Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)									
-6 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			Housing						
5 no change		17	73						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	58	0	25						
Eastern	35	0	20						
Fairfield County	83	2	34						
Greater Hartford	62	2	38						
Greater New Haven	45	0	30						
MMW	13	0	12						
Northwest	41	1	14						

Active Individuals (Youth)										
152 -2 from last week										
·	ll details for A	ctive Individuals (Y								
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing							
9		5	3							
-1 from last week		-2 from la	st week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	17	0	4							
Eastern	22	5	5							
Fairfield County	38	0	4							
Greater Hartford	29	2	12							
Greater New Haven	21	2	14							
MMW	16	0	10							
Northwest	9	0	4							

is below.										
Active I	Familie	s (Youth)								
48										
-3 from last week full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8										
Manage I had altayed	full aetalis fo		. , ,							
Known Unsheltered			Housing							
0		1	6							
no change		-2 from la	st week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	3	0	2							
Eastern	19	0	1							
Fairfield County	8	0	1							
Greater Hartford	5	0	3							
Greater New Haven	9	0	5							
MMW	4	0	4							
Northwest	0	0	0							

Active Indiv	riduals (Non-You	th)							
1,728 -31 from last week										
·	s for Active Ir	ndividuals (Non-Yo								
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing							
311		57	78							
+4 from last week		-1 from la	st week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	Active 139	Unsheltered 65	Matched 38							
Central Eastern	7 10 11 10									
33,,,,	139	65	38							
Eastern	139 130	65 44	38 58							
Eastern Fairfield County	139 130 267	65 44 8	38 58 80							
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford	139 130 267 543	65 44 8 70	38 58 80 206							
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	139 130 267 543 400	65 44 8 70 107	38 58 80 206 141							
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	139 130 267 543 400 109	65 44 8 70 107 5	38 58 80 206 141 45							

Percentage of Statewide All Records 10% 9% 17% 29% 21% 6% 18	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
Active on BNL 2,265 217 206 396 639 475 142 186			0011111						
Median Days Active 152 167 103 122 202 152 117 92			10%	9%	17%	28%	21%	6%	8%
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)									189
Country Coun				103	122	202	152	117	92
1			records)						
Status S	0	0% (8)	0% (0)	3% (6) 6% (12)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)
15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	2	3% (72)	1% (3)	2% (5)	4% (15)	4% (24)	3% (15)	6% (8)	1% (2)
10	4	12% (261)	10% (21)	8% (16)	14% (57)	11% (73)	10% (49)	14% (20)	13% (25)
1	6	12% (280)	17% (36) 13% (29)	14% (28) 13% (26)	13% (50) 12% (47)	14% (87) 12% (79)	13% (64)	20% (29) 10% (14)	12% (23) 11% (21)
1	8	11% (252)	12% (27) 12% (26)	9% (19) 11% (23)	12% (49) 8% (31)	13% (86) 11% (69)	10% (49) 12% (58)	11% (16) 11% (16)	12% (23) 15% (29)
1		7% (152)	6% (12) 7% (16)	5% (10)	7% (27) 8% (30)	9% (55) 7% (42)	9% (44) 8% (36)	6% (9) 3% (4)	11% (21) 7% (14)
14 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			6% (12)	4% (8) 6% (12)	3% (13) 3% (13)	5% (31)	6% (27) 3% (13)	1% (1) 3% (4)	7% (13) 3% (5)
13	13		2% (5) 1% (3)	1% (3)	1% (2)	0% (3) 1% (8)	2% (8) 2% (8)	1% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
17	15	0% (7)	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the ENL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances Following counted in each row below are currently active on the ENL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances Following counted in each row below are subject of use deligence polity	17	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Clients counted in each row below are currently action to the BNL and client may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance	E Average Assessment Score	6.64	6.91						7.10
Foundation Fou				in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances.		
Clients were awarded to due diligence publicy Chronic (Vertified) 136 0 11 19 30 58 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 10 10				1				n	n
Clearly smooth of Chronic Fromesianess Section Sec			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l 				·	
Clients that are confirmed to be underlined 3.25 65 49 10 74 109 5 13 Matched/Awarded Matched/Awarded 200 69 84 119 259 190 71 28 Enrolled in Transitional Housing 3.4 40 51 10 1 0 6 2 Jacker clients was enrolled to 7 70 70 70 70 70 70 Jacker clients was enrolled to 7 70 70 70 70 70 70 70		136	0	11	19	30	58	9	9
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to measure awarded a housen presource Enrolled in Transitional Housing So		325	65	49	10	74	109	5	13
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients made inactive in past 30 days, with PSH Clients made inactive in past 30 days, with PSH Clients made inactive in past 30 days, with PSH Clients made inactive in past 30 days, with PS		000	60	0.4	110	250	100	71	20
Section College Coll		620	09	04 	119		190	/	
Youth at Time of Assessment 226 23 48 48 40 35 22 10		80	10	51	10	1	0	6	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	Youth at Time of Assessment	226	23	48	48	40	35	22	10
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.									
Clients who have never been active before 210 21 19 40 30 43 12 41		ne past 30 days.							
Returned from Inactive Cilents inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL 246 21 27 53 39 52 12 42		218	21	19	46	36	43	12	41
Clients mactive for any reason who are now active 12		၁၀	^	0	7	າ		Λ	 1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	Chemic macare for any reason who are now acare		·		•				•
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.			21	21	53	39	52	12	42
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH 29	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
Housed - PSH 26 0 3 14 7 0 0 2		44	1	13	5	3	11	2	9
Part Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 20 1 3 6 2 8 1 8	ononto rotamoa to modeling in pact de daye, con	26	^	ა	1/	7	Λ	Λ	າ
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH 29	P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		U					U	
Housed - All Other 17 0 8 0 0 1 3 5		29	1	3	6	2	8	1	8
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	Housed - All Other	17	0	8	0	0	1	3	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact 80 0 2 7 2 5 1 63		116	2	27	25	12	20	6	24
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	Inactive - Unable to Contact							1	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased 1	U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	1	0	2	0	0	1	2
Inactive - All Other 12 1 0 0 1 7 0 3		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	Inactive - All Other	12	1	n	0	1	7	n	3
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 215 4 29 34 15 33 8 92	Chorke made made of the past of days, an other reasons		2			2			
z NET INFLOW 31 17 -2 19 24 19 4 -50	z NET INFLOW	31	17	-2	19	24	19		-50

	All Youth	04-4	Orintari	F4	Faladata	Greater	Greater New	BARAVA	Nanthana
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	•	All Youth	10%	21%	23%	17%	15%	10%	5%
В	Active on BNL	200	20	41	46	34	30	20	9
С	Median Days Active	77	114	68	73	85	79	97	39
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame		records)						
D		1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (1)	0% (0)
		1% (2) 3% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 5% (1)	5% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0) 7% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		9% (17) 11% (21)	5% (1) 20% (4)	7% (3) 7% (3)	17% (8) 11% (5)	3% (1) 6% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1) 13% (4)	10% (2) 10% (2)	11% (1) 11% (1)
	5	17% (33) 15% (30)	25% (5) 20% (4)	17% (7) 17% (7)	7% (3)	18% (6) 18% (6)	20% (6) 13% (4)	30% (6) 25% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	7	10% (20) 10% (20)	0% (0)	12% (5)	9% (4) 11% (5)	12% (4)	17% (5)	0% (0)	11% (1)
	9	11% (22)	15% (3) 5% (1)	5% (2) 7% (3)	13% (6) 9% (4) 9% (4) 2% (1)	12% (4) 18% (6)	3% (1) 13% (4)	15% (3) 5% (1)	11% (1) 33% (3) 11% (1)
	11	6% (12) 4% (7)	5% (1) 0% (0)	5% (2) 5% (2)	9% (4) 2% (1)	9% (3) 3% (1)	3% (1) 7% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (1)
	13	3% (6) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (3) 2% (1)	4% (2)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 6.51	0% (0) 5.65	0% (0) 6.61	0% (0) 6.46	0% (0) 7.18	0% (0) 6.67	0% (0) 5.35	0% (0) 7.78
-	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.01	0.40	7.10	0.07	0.00	1.10
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	9	0	5	0	2	2	0	0
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	69	6	6	5	15	19	14	4
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	35	6	26	0	0	0	3	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	11	0	2	4	4	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added							4	•
L	Clients who have never been active before	27	3	7 	6	3	5 	1	2
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	29	3	9	6	3	5	1	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved								_
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	0	5	2	1	4	1	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	0	2	3	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	0	1	0	3	1	1
R	Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	24	0	5	5	4	7	2	1
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
۷	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	0	2	0	0	1	5
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	32	0	5	7	4	7	3	6
Z	NET INFLOW	-3	3	4	-1	-1	-2	-2	-4

	All Non-Youth	Statewide				Larttord	Lavon	MIMANA	Morthwoot
	Percentage of S		Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	•	on-Youth	10%	8%	17%	29%	22%	6%	9%
В	Active on BNL	2,065	197	165	350	605	445	122	180
С	Median Days Active	165	169	103	137	209	162	130	97
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
טע	0	0% (7)	0% (0) 2% (4)	4% (6) 6% (10)	0% (1) 2% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	2% (39) 3% (66)	2% (4) 1% (2)	6% (10) 2% (4)	3% (12)	2% (12) 4% (24)	1% (6) 3% (14)	1% (1) 7% (8)	1% (2)
		8% (170) 12% (240)	7% (14) 9% (17)	4% (7) 8% (13)	11% (38) 15% (52)	9% (54) 12% (71)	8% (34) 10% (45)	11% (14) 15% (18)	5% (9) 13% (24)
		14% (280) 12% (250)	16% (31) 13% (25) 14% (27) 12% (23)	13% (21) 12% (19) 8% (14) 13% (21)	13% (47)	13% (81) 12% (73)	12% (54) 13% (60)	19% (23) 7% (9)	13% (23) 12% (21)
	7	12% (249) 11% (232)	14% (27)	8% (14)	12% (43) 13% (44) 7% (25)	14% (82) 11% (65)	10% (44) 13% (57)	13% (16) 11% (13)	12% (22) 16% (28)
	9	8% (174)	6% (11)	15% (24)	7% (25) 7% (23) 7% (26)	8% (49)	9% (40) 8% (35)	7% (8) 3% (4)	16% (28) 10% (18) 7% (13)
	11	7% (140) 5% (98)	8% (15) 6% (12)	5% (8) 4% (6)	3% (12)	6% (39) 5% (30)	6% (25)	1% (1)	7% (13) 7% (12) 3% (5)
		3% (61) 1% (23)	3% (6) 3% (5)	5% (9) 1% (2)	3% (11) 1% (2)	2% (13) 0% (3)	3% (13) 2% (8)	3% (4) 2% (2)	3% (5) 1% (1)
	14	1% (22) 0% (7)	3% (5) 2% (3) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (4)	1% (8) 0% (0)	2% (7) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	16	0% (5) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.66 active rec	7.04 ords)	6.61	6.37	6.49	6.97	5.97	7.07
	lients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	nces.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
- (Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	135	0	 10	 19	30	 58	9	9
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	316	65	44 	10	72 	107	5	13
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	751	63	78	114	244	171	57	24
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	45	4	25	10	1	0	3	2
K /	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	26	3	7	2	6	5	2	1
li	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
C	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	191	18	12	40	33	38	11	39
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	26	0	6	7	3	9	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	217	18	18	47	36	47	11	40
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	_							
C	lients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved			<u> </u>	_	_			_
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	31	1	8	3	2	7	1	9
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	21	0	3	12	4	0	0	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	23	1	3	5	2	5	0	7
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	17	0	8	0	0	1	3	5
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	92	2	22	20	8	13	4	23
T	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	75	0	2	6	2	5	1	59
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	12	1	0	0	1	7	0	3
x	Other Outflow subtotal	91	2	2	7	3	13	1	63
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	183	4	24	27	11	26	5	86
Z	NET INFLOW	34	14	-6	20	25	21	6	-46 Page 4

	All Families	Ctatawida	Control	Factors	Fairfield	Greater	Greater New	NANA/A/	Novibuosi
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	rairileid	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		Families	16%	14%	24%	17%	14%	4%	11%
В	Active on BNL	385	61	54	91	67	54	17	41
С	Median Days Active	96	96	129	75	120	87	75	92
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (7) 2% (7)	0% (0) 3% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0) 7% (4) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (2)	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)
	3	6% (23) 8% (32)	10% (6) 13% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (11) 15% (14)	4% (3) 10% (7)	4% (2) 4% (2)	6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)
	5	13% (50)	16% (10)	7% (4) 22% (12)	11% (10)	9% (6) 19% (13)	22% (12)	29% (5) 35% (6)	7% (3)
	6 7	16% (61) 13% (49)	10% (6) 7% (4) 20% (12)	17% (9)	10% (9) 18% (16) 3% (3)	19% (13) 13% (9) 15% (10)	20% (11) 11% (6)	6% (1)	10% (4) 10% (4) 17% (7)
	8	11% (42) 9% (33)	20% (12) 3% (2)	6% (3) 11% (6)	3% (3) 9% (8)	15% (10) 9% (6)	7% (4) 9% (5)	18% (3) 0% (0)	17% (7) 15% (6)
	10	8% (29) 6% (24)	3% (2) 7% (4) 7% (4)	4% (2) 9% (5)	12% (11) 2% (2)	3% (2) 6% (4)	7% (4) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17.6 (1) 15% (6) 15% (6) 15% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)
	12	4% (16)	N% (N)	11% (6)	3% (3)	3% (2)	6% (3)	6% (1)	2% (1)
	13	1% (4) 1% (5)	0% (0) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)
	15 16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.09	6.44	7.72	6.90	6.91	6.89	6.29	8.49
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	0	U	<u> </u>	
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	1
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	189	27	21	35	41	35	16	14
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	3	25	0	0	0	0	0
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	57	4	24	8	6	11	4	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
ı	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	61	6	6	23	7	8	2	9
-	Returned from Inactive	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		-		1	1	1		0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	64	6	6	24	8	9	2	9
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	6	0	0	1	0	2	0	3
	Housed - PSH	7	0	 1	6	0	0	0	0
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 9	0	 0	2	0	4	 1	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other						 1	 ່	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6 28	0	0	9	0	7	3	7
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact			0	9		0	•	
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	2
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Olicina made madive in past do days, accedida	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	8	1	0	4	0	1	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	36	1	1	13	0	8	4	9
Z	NET INFLOW	28	5	5	11	8	1	-2	0 Page 5

	All le dividuale					Greater	Greater New	. 2044.4.740.700.76	ci.gov with questions
	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S				460/	30%	22%		
Α		dividuals	8%	8%	16%			7%	8%
В	Active on BNL	1,880	156	152	305	572	421	125	148
С	Median Days Active	166	178	98	145	209	162	130	92
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)						
	0	0% (8) 2% (34)	0% (0) 1% (2)	4% (6)	0% (1) 2% (6)	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 1% (6)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)
	2	3% (65) 9% (164)	1% (2)	5% (8) 3% (4)	5% (15)	4% (22)	3% (13)	6% (8)	0% (0) 1% (1)
	4	12% (229)	6% (9) 8% (13)	7% (10) 11% (16)	11% (35) 14% (43)	9% (52) 12% (66)	8% (33) 11% (47)	12% (15) 16% (20)	7% (10) 16% (24)
	6	14% (263) 12% (219)	17% (26) 15% (23)	16% (24) 9% (14) 7% (10)	13% (40) 12% (38)	14% (81) 12% (66) 13% (77)	11% (48) 13% (53)	19% (24) 6% (8)	14% (20) 11% (17)
	8	12% (220) 11% (210)	15% (23) 9% (14)	13% (20)	11% (33) 9% (28)	10% (59)	10% (43) 13% (54)	12% (15) 10% (13)	13% (19) 15% (22)
		9% (163) 7% (123)	6% (10) 8% (12)	14% (21) 5% (8)	6% (19) 6% (19)	9% (49) 7% (40)	9% (39) 8% (32)	7% (9) 3% (4)	10% (15)
	11	4% (81) 3% (51)	5% (8) 4% (6) 3% (4) 1% (2)	2% (3) 4% (6)	4% (11) 3% (10)	5% (27) 2% (12)	6% (24) 2% (10)	1% (1) 2% (3)	5% (8) 5% (7) 3% (4)
	13	1% (20) 1% (19)	3% (4)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (3)	1% (3) 1% (6)	2% (8) 2% (8)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (6) 0% (5)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (3)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.55	0% (0) 7.10	0% (0) 6.21	0% (0) 6.22	0% (0) 6.48	0% (0) 6.96	0% (0) 5.82	0% (0) 6.72
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	hination of circumsta	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	0	1	0		2	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	ა 	U	 		0	Z	U 	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	135	0	11	19	29	58	9	9
	Known Unsheltered	320	65	49	8	72	109	5	12
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded								
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	631	42	63	84	218	155 	55	14
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	52	7	26	10	1	0	6	2
	Youth at Time of Assessment	169	19	24	40	34	24	18	10
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100			10	<u> </u>			
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	157	15	13	23	29	35	10	32
١	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	 25	^	o	6		o		1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		0	8	6	2	8	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	182	15	21	29	31	43	10	33
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	38	1	13	4	3	9	2	6
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH								
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19 	0	2	8 	7 	0	0	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	20	1	3	4	2	4	0	6
	Housed - All Other	11	0	8	0	0	0	0	3
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	88	2	26	16	12	13	2	17
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact								
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	74	0	2	3	2	5 	1 	61
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	1	0	2	0	0	1	2
	Inactive - Deceased	1	0	0	0	0	 1	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other						· 		
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	10	0	0	0	1	6	0	3
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	91	1	2	5	3	12	2	66
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	179	3	28	21	15	25	4	83
Z	NET INFLOW	3	12	-7	8	16	18	6	-50

	Families (Non-Youth)	0	0.1.1		F : 6 11	Greater	Greater New		N (1)
	Percentage of S	Statewide Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
^	Families (No		17%	10%	25%	18%	13%	4%	12%
В	Active on BNL	337	58	35	83	62	45	13	41
С	Median Days Active	96	96	118	75	153	92	75	92
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1 2	2% (6) 2% (6)	3% (2) 2% (1)	9% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 3% (2)	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)
	3	6% (20)	10% (6)	0% (0)	11% (9)	5% (3)	2% (1)	8% (1)	0% (0)
	5	8% (28) 12% (42)	10% (6) 17% (10)	0% (0) 3% (1)	16% (13) 12% (10) 10% (8)	11% (7) 10% (6)	2% (1) 20% (9)	0% (0) 23% (3)	2% (1) 7% (3)
	7	15% (50) 13% (44)	10% (6) 7% (4) 19% (11)	20% (7) 14% (5)	10% (8) 19% (16) 4% (3)	18% (11) 13% (8)	22% (10) 13% (6) 7% (3)	31% (4) 8% (1)	10% (4) 10% (4) 17% (7)
	8	11% (38) 9% (30)	19% (11) 3% (2)	6% (2) 14% (5)	4% (3) 10% (8)	15% (9) 8% (5)	7% (3) 9% (4)	23% (3) 0% (0)	17% (7) 15% (6)
	10	8% (27) 6% (21)	3% (2) 7% (4) 7% (4)	6% (2) 9% (3)	11% (9)	3% (2) 6% (4)	9% (4) 9% (4) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	15% (6) 15% (6)
	12	4% (14) 1% (4)	0% (0)	14% (5) 3% (1)	2% (2) 2% (2) 1% (1)	3% (2) 0% (0)	7% (3) 0% (0)	8% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1)
	14 15	1% (4) 0% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	3% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (f) 15% (6) 15% (6) 15% (6) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
_		0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.15	6.50 ords)	8.29	6.82	6.89	7.02	6.54	8.49
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
۲	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	1 	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	1
	Matched/Awarded	173	25	20	34	38	30	12	14
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing		l						
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	12	3	9	0	0	0	0	0
	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	9	1	5	0	1	2	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	no poet 20 days							
	Newly Added		_	4	04		7	0	0
L	Clients who have never been active before	55	6	4	21	6	7 	2	9
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	58	6	4	22	7	8	2	9
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Date Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		in the neet 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved					^		^	
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	0	1	0	1 	0	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	5	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	0	2	0	3	0	1
	Housed - All Other	6	0	0	0	0	 1	3	2
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	22	0	1	7	0	5	3	6
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
I	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0			· 		0		
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased		0	0	0	0		0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	7	1	0	4	0	1	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	29	1 -	1	11	0 7	6	3	7
Z	NET INFLOW	29	5	3	11	7	2	-1	2 Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Otatavidala	Ocustosi	Frateur	Patricula	Greater	Greater New	B484047	Manthumat
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
٠	Percentage of S	s (Youth)	6%	40%	17%	10%	19%	8%	0%
В	Active on BNL	48	3	19	8	5	9	4	0
С	Median Days Active	91	130	153	63	3 57	 69	83	-
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			100		<u> </u>			
	Count of all active records having each assessment score								
	0 1	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
	3	2% (1) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 11% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-
	4	8% (4) 17% (8)	67% (2)	0% (0) 16% (3)	25% (2) 13% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (1) 33% (3)	0% (0)	
	6	23% (11) 10% (5)	0% (0)	26% (5) 21% (4)	13% (1)	40% (2)	11% (1)	50% (2) 50% (2)	
	8	8% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1)	5% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1) 20% (1)	0% (0) 11% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-
	10	6% (3) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (2)	20% (1) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-
	11	6% (3) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 5% (1)	25% (2) 0% (0) 13% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	-
	13	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
	14 15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	
	••	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.65	0% (0) 5.33	0% (0) 6.68	0% (0) 7.75	0% (0) 7.20	0% (0) 6.22	0% (0) 5.50	-
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)						
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	ents may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ì	Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	16	2	1	1	3	5	4	0
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	16	0	16	0	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	on most 20 days							
	Newly Added								_
L	Clients who have never been active before	6	0	2	2	1	1	0	0
М	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	6	0	2	2	1	1	0	0
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da						•		•
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with FSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	6	0	0	2	0	2	1	1
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ı	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	 0	 0	0	 0	0 0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	 0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		-						-
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> 2	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	0	2
7	NET INFLOW	-1	0	2	0	1	<u> </u>	<u>-1</u>	-2
4	ALT HAI LOW	-1	ı		v	<u> </u>	-1	-1	Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	rairileiu	Haitioiu	пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	Individuals		11%	14%	25%	19%	14%	11%	6%
В	Active on BNL	152	17	22	38	29	21	16	9
С	Median Days Active	77	98	52	73	89	81	104	39
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (1)	0% (0)
	1 2	1% (1) 3% (5)	0% (0) 6% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	9% (14)	6% (1)	14% (3)	16% (6)	3% (1)	0% (0)	13% (2)	11% (1)
	5	11% (17) 16% (25)	12% (2) 29% (5)	14% (3) 18% (4)	11% (4) 8% (3) 8% (3)	7% (2) 21% (6)	14% (3) 14% (3)	13% (2) 25% (4) 19% (3)	11% (1) 0% (0)
	6	13% (19) 10% (15)	29% (5) 24% (4) 0% (0)	9% (2) 5% (1)	8% (3) 13% (5)	14% (4) 10% (3)	14% (3) 14% (3) 24% (5)	19% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 11% (1)
	8	11% (16) 13% (19)	12% (2)	5% (1)	13% (5) 16% (6)	10% (3)	0% (0)	19% (3)	11% (1)
	9	7% (10)	6% (1) 6% (1) 0% (0)	9% (2) 9% (2)	11% (4) 5% (2)	17% (5) 10% (3)	14% (3) 5% (1)	6% (1) 0% (0)	33% (3) 11% (1)
	11 12	3% (4) 3% (4)	∩% /∩\	0% (0) 9% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)
	13	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.47	0% (0) 5.71	0% (0) 6.55	0% (0) 6.18	0% (0) 7.17	0% (0) 6.86	0% (0) 5.31	0% (0) 7.78
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			3.00	0.10		3.30	3.31	
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
Ę	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered			r					
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	9	0	5	0	2	2	0	0
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	53	4	5	4	12	14 	10	4
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	19	6	10	0	0	0	3	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	9	0	1	4	3	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	e past 30 days.							
ı	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	21	3	5	4	2	4	1	2
	Returned from Inactive	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	23	3	7	4	2	4	1	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		· · · ·	•	•	-	•	,	-
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	12	0	5	2	1	3	1	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	18	0	5	3	4	5	1	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	0	2	0	0	1	4
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u> </u>	0	5	5	4	<u> </u>	2	4
7	NET INFLOW	<u>-23</u>	3	2	<u> </u>	<u>-2</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-1</u>	-2
-	HET HILL EON								- 2 Page 9

	Percentage of S Individuals (No		Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	
с А	•					040/			Northwest
с А		n-Youth)	8%	8%	15%	31%	23%	6%	8%
Α	Active on BNL	1,728	139	130	267	543	400	109	139
	Median Days Active	175	191	103	169	216	166	140	97
	ssessment Score Distribution (am punt of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (7) 2% (33)	0% (0)	5% (6) 5% (7)	0% (1) 2% (6)	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 2% (6)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)
		3% (60)	1% (2) 1% (1)	5% (7) 3% (4) 5% (7)	4% (12)	4% (22)	3% (12)	7% (8)	0% (0) 1% (1)
		9% (150) 12% (212)	6% (8) 8% (11)	10% (13)	11% (29) 15% (39)	9% (51) 12% (64)	8% (33) 11% (44)	12% (13) 17% (18)	6% (9) 17% (23)
		14% (238) 12% (200)	15% (21) 14% (19)	15% (20) 9% (12)	14% (37) 13% (35)	14% (75) 11% (62)	11% (45) 13% (50)	18% (20) 5% (5)	14% (20) 12% (17)
	7	12% (205) 11% (194)	17% (23) 9% (12)	7% (9) 15% (19)	10% (28) 8% (22)	14% (74) 10% (56)	10% (38) 14% (54)	14% (15) 9% (10)	13% (18) 15% (21)
	9	8% (144)	6% (9)	15% (19)	6% (15)	8% (44) 7% (37)	9% (36) 8% (31)	7% (8)	9% (12) 5% (7)
	11	7% (113) 4% (77)	8% (11) 6% (8)	5% (6) 2% (3)	6% (17) 4% (10)	5% (26)	6% (23)	7% (8) 4% (4) 1% (1)	4% (6)
		3% (47) 1% (19)	4% (6) 3% (4)	3% (4) 1% (1)	3% (9) 0% (1)	2% (11) 1% (3)	3% (10) 2% (8)	3% (3) 2% (2) 0% (0)	3% (4) 0% (0)
	14	1% (18) 0% (6)	1% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3)	1% (6) 0% (0)	2% (7) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)
	16	0% (5) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (3)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
<u>_</u>	18	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
S	Average Assessment Score tatus/Conditions Followed (among	6.56	7.27 ords)	6.15	6.23	6.45	6.96	5.90	6.65
	lients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
F C	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)						· 		
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	134	0	10	19	29	58	9	9
н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	311	65	44	8	70	107	5	12
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	578	38	58	80	206	141	45	10
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	33	1	16	10	1	0	3	2
	Youth at Time of Assessment ctive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	17	2	2	2	5	3	2	1
Ir	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
CI	lients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		4.0		4.0				
L	Clients who have never been active before	136	12	8	19	27	31	9	30
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	23	0	6	6	2	8	0	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	159	12	14	25	29	39	9	31
	outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
CI	lients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	26	1	8	2	2	6	1	6
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	16	0	2	8	4	0	0	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	17	1	3	3	2	2	0	6
 R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	11	0	8	0	0	0	0	3
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	70	2	21	13	8	8	1	17
T	Inactive - Unable to Contact lients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	70	0	2	2	2	5	1	58
. <u> </u>	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
v	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	10	0	0	0	1	6	0	3
X	Other Outflow subtotal	84	1	2	3	3	12	1	62
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	154	3	23	16	11	20	2	79
Z	NET INFLOW	5	9	-9	9	18	19	7	-48

	Statewide BNL	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	91%	1 diffillio	83%	(Non routh)	(Touri)	(Tourn)	76%
Α		vide BNL	9%		17%		15%	2%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	2,265	200	2,065	385	1,880	337	48	152	1,728
С	Median Days Active	152	77	165	96	166	96	91	77	175
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score.	0% (8)	1% (1)	0% (7)	09/ (0)	00/ (9)	09/ (0)	09/ (0)	1% (1)	0% (7)
	1	2% (41)	1% (2)	2% (39)	0% (0) 2% (7)	0% (8) 2% (34) 3% (65) 9% (164) 12% (229)	0% (0) 2% (6) 2% (6) 6% (20) 8% (28)	0% (0) 2% (1) 2% (1) 6% (3) 8% (4)	1% (1)	2% (33) 3% (60)
	3	3% (72) 8% (187)	3% (6) 9% (17)	3% (66) 8% (170)	2% (7) 6% (23)	3% (65) 9% (164)	2% (6) 6% (20)	2% (1) 6% (3)	3% (5) 9% (14)	9% (150)
		12% (261) 14% (313)	11% (21)	12% (240)	8% (32) 13% (50)	12% (229) 14% (263)	8% (28) 12% (42)	8% (4) 17% (8)	11% (17)	12% (212)
	6	12% (280)	17% (33) 15% (30)	14% (280) 12% (250)	8% (32) 13% (50) 16% (61) 13% (49) 11% (42) 9% (33) 8% (29)	14% (263) 12% (219) 12% (220) 11% (210)	12% (42) 15% (50) 13% (44) 11% (38) 9% (30) 8% (27)	23% (11)	16% (25) 13% (19)	14% (238) 12% (200) 12% (205) 11% (194)
	8	12% (269) 11% (252)	10% (20) 10% (20)	12% (249) 11% (232)	13% (49)	11% (210)	13% (44)	8% (4)	10% (15) 11% (16)	11% (205)
		9% (196) 7% (152)	11% (22) 6% (12) 4% (7)	8% (174) 7% (140) 5% (98)	9% (33) 8% (29)	9% (163) 7% (123)	9% (30) 8% (27)	6% (3) 4% (2)	13% (19) 7% (10)	8% (144) 7% (113) 4% (77)
	11	5% (105) 3% (67)	4% (7) 3% (6)	5% (98) 3% (61)	6% (24) 4% (16)	9% (163) 7% (123) 4% (81) 3% (51) 1% (20)	6% (21) 4% (14) 1% (4)	17% (8) 23% (11) 10% (5) 8% (4) 6% (3) 4% (2) 6% (3) 4% (2) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (19) 7% (10) 3% (4) 3% (4)	4% (77) 3% (47)
	13	1% (24)	1% (1) 1% (2)	1% (23) 1% (22)	1% (4) 1% (5)	1% (20) 1% (19)	1% (4) 1% (4)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (47) 1% (19)
	15	1% (24) 0% (7)	0% (0)	0% (7)	0% (1)	0% (6)	1% (4) 0% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (18) 0% (6) 0% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (5) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (0)
Е		0% (1) 6.64	0% (0) 6.51	0% (1) 6.66	0% (1) 7.09	0% (0) 6.55	0% (1) 7.15	0% (0) 6.65	0% (0) 6.47	0% (0) 6.56
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	1.00	0.55	7.10	0.00	0.41	0.50
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
۲	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	136	1	135	1	135	1	0	1	134
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	325	9	316	5	320	5	0	9	311
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	820	69	751	189	631	173	16	53	578
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	80	35	45	28	52	12	16	19	33
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	226	200	26	57	169	9	48	15 152	17
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	LLU	200	20	01	100		10	102	.,
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 davs.								
	Newly Added	218	27	191	61	157	55	6	21	136
L	Clients who have never been active before	210	21	191	01	107		0	Z I	130
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	28	2	26	3	25	3	0	2	23
N		246	29	217	64	182	58	6	23	159
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	44	13	31	6	38	5	1	12	26
	Housed - PSH	26	5	21	7	19	5	2	3	16
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	29	6	23	 9	20	6	3	3	17
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 17	0	25 17	6	 11	6	0	0	1/ 11
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other									
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	116	24	92	28	88	22	6	18	70
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	80	5	75	6	74 	5	1	4 	70
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	3	3	0	6	0	0	3	3
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	12	0	12	2	10	2	0	0	10
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	99	8	91	8	91	7	1	7	84
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	215	32	183	36	179	29	7	25	154
Z	NET INFLOW	31	-3	34	28	3	29	-1	-2	5 Page 11

	Central CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	72%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth) 64%
Α		tral CAN	9%		28%		27%	1%	8%	
В		217	20	197	61	156	58	3	17	139
С	Median Days Active	167	114	169	96	178	96	130	98	191
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0) 5% (1)	2% (4) 1% (2)	3% (2) 2% (1) 10% (6)	1% (2) 1% (2)	0% (0) 3% (2) 2% (1) 10% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1) 6% (1)	1% (1)
		7% (15) 10% (21)	5% (1) 20% (4)	7% (14) 9% (17)	130/. (Q)	6% (9) 8% (13)	10% (6)	0% (0) 67% (2)	12% (2)	6% (8) 8% (11) 15% (21)
	5	17% (36) 13% (29)	25% (5) 20% (4)	7% (14) 9% (17) 16% (31) 13% (25)	16% (10) 10% (6)	17% (26) 15% (23)	17% (10) 10% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (5) 24% (4)	14% (19)
	8	12% (27) 12% (26)	0% (0) 15% (3)	14% (27) 12% (23)	7% (4) 20% (12)	15% (23) 9% (14)	7% (4) 19% (11)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 12% (2)	17% (23) 9% (12)
	10	6% (12) 7% (16)	5% (1) 5% (1)	15 % (27) 14% (27) 12% (23) 6% (11) 8% (15) 6% (12) 3% (6)	16% (10) 10% (6) 7% (4) 20% (12) 3% (2) 7% (4)	8% (13) 17% (26) 15% (23) 15% (23) 9% (14) 6% (10) 8% (12)	3% (2) 7% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	6% (9) 8% (11)
	12	6% (12) 3% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (12) 3% (6)	7% (4) 0% (0)	5% (6) 4% (6)	7% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (8) 4% (6)
	14	2% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3)	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (4) 1% (2)	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 1% (2)
	16	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	7% (4) 19% (11) 3% (2) 7% (4) 7% (4) 0% (0) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
_	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.91	5.65 ords)	7.04	6.44	7.10	6.50	5.33	5.71	7.27
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		•	ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	65	0	65	0	65	0	0	0	65
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	69	6	63	27	42	25	2	4	38
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	6	4	3	7	3	0	6	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	23	20	3	4	19	1	3	17	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	21	3	18	6	15	6	0	3	12
М	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	21	3	18	6	15	6	0	3	12
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved	ctive on the BNL i								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
T	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u>4</u> 17	3	4 14	<u> </u>	3 12	5	0	3	9
Z	NET INFLOW	1/	<u> </u>	14	ð	12	ן	0	3	9 Page 12

	7/7/2021 TTI BNL REPOIL								au.anuerson@ci.	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		80%		74%	,	,	, ,	63%
		ern CAN	20%		26%		17%	9%	11%	
A			44	465	E.4	450	25	10	22	420
В	Active on BNL	206	41	165	54	152	35	19		130
С	Median Days Active	103	68	103	129	98	118	153	52	103
ח	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		recoras)							
_	0	3% (6)	0% (0)	4% (6)	0% (0)	4% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (6)
		6% (12) 2% (5)	5% (2) 2% (1)	6% (10) 2% (4)	7% (4) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (4)	5% (8) 3% (4) 7% (10)	9% (9) 9% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 20% (7) 14% (5) 6% (2)	5% (1) 5% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	5% (7) 3% (4) 5% (7)
	3	5% (10)	7% (3)	4% (7)	0% (0)	7% (10)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14% (3)	5% (7)
	5	8% (16) 14% (28)	7% (3) 17% (7)	8% (13) 13% (21)	7% (4)	11% (16) 16% (24)	3% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 16% (3)	14% (3) 18% (4)	10% (13) 15% (20)
		13% (26) 9% (19)	17% (7) 12% (5) 5% (2)	13% (21) 12% (19) 8% (14)	22% (12) 17% (9)	16% (24) 9% (14) 7% (10) 13% (20)	20% (7) 14% (5)	26% (5) 21% (4)	9% (2) 5% (1)	9% (12) 7% (9)
	8	11% (23)	5% (2)	13% (21)	6% (3)	13% (20)	6% (2)	5% (1)	5% (1)	15% (19)
	10	13% (27) 5% (10)	7% (3) 5% (2)	15% (24) 5% (8)	11% (6) 4% (2) 9% (5) 11% (6)	14% (21) 5% (8)	14% (5) 6% (2)	5% (1) 0% (0)	9% (2) 9% (2)	15% (19) 5% (6)
		4% (8) 6% (12)	5% (2) 7% (3)	4% (6) 5% (9)	9% (5) 11% (6)	2% (3) 4% (6)	9% (3) 14% (5)	11% (2)	0% (0) 9% (2)	2% (3) 3% (4)
	13	1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2)	14% (5) 6% (2) 9% (3) 14% (5) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (2) 5% (1) 9% (1) 9% (2) 9% (2) 0% (0) 9% (2) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	2% (1)	0% (0)		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score	6.61	6.61	6.61	7.72	6.21	8.29	6.68	6.55	6.15
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	 	U	 	U	 	U	U	U 	
G	Chronic (Verified)	11	1	10	0	11	0	0	1	10
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered		_							
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	49	5	44	0	49	0	0	5	44
	Matched/Awarded	84	6	78	21	63	20	1	5	58
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource							·		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	51	26	25	25	26	9	16	10	16
	Youth at Time of Assessment	48	41	7	24	24	5	19	22	2
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	40	41	<u>'</u>	24	24	J	13		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th Newly Added				_		_			_
L	Clients who have never been active before	19	7	12	6	13	4	2	5	8
	Returned from Inactive	8	2	6	0	8	0	0	2	6
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			18		21				
N		27	9	16	6	Z 1	4	2	7	14
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved				0	10	^	0	F	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	5	8	0	13	0	0	5	8
P	Housed - PSH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
٣	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
_	Housed - All Other	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other				-		-			
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	27	5	22	1	26	1	0	5	21
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U		U		U	U	U	·····	U
٧	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
N	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	29	5	24	1	28	1	0	5	23
Z	NET INFLOW	-2	4	-6	5	-7	3	2	2	-9
	·					·				Page 13

ı	7/7/2021 TTI BIVE REPORT								au.anuerson@ci.g	
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		88%		77%		, ,	, ,	67%
Α	Fairfield Cou	-	12%		23%		21%	2%	10%	
В	Active on BNL	396	46	350	91	305	83	8	38	267
С	Median Days Active	122	73	137	75	145	75	63	73	169
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active								
U	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	1	2% (6)	0% (0) 7% (3)	0% (1) 2% (6) 3% (12) 11% (38)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (6) 5% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 11% (9)	0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (3)	2% (6)
	3	4% (15) 12% (46)	17% (8)	11% (38)	12% (11)	11% (35)	11% (9)	0% (0) 25% (2)	16% (6)	4% (12) 11% (29) 15% (39)
		14% (57) 13% (50)	11% (5) 7% (3)	15% (52) 13% (47)	15% (14) 11% (10)	14% (43) 13% (40) 12% (38)	16% (13) 12% (10) 10% (8)	13% (1) 0% (0) 13% (1)	11% (4) 8% (3)	14% (37)
	6	12% (47)	9% (4)	120/. (/12)	10% (9)	12% (38)	10% (8)	13% (1)	8% (3) 13% (5)	13% (35)
		12% (49) 8% (31)	11% (5) 13% (6)	12 % (44) 13% (44) 7% (25) 7% (23) 7% (26) 3% (12) 3% (11)	18% (16) 3% (3)	11% (33) 9% (28) 6% (19) 6% (19)	19% (16) 4% (3) 10% (8) 11% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	16% (6)	13% (35) 10% (28) 8% (22)
		7% (27) 8% (30)	9% (4) 9% (4)	7% (23) 7% (26)	9% (8) 12% (11)	6% (19) 6% (19)	10% (8) 11% (9)	0% (0) 25% (2)	11% (4) 5% (2)	6% (15) 6% (17)
	11	3% (13)	2% (1)	3% (12)	2% (2) 3% (3)	4% (11) 3% (10)	2% (2)	0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (10) 3% (9)
	13	3% (13) 1% (2)	4% (2) 0% (0) 2% (1)	3% (11) 1% (2) 1% (3)	1% (1)	0% (10) 0% (1) 1% (3)	176 (9) 2% (2) 2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (3)
		1% (4) 1% (4)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3) 1% (3)	0% (0) 1% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3)
	16	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (0) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)
	18	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	6.38	6.46	6.37	6.90	6.22	6.82	7.75	6.18	6.23
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0						0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	0	0	0	0	0	U	U	U
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	19	0	19	0	19	0	0	0	19
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	0	10	2	8	2	0	0	8
''	Matched/Awarded	440		444	25	0.4	24			
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	119	5	114	35	84	34	 	4	80
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	48	46	2	8	40	0	8	38	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	t 20 days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th Newly Added		_					_		
L	Clients who have never been active before	46	6	40	23	23	21	2	4 	19
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	53	6	47	24	29	22	2	4	25
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	2	3	1	4	1	0	2	2
Р	Housed - PSH	14	2	12	6	8	4	2	0	8
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	6	1	 5	2	4	2	0	 1	3
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		·						·	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	25	5	20	9	16	7	2	3	13
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	7	1	6	4	3	4	0	1 	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	9	2	7	4	5	4	0	2	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	34	7	27	13	21	11	2	5	16
Z	NET INFLOW	19	-1	20	11	8	11	0	-1	9
										Page 14

	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	routii	95%	Faiiiiles	90%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(NOH-1 OUIH) 85%
٨	Greater Harti	-	5%		10%		10%	1%	5%	
В	Active on BNL	639	34	605	67	572	62	5	29	543
С	Median Days Active	202	85	209	120	209	153	57	89	216
	Assessment Score Distribution (am							-		-
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (12) 4% (24)	0% (0)	2% (12) 4% (24) 9% (54) 12% (71)	0% (0) 1% (1) 3% (2)	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 2% (1) 3% (2) 5% (3) 11% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (11) 4% (22)
	3	9% (55)	0% (0) 3% (1)	9% (54)	4% (3)	4% (22) 9% (52) 12% (66)	5% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 7% (2)	9% (51)
	5	11% (73) 14% (87)	6% (2) 18% (6) 18% (6)	12% (71) 13% (81) 12% (73)	9% (6)	12% (66)	11% (7)	0% (0)	21% (6) 14% (4)	9% (51) 12% (64) 14% (75) 11% (62)
	7	12% (79) 13% (86)	18% (6) 12% (4) 12% (4)	12% (73) 14% (82) 11% (65)	19% (13) 13% (9)	14% (81) 12% (66) 13% (77) 10% (59)	10% (6) 18% (11) 13% (8) 15% (9)	40% (2) 20% (1) 20% (1)	14% (4) 10% (3) 10% (3)	11% (62) 14% (74) 10% (56)
	9	11% (69) 9% (55)	12% (4) 18% (6) 9% (3)	11% (65) 8% (49)	3% (2) 4% (3) 10% (7) 9% (6) 19% (13) 13% (9) 15% (10) 9% (6) 3% (2)	10% (59) 9% (49) 7% (40)	15% (9) 8% (5)	20% (1) 20% (1)	10% (3) 17% (5) 10% (3)	10% (56) 8% (44)
	10	7% (42) 5% (31)	9% (3) 3% (1)	8% (49) 6% (39) 5% (30) 2% (13) 0% (3)	3% (2) 6% (4)	7% (40) 5% (27)	8% (5) 3% (2) 6% (4) 3% (2) 0% (0) 3% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1)	8% (44) 7% (37) 5% (26)
	12	2% (14) 0% (3)	3% (1) 0% (0)	2% (13) 0% (3)	6% (4) 3% (2) 0% (0) 3% (2)	5% (27) 2% (12) 1% (3)	3% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (11) 1% (3)
	14	1% (8) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (8)	3% (2) 0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	3% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
F		0% (0) 6.53	0% (0) 7.18	0% (0) 6.49	0% (0) 6.91	0% (0) 6.48	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.89	0% (0) 7.20	0% (0) 7.17	0% (0) 6.45
-	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.43	0.51	0.40	0.03	1.20	1.11	0.40
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	30	0	30	1	29	1	0	0	29
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	74	2	72	2	72	2	0	2	70
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	259	15	244	41	218	38	3	12	206
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	40	34	6	6	34	1	5	29	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	36	3	33	7	29	6	1	2	27
М	Returned from Inactive	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	3	36	8	31	7	1	2	29
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da						-			
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
	Housed - PSH	7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 2	0	2	0	 2	0	0	0	2
Q R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	12	4	8	0	12	0	0	4	8
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	15	4	11	0	15	0	0	4	11
Z	NET INFLOW	24	-1	25	8	16	7	1	-2	18 Page 15

Content Cont	ı	7/7/2021 TTI BNE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
Percentage of Greater New Haven CAIN 6% 11% 5% 2% 4% 4% Active on BNL 475 30 445 54 421 45 9 21 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		Greater New Haven CAN									(Non-Youth)
A Creater New Haven CAN		Porce		Todaii		Tammee		(Mon Todan)	(Touth)	(Touri)	84%
Receive on BNL 475 30 445 54 421 45 9 21 4 45 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6			•	6%		11%		9%	2%	4%	
Median Days Active 152	A				445	E A	424	AE			400
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	F										400
Count of all ache records having each assessment score.	-				102	0/	102	92	09	01	166
1				records)							
1	_	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each now below are currently active on the BNL, and dients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1				3% (1)	1% (6) 3% (14)	0% (0) 4% (2)	1% (6) 3% (13)	0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	2% (6) 3% (12)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1				3% (1) 13% (4)	8% (34) 10% (45)	4% (2) 4% (2)	8% (33) 11% (47)	2% (1) 2% (1)	11% (1)	0% (0) 14% (3)	8% (33) 11% (44)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1		5	13% (60)	20% (6)	12% (54)	22% (12)	11% (48)	20% (9)	33% (3)	14% (3)	11% (45)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1				13% (4) 17% (5)	13% (60)	11% (6)	13% (53) 10% (43)	13% (6)	0% (0)	14% (3) 24% (5)	13% (50) 10% (38) 14% (54)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1				3% (1)	13% (57) 9% (40)	7% (4) 9% (5)	13% (54) 9% (39)	7% (3) 9% (4)		0% (0) 14% (3)	14% (54) 9% (36)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1		10	8% (36)	3% (1)	8% (35)	7% (4)	8% (32)	9% (4)	0% (0)	5% (1)	9% (36) 8% (31)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1		12	3% (13)	0% (0)	3% (13)	6% (3)	2% (10)	7% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (23) 3% (10)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1		13	2% (8) 2% (8)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (8) 2% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (8) 2% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	2% (8) 2% (7)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) S8 0 58 0 0 0 1		15	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)		17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted there are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) 58	Е				0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)			0% (0)	0% (0) 6.96
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due difference policy Chronic (Verified) 58					stad in multiple roug	dononding on th	ooir aambination at	oiroumotonooo			
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	-					, ,			•		
Cilents meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Signature	F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	1 	1 	0	2	0	0	1 	1
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered 109 2 107 0 109 0 0 2 10 109	G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	58	0	58	0	58	0	0	0	58
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource 190 19 171 35 155 30 5 14 1	Н		109	2	107	0	109	0	0	2	107
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment 35 30 5 11 24 2 9 21	1		190	19	171	35	155	30	5	14	141
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	J	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days. Newly Added A3 5 38 8 35 7 1 4 3 3 5 38 8 35 7 1 4 3 3 5 38 8 35 7 1 4 3 3 5 38 8 35 7 1 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	K		35	30	5	11	24	2	9	21	3
Newly Added 43 5 38 8 35 7 1 4 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 3 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			o poet 20 days								
Clients who have never been active before 43 3 38 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	}		, ,	-	20	0	25	7	4	4	24
N	L	Clients who have never been active before	43	5	კგ	გ	პ5	/	1 	4	31
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL 52 5 47 9 43 8 1 4 3 Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH RULE CLIENTS returned to housing in past 30 days, with RR	N/I		9	0	9	1	8	1	0	0	8
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	F		52	5	47	9		8	1		39
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH All Others Housed - All Others							70		•		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
Housed - PSH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0		11	4	7	2	9	1	1	3	6
Housed - RRH 8 3 5 4 4 3 1 2 Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	Р		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Other	Q	Housed - RRH	8	3	5	4	4	3	1	2	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		Housed - All Other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
district teams to heading in pact or days, an exist	ŀ		20	7	13	7	13	5	2	5	8
Inactive - Unable to Contact 5 0 5 0 0 0	_	Inactive - Unable to Contact									5
Inactive - In an Institution	1										0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U										
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased									1
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 7 0 7 1 6 1 0 0	W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	•		-	•		•			6
	Χ			_		•					12
	Υ										20
	Z	NET INFLOW	19	-2	21	7	18	2	-1	-7	19 Page 16

	7/7/2021 111 BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Parce	entage of	routil	86%	- T GATHINGS	88%	(Mon Todan)	(Podil)	(Tourn)	77%
		MW CAN	14%		12%		9%	3%	11%	
В	Active on BNL	142	20	122	17	125	13	4	16	109
С	Median Days Active	117	97	130	75	130	75	83	104	140
-	Assessment Score Distribution (am			100	,,,	100	10		101	110
	Count of all active records having each assessment score	-								
		1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0) 23% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
		6% (8) 11% (16)	0% (0) 10% (2)	7% (8) 11% (14)	0% (0) 6% (1)	6% (8) 12% (15)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (2)	7% (8) 12% (13)
	4	14% (20) 20% (29)	10% (2) 30% (6)	15% (18)	0% (0)	16% (20)	0% (0) 23% (3)	0% (0) 50% (2)	13% (2) 25% (4)	17% (18)
	6	10% (14) 11% (16)	25% (5) 0% (0)	19% (23) 7% (9) 13% (16)	35% (6)	19% (24) 6% (8) 12% (15)	31% (4)	50% (2) 0% (0)	19% (3) 0% (0)	18% (20) 5% (5) 14% (15)
	8	11% (16)	15% (3) 5% (1)	11% (13) 7% (8)	18% (3)	10% (13)	23% (3)	0% (0)	19% (3)	9% (10)
	10	6% (9) 3% (4)	0% (0)	3% (4)	0% (0) 29% (5) 35% (6) 6% (1) 18% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (13) 7% (9) 3% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	7% (8) 4% (4)
	12	1% (1) 3% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (4)	6% (1)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (0) 8% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (3)
		1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 1% (1) 2% (3) 2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 23% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	5.88	5.35	5.97	6.29	5.82	6.54	5.50	5.31	5.90
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	U	U		U	U	<u> </u>	U 	U
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
	Known Unsheltered	5	0	 5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	71	14	57	16	55	12	4	10	45
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	3	3	0	6	0	0	3	3
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	00	00		4	40		4	40	
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	20	2	4	18	0	4	16	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a nact 30 dave								
	Newly Added		4	4.4	_	40	0	0	4	0
L	Clients who have never been active before	12	1	11	2	10	2	0	1 	9
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	12	1	11	2	10	2	0	1	9
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL is	n the past 30 day	ys.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
_	Housed - PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	3	3	0	3	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	6	2	4	4	2	3	1	1	1
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	 1	0	0	0	1
Τ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution					·				
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	3	5	4	4	3	1	2	2
Z	NET INFLOW	4	-2	6	-2	6	-1	-1	-1	7 Page 17

Northwest CAN All		7/7/2021 TTI BIVE REPORT								au.anderson@ci.g	
Percentage of Northwest CAN 9% 22% 92 22% 97 91 99 139 97 92 92 92 92 93 97 92 92 92 92 93 97 92 92 92 92 93 97 92 92 92 92 93 97 93 93		Northwest CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All	All	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)		
Northwest CAN 9% 139 1		Porce		routii		1 ammes		(Non-Toutil)	(Toutil)	(Touti)	
Activo on BNL 159 9 180 41 148 41 0 9 139 97 92 92 92 - 39 97 97 92 92 92 - 39 97 97 97 97 97 97 97			•	5%		22%		22%	0%	5%	
Median Days Active 92 39 97 92 92 92 - 39 97 97 97 97 97 97 97	A				400	44	440	44			420
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)				_							
Control of all active month image pach assessment axons Fig. 10 Fig. 1	-				97	92	92	92	-	39	97
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Status/Condition				recoras)							
1,000 1,00		0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		0% (0)	0% (0)
1,000 1,00		1 2	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0)	1% (2)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)		0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)
1,000 1,00				11% (1) 11% (1)	5% (9) 13% (24)	0% (0) 2% (1)	7% (10)	0% (0) 2% (1)	-	11% (1) 11% (1)	6% (9) 17% (23)
1,000 1,00		5	12% (23)	0% (0)	13% (23)	7% (3)	14% (20)	7% (3)		0% (0)	14% (20)
1		7	12% (23)	11% (1)	12% (22)	10% (4)	13% (19)	10% (4)	<u>-</u>	11% (1)	13% (18)
1				11% (1) 33% (3)	16% (28) 10% (18)	17% (7) 15% (6)	15% (22) 10% (15)	17% (7) 15% (6)	<u>-</u>	33% (3)	15% (21) 9% (12)
1				11% (1)	7% (13) 7% (12)	15% (6) 15% (6)	5% (8) 5% (7)	15% (6) 15% (6)	-	11% (1)	5% (7) 4% (6)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)		12	3% (5)	0% (0)	3% (5)	2% (1)	3% (4)	2% (1)		0% (0)	3% (4)
Status/Control (among active records) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		14	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	2% (1)	0% (0)	2% (1)	-	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Control (among active records) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		16	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Claims counted in an active to the service and active to the service active in an active to the service and active to the service active in active to the service active active active active to the service active a				0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Clients counted in early row below are currently active to the BNIII, and clients may be counted in multiple rows despending on their combination of circumstances. Faculties Counted have are subject to due diliginary policy Clients are subject to due diliginary policy Clients are subject to due diliginary policy South Counted the sure are subject to due diliginary policy Clients are subject to due diliginary policy South Counted the sure are subject to due subjectived 13	Ε	Average Assessment Score		7.78					-		6.65
Refuses CAN Assistance Chronic Counted how an added to due diligenze policy Chronic (Verified) Security moter (FU) definition of Chronic Processes Security Matched Australed Security Matched Secu					ited in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumetances			
For City Comment (No. 1) City Comment (No		-							^	^	_
Clients meet HUD definition of Chromic Homelespaness 3	F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U 	U	<u> </u>	0	0	U	0	U 	0
Hard Clients that are confirmed to be unshallward 13	G		9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Clients that are continued to be unsolvationed 28	Ü		40		40	4	40	4			40
Clients method to a rewarded a housing resource 20	Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	13	U] 	12] 	0	U 	12
Semonth Company Comp	- 1		28	4	24	14	14	14	0	4	10
Active clients who are embled in Transfloral Houseing Youth at Time of Assessment 10 9 1 0 10 0 0 0 9 1	·		2	0		^	າ	Λ	Λ	Λ	າ
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 10 9 1 0 10 0 0	J			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Z		۷				Z
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	K		10	9	1	0	10	0	0	9	1
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.											
Clients who have never been active before 41 2 39 9 32 9 0 2 30			e past 30 days.	1		1					
Returned from Inactive 1	,		41	2	39	9	32	9	0	2	30
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 1	_				 1	^		^		^	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			-						
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.				2	40	9	33	9	0	2	31
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-			•	n the past 30 day	/9						
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				2		2	0	^	6
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S D S D D D D D D D	0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	U	9	ئ 	0	ა	U	U 	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S	Р		2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other S D D D D D D D D D			Ω	1	 7	າ	۶	1	1	Λ	6
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S	Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	0	 	I	U 	0
Housed Outflow subtotal 24 1 23 7 17 6 1 0 17	R		5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact 63		* ,	24	1	23	7	17	6	1	0	17
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		Inactive - Unable to Contact		4		2	61	1	1	3	58
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Z	Т										
Nactive - Deceased O O O O O O O O O	U		2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		Inactive - Deceased	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 X Other Outflow subtotal 68 5 63 2 66 1 1 4 62 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 92 6 86 9 83 7 2 4 79	۷			 		}					
X Other Outflow subtotal 68 5 63 2 66 1 1 4 62 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 92 6 86 9 83 7 2 4 79	W		3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	Χ	Other Outflow subtotal		5		2	66	1	•	4	
z NET INFLOW -50 -4 -46 0 -50 2 -2 -2 -48	Υ									-	
Page 18	Z	NET INFLOW	-50	-4	-46	0	-50	2	-2	-2	

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C - Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in non-youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in **youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

 ${f Row\ M}$ – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).