# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Y</u>outh, and <u>I</u>ndividuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth	)						
221 -3 from last week  full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housin									
1 54 -1 from last week -3 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	18	0	1						
Eastern	29	1	6						
Fairfield County	62	0	20						
Greater Hartford	47	0	7						
Greater New Haven	35	0	18						
MMW	14	0	0						
Waterbury Litchfield	16	0	2						

		1 /57 /15							
Active In	idividua	ls (Youth)							
212									
-5 from last week									
full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9									
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing						
3 59									
no change		+4 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	12	0	1						
Eastern	30	1	11						
Fairfield County	57	1	7						
Greater Hartford	45	0	14						
Greater New Haven	37	0	16						
MMW	14	0	3						
Waterbury Litchfield	17	1	7						

Active	Familie:	s (Youth)							
+4 from last week  full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg.									
Known Unsheltered	run detans re	Matched to	. , ,						
0		1	1						
no change		+2 from la	ast week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	2	0	0						
Eastern	17	0	1						
Fairfield County	7	0	1						
Greater Hartford	5	0	2						
Greater New Haven	11	0	6						
MMW	2	0	1						
Waterbury Litchfield	3	0	0						

Active Individuals (Non-Youth)										
1,556 +46 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10										
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing							
165 211										
+10 from last week		-4 from la								
I	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	136	7	19							
Eastern	199	39	29							
Fairfield County	363	5	49							
Greater Hartford	401	48	45							
Greater New Haven	218	30	45							
MMW	61	0	5							
Waterbury Litchfield	178	36	19							

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	i all lielu		Haven	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
Α	_	Records	8%	14%	24%	24%	15%	4%	11%
В	Active on BNL	2,036	168	275	489	498	301	91	214
С	Median Days Active	120	97	69	154	155	110	146	111
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)
	1	2% (35) 4% (85)	1% (1) 4% (7)	1% (3) 1% (4)	3% (14) 6% (29)	2% (8) 5% (25)	1% (4) 3% (8)	2% (2) 1% (1)	5% (11)
	3	8% (167) 10% (211)	9% (15) 8% (14)	4% (11) 12% (34)	11% (56) 12% (57)	9% (47) 11% (57)	5% (15) 6% (19)	9% (8) 11% (10)	7% (15) 9% (20)
	5	13% (268) 14% (288)	13% (22)	14% (38)	14% (68)	13% (65) 13% (65)	11% (32) 12% (36)	13% (12) 21% (19)	14% (31) 13% (27)
	7	12% (246)	13% (22) 17% (28) 13% (22)	15% (41)	14% (68) 10% (48) 8% (37)	13% (63) 12% (62) 10% (49)	12% (36) 13% (39) 11% (33)	14% (13) 7% (6)	13% (27) 10% (21) 13% (27)
	•	10% (201) 8% (167)	8% (14) 10% (17)	14% (38) 16% (45) 15% (41) 13% (35) 8% (22)	6% (31)	6% (29)	11% (33) 13% (40) 8% (24)	9% (8)	9% (20)
	10	7% (142) 5% (94)	7% (12) 2% (4) 5% (9)	8% (23) 3% (8)	6% (27) 6% (27)	6% (30) 5% (27)	8% (24) 6% (17)	9% (8) 3% (3)	8% (18)
	12	3% (59) 2% (46)	5% (9) 1% (1)	2% (5) 1% (4)	2% (11) 2% (10)	3% (15) 2% (10)	4% (12) 6% (18)	1% (1) 0% (0)	4% (8) 3% (6) 1% (3)
	14	1% (14) 0% (10)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (2) 1% (3)	1% (5) 1% (3)	1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1)
	16	0% (2)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.67	6.71	6.78	6.19	6.56	7.56	6.35	6.76
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
İ	Refuses CAN Assistance	15	1	1	4	3	2	1	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)		' 					·	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	164	1	15	37	48	43	5	15
	Known Unsheltered	169	7	41	6	48	30	0	37
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded								
-1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	335	21	47	77	68	85	9	28
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	163	17	39	70	16	11	5	5
Ü	Youth at Time of Assessment	284	19	52	70	 55	50	16	22
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	204	19	52	70	<u> </u>	50	10	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	na nast 30 davs							
	Newly Added		07	Ε0	4.4	40	F0	40	20
L	Clients who have never been active before	261	27	50	44	46	52	10	32
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	52	1	22	2	17	3	4	3
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	313	28	72	46	63	55	14	35
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	56	1	20	14	7	8	2	4
	Housed - PSH	43	0	13	18	4	6	1	1
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH					·			
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	24	1	4	8	5	3	0	3
_	Housed - All Other	23	1	13	5	1	3	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	146	3	50	45	17	20	3	8
٥	Inactive - Unable to Contact		4			4			4
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	25	T	5 	8	1	8	1 	`l 
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - Deceased	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	۷	J	l 	l 	<u> </u>	U	U	·
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	4	0	0	0	1	2
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	39	1	13	10	1	8	2	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	185	4	63	55	18	28	5	12
Z	NET INFLOW	128	24	9	-9	45	27	9	<b>23</b> Page 2

All Youth   Statewide   Central   Eastern   Fairfield   Greater   Greater   New   Hartford   Haven   MMW	Litchfield 8%
A Active on BNL	8%
C   Median Days Active   81   29   89   117   76   66   136	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)  Description of all active records having each assessment score.    1	20
D Count of all active records having each assessment score    1	48
The composition of the composi	
Formula   29% (4)   29% (1)   17% (10)   18% (9)   10% (6)   6% (1)   13% (2)   17% (11)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (9)   12% (6)   16% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   15% (7)	0% (0)
Formula   29% (4)   29% (1)   17% (10)   18% (9)   10% (6)   6% (1)   13% (2)   17% (11)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (9)   12% (6)   16% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   15% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Formula   29% (4)   29% (1)   17% (10)   18% (9)   10% (6)   6% (1)   13% (2)   17% (11)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (2)   9% (6)   18% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   14% (9)   12% (6)   16% (9)   13% (6)   13% (2)   12% (31)   12% (31)   0% (0)   6% (3)   15% (7)	5% (1) 10% (2)
Table   Tabl	5% (1) 15% (3)
12% (30)	0% (0) 15% (3) 15% (3)
11	15% (3)
11	15% (3) 10% (2)
13	0% (0) 0% (0)
16	0% (0)
16	10% (2) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)  Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.  Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	0% (0) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.  Fersion Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	0% (0) 7.35
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.  Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	7.55
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date  P	0
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness   9	
Known Unsheltered   3   0   1   1   0   0   0	0
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date  45 6 18 10 3 6 1  1 6 1	7
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing  Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date  28 0 4 11 1 6 1	1
*K Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date 20 4 11 1 0 1	
	5
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Newly Added 58 7 15 9 12 8 1	6
Clients who have never been active before	
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 7 0 0 0 2 3 1	1
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL 65 7 15 9 14 11 2	7
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Housed - Self-Resolved O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1
Housed BSH	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 3 U I 4 U U U	
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH 7 0 1 3 2 0 0	1
Housed All Other	
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal 30 1 6 15 2 4 0	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 10 1 0 1 1 5 1	1
Inactive - In an Inctitution	
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution I U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	l 
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days deceased 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0
x         Other Outflow subtotal         11         1         0         1         1         5         1	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL 41 2 6 16 3 9 1	4
z NET INFLOW 24 5 9 -7 11 2 1	<b>3</b> Page 3

	All Non-Youth	Ctatawida	Control	Factoria	Fainfield	Greater	Greater New	BARANA/	Waterbury/
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
Α		on-Youth	9%	13%	24%	25%	14%	4%	11%
В	Active on BNL	1,777	154	228	425	448	253	75	194
С	Median Days Active	126	115	63	159	160	119	146	116
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score  0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (3)
	1 2	2% (32) 4% (79)	1% (1) 4% (6)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (13) 7% (28)	2% (7) 5% (22)	2% (4) 3% (8)	3% (2) 1% (1)	5% (10)
	3	9% (157) 11% (191)	9% (14) 8% (13)	4% (10) 13% (29)	12% (50) 12% (53)	10% (47) 12% (54)	6% (15) 7% (17)	11% (8) 8% (6)	7% (13) 10% (19)
	5	13% (223) 14% (247)	12% (18) 17% (26) 13% (20) 9% (14)	11% (26) 14% (33) 17% (39) 14% (32) 8% (18)	13% (57)	13% (56) 13% (58) 12% (53) 10% (43)	11% (27) 11% (27)	15% (11) 23% (17)	14% (28) 14% (27)
	7	12% (216) 10% (170)	13% (20)	17% (39)	14% (59) 10% (42) 7% (28)	12% (53)	13% (33) 10% (26)	15% (11)	9% (18) 12% (24)
		8% (137)	11% (17)	8% (18)	5% (21)	6% (25)	13% (33)	4% (3) 8% (6)	9% (17)
	10 11	7% (124) 5% (85)	7% (11) 3% (4)	3% (7)	6% (26) 5% (23)	6% (27) 6% (25)	7% (17) 6% (16)	11% (8) 3% (2)	8% (16) 4% (8) 3% (6)
	12	3% (51) 2% (42)	5% (8) 1% (1)	2% (4) 1% (3)	3% (11) 2% (8)	3% (13) 2% (9)	4% (9) 7% (18)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3)
	14 15	1% (11) 1% (10)	1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	3% (11) 2% (8) 0% (2) 1% (3)	1% (5) 1% (3)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	16 17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.83	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.63 active rec	6.72 ords)	0.83	6.12	6.53	7.50	6.21	6.70
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	15	1	1	4	3	2	1	3
Г	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	155	1	 13	35	45	42	4	 15
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	166	7	40	5 5	48	30	·	36
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded		·					0	
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	265	20	35 	69 	52 	63	5	21
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	118	11	21	60	13	5	4	4
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	25	5	5	6	5	2	0	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	203	20	35	35	34	44	9	26
М	Returned from Inactive	45	1	22	2	 15	0	3	2
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	248	21	57	37	49	44	12	28
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	-		-
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	enonto retarrios to riesenig in pact eo saye, een	41	0	18	7	7	4	2	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	38	0	12	14	4	6	1	11
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	17	1	3	5	3	3	0	2
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	20	1	11	4	1	3	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	116	2	44	30	15	16	3	6
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	15	0	5	7	0	3	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	4	0	0	0	1	2
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	28	0	13	9	0	3	1	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	144	2	57	39	15	19	4	8
Z	NET INFLOW	104	19	0	-2	34	25	8	<b>20</b> Page 4

	All Families	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	rairileiu	Haitioiu	naven	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
Α	•	Families	7%	17%	26%	19%	17%	6%	7%
В	Active on BNL	268	20	46	69	52	46	16	19
С	Median Days Active	96	81	83	133	126	91	87	40
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score  0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (1) 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (5)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)
	3	3% (8) 10% (27)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 11% (5)	6% (4) 12% (8)	4% (2) 10% (5)	0% (0) 9% (4)	6% (1) 19% (3)	5% (1) 5% (1)
	5	15% (39) 15% (40)	30% (6)	22% (10) 17% (8)	9% (6) 16% (11)	8% (4) 8% (4)	13% (6) 15% (7)	6% (1) 31% (5)	32% (6) 5% (1)
	6	14% (37) 10% (27)	30% (6) 20% (4) 15% (3) 5% (1)	17% (8)	10% (7)	13% (7)	11% (5) 17% (8)	25% (4)	16% (3) 0% (0)
	9	10% (28)	5% (1) 20% (4)	7% (3) 11% (5)	10% (7) 12% (8) 9% (6)	13% (7) 12% (6)	9% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	16% (3)
	11	8% (21) 5% (13)	20% (4) 5% (1) 0% (0)	11% (5) 2% (1)	4% (3) 9% (6)	8% (4) 6% (3)	9% (4) 4% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	16% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	12 13	2% (6) 3% (8)	N% (N)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (2)	10% (5) 4% (2)	0% (0) 9% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	14	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.93	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.19 active rec	6.65 ords)	0.93	6.96	8.08	7.70	6.19	6.42
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۲ (	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)	6	0	0	2	2	0	1	 1
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered					^	^		
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	1 	0	0	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	65	1	7	21	9	24	1	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	0	22	12	1	2	0	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	55	2	22	7	5	13	2	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	49	4	10	13	6	10	2	4
М	Returned from Inactive	5	0	0	0	2	0	2	1
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	4	10	13	8	10	4	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		·						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	20	0	5	7	6	2	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	8	0	4	4	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	0	1	4	1	2	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	1	1	3	1	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	44	1	11	18	8	5	0	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased  Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	47	1	12	19	8	6	0	1
Z	NET INFLOW	7	3	-2	-6	0	4	4	4
•									Page 5

	All Individuals	Ctotourida	Control	Factors	Fainfield	Greater	Greater New	NAMES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	Waterbury/
	Percentage of S	Statewide Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
Α		dividuals	8%	13%	24%	25%	14%	4%	11%
В	Active on BNL	1,768	148	229	420	446	255	75	195
С	Median Days Active	125	108	64	160	160	115	168	118
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (34) 4% (78)	1% (1) 5% (7)	0% (0) 1% (3) 2% (4)	3% (14) 6% (24)	2% (8) 5% (24)	2% (4) 3% (7)	3% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2) 6% (11)
	3	9% (159) 10% (184)	10% (15)	5% (11) 13% (29)	12% (52) 12% (49)	10% (45) 12% (52)	6% (15)	9% (7)	7% (14) 10% (19)
	5	13% (229)	9% (13) 11% (16)	13% (29) 12% (28)	15% (62)	12% (52) 14% (61) 14% (61)	6% (15) 10% (26) 11% (29)	9% (7) 15% (11)	10% (19) 13% (25) 13% (26)
	6	14% (248) 12% (209)	11% (16) 16% (24) 13% (19)	12% (28) 16% (37) 14% (33) 14% (32)	14% (57) 10% (41) 7% (29)	14% (61) 12% (55)	11% (29) 13% (34)	19% (14) 12% (9)	13% (26) 9% (18)
	8	10% (174) 8% (139)	9% (13)	14% (32) 7% (17)	7% (29)	12% (55) 9% (42) 5% (23)	13% (34) 10% (25)	8% (6)	9% (18) 14% (27) 9% (17)
	10	7% (121)	9% (13) 7% (11)	8% (18)	6% (25) 6% (24)	6% (26)	14% (36) 8% (20)	11% (8) 9% (7)	8% (15)
	11 12	5% (81) 3% (53)	7% (11) 3% (4) 6% (9)	3% (7) 2% (5)	5% (21) 2% (10)	5% (24) 2% (10)	6% (15) 5% (12)	3% (2) 1% (1)	4% (8) 3% (6)
	13	2% (38) 1% (12)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (4) 0% (1)	2% (8)	2% (8) 1% (4)	5% (14) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3)
	14 15	0% (7)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (10) 2% (8) 0% (1) 0% (2)	1% (3)	0% (1)	0% (0)	2% (3) 2% (3) 1% (1)
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.60	0% (0) 6.72	0% (0) 6.75	0% (0) 6.07	0% (0) 6.38	0% (0) 7.54	0% (0) 6.39	0% (0) 6.79
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.70	0.01	0.00	7.04	0.00	0.75
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	15	1	1	4	3	2	1	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)		' 	' 				· 	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	158	1	15	35	46	43	4	14
	Known Unsheltered	168	7	40	6	48	30	0	37
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded		·						
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	270	20	40	56	59	61	8	26
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	124	17	 17	58	15	9	5	3
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	229	17	30	63	50	37	14	18
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
,	Newly Added	212	23	40	31	40	42	8	28
٦	Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	47	4	00	^	4	<u> </u>	^	
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	47	1	22	2	15	3	2	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	259	24	62	33	55	45	10	30
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		n the next 20 days						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved							_	
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	36	1	15	7	1	6	2	4
_	Housed - PSH	35	0	9	14	4	6	1	1
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· 	·
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	15	1	3	4	4	1	0	2
_	Housed - All Other	16	0	12	2	0	2	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	102	2	39	27	9	15	3	7
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact					9			
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	22	1	4	7	1	7	1	1
	Inactive - In an Institution	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased				· ·				
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
,,,	Inactive - All Other	7	0	4	0	0	0	1	2
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	36	1	12	9	1	7	· 2	4
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	138	3	<u>72</u> 51	<u>9</u> 36	10	22	<u>2</u> 5	11
7	NET INFLOW	121	21	11	-3	45	23	<u>5</u>	19
4	INT I INT LOW	121	21	11	-5	40	23	J	Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	A				Greater	Greater New		Waterbury/
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
	Percentage of S Families (No		8%	13%	28%	21%	16%	6%	7%
В	Active on BNL	221	18	29	62	47	35	14	16
С	Median Days Active	96	90	61	137	124	98	87	41
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)	-	-			-	
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (1) 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (5)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)
	3	3% (7) 10% (22)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (3) 5% (8)	4% (2) 9% (4)	0% (0) 9% (3)	7% (1)	6% (1)
	5	13% (29) 14% (32)	6% (1) 28% (5)	10% (3) 10% (3)	10% (6)	9% (4)	14% (5) 14% (5)	14% (2) 7% (1) 36% (5)	6% (1) 31% (5)
	7	14% (30) 10% (22)	28% (5) 22% (4) 17% (3)	14% (4) 21% (6) 10% (3)	15% (9) 8% (5) 11% (7)	9% (4) 15% (7)	11% (4)	21% (3)	6% (1) 13% (2)
	8	11% (25) 7% (16)	6% (1) 22% (4) 0% (0)	14% (4)	11% (7) 10% (6) 5% (3)	13% (6) 11% (5)	14% (5) 9% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 19% (3)
	10	5% (11)	0% (0)	14% (4) 3% (1)	8% (5)	9% (4) 4% (2)	6% (2) 6% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	13% (2) 0% (0)
	13	2% (5) 4% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 3% (2)	9% (4) 4% (2)	0% (0) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16   17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.27	0% (0) 6.56	0% (0) 7.55	0% (0) 6.97	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 7.80	0% (0) 6.29	0% (0) 6.25
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			Un and Wallands and a state	and the second				
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance							^	^
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	54	1	6	20	7	18	0	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	21	0	7	10	1	1	0	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	8	0	5	0	0	2	0	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	39	2	7	10	5	9	2	4
L	Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive								·
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL  Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	42	2	7	10	7	9	3	4
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	16	0	4	4	6	2	0	0
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	0	0	4	0	2	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	1	1	3	1	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	36	1	8	14	7	5	0	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	38	1	9	15	7	5	0	1
Z	NET INFLOW	4	1	-2	-5	0	4	3	<b>3</b> Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Footorn	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	rairileiu	панноги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Litermeid
Δ		(Youth)	4%	36%	15%	11%	23%	4%	6%
В	Active on BNL	47	2	17	7	5	11	2	3
С	Median Days Active	83	16	134	82	153	82	57	32
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	_	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	2% (1) 11% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 12% (2)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 20% (1)	0% (0) 9% (1)	0% (0) 50% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	5	21% (10) 17% (8)	50% (1)	41% (7)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9% (1)	0% (0)	33% (1)
	6 7	15% (7)	50% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	24% (4) 12% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 29% (2) 29% (2) 14% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (2) 9% (1)	0% (0) 50% (1)	33% (1) 0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0)
	9	11% (5) 6% (3)	0% (0)	6% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1) 20% (1)	27% (3) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	10	11% (5) 4% (2)	50% (1) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	14% (1)	0% (0) 20% (1)	18% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)
	12	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.83 active rec	7.50 ords)	5.88	6.86	8.80	7.36	5.50	7.33
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	3	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	0			·	 0	0	 0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded		0	0	0				
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	0	1 	1 	2	6	1 	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	18	0	15 	2	0	1	0	0
*K	Theate disente wife are 2 ind or diadr ac or report date	8	0	1	2	1	2	0	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	10	2	3	3	1	1	0	0
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	12	2	3	3	1	1	1	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	enonto retarrios to riesenig in pact eo saye, een	4	0	11	3	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	8	0	3	4	1	0	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	0	3	4	1	1	0	0
Z	NET INFLOW	3	2	0	-1	0	0	1	<b>1</b> Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Contrar	Luotom		Tidi tioi d	Haven		Litoimoid
Α	Individuals		6%	14%	27%	21%	17%	7%	8%
В	Active on BNL	212	12	30	57	45	37	14	17
С	Median Days Active	80	33	59	118	75	54	153	52
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
DC	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (3) 3% (6)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 2% (1) 9% (5) 7% (4)	2% (1) 7% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1)
	3	4% (9) 7% (15)	8% (1)	3% (1)	9% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	12% (2)
	5	17% (35)	8% (1) 25% (3) 17% (2)	10% (3) 17% (5)	7% (4) 19% (11)	4% (2) 20% (9)	3% (1) 11% (4) 19% (7)	21% (3) 7% (1)	6% (1) 12% (2)
	6	16% (33) 11% (23)	17% (2) 17% (2) 0% (0)	27% (8) 0% (0)	19% (11) 12% (7) 7% (4) 14% (8)	16% (7) 20% (9)	19% (7) 14% (5)	14% (2) 7% (1)	0% (0) 12% (2)
	8	12% (26) 13% (27)	0% (0)	10% (3) 10% (3)	14% (8) 18% (10)	11% (5) 7% (3)	11% (4) 16% (6)	21% (3) 14% (2)	12% (2) 18% (3)
	10	6% (13) <sup>2</sup> 3% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (3) 3% (1)	18% (10) 2% (1) 5% (3)	7% (3)	14% (5) 3% (1)	0% (0)	18% (3) 6% (1)
	11 12	3% (7)	8% (1)	3% (1)	0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	8% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	13 14	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 12% (2)
	15 <b></b>	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	7.02	6.50	6.87	6.70	6.62	8.05	7.21	7.35
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			to an Walana and day					
(	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance								_
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Chronic (Verified)	6	0	2	1	2	1	0	0
G -	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
	Matched/Awarded	59	1	11	7	14	16	3	7
- '}-	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing	07	6		0	·		1	4
	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	27	6	3	8 	3	5	T 	1
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	20	0	3	9	0	4	1	3
-	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	48	5	12	6	11	7	1	6
-	Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	0	0	0	2	3	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	53	5	12	6	13	10	1	6
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		o the past 20 days						
(	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved					_		_	,
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	11	1	1	4	0	4	0	1
Р	Housed - PSH	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
-	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	-	^	^	^		^	^	
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	0	0	3	1 	0	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	22	1	3	11	1	4	0	2
f	Inactive - Unable to Contact	9	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l 	I	+ 	I	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
=	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased								
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
х	Other Outflow subtotal	10	1	0	1	1	4	1	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	32	2	3	12	2	8	1	4
Z	NET INFLOW	21	3	9	-6	11	2	0	<b>2</b> Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern			Haven	WINTY	Litoilleid
Α	Individuals (No		9%	13%	23%	26%	14%	4%	11%
В	Active on BNL	1,556	136	199	363	401	218	61	178
С	Median Days Active	133	119	64	168	166	120	168	132
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
•		0% (1) 2% (31)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (1) 4% (13)	0% (0) 2% (7) 5% (21)	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 3% (2)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	5% (72) 10% (150)	4% (6)	2% (4) 5% (10)	6% (23)	5% (21) 11% (45)	3% (7) 7% (15)	2% (1) 11% (7)	6% (10)
	4	11% (169) 12% (194)	10% (14) 9% (12)	13% (26)	13% (47) 12% (45)	11% (45) 12% (50)	6% (14)	7% (4)	7% (12) 10% (18)
	6	14% (215) 12% (186)	10% (13) 16% (22)	12% (23) 15% (29)	14% (51) 14% (50) 10% (37)	13% (52) 13% (54)	10% (22) 10% (22)	16% (10) 20% (12)	13% (23) 15% (26)
	8	10% (148) .7% (112)	13% (17) 10% (13)	17% (33) 15% (29)	6% (21)	11% (46) 9% (37)	13% (29) 10% (21)	13% (8) 5% (3)	9% (16) 13% (24)
	10	7% (108) 5% (74)	10% (13) 8% (11)	7% (14) 8% (15)	4% (15) 6% (23)	5% (20) 6% (23)	14% (30) 7% (15)	10% (6) 11% (7)	8% (14) 8% (14)
	11 12 11	3% (46)	3% (4) 6% (8)	3% (6) 2% (4)	5% (18) 3% (10)	6% (23) 2% (9) 2% (7)	6% (14) 4% (9)	2% (1) 0% (0)	4% (8) 3% (6)
	13	2% (34) 1% (9)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (3) 1% (1)	2% (6) 0% (1)	2% (7) 1% (4) 1% (3)	6% (14) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 1% (1)
		0% (7) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.54 active rec	6.74 ords)	6.73	5.97	6.36	7.45	6.20	6.74
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	15	1	1	4	3	2	1	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	152	1	13	34	44	42	4	14
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	165	7	39	5	48	30	0	36
	Matched/Awarded	211	19	29	49	45	45	5	19
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	97	11	14	50	 12	4	4	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	17	5	0	6	5	0	0	 1
- 1	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	'''	•						'
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	164	18	28	25	29	35	7	22
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	42	1	22	2	13	0	2	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	206	19	50	27	42	35	9	24
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inat Housed - Self-Resolved			4.4		4	^		
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	25	0	14	3	1 	2	2	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	32	0	9	11	4	6	1	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	1	3	1	3	1	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	13	0	10	1	0	2	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	80	1	36	16	8	11	3	5
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	0	4	6	0	3	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	4	0	0	0	1	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal	26	0	12	8	0	3	1	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	106	1	48	24	8	14	4	7
Z	NET INFLOW	100	18	2	3	34	21	5	17

	Statewide BNL	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		87%		87%	(**************************************	(**************************************	(1000)	76%
Α		vide BNL	13%		13%		11%	2%	10%	
В	Active on BNL	2,036	259	1,777	268	1,768	221	47	212	1,556
С	Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (am	120	81	126	96	125	96	83	80	133
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
	1	0% (1) 2% (35)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (1) 2% (32) 4% (79)	0% (0) 0% (1) 3% (7)	0% (1) 2% (34)	0% (0) 0% (1) 3% (7) 3% (7) 10% (22) 13% (29) 14% (32) 14% (30) 10% (22)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (1) 2% (31)
	3	4% (85) 8% (167)	2% (6) 4% (10)	4% (79) 9% (157) 11% (191)	3% (7) 3% (8) 10% (27)	4% (78) 9% (159)	3% (7) 3% (7)	0% (0) 2% (1) 11% (5)	3% (6) 4% (9)	2% (31) 5% (72) 10% (150) 11% (169)
	5	10% (211) 13% (268)	4% (10) 8% (20) 17% (45) 16% (41)	11% (191) 13% (223) 14% (247)	10% (27) 15% (39) 15% (40)	10% (184) 13% (229) 14% (248)	10% (22) 13% (29)	11% (5) 21% (10) 17% (8)	7% (15) 17% (35) 16% (33)	11% (169) 12% (194) 14% (215)
	7	14% (288) 12% (246)	16% (41) 12% (30)	14% (247) 12% (216) 10% (170)	15% (40) 14% (37) 10% (27)	14% (248) 12% (209) 10% (174)	14% (32) 14% (30)	17% (8) 15% (7) 11% (5)	16% (33) 11% (23) 12% (26)	14% (215) 12% (186) 10% (148)
	9	10% (201) 8% (167)	12% (30) 12% (31) 12% (30) 7% (18)	10% (170) 8% (137)	10% (27) 10% (28)	8% (139)	10% (22) 11% (25) 7% (16)	11% (5) 6% (3) 11% (5)	12% (26) 13% (27) 6% (13)	10% (148) 7% (112) 7% (108)
	11	7% (142) 5% (94)	3% (9)	7% (124) 5% (85)	10% (28) 8% (21) 5% (13) 2% (6) 3% (8) 1% (2)	7% (121) 5% (81)	E0/. (11\)	4% (2)	3% (7)	5% (74)
	13	3% (59) 2% (46)	3% (8) 2% (4)	3% (51) 2% (42)	2% (6) 3% (8)	3% (53) 2% (38) 1% (12)	2% (5) 4% (8)	2% (1) 0% (0)	3% (7) 2% (4) 1% (3) 0% (0)	3% (46) 2% (34) 1% (9) 0% (7)
	15	1% (14) 0% (10)	1% (3) 0% (0)	1% (11) 1% (10)	1% (3)	0% (7)	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	1% (9) 0% (7)
		0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	8% (137) 7% (124) 5% (85) 3% (51) 2% (42) 1% (11) 1% (10) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	2% (5) 4% (8) 1% (2) 1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.67	0% (0) 6.98	0% (0) 6.63	0% (0) 7.19	0% (0) 6.60	0% (0) 7.27	0% (0) 6.83	0% (0) 7.02	0% (0) 6.54
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	15	0	15	0	15	0	0	0	15
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	164	9	155	6	158	3	3	6	152
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	169	3	166	1	168	1	0	3	165
	Matched/Awarded	335	70	265	65	270	54	11	59	211
j	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	163	45	118	39	124	21	18	27	97
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	284	259	25	55	229	8	47	212	17
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	261	58	203	49	212	39	10	48	164
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	52	7	45	5	47	3	2	5	42
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	313	65	248	54	259	42	12	53	206
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		- the n t 00 - t							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				00	00	40		4.4	0.5
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	56 	15	41	20	36	16	4	11 	25
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	43	5	38	8	35	6	2	3	32
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	24	7	17	9	15	7	2	5	10
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	23	3	20	7	16	7	0	3	13
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	146	30	116	44	102	36	8	22	80
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	25	10	15	3	22	2	1	9	13
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased  Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
X	Other Outflow subtotal	39	11	28	3	36	2	1	10	26
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	185	41	144	47	138	38	9	32	106
Z	NET INFLOW	128	24	104	7	121	4	3	21	100

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	
	Perce	entage of	routii	92%	1 annies	88%	(NOH-TOUTH)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	81%
A		tral CAN	8%		12%		11%	1%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	168	14	154	20	148	18	2	12	136
С	Median Days Active	97	29	115	81	108	90	16	33	119
	ent Score Distribution (ame re records having each assessment score.		records)							
0		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
1 2		1% (1) 4% (7)	0% (0) 7% (1) 7% (1)	1% (1) 4% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 5% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 50% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 50% (1)	0% (0) 8% (1) 8% (1)	1% (1) 4% (6)
3 4		9% (15) 8% (14)	7% (1)	9% (14) 8% (13) 12% (18)	0% (0) 5% (1)	5% (7) 10% (15) 9% (13) 11% (16)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 8% (1) 25% (3)	10% (14) 9% (12) 10% (13)
5 6		13% (22) 17% (28)	29% (4) 14% (2)	17% (26)	5% (1) 30% (6) 20% (4)	16% (24)	28% (5) 22% (4)	50% (1) 0% (0)	17% (2)	10% (13) 16% (22)
7 8		13% (22) 8% (14)	14% (2) 0% (0)	13% (20) 9% (14) 11% (17)	15% (3) 5% (1)	13% (19) 9% (13) 9% (13) 7% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 28% (5) 22% (4) 17% (3) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (2) 0% (0)	13% (17) 10% (13)
9		10% (17) 7% (12)	0% (0) 7% (1)	11% (17) 7% (11)	20% (4) 5% (1)	9% (13) 7% (11)	22% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 50% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (13) 8% (11)
11 12		2% (4) 5% (9)	0% (0) 7% (1)	3% (4) 5% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 6% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1)	3% (4)
13		1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 6% (8) 1% (1) 1% (1)
15		1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
16 17		1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E 18	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.71	0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 6.72	0% (0) 6.65	0% (0) 6.72	0% (0) 6.56	0% (0) 7.50	0% (0) 6.50	0% (0) 6.74
Clients counted	nditions Followed (among in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	f circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance I here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Chronic (Verified)  IUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Known Unsheltered nts that are confirmed to be unsheltered	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
	Matched/Awarded tched to or awarded a housing resource	21	1	20	1	20	1	0	1	19
J Active clients v	d in Transitional Housing	17	6	11	0	17	0	0	6	11
	th at Time of Assessment ho were under 25 at time of assessment	19	14	5	2	17	0	2	12	5
	Active List: Past 30 Days ere made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L Clie	Newly Added ents who have never been active before	27	7	20	4	23	2	2	5	18
M Cliente ine	Returned from Inactive active for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	low to Active List TOTAL	28	7	21	4	24	2	2	5	19
	om Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below we	ere returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	ctive on the BNL in	n the past 30 day							
O Clients r	eturned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
P Clients return	Housed - PSH ed to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q Clients returne	Housed - RRH ed to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
R Clients return	Housed - All Other ned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1
T Clients made in	active - Unable to Contact active in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	1	0	0	11	0	0	1	0
	nactive - In an Institution inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V <u>Clients n</u>	Inactive - Deceased made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W Clients made in	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Outflow	Other Outflow subtotal	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Y OUTTION	from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	24	<u>2</u> 5	2 19	3	3 21	1	2	3	1 18
4	IALT HAT LOVY	44	J	13	J	41			<u> </u>	Page 12

	7/3/2017 TTI BIVE REPORT								au.anuerson@ci.	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		83%		83%				72%
Α		ern CAN	17%		17%		11%	6%	11%	
^ B	Active on BNL	275	47	228	46	229	29	17	30	199
c –	Median Days Active	69	89	63	83	64	61	134	59	64
A	Assessment Score Distribution (amo					<u> </u>				
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		•							
		0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)
		1% (4) 4% (11)	0% (0) 2% (1)	2% (4) 4% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 5% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (2) 2% (4) 5% (10)
	4	12% (34) 14% (38)	11% (5)	13% (29) 11% (26)	11% (5)	13% (29)	10% (3)	12% (2) 41% (7)	10% (3) 17% (5)	13% /26\
	6	16% (45)	26% (12) 26% (12)	14% (33)	17% (8)	13% (29) 12% (28) 16% (37) 14% (33)	14% (4)	24% (4) 12% (2)	27% (8)	15% (29)
	8	15% (41) 13% (35)	4% (2) 6% (3)	17% (39) 14% (32)	22% (10) 17% (8) 17% (8) 7% (3)	14% (33)	10% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (3)	12% (23) 15% (29) 17% (33) 15% (29) 7% (14) 8% (15)
		8% (22) 8% (23)	9% (4) 9% (4)	8% (18) 8% (19)	11% (5) 11% (5)	14% (32) 7% (17) 8% (18)	14% (4) 14% (4)	6% (1) 6% (1)	10% (3) 10% (3)	7% (14) 8% (15)
	11	3% (8) 2% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (7) 2% (4)	2% (1)	3% (7) 2% (5)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (6) 2% (4) 2% (3) 1% (1)
	13	1% (4)	2% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0)	2% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1)	2% (3)
	15	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (7) 2% (5) 2% (4) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 10% (3) 10% (3) 14% (4) 21% (6) 10% (3) 14% (4) 14% (4) 14% (4) 14% (4) 10% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.78	0% (0) 6.51	0% (0) 6.83	0% (0) 6.93	0% (0) 6.75	0% (0) 7.55	0% (0) 5.88	0% (0) 6.87	0% (0) 6.73
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)							
C	Refuses CAN Assistance							^	^	4
F _(	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1 	0	1 	0	1 	0	0	0	1 
G_	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	15	2	13	0	15	0	0	2	13
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	41	1	40	1	40	1	0	1	39
-	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	47	12	35	7	40	6	1	11	29
ŀ	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	18	21	22	17	7	15	3	14
	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	52	47	5	22	30	5	 17	30	0
l	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
C	lients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	50	15	35	10	40	7	3	12	28
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	22	0	22	0	22	0	0	0	22
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	72	15	57	10	62	7	3	12	50
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
C	lients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	ys.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	20	2	18	5	15	4	1	1	14
 Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	13	1	12	4	9	3	1	0	9
Q -	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	4	1	3	1	3	0	1	0	3
	Housed - All Other	13	2	11	1	12	1	0	2	10
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other  Housed Outflow subtotal	50	6	44	11	39	8	3	3	36
T (	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
<u>ا د</u> ا	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
V	Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
-	Inactive - All Other	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
x	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons  Other Outflow subtotal	13	0	13	1	12	1	0	0	12
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	63	6	57	12	51	9	3	3	48
z	NET INFLOW	9	9	0	-2	11	-2	0	9	2
-			·							Page 13

	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
		entage of	routii	87%	raillilles	86%	(INOII-TOULII)	(Toutii)	(Toulii)	74%
		•	13%	0.70	14%	3370	13%	1%	12%	1.70
A	Fairfield Cou Active on BNL	489	64	425	60	420	62	7	57	262
B C	Median Days Active	154	117	<b>425</b> 159	<b>69</b> 133	<b>420</b> 160	137	82	118	<b>363</b> 168
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			109	133	100	131	02	110	100
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		•							
Ī	0	0% (1) 3% (14)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (1) 3% (13) 7% (28) 12% (50)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (5)	0% (1) 3% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (1) 4% (13)
	3	6% (29) 11% (56)	2% (1) 2% (1)	7% (28)	7% (5)	3% (14) 6% (24)	0% (0) 8% (5) 5% (3)	0% (0) 14% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (13) 6% (23) 13% (47)
	4	12% (57)	9% (6) 6% (4)	12% (53)	6% (4) 12% (8) 9% (6)	12% (32)	13% (8)	N% (N)	9% (5) 7% (4)	12% (45)
	5	14% (68) 14% (68)	17% (11) 14% (9)	13% (57) 14% (59) 10% (42)	16% (11)	15% (62) 14% (57)	10% (6) 15% (9) 8% (5)	0% (0) 29% (2)	19% (11) 12% (7) 7% (4)	14% (51) 14% (50)
	7 8	10% (48) 8% (37)	14% (9) 9% (6) 14% (9) 16% (10)	10% (42) 7% (28)	10% (7) 12% (8)	6% (24) 12% (52) 12% (49) 15% (62) 14% (57) 10% (41) 7% (29) 6% (25)	11% (7)	29% (2) 14% (1)	7% (4) 14% (8)	10% (37) 6% (21)
		6% (31) 6% (27)	16% (10) 2% (1)	7% (28) 5% (21) 6% (26)	9% (6) 4% (3)	6% (25) 6% (24)	10% (6)	0% (0) 0% (2) 29% (2) 29% (2) 14% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (10) 2% (1)	6% (21) 4% (15) 6% (23)
		6% (27) 2% (11)	6% (4) 0% (0)	5% (23) 3% (11)	10% (1) 12% (8) 9% (6) 4% (3) 9% (6) 1% (1)	6% (24) 5% (21) 2% (10)	5% (3) 8% (5) 2% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (3) 0% (0)	5% (18) 3% (10)
	13	2% (10)	3% (2) 0% (0)	2% (8) 0% (2)	3% (2) 1% (1)	2% (8) 0% (1)	3% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0)	2% (6)
	15	0% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0)	1% (3)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (6) 0% (1) 1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.19	0% (0) 6.72	0% (0) 6.12	0% (0) 6.96	0% (0) 6.07	0% (0) 6.97	0% (0) 6.86	0% (0) 6.70	0% (0) 5.97
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
ŀ	Refuses CAN Assistance	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)	37	2	35	 2	35	1	1	0 1	34
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	6	1	5 5	2 0	6	0	<u>'</u> 0	'  1	5
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded		·						 	
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	77	8	69	21	56 	20	1 	7 	49
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	70	10	60	12	58	10	2	8	50
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	70	64	6	7	63	0	7	57	6
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	44	9	35	13	31	10	3	6	25
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	46	9	37	13	33	10	3	6	27
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
ļ	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Indi		n the past 30 day	'S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	14	7	7	7	7	4	3	4	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	18	4	14	4	14	3	1	3	11
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	3	5	4	4	4	0	3	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	1	4	3	2	3	0	1	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	45	15	30	18	27	14	4	11	16
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	10	1	9	1	9	1	0	1	8
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	55	16	39	19	36	15	4	12	24
Z	NET INFLOW	-9	-7	-2	-6	-3	-5	-1	-6	3

	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Porce	entage of	routii	90%	Faiiiiles	90%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(NOH-1 Outil) 81%
٨	Greater Harti	_	10%		10%		9%	1%	9%	
В	Active on BNL	498	50	448	52	446	47	5	45	401
С	Median Days Active	155	76	160	126	160	124	153	75	166
	Assessment Score Distribution (am									
	Count of all active records having each assessment score			09/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	09/ (0)	00/ (0)	09/ (0)
	1	2% (8)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (7) 5% (22)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (8) 5% (24)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 7% (3) 0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 2% (7)
	3	5% (25) 9% (47)	6% (3) 0% (0)	10% (47) 12% (54)	2% (1) 4% (2) 10% (5)	10% (45) 12% (52)	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 20% (1)	0% (0)	5% (21) 11% (45) 12% (50)
	5	11% (57) 13% (65)	6% (3) 18% (9) 14% (7)	12% (54)	8% (4) 8% (4)	12% (52)	9% (4) 9% (4)	0% (0)	20% (9) 16% (7)	12% (50) 13% (52) 13% (54)
	7	13% (65) 12% (62)	14% (7) 18% (9) 12% (6)	13% (56) 13% (58) 12% (53) 10% (43)	8% (4) 13% (7)	14% (61) 14% (61) 12% (55) 9% (42)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1) 4% (2) 9% (4) 9% (4) 9% (4) 15% (7) 13% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 20% (1)	20% (9) 11% (5)	13% (54) 11% (46) 9% (37)
	9	10% (49) 6% (29)	12% (6) 8% (4) 6% (3)	10% (43) 6% (25)	13% (7) 13% (7) 13% (7) 12% (6) 8% (4)	9% (42) 5% (23)	13% (6) 11% (5)	20% (1) 20% (1)	11% (5) 7% (3)	9% (37) 5% (20) 6% (23)
	11	6% (30) 5% (27)	4% (2)	6% (25) 6% (27) 6% (25)	6% (3)	5% (23) 6% (26) 5% (24) 2% (10) 2% (8) 1% (4) 1% (3)	11% (5) 9% (4) 4% (2) 9% (4) 4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1) 0% (0) 20% (1)	7% (3) 7% (3) 2% (1)	6% (23)
	13	3% (15) 2% (10)	4% (2) 2% (1)	3% (13) 2% (9) 1% (5) 1% (3)	0 % (9) 10% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (10) 2% (8)	9% (4) 4% (2)	20% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (9) 2% (7) 1% (4) 1% (3)
	15	1% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)
		0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 6.56	0% (0) 6.84	0% (0) 6.53	0% (0) 8.08	0% (0) 6.38	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 8.80	0% (0) 6.62	0% (0) 6.36
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	48	3	45	2	46	1	1	2	44
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	48	0	48	0	48	0	0	0	48
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	68	16	52	9	59	7	2	14	45
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	3	13	1	15	1	0	3	12
K	Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	55	50	5	5	50	0	5	45	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	46	12	34	6	40	5	1	11	29
М	Returned from Inactive	17	2	15	2	15	2	0	2	13
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	63	14	49	8	55	7	1	13	42
- 1	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	'S.						
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	0	7	6	1	6	0	0	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	2	3	1	4	0	1	1	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	17	2	15	8	9	7	1	1	8
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	18 45	3 11	15 34	8	10 45	7	<u> </u>	<u>2</u> 11	8 34
۷	NET INFLOW	40	- 11	34	U	40	U	U	11	<b>34</b> Page 15

	<b>Greater New Haven CAN</b>	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 85%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth) 72%
		entage of	16%	0470	15%	0370	12%	4%	12%	12/0
Α	Greater New Ha		40	0.50						242
В	Active on BNL	301	48	253	46	255	35	11	37	218
С	Median Days Active	110	66	119	91	115	98	82	54	120
	Assessment Score Distribution (am: Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)							
		0% (0) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 9% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (4) 3% (7) 7% (15) 6% (14)
	2	3% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (8)	2% (1)	3% (7)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (7)
	4	5% (15) 6% (19)	4% (2)	6% (15) 7% (17)	0% (0) 9% (4)	6% (15) 6% (15)	0% (0) 9% (3)	0% (0) 9% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	7% (15) 6% (14)
		11% (32) 12% (36)	10% (5) 19% (9)	11% (27) 11% (27)	13% (6) 15% (7)	10% (26) 11% (29)	14% (5)	9% (1) 9% (1) 18% (2)	11% (4) 19% (7)	10% (22) 10% (22)
		13% (39) 11% (33)	13% (6) 15% (7)	13% (33) 10% (26)	11% (5) 17% (8)	13% (34) 10% (25)	11% (4) 14% (5)	9% (1) 27% (3)	19% (7) 14% (5) 11% (4)	10% (22) 10% (22) 13% (29) 10% (21)
	9	13% (40) 8% (24)	15% (7) 15% (7)	13% (33) 7% (17)	9% (4) 9% (4)	14% (36) 8% (20) 6% (15)	9% (3)	9% (1) 18% (2)	16% (6) 14% (5)	14% (30) 7% (15) 6% (14)
	11	6% (17)	2% (1) 6% (3)	6% (16)	4% (2)	6% (15)	14% (4) 11% (4) 14% (5) 9% (3) 6% (2) 6% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1)	6% (14)
	13	4% (12) 6% (18)	0% (0) 2% (1)	4% (9) 7% (18)	0% (0) 9% (4) 0% (0)	5% (12) 5% (14)	1 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (3) 0% (0)	4% (9) 6% (14)
	15	1% (2) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	6% (14) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.56	0% (0) 7.90	0% (0) 7.50	0% (0) 7.70	0% (0) 7.54	0% (0) 7.80	0% (0) 7.36	0% (0) 8.05	0% (0) 7.45
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified)	43	1	42	0	43	0	0	 1	42
	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	30	0	30	0	30	0	0	0	30
Η.	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	85	22	63	24	61	18	6	16	45
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	6	5	2	9	1	1	5	4
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	 50	48	2	 13	37	2	<u>·</u> 11	37	0
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment		10		10	01		- ''	O1	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 davs.								
	Newly Added	52	8	44	10	42	9	1	7	35
L	Clients who have never been active before	JZ 	0	44	10	42	9	l 	<i>l</i>	
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	55	11	44	10	45	9	1	10	35
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 day I	/S.						
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	4	4	2	6	2	0	4	2
Р	Housed - PSH	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	3	0	3		2	 1	0	0	2
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	20	4	16	5	15	5	0	4	11
ა	Inactive - Unable to Contact		-				-	-		
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	5	3	1	7	0	1	4	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	8	5	3	1	7	0	1	4	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	28	9	19	6	22	5	1	8	14
Z	NET INFLOW	27	2	25	4	23	4	0	2	<b>21</b> Page 16

Percentage of MMW CAN   18%   18%   15%   2%   15%	MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
A Active on BNL 91 16 75 16 75 14 2 14 51		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Median Days Active   146   136   146   87   168   87   57   153   168		-	18%	82%	18%	82%	15%	2%	15%	67%
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	Active on BNL	91	16	75	16	75	14	2	14	61
Content and a sicker records having earth assessment corners   Section   S	c Median Days Active	146	136	146	87	168	87	57	153	168
1			records)							
Status  Conditions Followed (among active records)	_		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	N% (N)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	1	2% (2)	0% (0)	3% (2)	0% (0)	3% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (2)
1			0% (0)	11% (8)	0% (0) 6% (1)	9% (7)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (7)
1			25% (4) 6% (1)	15% (11)	19% (3) 6% (1)	9% (7) 15% (11)	14% (2)	50% (1) 0% (0)	21% (3)	7% (4) 16% (10)
18	6	21% (19)	13% (2)	23% (17)	31% (5)	19% (14)	36% (5)	0% (0)	14% (2)	20% (12)
18	8	7% (6)	19% (3)	4% (3)	0% (0)	8% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0)	21% (3)	5% (3)
18	10		0% (0)	8% (6) 11% (8)	6% (1)	9% (7)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (2) 0% (0)	11% (/)
18	11		6% (1)	3% (2)	6% (1)	3% (2)	7% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 7% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)
18	13	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
18	15	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
18	16		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 6 20
Circlests counted them are subject to due diligence policy   Chronic (Verified)   S	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)					2.23		
Chronic (Verified)   Cilients meat HUD definition of Chronic (Verified)   Cilients meat HUD definition of Chronic Hamileostered   O		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Hard	Chronic (Verified)	5	1	4	1	4	0	1	0	4
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource   9		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing   Youth at Time of Assessment   16   16   0   2   14   0   2   14   0   0   2   14   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0		9	4	5	1	8	0	1	3	5
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days   Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	.1	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.		16	16	0	2	14	0	2	14	0
Clients who have never been active before   10		e past 30 days.								
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active   A	.	10	1	9	2	8	2	0	1	7
Inflow to Active List TOTAL   14   2   12   4   10   3   1   1   9		4	1	3	2	2	1	1	0	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days   Cilents below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	,	14	2	12	4	10	3	1	1	9
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.				.~	· · · · ·	.,,		<u> </u>	· ·	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
P   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   Housed - All Other   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   Housed - All Other   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   Housed - All Other   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O	O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other   0	Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Note		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact   1	Olichia returned to nodaling in past oo days, dii otner	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Inactive - In an Institution	Inactive - Unable to Contact		1				0	0	1	
V     Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons  1 0 1 0 0 0 1	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	x Other Outflow subtotal		1	1	0			0	1	1
V         Outflow from Active List TOTAL         5         1         4         0         5         0         0         1         4			1	-						<u>-</u>
z NET INFLOW 9 1 8 4 5 3 1 0 5	z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	9	1	8	4	5	3	1	0	<b>5</b> Page 17

	Waterbury/Litchfield CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 91%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
^	Waterbury/Litchf	9%	01,70	9%		7%	1%	8%	30%	
В	Active on BNL	214	20	194	19	195	16	3	17	178
С	Median Days Active	111	48	116	40	118	41	32	52	132
	Assessment Score Distribution (am				-			-	-	
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (3)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 2% (3) 5% (10) 7% (13) 10% (19)	0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	3	5% (11) 7% (15)	10% (2)	7% (10) 7% (13)	5% (1) 5% (1)	6% (11) 7% (14) 10% (19)	6% (1)	0% (0)	12% (2)	6% (10) 7% (12) 10% (18)
	5	9% (20) 14% (31)	5% (1) 15% (3) 0% (0)	10% (19)	32% (6) 5% (1)	13% (25)	31% (5)	0% (0) 33% (1)	6% (1) 12% (2) 0% (0)	13% (23) 15% (26)
		13% (27) 10% (21)	0% (0) 15% (3) 15% (3)	14% (28) 14% (27) 9% (18) 12% (24)	5% (1) 16% (3) 0% (0)	13% (25) 13% (26) 9% (18) 14% (27)	6% (1) 13% (2)	0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 12% (2) 18% (3)	15% (26) 9% (16) 13% (24)
	9	13% (27) 9% (20)	15% (3) 15% (3) 10% (2)	12% (24) 9% (17)	0% (0) 16% (3)	14% (27) 9% (17) 8% (15)	0% (0) 19% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	18% (3) 18% (3) 6% (1)	8% (14)
		8% (18) 4% (8)	0% (0)	9% (17) 8% (16) 4% (8) 3% (6)	16% (3) 16% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (8)	31% (5) 6% (1) 13% (2) 0% (0) 19% (3) 13% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	8% (14) 4% (8)
	12	3% (6) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (6) 2% (3) 2% (3) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (6) 2% (3)
	14	1% (3) 0% (1)	10% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 7.35	0% (0) 6.70	0% (0) 6.42	0% (0) 6.79	0% (0) 6.25	0% (0) 7.33	0% (0) 7.35	0% (0) 6.74
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.70	0.72	0.13	0.20	7.00	7.00	0.14
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	15	0	15	1	14	1	0	0	14
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	37	1	36	0	37	0	0	1	36
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	28	7	21	2	26	2	0	7	19
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	20	2	4	18	1	3	17	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	32	6	26	4	28	4	0	6	22
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	2	1	2	0	1	0	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	35	7	28	5	30	4	1	6	24
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
Р	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	8	2	6	1	7	1	0	2	5
,	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution	1	1	0	0	 1	0	0	<u>·</u> 1	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	 0	0	0 0	 0	0
۷	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0 0	0	2
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	12	4	8	1	11	1	0	4	7
Z	NET INFLOW	23	3	20	4	19	3	1	2	17
										Page 18

#### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

## STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$  - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).