Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth	1)						
255 no change full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered Matched to House									
2 no change		11	9 st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	24	1	13						
Eastern	25	0	15						
Fairfield County	86	0	33						
Greater Hartford	40	0	20						
Greater New Haven	37	1	19						
MMW	16	0	7						
Northwest	27	0	12						

dividua	ıls (Youth)									
139 -10 from last week										
full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9										
	Matched to	Housing								
10 43										
	-5 from la	st week								
Active	Unsheltered	Matched								
20	2	6								
17	4	7								
26	0	9								
31	0	10								
20	3	5								
18	0	4								
7	1	2								
	Active 20 17 26 31 20 18	Matched to Mat								

is below.									
Active I	Families	(Youth)							
36 no change full details for Active Families (Youth) on pg. 8									
Known Unsheltered			Housing						
no change		no cha) ange						
no change	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	3	0	1						
Eastern	16	0	0						
Fairfield County	9	0	3						
Greater Hartford	1	0	0						
	4	0	1						
Greater New Haven	4	O	Т						
Greater New Haven	2	0	0						
			_						

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) 1,704 +25 from last week									
full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10									
Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing									
245 361									
no change		-5 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	123	39	26						
Eastern	147	27	55						
Fairfield County	348	1	80						
Greater Hartford	479	54	114						
Greater New Haven	339	111	55						
MMW	116	5	10						
Northwest	152	8	21						
			Page 1						

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S								
Α	_	Records	8%	10%	22%	26%	19%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	2,134	170	205	469	551	400	152	187
С	Median Days Active	99	121	99	158	76	115	79	95
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (1) 2% (34)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 2% (9)	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (4)
	3	4% (76) 7% (159)	4% (6)	3% (6) 4% (9)	5% (24) 10% (48)	3% (18) 8% (42)	3% (11) 7% (29)	3% (5) 7% (11)	3% (6) 4% (8)
	4	12% (256) 13% (270)	7% (12) 12% (20)	9% (19)	14% (66)	13% (72)	8% (30)	20% (30)	10% (19)
	5	15% (311) 11% (241)	9% (15) 16% (28)	15% (31) 14% (28)	13% (59) 16% (76)	13% (73) 14% (76)	10% (38) 14% (54)	17% (26) 14% (21)	15% (28)
	8	10% (220)	15% (26) 8% (14)	12% (24) 13% (27)	14% (64) 8% (36)	10% (56) 10% (57)	7% (28) 12% (46)	10% (15) 10% (15)	15% (28) 15% (28) 15% (28) 15% (28) 13% (25)
	10	9% (196) 7% (141)	8% (13) 10% (17) 5% (8)	14% (28) 7% (14)	7% (33) 6% (26)	10% (57) 5% (28)	10% (41) 10% (38)	6% (9) 4% (6) 4% (6)	8% (15) 6% (12)
	11 12	5% (105) 3% (61)	5% (8) 2% (4) 2% (3)	4% (8) 2% (5)	3% (16) 1% (6)	5% (30) 3% (19) 1% (5)	8% (31) 4% (17)	4% (6) 3% (4)	3% (6) 3% (6)
	13 14 	1% (26) 1% (24)	2% (3)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (2)	1% (5)	4% (14) 3% (11) 1% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	15	0% (6) 0% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (4)	3% (4) 1% (1) 1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.70	6.88	6.80	6.07	6.62	7.63	6.20	6.63
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Г	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	131	2	17	 28	 31	41	7	5
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	257	42	31	1 	54 	115	5	9
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	529	46	77	125	144	80	21	36
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	85	5	40	33	1	0	4	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment	194	24	36	37	38	30	21	8
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	309	21	24	80	78	49	35	22
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	49	2	9	5	18	7	4	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	358	23	33	85	96	56	39	26
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		- # 100 1						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved			00		4	-	4	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	51	0	28	6 	4 	5	4	<u>4</u>
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	32	0	3	12	6	9	1	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	44	3	16	10	2	5	2	6
R	Housed - All Other	11	3	2	1	2	1	0	2
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	138	6	49	29	14	20	7	13
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	20	1	2	6	1	3	1	6
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	27	1	5	8	2	3	1	7
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	165	7	54	37	16	23	8	20
Z	NET INFLOW	193	16	-21	48	80	33	31	6 Page 2

	All Youth	01.1	0 1 1		F : 6	Greater	Greater New	beau.anderson@	
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S	tatewide All Youth	13%	19%	20%	18%	14%	11%	5%
A B	Active on BNL	175	23	33	35	32	24	20	8
С	Median Days Active	61	84	91	55	60	36	39	80
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score. O	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (0)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	7% (12) 13% (22)	9% (2) 0% (0) 9% (2)	6% (2) 9% (3)	11% (4) 20% (7)	0% (0) 3% (1) 13% (4)	0% (0) 17% (4) 8% (2)	5% (1) 10% (2)	0% (0) 25% (2)
	5	15% (27) 17% (30)	9% (2) 13% (3) 30% (7)	24% (8) 15% (5)	11% (4) 9% (3)	19% (6) 13% (4)	8% (2) 13% (3) 17% (4)	10% (2) 30% (6)	13% (1) 13% (1)
	7	13% (23) 7% (13)	13% (3)	18% (6)	11% (4) 11% (4)	13% (4) 13% (1)	17 % (4) 13% (3) 4% (1)	15% (3) 15% (3)	0% (0)
	9	8% (14)	4% (1) 9% (2)	6% (2) 6% (2)	6% (2)	16% (5)	8% (2)	5% (1) 5% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0)
	11	9% (15) 3% (5)	4% (1) 4% (1)	6% (2) 0% (0)	9% (3) 0% (0)	9% (3) 9% (3)	8% (2) 0% (0)	5% (1)	38% (3) 0% (0)
	13	5% (8) 1% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	11% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0)	8% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 6.66	0% (0) 6.48	0% (0) 6.03	0% (0) 6.69	0% (0) 7.19	0% (0) 6.83	0% (0) 6.60	0% (0) 7.13
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
۰	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	10	2	4	0	0	3	0	1
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	49	7	7	12	10	6	4	3
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	25	3	21	1	0	0	0	0
*K		21	3	5	3	4	1	4	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	47	1	6	11	9	8	10	2
М	Returned from Inactive	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	51	1	6	14	10	8	10	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved								
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	0	5 	1	1	0	1	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	11	2	5	0	0	3	1	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	21	2	10	1	2	3	2	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	1	0	0	0	2	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7 28	1	2 12	0	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u> 5	<u>0</u>	2 3
Y 7	NET INFLOW	28	-2	12 -6	1 13	8	<u> </u>	<u>2</u> 8	<u> </u>
4	HET HIT LOW	20	-4	-0	10	U	<u> </u>	U	Page 3

Percentage of Statewide All Non-Youth 8% 9% 22% 26% 19%	MMW Northwest
Active on BNL 1,959 147 172 434 519 376 Median Days Active 109 132 102 182 77 126 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Dount of all active records having each assessment score. 10	
Active on BNL 1,959 147 172 434 519 376 Median Days Active 109 132 102 182 77 126 Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7% 9%
Median Days Active	.,,
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Count of all active records having each assessment score. 0	132 179 85 95
Count of all active records having each assessment score	00 90
2% (33)	
1	0% (0) 0% (0) 1% (1) 2% (4)
12% (234) 12% (18) 9% (16) 14% (59) 13% (68) 7% (28) 2	4% (5) 3% (6) 3% (10) 4% (8)
11% (2U) 9% (182) 7% (31) 15% (25) 7% (32) 11% (55) 12% (45) 9	1% (28) 9% (17) 8% (24) 15% (27)
11% (2U) 9% (182) 7% (31) 15% (25) 7% (32) 11% (55) 12% (45) 9	8% (24) 15% (27) 1% (15) 15% (27) 9% (12) 16% (28) 9% (12) 13% (24)
11	6% (8) 8% (15)
15	4% (5) 5% (9) 4% (5) 3% (6)
15	3% (4) 3% (6)
18	1% (1) 1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)
18	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource 480 39 70 113 134 74	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.14 6.61
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) 131 2 17 28 31 41	0.01
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	
Chronic (Verified) 131 2 17 28 31 41	0 2
Known Unsheltered 247 40 27 1 54 112	7 5
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	5 8
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource 400 39 70 113 134 74	
Envelled in Transitional Hausing	17 33
Enrolled in Transitional Housing J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing 60 2 19 32 1 0	4 2
Youth at Time of Assessment 19 1 3 2 6 6	 1 0
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	1 0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Newly Added 262 20 18 69 69 41	25 20
Clients who have never been active before	
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 45 Z 9 Z 17 7	4 4
Inflow to Active List TOTAL 307 22 27 71 86 48 Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	29 24
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.	
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3 4
Hausad BSH	1 1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 32 U 3 12 6 9	ı l
Housed - RRH 33 1 11 10 2 2 Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH 33	1 6
Housed - All Other 9 3 2 1 1 1	0 1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5 12
Inactive - Unable to Contact 15 0 2 6 1 1	1 4
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	·
J Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution 3 U 1 1 U U	0 1
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased 2 0 0 1 1 0	0 0
Inactive - All Other	0 0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal 20 0 3 8 2 1	1 5
Outflow from Active List TOTAL 137 4 42 36 14 18	6 17
z NET INFLOW 170 18 -15 35 72 30	23 7

	All Families	Otatawista.	Ormani	Factoria	Filesia	Greater	Greater New	BARANA/	Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		Families	9%	14%	33%	14%	14%	6%	10%
В	A (I	291	27	41	95	41	41	18	28
С	Median Days Active	70	43	111	81	47	77	77	40
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	1% (2) 2% (6)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)
	3	7% (19) 10% (30)	15% (4) 33% (9)	2% (1) 0% (0)	8% (8) 14% (13)	7% (3) 12% (5)	5% (2) 5% (2)	0% (0) 6% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)
		10% (28) 15% (44)	4% (1) 15% (4)	12% (5) 15% (6)	7% (7) 15% (14)	7% (3) 17% (7)	10% (4) 20% (8)	28% (5) 17% (3)	11% (3) 7% (2)
	7	12% (34) 12% (34)	4% (1) 15% (4) 4% (1) 0% (0)	24% (10) 17% (7)	12% (11) 8% (8)	5% (2) 10% (4)	5% (2) 20% (8)	11% (2) 17% (3)	21% (6) 14% (4)
	9	8% (23) 10% (29)	7% (2) 11% (3)	10% (4) 5% (2)	7% (7)	7% (3)	10% (4)	0% (0)	11% (3)
	11	8% (23)	7% (2)	10% (4)	15% (14) 4% (4)	5% (2) 15% (6)	12% (5) 5% (2)	0% (0) 6% (1)	11% (3) 14% (4)
	13	4% (12) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	3% (3) 0% (0)	7% (3) 2% (1)	5% (2) 2% (1)	11% (2) 0% (0)	14% (4) 4% (1) 0% (0)
	15	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 7.22	0% (0) 5.78	0% (0) 7.41	1% (1) 7.06	0% (0) 7.56	0% (0) 7.78	0% (0) 6.78	0% (0) 7.79
	Status/Conditions Followed (among								
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	pination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	125	14	15	36	20	20	7	13
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	24	1	18	5	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	42	3	17	10	3	6	2	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	1 20 days							
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added	74	6	7	26	12	8	4	11
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive		0				 4	4 	
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	0	0	0	1	<u> </u>	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	77	7	7	26	12	9	5	11
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	13	0	3	3	3	2	2	0
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	7	0	1	2	4	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	15	0	4	6	0	1	0	4
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	39	0	9	11	8	3	2	6
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	0	0	4	0	1	0	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	0	4	0	1	0	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	47	0	9	15	8	4	2	9
Z	NET INFLOW	30	7	-2	11	4	5	3	2 Page 5

	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	rairileiu		пачен	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α		dividuals	8%	9%	20%	28%	19%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,843	143	164	374	510	359	134	159
С	Median Days Active	111	141	99	217	77	127	82	111
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 2% (8)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (3)
	1	2% (32) 4% (70)	1% (1) 3% (5)	3% (5)	2% (8) 6% (22)	2% (11) 3% (17)	1% (4) 3% (11)	1% (1) 3% (4)	2% (3) 4% (6)
	3	8% (140) 12% (226)	6% (8) 8% (11)	5% (8) 12% (19)	11% (40) 14% (53)	8% (39) 13% (67)	8% (27) 8% (28)	8% (11) 22% (29)	4% (7) 12% (19)
	5	13% (242) 14% (267)	10% (14) 17% (24)	16% (26)	14% (52)	14% (70) 14% (69)	9% (34) 13% (46)	16% (21) 13% (18)	16% (25)
	6	11% (207)	17% (24) 17% (25) 10% (14)	16% (26) 13% (22) 9% (14) 12% (20)	17% (62) 14% (53) 7% (28)	14% (69) 11% (54) 10% (53)	7% (26) 11% (38)	10% (13)	16% (25) 16% (26) 14% (22) 13% (21)
	9	10% (186) 9% (173)	10% (14) 8% (11)	12% (20) 15% (24)	7% (28) 7% (26)	10% (53) 11% (54)	11% (38) 10% (37)	9% (12) 7% (9)	13% (21) 8% (12)
	10	6% (112) 4% (82)	10% (14)	7% (12)	7% (26) 3% (12) 3% (12)	5% (26)	9% (33)	4% (6) 4% (5)	6% (9)
		3% (49)	4% (6) 3% (4)	2% (4) 2% (4)	1% (3)	5% (24) 3% (16)	8% (29) 4% (15)	1% (2)	1% (2) 3% (5)
	13	1% (24) 1% (21)	2% (3) 2% (3)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (4) 1% (4)	4% (13) 3% (10)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	15 16	0% (5) 0% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (1)	2% (3) 2% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.61	0% (0) 7.09	0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 5.82	0% (0) 6.54	0% (0) 7.61	0% (0) 6.12	0% (0) 6.43
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			in modified a rouge does		hinadian af aireonnad			
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance								
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	130	2	17	28	31	41	6	5
	Known Unsheltered	255	41	 31	1	 54	114	5	9
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	404	32	62	 89	124	60	14	23
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	61	4	22	28	1 	0	4	2
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	152	21	19	27	35	24	19	7
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	235	15	17	54	66	41	31	11
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	46	1	9	5	 18	6	3	
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	281	16	9 	5 59	84	47	34	4 15
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		10	20	JJ	UT	41	JT	10
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	38	0	25	3	1	3	2	4
P	Housed - PSH	25	0	2	10	2	9	1	1
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	29	3	 12	4	2	4	2	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	7	3	 1	1	 1	1	 0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	99	6	40	18	6	17	5	7
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	12	1	2	2	1	2	1	3
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	4	0	 2	 1	0	 0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	2	0	0	' 1	 1	 0	0 0	 0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other			U 	I	·····			
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
X	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	19 119	7	5 45	32	2	2 19	1	4
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	118 163	9	45 -19	22 37	<u>8</u> 76	19 28	<u>6</u> 28	11 4
۷	NETINFLOW	103	3	-18	J/	10	20	20	Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	Oteterride	Ountral	Footom	Filherin	Greater	Greater New	BARRIA/	Manthunast
	Percentage of S	Statewide Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Δ	Families (No		9%	10%	34%	16%	15%	6%	11%
В	Active on BNL	255	24	25	86	40	37	16	27
С	Median Days Active	70	64	75	84	48	78	77	39
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1 2	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)
	3	7% (18)	17% (4)	0% (0)	2% (2) 9% (8)	8% (3)	5% (2)	0% (0)	4% (1)
	5	11% (28) 9% (24)	33% (8) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (2)	15% (13) 8% (7)	10% (4) 8% (3)	5% (2) 11% (4)	6% (1) 31% (5) 19% (3)	0% (0) 11% (3)
	6	15% (38) 11% (27)	0% (0) 13% (3) 4% (1) 0% (0)	12% (3) 20% (5)	15% (13) 12% (10) 7% (6)	18% (7) 5% (2) 10% (4)	19% (7) 3% (1)	13% (2)	7% (2) 22% (6) 15% (4)
	8	11% (28) 8% (21)	0% (0) 8% (2)	20% (5) 16% (4)	7% (6) 7% (6)	10% (4) 8% (3)	22% (8) 8% (3)	6% (1) 0% (0)	15% (4) 11% (3)
	10	10% (25) 9% (23)	8% (2) 13% (3) 8% (2)	8% (2) 16% (4)	14% (12) 5% (4)	5% (2) 15% (6)	11% (4) 5% (2)	0% (0) 6% (1)	7% (2)
	12	4% (9)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	8% (3)	5% (2)	13% (2)	15% (4) 4% (1)
	13	1% (2) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15 16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	7.22	5.88	8.12	6.85	7.65	7.76	6.63	7.70
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		·		U		U 		
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Known Unsheltered	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded		'						
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	119	13	15	33	20	19	7	12
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	1	4	5	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	6	0	4	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	6	0	1	1	2	2	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	no paet 20 days							
	Newly Added		0		00	40			4.4
L	Clients who have never been active before	64	6	5	22	12	5	3	11
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	67	7	5	22	12	6	4	11
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	0	3	3	3	2	2	0
	Housed - PSH	7	0	1	2	4	0	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH			l 			·		
Q	Tousea - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	13	0	2	6	0	1	0	4
ר	Housed - All Other	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	36	0	7	11	8	3	2	5
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1		
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	7	0	0	4	0	l 	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other								
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	0	4	0	1	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	43	0	7	15	8	4	2	7
Z	NET INFLOW	24	7	-2	7	4	2	2	4 Page 7

	Familias (Vanda)					Greater	Greater New		
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S	tatewide		44%	250/				
Α	Families	(Youth)	8%		25%	3%	11%	6%	3%
В	Active on BNL	36	3	16	9	1	4	2	1
С	Median Days Active	61	34	129	55	39	14	52	238
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	3	3% (1)	0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		6% (2) 11% (4)	33% (1)	0% (0) 19% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	100% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		17% (6) 19% (7)	33% (1) 33% (1) 0% (0)	19% (3) 19% (3) 31% (5)	11% (1) 11% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	8	17% (6) 6% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (2)	22% (2) 11% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)	0% (0)
	10	11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	22% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 100% (1)
		0% (0) 8% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 22% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	13	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	22% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.22	0% (0) 5.00	0% (0) 6.31	0% (0) 9.11	0% (0) 4.00	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 8.00	0% (0) 10.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			l in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G H	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	6	1	0	3	0	1	0	1
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	14	0	14	0	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	7	1	3	1	0	1	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	10	0	2	4	0	3	1	0
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	10	0	2	4	0	3	1	0
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	,	n the neet 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved			^	0	^	0	^	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Housed - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact				-		•	-	
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0 	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Z	NET INFLOW	6	0	0	4	0	3	1	-2 Page 8

Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	i all lielu	Hartioru	Haven	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
A Individual		14%	12%	19%	22%	14%	13%	5%
B Active on BNL	139	20	17	26	31	20	18	7
c Median Days Active	61	119	61	65	64	40	39	63
Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
1	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 10% (2)	6% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
3	8% (11) 14% (20)	0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 15% (4)	3% (1)	20% (4)	6% (1)	0% (0)
5	17% (23)	5% (1) 10% (2)	18% (3) 29% (5) 12% (2)	27% (7) 15% (4)	10% (3) 19% (6)	10% (2) 15% (3)	11% (2) 11% (2) 33% (6)	29% (2) 14% (1)
6	17% (24) 12% (16)	30% (6) 15% (3)	6% (1)	15% (4) 8% (2) 12% (3)	13% (4) 13% (4)	15% (3) 10% (2)	17% (3)	14% (1) 0% (0)
8	5% (7) 9% (12)	5% (1) 10% (2)	0% (0) 12% (2)	8% (2) 4% (1)	3% (1) 16% (5)	5% (1) 5% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0)
10	8% (11) 4% (5)	5% (1) 5% (1)	12% <u>(2)</u> 0% (0)	4% (1)	10% (3) 10% (3)	5% (1) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	29% (2) 0% (0)
12	4% (5) 1% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (2)	0% (0) 3% (1)	10% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
14	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.51	6.70 orde)	5.76	5.85	7.29	6.60	6.44	6.71
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumst	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	10	2	4	 0	0	3	0	
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	43	6	 7	9	 10	5 5	4	 2
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	3	 7	 1		 0		
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months				I	0		0	0
*K Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	14	2	2	2	4	0	3	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne nast 30 davs							
Newly Added	37	1	4	7	9	5	9	2
Clients who have never been active before	31	 		<i>l</i>		ິງ 	9	Z
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41	1	4	10	10	5	9	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.						
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	0	5	1	1	0	1	0
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	9	2	3	0	0	3	1	0
Housed - All Other	1	0	0	0	 1	0	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	18	2	8	1	2	3	2	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact		4		0				4
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	4	1	0	0	0	2	0	1
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1 	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	6	1	2	0	0	2	0	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	24	3	10	1	2	5	2	1
z NET INFLOW	17	-2	-6	9	8	0	7	1 Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Ctatanida	0	Factoria	Faladala	Greater	Greater New	BARBANA/	Manthagas
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
А	Individuals (No		7%	9%	20%	28%	20%	7%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,704	123	147	348	479	339	116	152
С	Median Days Active	120	143	104	239	77	133	102	112
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score O	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1 2	2% (31) 4% (68)	1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (3) 3% (5)	2% (8) 6% (22)	2% (11) 4% (17)	1% (4) 3% (11)	1% (1) 3% (4)	0% (0) 2% (3) 4% (6)
	3	8% (129) 12% (206)	2% (3) 7% (8) 8% (10)	5% (7) 11% (16)	10% (36) 13% (46)	8% (38) 13% (64)	7% (23) 8% (26)	9% (10) 23% (27)	5% (7) 11% (17)
	5	13% (219) 14% (243)	10% (12)	14% (21)	14% (48)	13% (64) 13% (64) 14% (65)	9% (31) 13% (43)	16% (19)	16% (24) 16% (25)
		11% (191)	15% (18) 18% (22)	14% (21) 14% (20) 9% (13) 14% (20)	17% (60) 14% (50) 7% (26)	14% (65) 10% (50) 11% (52)	7% (24) 11% (37)	10% (12) 9% (10)	16% (25) 14% (22) 13% (20)
	•	11% (179) 9% (161)	11% (13) 7% (9)	15% (22)	7% (26) 7% (25) 3% (11)	10% (49)	11% (36)	9% (11) 7% (8)	8% (12)
	10	6% (101) 5% (77)	11% (13) 4% (5)	7% (10) 3% (4)	3% (12)	5% (23) 4% (21)	9% (32) 9% (29)	4% (5) 3% (4)	5% (7) 1% (2)
	12	3% (44) 1% (23)	2% (3) 2% (3) 2% (3) 2% (3)	3% (4) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	3% (16) 1% (3)	4% (13) 4% (13)	2% (2) 1% (1)	3% (5) 1% (1)
	14 15	1% (20) 0% (5)	2% (3) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (4) 0% (1)	3% (9) 1% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	16	0% (5) 0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	1% (2) 1% (4) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.62	7.15 orde)	6.74	5.81	6.49	7.67	6.07	6.41
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
۲	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)						· 		
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	130	2	17 	28	31	41 	6	5
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	245	39	27	1	54	111	5	8
	Matched/Awarded	361	26	55	80	114	55	10	21
J	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	50	1	15	27	1	0	4	2
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	13	1	2	1	4	4	1	0
ı	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	198	14	13	47	57	36	22	9
М	Returned from Inactive	42	1	9	2	17	6	3	4
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	240	15	22	49	74	42	25	13
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL in	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	30	0	20	2	0	3	1	4
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	25	0	2	10	2	9	1	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	20	1	9	4	2	 1	1	2
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	3	1	1	0	 1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	81	4	32	17	4	14	3	7
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	0	2	2	1	0	1	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x	Other Outflow subtotal	13	0	3	4	2	0	1	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	94	4	35	21	6	14	4	10
Z	NET INFLOW	146	11	-13	28	68	28	21	3 Page 10

ı	2/20/2021 TTI BIVE REPORT	AII	AH	AH	AII	AH	Familia.		au.anderson@ct.g	
	Statewide BNL	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Poros		Toutif	92%	1 annies	86%	(Non-Touth)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	80%
		entage of	8%	52,7	14%	3373	12%	2%	7%	3070
Α		vide BNL		4.050	004	4.040	055			4.704
В	Active on BNL	2,134	175	1,959	291	1,843	255	36	139	1,704
С	Median Days Active	99	61	109	70	111	70	61	61	120
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		recoras)							
	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
		2% (34) 4% (76)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (1) 2% (33) 4% (73)	1% (2) 2% (6)	2% (32) 4% (70)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (5) 7% (18)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (1) 1% (2)	2% (31) 4% (68)
		7% (159) 12% (256)	7% (12)	8% (147) 12% (234)	2% (6) 7% (19) 10% (30)	8% (140) 12% (226)	11% (28)	3% (1) 3% (1) 6% (2)	8% (11)	8% (129) 12% (206)
	5	13% (270)	13% (22) 15% (27) 17% (30)	8% (147) 12% (234) 12% (243) 14% (281)	10% (28)	13% (242) 14% (267)	9% (24)	11% (4)	14% (20) 17% (23) 17% (24)	13% (219)
	7	15% (311) 11% (241)	17% (30)	14% (281) 11% (218)	15% (44) 12% (34)	11% (207)	15% (38) 11% (27)	17% (6) 19% (7) 17% (6)	17% (24) 12% (16) 5% (7)	14% (243) 11% (191)
		10% (220) 9% (196)	13% (23) 7% (13) 8% (14)	11% (218) 11% (207) 9% (182) 6% (126)	10% (28) 15% (44) 12% (34) 12% (34) 8% (23) 10% (29)	10% (186) 9% (173)	9% (24) 15% (38) 11% (27) 11% (28) 8% (21) 10% (25)	17% (6) 6% (2)	5% (7) 9% (12)	11% (179) 9% (161)
	10	7% (141) 5% (105)	9% (15) 3% (5)	6% (126) 5% (100)	10% (29)	9% (173) 6% (112)	10% (25)	6% (2) 11% (4) 0% (0)	8% (11)	6% (101)
	12	3% (61)	5% (8)	3% (53)	8% (23) 4% (12)	4% (82) 3% (49)	4% (9)	8% (3)	4% (5) 4% (5)	5% (77) 3% (44)
		1% (26) 1% (24)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (100) 3% (53) 1% (25) 1% (23)	1% (2) 1% (3)	1% (24) 1% (21)	9% (23) 4% (9) 1% (2) 1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (23) 1% (20)
		0% (6) 0% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (6) 0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (5) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.70	0% (0) 6.66	0% (1) 6.70	7.22	0% (0) 6.61	7.22	7.22	6.51	6.62
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	4						0	0	4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	131	0	131	1	130	1	0	0	130
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	257	10	247	2	255	2	0	10	245
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	529	49	480	125	404	119	6	43	361
j	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	85	25	60	24	61	10	14	 11	50
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	194	175	 19	42	152	6	36	139	13
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days				· -					
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	309	47	262	74	235	64	10	37	198
М	Returned from Inactive	49	4	45	3	46	3	0	4	42
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	358	51	307	77	281	67	10	41	240
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da						-			
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	51	8	43	13	38	13	0	8	30
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	32	0	32	7	25	7	0	0	25
Q	Housed - RRH	44	11	33	15	29	13	2	9	20
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 11	2	9	4	 7	3	1	 1	6
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	138	21	117	39	99	36	3	18	81
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	20	5	15	8	12	7	1	4	8
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	4	1	3	0	4	 0	0	 1	3
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased		·							
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	27	7	20	8	19	7	1	6	13
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	165	28	137	47	118	43	4	24	94
Z	NET INFLOW	193	23	170	30	163	24	6	17	146 Page 11

Central CAN		All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	_
	Percei	ntage of	Toutil	86%	1 dillilles	94%	(NOH-1 Outil)	(Touti)	(Toutil)	72%
A		ral CAN	14%		16%		14%	2%	12%	
Active on	BNL	170	23	147	27	143	24	3	20	123
c Median Days A	Active	121	84	132	43	141	64	34	119	143
Assessment Score Distributio		ng active	records)							
Count of all active records having each assessm		% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
2		% (1) % (6)	0% (0) 9% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (4)	0% (0) 4% (1)	1% (1) 3% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (2)	1% (1) 2% (3) 7% (8)
3	7	% (12)	0% (0)	8% (12)	15% (4) 33% (9)	3% (5) 6% (8)	4% (1) 17% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (8)
5	9	2% (20) % (15)	9% (2) 13% (3)	12% (18) 8% (12)	4% (1) 15% (4)	8% (11) 10% (14)	33% (8) 0% (0) 13% (3)	33% (1)	5% (1) 10% (2)	8% (10) 10% (12)
6		6% (28) 5% (26)	30% (7) 13% (3)	14% (21) 16% (23)	15% (4) 4% (1)	17% (24) 17% (25)	13% (3) 4% (1)	33% (1) 0% (0)	30% (6) 15% (3) 5% (1)	15% (18) 18% (22)
8	8	% (14) % (13)	4% (1) 9% (2) 4% (1)	16% (23) 9% (13) 7% (11)	4% (1) 0% (0) 7% (2)	10% (14) 8% (11) 10% (14)	4% (1) 0% (0) 8% (2) 13% (3)	0% (0)	5% (1) 10% (2)	18% (22) 11% (13) 7% (9)
10	1	0% (17)	4% (1)	11% (16)	11% (3)	10% (14)	13% (3)	0% (0)	5% (1)	11% (13)
11 12		% (8) % (4)	4% (1) 4% (1)	5% (7) 2% (3)	7% (2) 0% (0)	4% (6) 3% (4)	8% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)	4% (5) 2% (3)
13	2	% (3) % (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 2% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1) 33% (1) 33% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (5) 2% (3) 2% (3) 2% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
15	0	% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
16	0	% (0) % (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessmen		% (0) 6.88	0% (0) 6.48	0% (0) 6.95	0% (0) 5.78	0% (0) 7.09	0% (0) 5.88	0% (0) 5.00	0% (0) 6.70	0% (0) 7.15
Status/Conditions Followed (a Clients counted in each row below are currently	among	active rec	ords)							
Refuses CAN Assis		0			, ,			0	^	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligend Chronic (Ver	ce policy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homele Known Unshel	essness	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsi	heltered	42	2	40	1	41	1	0	2	39
Matched/Awa Clients matched to or awarded a housing r	esource	46	7	39	14	32	13	1	6	26
Incomplete in Transitional House Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional I	Housing	5	3	2	1	4	1	0	3	1
Youth at Time of Assess K Active clients who were under 25 at time of asset	essment	24	23	1	3	21	0	3	20	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 I Clients below were made active or added to the		past 30 days.								
Newly A Clients who have never been active		21	1	20	6	15	6	0	1	14
Returned from Ina M Clients inactive for any reason who are no	active	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
N Inflow to Active List TO		23	1	22	7	16	7	0	1	15
Outflow from Active List: Past										
Clients below were returned to housing or market		ive on the BNL in	n the past 30 day	/S.						
Housed - Self-Res Clients returned to housing in past 30 da	ays, self-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, w	vith PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, w	ith RRH	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days,		3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
s Housed Outflow sul		6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
Inactive - Unable to Co		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Inactive - In an Instit U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an in		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, de	eased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow sul		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Outflow from Active List TO		7	3	4	0	7	0	0	3	4
z NET INF	LOW	16	-2	18	7	9	7	0	-2	11
-	1	. •		. •	•		•			Page 1

-	7 - 7								au.anderson@ci.	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	Percentage of		84%		80%				72%
Α		ern CAN	16%		20%		12%	8%	8%	
В	Active on BNL	205	33	172	41	164	25	16	17	147
С	Median Days Active	99	91	102	111	99	75	129	61	104
İ	Assessment Score Distribution (amo									
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		•							
		0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 2% (3) 3% (5) 5% (7)
		3% (6) 4% (9)	3% (1) 6% (2)	3% (5) 4% (7)	0% (0) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)	2% (4) 3% (5) 5% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	3% (5) 5% (7)
	4	9% (19) 15% (31)	9% (3)	9% (16) 13% (23)	0% (0)	12% (19)	0% (0)	0% (0) 19% (3)	18% (3) 29% (5)	11% (16) 14% (21)
	6	14% (28)	24% (8) 15% (5) 18% (6)	13% (23)	15% (6)	13% (22)	12% (3)	19% (3)	12% (2)	14% (20)
	8	12% (24) 13% (27)	6% (2)	10% (18) 15% (25)	12% (5) 15% (6) 24% (10) 17% (7)	5% (8) 12% (19) 16% (26) 13% (22) 9% (14) 12% (20) 15% (24) 7% (12) 2% (4) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (2) 12% (3) 20% (5) 20% (5)	31% (5) 13% (2)	12% (2) 6% (1) 0% (0)	9% (13) 14% (20)
		14% (28) 7% (14)	6% (2) 6% (2)	15% (26) 7% (12)	10% (4) 5% (2)	15% (24) 7% (12)	90/. (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (2)	15% (22) 7% (10)
	11	4% (8) 2% (5)	0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (8) 2% (4)	10% (4) 2% (1)	2% (4) 2% (4)	16% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (4) 3% (4)
	13	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	15	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0 % (2) 16% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.80	0% (0) 6.03	0% (0) 6.94	0% (0) 7.41	0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 8.12	0% (0) 6.31	0% (0) 5.76	0% (0) 6.74
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)							
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	17	0	 17	0	17	0	0	0	17
	Known Unsheltered	31	4	 27	0	31	0	0	4	27
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded				4.5		4.5			
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	77	7	70	15	62	15	0	7	55
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	40	21	19	18	22	4	14	7	15
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	36	33	3	17	19	1	16	17	2
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	24	6	18	7	17	5	2	4	13
١	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	9	0	 9	0	9	0	0	0	9
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			27						
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	33	6	21	7	26	5	2	4	22
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	28	5	23	3	25	3	0	5	20
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	16	5	11 	4	12	2	2	3	9
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	49	10	39	9	40	7	2	8	32
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	5	2	3	0	5	0	0	2	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	54	12	42	9	45	7	2	10	35
Z	NET INFLOW	-21	-6	-15	-2	-19	-2	0	-6	-13
	L.									Page 13

	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		93%		80%				74%
Α	Fairfield Cou	•	7%		20%		18%	2%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	469	35	434	95	374	86	9	26	348
С	Median Days Active	158	55	182	81	217	84	55	65	239
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score 0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (9) 6% (24)	0% (0) 1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 2% (8) 6% (22)	0% (0) 1% (1) 2% (2) 9% (8) 15% (13) 8% (7) 15% (13)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (8) 6% (22)
	3	5% (24) 10% (48)	11% (4)	10% (44)	8% (8)	11% (40)	9% (8)	0% (0)	15% (4)	10% (36)
	5	14% (66) 13% (59)	20% (7) 11% (4)	10% (44) 14% (59) 13% (55)	8% (8) 14% (13) 7% (7)	11% (40) 14% (53) 14% (52)	15% (13) 8% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	27% (7) 15% (4)	10% (36) 13% (46) 14% (48)
	7	16% (76) 14% (64)	9% (3) 11% (4)	1/% (/3)	15% (14) 12% (11)	17% (62) 14% (53)	15% (13) 12% (10)	11% (1) 11% (1)	8% (2) 12% (3)	1 / 1/4 / (6(1))
	8	8% (36) 7% (33)	11% (4)	14% (60) 7% (32) 7% (31)	8% (8) 7% (7)	7% (28) 7% (26)	7% (6)	22% (2)	8% (2) 4% (1)	14% (50) 7% (26) 7% (25) 3% (11)
	10	6% (26)	11% (4) 6% (2) 9% (3) 0% (0)	5% (23)	15% (14)	3% (12)	14% (12)	22% (2)	4% (1)	3% (11)
	11 12	3% (16) 1% (6)	11% (4)	4% (16) 0% (2)	4% (4) 3% (3)	14% (32) 17% (62) 14% (53) 7% (28) 7% (26) 3% (12) 3% (12) 1% (3)	12% (10) 7% (6) 7% (6) 14% (12) 5% (4) 1% (1)	22% (2)	8% (2) 12% (3) 8% (2) 4% (1) 4% (1) 0% (0) 8% (2)	3% (12) 0% (1)
	13 14	0% (1) 0% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (2)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)
	15 i 16	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	22% (2) 0% (0) 22% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.07	6.69	6.02	7.06	5.82	6.85	9.11	5.85	5.81
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		U							
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	28	0	28	0	28	0	0	0	28
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	125	12	113	36	89	33	3	9	80
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	33	1	32	5	28	5	0	1	27
К	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	37	35	2	10	27	1	9	26	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	80	11	69	26	54	22	4	7	47
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	3	2	0	5	0	0	3	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	85	14	71	26	59	22	4	10	49
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	1	5	3	3	3	0	1	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	12	0	12	2	10	2	0	0	10
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	0	10	6	4	6	0	0	4
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	29	1	28	11	18	11	0	1	17
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	6	4	2	4	0	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	8	4	4	4	0	0	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	37	1	36	15	22	15	0	1	21
Z	NET INFLOW	48	13	35	11	37	7	4	9	28

	2/25/2021 111 BNL Repoil	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Greater Hartford CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		94%		93%				87%
Α	Greater Hartt	•	6%		7%		7%	0%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	551	32	519	41	510	40	1	31	479
С	Median Days Active	76	60	77	47	77	48	39	64	77
	Assessment Score Distribution (am									<u> </u>
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
		0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (11)
		3% (18) 8% (42)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (11) 3% (18) 8% (41)	2% (1) 7% (3)	2% (11) 3% (17) 8% (39)	3% (1) 8% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	4% (17) 8% (38) 13% (64)
	4	13% (72) 13% (73)	13% (4)	13% (68) 13% (67)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1) 7% (3) 12% (5) 7% (3) 17% (7)	13% (67) 14% (70)	10% (4) 8% (3)	100% (1)	10% (3) 19% (6)	13% (64) 13% (64)
	6	14% (76) 10% (56)	19% (6) 13% (4) 13% (4) 3% (1)	14% (72) 10% (52)	17% (7)	13% (67) 14% (70) 14% (69) 11% (54)	18% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (4) 13% (4)	13% (64) 14% (65) 10% (50) 11% (52)
	8	10% (57)	3% (1)	11% (56)	10% (4)	10% (53)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 8% (3) 10% (4) 8% (3) 18% (7) 5% (2) 10% (4)	0% (0)	3% (1)	11% (52)
	10	10% (57) 5% (28)	16% (5) 9% (3)	10% (52) 5% (25)	5% (2) 10% (4) 7% (3) 5% (2)	11% (54) 5% (26)	5% (3) 5% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 16% (5) 10% (3)	10% (49) 5% (23)
	12	5% (30) 3% (19)	9% (3) 0% (0)	5% (27) 4% (19)	15% (6) 7% (3)	5% (24) 3% (16)	15% (6) 8% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (3) 0% (0)	4% (21) 3% (16)
	13	1% (5) 1% (5)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (4) 1% (4)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (4)
	15	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (3) 5% (2) 15% (6) 8% (3) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	6.62	7.19	6.58	7.56	6.54	7.65	4.00	7.29	6.49
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			stad in multiple	donondina as th	ooir oombiaatia rast	airoumoto			
	Refuses CAN Assistance			,	, ,				^	_
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	31	0	31	0	31	0	0	0	31
	Known Unsheltered	54	0	 54	0	54	0	0	0	54
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
ı	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	144	10	134	20	124	20	0	10	114
J.	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Youth at Time of Assessment	38	32	6	3	35	2	1	 31	4
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days						_	•	<u> </u>	•
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	78	9	69	12	66	12	0	9	57
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	18	1	17	0	18	0	0	 1	17
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active								-	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	96	10	86	12	84	12	0	10	74
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	VS.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	4	1	3	3	1	3	0	1	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		' 					·	I	
Ρ	HOUSEQ - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	6	0	6	4	2	4	0	0	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Housed - All Other	2	1	 1	1	 1	1	0	1	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	14	2	12	8	6	8	0	2	4
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact	1	0	1		1	0	0	0	1
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	l 	U	l 	0	l 	U	U	U 	l
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
۸ Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	 16	2	<u>Z</u> 14	8	8	8	0	2	6
z	NET INFLOW	80	8	72	4	76	4	0	8	68
_				·	•					Page 15

	2/20/2021 TTT BIVE REPORT	AII	AII	AII	AII	AII	Families		du.anderson@ct.g	
	Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	(Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of	Toutil	94%	T diffillion	90%	(Mon Found)	(Touth)	(Tourn)	85%
٨	Greater New Ha	•	6%		10%		9%	1%	5%	
В	Active on BNL	400	24	376	41	359	37	4	20	339
С	Median Days Active	115	36	126	77	127	78	14	40	133
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			v				<u> </u>		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		•							
	1	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (2) 5% (2) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)
		3% (11) 7% (29)	0% (0) 17% (4)	3% (11) 7% (25)	0% (0) 5% (2)	3% (11) 8% (27)	0% (0) 5% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 20% (4)	3% (11) 7% (23)
		8% (30) 10% (38)	8% (2) 13% (3)	7% (28) 9% (35) 13% (50)	5% (2) 10% (4)	8% (28) 9% (34)	5% (2) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (2) 15% (3)	3% (11) 7% (23) 8% (26) 9% (31)
	6	14% (54) 7% (28)	17% (4) 13% (3)	13% (50) 7% (25)	20% (8)	13% (46) 7% (26)	19% (7)	25% (1) 25% (1) 0% (0)	15% (3)	13% (43) 7% (24) 11% (37)
	8	12% (46)	4% (1)	7% (25) 12% (45) 10% (39) 10% (36)	5% (2) 10% (4) 20% (8) 5% (2) 20% (8) 10% (4) 12% (5)	11% (38)	19% (7) 3% (1) 22% (8) 8% (3) 11% (4)	0% (0)	10% (2) 5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1)	11% (37)
	10	10% (41) 10% (38)	8% (2) 8% (2)	10% (39)	10% (4)	10% (37) 9% (33)	8% (3) 11% (4)	25% (1) 25% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	11% (36) 9% (32)
	12	8% (31) 4% (17)	0% (0) 8% (2)	8% (31) 4% (15) 4% (14) 3% (10)	5% (2) 5% (2)	8% (29) 4% (15)	5% (2) 5% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 10% (2)	9% (29) 4% (13)
		4% (14) 3% (11)	0% (0) 4% (1)	4% (14) 3% (10)	2% (1)	4% (13) 3% (10)	3% (1) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	4% (13) 3% (9)
	15	1% (2) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (4) 0% (1)	5% (2) 5% (2) 3% (1) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (4)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	7.63	6.83	7.68	7.78	7.61	7.76	8.00	6.60	7.67
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		,	ted in multiple rows	s depending on #	neir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance		1	4		4		0	0	4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1 	0	1 	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	41	0	41	0	41	0	0	0	41
	Known Unsheltered	115	3	112	1	114	1	0	3	111
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		3	112	' 	114	'			111
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	80	6	74	20	60	19	1	5	55
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	30	24	6	6	24	2	4	20	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added									
L	Clients who have never been active before	49	8	41	8	41	5	3	5	36
М	Returned from Inactive	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	56	8	48	9	47	6	3	5	42
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da				· · ·			Ţ.		. -
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	5	2	3	2	0	0	3
J	Housed - PSH	9	0	9	^	9	^	Λ	^	
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	.	U	9	0	y 	0	0	0	9
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	3	2	1	4	1	0	3	1
	Housed - All Other	1	0	1	0	 1	0	0	0	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other				Ť		3	-		11
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	20	3	17	3	17		0	3	14
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased			^		^			^	
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Other Outflow subtotal	3	2	1	1	2	1	0	2	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	23	5	18	4	19	4	0	5	14
Z	NET INFLOW	33	3	30	5	28	2	3	0	28
										Page 16

	MMW CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	· ·
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
		ntage of	13%	87%	12%	88%	11%	40/	12%	76%
A		MW CAN		422		424		1%		446
B C	Active on BNL Median Days Active	152 79	20 39	132 85	18 77	134 82	16 77	2 52	18 39	116 102
C	Assessment Score Distribution (am			00	- 11	02	11	- 32	<u></u>	102
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score		•							
	1	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1) 3% (4)
		3% (5) 7% (11)	0% (0) 5% (1)	4% (5) 8% (10)	6% (1) 0% (0)	3% (4) 8% (11)	6% (1) 0% (0) 6% (1) 31% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	3% (4) 9% (10)
		20% (30) 17% (26)	10% (2)	21% (28) 18% (24)	6% (1) 28% (5) 17% (3)	22% (29) 16% (21)	6% (1) 31% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2)	9% (10) 23% (27) 16% (19)
	6	14% (21) 10% (15)	10% (2) 30% (6) 15% (3)	11% (15)	17% (3) 11% (2)	13% (18)	19% (3) 13% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 33% (6) 17% (3) 6% (1)	10% (12)
	8	10% (15) 6% (9)	15% (3)	9% (12) 9% (12) 6% (8)	11% (2) 17% (3)	10% (13) 9% (12) 7% (9)	6% (1) 0% (0)	100% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	9% (11)
	10	4% (6) 4% (6)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1)	4% (5) 4% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1)	4% (6)	19% (3) 13% (2) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 13% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	4% (5)
	12	3% (4)	0% (0)	3% (4)	11% (2)	4% (5) 1% (2)	13% (2)	0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2)
	14	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)	100% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (10) 9% (11) 7% (8) 4% (5) 3% (4) 2% (2) 1% (1)
	16	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	6.60 ords)	6.14	6.78	6.12	6.63	8.00	6.44	6.07
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	21	4	17	7	14	7	0	4	10
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	21	20	1	2	19	0	2	18	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	10	25	4	31	3	1	9	22
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	10	29	5	34	4	1	9	25
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 day							
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	2	5	2	0	2	3
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Υ –	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	<u>8</u> 31	2	6 23	2	6	2	0	7	4
Z	NET INFLOW	37	8	23	3	28	2	1		21 Page 17

	2/25/2021 TTI BIAL REPORT								au.anuerson@ci.g	
	Northwest CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Porce		routii	96%	1 aiiiiies	85%	(Mon-Touth)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	81%
		entage of	4%	30,0	15%	3370	14%	1%	4%	01/0
Α		rest CAN		470	00	450	07			450
В	Active on BNL	187	8	179	28	159	27	1	7	152
С	Median Days Active	95	80	95	40	111	39	238	63	112
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		recoras)							
U	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		2% (4) 3% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 3% (6)	4% (1) 0% (0)	2% (3) 4% (6)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 4% (6)
		4% (8) 10% (19)	0% (0) 25% (2)	4% (8)	4% (1) 0% (0)	4% (7) 12% (19)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 29% (2)	5% (7) 11% (17)
	5	15% (28) 15% (28)	13% (1) 13% (1)	9% (17) 15% (27) 15% (27)	11% (3)	16% (25) 16% (26)	11% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (2) 14% (1) 14% (1)	16% (24)
	7	15% (28)	0% (0)	16% (28)	7% (2) 21% (6) 14% (4)	14% (22)	22% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16% (24) 16% (25) 14% (22) 13% (20)
	9	13% (25) 8% (15)	13% (1) 0% (0)	16% (28) 13% (24) 8% (15) 5% (9)	11% (3)	13% (21) 8% (12) 6% (9)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 11% (3) 7% (2) 22% (6) 15% (4) 11% (3) 7% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0)	8% (12)
		6% (12) 3% (6)	38% (3) 0% (0)	5% (9) 3% (6)	11% (3) 14% (4)	6% (9) 1% (2)	7% (2) 15% (4)	100% (1) 0% (0)	29% (2) 0% (0)	5% (7) 1% (2)
	12	3% (6) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (6) 3% (6) 1% (1)	14% (4) 4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 3% (5)	4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (5)
	14	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7 % (2) 15% (4) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.63	7.13	6.61	7.79	6.43	7.70	10.00	6.71	6.41
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance				,			0	^	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
-	Known Unsheltered	9	1	8	0	9	0	0	 1	8
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		 		0				l 	
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	36	3	33	13	23	12	1	2	21
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	8	8	0	1	7	0	1	7	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	22	2	20	11	11	11	0	2	9
	Returned from Inactive	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	•		•						-
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	26	2	24	11	15	11	0	2	13
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	·	+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Housed - RRH	6	0	6	4	2	4	0	0	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other									
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	13	1	12	6	7	5	1	0	7
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	6	2	4	3	3	2	1	1	2
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	11
V	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	^								
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	7	2	5	3	4	2	1	1	3
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	20	3	17	9	11	7	2	1	10
Z	NET INFLOW	6	-1	7	2	4	4	-2	1	3

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).