Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Fa	milies (N	lon-Youth)					
234 -1 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7								
Known Unsheltered			Housing					
3 no change		-1 from la	4 st week					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	24	0	3					
Eastern	23	0	8					
Fairfield County	79	1	10					
Greater Hartford	40	1	16					
Greater New Haven	23	0	18					
MMW	17	0	5					
Northwest	28	1	4					

Greater New Haven	23	0	18
MMW	17	0	5
Northwest	28	1	4
,			
Active In	dividua	ls (Youth)	
	rom last	week ctive Individuals (Young) Matched to	
+1 from last week		+3 from la	st week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	15	2	4
Eastern	23	5	8
Fairfield County	34	0	2
Greater Hartford	25	2	9
Greater New Haven	21	2	15
MMW		0	,
	8	U	6
Northwest	15	4	5

is below.			
Active	Familie:	s (Youth)	
n	52 no chang	ge or Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8
Known Unsheltered			o Housing
0		7	7
no change		no cha	ange
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	1	0	0
Eastern	31	0	0
Fairfield County	7	0	1
Greater Hartford	2	0	1
Greater New Haven	3	0	3
MMW	3	0	1
Northwest	7	0	1

Active Individuals (Non-Youth) -25 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10 **Known Unsheltered** Matched to Housing +1 from last week -11 from last week Active Unsheltered Matched 22 Central 123 13 165 50 47 Eastern Fairfield County 400 Greater Hartford 381 33 68 Greater New Haven 271 69 90 MMW 100 3 30 Northwest 127 21 22 Page 1

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		— Central	Lastern		— Hartioru	— Havell	— IVIIVIVV	- Northwest
Α		Records	8%	12%	26%	22%	16%	6%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,997	163	242	520	448	318	128	177
С		143	134	98	146	200	140	124	84
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)						
_	0	0% (3) 2% (36)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	5% (107) 8% (161)	6% (10)	0% (1) 2% (5)	3% (14) 7% (34)	2% (10) 6% (27)	3% (11)	2% (3) 13% (16)	2% (4)
	4	12% (248) 13% (262)	4% (6) 12% (20)	6% (15) 10% (25)	11% (56) 13% (70) 13% (66)	9% (42) 16% (70)	6% (19) 9% (28) 10% (33)	9% (12) 14% (18)	6% (11) 10% (17)
	6	13% (269)	10% (16) 9% (14)	17% (41) 13% (31)	15% (78)	17% (76) 14% (63)	10% (33) 11% (35) 10% (32)	10% (13) 15% (19)	10% (17) 16% (29)
	8	11% (225) 11% (215)	15% (25) 13% (21) 6% (9)	13% (31) 15% (36)	13% (67) 8% (43) 7% (34) 3% (17)	9% (41) 8% (37)	13% (40)	5% (6) 9% (12)	16% (29) 13% (23) 14% (25)
	10	8% (158) 6% (112)	9% (15)	9% (21) 6% (15)	7% (34) 3% (17)	6% (26) 4% (20)	13% (40) 8% (25)	8% (10) 5% (6)	10% (18) 8% (14)
	12	5% (99) 2% (46)	7% (12) 7% (11)	4% (9) 2% (6)	4% (23) 2% (8)	5% (23) 0% (2)	7% (22) 3% (10)	4% (5) 3% (4)	3% (5) 3% (5)
	14	1% (29) 1% (16)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (5) 0% (2)	1% (4) 1% (4)	3% (10) 2% (5)	1% (1) 2% (2)	4% (7) 0% (0)
	16	0% (7) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
г	18	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
⊏	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.47 active rec	7.22 ords)	6.83	5.94	5.97	7.25	6.01	7.00
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	pination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	148	4	 17	25	30	47	8	17
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	216	24	 55	1	36	71	3	26
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	440	20	63	63	94	126	42	32
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	106	7	50	39	1	0	6	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	212	16	56	48	30	26	12	23
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a nact 30 dave							
	Newly Added	189	21	35	40	34	21	19	19
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	35	5	 17	2	 1	_ · 	0	6
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active						25		
N	Outflow from Active List 101AL	224 avs	26	52	42	35	25	19	25
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	49	2	23	6	4	5	7	2
P	Housed - PSH	19	0	2	7	1	7	1	 1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	33	2	9	7	1	11	2	1
-	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	36	0	6	 8	5	9	6	2
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	137	4	40	28	11	32	16	6
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	42	0	3	10	4	6	2	17
Γ	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	1 1	0	0 0	0		1	0	 0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	 0	0	 0	0 0	0 	 0	0 0	 0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other					0		U 	
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
χ γ	Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL	47 184	<u>0</u>	3 43	10 38	4 15	7 39	3 19	20 26
Z	NET INFLOW	40	22	9	36 4	20	<u>-14</u>	0	<u>-1</u>
_	2017				•				Page 2

All Youth	Ctatawida	Control	Factoria	Faintiald	Greater	Greater New	BABANA/	Mouthwest
Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
_	All Youth	8%	28%	21%	14%	12%	6%	11%
Active on BNL	196	16	54	41	27	24	11	22
Median Days Active	70	76	138	70	53	40	46	69
Assessment Score Distribution (ame		records)						
	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1% (1) 2% (4)	0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6% (12) 12% (23)	0% (0) 6% (1)	6% (3) 13% (7)	2% (1) 12% (5) 12% (5)	7% (2) 19% (5)	4% (1) 13% (3)	9% (1) 0% (0) 18% (2)	5% (1) 0% (0)
	13% (25) 20% (40)	19% (3)	15% (8) 22% (12)	12% (5) 10% (4) 12% (5)	15% (4) 19% (5)	17% (4) 17% (4)	9% (1) 27% (3)	5% (1)
7	16% (32) 10% (19)	25% (4) 13% (2) 19% (3)	20% (11) 7% (4)	15% (6) 15% (6)	15% (4) 4% (1)	17% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	32% (7) 23% (5) 14% (3)
9	8% (16) 7% (14)	6% (1) 6% (1)	6% (3) 7% (4)	15% (6) 15% (6) 7% (3) 7% (3)	11% (3) 7% (2)	13% (3) 8% (2)	9% (1) 9% (1)	9% (2) 5% (1)
11	2% (4) 3% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0)	5% (1)
13	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	9% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0)
15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.53	0% (0) 6.38	0% (0) 6.28	0% (0) 6.54	0% (0) 6.33	0% (0) 6.58	0% (0) 6.55	0% (0) 7.32
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		•	in multiple rows den	ending on their com	bination of circumst	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	15	2	5	0	2	2	0	4
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	56	4	8	3	10	18	7	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	5	31	3	0	0	0	0
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	16	1	4	7	1	1	1	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.							
Newly Added	41	2	8	7	12	8	1	3
Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	8	2	3	0	0	3	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	49	4	11	7	12	11	1	3
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		_			16		'	
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	1	0	4	0	2	1	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	2	2	2	0	2	0	0
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	3	0	0	 1	1	0	1	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	22	3	2	7	1	6	2	1
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	0	2	4	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	6	0	0	2	4	0	0	0
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	28	3	2	9	5	6	2	1
z NET INFLOW	21	1	9	-2	7	5	-1	Page 3

	o, 10, 2020 1 11 BIVE Repoli					Cuantan		a beau.anderson@	suger mar questione
	All Non-Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S					000/			
Α	All No	n-Youth	8%	10%	27%	23%	16%	6%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,801	147	188	479	421	294	117	155
С	Median Days Active	158	147	93	158	208	152	131	90
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		records)						
U	0	0% (3) 2% (35)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	6% (103)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (9) 4% (6)	1% (1) 2% (4)	3% (14) 7% (33)	2% (10) 6% (27)	2% (5) 4% (11)	3% (3) 13% (15)	1% (2) 3% (4)
	4	8% (149) 12% (225)	13% (19)	6% (12) 10% (18)	11% (51) 14% (65)	10% (40) 15% (65)	6% (18) 9% (25)	10% (12) 14% (16)	6% (10) 11% (17)
	6	13% (237) 13% (229)	9% (13) 7% (10) 16% (23)	18% (33) 10% (19)	13% (62) 15% (73)	17% (72) 14% (58)	10% (29) 11% (31)	10% (12) 14% (16)	10% (16) 14% (22) 12% (18)
		11% (193) 11% (196)	16% (23) 12% (18)	11% (20) 17% (32)	13% (61) 8% (37)	9% (37) 9% (36) 5% (23)	10% (28) 14% (40)	5% (6) 9% (11)	12% (18) 14% (22)
		8% (142) 5% (98)	12% (18) 5% (8) 10% (14)	10% (18) 6% (11)	6% (31) 3% (14)	4% (18)	13% (37) 8% (23)	8% (9) 4% (5)	10% (16) 8% (13)
	11	5% (95) 2% (41)	8% (12) 7% (11)	5% (9) 3% (5)	4% (21) 1% (7)	5% (23) 0% (1)	7% (21) 3% (9)	4% (5) 3% (3)	3% (4) 3% (5)
	13	2% (28) 1% (16)	1% (1) 1% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (5) 0% (2)	1% (4) 1% (4)	3% (10) 2% (5)	1% (1) 2% (2)	4% (6) 0% (0)
	15	0% (7) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2)	1% (4) 1% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.46	0% (0) 7.31	1% (1) 6.99	0% (0) 5.89	0% (0) 5.95	0% (0) 7.30	0% (0) 5.96	0% (0) 6.95
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their com	oination of circumsta	inces.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)			l 		· 	l 		
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	148	4	17 	25	30	47	8	17
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	201	22	50	1	34	69	3	22
	Matched/Awarded	384	16	55	60	84	108	35	26
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing								
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	67	2	19 	36	1 	0	6	3
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	0	2	7	3	2	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e nast 30 davs							
	Newly Added	148	19	27	33	22	13	18	16
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	27	3	14	2	1	1	0	6
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	175	22	41	35	23	14	18	22
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 days.						
_	Housed - Self-Resolved	40	1	23	2	4	3	6	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	 17	^			4		1	 1
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH		0	2	7	I	5 	I	I
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	25	0	7	5	1	9	2	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	33	0	6	7	4	9	5	2
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	115	1	38	21	10	26	14	5
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	36	0	3	8	0	6	2	17
	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased						· ·		
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	41	0	3	8	0	7	3	20
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	156	1	41	29	10	33	17	25
Z	NET INFLOW	19	21	0	6	13	-19	1	-3

	All Families	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern		Haitioiu	riaveii	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	_	Families	9%	19%	30%	15%	9%	7%	12%
В	Active on BNL	288	25	54	86	42	26	20	35
С	Median Days Active	113	96	150	145	135	117	44	77
D	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
	0	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	1 2	2% (5) 6% (17)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2)	1% (1)	2% (1)	0% (0)	5% (1)	6% (2)
	4	12% (34)	8% (2) 24% (6)	9% (5)	8% (7) 13% (11)	10% (4) 12% (5)	0% (0) 12% (3)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1) 20% (4)	3% (1) 0% (0)
	5 6	7% (20) 15% (44)	8% (2) 8% (2)	7% (4) 19% (10)	5% (4) 16% (14)	14% (6) 17% (7)	4% (1) 15% (4)	5% (1) 20% (4)	6% (2) 9% (3)
	7 8	15% (43) 12% (35)	12% (3) 8% (2)	22% (12) 13% (7)	20% (17) 12% (10)	7% (3) 5% (2)	8% (2) 23% (6)	10% (2) 10% (2)	11% (4) 17% (6)
	10	10% (30) 7% (21)	4% (1) 12% (3) 12% (3)	9% (5) 6% (3)	8% (7) 3% (3)	17% (7) 10% (4)	15% (4) 8% (2)	10% (2) 0% (0) 10% (2)	11% (4) 17% (6)
	11 12	6% (17) 3% (9)	12% (3) 4% (1)	4% (2) 0% (0)	5% (4) 6% (5)	7% (3)	4% (1)	0% (0)	6% (2) 9% (3)
	13	2% (5) 1% (2)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)
	15	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.26	6.92	7.78	6.93	6.64	7.62	6.70	8.31
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	3	0	0	0	 1	0	1	 1
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	 1
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded				· ·	· 			
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	71	3	8	11 	17 	21	6	5
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	42	1	33	8	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	56	1	31	8	2	3	3	8
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 davs.							
	Newly Added	37	3	9	10	6	0	4	5
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	3	1	 1	0	0	 1	0	0
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	40	4	10	10	6	1	4	5
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		7	10	10	U	I	7	J
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	12	1	0	1	4	3	2	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
R	Housed - All Other	13	0	0	2	4	2	5	0
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	29	1	0	5	8	6	7	2
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	8	0	0	0	0	6	0	2
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	0	0	0	6	0	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	37	1	0	5	8	12	7	4
Z	NET INFLOW	3	3	10	5	-2	-11	-3	1

A II Jacobia						Greater	Greater New	· soudiandoroung	ct.gov with questions
All Indiv	iduais	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Pe	ercentage of S				25%	24%	470/		
A	All Inc	dividuals	8%	11%	2070	24 /0	17%	6%	8%
В	Active on BNL	1,709	138	188	434	406	292	108	142
	dian Days Active	152	159	90	147	210	145	134	94
Assessment Score Count of all active records having			records)						
0		0% (3) 2% (34)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)
2		6% (102)	7% (10)	1% (1) 3% (5)	3% (13) 8% (33)	2% (10) 6% (26)	4% (11)	3% (3) 14% (15)	1% (2) 1% (2)
3 4		8% (144) 13% (214)	3% (4) 10% (14)	7% (13) 11% (20)	11% (49) 14% (59)	9% (38) 16% (65)	7% (19) 9% (25)	10% (11) 13% (14)	7% (10) 12% (17)
5 6		14% (242) 13% (225)	10% (14) 9% (12) 16% (22)	20% (37) 11% (21)	14% (62) 15% (64)	17% (70) 14% (56)	11% (32) 11% (31)	11% (12) 14% (15)	11% (15) 18% (26) 13% (19)
7		11% (182) 11% (180)	16% (22) 14% (19)	10% (19) 15% (29)	12% (50) 8% (33)	14% (56) 9% (38) 9% (35)	10% (30) 12% (34)	4% (4) 9% (10)	13% (19)
9		7% (128) 5% (91)	14% (19) 6% (8) 9% (12)	9% (16) 6% (12)	6% (27) 3% (14)	5% (19) 4% (16)	12% (36) 8% (23)	7% (8) 6% (6)	10% (14) 6% (8)
11 12		5% (82) 2% (37)	7% (9) 7% (10)	4% (7) 3% (6)	4% (19) 1% (3)	5% (20) 0% (2)	7% (21) 3% (10)	3% (3) 4% (4)	2% (3) 1% (2)
13		1% (24) 1% (14)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (4) 0% (2)	1% (4) 1% (4)	3% (9) 1% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	4% (5) 0% (0)
15		0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
16		0% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
E	erage Assessment Score	6.33	0% (0) 7.28	0% (0) 6.56	0% (0) 5.74	0% (0) 5.90	0% (0) 7.22	0% (0) 5.88	0% (0) 6.68
Status/Conditions F Clients counted in each row below				in multiple rows de-	anding on their or	hination of discussed	ances		
	CAN Assistance			iii maiapie rows dep				0	0
F Clients counted here are subje-	ct to due diligence policy	5	2	I	0	1 	1 	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of	nronic (Verified) f Chronic Homelessness	145	4	17	25	29	47	7	16
Kno	wn Unsheltered	213	24	55	0	35	71	3	25
	firmed to be unsheltered atched/Awarded								
Clients matched to or awa	rded a housing resource	369	17	55	52	77	105	36	27
Enrolled in Trans Active clients who are enrolled	•	64	6	17	31	1	0	6	3
	of Assessment	156	15	25	40	28	23	9	 15
Active clients who were under 2		100	10	20	70	20	20		10
Inflow to Active List Clients below were made active	or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	152	18	26	30	28	21	15	14
	never been active before ed from Inactive								
M Clients inactive for any rea	ason who are now active	32	4	16	2	1	3	0	6
	ive List TOTAL	184	22	42	32	29	24	15	20
Outflow from Active Clients below were returned to l			n the past 30 days						
Housed	- Self-Resolved	37	1	23	5	0	2	5	1
O Clients returned to hous	ing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u></u>	J	
P Clients returned to housing in		18	0	2	6	1	7	1	1
Q Clients returned to housing in	Housed - RRH	30	2	9	6	1	10	2	0
ononcorotarnoa to modeling in	past 30 days, with RRH used - All Other	23				1	7	1	າ
R Clients returned to housing	in past 30 days, all other		0	6	6	1	1	1	2
	Outflow subtotal	108	3	40	23	3	26	9	. 4
T Clients made inactive in past 30	days, unable to contact	34	0	3	10	4	0	2	15
U Clients made inactive in past	In an Institution	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Inac	tive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	past 30 days, deceased	·	J		U			·	·
V Clients made inactive in past 3	ctive - All Other 0 days, all other reasons	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
x Other	Outflow subtotal	39	0	3	10	4	1	3	18
Y Outflow from Act		147	3	43	33	7	27	12	22
Z	NET INFLOW	37	19	-1	-1	22	-3	3	-2

	Families (Non-Youth)	0	0.1.1		F 1 6 11	Greater	Greater New		N. d.
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S Families (No		10%	10%	34%	17%	10%	7%	12%
В	Active on BNL	234	24	23	79	40	23	17	28
С	Median Days Active	106	94	63	144	135	123	47	82
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)				-		-
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	7% (16)	8% (2)	0% (0) 4% (1)	9% (7) 11% (9)	10% (4)	0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	7% (2) 4% (1)
	5	10% (23) 7% (17)	25% (6) 8% (2)	0% (0) 4% (1)	5% (4) 16% (13)	8% (3) 15% (6)	9% (2) 4% (1)	18% (3) 6% (1)	0% (0) 7% (2)
	7	12% (29) 14% (33)	8% (2) 8% (2) 13% (3) 4% (1)	4% (1) 22% (5) 22% (5)	19% (15)	18% (7) 8% (3)	9% (2) 9% (2)	18% (3) 12% (2) 12% (2)	4% (1) 11% (3)
	8	13% (30) 11% (26)	4% (1) 4% (1) 13% (3)	9% (2)	11% (9) 9% (7)	5% (2) 18% (7)	26% (6) 17% (4)	6% (1)	18% (5) 14% (4)
	10	8% (19) 7% (16)	13% (3) 13% (3)	9% (2) 9% (2)	4% (3) 5% (4)	10% (4) 8% (3)	9% (2) 4% (1)	0% (0) 12% (2)	18% (5) 4% (1)
	12	3% (8) 2% (4)	4% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1)	5% (4) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (3) 4% (1)
	14 15	1% (2) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E		0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.42 active rec	6.88	9.83	6.94	6.78	7.91	6.76	8.21
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Г	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	3	0	 0	0	 1	0	1	1
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	ა	·	u	U 	 	U	l 	
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	Matched/Awarded	64	3	8	10	16	18	5	4
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing		4						
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	13	 	5	7	0	0	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		_	_					_
L	Clients who have never been active before	33	3	6	10	6	0	4	4
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	36	4	7	10	6	1	4	4
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	10	1	0	1	4	2	2	0
Р	Housed - PSH	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other				l 		l 	·	·
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	12	0	0	2	4	2	4	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	26	1	0	5	8	5	6	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	0	0	0	0	6	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	 0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	8	0	0	0		6	0	-
X Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	3 4	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>0</u> 8	<u>0</u> 11	<u> </u>	2 3
ź	NET INFLOW	2	3	7	<u>5</u>	-2	-10	-2	1
-1			·	-	-	=			Page 7

Particular Parcentage of Statewide Parcentage of Statewide Parcentage of Parcentage o		- 11 (N/ 41)					Greater	Greater New	beau.anderson@	sagev mar quesaens
A		Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield			MMW	Northwest
Active on BNL 54		Percentage of S	tatewide		57%					
Active on BNL 54	Α			2%		13%	4%	6%	6%	13%
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	В			1	31	7	2	3	3	7
Description of the analysis and accessment access The St. Description	С	Median Days Active	158	195	216	155	109	76	41	49
Post 10				records)						
Control Cont	D			0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Control Cont		1		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Cleants marked to be an expensive position of the decided and account of th		3	2% (1)	0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status Conditions Followed Gamong active records		5	6% (3)	0% (0)	10% (3)	29% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status Conditions Followed Gamong active records		7	19% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (9) 23% (7)	14% (1) 29% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	29% (2) 14% (1)
12		9	7% (4)	100% (1) 0% (0)	6% (2)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	14% (1)
12		. •		0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14% (1)
The content of the		12	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
18		14	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
18		16	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Cliente sucurity and the complex pressure of the RPL, and diverter may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of characteristics Cliente sucurity and the combination of Characteristics Cliente sucurity Cliente suc		18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances. Refuses CAN Assistance	Ε	·							6.33	
Foundation Fou					in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
Clearly counted how are supposed to the eligiborate policy Chronic (Verified) O		Refuses CAN Assistance							n	0
Clearls medically definition of Chronic Homelespeeds 0	F				·	·	u		·	
Known Unsheltered Cilicents that are confirmed to be unsheltered Natchedi/Awarded 7	G		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients that are continued to be unstalled and processing of the continued of the purpose of the continued		Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients matched for a warrended a housing resource	Н									
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months 7	I		7	0	0	1	1	3	1	1
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months 7			29	0	28	1	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active active List: Past 30 Days	J									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.		Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date		0	ა	2	U	1	0	1
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive O O O O O O O O O										
Clients who have never been active before 4						•				
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 0	L	Clients who have never been active before	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	11
Inflow to Active List TOTAL 4	М		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	N	·	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Elember Feturned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PRH Housed - All Other 1	·		<u> </u>			_				-
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH D D D D D D D D D	0		2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other 1	J		Λ	0	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	Ρ		U	U	U	U	U		U	U
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	Q		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		Housed - All Other	1	0	n	n	n	0	1	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		• , , , , ,	2	•				1	1	-
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5					-		1	•	-
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native - Deceased O O O O O O O O O	11		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased 0	U			^	^	^	^		^	
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 0	٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	U	U	U 	U	U 	U	U
X Other Outflow subtotal 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	W		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 3 0 0 0 0 1 1 1			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
z NET INFLOW 1 0 3 0 0 -1 -1 0	Υ			0	0			1	1	
	Z	NET INFLOW	1	0	3	0	0	-1	-1	0

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	i all liciu	Hartioru	Haven	WIWIVV	Northwest
Α	Individuals		11%	16%	24%	18%	15%	6%	11%
В	Active on BNL	142	15	23	34	25	21	8	15
С	Median Days Active	60	75	64	67	53	29	65	80
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (1) 3% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	8% (11)	7% (1) 0% (0) 7% (1)	4% (1) 9% (2)	3% (1) 15% (5) 9% (3)	0% (0) 8% (2)	0% (0) 5% (1)	13% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)
	5	8% (12) 15% (22)	7% (1) 20% (3)	9% (2) 22% (5)	9% (3) 12% (4)	12% (3) 16% (4)	10% (2) 19% (4)	13% (1) 13% (1)	0% (0) 7% (1)
	6	18% (25) 15% (22)	20% (3) 27% (4) 13% (2)	22% (5) 13% (3) 17% (4)	12% (4) 12% (4)	20% (5) 16% (4)	19% (4) 10% (2) 19% (4)	25% (2) 0% (0)	7% (1) 33% (5) 27% (4) 13% (2)
	8	10% (14)	13% (2)	9% (2)	15% (5)	4% (1)	0% (0)	13% (1)	13% (2)
	10	8% (12) 8% (12)	7% (1) 7% (1)	0% (0) 13% (3)	12% (4) 15% (5) 9% (3) 9% (3)	12% (3) 8% (2)	14% (3) 10% (2)	0% (0) 13% (1)	13% (2) 0% (0)
	11	2% (3) 3% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	6% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	0% (0) 13% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	13	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.51	0% (0) 6.27	0% (0) 6.30	0% (0) 6.47	0% (0) 6.52	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 6.63	0% (0) 6.67
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.30	0.41	0.52	0.70	0.03	0.07
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Known Unsheltered	15	2	5	0	2	2	0	4
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		۷			<u></u>			
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	49	4	8	2	9	15	6	5
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	5	3	2	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing								
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	9	1	1	5	1	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.	T						
	Newly Added	37	2	5	7	12	8	1	2
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive							^	
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	2	3	0	0	3	0	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	45	4	8	7	12	11	1	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.						
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	1	0	4	0	1	1	0
	Housed - PSH	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH								
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	2	2	2	0	2	0	0
	Housed - All Other	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		-		7	1			-
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	19	3	2	1	T	5	1	0
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	0	2	4	0	0	0
	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution								
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons		-				<u> </u>		-
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	6	0	0	2	4	0	0	0
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	25 20	3	<u>2</u> 6	<u>9</u> -2	5 7	<u> </u>	1 	2
Z	NETINFLOW	20	<u> </u>	U	-2		U	U	Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	5		_ ,		Greater	Greater New		
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S Individuals (No		8%	11%	26%	24%	17%	6%	8%
A B	Active on BNL	1,567	123	165	400	381	271	100	127
С	Median Days Active	165	175	94	159	221	158	134	98
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			<u> </u>					
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (3)	0% (0)	10/. (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (33) 6% (98)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (1) 3% (13)	3% (10)	1% (4)	3% (3)	0% (0) 2% (2)
	3	8% (133)	7% (9) 3% (4)	2% (4) 7% (11)	8% (32) 11% (44)	7% (26) 9% (36) 16% (62)	4% (11) 7% (18)	14% (14) 11% (11)	2% (2) 7% (9)
	5	13% (202) 14% (220)	11% (13) 9% (11)	11% (18) 19% (32) 11% (18)	14% (56) 15% (58)	16% (62) 17% (66)	8% (23) 10% (28) 11% (29)	13% (13) 11% (11)	13% (17) 11% (14)
	6	13% (200) 10% (160)	9% (11) 7% (8) 16% (20) 14% (17)	11% (18) 9% (15) 16% (27)	15% (60) 12% (46) 7% (28)	17% (66) 13% (51) 9% (34) 9% (34)	11% (29) 10% (26)	13% (13) 4% (4)	17% (21) 12% (15)
	8	11% (166) 7% (116)	14% (17) 6% (7)	16% (27) 10% (16)	7% (28) 6% (24)	9% (34) 4% (16)	10% (26) 13% (34) 12% (33)	9% (9) 8% (8)	13% (17) 9% (12)
	10	5% (79) [′] 5% (79)	6% (7) 9% (11) 7% (9)	5% (9) 4% (7)	3% (11) 4% (17)	4% (14) 5% (20)	8% (21) 7% (20)	5% (5) 3% (3)	6% (8) 2% (3)
	12	2% (33)	8% (10)	3% (5)	1% (3)	0% (1)	3% (9)	3% (3)	2% (3) 2% (2) 4% (5)
	14	2% (24) 1% (14)	1% (1) 2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (4) 1% (2)	1% (4) 1% (4)	3% (9) 1% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)
	15 16	0% (5) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17 18	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.32	7.40	6.59	5.68	5.86	7.25	5.82	6.68
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)					·			
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	145	4	17	25	29	47	7	16
	Known Unsheltered	198	22	50	0	33	69	3	21
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded			47				20	
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	320	13	47	50	68 	90	30	22
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	54	1	14	29	1	0	6	3
I/	Youth at Time of Assessment	14	0	2	6	3	2	1	0
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
	Newly Added	115	16	21	23	16	13	14	12
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive								
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	24	2	13	2	1 	0	0	6
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	139	18	34	25	17	13	14	18
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	30	0	23	1	0	1	4	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		·		l 	u	l 	4	
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	16	0	2	6	1	5	1	1
Q	Housed - RRH	22	0	7	4	1	8	2	0
Ų	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	21		e	F		 7	1	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		0	6	5	0	•	1	2
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	89	0	38	16	2	21	8	4
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	28	0	3	8	0	0	2	15
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	33	0	3	8	0	1	3	18
X Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	122	0	<u> </u>	<u>8</u> 24	2	22	<u> </u>	22
z	NET INFLOW	17	18	<u>-71</u>	1	15	<u>-9</u>	3	-4
				•		- 	-		Page 10

ı	6/16/2020 FTI BNL REPOIL								eau.anderson@ct.g	
	Statewide BNL	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		90%		86%				78%
Α	Statev	vide BNL	10%		14%		12%	3%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	1,997	196	1,801	288	1,709	234	54	142	1,567
С	Median Days Active	143	70	158	113	152	106	158	60	165
- 1				130	110	102	100	100	00	100
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras							
U	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (3)
	1	2% (36) 5% (107)	1% (1) 2% (4)	0% (3) 2% (35) 6% (103)	0% (0) 1% (2) 2% (5)	0% (3) 2% (34) 6% (102)	1% (2)	0% (0)	1% (1) 3% (4)	0% (3) 2% (33) 6% (98)
		8% (161)	6% (12)	8% (149) 12% (225)	6% (17)	8% (144)	7% (16)	2% (1)	8% (11) 8% (12)	8% (133) 13% (202)
	4	12% (248) 13% (262)	12% (23)	12% (225)	12% (34) 7% (20)	13% (214)	10% (23) 7% (17)	20% (11)	8% (12) 15% (22)	13% (202)
	6	13% (269)	6% (12) 12% (23) 13% (25) 20% (40)	13% (237) 13% (229)	15% (44)	8% (144) 13% (214) 14% (242) 13% (225)	12% (29)	28% (15)	15% (22) 18% (25)	14% (220) 13% (200)
	8	11% (225) 11% (215)	16% (32) 10% (19)	11% (193) 11% (196)	15% (43) 12% (35)	11% (182)	14% (33) 13% (30)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1) 20% (11) 6% (3) 28% (15) 19% (10) 9% (5) 7% (4)	15% (22) 10% (14)	10% (160) 11% (166)
	9	8% (158)	8% (16) 7% (14)	8% (142)	2% (37) 6% (17) 12% (34) 7% (20) 15% (44) 15% (43) 12% (35) 10% (30) 7% (21)	7% (128)	11% (26)	7% (4)	8% (12)	7% (116)
	10 11	6% (112) 5% (99)	2% (4)	5% (98) 5% (95) 2% (41) 2% (28)	7% (21) 6% (17)	7% (128) 5% (91) 5% (82) 2% (37) 1% (24)	2% (5) 7% (16) 10% (23) 7% (17) 12% (29) 14% (33) 13% (30) 11% (26) 8% (19) 7% (16) 3% (8) 2% (4)	4% (2) 2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	8% (12) 8% (12) 2% (3) 3% (4) 0% (0)	7% (116) 5% (79) 5% (79)
	12	2% (46) 1% (29)	2% (4) 3% (5) 1% (1)	2% (41)	6% (17) 3% (9) 2% (5)	2% (37)	3% (8)	2% (1)	3% (4)	2% (33)
	14	1% (16)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (16)	1% (2)	1% (14)	1% (2)	0% (0)	()% (())	2% (33) 2% (24) 1% (14) 0% (5) 0% (1) 0% (1)
	15 16	0% (7) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (7) 0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (1)	1% (2) 1% (2) 1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.47	0% (0) 6.53	0% (1) 6.46	0% (1) 7.26	0% (0) 6.33	0% (1) 7.42	0% (0) 6.56	0% (0) 6.51	0% (0) 6.32
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	148	0	148	3	145	3	0	0	145
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	216	15	201	3	213	3	0	15	198
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	440	56	384	71	369	64	7	49	320
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	106	39	67	42	64	13	29	10	54
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	212	196	16	56	156	2	54	142	14
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	ia nast 30 davs								
	Newly Added	189	41	148	37	152	33	4	37	115
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	35	8	27	3	32	3	0	8	24
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	224	49	175	40	184	36	4	45	139
ŀ	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		43	110	40	104	J 30	4	40	133
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved				40	07	40	0	7	20
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	49	9	40	12	37	10	2	7	30
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19	2	17	1	18	1	0	2	16
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	33	8	25	3	30	3	0	8	22
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	36	3	33	13	23	12	1	2	21
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	137	22	115	29	108	26	3	19	89
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	42	6	36	8	34	8	0	6	28
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
х	Other Outflow subtotal	47	6	41	8	39	8	0	6	33
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	184	28	156	37	147	34	3	25	122
Z	NET INFLOW	40	21	19	3	37	2	1	20	17
ı				<u> </u>			ě.			

	Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)		
	Perce	entage of	Toutif	90%	1 aiiiiies	85%	(Non-Toutil)	(Touti)	(Touil)	75%		
Α		tral CAN	10%		15%		15%	1%	9%			
В	Active on BNL	163	16	147	25	138	24	1	15	123		
С	Median Days Active	134	76	147	96	159	94	195	75	175		
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)									
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
		0% (0) 6% (10)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (10)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0)		
	3	4% (6)	0% (0) 6% (1)	6% (9) 4% (6)	8% (2)	7% (10) 3% (4) 10% (14)	8% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)	7% (9) 3% (4)		
	5	12% (20) 10% (16)	19% (3)	13% (19) 9% (13)	24% (6) 8% (2)	10% (14)	25% (6) 8% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (3) 27% (4)	11% (13) 9% (11) 7% (8)		
	6 7	9% (14) 15% (25)	19% (3) 25% (4) 13% (2) 19% (3)	9% (13) 7% (10) 16% (23) 12% (18) 5% (8)	8% (2) 24% (6) 8% (2) 8% (2) 12% (3) 8% (2) 4% (1) 12% (3) 12% (3) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (14) 9% (12) 16% (22) 14% (19) 6% (8)	8% (2) 13% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 100% (1)	27% (4) 13% (2) 13% (2)	7% (8) 16% (20) 14% (17)		
		13% (21) 6% (9)	19% (3) 6% (1)	12% (18) 5% (8)	8% (2) 4% (1)	14% (19) 6% (8)	4% (1) 4% (1)	N% (N)	13% (2) 7% (1)	6% (7)		
	10	9% (15) 7% (12)	6% (1) 0% (0)	10% (14) 8% (12)	12% (3)	9% (12) 7% (9)	13% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1)	9% (11) 7% (9)		
	12	7% (11)	0% (0)	7% (11)	4% (1)	7% (10)	4% (1)	0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (10)		
	14	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (10) 1% (1) 2% (2) 0% (0)		
		0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)		
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (2) 25% (6) 8% (2) 13% (3) 4% (1) 13% (3) 13% (3) 13% (3) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)		
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.22	6.38	7.31	6.92	7.28	6.88	8.00	6.27	7.40		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2		
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	2 4										
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered		0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4		
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	24	2	22	0	24	0	0	2	22		
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	20	4	16	3	17 	3	0	4	13		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	5	2	1	6	1	0	5	1		
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	16	16	0	1	15	0	1	15	0		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	21	2	19	3	18	3	0	2	16		
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	2	3	1	4	1	0	2	2		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	26	4	22	4	22	4	0	4	18		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da											
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	S.								
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0		
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0		
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	4	3	1	1	3	1	0	3	0		
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	4	3	1	1	3	1	0	3	0		
Z	NET INFLOW	22	1	21	3	19	3	0	1	18 Page 12		

	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)	
	Perce	entage of		78%		78%	(1011 10011)	(10001)	(10001)	68%	
Α		tern CAN	22%		22%		10%	13%	10%		
В	Active on BNL	242	54	188	54	188	23	31	23	165	
С	Median Days Active	98	138	93	150	90	63	216	64	94	
D	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)								
_	0	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (2) 9% (5) 7% (4) 19% (10) 22% (12) 13% (7) 9% (5) 6% (3)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	
	2	2% (5)	2% (1)	1% (1) 2% (4) 6% (12) 10% (18)	0% (0)	3% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 16% (5)	9% (2) 9% (2)	1% (1) 2% (4)	
	4	6% (15) 10% (25)	6% (3) 13% (7)	10% (12)	9% (5)	7% (13) 11% (20)	4% (1) 0% (0)	3% (1) 16% (5)	9% (2) 9% (2)	7% (11) 11% (18)	
	6	17% (41) 13% (31)	15% (8) 22% (12) 20% (11) 7% (4)	18% (33) 10% (19)	7% (4) 19% (10)	20% (37) 11% (21) 10% (19) 15% (29) 9% (16)	4% (1) 4% (1)	10% (3) 29% (9)	22% (5) 13% (3)	19% (32) 11% (18)	
	8	13% (31) 15% (36)	20% (11) 7% (4)	11% (20) 17% (32)	22% (12) 13% (7)	10% (19) 15% (29)	22% (5) 22% (5)	23% (7) 6% (2)	17% (4) 9% (2) 0% (0)	9% (15) 16% (27)	
	10	9% (21) 6% (15)	6% (3) 7% (4)	10% (18) 6% (11) 5% (9)	9% (5) 6% (3)	0% (IZ)	9% (2) 9% (2)	10% (3) 3% (1)	13% (3)	10% (16) 5% (9) 4% (7) 3% (5) 0% (0) 1% (1)	
	11	4% (9) 2% (6)	0% (0) 2% (1)	5% (9) 3% (5)	4% (2) 0% (0)	4% (7) 3% (6)	9% (2) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (7) 3% (5)	
	13	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	
	15	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	()% (())	
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 1% (1)	6% (3) 4% (2) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1) 22% (5) 22% (5) 22% (5) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	
E	Average Assessment Score	6.83	6.28	6.99	7.78	6.56	9.83	6.26	6.30	6.59	
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.										
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	' 17	0	 17	0	' 17	0	0	 0	' 17	
G 	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	55	5	50	0	55	0	0	5	50	
H	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	63	8	55	8	55	8	0	8	47	
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	50	31	19	33	17	5	28	3	14	
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	56	54	2	31	25	0	31	23	2	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	nast 30 davs									
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	8	27	9	26	6	3	5	21	
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	17	3	14	1	16	1	0	3	13	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	52	11	41	10	42	7	3	8	34	
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da										
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,		_		_	_	_		
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	23	0	23	0	23	0	0	0	23	
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	2	7	0	9	0	0	2	7	
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	40	2	38	0	40	0	0	2	38	
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	43 9	9	41 0	0 10	43 -1	7	3	6	<u>41</u> -7	
Z	NEI INFLOW	У	У	U	10	-7		<u>J</u>	0	-/ Page 13	

ı	0/10/2020 I II BIVE REPOIL								au.anderson@ci.g		
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)	
	Parce	ntage of	routii	92%	T diffillion	83%	(Hon roun)	(1 outil)	(1 oddi)	77%	
	Fairfield Cou	•	8%		17%		15%	1%	7%		
A B	Active on BNL	520	41	479	86	434	79	7	34	400	
С	Median Days Active	146	70	158	145	147	144	155	67	159	
-	Assessment Score Distribution (am			100	140	177	177	100	01	100	
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.										
		0% (1) 3% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 3% (14)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1) 3% (13)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 3% (13)	
	2	7% (34) 11% (56)	2% (1)	3% (14) 7% (33)	1% (1) 8% (7)	8% (33) 11% (49)	1% (1) 9% (7)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1) 15% (5)	8% (32)	
	4	13% (70)	12% (5) 12% (5)	11% (51) 14% (65)	13% (11)	14% (59)	9% (7) 11% (9)	0% (0) 29% (2) 0% (0)	9% (3) 12% (4)	8% (32) 11% (44) 14% (56)	
		13% (66) 15% (78)	10% (4) 12% (5) 15% (6)	13% (62) 15% (73)	13% (11) 5% (4) 16% (14)	14% (62) 15% (64)	5% (4) 16% (13)	0% (0) 14% (1) 29% (2)	12% (4)	15% (58) 15% (60)	
		13% (67) 8% (43)	15% (6) 15% (6)	13% (61) 8% (37)	20% (17) 12% (10)	14% (62) 15% (64) 12% (50) 8% (33)	19% (15) 11% (9)	29% (2) 14% (1)	12% (4) 15% (5)	12% (46) 7% (28)	
	9	7% (34) 3% (17)	15% (6) 7% (3) 7% (3)	13% (61) 8% (37) 6% (31) 3% (14) 4% (21) 1% (7)	8% (7) 3% (3)	6% (27) 3% (14)	11% (9) 5% (4) 16% (13) 19% (15) 11% (9) 9% (7) 4% (3) 5% (4) 1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (3) 9% (3)	6% (24) 3% (11)	
	11	4% (23)	5% (2)	4% (21)	5% (4) 6% (5)	4% (19) 1% (3)	5% (4)	0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)	4% (17) 1% (3)	
	13	2% (8) 1% (5)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (7) 1% (5) 0% (2)	6% (5) 1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (4)	5% (4) 1% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (4) 1% (2)	
		0% (2) 0% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (2)	0% (0) 1% (1)	1% (4) 0% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	
E		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among	5.94	6.54 ords)	5.89	6.93	5.74	6.94	6.86	6.47	5.68	
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.				
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
۲	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)										
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	25	0	25	0	25	0	0	0	25	
	Known Unsheltered	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded										
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	63	3	60	11	52	10	1	2	50	
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	3	36	8	31	7	1	2	29	
U	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	48	41	7	8	40	1	7	34	6	
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	40	71	'	0	70	'	'	J 1	0	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e nast 30 davs									
	Newly Added	, ,	7	22	10	20	10	0	7	00	
L	Clients who have never been active before	40	7	33	10	30	10	0	7 	23	
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	7	35	10	32	10	0	7	25	
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da										
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.							
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	4	2	1	5	1	0	4	1	
٦	Housed - PSH	7	0	7	1	6	1	0	0	6	
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	ı	·		I	·				·	
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	2	5	1	6	1	0	2	4	
	Housed - All Other	8	1	7	2	6	2	0	1	5	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		7	•					7		
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	28		21	5	23	5	0	•	16	
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	10	2	8	0	10	0	0	2	8	
,,	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased										
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14/	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	10	2	8	0	10	0	0	2	8	
γ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	38	9	29	5	33	5	0	9	24	
Z	NET INFLOW	4	-2	6	5	<u>-1</u>	5	0	-2	1	
-1	2017	•		•		•		•	_	Page 14	

	Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals			
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 91%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
	Percentage of Greater Hartford CAN		6%	31,0	9%	3170	9%	0%	6%	3077		
A B	Active on BNL	448	27	421	42	406	40	2	25	381		
С	Median Days Active	200	53	208	135	210	135	109	53	221		
- 1	Assessment Score Distribution (am			200	100	210	100	100		<u> </u>		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		•	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)		
	1	0% (0) 2% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (10) 6% (27)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (10) 6% (26) 9% (38) 16% (65)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (10) 7% (26)		
	3	6% (27) 9% (42)	0% (0) 7% (2)	10% (40)	2% (1) 10% (4) 12% (5)	6% (26) 9% (38)	3% (1) 10% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (2) 12% (3)	7% (26) 9% (36) 16% (62)		
	5	16% (70) 17% (76)	19% (5) 15% (4) 19% (5)	15% (65) 17% (72)	12% (5) 14% (6) 17% (7)	1/% (/0)	8% (3) 15% (6)	100% (2) 0% (0)	12% (3) 16% (4) 20% (5)	16% (62) 17% (66) 13% (51)		
		14% (63) 9% (41)	19% (5) 15% (4) 4% (1)	14% (58) 9% (37)	17% (7) 7% (3)	14% (56) 9% (38)	18% (7) 8% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (5) 16% (4) 4% (1)	13% (51) 9% (34)		
	8	8% (37) 6% (26)	4% (1) 11% (3)	9% (36) 5% (23)	5% (2) 17% (7)	9% (38) 9% (35) 5% (19)	5% (2) 18% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 12% (3)	9% (34) 9% (34) 4% (16)		
	10	4% (20) 5% (23)	11% (3) 7% (2) 0% (0)	15% (63) 17% (72) 14% (58) 9% (37) 9% (36) 5% (23) 4% (18) 5% (23)	7% (3) 5% (2) 17% (7) 10% (4) 7% (3) 0% (0)	5% (19) 4% (16) 5% (20)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 10% (4) 8% (3) 15% (6) 18% (7) 8% (3) 5% (2) 18% (7) 10% (4) 8% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	12% (3) 8% (2) 0% (0)	4% (16) 4% (14) 5% (20)		
	12	0% (2) 1% (4)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (2) 1% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)		
	14	1% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (4) 1% (3)		
	16	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)		
_	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	5.97 active rec	6.33 ords)	5.95	6.64	5.90	6.78	4.00	6.52	5.86		
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.											
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	30	0	30	1	29	1	0	0	29		
Н	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	36	2	34	1	35	1	0	2	33		
''	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	94	10	84	17	77	16	1	9	68		
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	30	27	3	2	28	0	2	25	3		
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.										
	Newly Added	34	12	22	6	28	6	0	12	16		
L	Clients who have never been active before		12			20	0		12			
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	35	12	23	6	29	6	0	12	17		
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•	- # + 20 day	_								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac Housed - Self-Resolved				4	^	4		^			
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	4	4	0	4	0	0	0		
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
R	Housed - All Other	5	1	4	4	1	4	0	1	0		
S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	11	1	10	8	3	8	0	1	2		
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0		
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	4	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0		
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	15	5	10	8	7	8	0	5	2		
Z	NET INFLOW	20	7	13	-2	22	-2	0	7	15 Page 15		

	Oracle Name Have CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
	Greater New Haven CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		92%		92%				85%
Α	Greater New Ha	ven CAN	8%		8%		7%	1%	7%	
В	Active on BNL	318	24	294	26	292	23	3	21	271
С	Median Days Active	140	40	152	117	145	123	76	29	158
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)							
U	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	2	2% (6) 3% (11)	4% (1) 0% (0)	2% (5) 4% (11) 6% (18)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 4% (11) 7% (19)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1)	1% (4) 4% (11) 7% (18)
		6% (19) 9% (28)	4% (1) 13% (3)	6% (18) 9% (25) 10% (29)	0% (0) 12% (3)	9% (25)	0% (0) 9% (2)	0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0)	10% (2)	8% (23)
		10% (33) 11% (35)	17% (4) 17% (4) 17% (4)	10% (29) 11% (31)	4% (1) 15% (4)	11% (32)	4% (1) 9% (2)	0% (0) 67% (2)	19% (4) 10% (2)	10% (28) 11% (29)
	7	10% (32) 13% (40)	17% (4) 0% (0)	10% (28) 14% (40)	8% (2) 23% (6)	10% (30) 12% (34)	9% (0) 9% (0) 9% (2) 4% (1) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (2) 9% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	19% (4) 0% (0)	10% (28) 11% (29) 10% (26) 13% (34)
	9	13% (40) 8% (25)	13% (3) 8% (2)	13% (37) 8% (23)	0% (0) 12% (3) 4% (1) 15% (4) 8% (2) 23% (6) 15% (4) 8% (2)	11% (31) 10% (30) 12% (34) 12% (36) 8% (23)	17% (4) 9% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (3) 10% (2)	12% (33) 8% (21)
	11	7% (22) 3% (10)	4% (1) 4% (1)	7% (21) 3% (9)	4% (1) 0% (0)	7% (21) 3% (10)	4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)	7% (20) 3% (9)
	13	3% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (10) 2% (5)	4% (1)	3% (9) 1% (4)	4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (9) 1% (4)
	15	2% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (4) 9% (2) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 7.25	0% (0) 6.58	0% (0) 7.30	0% (0) 7.62	0% (0) 7.22	0% (0) 7.91	0% (0) 5.33	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 7.25
	Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.									
	Refuses CAN Assistance				, ,					4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	47	0	47	0	47	0	0	0	47
	Known Unsheltered	71	2	69	0	 71	0	0	2	69
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded									
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	126	18	108	21	105	18	3	15	90
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ü	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	26	24	2	3	23	0	3	21	2
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment		24	2	3		0	<u> </u>	21	
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	21	8	13	0	21	0	0	8	13
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive						<i>,</i>			
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	3	1	1	3	1	0	3	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	25	11	14	1	24	1	0	11	13
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	,	n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	5	2	3	3	2	2	1	1	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-									
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	7	2	5	0	7	0	0	2	5
Q	Housed - RRH	11	2	9	1	10	1	0	2	8
v	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	9	0	9	2	 7	2	0	0	7
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other									
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	32	6	26	6	26	5	1	5	21
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	0	6	6	0	6	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	7	0	7	6	1	6	0	0	1
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	39	6	33	12	27	11	1	5	22
Z	NET INFLOW	-14	5	-19	-11	-3	-10	-1	6	-9

	6/10/2020 111 BIVE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
	MMW CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		91%		84%	(1.011 1.00(11)	(Tourn)	(1000.)	78%
٨		MW CAN	9%		16%		13%	2%	6%	
В	Active on BNL	128	11	117	20	108	17	3	8	100
С	Median Days Active	124	46	131	44	134	47	41	65	134
	Assessment Score Distribution (am									
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.									
		0% (0) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (3)
		13% (16) 9% (12)	9% (1) 0% (0)	13% (15) 10% (12)	5% (1) 5% (1)	14% (15) 10% (11)	6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (1) 0% (0)	14% (14) 11% (11)
	4	14% (18) 10% (13)	18% (2) 9% (1)	14% (16) 10% (12)	20% (4) 5% (1)	13% (14) 11% (12)	18% (3) 6% (1)	33% (1) 0% (0)	13% (1) 13% (1)	13% (13) 11% (11)
	6	15% (19)	27% (3) 0% (0)	14% (16)	20% (4) 10% (2) 10% (2)	1/10/, (15)	18% (3)	33% (1) 0% (0)	25% (2) 0% (0)	13% (13) 4% (4)
	8	5% (6) 9% (12)	9% (1)	5% (6) 9% (11) 8% (9) 4% (5)	10% (2)	4% (4) 9% (10) 7% (8) 6% (6) 3% (3) 4% (4)	18% (3) 6% (1) 18% (3) 12% (2) 12% (2) 6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	13% (1)	9% (9)
	10	8% (10) 5% (6)	9% (1) 9% (1)	8% (9) 4% (5)	10% (2) 0% (0)	7% (8) 6% (6)	6% (1) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (1)	8% (8) 5% (5)
	11 12	4% (5) 3% (4)	0% (0) 9% (1)	4% (5) 3% (3)	10% (2) 0% (0)	3% (3) 4% (4)	0% (0) 12% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 13% (1)	3% (3) 3% (3)
	13	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (2)	0% (0) 5% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.01	0% (0) 6.55	0% (0) 5.96	0% (0) 6.70	0% (0) 5.88	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 6.33	0% (0) 6.63	0% (0) 5.82
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			tod in multiple	donondina en #	oir combination of	oiroumetoness			
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance									_
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Ů	Known Unsheltered	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered			J						
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	42	7	35	6	36	5	1	6	30
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	12	11	1	3	9	0	3	8	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
	Newly Added				_					
L	Clients who have never been active before	19	1	18	4	15	4	0	1 	14
М	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	19	1	18	4	15	4	0	1	14
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	1	6	2	5	2	0	1	4
,	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	· 								·
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Housed - All Other	6	1	5	5	1	4	1	0	1
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	16	2	14	7	9	6	1	1	8
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact							•	^	
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased					u				
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	19	2	17	7	12	6	1	1	11
Z	NET INFLOW	0	-1	1	-3	3	-2	-1	0	3

1	o, ro, zozo i i i bive kepoli								au.anuerson@ci.g	
	Northwest CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		88%		80%	(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	(' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	()	72%
Α		est CAN	12%		20%		16%	4%	8%	
В	Active on BNL	177	22	155	35	142	28	7	15	127
С	Median Days Active	84	69	90	77	94	82	49	80	98
	Assessment Score Distribution (am					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>., </u>		
	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		,							
		0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 7% (2) 4% (1) 0% (0) 7% (2) 4% (1) 11% (3) 18% (5) 14% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)
	2	2% (4)	0% (0)	1% (2) 3% (4) 6% (10)	6% (2)	1% (2)	7% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 7% (1)	2% (2) 7% (9)
		6% (11) 10% (17)	5% (1) 0% (0)	6% (10) 11% (17)	3% (1) 0% (0)	7% (10) 12% (17)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (1) 0% (0)	13% (17)
	5	10% (17) 16% (29)	5% (1)	10% (16) 14% (22)	6% (2) 9% (3)	11% (15) 18% (26)	7% (2)	0% (0) 29% (2)	0% (0) 7% (1)	11% (14) 17% (21)
	7	13% (23)	32% (7) 23% (5)	14% (22) 12% (18) 14% (22)	11% (4)	13% (19) 13% (19)	11% (3)	14% (1)	33% (5) 27% (4)	12% (15) 13% (17)
		14% (25) 10% (18)	14% (3) 9% (2)	14% (22) 10% (16)	17% (6) 11% (4)	13% (19) 10% (14)	18% (5) 14% (4)	14% (1) 0% (0)	13% (2) 13% (2)	13% (17) 9% (12)
	10	8% (14)	5% (1)	10% (16) 8% (13)	17% (6)	10% (14) 6% (8) 2% (3) 1% (2)	14% (4) 18% (5) 4% (1) 11% (3) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 14% (1)	0% (0)	6% (8)
	12	3% (5) 3% (5)	5% (1) 0% (0)	3% (4) 3% (5)	6% (2) 9% (3)	2% (3) 1% (2)	4% (1) 11% (3)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 2% (2)
	13	4% (7) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	4% (6) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)	4% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (5) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
_	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.00	7.32 orde)	6.95	8.31	6.68	8.21	8.71	6.67	6.68
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	s dependina on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance			,	, ,			^	^	^
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Chronic (Verified)	17	0	17	1	16	1	0	0	16
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness						· 			
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	26	4	22	1	25	1	0	4	21
	Matched/Awarded	20	·	00		07	4	4		00
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	32	6	26	5	27	4	1	5	22
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	23	22	1	8	15	1	7	15	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	19	3	16	5	14	4	1	2	12
L	Clients who have never been active before	13						'		12
М	Returned from Inactive	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	25	3	22	5	20	4	1	2	18
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			<i></i>		20	7	'		10
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	ys.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		 	l 	 	l 	U	l 	U 	l
Р	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
۲	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH									
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Housed - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other				·					
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	6	1	5	2	4	1	1	0	4
т	Inactive - Unable to Contact	17	0	17	2	15	2	0	0	15
1	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	^				^	^		^	
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		ļ							
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
X	Other Outflow subtotal	20	0	20	2	18	2	0	0	18
Λ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	26	1	25	4	22	3	1	0	22
7	NET INFLOW	<u>-1</u>	2	<u>-3</u>	1	-2	1	0	2	-4
۷	HET IN LOW	-1		-3	,	-4	'	U		Page 18

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$ - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).