Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

milies (N	Non-Youth	1)							
220 -3 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
	5	4							
	-2 from la	st week							
Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
20	0	1							
32	0	5							
56	0	16							
43	0	13							
35	0	16							
15	0	1							
19	0	2							
	Active 20 32 56 43 35 15	Matched to Mat							

Greater Hartford	43	0	13
Greater New Haven	35	0	16
MMW	15	0	1
Waterbury Litchfield	19	0	2
,			
Active In	dividua	ls (Youth)	
		7	
	, ,		
±5 fr	– — om last	week	
		ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on ng 9
	ii detaiis foi A		
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing
7		4	2
		O	J
+1 from last week		-1 from la	st week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	12	0	2
Eastern	27	1	13
Fairfield County	59	2	5
Greater Hartford	44	1	20
Greater New Haven	38	2	12
Greater New Haven	38	2	12 3

Waterbury Litchfield

amilies	(Youth)	
55 om last	week	
full details fo	r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8
	9	
	no cha	ange
Active	Unsheltered	Matched
4	0	0
18	0	1
6	0	1
7	0	1
8	0	3
3	0	1
-	0	2
7	U	_
	Active 4 18 6 7	4 0 18 0 6 0 7 0 8 0

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) +3 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing +7 from last week +6 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched 8 25 Central 116 194 37 35 Eastern Fairfield County 390 Greater Hartford 388 50 33 Greater New Haven 228 29 57 MMW 76 0 8 Waterbury Litchfield 200 36 14 Page 1

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	rairileiu	пагиоги	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Literineia
Α	_	Records	7%	13%	25%	23%	15%	5%	12%
В	Active on BNL	2,078	152	271	511	482	309	106	247
С	Median Days Active	111	88	70	148	148	97	88	110
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	1 2	2% (36) 4% (92)	1% (2) 5% (7)	0% (0) 1% (2)	3% (16) 6% (30)	2% (8) 5% (25)	1% (3)	2% (2) 4% (4)	1% (3)
	3	8% (171)	7% (10)	2% (5) 3% (7)	13% (64) 11% (58)	9% (44) 13% (62)	4% (12) 4% (12)	12% (13)	4% (9) 9% (21) 11% (26)
	5	11% (225) 13% (271)	9% (13) 14% (21)	13% (36) 14% (38)	14% (71)	13% (62) 14% (66)	7% (21) 10% (31)	8% (9) 10% (11)	13% (33)
	6	13% (279) 13% (262)	14% (21) 14% (22) 16% (24) 11% (17)	14% (38)	14% (71)	14% (66) 13% (65)	12% (38)	16% (17) 13% (14)	11% (28)
	8	11% (225)	11% (17)	14% (38) 14% (38) 16% (44) 15% (42) 8% (22)	11% (54) 7% (38)	11% (55) 10% (49)	14% (43) 12% (37)	9% (10)	11% (28) 13% (32)
	9	8% (165) 6% (125)	8% (12) 5% (7)	0% (22)	6% (29) 5% (26)	5% (24) 5% (25)	14% (43) 5% (15)	8% (9) 11% (12)	11% (26) 7% (18)
	11 12	4% (91) 3% (58)	4% (6) 5% (8)	2% (6) 2% (5)	5% (23)	5% (25) 3% (15)	6% (18) 4% (12)	2% (2) 1% (1)	4% (11) 2% (6)
	13	2% (50) 1% (11)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3)	2% (11) 3% (14)	2% (12)	6% (17) 1% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2)
	15	1% (11)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (2) 1% (3)	1% (3) 1% (3)	1% (4)	0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (2) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.62	0% (0) 6.72	0% (0) 6.78	0% (0) 6.11	0% (0) 6.46	0% (0) 7.49	0% (0) 6.46	0% (0) 6.67
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.10	V.11	0.10	7.10	3.10	0.01
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	13	1	0	3	3	2	1	3
г	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	176	3	11	46	52	45	6	13
	Known Unsheltered	171	8	38	6	51	31	0	37
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded		ļ						
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	349	28	54	73	67	88	13	26
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	157	8	44	70	16	8	7	4
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	294	20	50	73	56	49	16	30
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T T						
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	264	17	28	59	54	52	25	29
	Returned from Inactive	58	3	23	12	4	6	1	9
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active							1	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	322	20	51	71	58	58	26	38
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved		1	21	12	4	5	5	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-			۷۱	12	'	J 	J	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	42	0	3	26	4	7	1	1
	Housed - RRH	26	0	4	9	3	10	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH		ļ	4	ສ 	J 	10		
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	18	4	8	1	3	1	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	136	5	36	48	14	23	7	3
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	28	4	7	9	2	Δ	1	1
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact						т		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	6	1	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	·	ļ			·			
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	38	4	13	10	3	4	2	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	174	9	49	58	17	27	9	5
Z	NET INFLOW	148	11	2	13	41	31	17	33
									Page 2

	All Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S								
Α	_	All Youth	6%	17%	24%	19%	17%	6%	11%
В	Active on BNL	266	16	45	65	51	46	15	28
С	Median Days Active	68	53	71	123	55	55	76	69
D	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
_	0	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1) 8% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	3% (9) 5% (12)	6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2)	8% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	7% (2)
	4	9% (23)	6% (1) 13% (2)	11% (5)	11% (7) 6% (4)	2% (1) 6% (3)	0% (0) 9% (4)	20% (3)	7% (2) 7% (2)
		18% (49) 15% (39)	25% (4) 19% (3) 13% (2)	27% (12) 18% (8)	20% (13) 15% (10)	22% (11) 14% (7)	11% (5) 20% (9)	0% (0) 13% (2)	14% (4) 0% (0)
	8	14% (36) 12% (32)	6% (1)	9% (4) 9% (4)	12% (8) 14% (9)	16% (8) 14% (7)	17% (8) 11% (5)	20% (3) 13% (2)	11% (3) 14% (4)
	10	11% (29) 6% (17)	0% (0) 6% (1)	9% (4) 11% (5)	14% (9) 2% (1)	2% (1) 6% (3)	20% (9) 7% (3)	13% (2) 7% (1)	14% (4) 11% (3)
		2% (6) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2)	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)	7% (2) 0% (0)
	13	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (2)
	15	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.68	6.19	6.64	6.14	6.49	7.35	6.93	7.39
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	endina on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	9	0		2	4	1	1	 0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered					4 		·	
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	7	0	1 	2	I	2	0	1
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	72	2	14 	6	21	15	4	10
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	43	5	19 	8	3	6	1	1
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	23	1	2	5	3	5	1	6
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	53	5	4	11	13	12	3	5
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	0	3	1	1	1	1	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	61	5	7	12	14	13	4	6
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	13	0	3	4	2	4	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	3	0	1	1	0	1 	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	0	2	2	1	3	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	25	0	7	7	3	8	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	1	3	0	0	0	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	2	3	0	0	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	31	0	9	10	3	8	0	1
Z	NET INFLOW	30	5	-2	2	11	5	4	5 Page 3

	All Non-Youth	Ctotowide	Control	Factory	Cointiold	Greater	Greater New	BABANA/	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Literineia
Α		on-Youth	8%	12%	25%	24%	15%	5%	12%
В	Active on BNL	1,812	136	226	446	431	263	91	219
С	Median Days Active	119	95	69	152	161	112	90	120
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
υ	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	2	2% (33) 5% (83)	1% (2) 4% (6) 7% (9)	2% (5)	3% (15) 6% (28)	2% (7) 5% (21)	1% (3) 5% (12)	2% (2) 4% (4)	1% (3) 3% (7)
	3	9% (159) 11% (202)	8% (11)	3% (7) 14% (31)	13% (57) 12% (54)	10% (43) 14% (59)	5% (12) 6% (17)	13% (12) 7% (6)	9% (19) 11% (24)
	5	12% (222) 13% (240)	13% (17) 14% (19)	12% (26) 13% (30)	13% (58)	13% (55) 13% (58)	10% (26) 11% (29)	12% (11) 16% (15)	13% (29) 13% (28)
	7	12% (226) 11% (193)	14% (19) 16% (22) 12% (16)	18% (40) 17% (38)	14% (61) 10% (46) 7% (29)	11% (47) 10% (42)	13% (35) 12% (32)	12% (11) 9% (8)	13% (29) 13% (28) 11% (25) 13% (28)
		8% (136) 6% (108)	9% (12) 4% (6) 4% (6)	8% (18) 8% (17)	4% (20) 6% (25)	5% (23) 5% (22)	13% (34) 5% (12)	8% (7) 12% (11)	10% (22) 7% (15)
	11 12	5% (85) 2 3% (53)	4% (6) 6% (8)	3% (6) 1% (3)	5% (23)	5% (23) 3% (13)	6% (16) 5% (12)	2% (2) 0% (0)	4% (9) 3% (6)
	13	3% (48) 0% (8)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3) 1% (0)	2% (11) 3% (13)	3% (11)	6% (17) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)
	15	1% (11) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (2) 1% (3)	1% (3) 1% (3)	2% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	16	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.61	6.79	0% (0) 6.81	0% (0) 6.11	0% (0) 6.46	0% (0) 7.52	0% (0) 6.38	0% (0) 6.58
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rowe don	anding an their comb	hination of aircumat	2000		
	Refuses CAN Assistance		nts may be counted				2	1	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	1	0	3	3	Z	T	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	167	3	10	44	48	44	5	13
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	164	8	37	4	50	29	0	36
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	277	26	40	67	46	73	9	16
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	114	3	25	62	13	2	6	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	28	4	5	8	5	3	1	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	no nact 20 days							
	Newly Added		10	24	40	11	40	22	24
L	Clients who have never been active before	211	12	24	48	41	40		24
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	50	3	20	11	3	5	0	8
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	261	15	44	59	44	45	22	32
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		n the next 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	37	1	18	8	2	1	5	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		·				l 		
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	39	0	2	25	<u>4</u>	6 	1	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	18	0	2	7	2	7	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	17	4	7	1	3	1	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	111	5	29	41	11	15	7	3
T	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	23	4	6	6	2	4	1	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	5	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	32	4	11	7	3	4	2	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	143	9	40	48	14	19	9	4
Z	NET INFLOW	118	6	4	11	30	26	13	28 Page 4

	All Families	Oteterride	0	Factoria	Fatheria	Greater	Greater New	5456147	Waterbury/
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
Α	_	Families	9%	18%	23%	18%	16%	7%	10%
В	Active on BNL	273	24	50	62	50	43	18	26
С	Median Days Active	83	93	89	104	117	62	37	51
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (1) 2% (5)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)
		4% (10) 10% (26)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 12% (6)	8% (5)	6% (3) 6% (3)	0% (0) 12% (5)	6% (1) 17% (3)	4% (1) 8% (2)
	5	14% (39)	21% (5)	20% (10)	10% (6) 13% (8)	10% (5)	12% (5)	6% (1)	19% (5)
		15% (40) 13% (36)	21% (5) 21% (5)	14% (7) 14% (7)	13% (8) 10% (6) 8% (5)	14% (7) 6% (3)	21% (9) 12% (5)	17% (3) 28% (5)	4% (1) 19% (5)
		10% (28) 11% (30)	13% (3) 8% (2)	10% (5) 14% (7)	8% (5) 8% (5)	14% (7) 10% (5)	14% (6) 7% (3)	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% (1) 27% (7)
		6% (17) 5% (15)	4% (1) 4% (1)	10% (5) 4% (2)	8% (5) 5% (3) 10% (6)	6% (3) 4% (2)	5% (2) 5% (2) 2% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	8% (2) 4% (1)
	12	3% (9) 4% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1)	14% (7) 8% (4)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (3) 3% (2)	0% (0)	7% (3) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	16	0% (1)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	7.34	6.63	7.10	7.26	8.28	7.42	7.11	6.92
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			I in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumst	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 11	0	0	5	4	0 0	 1	 1
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	 0	0	0	 0	 0		' 0	 0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	63	1	6	 17	 14	 19	2	 4
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	38	0	23	10	 1	2	0	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	62	4	23	7	' 7	 10	3	 8
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	02	4	23	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10	<u> </u>	U
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	56	6	5	9	10	11	7	8
,,	Returned from Inactive	5	0	2	1	0	0	0	2
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	61	6	7	10	10	11	7	10
- 1	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da				. •				. •
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	0	3	3	2	3	1	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	13	0	1	11	0	1	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	0	1	3	0	6	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	1	0	0	3	1	1	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	42	1	5	17	5	11	2	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	45	1 5	5	19	5	12	2	1
Z	NET INFLOW	16	5	2	-9	5	-1	5	9

	All Individuals	Ctotowide	Control	Factory	Fairfield	Greater	Greater New	NANA)A/	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	rairileid	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Literifield
Α		dividuals	7%	12%	25%	24%	15%	5%	12%
В	Active on BNL	1,805	128	221	449	432	266	88	221
С	Median Days Active	117	88	61	154	156	104	108	117
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	2	2% (35) 5% (87)	0% (0) 2% (2) 5% (6)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (5)	4% (16) 6% (27)	2% (8) 6% (25)	1% (3) 4% (11)	2% (2) 5% (4)	1% (2) 4% (9)
	3	9% (161)	I 8% (10)	3% (7)	13% (59) 12% (52)	9% (41) 14% (59)	5% (12)	14% (12)	9% (20) 11% (24)
	5	11% (199) 13% (232)	9% (12) 13% (16)	14% (30) 13% (28)	14% (63)	14% (59) 14% (61)	6% (16) 10% (26) 11% (29)	7% (6) 11% (10)	11% (24) 13% (28)
	6	13% (239) 13% (226)	13% (17) 15% (19) 11% (14)	13% (28) 14% (31) 17% (37) 17% (37)	14% (63)	14% (61) 13% (58) 12% (52) 10% (42)	11% (29) 14% (38)	16% (14)	13% (28) 12% (27) 10% (23)
	8	11% (197)	11% (14)	17% (37)	11% (48) 7% (33)	10% (42)	14% (38) 12% (31)	10% (9) 10% (9)	10% (23) 14% (31)
	9	7% (135) 6% (108)	8% (10) 5% (6) 4% (5)	7% (15) 8% (17)	5% (24) 5% (23)	4% (19) 5% (22)	15% (40) 5% (13)	9% (8) 13% (11)	9% (19) 7% (16)
	11	4% (76) 3% (49)	4% (5) 6% (8)	2% (4) 2% (5)	4% (17)	5% (23) 2% (8)	6% (16) 4% (11)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (10) 3% (6)
	13	2% (40)	1% (1)	1% (3)	2% (10)	2% (8)	5% (14)	1% (1)	1% (2)
	14 15	0% (9) 0% (8)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (10) 2% (11) 0% (0) 0% (2)	1% (3) 1% (3)	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.50	6.74 orde)	6.71	5.96	6.25	7.50	6.33	6.64
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	13	1	0	3	3	2	1	3
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	 	U 	ა 	ა 	Z	 	ى
G	Chronic (Verified)	165	3	11	41	48	45	5	12
U	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	474							07
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	171	8	38	6	51	31	0	37
	Matched/Awarded	286	27	48	56	53	69	11	22
'	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	119	8	21	60	15	6	7	2
V	Youth at Time of Assessment	232	16	27	66	49	39	13	22
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added		11	23	50	11	41	18	21
L	Clients who have never been active before	208		کی	JU	44	41	10	21
М	Returned from Inactive	53	3	21	11	4	6	1	7
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	261	14	44	61	48	47	19	28
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			77	<u> </u>	70	I	10	20
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	37	1	18	9	2	2	4	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		·					· 	
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	29	0	2	15	4	6	1	1
	Housed - RRH	16	0	3	6	3	4	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH						т 		
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	12	3	8	1	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	94	4	31	31	9	12	5	2
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	26	4	7	8	2	3	1	1
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	<u></u>	'		·	<u></u>	J	I	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	I 	U	U	U	I	U	U	U
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
X	Other Outflow subtotal	35	4	13	8	3	3	2	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	129	8	44	39	12	15	7	4
Z	NET INFLOW	132	6	0	22	36	32	12	24
				-			-		Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	Oteterride	Oraștinal	Factoria	F-1-C-14	Greater	Greater New	BARRIA/	Waterbury/
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Litchfield
Α	Families (No		9%	15%	25%	20%	16%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	220	20	32	56	43	35	15	19
С	Median Days Active	89	109	85	110	123	60	39	41
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (1) 2% (5)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)
	3	4% (9) 9% (20)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 13% (4)	7% (4) 11% (6)	7% (3) 5% (2)	0% (0) 9% (3)	7% (1) 13% (2)	5% (1) 11% (2)
	5	13% (29) 14% (31)	20% (4)	13% (4)	13% (7) 11% (6)	12% (5)	14% (5) 20% (7)	7% (1)	16% (3)
	7	13% (29)	20% (4) 25% (5)	13% (4) 13% (4) 16% (5)	9% (5) 7% (4)	14% (6) 7% (3) 12% (5)	11% (4)	20% (3) 20% (3)	5% (1) 21% (4)
	9	9% (20) 12% (27)	10% (2) 10% (2)	9% (3) 19% (6)	7% (4) 9% (5) 5% (3)	12% (5)	14% (5) 6% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 32% (6) 5% (1)
	10	5% (12) 6% (13)	10% (2) 0% (0) 5% (1)	9% (3) 6% (2)	5% (3) 11% (6)	7% (3) 2% (1)	3% (1) 6% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)
	12	3% (7) 5% (10)	N% (N)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 5% (3)	12% (5) 9% (4)	3% (1) 9% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
F	17	0% (0)	0% (U) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
⊏	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.45 Lactive rec	6.50	7.50	7.41	8.21	7.57	7.33	6.58
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	0	4	2	0	0	1
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	54	1	5	16	13	16	1	2
'	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	20	0	 7	 9	 1	1	0	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	9	0	 5	1	 0	 2	0	1
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	3	0		1	0			'
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	45	3	5	9	7	9	6	6
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1 -
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	48	3	6	10	7	9	6	7
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	8	0	1	2	2	1	1	1
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	13	0	 1	11	0	 1	0	0
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	8	0	0	3	0	5	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	6	1	 0	0	3	 1	 1	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	35	1	2	16	<u> </u>		2	1
ა	Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	0	10		1	0	,
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution		0			0	 		0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	38	1	2	18	5	9	2	1
Z	NET INFLOW	10	2	4	-8	2	0	4	6 Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S		Central		rairileiu	Hartioru	naven	IVIIVIVV	Littimela
Δ	•	s (Youth)	8%	34%	11%	13%	15%	6%	13%
В	Active on BNL	53	4	18	6	7	8	3	7
С	Median Days Active	70	20	116	54	34	101	35	60
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	2% (1) 11% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 11% (2)	17% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 14% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	5	19% (10)	25% (1)	33% (6) 17% (3)	17% (1)	0% (0)	25% (2) 0% (0) 25% (2)	0% (0)	29% (2) 0% (0)
	6 7	17% (9) 13% (7)	25% (1) 25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1)	11% (2)	33% (2) 17% (1) 17% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0)	13% (1)	0% (0) 67% (2)	0% (0) 14% (1) 14% (1)
	8 9	15% (8) 6% (3)	25% (1) 0% (0)	11% (2) 6% (1)	17% (1) 0% (0)	29% (2) 0% (0)	13% (1) 13% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1)
		9% (5) 4% (2)	0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0)	11% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 14% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 14% (1)
	12	4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	15 <u> </u>	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.92	7.25	6.39	5.83	8.71	6.75	6.00	7.86
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	0	1 	2	0	1 	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Matched/Awarded	9	0	 1	 1	1	3	1	2
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	18	0	16	1	0	 1	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months	6	1	0	 1	0	2	0	2
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
1	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	11	3	0	0	3	2	1	2
-	Returned from Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	13	3	1	0			1	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		<u> </u>	1	U	3	2	ı	3
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	2	1	0	2	0	0
	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	2	0	 1	0	0	 1	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	7	0	3	1	0	3	0	0
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact		•	-	0	-	<u>3</u>	-	•
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	0	3	1	0	3	0	0
Z	NET INFLOW	6	3	-2	-1	3	-1	1	3 Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S								
Α	Individual		6%	13%	28%	21%	18%	6%	10%
В	Active on BNL	213	12	27	59	44	38	12	21
С	Median Days Active	67	57	43	123	56	51	86	71
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (3) 4% (9)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 3% (2)	2% (1) 9% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 10% (2)
	3	5% (11)	8% (1)	0% (0)	10% (6) 7% (4)	2% (1)	0% (0)	8% (1)	10% (2) 10% (2)
	5	8% (17) 18% (39)	17% (2) 25% (3) 17% (2)	11% (3) 22% (6)	20% (12)	5% (2) 25% (11)	5% (2) 13% (5) 18% (7)	17% (2) 0% (0)	10% (2)
	6	14% (30) 14% (29)	17% (2) 17% (2)	22% (6) 19% (5) 7% (2)	14% (8) 12% (7)	14% (6) 18% (8)	18% (7) 18% (7)	17% (2) 8% (1)	0% (0) 10% (2)
	8	11% (24) 12% (26)	17% (2) 0% (0)	7% (2)	12% (7) 14% (8)	18% (8) 11% (5) 2% (1)	11% (4)	17% (2) 17% (2)	14% (3) 14% (3)
	10	6% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (3) 11% (3)	15% (9) 2% (1) 0% (0)	7% (3)	21% (8) 5% (2)	8% (1)	10% (2)
	11	2% (4) 1% (3)	N% (N)	0% (0) 7% (2)	0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	5% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)
	13	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (2)
	15	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.62	0% (0) 5.83	0% (0) 6.81	0% (0) 6.17	0% (0) 6.14	0% (0) 7.47	0% (0) 7.17	0% (0) 7.24
	Status/Conditions Followed (among								
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Chronic (Verified)	5	0	1	1	2	1	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered				·				
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	7	0	1 	2	1 	2	0	
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	63	2	13	5	20	12	3	8
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	25	5	3	7	3	5	1	1
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	17	0	2	4	3	3	1	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		_						_
L	Clients who have never been active before	42	2	4	11	10	10	2	3
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	2	1	1	1	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	48	2	6	12	11	11	3	3
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	0	1	3	2	2	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	1	2	1	2	0	0
	Housed - All Other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	18	0	4	6	3	5	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	1	3	0	0	0	1
,,	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	2	3		0	0	1
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	24	0	6	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	0	1
7	NET INFLOW	24	2	0	3	8	6	3	2
-	HET HAT EOW	47		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S	tatewide			24%	24%			
Α	Individuals (No		7%	12%			14%	5%	13%
В	Active on BNL	1,592	116	194	390	388	228	76	200
С	Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (am	123	90	64	160	169	118	122	121
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		·						
	0	0% (3) 2% (32)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 4% (15)	0% (0) 2% (7)	0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (0) 3% (2) 5% (4)	1% (1) 1% (2)
	3	5% (78) 9% (150)	4% (5) 8% (9)	3% (5) 4% (7)	6% (25) 14% (53) 12% (48)	5% (21) 10% (40)	5% (11) 5% (12)	14% (11)	4% (7) 9% (18)
	5	11% (182) 12% (193)	9% (10)	14% (27)	12% (48) 13% (51)	10% (40) 15% (57) 13% (50)	6% (14)	5% (4) 13% (10) 16% (12)	11% (22) 13% (26) 14% (27)
	6	13% (209) 12% (197)	11% (13) 13% (15) 15% (17)	11% (22) 13% (26) 18% (35)	13% (51) 14% (55) 11% (41)	13% (50) 13% (52) 11% (44)	9% (21) 10% (22) 14% (31)	16% (12) 11% (8)	14% (27) 11% (21)
		11% (173) 7% (109)	15% (17) 12% (14)	18% (35) 18% (35) 6% (12)	11% (41) 6% (25)	11% (44) 10% (37) 5% (18)	14% (31) 12% (27) 14% (32)	9% (7) 8% (6)	11% (21) 14% (28) 8% (16)
	10	6% (96) 5% (72)	9% (10) 5% (6) 4% (5)	7% (14) 2% (4)	4% (15) 6% (22)	5% (19)	5% (11)	13% (10) 1% (1)	7% (14)
	11 12 12	3% (46) 2% (38)	7% (8) 1% (1)	2% (4) 2% (3) 2% (3)	4% (17) 3% (10) 3% (10)	6% (22) 2% (8)	6% (14) 5% (11)	0% (0)	5% (9) 3% (6)
	13	0% (6)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (8) 2% (7) 1% (3)	6% (14) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (1)
	15 -	1% (8) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
إ	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.49 active rec	6.84 ords)	6.69	5.92	6.27	7.51	6.20	6.58
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	1	0	3	3	2	1	3
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	160	3	10	40	46	44	5	12
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	164	8	37	4	50	29	0	36
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	223	25	35	51	33	57	8	14
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	94	3	18	53	12	1	6	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	4	0	7	5	1	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.							
	Newly Added	166	9	19	39	34	31	16	18
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	47	3	 19	10	3	5	0	7
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active								7
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	213 avs	12	38	49	37	36	16	25
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	29	1	17	6	0	0	4	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	26	0	1	14	4	5	1	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	0	2	4	2	2	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	3	7	1	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	76	4	27	25	6	7	5	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	21	4	6	5	2	3	1	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	29	4	11	5	3	3	2	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	105	8	38	30	9	10	7	3
Z	NET INFLOW	108	4	0	19	28	26	9	22

Ī	Otatanida DNI	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals	
	Statewide BNL	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)	
	Perce	ntage of		87%		87%				77%	
Α	Statew	ride BNL	13%		13%		11%	3%	10%		
В	Active on BNL	2,078	266	1,812	273	1,805	220	53	213	1,592	
c_	Median Days Active	111	68	119	83	117	89	70	67	123	
	ssessment Score Distribution (amount of all active records having each assessment score.		records)								
DICC	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (3)	
	2	2% (36) 4% (92)	1% (3) 3% (9)	2% (33) 5% (83) 9% (159)	0% (1) 0% (1) 2% (5) 4% (10) 10% (26)	2% (35) 5% (87)	0% (1) 2% (5) 4% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (3) 4% (9)	0% (3) 2% (32) 5% (78)	
		8% (171) 11% (225)	5% (12) 9% (23)	11% (202)	4% (10) 10% (26)	9% (161) 11% (199)	4% (9) 9% (20)	11% (6)	5% (11) 8% (17)	9% (150) 11% (182)	
		13% (271) 13% (279)	18% (49) 15% (39)	12% (222) 13% (240) 12% (226)	14% (39)	13% (232) 13% (239)	13% (29) 14% (31)	19% (10) 17% (9) 13% (7)	18% (39) 14% (30) 14% (29)	12% (193) 13% (209)	
	7	13% (262) 11% (225)	5% (12) 9% (23) 18% (49) 15% (39) 14% (36) 12% (32)	12% (226) 11% (193)	15% (40) 13% (36) 10% (28)	13% (226) 11% (197)	9% (20) 13% (29) 14% (31) 13% (29) 9% (20)	13% (7) 15% (8)	14% (29) 11% (24)	12% (197) 11% (173)	
	9	8% (165) 6% (125)	11% (29) 6% (17)	11% (193) 8% (136) 6% (108)	11% (30) 6% (17)	7% (135) 6% (108)	12% (27) 5% (12)	6% (3) 9% (5)	12% (26) 6% (12)	7% (109) 6% (96)	
	11	4% (91) 3% (58)	2% (6) 2% (5)	5% (85) 3% (53)	11% (30) 6% (17) 5% (15) 3% (9)	4% (76) 3% (49)	6% (13) 3% (7)	4% (2)	2% (4) 1% (3)	5% (72) 3% (46)	
	13	2% (50)	1% (2) 1% (3)	5% (85) 3% (53) 3% (48) 0% (8)	4% (10) 1% (2)	2% (40)	5% (10) 1% (2)	4% (2) 4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (3)	2% (38) 0% (6)	
	15	1% (11) 1% (11)	0% (0) 0% (1)	1% (11)	1% (3)	0% (8)	1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (8)	
	17	0% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (11) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (40) 0% (9) 0% (8) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (8) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	
E	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.62	0% (0) 6.68	0% (0) 6.61	0% (0) 7.34	0% (0) 6.50	0% (0) 7.45	0% (0) 6.92	0% (0) 6.62	0% (0) 6.49	
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.										
Ci	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0	12	
F <u>C</u>	lients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	13	0	13	0	13	0	0	0	13	
G (Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	176	9	167	11	165	7	4	5	160	
н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	171	7	164	0	171	0	0	7	164	
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	349	72	277	63	286	54	9	63	223	
J ,	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	157	43	114	38	119	20	18	25	94	
KA	Youth at Time of Assessment ctive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	294	266	28	62	232	9	53	213	19	
	Iflow to Active List: Past 30 Days ients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.									
	Newly Added	264	53	211	56	208	45	11	42	166	
Ы	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	58	8	50	5	 53	3	2	6	47	
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	322	61	261	61	261	48	13	48	213	
0	utflow from Active List: Past 30 Da										
CI	ients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	50	13	37	13	37	8	5	8	29	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	42	3	39	13	29	13	0	3	26	
	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	26	8	18	10	16	8	2	6	10	
~	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	18	1	17	6	12	6	0	1	11	
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	136	25	111	42	94	35	7	18	76	
T C	Inactive - Unable to Contact	28	5	23	2	26	2	0	5	21	
	lients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	1	6	1	6	1	0	1	5	
v	Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
x C	lients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	38	6	32	3	35	3	0	6	29	
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	174	31	143	45	129	38	7	24	105	
Z	NET INFLOW	148	30	118	16	132	10	6	24	108 Page 11	

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)		
	Perce	entage of	Toutif	89%	1 aiiiiies	84%	(Non-Toutil)	(Touti)	(Touil)	76%		
Α		tral CAN	11%		16%		13%	3%	8%			
В	Active on BNL	152	16	136	24	128	20	4	12	116		
С	Median Days Active	88	53	95	93	88	109	20	57	90		
_	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)									
U	O	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
	1	1% (2) 5% (7)	0% (0) 6% (1)	1% (2) 4% (6)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 2% (2) 5% (6)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1) 8% (1)	2% (2) 4% (5)		
	3	7% (10) 9% (13)	6% (1) 13% (2)	7% (9) 8% (11)	0% (0) 4% (1)	8% (10) 9% (12)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 17% (2)	2% (2) 4% (5) 8% (9) 9% (10)		
	5	14% (21)	25% (4) 19% (3)		0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 21% (5) 21% (5) 21% (5) 13% (3) 8% (2) 4% (1) 4% (1) 0% (0)	13% (16) 13% (17) 15% (19) 11% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1) 20% (4) 22% (4) 25% (5) 10% (2) 10% (2) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1)	25% (3) 17% (2)	11% (13) 13% (15)		
	7	14% (22) 16% (24)	13% (2) 6% (1)	16% (22)	21% (5)	15% (17)	25% (5)	0% (0)	17% (2)	15% (17)		
	9	11% (17) 8% (12)	0% (0)	13% (17) 14% (19) 16% (22) 12% (16) 9% (12) 4% (6) 4% (6)	13% (3) 8% (2)	11% (14) 8% (10)	10% (2) 10% (2)	25% (1) 25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (14) 9% (10)		
		5% (7) 4% (6)	6% (1) 0% (0)	4% (6) 4% (6)	4% (1) 4% (1)	8% (10) 5% (6) 4% (5)	0% (0) 5% (1)	25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (10) 5% (6) 4% (5)		
	12	5% (8) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (8) 1% (1)	0% (0)	6% (8) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (8) 1% (1) 1% (1)		
	14	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)		
	16	0% (0) 1% (1)	6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.72	6.19	6.79	6.63	6.74	6.50	7.25	5.83	6.84		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 3	0	 3	0	 3	0	0	0	<u>·</u> 3		
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	8	0	8	0	 8	0	0	0	8		
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	28	2	26	1	27	1	0	2	25		
'	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	8	5	3	0	8	0	0	5	3		
ĸ	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	16	4	4	16	0	4	12	4		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	ia nact 30 dave										
	Newly Added	17	5	12	6	11	3	3	2	9		
L	Clients who have never been active before	17	J	12		11	J	<u> </u>				
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	5	15	6	14	3	3	2	12		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		a the post 20 d	10								
	Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,		0	4		0	^	4		
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1		
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
R	Housed - All Other	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3		
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4		
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8		
Z	NET INFLOW	11	5	6	5	6	2	3	2	4 Page 12		

1	.,_,_,								au.anuerson@ci.g	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Percentage of			83%		82%	()	(2 2 2)	(222)	72%
А		tern CAN	17%		18%		12%	7%	10%	
В	Active on BNL	271	45	226	50	221	32	18	27	194
С	Median Days Active	70	71	69	89	61	85	116	43	64
	Assessment Score Distribution (am					<u> </u>				
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score		,							
		0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 13% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)
	2	2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (5) 3% (7)	0% (0)	2% (5) 3% (7)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (5)
	4	3% (7) 13% (36)	0% (0) 11% (5)	14% (31)	0% (0) 12% (6)	3% (7) 14% (30)	13% (4)	0% (0) 11% (2)	11% (3)	4% (7) 14% (27)
	5	14% (38) 14% (38)	27% (12)	12% (26) 13% (30)	20% (10) 14% (7)	14% (30) 13% (28) 14% (31)	13% (4) 13% (4)	33% (6) 17% (3)	22% (6) 19% (5)	11% (22) 13% (26)
	7	16% (44)	18% (8) 9% (4)	18% (40)	14% (7) 10% (5)	17% (37)	16% (5)	11% (2)	7% (2) 7% (2)	14% (27) 11% (22) 13% (26) 18% (35) 18% (35)
	9	15% (42) 8% (22)	9% (4) 9% (4)	18% (40) 17% (38) 8% (18) 8% (17)	10% (5) 14% (7) 10% (5)	17% (37) 7% (15) 8% (17)	13% (4) 13% (4) 13% (4) 16% (5) 9% (3) 19% (6) 9% (3) 6% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 6% (1) 11% (2)	11% (3)	6% (12) 7% (14)
	10	8% (22) 2% (6)	11% (5) 0% (0)	8% (17) 3% (6)	10% (5) 4% (2)	8% (17) 2% (4)	9% (3) 6% (2)	11% (2) 0% (0)	11% (3) 0% (0)	7% (14) 2% (4)
	12	2% (5)	4% (2)	3% (6) 1% (3)	4% (2) 0% (0)	2% (4) 2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (2)	2% (4) 2% (3)
	14	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 0% (0)
		0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.78	6.64	6.81	7.10	6.71	7.50	6.39	6.81	6.69
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	U			<u> </u>			
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	11	1	10	0	11	0	0	1	10
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	38	1	37	0	38	0	0	1	37
-	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	54	14	40	6	48	5	1	13	35
.I	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	44	19	25	23	21	7	16	3	18
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	50	45	5	23	27	5	18	27	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	28	4	24	5	23	5	0	4	19
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	23	3	20	2	21	1	1	2	19
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	51	7	44	7	44	6	1	6	38
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	21	3	18	3	18	1	2	1	17
,	Housed - PSH	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	1
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH		·		·			U 	 	·
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	4	2	2	1 	3	0	<u> </u>	1 	2
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	1	7	0	8	0	0	1	7
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	36	7	29	5	31	2	3	4	27
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	7	1	6	0	7	0	0	1	6
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	13	2	11	0	13	0	0	2	11
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	49	9	40	5	44	2	3	6	38
Z	NET INFLOW	2	-2	4	2	0	4	-2	0	0
										Page 13

	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)		
	Perce	entage of		87%		88%	()	()	())	76%		
Α	Fairfield Cou	•	13%		12%		11%	1%	12%			
В	Active on BNL	511	65	446	62	449	56	6	59	390		
С	Median Days Active	148	123	152	104	154	110	54	123	160		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)									
	0	0% (1) 3% (16)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (3)	0% (1) 4% (16)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 3% (2)	0% (1)		
	2	6% (30) 13% (64)	3% (2) 11% (7)	3% (15) 6% (28)	5% (3)	6% (27)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (3) 7% (4) 11% (6)	0% (0) 17% (1)	3% (2) 10% (6)	4% (15) 6% (25) 14% (53) 12% (48)		
	4	11% (58) 14% (71)	6% (4)	13% (57) 12% (54)	8% (5) 10% (6)	13% (59) 12% (52)	11% (6) 13% (7)	0% (0)	7% (4)	12% (48)		
	6	14% (71) 14% (71) 11% (54)	20% (13) 15% (10)	13% (58) 14% (61)	13% (8) 13% (8) 13% (8) 10% (6) 8% (5)	14% (63) 14% (63)	11% (6)	17% (1) 33% (2)	20% (12) 14% (8)	13% (51) 14% (55) 11% (41)		
	8	7% (38) 6% (29)	12% (8) 14% (9)	7% (29)	8% (5) 8% (5)	11% (48) 7% (33) 5% (24)	7% (4)	17% (1) 17% (1)	12% (7) 14% (8)	6% (25)		
	10	5% (26) 5% (23)	14% (9) 2% (1) 0% (0)	17% (36) 10% (46) 7% (29) 4% (20) 6% (25) 5% (23)	8% (5) 5% (3)	5% (24) 5% (23) 4% (17)	5% (3) 11% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	15% (9) 2% (1) 0% (0)	4% (15) 6% (22) 4% (17)		
	12	2% (11) 3% (14)	0% (0) 2% (1)	2% (11) 3% (13) 0% (2)	10% (6) 2% (1) 5% (3) 3% (2)	2% (10) 2% (11)	11% (6) 9% (5) 7% (4) 9% (5) 5% (3) 11% (6) 2% (1) 5% (3) 4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (10)		
	14 🛚	0% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 1% (3)	3% (2)	2% (10) 2% (11) 0% (0) 0% (2)	4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (10) 3% (10) 0% (0) 1% (2)		
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
Е		0% (0) 6.11	0% (0) 6.14	0% (0) 6.11	0% (0) 7.26	0% (0) 5.96	0% (0) 7.41	0% (0) 5.83	0% (0) 0% (17	0% (0) 5.92		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)											
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance											
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	46	2	44	5	41	4	1	1	40		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4		
	Matched/Awarded	73	6	67	17	56	16	1	5	51		
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	70	8	62	10	60	9	1	7	53		
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	73	65	8	7	66	 1	6	 59	7		
- 1	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	59	11	48	9	50	9	0	11	39		
М	Returned from Inactive	12	1	11	1	11	1	0	1	10		
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	71	12	59	10	61	10	0	12	49		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•										
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved							4				
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	12	4	8	3	9	2	1	3	6		
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	26	1	25	11	15	11	0	1	14		
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	2	7	3	6	3	0	2	4		
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	48	7	41	17	31	16	1	6	25		
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	3	6	1	8	1	0	3	5		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	10	3	7	2	8	2	0	3	5		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	58	10	48	19	39	18	1	9	30		
Z	NET INFLOW	13	2	11	-9	22	-8	-1	3	19		

	Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals			
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 90%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
	Percentage of Greater Hartford CAN		11%	3370	10%	3070	9%	1%	9%	3070		
В	Active on BNL	482	51	431	50	432	43	7	44	388		
С	Median Days Active	148	55	161	117	156	123	34	56	169		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			101	111	100	120	<u> </u>		100		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		<u> </u>									
		0% (0) 2% (8)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (7) 5% (21)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (3) 5% (2) 12% (5) 14% (6) 7% (3) 12% (5) 12% (5) 12% (5) 12% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 9% (4) 2% (1) 5% (2)	0% (0) 2% (7)		
	2	5% (25) 9% (44)	8% (4) 2% (1)	5% (21)	0% (0) 6% (3)	6% (25) 9% (41) 14% (59)	0% (0) 7% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (4)	5% (21)		
	4	13% (62)	6% (3)	10% (43) 14% (59)	6% (3) 6% (3)	14% (59)	5% (2)	14% (1)	5% (2)	10% (40) 15% (57)		
		14% (66) 13% (65)	22% (11) 14% (7)	13% (55) 13% (58)	10% (5) 14% (7)	14% (61) 13% (58)	12% (5) 14% (6)	0% (0) 14% (1)	25% (11) 14% (6)	13% (50) 13% (52)		
		11% (55) 10% (49)	16% (8) 14% (7)	11% (47) 10% (42)	0 % (5) 10% (5) 14% (7) 6% (3) 14% (7) 10% (5) 6% (3)	14% (61) 13% (58) 12% (52) 10% (42)	7% (3) 12% (5)	14% (1) 0% (0) 29% (2)	18% (8) 11% (5)	11% (44) 10% (37)		
	9	5% (24) 5% (25)	2% (1) 6% (3)	5% (23) 5% (22)	10% (5)	4% (19) 5% (22) 5% (23)	12% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 7% (3) 2% (1)	5% (18) 5% (19)		
	11	5% (25)	4% (2)	5% (23) 5% (22) 5% (23) 3% (13) 3% (11)	4% (2)	5% (23)	2% (1)	14% (1)	2% (1)	6% (22)		
	13	3% (15) 2% (12)	4% (2) 2% (1)	3% (13) 3% (11)	14% (7) 8% (4) 0% (0)	2% (8) 2% (8) 1% (3) 1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (5) 9% (4)	29% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (8) 2% (7) 1% (3) 1% (3)		
	14	1% (3) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3)		
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	U% (U)		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
E	Average Assessment Score	6.46	6.49	6.46	8.28	6.25	8.21	8.71	6.14	6.27		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)											
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	52	4	48	4	48	2	2	2	46		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	51	1	50	0	51	0	0	1	50		
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	67	21	46	14	53	13	1	20	33		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	3	13	1	15	1	0	3	12		
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	56	51	5	7	49	0	7	44	5		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	54	13	41	10	44	7	3	10	34		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	58	14	44	10	48	7	3	11	37		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da											
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0		
	Housed - PSH	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4		
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	3	0	3	3	0	3	0 0	 0	0		
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	14	3	11	5	9	5	0	3	6		
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2		
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution											
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	17	3	14	5	12	5	0	3	9		
Z	NET INFLOW	41	11	30	5	36	2	3	8	28 Page 15		

4/2/2019 FYI BNL REPORT				A.11		- m		eau.anderson@ct.	,
Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of		85%		86%	(**************************************	(• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(100111)	74%
Greater New Ha	•	15%		14%		11%	3%	12%	
B Active on BNL	309	46	263	43	266	35	8	38	228
c Median Days Active	97	55	112	62	104	60	101	51	118
Assessment Score Distribution (an		records)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment scor	e. 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
2	- 1% (3) - 4% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 5% (12)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (2) 0% (0) 25% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (3) 5% (11)
3	- 4% (12) - 7% (21)	0% (0) 9% (4)	5% (12) 6% (17)	0% (0)	4% (11) 5% (12) 6% (16)	0% (0) 9% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (2)	5% (12) 6% (14) 9% (21)
5	- 10% (31)	11% (5) 20% (9)	10% (26) 11% (29)	12% (5) 12% (5) 21% (9)	10% (26) 11% (29)	14% (5)	0% (0)	13% (5) 18% (7)	9% (21) 10% (22)
7	- 12% (38) - 14% (43)	17% (8) 11% (5)	13% (35)	12% (5)	14% (38)	11% (4)	13% (1)	18% (7)	14% (31) 12% (27)
8	- 12% (37) - 14% (43)	20% (9)	13% (35) 12% (32) 13% (34)	12% (5) 14% (6) 7% (3)	12% (31) 15% (40)	14% (5) 6% (2)	13% (1) 13% (1) 13% (1)	11% (4) 21% (8)	14% (32)
10	- 5% (15) - 6% (18)	7% (3) 4% (2)	5% (12) 6% (16)	5% (2) 5% (2)	11% (28) 14% (38) 12% (31) 15% (40) 5% (13) 6% (16) 4% (11) 5% (14)	3% (1) 6% (2)	13% (1) 0% (0)	5% (2) 5% (2)	5% (11) 6% (14)
12	- 4% (12) - 6% (17)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (12) 6% (17)	2% (1) 7% (3)	4% (11) 5% (14)	3% (1) 9% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (11) 6% (14)
14 15	- 1% (2) - 1% (4)	2% (1)	0% (1) 2% (4)	0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (2) 1% (3) 0% (0)	14% (5) 20% (7) 11% (4) 14% (5) 6% (2) 3% (1) 6% (2) 3% (1) 9% (2) 3% (1) 9% (3) 0% (0) 3% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (3)
16	- 0% (0) - 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17 18 E Average Assessment Score	- 0% (0) - 0% (0) - 7.49	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.35	0% (0) 7.52	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.42	0% (0) 7.50	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.57	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.75	0% (0) 7.47	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.51
Status/Conditions Followed (amon			1.02	1.42	1.00	1.01	0.73	1.41	7.01
Clients counted in each row below are currently active of	the BNL, and clie		ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	45	1	44	0	45	0	0	1	44
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	31	2	29	0	31	0	0	2	29
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	88	15	73	19	69	16	3	12	57
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	6	2	2	6	1	1	5	1
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	49	46	3	10	39	2	8	38	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in a	he past 30 days.								
Newly Added	52	12	40	11	41	9	2	10	31
Returned from Inactive	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	58	13	45	11	47	9	2	11	36
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D		,,,	70	11	71	<u> </u>		11	30
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ind	active on the BNL i	n the past 30 da	/S.						
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	4	1	3	2	1	2	2	0
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	1	6	1	6	1	0	1	5
Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	3	7	6	4	5	1	2	2
Housed - All Other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	23	8	15	11	12	8	3	5	7
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	27	8	19	12	15	9	3	5	10
z NET INFLOW	31	5	26	-1	32	0	-1	6	26 Page 16

	MMW CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)		
	Perce	entage of	routi	86%	T diffillioo	83%	(11011 1 oddi)	(Todai)	(Tourn)	72%		
Α		MW CAN	14%		17%		14%	3%	11%			
В	Active on BNL	106	15	91	18	88	15	3	12	76		
С	Median Days Active	88	76	90	37	108	39	35	86	122		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)									
٦	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
		2% (2) 4% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	4% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2) 5% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1) 13% (2) 7% (1) 20% (3) 20% (3) 7% (1) 7% (1) 7% (1) 7% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (2) 5% (4)		
	3	12% (13) 8% (9)	7% (1) 20% (3)	13% (12) 7% (6)	6% (1) 17% (3)	14% (12) 7% (6)	7% (1) 13% (2)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 8% (1) 17% (2)	14% (11) 5% (4)		
	5	10% (11) 16% (17)	0% (0) 13% (2)	12% (11) 16% (15)	6% (1) 17% (3)	11% (10) 16% (14)	7% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 17% (2)	13% (10) 16% (12)		
	7	13% (14)	20% (3) 13% (2)	12% (11) 9% (8)	28% (5) 6% (1)	10% (14) 10% (9) 10% (9)	20% (3)	67% (2)	8% (1) 17% (2)	11% (8)		
	9	9% (10) 8% (9)	13% (2) 13% (2) 7% (1)	9% (8) 8% (7) 12% (11)	6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1)	9% (8) 13% (11)	7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (2) 17% (2)	11% (8) 9% (7) 8% (6) 13% (10)		
	10	11% (12) 2% (2)	0% (0)	2% (2)	6% (1)	1% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1)		
	12	1% (1) 1% (1)	7% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)		
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0) 67% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (2) 17% (2) 8% (1) 0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
	16	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
_	18	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)		0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
Ė	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.46 Lactive rec	6.93 ords)	6.38	7.11	6.33	7.33	6.00	7.17	6.20		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	1	5	1	5	0	1	0	5		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	13	4	9	2	11	1	1	3	8		
.1	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	1	6	0	7	0	0	1	6		
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	15	1	3	13	0	3	12	1		
Ī	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	,										
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the											
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	25	3	22	7	18	6	1	2	16		
,,	Returned from Inactive	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	26	4	22	7	19	6	1	3	16		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da				<u>, </u>			•	_	.,,		
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4		
J	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	1	^		0	4			^	4		
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH		0	1	0	1	0	0	0	I		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1 	0	1	0	0	0		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	7	0	7	2	5	2	0	0	5		
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
X	Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	9	0	9	2	7	2	0	0	7		
Z	NET INFLOW	17	4	13	5	12	4	1	3	9		

	Waterbury/Litchfield CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals			
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 89%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth) 81%		
		entage of	11%	03 /0	11%	0970	8%	3%	9%	0170		
Α	Waterbury/Litcht			040		004				000		
В	Active on BNL	247 110	28 69	219 120	26 51	221 117	19 41	7 60	21 71	200 121		
С	Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (am			120	51	117	41	00	/ 1	121		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		ŕ									
İ	0	0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (3)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 29% (2) 0% (0) 14% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (2)		
	2	4% (9)	0% (0) 7% (2)	3% (7)	0% (0)	1% (2) 4% (9) 9% (20)	0% (0)	0% (0)	10% (2)	1% (2) 4% (7) 9% (18) 11% (22)		
	4	9% (21) 11% (26)	7% (2) 7% (2)	9% (19) 11% (24)	4% (1) 8% (2)	9% (20) 11% (24) 13% (28)	5% (1) 11% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (2) 10% (2) 10% (2)	9% (18) 11% (22)		
	5 6	13% (33) 11% (28)	14% (4) 0% (0)	13% (29) 13% (28) 11% (25)	19% (5) 4% (1)	13% (28) 12% (27)	16% (3) 5% (1)	29% (2) 0% (0)	10% (2) 0% (0) 10% (2)	13% (26)		
	8	11% (28) 13% (32)	0% (0) 11% (3) 14% (4)	11% (25) 13% (28)	4% (1) 19% (5) 4% (1) 27% (7)	10% (23) 14% (31)	21% (4) 0% (0)	14% (1)	10% (2) 14% (3)	11% (21) 14% (28)		
	9	11% (26)	14% (4) 14% (4)	13% (28) 10% (22)	27% (7)	9% (19)	32% (6)	14% (1) 14% (1)	14% (3) 14% (3)	8% (16)		
	11	7% (18) 4% (11)	11% (3) 7% (2)	7% (15) 4% (9) 3% (6)	8% (2) 4% (1)	13% (26) 12% (27) 10% (23) 14% (31) 9% (19) 7% (16) 5% (10) 3% (6)	11% (2) 16% (3) 5% (1) 21% (4) 0% (0) 32% (6) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1)	10% (2) 5% (1) 0% (0)	8% (16) 7% (14) 5% (9) 3% (6)		
	12	2% (6) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (2)	1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (6) 1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (6) 1% (2)		
	14 15	1% (3) 0% (0)	7% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
٦	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.67 Lactive rec	7.39 ords)	6.58	6.92	6.64	6.58	7.86	7.24	6.58		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3		
F G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	 13	0	13	1	12	1	0	0	12		
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	37	1	36	0	37	0	0	1	36		
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	26	10	16	4	22	2	2	8	14		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	1		
- 1	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	30	28	2	8	22	1	7	21	1		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	ne past 30 days.										
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	29	5	24	8	21	6	2	3	18		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	9	1	8	2	7	1	1	0	7		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	38	6	32	10	28	7	3	3	25		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•										
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Indi	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1		
Р	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2		
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		
'	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	5	1	4	1	4	1	0	1	3		
Z	NET INFLOW	33	5	28	9	24	6	3	2	22 Page 18		

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).