# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Families, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Families (Non-Youth)										
244 -5 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7										
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing										
0 65 -1 from last week +7 from last week										
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	23	0	3							
Fairfield County	76	0	15							
Greater Hartford	42	0	19							
Greater New Haven	38	0	13							
	00	_								
MMW	11	0	5							
MMW Northeast		0	5							
	11									

Active In	dividua	ıls (Youth)							
241 +7 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9									
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
6 19									
-1 from last week		+1 from la	ast week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	11	1	3						
Fairfield County	57	1	3						
Greater Hartford	55	0	4						
Greater New Haven	71	0	6						
MMW	13	0	0						
Northeast	5	1	1						
Southeast	15	1	1						
Waterbury Litchfield	14	2	1						

Active I	Active Families (Youth)											
	69											
+4 from last week												
full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8												
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing												
0 13												
no change		+1 from la	st week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched									
Central	4	0	2									
Fairfield County	15	0	4									
Greater Hartford	10	0	4									
Greater New Haven	10	0	2									
MMW	4	0	0									
Northeast	1	0	1									
Southeast	22	0	0									
Waterbury Litchfield	3	0	0									

#### **Active Individuals (Non-Youth)** -1 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing -4 from last week +11 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched Central 111 13 17 Fairfield County 431 10 66 **Greater Hartford** 667 29 46 7 Greater New Haven 265 55 MMW 75 6 8 Northeast 50 11 6 22 Southeast 140 33 Waterbury Litchfield 229 41

7/31/2018 FYI BNL Report							Contact bed	u.anderson@ct.go	•
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S		6%	23%	31%	15%	4%	3%	8%	11%
	Records								
Active on BNL	2,522	149	579	774	384	103	71	191	271
Median Days Active	131	102	133	154	119	96	96	49	175
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
	0% (3) 2% (56)	- 3% (4)	0% (2) 3% (17)	0% (1) 2% (18)	- 2% (6)	- 1% (1)		- 1% (2)	3% (8)
2	4% (103)	1% (2)	5% (30)	2% (18) 5% (39)	2% (9)	5% (5)	7% (5)	2% (4)	3% (9)
	8% (201)	4% (6) 7% (11)	10% (58) 12% (67)	10% (76) 12% (95)	5% (20) 5% (21)	11% (11)	6% (4) 13% (9)	6% (11)	6% (15) 7% (20)
	10% (249) 13% (340)	7% (11) 17% (25)	12% (67) 12% (72)	12% (95) 15% (113)	5% (21) 11% (42)	10% (10) 18% (19)	13% (9) 8% (6)	8% (16) 17% (32)	7% (20) 11% (31)
6	15% (370)	12% (18)	12% (72)	16% (121)	11% (42) 11% (41)	21% (22)	20% (14)	17% (32) 19% (36)	17% (46)
	11% (268)	11% (16) 15% (23)	12% (72) 12% (72) 12% (72) 9% (52) 11% (62)	11% (87)	11% (44) 11% (44)	6% (6)	13% (9) 13% (9) 8% (6)	13% (24)	11% (30) 13% (35)
	11% (269) 8% (203)	7% (23) 7% (11)	9% (54)	9% (66) 6% (47) 6% (44) 4% (33) 2% (14) 2% (14)	10% (44)	9% (9) 4% (4) 8% (8)	13% (9) 8% (6)	11% (21) 7% (13)	11% (35)
10	7% (179)	9% (14)	9% (54) 8% (44)	6% (44)	8% (31)	8% (8)	4% (3) 6% (4) 1% (1)	7% (13) 5% (9)	11% (29) 8% (22) 4% (12) 2% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
	5% (125)	7% (10) 3% (4) 2% (3) 1% (1)	4% (26)	4% (33)	7% (27)	4% (4) 4% (4)	6% (4)	5% (9) 3% (5)	4% (12)
	2% (62) 2% (52)	2% (3)	1% (8) 2% (9)	2% (14)	5% (20)	470 (4)	1% (1)	1% (2)	1% (3)
14	1% (18)	1% (1)	0% (1)	1% (4)	2% (9)			1% (1)	1% (2)
	1% (19) 0% (4)	1% (1)	1% (4) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	11% (44) 10% (39) 8% (31) 7% (27) 5% (20) 5% (20) 2% (9) 1% (2)			1% (2)	1% (2)
	0% (4) 0% (1)	<del>-</del>	U70 (1) -	- 070 (1)	1 70 ( <u>4)</u> -	<u>-</u>			0% (1)
18	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Assessment Score	6.68	7.14	6.37	6.20	7.90	6.15	6.48	6.78	6.90
Status/Conditions Followed (among allients counted in each row below are currently active on the state of the			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	16	1	1	5	2	0	0	1	6
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	224	14	69	43	61	7	9	10	11
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	156	14	11	29	7	6	12	34	43
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	324	25	88	73	76	13	14	27	8
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing  Youth at Time of Assessment	120	10	41	10	13	2	0	39	5
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	346	19	78	74	91	19	7	39	19
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	past 30 days.	T							
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	275	23	63	54	40	20	12	42	21
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	61	3	7	13	10	0	5	20	3
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	336	26	70	67	50	20	17	62	24
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Dients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	/S								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	48	6	13	4	6	3	3	12	1
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	31	0	12	5	6	3	0	4	1
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	25	0	5	4	6	3	1	5	1
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	8	0	1	2	1	0	1	3	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	112	6	31	15	19	9	5	24	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	70	31	18	6	3	1	5	5	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	2	1	1	0	0	1	4	0
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased  Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	33	0	8	1	14	0	2	0	8
Other Outflow subtotal	112	33	27	8	17	1	8	9	9
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	224	39	58	23	36	10	13	33	12
NET INFLOW									

	S1/2018 FYI BNL Report Contact beau.anderson@ct.gov w								
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide				200/				
<del>-</del>	All Youth	5%	23%	21%	26%	5%	2%	12%	5%
Active on BNL	310	15	72	65	81	17	6	37	17
Median Days Active	92	155	86	92	96	55	101	89	98
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.	ng active r	ecords)							
	1% (3)		- 1% (1)	2% (1)	- 1% (1)				
	3% (9) 6% (20)	- 7% (1)	7% (5) 7% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (1) 6% (5)	6% (1) 6% (1)	- 17% (1)	3% (1) 8% (3)	18% (3)
4	11% (34)	-	7% (5) 8% (6)	2% (1) 18% (12)	5% (4)	18% (3)	17% (1)	16% (6) 27% (10)	18% (3) 12% (2) 18% (3)
6	15% (48) 14% (44)	13% (2) 20% (3)	13% (9) 14% (10)	18% (12) 15% (10)	15% (12) 10% (8)	35% (6) 12% (2)		27% (10) 14% (5)	12% (2)
	14% (43) 9% (27)	13% (2) 13% (2)	8% (6) 13% (9)	12% (8) 9% (6) 8% (5)	20% (16) 9% (7) 10% (8)	12% (2) 6% (1)	17% (1) -	14% (5) 16% (6) 5% (2) 8% (3)	12% (2) -
9	10% (30)	7% (1) 20% (3)	14% (10)	8% (5)	10% (8)		33% (2)	8% (3)	6% (1)
11	7% (21) 5% (14)	20% (3) -	11% (8) 4% (3)	5% (3) 5% (3)	5% (4) 6% (5)	- 6% (1)	17% (1) -	3% (1) -	6% (1) 6% (1) 12% (2)
	3% (10) 1% (3)	- 7% (1)		3% (2) 2% (1)	6% (5) 1% (1)	12% (2)			6% (1) -
14	0% (1)				1% (1)				
16	0% (1) 1% (2)				1% (1) 2% (2)	<u>-</u>			
17		<del>-</del>						<del>-</del>	
Average Assessment Score	6.75	7.53	6.56	6.51	7.54	6.47	7.00	5.68	6.53
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on to			ad in multinle rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	rumetancos			
Refuses CAN Assistance				0					
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0
Known Unsheltered	6	1	1	^	^	^		 1	2
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	1	 	0	0	0	1	 	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	32	5	7	8	8	0	2	1	1
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	40							04	
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	3	7	0	8	0	0	21	
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	22	4	8	3	2	1	0	3	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	nact 30 days								
Newly Added	49	2	12	11	11	7	1	4	1
Clients who have never been active before	49	۷	12	11			I	4	
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	0
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	55	2	14	11	12	7	2	6	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	/S								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	10	1	4	1	2	0	0	2	0
Housed - PSH		·	^	4	^	^	^		
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	1 	0	0	0	1 	0
Housed - RRH	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other		·	^	^	^		^	^	
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	16	1	8	2	2	0	0	3	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	4	5	0	1	0	2	1	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	·····	U	U	·	U	·····	U	U	U
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other		^	4	^	0	^	^	^	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	9	0	<u> </u>	0	8	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	22	4	6	0	9	0	2	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	38	5	14	2	11	0	2	4	0
NET INFLOW	17	-3	0	9	1	7	0	2	1

7/31/2016 FTI BNL Repoli				0	Ouratan		Outlact bot	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	tatewide on-Youth	6%	23%	32%	14%	4%	3%	7%	11%
Active on BNL	2,212	134	507	709	303	86	65	154	254
	138	102	139	161	133	105	92	41	175
Median Days Active			139	101	133	105	92	41	170
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecoras)							
0	0% (3)	-	0% (2)	0% (1)	-	-	-	-	-
	2% (53) 4% (94)	3% (4) 1% (2)	3% (16) 5% (25) 10% (53)	0% (1) 2% (17) 5% (38) 11% (75)	2% (5) 3% (8)	1% (1)	- 8% (5)	1% (2)	3% (8) 4% (9) 5% (12)
3	8% (181)	4% (5)	10% (53)	11% (75)	5% (15)	5% (4) 12% (10)	8% (5) 5% (3)	2% (3) 5% (8)	5% (12)
	10% (215) 13% (292)	8% (11) 17% (23)	12% (61)	12% (83) 14% (101)	6% (17) 10% (30)	8% (7) 22% (10)	12% (8) 9% (6)	6% (10) 14% (22)	7% (18) 11% (28)
6	15% (326)	11% (15)	12% (62) 12% (62) 9% (46) 10% (53) 9% (44) 7% (36) 5% (23) 2% (8)	16% (111)	11% (33)	19% (16) 5% (4) 9% (8) 5% (4) 9% (8) 3% (3)	22% (14) 12% (8)	20% (31) 12% (18)	17% (44) 11% (28)
	10% (225) 11% (242)	10% (14) 16% (21)	9% (46) 10% (53)	11% (79) 8% (60)	9% (28) 12% (37)	5% (4) 9% (8)	12% (8) 14% (9)	12% (18) 12% (19)	11% (28) 14% (35)
9	8% (173)	16% (21) 7% (10)	9% (44)	8% (60) 6% (42)	10% (31)	5% (4)	6% (4)	12% (19) 6% (10)	14% (35) 11% (28)
	7% (158) 5% (111)	8% (11) 7% (10)	7% (36) 5% (23)	6% (41) 4% (30) 2% (12)	7% (27) 12% (37) 10% (31) 9% (27) 7% (22) 5% (15)	9% (8) 3% (3)	14% (9) 6% (4) 3% (2) 6% (4) 2% (1)	8% (12) 6% (9)	8% (21) 4% (10)
12	2% (52)	3% (4) 1% (2)	2% (8)	2% (12)	5% (15)	2% (2)	2% (1)	6% (9) 3% (5)	2% (5)
	2% (49) 1% (17)	1% (2) 1% (1)	2% (9) 0% (1)	2% (13) 1% (4)	6% (19) 3% (8)		2% (1) -	1% (2) 1% (1)	4% (10) 2% (5) 1% (3) 1% (2)
15	1% (18)	1% (1)	1% (4)	0% (1)	3% (8)			1% (2)	1% (2)
	0% (2) 0% (1)	} <del>-</del>	0% (1) -	0% (1) -					- 0% (1)
18	-	7.10	6.34	6.17	8.00	6.08	6.43	7.05	-
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.67 active reco		0.34	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.43	7.00	6.93
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	16	1	1	5	2	0	0	1	6
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	10	 	l 	o	۷	U	U	 	0
Chronic (Verified)	219	14	67	43	61	7	6	10	11
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness						· 			
Known Unsheltered	150	13	10	29	7	6	11	33	41
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	292	20	81	65	68	13	12	26	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	80	7	34	10	5	2	0	18	4
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	00	/	J4	10	ວ 	Z	U	10	4
Youth at Time of Assessment	36	4	6	9	10	2	1	2	2
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment		•	-						
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	nact 30 dave								
Newly Added									
Clients who have never been active before	226	21	51	43	29	13	11	38	20
Returned from Inactive	55	3	5	13	9	0	4	18	3
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	281	24	56	56	38	13	15	56	23
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	38	5	9	3	4	3	3	10	1
Housed - PSH									
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	29	0	12	4	6	3	0	3	1
Housed - RRH	21	0	 1	4	6	3	1	5	1
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	۷۱	U	l 	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J	l 		l 
Housed - All Other	8	0	1	2	1	0	1	3	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other			•		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
s Housed Outflow subtotal	96	5	23	13	17	9	5	21	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	57	27	13	6	2	1	3	4	1
Inactive - In an Institution									
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	2	1	1	0	0	1	4	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	J						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Inactive - All Other  W. Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	24	0	7	1	6	0	2	0	8
Chorke made madeive in past of days, an other reasons	00	20	21	0		1	6	0	
Other Outflow subtotal	90	29	21	8	8	•	6	8	9
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	186	34	44	21	25	10	11	29	12
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	95	-10	12	35	13	3	4	27	11

7/31/2018 FYI BNL Report					_		Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Families	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		200/						
	<b>Families</b>	9%	29%	17%	15%	5%	5%	12%	9%
Active on BNL	313	27	91	52	48	15	16	36	28
Median Days Active	102	92	118	90	89	96	88	120	158
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active r								
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
1	1% (2)	4% (1)							4% (1)
3	2% (6) 4% (14)		3% (3) 5% (5)	2% (1) 4% (2)	4% (2)	7% (1) 13% (2)	6% (1) -	- 6% (2)	- 4% (1)
4	9% (27)	4% (1)	9% (8)	4% (2) 13% (7)	4% (2) 6% (3)	7% (1)	13% (2)	11% (4)	4% (1) 4% (1)
5 6	14% (43) 17% (54)	4% (1) 26% (7)	18% (16) 8% (7)	12% (6) 17% (9)	15% (7) 15% (7)	7% (1) 20% (3)	- 31% (5)	22% (8) 22% (8)	14% (4) 29% (8)
7	11% (33)	4% (1) 22% (6) 7% (2)	7% (6)	10% (5) 8% (4)	15% (7) 17% (8) 6% (3)	13% (2)	19% (3)	17% (6) 3% (1) 11% (4)	11% (3) 7% (2) 7% (2)
8	12% (36) 9% (29)	22% (6) 7% (2)	12% (11) 15% (14)	8% (4) 6% (3)	17% (8) 6% (3)	7% (1) -	1 <u>9% (3)</u> 6% (1)	3% (1) 11% (4)	7% (2) 7% (2)
10	10% (32)	15% (4)	13% (12)	6% (3)	10% (5) 8% (4)	20% (3)	6% (1)	3% (1)	11% (3)
11	5% (15) 4% (12)	7% (2)	2% (2) 3% (3)	8% (4) 12% (6)	8% (4) 4% (2)	7% (1)	<u>-</u>	3% (1) 3% (1)	4% (1)
13	2% (5)	7% (2)	2% (2)	2% (1)	- 470 (2)	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	3 /0 (1) -	
14	0% (1)		1% (1)						- 40/ (4)
15 16	0% (1) 1% (2)	<del>-</del>	- 1% (1)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>				4% (1)
17	0% (1)								4% (1)
Average Assessment Score	7.20	7.89	7.31	7.48	7.35	6.53	6.50	6.36	7.29
Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.01	7.40	7.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.20
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance			•	-			^		
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	10	1	E	<b>n</b>	1	^	1	0	^
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	1	5	2	1	0	ı	0	0
Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0		U		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Matched/Awarded	78	5	19	23	15	5	7	4	0
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource		·					·	· 	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	27	0	1	1	0	0	0	23	2
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing			·						
Youth at Time of Assessment	77	4	16	12	12	4	2	23	4
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment									
nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added	44	5	11	5	6	4	3	5	5
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive		l							
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	0	0	3	3	0	1	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	52	5	11	8	9	4	4	5	6
		J	- 11		<u> </u>	-	7	<u> </u>	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
	e past 50 days.	1							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	8	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	1
Housed - PSH			. <b></b>						
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	8	0	4	1	1	1	0	1	0
Housed - RRH	^						^		
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0
Housed - All Other	4	^	^	^	4	^	^	^	^
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	23	0	7	3	6	4	0	2	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact		4	1		0	0	^		^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	1	 	2	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	U	U	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		ļ		·			·		
Inactive - All Other	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons			•		•				
Other Outflow subtotal	6	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	29	1	9	5	7	4	0	2	1
NET INFLOW	23	•	2	3	2			3	5

7/31/2018 FYI BNL REPORT						Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	ov with questions	
All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of			22%	33%	459/				440/
All li	ndividuals	6%	ZZ /0		15%	4%	2%	7%	11%
Active on BNI	2,209	122	488	722	336	88	55	155	243
Median Days Active	e 136	106	137	161	133	98	103	40	175
Assessment Score Distribution (am		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment sco		,							
0	0% (3) 2% (54)	2% (3)	0% (2) 3% (17) 6% (27)	0% (1) 2% (18)	- 2% (6)	- 1% (1)		- 1% (2)	- 3% (7)
2	4% (97)	2% (2)	6% (27)	5% (38)	2% (6) 3% (9)	5% (4)	7% (4)	3% (4)	4% (9)
3	8% (187) 10% (222)	5% (6) 8% (10)	11% (53) 12% (59)	10% (74) 12% (88)	5% (18) 5% (18)	10% (9) 10% (9)	7% (4) 7% (4) 13% (7)	6% (9) 8% (12)	6% (14) 8% (19)
5	13% (297)	20% (24)	11% (56) 13% (65)	15% (107)	10% (35) 10% (34)	20% (18) 22% (19)	11% (6) 16% (9)	15% (24) 18% (28)	11% (27)
6	14% (316) 11% (235)	9% (11) 12% (15)	13% (65)	16% (112)	10% (34) 11% (37)	22% (19)	16% (9) 11% (6)	18% (28) 12% (18)	16% (38) 11% (27)
8	11% (233)	14% (17) 7% (9)	9% (46) 10% (51)	11% (82) 9% (62) 6% (44) 6% (41)	11% (36)	5% (4) 9% (8) 5% (4)	11% (6)	12% (18) 13% (20)	14% (33)
9	8% (174)	7% (9)	8% (40) 7% (32) 5% (24)	6% (44)	11% (36) 8% (26) 7% (23)	5% (4)	9% (5)	6% (9) 8% (12) 5% (8)	11% (27)
10	7% (147) 5% (110)	8% (10) 7% (8)	5% (24)	4% (29)	7% (23)	6% (5) 3% (3)	4% (2) 7% (4)	5% (8)	8% (19) 5% (11)
12	2% (50)	3% (4)	1% (5)	1% (8) 2% (13)	5% (18)	5% (4)	2% (1) 2% (1)	3% (4) 1% (2)	2% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
13	2% (47) 1% (17)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (7) -	2% (13) 1% (4)	6% (20) 3% (9)	<u>-</u>	<u>2% (1)</u> -	1% (2) 1% (1)	1% (3) 1% (2)
15	1% (18)	1% (1)	1% (4)	0% (1)	3% (9) 3% (9) 1% (2)			1% (2)	0% (1)
16	0% (2)		<u>-</u> -		<u>1% (2)</u> -	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u> -
18		-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Average Assessment Scor		6.98	6.19	6.10	7.98	6.08	6.47	6.88	6.86
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active of			ad in multiple rowe	dononding on the	oir combination of oir	oumetaneae			
Refuses CAN Assistance	_1			-					
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence polic	1 14	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	6
Chronic (Verified	ix I	12	64	11	60	7	0	10	11
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessnes.		13	04	41	60	1	8	10	11
Known Unsheltere	d 156	14	11	29	7	6	12	34	43
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	d	17			, 		12	U <del>T</del>	70
Matched/Awarde	/4n	20	69	50	61	8	7	23	8
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	9								
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	9.1	10	40	9	13	2	0	16	3
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessmen	4								
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessmen	1 /h9	15	62	62	79	15	5	16	15
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in	the past 30 days.								
Newly Adde	d 224	10	ΕO	40	24	16	٥	27	16
Clients who have never been active before	e 231	18	52	49	34	16	9	37	16
Returned from Inactive	e 53	3	7	10	7	0	4	20	2
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	е				-				
Inflow to Active List TOTAL		21	59	59	41	16	13	57	18
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D	•								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in		I							
Housed - Self-Resolved	411	6	10	3	4	2	3	12	0
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolve <b>Housed - PSI</b>	1	ļ							
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSI	7.3	0	8	4	5	2	0	3	1
Housed - RRI	1	^	r	2	A	4	4	A	4
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRI	19	0	5	3	4	 	 	4	 
Housed - All Othe	· /	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	0
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	r						'		
Housed Outflow subtota		6	24	12	13	5	5	22	2
Inactive - Unable to Contac	i nn	30	17	4	3	1	5	5	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	rt   -	ļ		·		·	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	· 
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		2	1	1	0	0	1	4	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Decease	4	ļ							
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	. ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Othe					40	^	^	^	^
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		0	7	1	13	0	2	0	8
Other Outflow subtota		32	25	6	16	1	8	9	9
Outflow from Active List TOTAL		38	49	18	29	6	13	31	11
NET INFLOW		-17	10	41	12	10	0	26	7
1127 1117 2011			10	71	1-	10	•		Page 6

7/31/2016 FIT BNL Report							Contact Dec	au.anderson@ct.g	
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S Families (No		9%	31%	17%	16%	5%	6%	6%	10%
Active on BNL	244	23	76	42	38	11	15	14	25
Median Days Active	102	92	127	100	91	96	86	53	152
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score	ong active r								
1	1% (2)	- 4% (1)		<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		- 4% (1)
2	2% (4)		3% (2)	2% (1) 5% (2) 7% (3) 12% (5)		-	7% (1)		_
4	5% (12) 7% (18)	- 4% (1)	7% (5) 11% (8)	5% (2) 7% (3)	5% (2) 5% (2)	18% (2) 9% (1)	- 13% (2)	 7% (1)	4% <u>(1)</u>
5	14% (34)	4% (1)	20% (15) 9% (7) 7% (5)	12% (5)	16% (6)	9% (1)	-	14% (2)	16% (4)
6	18% (45) 10% (24)	22% (5) 4% (1)	9% (7) 7% (5)	19% (8) 12% (5)	18% (7) 11% (4)	18% (2) 9% (1)	33% (5) 13% (2)	29% (4) 21% (3)	28% (7) 12% (3)
8	11% (28)	22% (5) 9% (2) 13% (3)	8% (6)	10% (4) 7% (3) 5% (2) 5% (2) 12% (5)	18% (7)	9% (1)	20% (3) 7% (1)		8% (2)
9	9% (22) 9% (23)	9% (2)	14% (11) 11% (8)	7% (3)	18% (7) 3% (1) 11% (4)	27% (3)	7% (1) 7% (1)	14% (2)	8% (2) 8% (2)
11	5% (23)	9% (2)	3% (2)	5% (2)	8% (3)	- 21 /0 (3)	- 7.76 (1)	- 7% (1)	4% (1)
12	5% (11)		4% (3)	12% (5)	8% (3) 5% (2)			7% (1)	
13	2% (5) 0% (1)	9% (2)	3% (2) 1% (1)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
15	0% (1)		-						4% (1)
16	1% (2)		1% (1)	2% (1)					-
18	0% (1)	<del>-</del>		 -		<u>-</u>			4% (1) -
Average Assessment Score	7.27	7.96	7.16	7.57	7.26	6.55	6.47	7.14	7.36
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	1	4	2	1	0	1	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	65	3	15	19	13	5	6	4	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	2
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	8	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.	l							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before		4	9	4	4	2	3	3	5
Returned from Inactive  Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	0	0	3	3	0	1	0	1
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	4	9	7	7	2	4	3	6
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ys	7		•	,		<u>,                                      </u>		
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	0	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	1
Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	6	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	21	0	7	2	6	4	0	1	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	6	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	27	1	9	4	7	4	0	1	1
NET INFLOW	15	3	0	3	0	<u>-2</u>	4	2	5
NET INFLOW	13	J	U	J	U	-2	4		Page 7

7/31/2016 FTT BNL Report				Creater	Greater		OUNIQUE DO	au.anderson@ct.g	Waterbury/
Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
Percentage o	f Statewide							32%	
_	lies (Youth)	6%	22%	14%	14%	6%	1%	3270	4%
Active on Bl	NL 69	4	15	10	10	4	1	22	3
c Median Days Acti	ve 95	91	95	61	73	30	97	167	260
Assessment Score Distribution (a		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment s	core.	T -			-	-			
1	- 20/ (2)		- 70/ (4)						
3	3% (2) 3% (2)		7% (1) 	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	25% (1) -	<u>-</u>	9% (2)	<del>-</del>
4 5	13% (9) 13% (9)		- 7% (1)	40% (4) 10% (1)	10% (1) 10% (1)	<u>-</u>		14% (3) 27% (6)	33% (1) -
6 7	13% (9) 13% (9)	50% (2)	- 7% (1)	10% (1)	30% (3)	25% (1) 25% (1)	100% (1)	18% (4) 14% (3)	33% (1) -
8	12% (8) 10% (7)	25% (1) -	33% (5) 20% (3)		10% (1) 20% (2)			5% (1) 9% (2) 5% (1)	
10	- 13% (9) - 6% (4)	25% (1) -	27% (4)	10% (1) 20% (2)	10% (1) 10% (1)	- 25% (1)		5% (1)	33% (1)
12	1% (1)			10% (1)					
13		<del>-</del>							
15 1 <u>6</u>	-	<u></u>							
17 18	-		<del>-</del>			<b>-</b> -	-	<del>-</del>	
Average Assessment Sc		7.50	8.07	7.10	7.70	6.50	7.00	5.86	6.67
Status/Conditions Followed (amo Clients counted in each row below are currently active			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistan	ce <sub>0</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence po	icy								
Chronic (Verifie  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessn		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unshelter	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H Clients that are confirmed to be unshelte  Matched/Award	red	<b></b>							
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resou	· · I I.5	2	4	4	2	0	1	0	0
Enrolled in Transitional Housi	- ///	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional House Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Mont	_ <u></u>			4					
*K Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report of	ate 10	2	3	1	1	11	0	2	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL  Newly Add	مط		_						
Clients who have never been active bei	ore 10	1	2	1	2	2	0	2	0
Returned from Inacti	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now ac		1	2	1	2	2	0	2	0
Outflow from Active List: Past 30			<u>-</u>						•
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL	in the past 30 days.								
Housed - Self-Resolv  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resol		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - Ps	+	0	0	1	0	0	0	 1	0
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with F	SH Z		U	l 	U 	U	U	l 	U
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with R	1 (1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All Oth	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all of S Housed Outflow subto	her	0		1		0	0	1	
Inactive - Unable to Conta	-4	-	0	•	0	-	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to con-	act U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Instituti	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceas			^	^	^	^	^	^	^
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decea	sed U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Oth  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasi	- ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subto		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List TOTA		0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
z <b>NET INFLO</b>	W 8	1	2	0	2	2	0	1	0
NET INI EO	<b>,</b>	'							Page

	7/31/2016 FTI BNL REPOIL							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	<u>.</u>
	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S Individuals		5%	24%	23%	29%	5%	2%	6%	6%
В	Active on BNL	241	11	57	55	71	13	5	15	14
С	Median Days Active	92	159	83	104	98	76	104	48	97
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
	0	- 1% (3)	<u>-</u>	2% (1)	2% (1)	- 1% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>
	2	3% (7)	- 9% (1)	7% (4)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (1) 7% (5)	- 8% (1)	- 20% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	- 21% (3)
	4	7% (18) 10% (25)	-	9% (5) 11% (6)	15% (8)	4% (3) 15% (11)	23% (3)	20% (1)	20% (3) 27% (4)	7% (1)
	5	16% (39) 15% (35)	18% (2) 9% (1)	14% (8) 18% (10)	20% (11) 16% (9) 15% (8)	15% (11) 11% (8)	38% (5)	<del>-</del>	27% (4) 7% (1)	7% (1) 21% (3) 7% (1)
	7	14% (34)	18% (2)	9% (5)	15% (8)	18% (13)	8% (1)		20% (3)	14% (2)
	8	8% (19) 10% (23)	9% (1) 9% (1)	7% (4) 12% (7) 7% (4)	11% (6) 9% (5)	8% (6) 8% (6) 4% (3)	<u>8% (1)</u> -	40% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	- 7% (1)
	10	5% (12)	18% (2)	7% (4)	4% (2)	4% (3)		20% (1)		-
	12	4% (10) 4% (9)	- -	5% (3) -	11% (6) 9% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (4) 7% (5)	- 15% (2)			14% (2) 7% (1)
	13	1% (3)	9% (1)		2% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	-			
	14   15	0% (1) 0% (1)		<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	1% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u> </u>
	16	1% (2)				3% (2)				
	17 18	- -	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u> -	<del>-</del>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<del>-</del>	<u> </u>
E	Average Assessment Score	6.68	7.55	6.16	6.40	7.52	6.46	7.00	5.40	6.50
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
Н.	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	1	1	0	0	0	1 	1	2
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	19	3	3	4	6	0	1 	1	1
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	20	3	7	0	8	0	0	1	1
'K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	12	2	5	2	1	0	0	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	39	1	10	10	9	5	1	2	1
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	1	12	10	10	5	2	4	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	10	1	4	1	2	0	0	2	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	14	1	8	1	2	0	0	2	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	4	5	0	1	0	2	1	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	9	0	1	0	8	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	22	4	6	0	9	0	2	1	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	36 9	5	-2	1	11	0	2	3	0
4	NET INFLOW	9	-4	-2	9	-1	5	0	1	Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)				Greater	Greater				Waterbury/
		Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
	Percentage of S Individuals (No		6%	22%	34%	13%	4%	3%	7%	12%
A B	Active on BNL	1,968	111	431	667	265	75	50	140	229
c	Median Days Active	146	103	154	169	141	105	100	39	176
A	ssessment Score Distribution (amo									
	unt of all active records having each assessment score	·	,	00/ (0)	00/ (4)					
	1	0% (3) 3% (51)	3% (3)	0% (2) 4% (16) 5% (23)	0% (1) 3% (17)	2% (5)	1% (1)		1% (2)	3% (7) 4% (9)
	3	5% (90) 9% (169)	2% (2) 5% (5)	11% (48)	6% (37) 11% (73)	3% (8) 5% (13) 6% (15)	5% (4) 11% (8)	8% (4) 6% (3) 12% (6)	2% (3) 6% (8) 6% (9)	4% (9) 5% (11) 8% (18)
	5	10% (197) 13% (258)	9% (10) 20% (22)	12% (53)	12% (80) 14% (96)	6% (15) 9% (24)	8% (6) 24% (18)	12% (6) 12% (6)	14% (20)	8% (18) 10% (24)
	6	14% (281) 10% (201)	9% (10) 12% (13)	11% (48) 13% (55) 10% (41)	15% (103) 11% (74)	9% (24) 10% (26) 9% (24)	19% (14)	12% (6) 18% (9) 12% (6)	19% (27)	10% (24) 16% (37) 11% (25)
		11% (214) 8% (151)	14% (16)	11% (47)	8% (56)	9% (24) 11% (30)	4% (3) 9% (7) 5% (4) 7% (5)	12% (6)	11% (15) 14% (19)	11% (25) 14% (33)
	10	7% (135)	7% (8) 7% (8)	8% (33) 6% (28) 5% (21) 1% (5)	6% (39) 6% (39) 4% (28)	11% (30) 9% (23) 7% (19) 5% (13) 7% (19)	7% (5)	12% (6) 12% (6) 6% (3) 2% (1) 8% (4) 2% (1)	6% (8) 9% (12) 6% (8)	11% (26) 8% (19)
		5% (100) 2% (41)	7% (8) 4% (4)	5% (21) 1% (5)	1% (7)	7% (19) 5% (13)	4% (3) 3% (2)	8% (4) 2% (1)	3% (4)	4% (9) 2% (5) 1% (3)
	13	2% (44) 1% (16)	- 1% (1)	2% (7)	2% (12) 1% (4)	7% (19) 3% (8)		2% (1) -	1% (2) 1% (1)	1% (2)
	15	1% (17) -	1% (1) -	1% (4) -	0% (1)	3% (8)			1% (2)	0% (1)
	17	- -	- -	-						
E	Average Assessment Score	6.59	6.92	6.19	6.08	8.11	6.01	6.42	7.04	6.88
	tatus/Conditions Followed (among ents counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	14	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	6
F C	lients counted here are subject to due diligence policy			·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
G (	Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	210	13	63	41	60	7	5	10	11
	Known Unsheltered	150	13	10	29	7	6	11	33	41
H	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	227	17	66	46	55	8	6	22	7
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	73	7	33	9	5	2	0	15	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	28	4		7	0			4	
	tive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	28	4	5	7	8	2	0	1	1
	flow to Active List: Past 30 Days ents below were made active or added to the BNL in the	naet 30 dave								
Oil	Newly Added	,	17	40	20	25	11	0	25	15
L	Clients who have never been active before	192	17	42	39	25	11	8	35	15
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	47	3	5	10	6	0	3	18	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	239	20	47	49	31	11	11	53	17
	utflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Cli	ents below were made active or added to the BNL in the Housed - Self-Resolved									
0	Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	30	5	6	2	2	2	3	10	0
D	Housed - PSH	23	0	8	4	5	2	0	3	1
	Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	15	0	1 	3 	4 	1 	1 	4 	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	7	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	75	5	16	11	11	5	5	20	2
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	53	26	12	4	2	1	3	4	 1
T CI	ients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	2	1	1	0	0	1	4	0
V	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	22	^	6	1	E		·····	^	0
	lients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	22	0	6	1	5	0	2	0	8
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	84	28	19	6	7	1	6	8	9
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	159 80	33 -13	35 12	17 32	18 13	<u>6</u> 5	11 0	28 25	11 6
۷	NET INFLOW	00	-13	12	<b>J</b> Z	13	ี	U	20	<u> </u>

7/31/2018 FYI BNL Report								au.anderson@ct.	
Statewide BNL	All	All	All Non Youth	All	All	Families (Non Youth)	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 88%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth
	entage of	12%	00 70	12%	0070	10%	00/	10%	7 0 70
_	vide BNL						3%		
Active on BNL	2,522	310	2212	313	2209	244	69	241	1968
Median Days Active	131	92	138	102	136	102	95	92	146
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecords)							
0	0% (3)	-	0% (3)	-	0% (3)	-	-	-	0% (3) 3% (51)
	2% (56) 4% (103)	1% (3) 3% (9)	0% (3) 2% (53) 4% (94)	1% (2) 2% (6)	0% (3) 2% (54) 4% (97)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (2)	1% (3) 3% (7)	5% (90)
3	8% (201)	6% (20)	8% (181)	4% (14) 9% (27)	8% (187)	5% (12) 7% (18)	3% (2) 13% (9)	7% (18)	9% (169) 10% (197)
	10% (249) 13% (340)	6% (20) 11% (34) 15% (48) 14% (44)	8% (181) 10% (215) 13% (292) 15% (326)	9% (27) 14% (43) 17% (54)	10% (222) 13% (297) 14% (316)	7% (18) 14% (34)	13% (9) 13% (9)	10% (25) 16% (39)	13% (258)
	15% (370) 11% (268)	14% (44)	15% (326) 10% (225)	17% (54)	14% (316) 11% (235)	14% (34) 18% (45) 10% (24) 11% (28)	13% (9) 13% (9) 13% (9) 13% (9) 12% (8) 10% (7)	7% (18) 10% (25) 16% (39) 15% (35) 14% (34) 8% (19) 10% (23)	14% (281)
8	11% (269)	14% (43) 9% (27) 10% (30)	11% (242)	12% (36)	11% (235) 11% (233) 8% (174)	11% (28)	12% (8)	8% (19)	10% (201) 11% (214)
	8% (203) 7% (179)	10% (30) 7% (21)	8% (173) 7% (158)	17% (34) 11% (33) 12% (36) 9% (29) 10% (32) 5% (15)	8% (174) 7% (147)	9% (22) 9% (23)	13% (9)	5% (IZ)	8% (151) 7% (135)
11	5% (125)	5% (14)	10% (225) 11% (242) 8% (173) 7% (158) 5% (111) 2% (52) 2% (49) 1% (17)	5% (15)	7% (147) 5% (110)	5% (11)	6% (4) 1% (1)	4% (10)	7% (135) 5% (100)
13	2% (62) 2% (52)	3% (10) 1% (3)	2% (32)	2% (5)	2% (30)	2% (5)	- 170 (1)	4% (9) 1% (3) 0% (1)	2% (41) 2% (44)
	1% (18) 1% (19)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (10)	3% (13) 4% (12) 2% (5) 0% (1) 0% (1) 1% (2)	2% (50) 2% (47) 1% (17) 1% (18) 0% (2)	9% (22) 9% (23) 5% (11) 5% (11) 2% (5) 0% (1) 0% (1)	<del>-</del>	0% (1)	1% (16) 1% (17)
16	0% (4)	1% (2)	0% (2)	1% (2)	0% (2)	1% (2) 0% (1)		1% (2)	
18	0% (1) -		0% (1) -	- 0% (1) -	<u>-</u>	-	-		<u> </u>
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.68	6.75	6.67	7.20	6.60	7.27	6.97	6.68	6.59
Status/Conditions Followed (among a Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the conditions of the conditions are currently active on the conditions.			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	16	0	16	2	14	2	0	0	14
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	224	5	219	10	214	9	1	4	210
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	156	6	150	0	156	0	0	6	150
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	324	32	292	78	246	65	13	19	227
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	120	40	80	27	93	7	20	20	73
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	346	310	36	77	269	8	69	241	28
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	nact 30 days								
Newly Added	275	49	226	44	231	34	10	39	192
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	61	6	 55	8	53	8	0	6	47
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	336	55	281	52	284	42	10	45	239
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	48	10	38	8	40	8	0	10	30
Housed - PSH	31	2	29	8	23	6	2	0	23
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	25	4	21	6	19	6	0	4	15
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	8	0	 8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	112	16	96	23	89	21	2	14	75
Inactive - Unable to Contact									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	70	13	57 	4	66	4 	0	13	53
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	33	9	24	2	31	2	0	9	22
Other Outflow subtotal	112	22	90	6	106	6	0	22	84
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	224	38	186	29	195	27	2	36	159
NET INFLOW	112	17	95	23	89	15	8	9	80

7/31/2018 FYI BNL Report	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Familias			erson@ct.gov with question wit	
Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Yout	
Perc	entage of		90%		82%		,	,	74%	
	tral CAN	10%		18%		15%	3%	7%		
Active on BNL	149	15	134	27	122	23	4	11	111	
Median Days Active	102	155	102	92	106	92	91	159	103	
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)								
1	- 3% (4)		3% (4)	- 4% (1)	2% (3)	- 4% (1)			3% (3)	
2	1% (2)		1% (2)		2% (2)				2% (2)	
4	4% (6) 7% (11)	7% (1) -	4% (5) 8% (11)	- 4% (1)	5% (6) 8% (10)	- 4% (1)		9% (1) -	5% (5) 9% (10)	
5	17% (25)	13% (2) 20% (3)	17% (23) 11% (15)	4% (1)	20% (24)	4% (1)	-	18% (2) 9% (1)	20% (22) 9% (10)	
6	12% (18) 11% (16)	13% (2)	10% (14)	4% (1)	12% (15)	22% (5) 4% (1)	50% (2) -	18% (2)	12% (13)	
9	15% (23)	13% (2) 13% (2) 7% (1) 20% (3)	10% (14) 16% (21) 7% (10) 8% (11)	26% (7) 4% (1) 22% (6) 7% (2) 15% (4)	9% (11) 12% (15) 14% (17) 7% (9)	4% (1) 22% (5) 9% (2) 13% (3)	25% (1)	18% (2) 9% (1) 9% (1)	14% (16) 7% (8)	
10	7% (11) 9% (14)	20% (3)	8% (11)	15% (4)	7% (9) 8% (10)	13% (3)	25% (1)	18% (2)	7% (8) 7% (8) 7% (8)	
11	7% (10) 3% (4)	-	7% (10) 3% (4)	7% (2)	8% (10) 7% (8) 3% (4)	9% (2)	-	-	7% (8) 4% (4)	
13	2% (3)	7% (1)	1% (2)	7% (2)	1% (1)	9% (2)	-	9% (1)	_	
14 15	1% (1) 1% (1)		1% (1) 1% (1)		1% (1) 1% (1)		<u>-</u>		1% (1) 1% (1)	
16	-									
17 18	-	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	
Average Assessment Score	7.14	7.53	7.10	7.89	6.98	7.96	7.50	7.55	6.92	
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		•	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.				
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	14	0	14	1	13	1	0	0	13	
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	14	1	13	0	14	0	0	1	13	
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	25	5	20	5	20	3	2	3	17	
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	3	7	0	10	0	0	3	7	
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	15	4	4	15	0	4	11	4	
nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 days									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	23	2	21	5	18	4	1	1	17	
Returned from Inactive	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	26	2	24	5	21	4	1	1	20	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da					<u> </u>	7	<u> </u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	20	
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Housed - Self-Resolved				_	_	_				
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved Housed - PSH	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5	
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact  Inactive - In an Institution	31	4	27	1	30	1	0	4	26	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Outflow subtotal	33	4	29	1	32	1	0	4	28	
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	39	5	34	1	38	1	0	5	33	
NET INFLOW	-13	-3	-10	4	-17	3	1	-4	-13	

Fairfield County CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Pero Fairfield Co	entage of	12%	88%	16%	84%	13%	3%	10%	74%
A Active on BNL		72	507	91	488	76	15	57	431
c Median Days Active		86	139	118	137	127	95	83	154
Assessment Score Distribution (am			100	110	101	12,			101
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	e.	,	00( (0)		00/ (0)				00/ (0)
0	0% (2) 3% (17)	1% (1)	0% (2) 3% (16) 5% (25) 10% (53)		0% (2) 3% (17) 6% (27) 11% (53)			2% (1)	0% (2) 4% (16) 5% (23)
3	5% (30) 10% (58)	7% (5) 7% (5)	5% (25) 10% (53)	3% (3) 5% (5)	6% (27) 11% (53)	3% (2) 7% (5)	<u>7% (1)</u> 	2% (1) 7% (4) 9% (5)	11% (48)
5	12% (67) 12% (72)	8% (6) 13% (9)	12% (61)	9% (8) 18% (16)	12% (59) 11% (56)	11% (8) 20% (15)	- 7% (1)	11% (6) 14% (8)	12% (53) 11% (48)
6 7	12% (72) 9% (52)	14% (10) 8% (6)	12% (62) 9% (46)	9% (8) 18% (16) 8% (7) 7% (6)	13% (65) 9% (46) 10% (51) 8% (40) 7% (32)	9% (7) 7% (5)	- 7% (1)	18% (10) 9% (5)	13% (55) 10% (41)
8	11% (62) 9% (54)	13% (9) 14% (10) 11% (8)	10% (53) 9% (44)	12% (11) 15% (14)	10% (51) 8% (40)	8% (6) 14% (11)	7% (1) 33% (5) 20% (3) 27% (4)	7% (4) 12% (7) 7% (4)	11% (47) 8% (33)
10	8% (44) 4% (26)	11% (8) 4% (3)	12% (62) 12% (62) 9% (46) 10% (53) 9% (44) 7% (36) 5% (23) 2% (8)	12% (11) 15% (14) 13% (12) 2% (2) 3% (3)	7% (32) 5% (24) 1% (5)	20% (15) 9% (7) 7% (5) 8% (6) 14% (11) 11% (8) 3% (2) 4% (3) 3% (2)	27% (4) -	7% (4) 5% (3)	6% (28)
13	1% (8) 2% (9)	-	2% (8) 2% (9)	3% (3) 2% (2)	1% (5) 1% (7)	4% (3) 3% (2)	-		5% (21) 1% (5) 2% (7)
14	0% (1) 1% (4)		2% (9) 0% (1) 1% (4)	2% (2) 1% (1)	- 1% (4)	1% (1) -			- 1% (4)
16	0% (1)		1% (4) 0% (1)	1% (1) -		1% (1) -	<u>-</u>		
E Average Assessment Score	6.37	6.56	6.34	7.31	6.19	7.16	8.07	6.16	6.19
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)							
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or Refuses CAN Assistance		Ī	ted in multiple rows	, ,					
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	<u> </u>	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1 
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	09	2	67	5	64	4	1	1	63
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1 11	1	10	0	11	0	0	1	10
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	1 77	7	81	19	69	15	4	3	66
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	41	7	34	1	40	1	0	7	33
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	78	72	6	16	62	1	15	57	5
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t									
Newly Added		12	51	11	52	9	2	10	42
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive	<b>.</b>	<b></b>							
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	·	2	5	0	7	0	0	2	5
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	70	14	56	11	59	9	2	12	47
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t									
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	1.5	4	9	3	10	3	0	4	6
Housed - PSH	- +	0	12	4	8	4	0	0	8
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	<b>.</b>	4	12 1	0	5 5	0	0	4	 1
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	. 1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
S Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact		8	23	7	24	7	0	8	16
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	10	5	13	1 	17	1 	0	5 	12
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	<u> </u>	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	1	7	1	7	1	0	1	6
X Other Outflow subtotal	27	6	21	2	25	2	0	6	19
y Outflow from Active List TOTAL z NET INFLOW	58 12	14	44	9	49	9	0	14	35
NET INFLOW	12	0	12	2	10	0	2	-2	<b>12</b> Page 13

Oraștan Hartfand CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Greater Hartford CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of		92%		93%				86%
A Greater Harti	ford CAN	8%		7%		5%	1%	7%	
Active on BNL	774	65	709	52	722	42	10	55	667
c Median Days Active	154	92	161	90	161	100	61	104	169
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0	0% (1)	-	0% (1)		0% (1)				0% (1)
2	2% (18) 5% (39)	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (1) 2% (17) 5% (38) 11% (75)	2% (1) 4% (2)	0% (1) 2% (18) 5% (38) 10% (74)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (1) 3% (17) 6% (37) 11% (73)
4	10% (76) 12% (95)	2% (1) 18% (12)	11% (75) 12% (83) 14% (101)	4% (2) 13% (7) 12% (6)	10% (74) 12% (88) 15% (107)	5% (2) 7% (3)	40% (4)	2% (1) 15% (8)	11% (73) 12% (80) 14% (96)
	15% (113) 16% (121)	18% (12) 15% (10) 12% (8)	16% (111)	12% (6) 17% (9)	16% (112)	12% (5) 19% (8)	10% (1) 10% (1)	15% (8) 20% (11) 16% (9) 15% (8)	14% (96) 15% (103) 11% (74)
	11% (87) 9% (66)	12% (8) 9% (6)	110/ /70\	17% (9) 10% (5) 8% (4)	11% (82) 9% (62)	2% (1) 5% (2) 7% (3) 12% (5) 19% (8) 12% (5) 10% (4) 7% (3)		15% (8) 11% (6)	11% (74) 8% (56)
9	6% (47) 6% (44)	9% (6) 8% (5) 5% (3)	6% (42) 6% (41)	6% (3) 6% (3)	11% (82) 9% (62) 6% (44) 6% (41) 4% (29)	7% (3) 5% (2)	10% (1)	9% (5) 4% (2)	8% (56) 6% (39) 6% (39)
11	4% (33) 2% (14)	5% (3) 3% (2)	8% (60) 6% (42) 6% (41) 4% (30) 2% (12) 2% (13) 1% (4)	8% (4) 6% (3) 6% (3) 8% (4) 12% (6)	4% (29) 1% (8)	5% (2) 5% (2) 12% (5) 2% (1)	20% (2) 10% (1)	11% (6) 9% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (28) 1% (7)
13	2% (14)	2% (1)	2% (13)	2% (1)	2% (13) 1% (4)	2% (1)		2% (1)	2% (12) 1% (4)
15	1% (4) 0% (1)	<del>-</del>	0% (1)		1% (4) 0% (1)				0% (1)
17	0% (1) -	<del>-</del>	0% (1) 	2% (1) -	- -	2% (1)	<del>-</del>		<u>-</u> -
E Average Assessment Score	6.20	- 6.51	- 6.17	7.48	6.10	7.57	- 7.10	6.40	6.08
Status/Conditions Followed (among						. ,			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on  Refuses CAN Assistance									_
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	43	0	43	2	41	2	0	0	41
Known Unsheltered	29	0	29	0	29	0	0	0	29
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	29	0	29			U			
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	73	8	65	23	50	19	4	4	46
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	74	65	9	12	62	2	10	55	7
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added			- 10	_					
Clients who have never been active before	54	11	43	5	49	4	1	10	39
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	13	0	13	3	10	3	0	0	10
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	67	11	56	8	59	7	1	10	49
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	ys								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T				T			
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	4	1	3	1	3	1	0	1	2
Housed - PSH	5	1	4	1	4	0	1	0	4
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH									
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	4	0	4	1 	3	1 	0	0	3
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
s Housed Outflow subtotal	15	2	13	3	12	2	1	1	11
Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	0	6	2	4	2	0	0	4
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1 
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	1	0	1	0	 1	0	0	0	 1
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	8	2	6	2	0	0	6 17
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL Z NET INFLOW	23 44	9	21 35	5 3	18 41	3	<u>1</u>	9	17 32
ALT INFLOW	77	J	30	J	41	J	U	3	Page 14

7/01/2010 111 BINE REPORT	8 FYI BNL Report Contact beau anderson@ct.gov with questi								
<b>Greater New Haven CAN</b>	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals	
		Youth	79%	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	21%	1370	13%	0070	10%	3%	18%	0370
Greater New Ha		0.4	200		000			74	205
Active on BNL	384	81	303	48	336	38	10	71	265
Median Days Active	119	96	133	89	133	91	73	98	141
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecoras)							
0	-	-	-	-	-	-			
1	2% (6) 2% (9)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (5) 3% (8)		2% (6) 3% (9)		<u>-</u>	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (5) 3% (8)
3	5% (20)	6% (5)	5% (15) 6% (17)	4% (2) 6% (3)	5% (18) 5% (18)	5% (2) 5% (2)	-	7% (5) 4% (3)	5% (13) 6% (15)
5	5% (21) 11% (42)	5% (4) 15% (12)	6% (17) 10% (30)	15% (7)	5% (18) 10% (35)	5% (2) 16% (6)	10% (1) 10% (1)	4% (3) 15% (11)	6% (15) 9% (24)
6	11% (41)	10% (8)	10% (30) 11% (33)	15% (7)	10% (34)	18% (7)	30% (3)	11% (8)	9% (24) 10% (26)
7	11% (44) 11% (44)	20% (16) 9% (7)	9% (28) 12% (37) 10% (31)	15% (7) 17% (8) 6% (3)	11% (37) 11% (36)	11% (4) 18% (7)	10% (1)	18% (13) 8% (6)	9% (24) 11% (30)
9	10% (39)	10% (8)	10% (31)	6% (3)	11% (36)	18% (7) 3% (1) 11% (4)	20% (2) 10% (1)	8% (6)	11% (30) 9% (23) 7% (19)
11	8% (31) 7% (27)	5% (4) 6% (5) 6% (5)	9% (27) 7% (22)	10% (5) 8% (4)	8% (26) 7% (23) 5% (18) 6% (20)	8% (3) 5% (2)	10% (1)	4% (3) 6% (4)	7% (23) 7% (19)
12	5% (20)	6% (5) 1% (1)	5% (15) 6% (19)	4% (2)	5% (18)	5% (2)		7% (5) 1% (1)	5% (13) 7% (19)
14	5% (20) 2% (9)	1% (1)	3% (8)		3% (9) 3% (9)	<u>-</u>		1% (1)	3% (8)
15	2% (9) 1% (2)	1% (1) 2% (2)	3% (8)	<u>-</u>	3% (9) 1% (2)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	1% (1) 3% (2)	3% (8)
17	-	- 270 (2)				<u>-</u>			
Average Assessment Score	7.90	7.54	8.00	7.35	7.98	7.26	7.70	7.52	8.11
Status/Conditions Followed (among									
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be count	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)	61	0	61	1	60	1	0	0	60
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	7	0	 7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									·
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	76	8	68 	15	61	13	2	6	55
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	8	5	0	13	0	0	8	5
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	91	81	10	12	79	2	10	71	8
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100.1								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th Newly Added	e past 30 days.	11	20	6	24	4	2	0	25
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive		11	29	6	34	4	2	9	25
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	10	1	9	3	7	3	0	1	6
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	50	12	38	9	41	7	2	10	31
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	6	2	4	2	4	2	0	2	2
Housed - PSH	6	0	6	1	5	1	0	0	5
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	6	0	6	2	4	2	0	0	4
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				۷ ,		<u></u>			
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	19	2	17	6	13	6	0	2	11
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1 	2
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	14	8	6	1	13	1	0	8	5
Other Outflow subtotal	17	9	8	1	16	1	0	9	7
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	36	11	25	7	29	7	0	11	18
NET INFLOW	14	1	13	2	12	0	2	-1	13

MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.g Individuals	Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 85%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of MW CAN	17%	03 /6	15%	0376	11%	4%	13%	13/6
Active on BNL	103	17	86	15	88	11	4	13	75
c Median Days Active	96	55	105	96	98	96	30	76	105
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 2	1% (1) 5% (5)	- 6% (1)	1% (1) 5% (4)	- 7% (1)	1% (1) 5% (4)	-	- 25% (1)	-	1% (1) 5% (4)
3	11% (11) 10% (10)	6% (1) 18% (3)	12% (10)	13% (2)	10% (9) 10% (9)	18% (2) 9% (1)		8% (1) 23% (3)	5% (4) 11% (8) 8% (6)
5	18% (19) 21% (22)	-	8% (7) 22% (19) 19% (16)	7% (1) 7% (1)	20% (18) 22% (19)	9% (1)	- 25% (1)	38% (5)	8% (6) 24% (18)
7 8	6% (6) 9% (9)	35% (6) 12% (2) 6% (1)	5% (4) 9% (8)	20% (3) 13% (2) 7% (1)	5% (4) 9% (8)	18% (2) 9% (1) 9% (1) 18% (2) 9% (1) 9% (1)	25% (1)	8% (1) 8% (1)	19% (14) 4% (3) 9% (7) 5% (4) 7% (5)
9	4% (4) 8% (8)		5% (4) 9% (8)	20% (3)	5% (4) 6% (5)	- 27% (3)	<u>-</u>	0%(1)	5% (4)
10	4% (4)	6% (1)	3% (3) 2% (2)	7% (1)	3% (3)		25% (1)	- 450/ (2)	4% (3) 3% (2)
13	4% (4) -	12% (2) -			5% (4) -		<u>-</u>	15% (2) 	3% (Z) -
15	-	<del>-</del>			<u> </u>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>
16	-	<del>-</del>			<u>-</u> 		<u>-</u> <u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
E Average Assessment Score	6.15	6.47	6.08	6.53	6.08	- 6.55	6.50	6.46	6.01
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Known Unsheltered  H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	13	0	13	5	8	5	0	0	8
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	17	2	4	15	0	4	13	2
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 days								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	20	7	13	4	16	2	2	5	11
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	20	7	13		16	-	2	5	11
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			13	4	10	2		<u> </u>	11
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Housed - PSH P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Housed - RRH  Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Housed - All Other  Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	9	0	9	4	5	4	0	0	5
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - In an Institution  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	10	0	10	4	6	4	0	0	6
z NET INFLOW	10	7	3	0	10	-2	2	5	<b>5</b> Page 16

<u> </u>	31/2016 FTI BNL Kepoli	All	All	AU	AU	AU	Famili		au.anderson@ct.g	<u> </u>
	Northeast CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		92%		77%				70%
Α		east CAN	8%		23%		21%	1%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	71	6	65	16	55	15	1	5	50
С	Median Days Active	96	101	92	88	103	86	97	104	100
As	sessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
	nt of all active records having each assessment score.									
	0 1	- -	- -					<u>-</u>		
		7% (5) 6% (4)	- 17% (1)	8% (5) 5% (3)	<u>6% (1)</u> -	7% (4) 7% (4)	7% (1) -		20% (1)	8% (4) 6% (3) 12% (6) 12% (6)
	4	13% (9)	17% (1)	12% (8) 9% (6)	13% (2)	13% (7) 11% (6)	13% (2)		20% (1)	12% (6)
	6	8% (6) 20% (14)		22% (14) 12% (8)	31% (5)	16% (9)	33% (5)			
		13% (9) 13% (9)	17% (1) -	12% (8) 14% (9) 6% (4)	19% (3) 19% (3)	11% (6) 11% (6)	33% (5) 13% (2) 20% (3) 7% (1)	100% (1)		12% (6) 12% (6) 6% (3) 2% (1) 8% (4) 2% (1) 2% (1)
		8% (6) 4% (3)	33% (2) 17% (1)	6% (4) 3% (2)	19% (3) 6% (1) 6% (1)	9% (5) 4% (2) 7% (4) 2% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)		40% (2) 20% (1)	6% (3) 2% (1)
	11	6% (4)		3% (2) 6% (4) 2% (1)		7% (4)				8% (4)
	13	1% (1) 1% (1)	-	2% (1)		2% (1) 2% (1)				2% (1) 2% (1)
	14 15	- -	<u></u>			<u>-</u>	 	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
	16	- -	-							-
F	18	- 0.40	- 700				- 6.47	- 7.00	- 7.00	
Sta	Average Assessment Score atus/Conditions Followed (among	6.48 active reco	7.00 rds)	6.43	6.50	6.47	6.47	7.00	7.00	6.42
	nts counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances.			
F Cli	Refuses CAN Assistance ents counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Chronic (Verified) lients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	9	3	6	1	8	1	0	3	5
н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12	1	11	0	12	0	0	1	11
`	Matched/Awarded	14	2	12	7	7	6	1	1	6
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Youth at Time of Assessment	7	6	 1	2	5	1	1	5	0
	ive clients who were under 25 at time of assessment low to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	nts below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	12	1	11	3	9	3	0	1	8
.]	Returned from Inactive	5	1	4	1	4	1	0	1	3
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	17	2	15	4	13	1		· ·	11
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL   tflow from Active List: Past 30 Day			10	4	13	4	0	2	11
	nts below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
	Housed - Self-Resolved	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
0	Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved  Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
T Clie	Inactive - Unable to Contact onts made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	2	3	0	5	0	0	2	3
	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V	lients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution  Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
	ents made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	2	6	0	8	0	0	2	6
Y	NET INFLOW	13 4	2	11	0	13	0	0	2	11
۷	NEI INFLOW	4	0	4	4	0	4	0	0	<b>0</b> Page 17

7/31/2016 FTI BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of		81%		81%	,	, ,	, ,	73%
	east CAN	19%		19%		7%	12%	8%	
Active on BNL	191	37	154	36	155	14	22	15	140
Median Days Active	49	89	41	120	40	53	167	48	39
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	). -	_		_				-	
1	1% (2) 2% (4)		1% (2) 2% (3)		1% (2) 3% (4)			-	1% (2)
3	6% (11)	3% (1) 8% (3)	5% (8)	6% (2)	6% (9)	<del>-</del>	9% (2)	7% (1) 7% (1)	2% (3) 6% (8) 6% (9) 14% (20)
5	8% (16) 17% (32)	16% (6) 27% (10)	6% (10) 14% (22)	11% (4) 22% (8)	8% (12) 15% (24) 18% (28)	7% (1) 14% (2)	14% (3) 27% (6)	20% (3) 27% (4)	6% (9) 14% (20)
6	19% (36) 13% (24)	14% (5) 16% (6)	5% (10) 14% (22) 20% (31) 12% (18) 12% (19) 6% (10) 8% (12)	11% (4) 22% (8) 22% (8) 17% (6) 3% (1)	18% (28) 12% (18)	7% (1) 14% (2) 29% (4) 21% (3)	14% (3) 27% (6) 18% (4) 14% (3)	7% (1)	19% (27) 11% (15)
8 9	11% (21) 7% (13)	5% (2) 8% (3)	12% (19)	11% (4)	12% (18) 13% (20)	- 14% (2)	5% (1)	20% (3) 7% (1) 7% (1)	14% (19) 6% (8)
10	7% (13)	3% (1)	8% (12)	3% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1) 3% (1)	6% (9) 8% (12)	7% (1)	9% (2) 5% (1)		9% (12)
11 12	5% (9) 3% (5)	<del>-</del>	6% (9) 3% (5)	3% (1)	5% (8) 3% (4)	7% (1) 7% (1)	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	6% (8) 3% (4)
13	1% (2) 1% (1)	- -	1% (2) 1% (1)		1% (2) 1% (1)				1% (2) 1% (1)
15	1% (2)	-	1% (2) -	-	1% (2) -	-	-	- - -	1% (2) -
17	-		<u>-</u>				 		-
Average Assessment Score	6.78	5.68	7.05	6.36	6.88	7.14	5.86	5.40	7.04
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of	circumstances			
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy					I		·		l 
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Known Unsheltered	34	1	33	0	34	0	0	1	33
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	J4	' 						! 	
Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	27	1	26	4	23	4	0	1	22
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	21	18	23	16	3	20	1	15
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	39	37	2	23	16	1	22	15	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	42	4	38	5	37	3	2	2	35
Returned from Inactive	20	2	18	0	20	0	0	2	18
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	62	6	56	5	57	3	2	4	53
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		U	30	J	37	J			J3
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	•								
Housed - Self-Resolved	12	2	10	0	12	0	0	2	10
Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved  Housed - PSH	 								
Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH	4	1	3	1 	3	0	1	0	3
Housed - RRH	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	 	^		^					^
Clients housed in past 30 days, all other	ა	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Housed Outflow subtotal	24	3	21	2	22	1	1	2	20
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
Inactive - In an Institution	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	 								
Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons  Other Outflow subtotal	9							1	8
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<b>33</b>	1 4	<u>8</u> <b>29</b>	<u>0</u>	9 <b>31</b>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> 1	3	<u>8</u> 28
Z NET INFLOW	29	2	27	3	26	2	1	1	25
		_		•		_	•	•	Page 18

7/31/2016 FTI BNL Repoli	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	au.anderson@ct.g	Individuals
Waterbury Litchfield CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Porce	entage of		94%		90%	(11011 10011)	(10001)	( · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	85%
Waterbury Litcht	•	6%		10%		9%	1%	5%	
			054	00	040				000
Active on BNL	271	17	254	28	243	25	3	14	229
c Median Days Active	175	98	175	158	175	152	260	97	176
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score  0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
1	3% (8)		3% (8) 4% (9)	4% (1)	3% (7)	4% (1)			3% (7) 4% (9) 5% (11)
3	3% (9) 6% (15)	18% (3)	5% (12)	4% (1)	4% (9) 6% (14)	4% (1)	<del>-</del>	21% (3)	5% (11)
4	7% (20)	12% (2) 18% (3)	7% (18)	4% (1)	8% (19) 11% (27)	- 100/ (4)	33% (1)	7% (1)	8% (18) 10% (24)
6	11% (31) 17% (46)	12% (2)	11% (28) 17% (44) 11% (28)	4% (1) 14% (4) 29% (8) 11% (3)	16% (38)	28% (7)	33% (1)	21% (3) 7% (1)	16% (24) 16% (37) 11% (25)
7	11% (30)	12% (2)	11% (28)	11% (3)	11% (27)	16% (4) 28% (7) 12% (3) 8% (2) 8% (2) 8% (2)		14% (2)	11% (25)
8	13% (35) 11% (29)	6% (1)	14% (35) 11% (28)	7% (2) 7% (2)	14% (33) 11% (27) 8% (19) 5% (11) 2% (6)	8% (2)	<del>-</del>	7% (1)	14% (33) 11% (26)
10	8% (22)	6% (1) 12% (2)	8% (21)	11% (3) 4% (1)	8% (19)	8% (2)	33% (1)	- 14% (2)	8% (19)
11 12	4% (12) 2% (6)	6% (1)	8% (21) 4% (10) 2% (5)	470 (1)	2% (6)	4% (1) -		7% (1)	4% (9) 2% (5) 1% (3) 1% (2)
13 14	1% (3)		1% (3) 1% (2)		1% (3) 1% (2)				1% (3)
15	1% (2) 1% (2)		1% (2) 1% (2)	4% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	- 4% (1)			1% (2) 0% (1)
16	-		- 0% (1)	-	-	-			
18	0% (1) -	<u>-</u>	-	4% (1) -		4% (1) -	<u>-</u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
Average Assessment Score	6.90	6.53	6.93	7.29	6.86	7.36	6.67	6.50	6.88
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			tad in multinla rows	denending on the	air comhination of c	ircumetances			
Refuses CAN Assistance							-		
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Chronic (Verified)	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	11
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	11	U	11	U		U	U	<u> </u>	11
Known Unsheltered	43	2	41	0	43	0	0	2	41
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered									
Matched/Awarded	8	1	7	0	8	0	0	1	7
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing									
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2
Youth at Time of Assessment	19	17	2	4	15	1	3	14	1
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	17		4	10	l l	<u> </u>	14	<u> </u>
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	l							
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	21	1	20	5	16	5	0	1	15
Returned from Inactive									
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	24	1	23	6	18	6	0	1	17
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Housed - Self-Resolved	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
O Clients housed in the past 30 days, self-resolved	' 	ļ							
Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
P Clients housed in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	l						-		
Q Clients housed in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R Clients housed in past 30 days, all other		-		-					
s Housed Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact		ļ							
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased									
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		U	0	U		U	U	U	
X Other Outflow subtotal	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	9
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	12	0	12	1	11	1	0	0	11
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	12	1	11	5	7	5	0	1	6
									Page 19

### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

**Row C** – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

### STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

**Row Q** - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

**Row T** – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

**Row Y** - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).