Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	Active Families (Non-Youth)									
243 -12 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7										
Known Unsheltered			o Housing							
1 no change	1 84									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	24	0	8							
Eastern	18	0	11							
Fairfield County	83	1	18							
Greater Hartford	35	0	15							
Greater New Haven	29	0	19							
MMW	28	0	4							
Northwest	26	0	9							

Activo In	dividua	ds (Vouth)								
Active Individuals (Youth)										
132										
-1 fr	om last	week								
fu	III details for A	ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on pg. 9							
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing							
16 56										
-3 from last week		+2 from la	st week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	21	3	8							
Eastern	18	4	8							
Fairfield County	32	0	4							
Greater Hartford	23	1	13							
Greater New Haven	16	6	15							
MMW	15	0	6							
Northwest	6	2	2							

i is delow.											
Active	e Familie	es (Youth)									
	36										
-41	from las	t week									
	full details	for Active Families	(Youth) on pg. 8								
Known Unsheltered			to Housing								
0		1	1								
no change		+1 from	last week								
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched								
Centra	al 1	0	0								
Easter	n 17	0	0								
Fairfield Count	у 5	0	2								
Greater Hartfor	d 3	0	2								
Greater New Have	n 3	0	3								
MMV	V 3	0	2								
Northwe	st 4	0	2								

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) 1509 -19 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10									
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing						
222 323 -7 from last week -7 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	129	26	12						
Eastern	166	59	62						
Fairfield County	376	0	56						
Greater Hartford	372	38	71						
Greater New Haven	231	77	71						
MMW	100	4	31						
Northwest	135	18	20						
			Page 1						

All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
Percentage of		Contrai	Luotom			Haven		Hortimoot
1	All Records	9%	11%	26%	23%	15%	8%	9%
Active on B		175	219	496	433	279	146	171
c Median Days Act		126	88	186	229	155	91	90
Assessment Score Distribution (D Count of all active records having each assessment		records)						
0	0% (2) 2% (35)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 3% (7)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (7)	0% (1) 2% (6)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)
2	6% (106) 8% (155)	5% (9)	4% (9)	2% (12) 6% (31)	6% (27)	4% (10)	11% (16)	2% (4)
3	12% (230)	6% (11) 11% (20)	6% (14) 10% (22)	10% (49) 14% (68)	10% (42) 15% (64)	5% (14) 7% (19)	10% (14) 16% (23)	6% (11) 8% (14)
5 6	13% (247) 14% (271)	10% (17) 9% (15)	14% (31) 14% (31)	13% (63) 16% (80) 12% (60) 7% (37)	16% (68) 13% (58)	12% (34) 11% (31)	11% (16) 16% (23) 6% (9) 11% (16)	11% (18) 19% (33) 13% (22) 11% (19)
8	11% (212) 10% (194)	9% (15) 15% (27) 11% (19)	10% (22) 15% (33)	12% (60) 7% (37)	10% (42) 9% (37)	11% (30) 11% (32)	6% (9) 11% (16)	13% (22) 11% (19)
9		7% (13) 10% (17)	10% (21) 4% (8)	6% (29) 4% (18)	6% (25) 4% (19)	9% (25) 9% (26) 7% (19)	6% (9) 3% (5) 5% (7)	13% (22) 6% (10)
11 12	5% (104) 3% (56)	6% (11)	3% (6)	5% (27) 2% (12)	6% (28)	7% (19) 4% (12)	5% (7) 3% (4)	4% (6) 3% (5)
13	2% (30) 1% (20)	7% (12) 1% (1) 1% (2)	4% (8) 1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (7) 0% (2)	1% (3) 1% (4) 2% (7)	4% (12) 3% (9) 3% (7)	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (5) 0% (0)
15	0% (5) 0% (3)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (2)	3% (4) 1% (1) 1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessment S	core 6.53	7.18	6.61	6.09	6.14	7.47	5.99	6.91
Status/Conditions Followed (am Clients counted in each row below are currently acti			l in multiple rows dep	endina on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistar	nce 5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence p	olicy				·			
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessi	ness	1	14 	30	23	37	8	14
H Clients that are confirmed to be unshelte	2.39	29	63	1	39	83	4	20
Matched/Award Clients matched to or awarded a housing reso	led ₄₇₄	28	81	80	101	108	43	33
Enrolled in Transitional Housi Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housi	ing ₉₈	8	39	41	2	0	6	2
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time of Assessment Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were Under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were Under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were Under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were Under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were Under 25 at time Office Active Clients who were Under 25 at time Office Active Clients who w	ent ₁₈₄	23	37	45	28	20	20	10
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Day	ys							
Clients below were made active or added to the BNI Newly Add	lad	l I						
Clients who have never been active be	////	21	31	29	28	40	25	28
Returned from Inact M Clients inactive for any reason who are now a	1 /0	1	8	1	2	4	7	5
Inflow to Active List TOT		22	39	30	30	44	32	33
Outflow from Active List: Past 3	•	- # 100						
Clients below were returned to housing or marked a Housed - Self-Resolv	.ad		22			^	_	
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days,	self- 40	0	22	6	2	9	5	4
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with I	1 .3.3	0	6	14	5	3	2	3
Housed - R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with F	RH 36	0	11	7	6	7	0	5
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all of	her 22	0	10	1	5	5	1	0
s Housed Outflow subto	tal 139	0	49	28	18	24	8	12
Inactive - Unable to Cont Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to cont	1 /n	0	5	8	3	3	1	6
Inactive - In an Institut	ion 3	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
Inactive - Deceas V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decea	sed ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Ot Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reas	her ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subto	tal 29	0	5	9	3	4	1	7
Outflow from Active List TOT		0	54	37	21	28	9	19
z NET INFLO	OW 62	22	-15	-7	9	16	23	14 Page 2

	All Youth					Greater	Greater New		ct.gov with questions
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
,	Percentage of S	tatewide All Youth	13%	21%	22%	15%	11%	11%	6%
A B		168	22	35	37	26	19	18	10
С		62	71	119	82	48	46	82	75
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score. O	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		5% (8) 14% (23)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 14% (5)	11% (4) 16% (6)	4% (1) 19% (5)	5% (1) 16% (3)	6% (1) 22% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	5	11% (19) 18% (30)	14% (3) 18% (4)	14% (5) 17% (6)	16% (6) 5% (2) 19% (7)	12% (3) 8% (2)	16% (3) 11% (2)	11% (2) 22% (4)	10% (1)
	7	12% (20) 11% (18)	9% (2) 14% (3)	20% (7) 11% (4)	11% (4)	12% (3) 12% (3)	21% (4)	0% (0) 11% (2)	50% (5) 0% (0) 20% (2)
	9	10% (16) 8% (13)	14% (3) 14% (3) 14% (3)	11% (4) 3% (1)	5% (2) 8% (3) 5% (2)	12% (3) 12% (3) 12% (3)	5% (1) 5% (1) 11% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	10% (1) 10% (1)
	11	4% (7) 5% (8)	9% (2)	0% (0)	5% (2) 5% (3)	8% (2) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	13	1% (1) 1% (1)	9% (2) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.89	0% (0) 7.73	0% (0) 6.71	0% (0) 6.81	0% (0) 7.15	0% (0) 6.47	0% (0) 6.28	0% (0) 7.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their coml	bination of circumst	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
+	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
G H	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	16	3	4	0	1	6	0	2
-	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	67	8	8	6	 15	18	8	4
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	32	6	21	4	0	0	1	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	12	2	2	4	2	0	2	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	6	7	4	6	7	3	2
M	Returned from Inactive	6	0	 1	0	1	2	2	0
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41	6	8	4	7	9	5	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ıys		•			-	-	
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	0	4	2	0	6	0	11
Р	Housed - PSH	4	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	0	 1	0	4	1	0	2
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with NAT	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	27	0	9	2	4	8	1	3
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	0	3	0	1	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	0	4	0	1	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	34	0	9	6	4	9	1	5
Z	NET INFLOW	7	6	-1	-2	3	0	4	-3

	10/0/2020 TTT BIVE Report					Cuantan			ct.gov with questions
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S					200/			
Α	All No	n-Youth	9%	11%	26%	23%	15%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,752	153	184	459	407	260	128	161
С		162	155	88	188	245	161	97	92
_	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)						
ט	0	0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	2% (35) 6% (102)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (8) 7% (11)	4% (7) 5% (9)	3% (12) 7% (30)	2% (7) 7% (27)	2% (6) 3% (9)	1% (1) 12% (15)	1% (2) 2% (4)
		8% (147) 12% (207)	13% (20)	7% (13) 9% (17)	10% (45) 14% (62)	10% (41) 14% (59)	5% (13) 6% (16)	10% (13) 15% (19)	7% (11) 9% (14)
		13% (228) 14% (241)	9% (14) 7% (11)	14% (26) 14% (25)	13% (61) 16% (73)	16% (65) 14% (56) 10% (39)	12% (31) 11% (29)	11% (14) 15% (19)	11% (17)
	7	11% (192) 10% (176)	16% (25)	8% (15) 16% (29)	12% (56) 8% (35)	10% (39) 8% (34)	10% (26) 12% (31)	7% (9) 11% (14)	17% (28) 14% (22) 11% (17)
	9	7% (128) ´ 5% (90)	10% (16) 7% (10) 9% (14)	9% (17) 4% (7)	6% (26) 3% (16)	8% (34) 5% (22) 4% (16)	9% (24) 9% (24)	6% (8) 3% (4)	13% (21)
	11	6% (97) 3% (48)	6% (9) 7% (11)	3% (6) 3% (6)	5% (25)	6% (26) 0% (2)	7% (19) 5% (12)	5% (6) 2% (3)	6% (9) 4% (6) 3% (5)
	13	2% (29)	1% (1)	2% (3)	5% (25) 2% (9) 1% (6) 0% (2)	1% (4)	3% (12) 3% (9) 2% (6)	1% (1)	3% (5) 3% (5) 0% (0)
	15	1% (19) 0% (5)	1% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1)	2% (7) 0% (2)	0% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)
	17	0% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.49	0% (0) 7.10	1% (1) 6.59	0% (0) 6.03	0% (0) 6.08	0% (0) 7.54	0% (0) 5.95	0% (0) 6.91
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	anding on their comb	hination of circumsta	2000		
	Refuses CAN Assistance			maiapie rows uep 4			1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	2	<u> </u>	0	1	<u> </u>	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	126	1	14	30	23	37	7	14
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	223	26	59	1	38	77	4	18
	Matched/Awarded	407	20	73	74	86	90	35	29
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	66	2	18	37	2	0	5	2
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	1	2	8	2	1	2	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	167	15	24	25	22	33	22	26
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	22	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1	2	 5	5
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	189	16	31	26	23	35	27	31
N	Outflow from Active List 101AL		10	अ ।	20	۷۵	งข	LI	31
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	35	0	18	4	2	3	5	3
	Housed - PSH	29	0	4	14	5	2	 1	3
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	28	0	10	7	2	 6	0	3
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				, ,				
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	20	0	8	1	5	5	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	112	0	40	26	14	16	7	9
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	20	0	5 	5 	3	2	1 	4
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	22	0	5	5	3	3	1	5
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	134	0	45	31	17	19	8	14
Z	NET INFLOW	55	16	-14	-5	6	16	19	17

All Families			_		Greater	Greater New		ct.gov with questions
	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Percentage of S	Families	9%	13%	32%	14%	11%	11%	11%
Active on BNL	279	25	35	88	38	32	31	30
c Median Days Active	92	97	145	145	126	37	53	51
Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
2	1% (2) 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 20% (5)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (3)	0% (0) 3% (1)
3	8% (22) 11% (30)	20% (5) 20% (5)	6% (2) 6% (2)	9% (8)	8% (3) 8% (3)	3% (1) 13% (4)	6% (2) 13% (4)	3% (1) 7% (2)
5	7% (20) 18% (49)	12% (3) 12% (3)	3% (1) 9% (3)	11% (10) 7% (6) 19% (17)	5% (2) 18% (7)	9% (3) 22% (7)	13% (4) 19% (6)	3% (1)
7 8	12% (34) 13% (35)	8% (2)	17% (6)	16% (14)	11% (4) 8% (3)	13% (4)	6% (2)	20% (6) 7% (2)
9	8% (22) 7% (19)	8% (2) 4% (1) 12% (3)	20% (7) 11% (4)	11% (10) 7% (6)	11% (4)	13% (4) 6% (2)	16% (5) 3% (1)	13% (4) 13% (4) 10% (3)
10	6% (17)	0% (0)	6% (2) 3% (1)	6% (5) 5% (4)	8% (3) 16% (6)	9% (3) 3% (1)	0% (0) 6% (2)	10% (3)
12	5% (14) 0% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (4) 0% (0)	6% (5) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	10% (3) 0% (0)
14 15 -	1% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (2) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 7.14	0% (0) 5.96	3% (1) 8.60	0% (0) 6.80	0% (0) 7.55	0% (0) 7.06	0% (0) 6.29	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.83
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	active rec	ords)						
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	4	0	0	 0	 2	 1	 1	 0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	1	0	0	 1	0	<u>'</u> 0	<u>'</u> 0	0 0
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	95	8	 11	 20	 17	 22	6	 11
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	30	1	 19	10	0	0	0	 0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	40	<u>'</u>	13 17	6	3	4	5	 4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	40	<u>'</u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	56	4	4	10	7	13	8	10
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	60	4	5	11	7	14	9	10
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved					_			_
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	0	6	2	0	1	0	2
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	4	0	1	2	0	0	1	0
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	0	1	0	0	3	0	4
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, will KKH	5	0	1	0	3	1	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	28	0	9	4	3	5	1	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	34	0	11	4	3	5	1	10
z NET INFLOW	26	4	-6	7	4	9	8	O

	A II I I I I I I					Greater	Greater New	t beau.anderson@	ouget mar queedene
	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S	tatewide			25%	24%			
Α	All Inc	dividuals	9%	11%	25%	24%	15%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,641	150	184	408	395	247	115	141
С	Median Days Active	160	139	88	187	243	161	126	96
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)						
U	0	0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	2% (33) 6% (99)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (9) 4% (6)	4% (7) 4% (8)	3% (11) 7% (30)	2% (7) 7% (26)	2% (5) 4% (10)	1% (1) 11% (13)	1% (2) 2% (3)
		8% (133) 12% (200)	4% (6) 10% (15)	7% (12) 11% (20)	10% (41) 14% (58)	10% (39) 15% (61)	5% (13)	10% (12) 17% (19)	7% (10) 9% (12)
	5	14% (227) 14% (222)	9% (14)	16% (30) 15% (28)	14% (57) 15% (63)	17% (66) 13% (51) 10% (38)	6% (15) 13% (31) 10% (24)	10% (12) 15% (17)	12% (17)
	7	11% (178)	8% (12) 17% (25)	9% (16)	11% (46)	10% (38)	11% (26)	6% (7)	19% (27) 14% (20)
	9	10% (159) 7% (122)	11% (17) 8% (12)	14% (26) 9% (17)	7% (27) 6% (23)	9% (34) 5% (21)	11% (28) 9% (23)	10% (11) 7% (8)	11% (15) 13% (18)
	11	5% (84) 5% (87)	9% (14) 7% (11)	3% (6) 3% (5)	3% (13)	4% (16)	9% (23) 7% (18)	4% (5) 4% (5)	5% (7) 2% (3)
	12	3% (42) 2% (29)	7% (11) 1% (1)	2% (4) 2% (3)	6% (23) 2% (7) 1% (6) 0% (2)	6% (22) 1% (3) 1% (4)	5% (12)	3% (3) 1% (1)	2% (3) 1% (2) 4% (5)
	14	1% (16) 0% (5)	1% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (1)	1% (5)	4% (9) 2% (6)	0% (0)	4% (5) 0% (0)
	16	0% (2)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.42	7.38	6.23	5.94	6.01	7.52	5.90	6.72
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		۷	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	123	1	14	30	21	36	7	14
	Known Unsheltered	238	29	63	0	39	83	4	20
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	379	20	70	60	84	86	37	22
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	68	7	20	31	2	0	6	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	144	22	20	39	25	16	15	6
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	146	17	27	19	21	27	17	18
	Returned from Inactive	24	1	7	0	2	3	6	5
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	170	18	34	19	23	30	23	23
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		10	J 4	13	23	30	23	20
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	37	0	16	4	2	8	5	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	29	0	5	12	5	3	1 	3
Q	Housed - RRH	28	0	10	7	6	4	0	1
Ų	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other								
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	17	0	9	1	2	4	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	111	0	40	24	15	19	7	6
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	20	0	3	8	3	3	1	2
•	Inactive - In an Institution	3	0	0	1	0	 1	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	ა	U	U	l 	U 	l 		l
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons								
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	23	0	3	9	3	22	1	3
Y 7	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	134 36	0 18	43 -9	33 -14	18 5	23 7	8 15	9 14
4	METIMELOW	30	10	-3	-14	J		10	Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	~	0.7.1			Greater	Greater New		N. di
		Statewide tatowide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S Families (No		10%	7%	34%	14%	12%	12%	11%
A B	Active on BNL	243	24	18	83	35	29	28	26
С	Median Days Active	89	97		145	139	46	50	51
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)		-		-		-
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 11% (3)	0% (0) 0% (1)
		9% (21) 9% (23)	21% (5)	6% (1)	10% (8)	9% (3) 3% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	7% (2)	4% (1)
	5	8% (19)	21% (5) 13% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (9) 7% (6)	6% (2)	10% (3) 10% (3)	11% (3) 14% (4)	8% (2) 4% (1) 19% (5)
	6 7	18% (43) 10% (25)	13% (3) 13% (3) 8% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	18% (15) 16% (13) 12% (10)	20% (7) 9% (3) 9% (3)	24% (7) 7% (2) 14% (4)	18% (5) 7% (2) 14% (4)	8% (2)
	9	12% (30) 7% (18)	4% (1)	28% (5) 6% (1)	12% (10) 7% (6)	9% (3) 11% (4)	14% (4) 7% (2)	4% (1)	12% (3)
	10	7% (18) 7% (17)	4% (1) 13% (3) 0% (0)	11% (2) 6% (1)	6% (5) 5% (4)	9% (3) 17% (6)	10% (3) 3% (1)	0% (0) 7% (2)	12% (3) 8% (2) 12% (3)
	12	5% (12) 0% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	17% (3) 0% (0)	5% (4) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1)	12% (3) 12% (3) 0% (0)
	14	2% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (2)	3% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	16	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.19	5.88 ords)	10.17	6.78	7.77	7.17	6.32	7.77
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	84	8	11	18	 15	19	4	9
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	1	3	9	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	4	0	0	1	0	 1	2	0
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	50	4	3	10	7	10	7	9
	Returned from Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	53	4	3	11	7	11	8	9
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.,	•	.,		<u> </u>
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	0	3	2	0	1	0	1
	Housed - PSH	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
۲	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	7	0	 1	 0	0	3	0	3
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	20	0	4	4	3	5	0	4
٦	Inactive - Unable to Contact		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		-		
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	25 28	<u>0</u> 4	<u>6</u> -3	7	<u>3</u>	5 6	<u>0</u> 8	7 2
۷	NET INPLOW	20	4	-s		4	U	U	Dogo 7

	Families (Youth)	Ctatamida	Control	Factors	Fatadala	Greater	Greater New	AAAANA/	Mouthment
	Percentage of S	Statewide Statewide	Central	Eastern 47%	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
		(Youth)	3%	47 /0	14%	8%	8%	8%	11%
В	Active on BNL	36	1	17	5	3	3	3	4
С	Median Days Active	112	244	191	152	92	15	90	66
	Assessment Score Distribution (am					<u></u>			
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)
	1	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	5	19% (7) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (2) 6% (1)	20% (1) 0% (0)	67% (2) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6	17% (6) 25% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (2) 29% (5)	40% (2)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 67% (2)	33% (1) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)
	8	14% (5) 11% (4)	100% (1)	12% (2) 18% (3)	20% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	25% (1)
	10	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1) 25% (1) 25% (1) 0% (0)
	12	6% (2)	0% (0)	6% (1)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15 16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17 18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.81	8.00	6.94	7.00	5.00	6.00	6.00	8.25
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	11	0	0	2	2	3	2	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	17	0	16	1	0	0	0	0
	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	3	0	1	 1	0	0	 1	0
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		•	•	•		•	•	•
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	6	0	1	0	0	3	1	1
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive			·				· 	
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	7	0	2	0	0	3	1	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		n the nast 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved		,	2	0	^	^	^	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Housed - RRH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other			·					
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	8	0	5	0	0	0	1	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased								
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	0	5	0	0	0	1	3
Z	NET INFLOW	-2	0	-3	0	0	3	0	-2 Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Ochtrai	Lustern	i dii iicid	Hartiora	Haven	10110177	Northwest
Α	Individuals		16%	14%	24%	17%	12%	11%	5%
В	Active on BNL	132	21	18	32	23	16	15	6
С	Median Days Active	56	64	43	73	42	50	74	75
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 3% (4)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	5% (7) 12% (16)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	13% (4) 16% (5)	4% (1) 13% (3)	6% (1)	7% (1)	0% (0)
	5	14% (18)	14% (3) 19% (4)	17% (3) 22% (4)	6% (2)	13% (3)	13% (2) 19% (3) 13% (2)	20% (3) 13% (2) 20% (3)	0% (0) 17% (1)
	6	18% (24) 8% (11)	19% (4) 10% (2)	22% (4) 22% (4) 11% (2)	16% (5) 9% (3) 6% (2)	9% (2) 9% (2) 13% (3)	13% (2) 13% (2) 6% (1)	0% (0)	67% (4) 0% (0)
	8	10% (13) 9% (12)	10% (2)	11% (2) 6% (1)	6% (2) 9% (3)	13% (3) 13% (3)	6% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	17% (1)
	10	9% (12) 5% (7)	14% (3) 14% (3) 10% (2)	6% (1) 0% (0)	6% (2) 6% (2)	13% (3) 9% (2)	13% (2) 0% (0)	7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	12	5% (6) 1% (1)	5% (1)	6% (1)	6% (2)	4% (1)	0% (0)	7% (1)	0% (0)
	13 14 1	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15 16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.91	7./1	6.50	6.78	7.43	6.56	6.33	6.17
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	O		0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Known Unsheltered	16	3	4	0	1	6	0	2
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded					·			
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	56	8	8	4	13	15	6	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	15	6	5	3	0	0	1	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	9	2	1	3	2	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
ı	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	29	6	6	4	6	4	2	1
_	Returned from Inactive	5	0	0	0	1	2	2	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	-	-			- I			· ·
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	34	6	6	4	7	6	4	1
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	9	0	1	2	0	6	0	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	2	0	4	0	0	1	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	Z	U	 	U	U	 	U	·
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	0	1	0	4	1	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	19	0	4	2	4	8	0	1
Ţ	Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	0	0	3	0	1	0	1
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution								
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	0	4	0	1	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	25	0	4	6	4	9	0	2
Z	NET INFLOW	9	6	2	-2	3	-3	4	-1 Page 9

	10/0/2020111 BNE Repoil					Greater	Greater New	i beau.andersong	,
	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S	tatewide			25%	25%	450/		
Α	Individuals (No	n-Youth)	9%	11%	2370	2370	15%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,509	129	166	376	372	231	100	135
С	Median Days Active	174	183	89	189	253	173	135	96
n	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	2% (33) 6% (95)	0% (0) 6% (8) 5% (6)	4% (7) 5% (8)	3% (11) 8% (29)	2% (7) 7% (26)	2% (5) 4% (9)	1% (1) 12% (12)	1% (2) 2% (3)
		8% (126) 12% (184)	12% (15)	7% (12) 10% (17)	10% (37) 14% (53)	10% (38) 16% (58)	5% (12) 6% (13)	11% (11) 16% (16)	7% (10) 9% (12)
		14% (209) 13% (198)	9% (11) 6% (8) 18% (23)	16% (26) 14% (24)	15% (55) 15% (58)	17% (63) 13% (49)	12% (28) 10% (22)	10% (10) 14% (14)	12% (16)
		11% (167) 10% (146)	18% (23) 12% (15)	8% (14) 14% (24)	11% (43)	10% (36) 8% (31)	10% (24) 12% (27)	7% (7) 10% (10)	17% (23) 15% (20) 10% (14)
	9	7% (110) 5% (72)	12% (15) 7% (9)	10% (16)	7% (25) 5% (20) 3% (11)	5% (18) 3% (13)	10% (22)	7% (7)	13% (14) 13% (18) 5% (7)
	11	5% (80)	9% (11) 7% (9)	3% (5) 3% (5)	6% (21) 1% (5)	5% (20) 1% (2)	9% (21) 8% (18)	4% (4) 4% (4)	2% (3)
	13	2% (36) 2% (28)	8% (10) 1% (1)	2% (3) 2% (3)	1% (5)	1% (2) 1% (4) 1% (5)	5% (12) 4% (9) 2% (5)	2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 4% (5)
	14	1% (15) 0% (5)	2% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (2)	0% (1)	1% (1)	4% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E		0% (0) 6.38	0% (0) 7.33	0% (0) 6.20	0% (0) 5.86	0% (0) 5.92	0% (0) 7.58	0% (0) 5.84	0% (0) 6.74
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)					0.01	V.1 T
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	bination of circumsta	nces.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
	Chronic (Verified)	122	1	14	30	 21	36	6	14
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	122	'			Z I		0	14
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	222	26	59	0	38	77	4	18
	Matched/Awarded	323	12	62	56	71	71	31	20
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	53	1	15	28	2	0	5	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment	12	1	2	7	2	0	0	0
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	117	11	21	15	15	23	15	17
-	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	 19	1	 7	Λ		1	Λ	
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		10		0	1	1	4	5
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	136	12	28	15	16	24	19	22
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	28	0	15	2	2	2	5	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	27	0	4	12	5	2	1	3
Q	Housed - RRH	21	0	9	7	2	3	0	0
Ų	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				A			A	^
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	16	0	8	l 	2	4	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	92	0	36	22	11	11	7	5
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	15	0	3	5	3	2	1	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	2	0	0	0	0	 1	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased						· 		·
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۸,	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	17	0	3	5	3	3	1	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	109	0	39	27	14	14	8	7
Z	NET INFLOW	27	12	-11	-12	2	10	11	15
•									Page 10

	Statewide BNL	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		91%		85%	(3 33)	(333)	(222)	79%
Α		vide BNL	9%		15%		13%	2%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	1,920	168	1,752	279	1,641	243	36	132	1,509
С	Median Days Active	152	62	162	92	160	89	112	56	174
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (2)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2)
	1	2% (35) 6% (106)	0% (0)	2% (35) 6% (102)	1% (2) 3% (7)	0% (2) 2% (33) 6% (99)	0% (0) 1% (2) 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 19% (7)	0% (0) 3% (4)	0% (2) 2% (33) 6% (95)
	3	8% (155) 12% (230)	2% (4) 5% (8) 14% (23)	8% (147) 12% (207)	8% (22) 11% (30)	8% (133) 12% (200)	9% (21) 9% (23)	3% (1) 19% (7)	5% (7) 12% (16)	8% (126) 12% (184)
	5	13% (247) 14% (271)	11% (19) 18% (30)	13% (228) 14% (241)	7% (20) 18% (49)	14% (227) 14% (222)	9% (21) 9% (23) 8% (19) 18% (43)	3% (1) 17% (6)	14% (18) 18% (24)	14% (209) 13% (198)
	7 8	11% (212) 10% (194)	12% (20) 11% (18)	11% (192) 10% (176)	12% (34)	11% (178) 10% (159)	10% (25)	25% (9) 14% (5)	8% (11) 10% (13)	11% (167) 10% (146)
	9	8% (144)	10% (16)	7% (128) 5% (90)	1% (2) 3% (7) 8% (22) 11% (30) 7% (20) 18% (49) 12% (34) 13% (35) 8% (22) 7% (19)	7% (122)	7% (18)	11% (4)	9% (12) 9% (12) 9% (12) 5% (7)	7% (110) 5% (72)
	10	5% (103) 5% (104)	10% (16) 8% (13) 4% (7) 5% (8)	6% (97)	6% (17) 5% (14)	5% (87)	7% (16)	0% (0)	5% (7)	5% (72)
	12	3% (56) 2% (30)	1% (1)	3% (48) 2% (29)	0% (1)	7% (122) 5% (84) 5% (87) 3% (42) 2% (29) 1% (16)	5% (12) 0% (1)	6% (2) 0% (0)	5% (6) 1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	7% (110) 5% (72) 5% (80) 2% (36) 2% (28)
	14 15	1% (20) 0% (5)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (19) 0% (5)	1% (4) 0% (0)	1% (16) 0% (5)	10% (25) 12% (30) 7% (18) 7% (18) 7% (17) 5% (17) 0% (1) 2% (4) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	11% (4) 3% (1) 0% (0) 6% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (15) 0% (5)
	16 17	0% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (3) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (1)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.53	0% (0) 6.89	0% (1) 6.49	0% (1) 7.14	0% (0) 6.42	0% (1) 7.19	0% (0) 6.81	0% (0) 6.91	0% (0) 6.38
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	127	1	126	4	123	4	0	1	122
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	239	16	223	1	238	1	0	16	222
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	474	67	407	95	379	84	11	56	323
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	98	32	66	30	68	13	17	15	53
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	184	168	16	40	144	4	36	132	12
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	202	35	167	56	146	50	6	29	117
М	Returned from Inactive	28	6	22	4	24	3	1	5	19
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	230	41	189	60	170	53	7	34	136
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		-							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	48	13	35	11	37	7	4	9	28
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	33	4	29	4	29	2	2	2	27
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	36	8	28	8	28	7	1	7	21
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	22	2	20	5	17	4	1	1	16
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	139	27	112	28	111	20	8	19	92
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	26	6	20	6	20	5	1	5	15
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	29	7	22	6	23	5	1	6	17
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	168	34	134	34	134	25	9	25	109
Z	NET INFLOW	62	7	55	26	36	28	-2	9	27

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	87%	1 diffiles	86%	(Non-Toutil)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	74%
Α		tral CAN	13%		14%		14%	1%	12%	
В	Active on BNL	175	22	153	25	150	24	1	21	129
С	Median Days Active	126	71	155	97	139	97	244	64	183
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)							
0	0	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	0% (0) 5% (9)	5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (9) 4% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 21% (5) 21% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1)	6% (8)
	4	6% (11) 11% (20)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (11) 13% (20)	20% (5) 20% (5)	10% (15)	21% (5) 21% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (6) 12% (15)
	6	10% (17) 9% (15)	14% (3) 18% (4)	9% (14) 7% (11)	12% (3) 12% (3)	9% (14) 8% (12)	13% (3) 13% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (3) 19% (4)	9% (11) 6% (8)
		15% (27) 11% (19)	9% (2) 14% (3)	9% (14) 7% (11) 16% (25) 10% (16)	12% (3) 12% (3) 12% (3) 8% (2) 8% (2)	17% (25) 11% (17)	8% (2) 4% (1)	0% (0) 100% (1)	10% (2) 10% (2)	18% (23) 12% (15)
		7% (13) 10% (17)	14% (3) 14% (3)	7% (10) 9% (14) 6% (9)	0 % (2) 4% (1) 12% (3) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (12) 9% (14) 7% (11)	4% (1) 13% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (3) 14% (3)	7% (9) 9% (11) 7% (9)
	12	6% (11) 7% (12)	9% (2) 5% (1)	7% (11)	0% (0) 4% (1)	7% (11)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0)	10% (2)	8% (10)
	13	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)
	15	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0)	(1% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (3) 13% (3) 8% (2) 4% (1) 4% (1) 13% (3) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	7.18	7.73	7.10	5.96	7.38	5.88	8.00	7.71	7.33
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
E	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	29	3	26	0	29	0	0	3	26
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	28	8	20	8	20	8	0	8	12
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	8	6	2	1	7	1	0	6	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	23	22	1	1	22	0	1	21	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	21	6	15	4	17	4	0	6	11
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	22	6	16	4	18	4	0	6	12
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved						_			
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Y 7	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	22	6	0 16	0 4	0 18	0 4	0	6	0 12
4	HET IN LOW		U	10	7	10	7	U	U	Page 12

									au.anderson@ci.	,
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		84%		84%				76%
Α		ern CAN	16%		16%		8%	8%	8%	
В	Active on BNL	219	35	184	35	184	18	17	18	166
С	Median Days Active	88	119	88	145	88	78	191	43	89
-	Assessment Score Distribution (ame				1.0					
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		,							
		0% (1) 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 4% (7)	0% (0)	1% (1) 4% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 4% (7)
	2	4% (9) 6% (14)	0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (9) 7% (13)	3% (1)	4% (7) 4% (8) 7% (12)	6% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (7) 5% (8) 7% (12)
	4	10% (22)	14% (5)	9% (17) 14% (26)	6% (2)	11% (20)	0% (1)	12% (2) 6% (1)	17% (3)	10% (17)
		14% (31) 14% (31)	14% (5) 14% (5) 17% (6) 20% (7)	14% (25)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 6% (2) 6% (2) 3% (1) 9% (3) 17% (6)	16% (30) 15% (28) 9% (16)	0% (0) 6% (1)	12% (2)	22% (4) 22% (4) 11% (2)	16% (26) 14% (24)
		10% (22) 15% (33)	20% (7) 11% (4)	8% (15) 16% (29)	17% (6) 20% (7)	14% (26)	0% (0) 6% (1) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 6% (1) 28% (5)	29% (5) 12% (2)	11% (2) 11% (2)	8% (14) 14% (24)
	9	10% (21)	11% (4) 3% (1)	9% (17) 4% (7)	11% (4)	9% (17)	6% (1) 11% (2)	18% (3) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	10% (16) 3% (5)
	11	4% (8) 3% (6)	0% (0)	3% (6) 3% (6)	6% (2) 3% (1) 11% (4)	9% (17) 3% (6) 3% (5) 2% (4)	6% (1) 17% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (5)
	13	4% (8) 1% (3)	6% (2) 0% (0)	3% (6) 2% (3) 1% (1)	11% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 2% (3) 1% (1)	17% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	3% (5) 2% (3) 2% (3) 1% (1)
	14	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	3% (1)	0% (0)	6% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
C	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.61	6.71 ords)	6.59	8.60	6.23	10.17	6.94	6.50	6.20
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	·				·		·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
	Known Unsheltered	63	4	59	0	63	0	0	4	59
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered									
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	81	8	73	11	70	11	0	8	62
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	21	 18	19	20	3	16	5	15
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		<u> </u>							
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	37	35	2	17	20	0	17	18	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	31	7	24	4	27	3	1	6	21
_	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	0	A	7			^	4	^	
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	1	7	1	7	0	1	0	7
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	8	31	5	34	3	2	6	28
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	,	n the post 20 d	40						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				_					
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	22	4	18	6	16	3	3	1	15
P	Housed - PSH	6	2	4	1	5	0	1	1	4
۲	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	11	1	10	1	10	1	0	1	9
Р	Housed - All Other	10	2	8	1	9	0	1	1	8
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	49	9	40	9	40	4	5	4	36
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact									
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
D	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۱۷۱	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
^ Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u>54</u>	9	45	11	43	6	5	4	39
Z	NET INFLOW	-15	-1	-14	-6	<u>-9</u>	-3	-3	2	-11
_	2017				. •	•				Page 13

ı	10/0/2020 TTT BIVE REPORT								au.anderson@ci.g	
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of	Toutin	93%	T diffillion	82%	(rton roam)	(Touth)	(10011)	76%
	Fairfield Cou	•	7%		18%		17%	1%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	496	37	459	88	408	83	5	32	376
С	Median Days Active	186	82	188	145	187	145	152	73	189
- 1	Assessment Score Distribution (am			100	140	107	143	132	13	109
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		iecoius)							
ľ		0% (0) 2% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (11)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (11)
	2	6% (31)	3% (1)	3% (12) 7% (30)	1% (1)	7% (30)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 13% (4)	8% (29) 10% (37)
	4	10% (49) 14% (68)	11% (4) 16% (6)	10% (45) 14% (62)	9% (8) 11% (10)	10% (41) 14% (58)	10% (8) 11% (9)	0% (0) 20% (1)	16% (5)	10% (37) 14% (53)
	5	13% (63) 16% (80)	5% (2) 19% (7)	13% (61)	7% (6) 19% (17)	14% (57) 15% (63) 11% (46)	11% (9) 7% (6) 18% (15)	0% (0) 40% (2)	6% (2) 16% (5)	14% (53) 15% (55) 15% (58)
	7	12% (60)	11% (4)	12% (56)	16% (14)	11% (46)	16% (13)	20% (1)	9% (3)	15% (58) 11% (43) 7% (25)
	9	7% (37) 6% (29)	5% (2) 8% (3)	12% (56) 8% (35) 6% (26) 3% (16) 5% (25) 2% (9)	11% (10) 7% (6) 6% (5)	7% (27) 6% (23) 3% (13)	16% (13) 12% (10) 7% (6) 6% (5) 5% (4) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (3) 6% (2) 9% (3) 6% (2)	5% (20) 3% (11)
		4% (18) 5% (27)	5% (2) 5% (2)	3% (16) 5% (25)	6% (5) 5% (4)	3% (13) 6% (23)	6% (5) 5% (4)	0% (0)	6% (2) 6% (2)	3% (11) 6% (21)
	12	2% (12) 1% (7)	8% (3) 3% (1)	2% (9) 1% (6)	5% (4) 6% (5)	6% (23) 2% (7) 1% (6)	5% (4) 1% (1)	20% (1) 0% (0)	6% (2) 6% (2) 3% (1)	6% (21) 1% (5)
	14	0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (2)
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.09	6.81	6.03	6.80	5.94	6.78	7.00	6.78	5.86
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	30	0	30	0	30	0	0	0	30
	Known Unsheltered	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded						40			
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	80	6	74	20	60	18	2	4	56
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	41	4	37	10	31	9	1	3	28
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment	45	37	8	6	39	1	5	32	7
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
1	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	29	4	25	10	19	10	0	4	15
L	Returned from Inactive	1	0	 1	1	0	 1	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			26	11		•		4	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	30	4	20	11	19	11	0	4	15
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	6	2	4	2	4	2	0	2	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	14	0	14	2	12	2	0	0	12
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
	Housed - All Other	 1	0	 1	0	1	0	0	0	1
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	28	2	26	4	24	4	0	2	22
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	8	3	5	0	8	0	0	3	5
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact									
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	11	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
,	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	9	4	5	0	9	0	0	4	5
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u> </u>	6	31	4	33	4	<u> </u>	6	27
7	NET INFLOW	<u>-7</u>	-2	-5	7	-14	7	0	-2	-12
۷.	HET HIT LOW	-1	-2	-0	,	- / -	,		-4	Page 14

	Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 91%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Greater Harti	entage of	6%	01,0	9%	3170	8%	1%	5%	3070
A B	Active on BNL	433	26	407	38	395	35	3	23	372
С	Median Days Active	229	48	245	126	243	139	92	42	253
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			210	120	210	100	- 02	12	200
	Count of all active records having each assessment score			00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)
	1	0% (0) 2% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (7) 7% (27)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 2% (7) 7% (26)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (7)
	3	6% (27) 10% (42)	0% (0) 4% (1)	10% (41) 14% (59)	8% (3) 8% (3)	10% (39) 15% (61)	3% (1) 9% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	7% (26) 10% (38) 16% (58)
	5	15% (64) 16% (68)	19% (5) 12% (3) 8% (2)	14% (59) 16% (65) 14% (56)	8% (3) 5% (2)	15% (61) 17% (66)	3% (1) 6% (2)	67% (2) 0% (0)	13% (3) 13% (3)	16% (58) 17% (63) 13% (49)
	7	13% (58) 10% (42)	8% (2) 12% (3) 12% (3)	14% (56) 10% (39)	18% (7) 11% (4)	17% (66) 13% (51) 10% (38) 9% (34)	20% (7) 9% (3)	0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0)	13% (3) 9% (2) 9% (2) 13% (3)	13% (49) 10% (36) 8% (31)
	9	9% (37) 6% (25)	12% (3) 12% (3)	8% (34) 5% (22)	5% (2) 18% (7) 11% (4) 8% (3) 11% (4) 8% (3)	9% (34) 5% (21)	9% (3) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (3) 13% (3)	8% (31) 5% (18) 3% (13)
	10	4% (19) 6% (28)	12% (3) 12% (3) 8% (2)	14 % (30) 10% (39) 8% (34) 5% (22) 4% (16) 6% (26) 0% (2)	8% (3) 16% (6)	5% (21) 4% (16) 6% (22)	9% (3) 17% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (3) 13% (3) 9% (2)	5% (20)
	12	1% (3) 1% (4)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4)	16% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (4)
	14	2% (7) 0% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (7) 0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 9% (3) 3% (1) 6% (2) 20% (7) 9% (3) 9% (3) 11% (4) 9% (3) 17% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3 % (2) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (2)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
F		0% (0) 0% (0) 6.14	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.15	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.08	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.55	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.01	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.77	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.00	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.43	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.92
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	7.55	0.01	1.11	3.00	7.43	5.92
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	23	0	23	2	21	2	0	0	21
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	39	1	38	0	39	0	0	 1	38
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	101	15	86	17	84	15	2	13	71
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	28	26	2	3	25	0	3	23	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	naet 30 dave								
	Newly Added		c	22	7	01	7	0	6	15
L	Clients who have never been active before	28	6	22	7	21	7	0	6 	15
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	30	7	23	7	23	7	0	7	16
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved									
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	4	2	0	6	0	0	4	2
R	Housed - All Other	5	0	5	3	2	3	0	0	2
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	18	4	14	3	15	3	0	4	11
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	21	4	17	3	18	3	0	4	14
Z	NET INFLOW	9	3	6	4	5	4	0	3	2 Page 15

	Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Doroc	entage of	routii	93%	-i aiiiiles	89%	(140H-10util)	(Pouti)	(Toutil)	(NOH-1 OUTH) 83%
Δ	Greater New Ha	•	7%		11%		10%	1%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	279	19	260	32	247	29	3	16	231
С	Median Days Active	155	46	161	37	161	46	15	50	173
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	09/ (0)	0% (1)	00/ (0)	0% (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (1)
	1	2% (6)	0% (0)	2% (6)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (5)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (5) 4% (9) 5% (12)
	3	4% (10) 5% (14)	5% (1) 5% (1)	3% (9) 5% (13)	0% (0) 3% (1)	4% (10) 5% (13) 6% (15)	0% (0) 3% (1) 10% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% (9) 5% (12)
		7% (19) 12% (34)	16% (3)	6% (16) 12% (31)	13% (4)	6% (15) 13% (31)	10% (3) 10% (3)	33% (1) 0% (0)	13% (2) 19% (3)	6% (13)
		11% (31) 11% (30)	16% (3) 11% (2) 21% (4)	12% (31) 11% (29) 10% (26)	9% (3) 22% (7) 13% (4) 13% (4)	13% (31) 10% (24) 11% (26)	10% (3) 24% (7) 7% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 67% (2) 0% (0)	19% (3) 13% (2) 13% (2)	12% (28) 10% (22) 10% (24) 12% (27)
	8	11% (32) 9% (25)	21% (4) 5% (1)	10% (26) 12% (31) 9% (24) 9% (24) 7% (19)	13% (4)	11% (26) 11% (28)	7% (2) 14% (4) 7% (2) 10% (3)	0% (0)	13% (2) 6% (1) 6% (1) 13% (2)	12% (27)
	10	9% (26)	5% (1) 11% (2)	9% (24)	6% (2) 9% (3) 3% (1)	9% (23) 9% (23) 7% (18)	10% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (2)	10% (22) 9% (21) 8% (18)
	12	7% (19) 4% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (12)	0% (0)	5% (12)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
	14	3% (9) 3% (7)	0% (0) 5% (1)	3% (9) 2% (6)	0% (0) 3% (1)	4% (9) 2% (6) 0% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	3% (12) 4% (9) 2% (5) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)
	15	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	N% (N)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.47	6.47	7.54	7.06	7.52	7.17	6.00	6.56	7.58
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	37	0	37	1	36	1	0	0	36
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	83	6	77	0	83	0	0	6	77
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	108	18	90	22	86	19	3	15	71
Ì	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	20	19	1	4	16	1	3	16	0
V	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	40	7	33	13	27	10	3	4	23
	Returned from Inactive	4	2	2	1	3	1	0	2	1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	•			'		•			•
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	44	9	35	14	30	11	3	6	24
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	9	6	3	1	8	1	0	6	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1 	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	1	6	3	4	3	0	1	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	24	8	16	5	19	5	0	8	11
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	28	9	19	5	23	5	0	9	14
Z	NET INFLOW	16	0	16	9	7	6	3	-3	10

ĺ	10/0/2020 111 BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		88%		79%	(1011)	(Tourn)	(1.0011.)	68%
٨		MW CAN	12%		21%		19%	2%	10%	
В	Active on BNL	146	18	128	31	115	28	3	15	100
С	Median Days Active	91	82	97	53	126	50	90	74	135
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			<u> </u>		•				
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
		0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
		11% (16) 10% (14)	6% (1) 6% (1)	12% (15) 10% (13)	10% (3)	11% (13) 10% (12)	11% (3) 7% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 7% (1)	12% (12) 11% (11)
		16% (23) 11% (16)	22% (4)	15% (19) 11% (14)	13% (4)	17% (19)	11% (3) 14% (4)	33% (1) 0% (0)	20% (3)	16% (16) 10% (10)
	6	16% (23)	11% (2) 22% (4) 0% (0)	15% (19) 7% (9)	19% (6)	10% (12) 15% (17) 6% (7)	18% (5)	33% (1) 0% (0)	13% (2) 20% (3)	14% (14) 7% (7)
	8	6% (9) 11% (16)	11% (2)	11% (14)	16% (5)	10% (11) 7% (8)	14% (4)	33% (1)	7% (1)	10% (10) 7% (7)
	10	6% (9) 3% (5)	6% (1) 6% (1)	6% (8) 3% (4)	13% (4) 13% (4) 19% (6) 6% (2) 16% (5) 3% (1) 0% (0)	4% (5)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1) 7% (1) 7% (1)	4% (4)
	12	5% (7) 3% (4)	6% (1) 6% (1)	5% (6) 2% (3)	3% (1)	4% (5) 3% (3)	18% (5) 7% (2) 14% (4) 4% (1) 0% (0) 7% (2) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 7% (1)	4% (4) 2% (2)
		1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	5.99	6.28	5.95	6.29	5.90	6.32	6.00	6.33	5.84
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			atad in multiple se	donondina ea #	oir combineties - 1	oiroumatanasa			
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance							^	^	_
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
Ü	Known Unsheltered			 1	^		^			
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	43	8	35	6	37	4	2	6	31
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment		·							
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	18	2	5	15	2	3	15	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T .							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	25	3	22	8	17	7	1	2	15
	Returned from Inactive	7	2	5	1	6	1	0	2	4
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	32	5	27	9	23	8	1	4	19
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		<u> </u>	41	<u> </u>	23	<u> </u>		*	13
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	ys.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH							- 		
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	1	1 	1 	1 	0	<u> </u>	0	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
×	Housed - All Other	1	0	 1	0	 1	0	0	0	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	•	-	•	-	1				7
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	8	1	7	1	7	0	1	0	7
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	1	8	1	8	0	1	0	8
Z	NET INFLOW	23	4	19	8	15	8	0	4	11
										Page 17

	10/0/2020 I II BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Northwest CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		94%		82%	(())	(222)	79%
Α		est CAN	6%		18%		15%	2%	4%	
В	Active on BNL	171	10	161	30	141	26	4	6	135
С	Median Days Active	90	75	92	51	96	51	66	 75	96
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			<u> </u>						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
		0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (3) 7% (10)
	2	2% (4) 6% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 2% (4) 7% (11)	3% (1) 3% (1)	2% (3)	0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 7% (10)
	4	8% (14) 11% (18)	0% (0) 10% (1)	9% (14) 11% (17)	7% (2)	9% (12)	8% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 17% (1)	9% (12) 12% (16)
	6	19% (33)	50% (5)	17% (28)	20% (6)	19% (27)	19% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0)	67% (4)	17% (23) 15% (20)
	8	13% (22) 11% (19)	0% (0) 20% (2)	14% (22) 11% (17)	7% (2) 13% (4)	14% (20) 11% (15)	8% (2) 4% (1) 19% (5) 8% (2) 12% (3)	25% (1)	0% (0) 17% (1)	10% (14)
		13% (22) 6% (10)	10% (1) 10% (1)	13% (21) 6% (9)	0% (0) 3% (1) 3% (1) 7% (2) 3% (1) 20% (6) 7% (2) 13% (4) 13% (4)	7% (19) 9% (12) 12% (17) 19% (27) 14% (20) 11% (15) 13% (18) 5% (7)	12% (3) 8% (2)	25% (1) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (18) 5% (7)
		4% (6) 3% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (6) 3% (5)	10% (3) 10% (3)	2% (3) 1% (2)	12% (3) 12% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 1% (2) 4% (5) 0% (0)
	13	3% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (5)
	15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (3) 8% (2) 12% (3) 12% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.91	0% (0) 7.00	0% (0) 6.91	0% (0) 7.83	0% (0) 6.72	0% (0) 7.77	0% (0) 8.25	0% (0) 6.17	0% (0) 6.74
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Chronic (Verified)	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	14		14		14	<u> </u>	·		14
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	20	2	18	0	20	0	0	2	18
	Matched/Awarded	33	4	29	11	22	9	2	2	20
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource									
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Youth at Time of Assessment	10	10	0	4	6	0	4	6	0
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	10		<u> </u>					
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	28	2	26	10	18	0	1	1	17
L	Clients who have never been active before	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10	10	9	l 	 	1 /
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	33	2	31	10	23	9	1	1	22
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ıys								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	ys.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	1	3	2	2	1	1	0	2
,	Housed - PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	ა	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ა 	U	ა	U	U		ა
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	2	3	4	1	3	1	1	0
	Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other				•		-		- 1	
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	12	3	9	6	6	4	2	7	5
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	2	4	4	2	3	1	1	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	·								·
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	4	3	3	1	1	2
γ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	/ 19	5	14	10	9	7	3	2	7
7	NET INFLOW	14	-3	17	0	14	2	-2	<u>-1</u>	15
-		, · T	v	.,					,	Page 18

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).