	0	0	2	5
Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL									- 10
Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	23	4	19	6	17	-6	0	<u>4</u> -1	13 -2

1/1/2017 FTT BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of		83%		82%				76%
	east CAN	17%		18%		7%	10%	6%	
Active on BNL	163	27	136	29	134	12	17	10	124
c Median Days Active	72	98	69	90	69	56	128	72	69
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	).	<u> </u>	-			_			
1	-		-						
3	2% (3) 4% (7)	4% (1)	2% (3) 4% (6)	3% (1)	2% (3) 4% (6)		6% (1)	<u>-</u>	2% (3) 5% (6) 10% (12) 21% (26)
5	11% (18) 22% (36)	19% (5) 30% (8)	10% (13) 21% (28) 15% (21)	10% (3) 24% (7)	11% (15) 22% (29)	8% (1) 17% (2)	12% (2) 29% (5) 18% (3)	30% (3) 30% (3)	10% (12) 21% (26)
6	16% (26) 11% (18)	19% (5) 11% (3)	11% (15)	21% (6) 14% (4)	15% (20) 10% (14)	25% (3) 8% (1)	18% (3)	20% (2) -	15% (18) 11% (14)
8	12% (20) 9% (15)	4% (1) 7% (2)	14% (19) 10% (13)	14% (4)	12% (16) 9% (12)	8% (1) 17% (2) 25% (3) 8% (1) 25% (3) 17% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1)	- 10% (1)	13% (16) 9% (11)
10	4% (7) 1% (2)	4% (1)	4% (6) 1% (2)	10% (3) 3% (1)	12% (16) 9% (12) 4% (6) 1% (2)		6% (1)		13% (16) 9% (11) 5% (6) 2% (2) 4% (5) 2% (2) 2% (3)
12	3% (5)		4% (5)		4% (5) 2% (3) 2% (3)			100/ (1)	4% (5)
13	2% (3) 2% (3)	4% (1) 	1% (2) 2% (3)	<del>-</del>	2% (3) 2% (3)		<del>-</del>	10% (1)	2% (2) 2% (3)
15 16	-	<u>-</u>		 					
17 18	-	-	-	-		- -			-
E Average Assessment Score	6.68	6.04	6.81	6.31	6.76	6.75	6.00	6.10	6.81
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	dependina on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance		0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	ļ		Z		Z				۷
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Known Unsheltered	23	1	22	0	23	0	0	1	22
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	 								
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	18	1	17	4	14	4	0	1	13
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	37	19	18	20	17	4	16	3	14
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment					40		47		
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	29	27	2	19	10	2	17	10	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	1 20 . 1								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		_							
Clients who have never been active before	20	2	26	3	25	3	0	2	23
Returned from Inactive  M. Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	31	2	29	3	28	3	0	2	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		_ =	v	Ž			·	<del>-</del>	=-
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S						
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	1	4	1	4	1	0	1	3
Housed - PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		U		U 	۷	U 		U 	۷
Housed - RRH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - All Other	6	1	5	1	5	1	0	1	4
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	15	-	13	<u> </u>	13	-	0	<u> </u>	11
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact		2		2		2	-	2	
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	1	0	0	1 	0	0	1 	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased			^	^	^	^	^	^	^
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	16	3	13	2	14	2	0	3	11
z NET INFLOW	15	-1	16	1	14	1	0	-1	15

1/1/2017 FIT BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		89%		89%				80%
Waterbury Litchf	•	11%		11%		9%	2%	9%	
Active on BNL	194	21	173	21	173	18	3	18	155
c Median Days Active	145	61	154	43	160	43	61	71	167
<b>Assessment Score Distribution (amo</b>		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.	_	_	_	_					
	1% (2)	- 400( (0)	1% (2) 3% (6)	5% (1)	1% (1)	6% (1)			1% (1)
3	4% (8) 8% (15)	10% (2) 10% (2)	8% (13)		5% (8) 9% (15) 10% (18)			11% (2) 11% (2)	1% (1) 4% (6) 8% (13)
	11% (21) 11% (21)	14% (3) 10% (2)	10% (18) 11% (19)	14% (3) 19% (4)	10% (17)	17% (3) 17% (3)	33% (1)	17% (3) 6% (1)	10% (15) 10% (16)
	13% (26) 11% (21)	10% (2) 5% (1)	14% (24)	19% (4) 14% (3)	13% (22) 10% (18)	22% (4) 17% (3)		11% (2) 6% (1)	13% (20) 11% (17)
8	14% (27) 9% (18)	14% (3) 5% (1)	14% (24)	19% (4)	16% (27) 8% (14)	17% (3)	33% (1)	17% (3)	15% (24) 9% (14) 8% (12)
10	8% (15)	10% (2)	1276 (29) 14% (24) 10% (17) 8% (13) 4% (7) 3% (5)	10% (2)	16% (27) 8% (14) 8% (13) 5% (8) 3% (6)	6% (1)	33% (1) 33% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	8% (12)
12	4% (8) 3% (6)	5% (1) 5% (1)	3% (5)		3% (6)			6% (1)	5% (7) 3% (5) 2% (3)
	2% (3) 1% (1)	- 5% (1)	2% (3)		2% (3) 1% (1)		<u>-</u>	- 6% (1)	-
15	1% (2) -	- - -	1% (2)		1% (2) -	-		-	1% (2) -
17					<u>-</u>	- -	<u>-</u>		
E Average Assessment Score	6.84	6.71	6.85	6.38	6.89	6.11	8.00	6.50	6.94
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on t			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	3		3	0	3	0	0	0	3
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	ა 	0	J	U 	ა 	U 	U	U	3
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
Known Unsheltered	36	1	35	0	36	0	0	1	35
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	30	, 	აა				U		აა
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	30	4	26	9	21	7	2	2	19
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	1	3	1	3	1	0	1	2
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		' 				' 			
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	25	21	4	5	20	2	3	18	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	31	7	24	5	26	4	1	6	20
Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active				•		-			
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	33	7	26	5	28	4	1	6	22
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact	<i>(</i>	the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	7	2	5	2	5	1	1	1	4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Housed - RRH	4	1	3	3	1	2	1	0	1
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		ļ							
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	14	3	11	6	8	4	2	1	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Inactive - In an Institution	0	^	^	^	^	^	^	Λ	^
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	12	0	12	0	12	0	0	0	12
Z Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	<u> 26</u> 7	3	23	6	20	4	2	<u>1</u>	19
Z NEI INFLOW		4	3	-1	8	0	-1	5	<b>3</b> Page 19

### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

**Row C** – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

### STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

**Row Q** - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

**Row T** – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

**Row Y** - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).