Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of Eamilies, Youth, and Individuals. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of subpopulation data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

 $\label{lem:condition} A \ high-level \ statewide \ summary \ of \ the \ active \ records \ for \ each \ primary \ subpopulation \ is \ below.$

Active Fan	nilies (N	lon-Youth	1)						
571									
-1 fro	om last	week							
full de	etails for Activ	ve Families (Non-Y	outh) on pg. 7						
6		13	36						
+1 from last week		-8 from la	st week						
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	56	1	17						
Central Eastern	56	2	17 21						
		_							
Eastern	56	2	21						
Eastern Fairfield County	56 160	2	21 19						
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford	56 160 85	2 0 1	21 19 26						
Eastern Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	56 160 85 67	2 0 1 2	21 19 26 25						

Active Families (Youth)								
51 -3 from last week								
Known Unsheltered	juli detalis joi	Active Families (Yo						
5		1	2					
+1 from last week		+2 from la	ist week					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	5	0	0					
Eastern	20	3	2					
Fairfield County	9	1	3					
Greater Hartford	3	0	3					
Greater New Haven	7	1	0					
MMW	4	0	3					
Northwest	3	0	1					

Active In	dividua	ls (Youth)						
147								
	om last							
	details for Ac	tive Individuals (Y						
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing					
9		3	6					
no change		-2 from la	st week					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	9	0	2					
Eastern	9	1	2					
Fairfield County	45	3	10					
Greater Hartford	23	1	11					
Greater New Haven	32	4	4					
MMW	12	0	2					
Northwest	17	0	5					

Active Indiv	viduals ((Non-You	th)							
2,403 -5 from last week										
·	s for Active In	dividuals (Non-You								
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	Housing							
376		41	7							
no change		-18 from l	ast week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	257	73	54							
Eastern	224	68	73							
Fairfield County	388	7	59							
Greater Hartford	604	126	94							
Greater New Haven	520	74	89							
MMW	117	7	20							
Northwest	293	21	28							

	All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Jonardi	Luotom	rannora		Havon		TTOT LITTLE CO.
Α	•	Records	10%	10%	19%	23%	20%	5%	13%
В	Active on BNL	3,172	327	309	602	715	626	168	425
С	Median Days Active	186	228	123	152	248	208	130	195
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
D	O Country of the desire records in a ring of the desired country of	1% (36)	0% (0)	9% (29) 14% (44)	0% (3)	0% (3)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 5% (20)
		5% (169) 10% (313)	1% (3) 6% (18)	9% (27)	6% (34) 14% (83)	5% (33) 9% (61)	4% (28) 8% (50)	4% (7) 14% (24)	12% (50)
	4	8% (253) 12% (390)	9% (28) 12% (40)	3% (9) 7% (22)	7% (45) 12% (75)	10% (70) 14% (97)	7% (45) 12% (75)	13% (21) 17% (29)	8% (35) 12% (52)
		14% (449) 12% (381)	16% (52) 13% (42)	9% (29) 8% (24)	15% (88)	13% (93) 11% (81)	16% (103) 13% (82)	12% (20) 13% (21) 5% (8)	15% (64) 13% (57)
	7	11% (336) 9% (290)	13% (41) 11% (36)	10% (32) 11% (35)	12% (74) 8% (50) 8% (50)	11% (79) 8% (54)	10% (65) 11% (71)	5% (8) 8% (14)	14% (61) 7% (30)
	9	7% (215) 4% (139)	9% (29) 6% (19)	9% (29)	6% (36)	6% (46)	6% (37)	7% (11)	6% (27)
	11	3% (99)	3% (9)	5% (14) 2% (6)	4% (26) 3% (19)	5% (33) 5% (33)	5% (32) 3% (16)	1% (2) 2% (4)	3% (13) 3% (12)
	13	2% (49) 1% (29)	2% (5) 1% (3)	2% (5) 1% (2)	2% (11) 1% (4)	2% (14) 1% (9)	1% (7) 1% (9) 1% (5) 0% (1)	2% (3) 1% (2)	1% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (14) 0% (8)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (3) 0% (0)	0% (3) 1% (6)	1% (5) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
F		0% (0) 5.69	0% (0) 6.27	0% (0) 5.14	0% (0) 5.49	0% (0) 5.89	0% (0) 5.92	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.16	0% (0) 5.45
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.14	ე.49	5.05	0.92	3.10	0.40
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			l in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	pination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	8	0	1	2	1	4	0	0
	Chronic (Verified)	103	1	 15	14	17	30	6	20
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered								
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	396	74 	74	11 	128	81	7	21
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	601	73	98	91	134	118	37	50
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	95	6	60	8	1	14	6	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	256	19	38	64	37	55	19	24
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	246	15	36	51	58	50	13	23
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	23	0	10	1	2	4	1	5
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	269	15	46	52	60	54	14	28
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	•							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	22	4	13	2	1	1	0	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	14	3	2	8	0	0	1	0
Q	Housed - RRH	27	3	9	7	3	2	2	 1
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	 17	 1	 1	4	2	 7	1	 1
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	80	11	25	21	6	10	4	3
_	Inactive - Unable to Contact	84	3	6	12	1	21	12	29
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution			 4		·			
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	6 	0	l 	2	0 	2	1 	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	/ 	0	2	0	4	1	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	100	3	9	16	5	25	13	29
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	180	14	34	37	11	35	17	32
Z	NET INFLOW	89	1	12	15	49	19	-3	-4 Page 2

All Youth	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
Percentage of S				27%		000/		
	All Youth	7%	15%		13%	20%	8%	10%
Active on BNL	198	14	29	54	26	39	16	20
Median Days Active Assessment Score Distribution (am	106	139	117	106	63	95	70	193
D Count of all active records having each assessment score).	·						
0	1% (1) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6% (11) 11% (21)	0% (0) 14% (2) 7% (1)	3% (1) 0% (0)	6% (3) 9% (5)	4% (1) 27% (7)	8% (3) 13% (5) 13% (5)	6% (1) 13% (2)	10% (2) 0% (0)
5	13% (25) 14% (28)	14% (2)	3% (1) 3% (1)	19% (10) 20% (11)	19% (5) 15% (4) 12% (3)	10% (4)	13% (2) 6% (1)	5% (1) 25% (5)
	12% (23) 14% (27)	14% (2) 14% (2)	10% (3) 28% (8)	13% (7) 7% (4)	12% (3)	10% (4) 18% (7)	13% (2) 0% (0)	10% (2) 15% (3)
9	13% (26) 8% (15)	14% (2) 0% (0)	21% (6) 21% (6)	9% (5) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	21% (8) 0% (0)	31% (5) 6% (1)	0% (0) 25% (5)
11	3% (6) 2% (4)	14% (2) 7% (1)	7% (2) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)
13	4% (7) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	6% (3) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	5% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	0% (0) 5.99	0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 7.55	0% (0) 5.56	0% (0) 4.85	0% (0) 5.72	0% (0) 5.88	0% (0) 6.60
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance F. Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	14	0	4	4	1	5	0	0
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	48	2	4	13	14	4	5	6
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	27	2	18	0	0	6	1	0
*K Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	29	0	7	7	4	7	3	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	28	1	3	7	8	5	2	2
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	31	1	3	7	8	7	3	2
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved	cuve on the BNL i	, ,	^	,	0	0	0	^
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	, 0	0 0	6 0	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	5 5	0	1	0	<u>-</u> 1	3	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	17	2	10	1	1	3	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	19	2	2	4	0	1	10	0
Inactive - In an Institution	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	24	2	2	7	0	2	11	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	41	4	12	8	1 -	5	11	0
z NET INFLOW	-10	-3	-9	-1	7	2	-8	2 Page 3

All Non-Youth	Ctotowide	Control	Factoria	Fallefield	Greater	Greater New		Ca.gov with question
Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
•	on-Youth	11%	9%	18%	23%	20%	5%	14%
Active on BNL	2,974	313	280	548	689	587	152	405
Median Days Active	194	239	126	158	252	217	135	195
Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
0	1% (35)	0% (0) 1% (3)	10% (29)	0% (2)	0% (3)	0% (0) 5% (27)	1% (1)	0% (0)
2	6% (165) 10% (302)	6% (18)	16% (44) 9% (26)	6% (33) 15% (80) 7% (40)	5% (32) 9% (60)	8% (47)	4% (6) 15% (23)	5% (20) 12% (48) 9% (35)
3	8% (232) 12% (365)	8% (26)	3% (9) 8% (21)	7% (40) 12% (65)	9% (63)	7% (40) 12% (70)	13% (19) 18% (27)	9% (35) 13% (51)
-	14% (421) 12% (358)	12% (39) 16% (50)	10% (28)	14% (77)	13% (92) 13% (89) 11% (78)	17% (99) 13% (78)	13% (19)	15% (59)
6 7	10% (309)	13% (40) 12% (39)	8% (21) 9% (24)	12% (67) 8% (46)	11% (76)	10% (58)	13% (19) 5% (8) 6% (9)	13% (51) 15% (59) 14% (55) 14% (58)
9	9% (264) 7% (200)	11% (34) 9% (29) 5% (17)	10% (29) 8% (23)	8% (45) 6% (33) 5% (25)	8% (54) 7% (46)	11% (63) 6% (37)	7% (10)	7% (30) 5% (22)
	4% (133) 3% (95)	5% (17) 3% (8)	4% (12) 2% (6)	5% (25) 3% (19)	7% (46) 5% (33) 5% (32) 2% (13)	5% (32)	1% (2) 2% (3)	3% (12) 3% (11)
12	1% (42) 1% (29)	2% (5) 1% (3)	1% (4) 1% (2)	1% (8) 1% (4)	2% (13) 1% (9)	3% (16) 1% (5) 2% (9)	2% (3) 1% (2)	1% (4) 0% (0)
14	0% (14)	0% (1)	0% (1)	1% (3)	0% (3)	1% (5)	1% (1)	0% (0)
16	0% (8) 0% (2)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	1% (6) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Average Assessment Score	5.67	6.25	4.89	5.48	5.93	5.94	5.09	5.39
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			l in multiple rows der	ending on their com	bination of circumsta	nces.		
Refuses CAN Assistance	8	0	1	2	1	4	0	0
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)					· 	· 		
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered	103	1 	15 	14 	17	30	6 	20
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	382	74 	70	7	127	76	7	21
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	553 	71	94	78 	120	114	32	44
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	68	4	42	8	1	8	5	0
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	58	5	9	10	11	16	3	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 davs.							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	218	14	33	44	50	45	11	21
Returned from Inactive	20	0	10	 1	2	2	0	5
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	238	14	43	45	52	47	11	26
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			.,,					
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	15	4	7	1	1	1	0	1
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	14	3	2	8	0	0	1	0
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	22	1	6	7	3	2	2	1
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	12	1	0	4	1	4	1	1
Housed Outflow subtotal	63	9	15	20	5	7	4	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	65	1	4	8	1	20	2	29
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	1	1	0	2	0	0
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	7	0	2	0	4	1	0	0
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	76	1	7	9	5	23	2	29
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	139	10	22	29	10	30	6	32
NET INFLOW	99	4	21	16	42	17	5	-6

	All Families	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Ochtrai	Lastern	I dil liciu	Hartiora	Haven	WINIVV	Hortiwest
Α		Families	10%	12%	27%	14%	12%	6%	18%
В	Active on BNL	622	61	76	169	88	74	39	115
С	Median Days Active	133	154	99	120	168	118	161	158
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (15)	0% (0)	1% (1)	1% (2)	1% (1)	11% (8)	3% (1)	2% (2)
		31% (195) 4% (26)	15% (9) 10% (6)	22% (17) 1% (1)	33% (55) 4% (7)	40% (35) 5% (4)	36% (27) 3% (2) 9% (7)	38% (15) 5% (2)	32% (37) 3% (4)
	5	7% (43) 11% (66)	11% (7) 21% (13)	4% (3) 7% (5)	5% (8) 8% (14)	7% (6) 9% (8)	9% (7) 11% (8)	10% (4) 8% (3)	7% (8) 13% (15)
	6	10% (65) 9% (59)	13% (8) 8% (5)	12% (9) 16% (12)	10% (17) 9% (15) 7% (11)	6% (5) 8% (7)	9% (7) 7% (5)	15% (6)	11% (13) 11% (13)
	8	8% (48)	7% (4)	16% (12)	7% (11)	7% (6)	8% (6)	5% (2) 8% (3)	5% (6)
	10	6% (37) 4% (23)	8% (5) 3% (2)	9% (7) 8% (6)	8% (13) 5% (8)	1% (1) 2% (2)	1% (1) 3% (2)	5% (2) 0% (0)	7% (8) 3% (3)
		3% (17) 2% (15)	0% (0) 3% (2)	3% (2) 1% (1)	4% (6) 4% (7)	5% (4) 3% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	3% (4) 2% (2)
	13	1% (8) 0% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (4) 1% (1)	5% (4) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.27	0% (0) 5.46	0% (0) 6.13	0% (0) 5.67	0% (0) 5.28	0% (0) 4.11	0% (0) 4.38	0% (0) 5.06
,	Status/Conditions Followed (among			****					***
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			l in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	4	0	0	1	1	2	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	11	1	5	1	1	3	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	148	17	23	22	29	25	15	17
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	38	3	28	0	0	7	0	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	66	6	24	10	4	13	4	5
I	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne nast 30 davs							
ŀ	Newly Added	48	4	8	14	4	10	3	5
<u>'</u> -	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	 1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	52	4	11	14	4	10	3	6
"	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		7	11	17	7	10	J	<u> </u>
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	4	3	2	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	12	0	4	4	0	1	2	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	8	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	31	6	8	8	1	3	3	2
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	10	0	0	6	0	3	1	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	0	6	0	3	1	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	41	6	8	14	1	6	4	2
Z	NET INFLOW	11	-2	3	0	3	4	-1	4 Page 5

	All Individuals					Greater	Greater New		
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	Percentage of S All Inc	tatewide dividuals	10%	9%	17%	25%	22%	5%	12%
В	Active on BNL	2,550	266	233	433	627	552	129	310
С	Median Days Active	207	253	130	176	264	222	123	210
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	1% (36)	0% (0)	12% (29)	1% (3)	0% (3)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)
	1	6% (154) 5% (118)	1% (3) 3% (9)	12% (29) 18% (43) 4% (10)	7% (32) 6% (28)	5% (32) 4% (26)	4% (20) 4% (23)	5% (6) 7% (9)	6% (18) 4% (13)
	3	9% (227)	8% (22)	3% (8)	9% (38)	11% (66)	8% (43)	15% (19)	10% (31)
		14% (347) 15% (383)	12% (33) 15% (39)	8% (19) 10% (24)	15% (67) 17% (74)	15% (91) 14% (85)	12% (68) 17% (95)	19% (25) 13% (17)	14% (44) 16% (49)
	6	12% (316) 11% (277)	13% (34) 14% (36) 12% (32)	6% (15) 9% (20)	13% (57) 8% (35)	12% (76) 11% (72)	14% (75) 11% (60)	12% (15) 5% (6)	14% (44) 15% (48)
		9% (242) 7% (178)	12% (32) 9% (24)	10% (23) 9% (22)	9% (39) 5% (23)	8% (48) 7% (45)	12% (65) 7% (36)	9% (11) 7% (9)	14% (44) 15% (48) 8% (24) 6% (19)
	10	5% (116) 3% (82)	6% (17) 3% (9)	3% (8)	4% (18)	5% (31)	5% (30)	2% (2)	3% (10)
	12	1% (34)	1% (3)	2% (4) 2% (4)	3% (13) 1% (4)	5% (29) 2% (11)	3% (16) 1% (7)	2% (3) 2% (3)	3% (8) 1% (2)
		1% (21) 0% (12)	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (2)	1% (5) 0% (3)	2% (9) 1% (4)	2% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
		0% (6) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (4) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	5.79	6.45	0% (0) 4.82	5.42	0% (0) 5.98	6.17	5.40	5.59
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			1 to W. 1		himatian C.			
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance	,	,	i in multiple rows dep		oination of circumst		_	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	8	0	1 	2 	1	4	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	99	1	15	13	16	28	6	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	385	73	69	10	127	78	7	21
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	453	56	75	69	105	93	22	33
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	57	3	32	8	1	7	6	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	190	13	14	54	33	42	15	19
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	no nost 20 days							
	Newly Added	, ,	44	00	07	F.1	40	40	40
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	198	11	28	37	54 	40	10	18
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	19	0	7	1	2	4	1	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	217	11	35	38	56	44	11	22
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Date Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the next 20 days						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.	10	0	1	1	0	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	12	2	2	 7	0	0	1	0
-	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 15	3	5	3	3	 1	0	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	9	0	 0	3	1	5	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	49		17	13	5	7	1	1
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact		5				•		
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	74	3	6 	6 	1	18 	11	29
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	1	2	0	2	1	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	7	0	2	0	4	1	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	90	3	9	10	5	22	12	29
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	139	8	26	23	10	29	13	30
Z	NET INFLOW	78	3	9	15	46	15	-2	-8 Page 6

Percentage of Statewide Families (Non-Youth) 10%		Families (Non-Youth)	0	0 ()		F : 6 11	Greater	Greater New		N. a.
Active on BNL			Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Active on BNL 571 56 56 160 85 67 35 112	٨			10%	10%	28%	15%	12%	6%	20%
Median Days Active 134	A R			56	56	160	85	67	35	112
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)										
Country Coun	- 1									
1		Count of all active records having each assessment score).							
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1	2% (13)	0% (0)	2% (1)	1% (2)	0% (0)	12% (8)	0% (0)	2% (2)
10				9% (5)	2% (1)	4% (6)	4% (3)	3% (2)	6% (2)	4% (4)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Cleant proteins for beautiful to some street of the state of the sta		-		11% (6) 23% (13)	5% (3) 7% (4)	5% (8)	7% (6)	9% (6) 12% (8)	11% (4)	7% (8)
Status Conditions Followed (among active records) Status		6	10% (55)	13% (7)	11% (6)	9% (15)	5% (4)	7% (5)	14% (5)	12% (13)
10		8	6% (36)	5% (3)	13% (7)	6% (9)	7% (6)	4% (3)	6% (2)	5% (6)
11		10	4% (21)	4% (2)	9% (5)		2% (2)	3% (2)	0% (0)	3% (3)
13					4% (2)	4% (6)	5% (4) 4% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (4)
15		13	1% (8)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (4)	5% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records) Status/Condition		15	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)		17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status Conditions Followed (among active records	Е								0% (0)	
Refuses CAN Assistance		Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)						
Clients counted here an assignate to due dispose policy Chronic (Verified)	ļ		the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	l in multiple rows dep	pending on their comb	pination of circumst	ances.		
Clients medit HUD definition of Chronic Homelespenses 4	F		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients that are confirmed to be unsettlement 136 17 21 19 26 25 12 16	G		4	0	0	1	1	2	0	0
Clients matched for a warreded a housing resource 130 11 21 19 26 23 12 10 10 12 10 10 10 10	Н		6	1	2	0	1	2	0	0
Sembled in Transitional Housing 21 3 11 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ı		136	17	21	19	26	25	12	16
Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	21	3	11	0	0	7	0	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	K	Youth at Time of Assessment	15	1	4	1	1	6	0	2
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive A		Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
Clients who have never been active before 44			ne past 30 days.							
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 4	L	Clients who have never been active before	44	4	6	13	4	10	2	5
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	М		4	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Flowed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 2	N	,	48	4	9	13	4	10	2	6
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH 10										
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-			ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Thoused - All Other Tho	0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	7	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH 10	Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S	Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	0	2	4	0	1	2	1
S Housed Outflow subtotal 24 6 4 7 0 2 3 2	R		5	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other William Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Inactive In	S		24	6	4	7	0	2	3	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	Т		9	0	0	5	0	3	1	0
V	U		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	٧	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal 9 0 0 5 0 3 1 0 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 33 6 4 12 0 5 4 2	W	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Χ			0_	0		0		1	0
z NET INFLOW 15 -2 5 1 4 5 -2 4	Υ				4	12	0		-	2
	Z	NET INFLOW	15	-2	5	1	4	5	-2	4 Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Contrai	39%		Trui tror a	Havon		Troi timoot
Α	Families	s (Youth)	10%		18%	6%	14%	8%	6%
В	Active on BNL	51	5	20	9	3	7	4	3
С		123	147	114	132	224	95	141	326
D	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)						
		0% (0) 4% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	2% (1) 6% (3)	0% (0) 20% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 11% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	4	4% (2) 2% (1)	20% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6	20% (10) 24% (12)	20% (1) 20% (1)	15% (3) 35% (7)	22% (2) 11% (1)	33% (1) 0% (0)	29% (2) 14% (1)	25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	8	24% (12) 8% (4)	20% (1) 0% (0)	25% (5) 10% (2)	22% (2) 11% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	43% (3) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	67% (2) 0% (0) 33% (1)
	10	4% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	12	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 5.60	0% (0) 7.10	0% (0) 7.67	0% (0) 3.33	0% (0) 6.71	0% (0) 6.50	0% (0) 7.67
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)					0.00	
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on Refuses CAN Assistance		,	, ,	· ·			^	^
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	5	0	3	1	0	1	0	0
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	12	0	2	3	3	0	3	1
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	17	0	17	0	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	6	0	4	0	0	2	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	e nast 30 davs							
	Newly Added	4	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M	enerte macare for any reason time are non acare		-		•				
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	4 avs	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
s	LI LO (CI LI LI LI	7	0	4	1	1	1	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	8	0	4	2	1	1	0	0
Z	NET INFLOW	-4	0	-2	-1	-1	-1	1	0 Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		001111011						
Α	Individuals		6%	6%	31%	16%	22%	8%	12%
В	Active on BNL	147	9	9	45	23	32	12	17
С	Median Days Active	98	131	117	98	46	93	58	188
_	Assessment Score Distribution (amcCount of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	2	1% (2) 7% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (3)	0% (0) 4% (1)	9% (3)	8% (1)	12% (2)
		12% (18) 16% (23)	11% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 11% (1)	9% (4) 22% (10)	26% (6) 22% (5)	16% (5) 13% (4)	17% (2) 17% (2)	0% (0) 6% (1)
		18% (27) 9% (13)	22% (2) 11% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	24% (11) 11% (5)	17% (4) 9% (2)	13% (4) 6% (2)	8% (1) 8% (1)	29% (5) 12% (2)
	7	10% (15) 10% (14)	11% (1) 11% (1)	11% (1) 11% (1)	7% (3) 7% (3)	13% (3) 0% (0)	19% (6)	0% (0) 33% (4)	6% (1) 0% (0)
	9	7% (11) 3% (4)	0% (0)	44% (4)	4% (2)	0% (0)	16% (5) 0% (0)	8% (1)	24% (4)
	11	2% (3)	22% (2) 11% (1)	11% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)
	13	4% (6) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.73	0% (0) 7.22	0% (0) 8.56	0% (0) 5.13	0% (0) 5.04	0% (0) 5.50	0% (0) 5.67	0% (0) 6.41
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)					2.0.	
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumst			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	9	0	1	3	1	4	0	0
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	36	2	2	10	11	4	2	5
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	10	2	1	0	0	6	1	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	23	0	3	7	4	5	3	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	24	1	1	6	8	5	1	2
	Returned from Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	27	1	1	6	8	7	2	2
•	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		-	-		-	-		
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	10	2	6	0	0	2	0	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	18	2	2	3	0	1	10	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	23	2	2	6	0	2	11	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	33	4	8	6	0	4	11	0
Z	NET INFLOW	-6	-3	-7	0	8	3	-9	2 Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern	raiiiieiu	nartioru	naven	IVIIVIVV	Northwest
Α	Individuals (No		11%	9%	16%	25%	22%	5%	12%
В	Active on BNL	2,403	257	224	388	604	520	117	293
С	Median Days Active	215	259	131	188	283	238	130	211
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	e. 1% (35)	0% (0)	129/ (20)	1% (2)	00/ (2)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)
	1	6% (152)	1% (3)	13% (29) 19% (43)	8% (31)	0% (3) 5% (32)	4% (19)	5% (6)	6% (18)
	2 3	4% (108) 9% (209)	4% (9) 8% (21)	4% (10) 4% (8)	6% (25) 9% (34)	4% (25) 10% (60)	4% (20) 7% (38) 12% (64)	7% (8) 15% (17)	4% (11) 11% (31) 15% (43)
	4 5	13% (324) 15% (356)	13% (33) 14% (37)	8% (18) 11% (24)	15% (57) 16% (63)	14% (86) 13% (81)	12% (64) 18% (91)	20% (23) 14% (16)	15% (43) 15% (44)
	6	13% (303) 11% (262)	13% (33) 14% (35)	7% (15) 8% (19)	13% (52) 8% (32)	12% (74) 11% (69)	14% (73) 10% (54)	12% (14) 5% (6) 6% (7)	15% (44) 14% (42) 16% (47)
	8	9% (228)	12% (31)	10% (22)	9% (36)	8% (48)	12% (60)	6% (7)	8% (24) 5% (15)
	10	7% (167) 5% (112)	9% (24) 6% (15)	8% (18) 3% (7)	9% (36) 5% (21) 5% (18)	7% (45) 5% (31)	7% (36) 6% (30)	7% (8) 2% (2)	3% (9)
	11 12	3% (79) 1% (28)	3% (8) 1% (3)	2% (4) 1% (3)	3% (13) 1% (2)	8% (48) 7% (45) 5% (31) 5% (28) 2% (10)	3% (16) 1% (5)	3% (3) 3% (3)	2% (7) 1% (2)
	13	1% (21) 0% (12)	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (2)	1% (5) 0% (3)	2% (9) 1% (4)	2% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (6)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (4)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	16	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.79	0% (0) 6.42	0% (0) 4.67	0% (0) 5.45	0% (0) 6.01	0% (0) 6.21	0% (0) 5.37	0% (0) 5.54
	Status/Conditions Followed (among	active rec	ords)						
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			l in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	bination of circumst	ances.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	8	0	1	2	1	4	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	99	1	15	13	16	28	6	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	376	73	68	7	126	74	7	21
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	417	54	73	59	94	89	20	28
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	47	1	31	8	1	1	5	0
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	43	4	5	9	10	10	3	2
Ī	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	no nost 20 days							
-	Newly Added		40	22	0.4	40	^-		40
L	Clients who have never been active before Returned from Inactive	174	10	27 	31	46 	35	9	16
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	16	0	1	1	2	2	0	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	190	10	34	32	48	37	9	20
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D		n the next 20 day						
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina Housed - Self-Resolved		n the past 30 days.	5	0	1	1	0	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	 12	0 2	2	7	 0	 0	1	 0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH			 1				 0	 0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	12		4	3	3	I		
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	0	0	3	1	3	0	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	39	3	11	13	5	5	1	1
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	56	1	4	3	1	17	1	29
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	1	11	0	2	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	7	0	2	0	4	1	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	67	1	7	4	5	20	1	29
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	106	4	18	17	10	25	2	30
Z	NET INFLOW	84	6	16	15	38	12	7	-10 Page 10

Statewide BNL	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of	Toutif	94%	Tailliles	80%	(NOTI-TOULIT)	(Touri)	(Toutil)	76%
	vide BNL	6%		20%		18%	2%	5%	
B Active on BNL	3,172	198	2,974	622	2,550	571	51	147	2,403
c Median Days Active	186	106	194	133	207	134	123	98	215
Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	1% (36)	1% (1)	1% (35)	0% (0)	1% (36)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)	1% (35)
1	5% (169) 10% (313)	1% (1) 2% (4) 6% (11)	1% (35) 6% (165)	0% (0) 2% (15)	1% (36) 6% (154) 5% (118)	0% (0) 2% (13)	0% (0) 4% (2)	1% (2)	1% (35) 6% (152) 4% (108)
3	8% (253) 12% (390)	110/ /01\	10% (302) 8% (232) 12% (365)	4% (26)	9% (227) 14% (347)	34% (194) 4% (23) 7% (41)	6% (3)	7% (10) 12% (18) 16% (23)	9% (209)
5	14% (449)	14% (28)	14% (421)	11% (66)	15% (383) 12% (316)	11% (65)	2% (1)	18% (27)	15% (356)
7	12% (381) 11% (336)	14% (27)	12% (358) 10% (309)	9% (59)	11% (277)	8% (47)	2% (1) 6% (3) 4% (2) 2% (1) 20% (10) 24% (12)	18% (27) 9% (13) 10% (15)	9% (209) 13% (324) 15% (356) 13% (303) 11% (262) 9% (228) 7% (167)
9	9% (290) 7% (215)	13% (26) 8% (15)	9% (264) 7% (200)	8% (48) 6% (37)	9% (242) 7% (178)	6% (36) 6% (33)	8% (4)	7% (14) 7% (11)	9% (228) 7% (167)
11	4% (139) 3% (99)	11% (21) 13% (25) 14% (28) 12% (23) 14% (27) 13% (26) 8% (15) 3% (6) 2% (4)	9% (264) 7% (200) 4% (133) 3% (95)	31% (195) 4% (26) 7% (43) 11% (66) 10% (65) 9% (59) 8% (48) 6% (37) 4% (23) 3% (17) 2% (15) 1% (8)	11% (277) 9% (242) 7% (178) 5% (116) 3% (82)	7% (41) 11% (65) 10% (55) 8% (47) 6% (36) 6% (33) 4% (21) 3% (16) 2% (14) 1% (8)	24% (12) 8% (4) 4% (2) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)	10% (13) 10% (14) 7% (11) 3% (4) 2% (3) 4% (6) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (79)
13	2% (49) 1% (29)	4% (7) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (42) 1% (29)	2% (15) 1% (8)	1% (34) 1% (21)	2% (14) 1% (8)	2% (1) 0% (0)	4% (6) 0% (0)	1% (28) 1% (21)
15	0% (14) 0% (8)	0% (0)	0% (14) 0% (8)	0% (2) 0% (2)	0% (12) 0% (6)	0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (12) 0% (6)
	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (12) 0% (6) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	0% (0) 5.69	0% (0) 5.99	0% (0) 5.67	0% (0) 5.27	0% (0) 5.79	0% (0) 5.14	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 5.73	0% (0) 5.79
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on th	neir combination o	f circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	103	0	103	4	99	4	0	0	99
Known Unsheltered H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	396	14	382	11	385	6	5	9	376
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	601	48	553	148	453	136	12	36	417
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	95	27	68	38	57	21	17	10	47
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	256	198	58	66	190	15	51	147	43
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	246	28	218	48	198	44	4	24	174
Returned from Inactive	23	3	20	4	19	4	0	3	16
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	269	31	238	52	217	48	4	27	190
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	-50	<u> </u>	-11	1 70			
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	'S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	22	7	15	9	13	7	2	5	8
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	14	0	14	2	12	2	0	0	12
Housed - RRH © Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	27	5	22	12	15	10	2	3	12
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	17	5	12	8	9	5	3	2	7
s Housed Outflow subtotal	80	17	63	31	49	24	7	10	39
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	84	19	65	10	74	9	1	18	56
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	100	24	76	10	90	9	1	23	67
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	180	41	139	41	139	33	8	33	106
z NET INFLOW	89	-10	99	11	78	15	-4	-6	84 Page 11

Central CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of	routii	96%	1 4.1111100	81%	(Hon Todan)	(Todai)	(Todai)	79%
	ntral CAN	4%		19%		17%	2%	3%	
Active on BNL	327	14	313	61	266	56	5	9	257
c Median Days Active		139	239	154	253	171	147	131	259
Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score	9. - 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
1	1% (3) 6% (18)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3) 6% (18)	0% (0) 0% (0) 15% (9)	0% (0) 1% (3) 3% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 16% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (3) 4% (9)
3	9% (28)	0% (0) 14% (2) 7% (1)	6% (18) 8% (26) 12% (39)	10% (6) 11% (7)	8% (22) 12% (33)	16% (9) 9% (5) 11% (6)	20% (1)	11% (1)	8% (21) 13% (33)
5	12% (40) 16% (52)	14% (2)	12% (39) 16% (50) 13% (40)	21% (13)	15% (39) 13% (34)	23% (13)	20% (1) 0% (0) 20% (1) 20% (1)	0% (0) 22% (2) 11% (1)	13% (33) 14% (37) 13% (33)
6	13% (42) 13% (41)	14% (2) 14% (2) 14% (2)	13% (40) 12% (39)	13% (8) 8% (5)	1/10/, (36)	13% (7) 7% (4)	20% (1)	11% (1)	13% (33) 14% (35)
8	· 11% (36) · 9% (29)	14% (2) 0% (0)	11% (34) 9% (29)	7% (4) 8% (5)	12% (32) 9% (24)	5% (3) 9% (5)	20% (1) 0% (0)	11% (1) 0% (0)	14% (35) 12% (31) 9% (24)
10	- 6% (19) - 3% (9)	0% (0) 14% (2) 7% (1)	13 % (40) 12% (39) 11% (34) 9% (29) 5% (17) 3% (8)	7% (4) 8% (5) 3% (2) 0% (0)	12% (32) 9% (24) 6% (17) 3% (9)	23% (13) 13% (7) 7% (4) 5% (3) 9% (5) 4% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 22% (2) 11% (1)	6% (15) 3% (8)
12	2% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 1% (3)	3% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 1% (3) 0% (1) 0% (0)
14 15	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
16 17 17 17 17 18 18 18 18	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
17 18 E Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.27	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.64	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.25	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.46	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.45	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.45	0% (0) 0% (0) 5.60	0% (0) 0% (0) 7.22	0% (0) 0% (0) 6.42
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.25	5.40	0.40	3.43	5.00	1.22	0.42
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or	the BNL, and clie		ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	f circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	74	0	74	1	73	1	0	0	73
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	73	2	71	17	56	17	0	2	54
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	2	4	3	3	3	0	2	1
Youth at Time of Assessment K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	14	5	6	13	1	5	9	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	he past 30 days.								
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	15	1	14	4	11	4	0	1	10
Returned from Inactive M. Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	15	1	14	4	11	4	0	1	10
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D									
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inc		n the past 30 day	rs.						
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	4	4	0	4	0	0	0
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
Housed - All Other R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	11	2	9	6	5	6	0	2	3
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
X Other Outflow subtotal	3	2	1	0	3	0	0	2	1
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	14	4	10	6	8	6	0	4	4
z NET INFLOW	1	-3	4	-2	3	-2	0	-3	6 Page 12

1/10/2023 111 BIAL REPORT								eau.anderson@ct.g	
Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perc	entage of		91%		75%	()	(222)	(222)	72%
	tern CAN	9%		25%		18%	6%	3%	
A 41 BNI	309	29	280	76	233	56	20	9	224
c Active on BNL Median Days Active		117	126	99	130	93	114	117	131
Assessment Score Distribution (an			120] 33	130	95	114	111/	101
D Count of all active records having each assessment scor		iecorus							
0	9% (29)	0% (0)	10% (29)	0% (0) 1% (1)	12% (29) 18% (43)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	13% (29) 19% (43)
2	- 14% (44) - 9% (27)	0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0)	16% (44) 9% (26) 3% (9)	22% (17) 1% (1)	4% (10)	2% (1)	5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (10)
3 4	- 3% (9) - 7% (22)	0% (0) 3% (1)	3% (9) 8% (21)	1% (1) 4% (3)	4% (10) 3% (8) 8% (19)	2% (1) 5% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (1)	4% (8) 8% (18)
5	9% (29)	3% (1) 3% (1) 10% (3)	10% (28) 8% (21)	7% (5)	10% (24)	29% (16) 2% (1) 5% (3) 7% (4) 11% (6)	5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (24)
6	- 8% (24) - 10% (32)	28% (8)	9% (24)	4% (3) 7% (5) 12% (9) 16% (12)	6% (15) 9% (20)		35% (7)	11% (1)	11% (24) 7% (15) 8% (19)
8	- 11% (35) - 9% (29)	21% (6) 21% (6)	10% (29) 8% (23)	16% (12) 16% (7) 9% (7) 8% (6)	10% (23) 9% (22)	9% (5) 9% (5) 9% (5) 4% (2) 2% (1) 0% (0)	25% (5) 10% (2)	11% (1) 44% (4)	10% (22) 8% (18)
10	- 5% (14) - 2% (6)	7% (2) 0% (0)	4% (12) 2% (6)	8% (6) 3% (2)	9% (22) 3% (8)	9% (5) 4% (2)	5% (1)	44% (4) 11% (1) 0% (0)	8% (18) 3% (7) 2% (4) 1% (3) 1% (2)
12	2% (5)	3% (1)	1% (4)	1% (1)	2% (4) 2% (4)	2% (1)	0% (0)	11% (1)	1% (3)
13	- 1% (2) - 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	(1% (1)
15 16	- 0% (1) - 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0) 5% (1) 15% (3) 35% (7) 25% (5) 10% (2) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
17	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	- 0% (0) 5.14	0% (0) 7.55	0% (0) 4.89	0% (0) 6.13	0% (0) 4.82	0% (0) 5.79	0% (0) 7.10	0% (0) 8.56	0% (0) 4.67
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple row	s depending on th	neir combination o	f circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance		0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	15	0	 15	0	['] 15	0	0 0	0 0	 15
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered		4	 70	5	69	2	3	 1	68
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	98	4	94	23	 75	21	2	 2	73
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	60	18	42	28	32	11	- 17	1	31
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	38	29	9	24	14	4	20	9	5
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	•								
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the		l							
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	30	3	33	8	28	6	2	1	27
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	10	0	10	3	7	3	0	0	7
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	46	3	43	11	35	9	2	1	34
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D	ays								
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ind		n the past 30 day	ys.						
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	6	7	3	10	2	1	5	5
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	9	3	6	4	5	2	2	1	4
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	25	10	15	8	17	4	4	6	11
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	ı n	2	4	0	6	0	0	2	4
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	9	2	7	0	9	0	0	2	7
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL	34	12	22	8	26	4	4	8	18
z NET INFLOW	12	-9	21	3	9	5	-2	-7	16
		_					_		Page 13

	Fairfield County CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 72%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth) 64%
	Fairfield Cou	entage of	9%	0170	28%	1270	27%	1%	7%	0470
A R	Active on BNL	602	54	548	169	433	160	9	45	388
С	Median Days Active	152	106	158	120	176	120	132	98	188
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (3)	2% (1)	0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (1)	1% (2)
	1	6% (34) 14% (83)	2% (1) 2% (1) 6% (3)	0% (2) 6% (33) 15% (80)	0% (0) 1% (2) 33% (55)	7% (32) 6% (28) 9% (38) 15% (67)	0% (0) 1% (2) 34% (55)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1) 7% (3) 9% (4) 22% (10) 24% (11) 11% (5)	1% (2) 8% (31) 6% (25) 9% (34) 15% (57) 16% (63) 13% (52) 8% (32) 9% (36) 5% (21) 5% (18) 3% (13)
	3	7% (45) 12% (75)	6% (3) 9% (5) 19% (10)	15% (80) 7% (40) 12% (65)	4% (7)	9% (38)	4% (6)	11% (1) 0% (0)	9% (4)	9% (34)
	5	15% (88)	20% (11) 13% (7)	14% (77)	8% (14)		9% (14)	0% (0) 0% (0) 22% (2) 11% (1)	24% (11)	16% (63)
	7	12% (74) 8% (50)	7% (4)	14% (77) 12% (67) 8% (46) 8% (45) 6% (33)	35 % (35) 4% (7) 5% (8) 8% (14) 10% (17) 9% (15) 7% (11) 8% (13) 5% (8)	8% (35)	34% (55) 4% (6) 5% (8) 9% (14) 9% (15) 9% (14) 6% (9) 8% (12) 4% (7) 4% (6)	11% (1)	7% (3)	8% (32)
	9	8% (50) 6% (36)	9% (5) 6% (3) 2% (1)	8% (45) 6% (33)	7% (11) 8% (13)	9% (39) 5% (23)	6% (9) 8% (12)	22% (2) 11% (1)	7% (3) 4% (2)	9% (36) 5% (21)
	11	4% (26) 3% (19)	0% (0)	5% (25) 3% (19)	470 (0)	17 % (74) 13% (57) 8% (35) 9% (39) 5% (23) 4% (18) 3% (13)	4% (7) 4% (6)	11% (1) 0% (0)	7% (3) 7% (3) 4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (18) 3% (13)
	13	2% (11) 1% (4)	6% (3) 0% (0)	1% (8) 1% (4)	4% (7) 2% (4)	1% (4) 0% (0)	4% (6) 3% (4)	11% (1) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)
	15	0% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0) 1% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.49	0% (0) 5.56	0% (0) 5.48	0% (0) 5.67	0% (0) 5.42	0% (0) 5.56	0% (0) 7.67	0% (0) 5.13	0% (0) 5.45
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s dependina on th	neir combination o	f circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	<u>-</u> 14	0	14	1	<u>-</u> 13	1	0	0	<u>-</u> 13
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered		4	7	<u>'</u> 1	10	0	1	3	7
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded		·		· 			<u>'</u>		
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	91	13	78	22	69	19	3	10	59
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	64	54	10	10	54	1	9	45	9
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before		7	44	14	37	13	1	6	31
	Returned from Inactive	1	0	1	0	 1	0	0	0	1
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL	52	7	45	14	38	13	1	6	32
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da						<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	0	7	4	3	4	0	0	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	21	1	20	8	13	7	1	0	13
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	12	4	8	6	6	5	1	3	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	16	7	9	6	10	5	1	6	4
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	37	8	29	14	23	12	2	6	17
Z	NET INFLOW	15	-1	16	0	15	1	-1	0	15 Page 14

	Greater Hartford CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	
	Perce	entage of	Toutil	96%	1 dillilles	88%	(Non-Toutil)	(Touil)	(Toutil)	84%
	Greater Harti	•	4%		12%		12%	0%	3%	
В	Active on BNL	715	26	689	88	627	85	3	23	604
С	Median Days Active	248	63	252	168	264	167	224	46	283
0	Assessment Score Distribution (am			LUL	100	201	107	<u>LL</u> ¬	-10	200
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
		0% (3) 5% (33)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (3) 5% (32)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (3) 5% (32)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 33% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (3) 5% (32)
		9% (61) 10% (70)	4% (1) 27% (7)	9% (60) 9% (63)	40% (35)	4% (26) 11% (66)	41% (35)	0% (0) 33% (1)	4% (1) 26% (6)	4% (25) 10% (60)
	4	14% (97)	19% (5)	13% (92)	5% (4) 7% (6) 9% (8) 6% (5)	15% (91)	4% (3) 7% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	22% (5) 17% (4)	14% (86)
	6	13% (93) 11% (81)	15% (4) 12% (3)	13% (89) 11% (78)	6% (5)	14% (85) 12% (76)	9% (8) 5% (4) 8% (7)	33% (1) 0% (0)	9% (2) 13% (3)	13% (81) 12% (74)
	8	11% (79) 8% (54)	12% (3) 0% (0)	11% (76) 8% (54)	8% (7) 7% (6)	11% (72) 8% (48)	8% (7) 7% (6) 1% (1)	በ% (በ)	0% (0)	11% (69) 8% (48)
	10	6% (46) 5% (33)	0% (0) 0% (0) 4% (1)	8% (54) 7% (46) 5% (33) 5% (32)	1% (1) 2% (2)	7% (45) 5% (31)	1% (1) 2% (2) 5% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (45) 5% (31)
		5% (33) 2% (14)	4% (1) 4% (1)	5% (32) 2% (13)	5% (4)	5% (31) 5% (29) 2% (11)	5% (4) 4% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 4% (1)	5% (31) 5% (28) 2% (10)
	13	1% (9) 0% (3)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (13) 1% (9) 0% (3)	3% (3) 5% (4) 0% (0)	1% (5)	4% (3) 5% (4) 0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (10) 1% (5)
	15	1% (6) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (0)	2% (2)	0% (3) 1% (4)	2% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (3) 1% (4)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	18 Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.89	0% (0) 4.85	0% (0) 5.93	0% (0) 5.28	0% (0) 5.98	0% (0) 5.35	0% (0) 3.33	0% (0) 5.04	0% (0) 6.01
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	s depending on t	heir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	 17	0	 17	 1	 16	1	 0	0	 16
G H	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	128	1	127	1	127	1	0	1	126
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	134	14	120	29	105	26	3	11	94
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	37	26	11	4	33	1	3	23	10
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	58	8	50	4	54	4	0	8	46
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	60	8	52	4	56	4	0	8	48
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	6	1	5	1	5	0	1	0	5
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	11	1	10	1	10	0	1	0	10
Z	NET INFLOW	49	7	42	3	46	4	-1	8	38 Page 15

	Greater New Haven CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Dove		routii	94%	raillilles	88%	(INOII-TOULIT)	(Toulii)	(Youth)	(14011-110util) 83%
		entage of	6%	3470	12%	0070	11%	40/	5%	0370
Α	Greater New Ha							1%		
В	Active on BNL	626	39	587	74	552	67	7	32	520
С	Median Days Active		95	217	118	222	124	95	93	238
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)							
	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	4% (28) 8% (50)	3% (1) 8% (3)	5% (27) 8% (47)	11% (8) 36% (27)	4% (20) 4% (23)	12% (8) 40% (27)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 9% (3) 16% (5)	4% (19) 4% (20)
	3	7% (45) 12% (75)	13% (5)	8% (47) 7% (40) 12% (70) 17% (99)	3% (2) 9% (7)	4% (20) 4% (23) 8% (43) 12% (68) 17% (95)	3% (2) 9% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 14% (1) 0% (0)	16% (5) 13% (4)	4% (20) 7% (38) 12% (64) 18% (91)
	5	16% (103)	13% (5) 10% (4)	17% (99)	11% (8)	17% (95)	12% (8)	0% (0)	13% (4) 13% (4)	18% (91) 14% (73)
	6	13% (82) 10% (65)	10% (4) 18% (7)	13% (78) 10% (58)	7% (5)	14% (75) 11% (60) 12% (65)	40% (27) 3% (2) 9% (6) 12% (8) 7% (5) 6% (4)	14% (1)	6% (2) 19% (6)	10% (54)
	8 9	11% (71) 6% (37)	21% (8) 0% (0)	11% (63) 6% (37)	9% (7) 7% (5) 8% (6) 1% (1)	12% (65) 7% (36)	4% (3) 1% (1)	43% (3) 0% (0)	16% (5) 0% (0)	12% (60) 7% (36)
	10	5% (32) 3% (16)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (32) 3% (16)	3% (2) 0% (0)	7% (36) 5% (30) 3% (16)	4% (3) 1% (1) 3% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (30) 3% (16)
	12	1% (7) 1% (9)	5% (2) 0% (0)	1% (5) 2% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (7) 2% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (2) 14% (1) 43% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	16% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5)
	14	1% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 0% (1)	1% (1)	1% (4) 0% (1)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2% (9) 1% (4)
	15 1 <u>6</u>	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	17 18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	5.92	5.72	5.94	4.11	6.17	3.84	6.71	5.50	6.21
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			nted in multiple rows	s depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance		0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)	·								
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness Known Unsheltered		0	30	2	28	2	0	0	28
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	81	5	76 	3	78 	2	1	4 	74
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	118	4	114	25	93	25	0	4	89
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	14	6	8	7	7	7	0	6	1
К	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	55	39	16	13	42	6	7	32	10
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	50	5	45	10	40	10	0	5	35
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	54	7	47	10	44	10	0	7	37
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	in the past 30 day	ys.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	3	4	2	5	1	1	2	3
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	10	3	7	3	7	2	1	2	5
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	21	1	20	3	18	3	0	1	17
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Х	Other Outflow subtotal	25	2	23	3	22	3	0	2	20
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	35	5	30	6	29	5	1	4	25
Z	NET INFLOW	19	2	17	4	15	5	-1	3	12
_										Page 16

MMW CA	N	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
		entage of		90%	23%	77%	21%			70%
A		MW CAN	10%					2%	7%	
	tive on BNL	168	16	152	39	129	35	4	12	117
Assessment Score Dis	Days Active	130	70	135	161	123	161	141	58	130
D Count of all active records having each			iecorus)							
0		1% (1) 4% (7)	0% (0) 6% (1)	1% (1) 4% (6)	0% (0) 3% (1)	1% (1) 5% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 5% (6) 7% (8)
2 3		14% (24) 13% (21)	6% (1) 13% (2)	15% (23) 13% (19)	38% (15) 5% (2)	7% (9) 15% (19)	43% (15) 6% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (1) 17% (2)	7% (8) 15% (17) 20% (23)
4 5		17% (29) 12% (20)	13% (2) 6% (1) 13% (2)	18% (27) 13% (19)	10% (4) 8% (3)	19% (25)	11% (4) 9% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (2) 8% (1) 8% (1)	14% (16)
6		13% (21) 5% (8)	0% (0)	13% (19) 5% (8)	15% (6) 5% (2)	13% (17) 12% (15) 5% (6)	14% (5) 6% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	12% (14) 5% (6)
8		8% (14) 7% (11)	31% (5)	6% (9) 7% (10) 1% (2)	8% (3) 5% (2)	9% (11) 7% (9)	14% (5) 6% (2) 6% (2) 6% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	33% (4) 8% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (7) 7% (8) 2% (2) 3% (3) 3% (3) 2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0)
10		1% (2) 2% (4)	6% (1) 0% (0) 6% (1)	2% (3)	0% (0) 3% (1)	2% (2) 2% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 3% (3)
12		2% (3) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (3) 2% (2)
14 15		1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
16 17		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
18	Assessment Score	0% (0) 5.16	0% (0) 5.88	0% (0) 5.09	0% (0) 4.38	0% (0) 5.40	0% (0) 4.14	0% (0) 6.50	0% (0) 5.67	0% (0) 5.37
Status/Conditions Follo	owed (among	active rec								
Clients counted in each row below as Refuses CAN									_	
F Clients counted here are subject to o	due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chro		6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
H Clients that are confirmed	Unsheltered d to be unsheltered	7	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7
Match Clients matched to or awarded	ned/Awarded a housing resource	37	5	32	15	22	12	3	2	20
Enrolled in Transitio Active clients who are enrolled in Transitio	•	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
Youth at Time of Active clients who were under 25 at		19	16	3	4	15	0	4	12	3
Inflow to Active List: Pa		ne past 30 days.								
	lewly Added	13	2	11	3	10	2	1	1	9
	rom Inactive	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
N Inflow to Active		14	3	11	3	11	2	1	2	9
Outflow from Active Lis			- 45.0							
Clients below were returned to housi Housed - Se	ing or marked as Ina elf-Resolved				0	0	^	0	0	0
O Clients returned to housing in		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P Clients returned to housing in past		1	0	1	0	1 	0	0	0	1
Q Clients returned to housing in past	30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
R Clients returned to housing in page	ed - All Other st 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
s Housed Out	flow subtotal	4	0	4	3	1	3	0	0	1
Inactive - Unabl	s, unable to contact	12	10	2	1	11	1	0	10	1
U Clients made inactive in past 30 da	ays, in an institution	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
V Clients made inactive in past	e - Deceased 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 day	re - All Other rs, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	flow subtotal	13	11	2	1	12	1	0	11	1
Y Outflow from Active	LIST TOTAL IET INFLOW	17 -3	11 -8	6 5	-1	13 -2	-2	<u> </u>	11 -9	7
<u> </u>	LI INI-LOW	<i>-</i> 3	-0	J	-1	-2	-2		-9	Page 17

NOTITIVEST CAN Records Families Individuals Non-Youth Youth Non-Youth Planting Individuals Non-Youth Youth Non-Youth	1	.,, <u></u>	ATI	AII	AII	A 11	AII	F	F:1:	landini danala	landini dan da
Percentage of Northwest CAN 5% 27% 28% 1% 4% 4% 1% 4% 1% 4% 1% 4% 1% 4% 1% 1		Northwest CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)		
Northwest CAN 9% Active on BNL 425 20 405 115 310 112 3 17 293		Parce		roam		T diffillio		(Non roadil)	(Toutil)	(Touth)	,
Active on BNL 422 20 405 115 310 112 3 17 293 17 293 18 210 158 326 188 211 23 215 235 215 2			•	5%		27%		26%	1%	4%	
Median Days Active 195 193 195 158 210 158 326 188 211	A				405	115	310	112			203
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records) Covert of all parts in secretic having each independent across St. (a) St. (b) St. (c) St.											
Control of all cashes records having each designation cross. Control of the C	-			l	133	130	210	100	320	100	211
1				iccorda							
10				0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (20)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 6% (18)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (18)
1,16,16 1,16,16		2	12% (50)	10% (2)	12% (48)	32% (37)	4% (13)	33% (37)	0% (0)	12% (2)	4% (11)
1,16,16 1,16,16				5% (1)	13% (51)	3% (4) 7% (8)	14% (44)	4% (4) 7% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1)	11% (31) 15% (43)
1				25% (5) 10% (2)	15% (59) 14% (55)	13% (15)	16% (49) 14% (44)	13% (15)	0% (0) 0% (0)	29% (5) 12% (2)	15% (44) 14% (42)
12		7	14% (61)	15% (3)	14% (58)	11% (13)	15% (48) 8% (24)	10% (11)	67% (2)	6% (1) 0% (0)	16% (47) 8% (24)
12		9	6% (27)	25% (5)	5% (22)	7% (8)	6% (19)	6% (7)	33% (1)	24% (4)	5% (15)
12		11	3% (12)	5% (1) 5% (1)	3% (12)	3% (3) 3% (4)	3% (8)	3% (3) 4% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1)	3% (9) 2% (7)
16				0% (0) 0% (0)	<u>1% (4)</u> 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)	2% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (0)
16		14	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
The company Association of Score Sect		16	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)		18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Clients counted in earth row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their contribution of circumstances. Full clients counted from an earbiert of the statistics of th	E				5.39	5.06	5.59	4.99	7.67	6.41	5.54
Foliage California Califo					nted in multiple rows	s dependina on ti	heir combination of	circumstances.			
Chiests are accessed more are accessed for one diligence packy Chiests are accessed for Certified Chiests are accessed for Certified Chiests are accessed for Certified Chiests are accessed for Chiests are accessed for Chiests and Chiest	ŀ								Λ	n	Λ
Clearls mater HLD definition of Chronic Framesiassess 20	F			0		U					
	G		20	0	20	0	20	0	0	0	20
Cleents mat are contemed to be unstablemed Matched/Awarded 1 Cleents method to or awarded a bousing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			21	0	21	0	21	Λ	0	Λ	21
Clients matched for a warded a housing resource Superior Sup	Н		Z I		Z I		۷۱				Z I
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Votuna 1 Time of Assessment K. Active Claints who are annoted in Transitional Housing Votuna 1 Time of Assessment C. Active Claist from 6 Assessment C. Active Claist and the Season of Claims season of Claims season of Claims and the Season of Claims a	I		50	6	44	17	33	16	1	5	28
Active clients who are enrolled in Transford Housing 1			0	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients who were under 25 at time of assessment 24 20 4 5 19 2 5 11 2	J										
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.	K		24	20	4	5	19	2	3	17	2
Newly Added Cilents who have never been active before Returned from Inactive 5											
Clients who have never been active before 25 2 21 3 16 3 0 2 10			e past 30 days.	ſ		ſ					
Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active Inflow to Active List TOTAL 28 2 26 6 22 6 0 2 20	L	_	23	2	21	5	18	5	0	2	16
Clients indicive for any reason who are now active			 5	0	 5	1	1	1	0	Λ	1
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	M			-		1	· ·	1			•
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days. Housed - Self-Resolved 1	N			2	26	6	22	6	U	2	20
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-				n the past 30 day	vs.						
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other contact 29			1			0	1	0	0	0	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH 1	0		l 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l 	U	l 	U	·	U 	l
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal 3 0 3 2 1 2 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Р		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, with RRH		Housed - RRH	1	n	1	1	Λ	1	Λ	Λ	Λ
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal 3 0 3 2 1 2 0 0 1	Q		l 	·	 		U	 		u	
Second Contents Second Con	R		1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact 29 0 29 0 29 0 0 0 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ŀ		3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact			29	0	29	0	29	0	0	0	29
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	Ť										
Inactive - Deceased	U		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	n	n	n	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 X Other Outflow subtotal 29 0 29 0 0 0 0 29 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 32 0 32 2 30 2 0 0 30 Z NET INFLOW -4 2 -6 4 -8 4 0 2 -10	٧										
x Other Outflow subtotal 29 0 29 0 29 0 0 0 29 Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 32 0 32 2 30 2 0 0 30 Z NET INFLOW -4 2 -6 4 -8 4 0 2 -10	W		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
z NET INFLOW -4 2 -6 4 -8 4 0 2 -10	Χ			0					0	0	
	Υ										
	Z	NET INFLOW	-4	2	-6	4	-8	4	0	2	-10 Page 18

SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C - Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

Row D – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

Row E – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

Row F – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G – Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

Row H - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

Row I – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

Row K – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

Row K – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row *K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row M - Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).