# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Fa	milies (N	lon-Youth	)						
<b>229</b> -8 from last week  full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered		Matched to							
2 72 no change +13 from last week									
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched						
Central	20	0	5						
Eastern	21	0	8						
Fairfield County	83	1	13						
Greater Hartford	37	1	15						
Greater New Haven	25	0	22						
MMW	19	0	4						
Northwest	24	0	5						
11011111105									

Active In	dividua	Is (Youth)								
135										
+1 fro	om last	week								
ful	l details for A	ctive Individuals (Y	outh) on pg. 9							
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing							
18	5	4								
no change		+4 from la	last week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	18	2	7							
Eastern	19	6	7							
Fairfield County	38	0	2							
Greater Hartford	20	2	16							
Greater New Haven	17	5	14							
MMW	11	0	6							
Northwest	10	3	2							

is below.			
Active I	Families	(Youth)	
-3 fr	45 om last	week r Active Families (Y	outh) on pg. 8
Known Unsheltered			
0		3	3
no change		-3 from la	st week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	1	0	0
Eastern	28	0	0
Fairfield County	5	0	2
Greater Hartford	4	0	1
Greater New Haven	1	0	1
MMW	3	0	1
Northwest	7	0	3

Active Indiv	/iduals (	(Non-Yout	th)							
1,544 -28 from last week										
full details for Active Individuals (Non-Youth) on pg. 10  Known Unsheltered Matched to Housing										
203		31	LO							
-3 from last week		+5 from la	ast week							
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched							
Central	124	23	10							
Eastern	169	56	53							
Fairfield County	396	0	45							
Greater Hartford	382	34	70							
Greater New Haven	252	67	74							
MMW	94	3	34							
Northwest	127	20	24							

All Records	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
Percentage of S		Jona				- Havon		Troi am occ
	Records	8%	12%	27%	23%	15%	6%	9%
Active on BNL	1,957	163	237	522	443	295	127	168
c Median Days Active	154	133	102	160	222	153	110	84
Assessment Score Distribution (am  D Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
0	0% (3) 2% (37)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 2% (4)	0% (1) 2% (13)	0% (0) 2% (9)	0% (1) 2% (7)	0% (0) 2% (2)	0% (0) 1% (2)
2	5% (102) 8% (155)	6% (9) 5% (8)	3% (6) 5% (13)	7% (34) 10% (53)	6% (27)	3% (10) 5% (15)	10% (13) 11% (14)	2% (3) 6% (10)
4	12% (234) 13% (254)	12% (20)	11% (26)	13% (68) 13% (66)	9% (42) 15% (67)	7% (22) 12% (34)	16% (20)	7% (11)
5	14% (276)	9% (15) 9% (15)	17% (41) 13% (31)	16% (85)	16% (71) 14% (63)	11% (32)	8% (10) 15% (19)	10% (17) 18% (31)
7 8	11% (223) 11% (207)	15% (24) 12% (20) 7% (11)	11% (26) 14% (34)	13% (67) 8% (42) 6% (31) 3% (18)	14% (63) 9% (42) 8% (35)	11% (32) 13% (39)	6% (8) 10% (13)	18% (31) 14% (24) 14% (23)
9 10	8% (152) 5% (103)	9% (15)	10% (23) 5% (12)	6% (31) 3% (18)	5% (27) 5% (20)	11% (33) 7% (22)	6% (8) 4% (5)	11% (19) 6% (10)
11	5% (102) 2% (47)	7% (11) 7% (11)	3% (8) 3% (6)	5% (25) 2% (8)	5% (24) 1% (3)	7% (21) 3% (10)	6% (7) 3% (4)	4% (6) 3% (5)
13 14	2% (32) 1% (19)	1% (1) 1% (2)	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (7) 0% (2)	1% (4) 1% (6)	3% (9) 2% (6)	1% (1) 2% (2)	4% (7) 0% (0)
15 <b></b>	0% (6) 0% (2)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0)	1% (3) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
E Average Assessment Score	6.51	7.20	6.72	6.01	6.07	7.32	6.12	7.10
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	oination of circumsta	ances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	137	1	 17	24	30	37	8	20
Known Unsheltered	223	25	 62	1	37	 72	3	23
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	444	22	 68	62	102	111	45	34
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	109	7	50	42	 1	0	6	3
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	203	20	50	50	28	20	15	18
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	na nact 30 dave							
Newly Added	180	21	27	35	39	23	15	19
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive								
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	37	3	16	2	3	4	4	5
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	217	24	43	37	42	27	19	24
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	•	n the past 30 days.						
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	63	8	25	7	4	6	9	4
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	21	0	6	3	1	8	1	2
Housed - RRH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	39	5	6	8	2	12	2	4
Housed - All Other	30	1	9	1	7	8	3	1
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other S Housed Outflow subtotal	153	14	46	19	14	34	15	11
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	37	1	6	9	9	2	2	8
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	1	0	2	2	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
x Other Outflow subtotal	47	1	7	10	11	6	2	10
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	200	15	53	29	25	40	17	21
z NET INFLOW	17	9	-10	8	17	-13	2	<b>3</b> Page 2

	All Youth  Percentage of S  Active on BNL	Statewide tatewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
В С <b>А</b> \$									
В С <b>А</b> \$		ui ivuiii	10%	26%	23%	13%	10%	8%	9%
As		184	19	47	43	24	18	14	17
	Median Days Active	77	55	166	84	29	37	63	70
D <u>Co</u>	ssessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
	unt of all active records having each assessment score.  0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
		1% (2) 2% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1)	0% (0) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	4% (8) 13% (24)	0% (0)	6% (3)	2% (1) 9% (4)	4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	5	11% (21)	0% (0) 16% (3)	15% (7) 15% (7)	14% (6) 9% (4)	17% (4) 13% (3)	17% (3) 17% (3)	29% (4) 7% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 35% (6)
		20% (36) 13% (24)	21% (4) 11% (2)	21% (10) 17% (8)	16% (7) 12% (5)	17% (4) 13% (3)	6% (1) 17% (3)	29% (4) 0% (0)	18% (3)
		11% (21) 8% (14)	16% (3) 11% (2)	9% (4) 6% (3)	12% (5) 7% (3) 7% (3)	8% (2) 13% (3)	11% (2) 6% (1)	7% (1) 0% (0)	18% (3) 12% (2) 6% (1)
	10	7% (13)	11% (2)	4% (2)	7% (3)	8% (2)	6% (1)	7% (1)	6% (1)
	12	4% (8) 3% (5)	11% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	7% (3)	0% (0) 4% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	7% (1) 7% (1)	6% (1) 0% (0)
		1% (2) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)
	15	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
E	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.77	0% (0) 7.32	0% (0) 6.00	0% (0) 6.74	0% (0) 7.04	0% (0) 7.06	0% (0) 6.29	0% (0) 7.82
	tatus/Conditions Followed (among ents counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their com	bination of circumsta	ances.		
E C	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	2	6	0	2	5	0	3
	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	62	7	7	4	17	15	7	5
J A	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	5	30	4	0	0	0	0
	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	10	1	2	5	1	0	1	0
	flow to Active List: Past 30 Days ents below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.							
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	38	4	3	9	12	6	1	2
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	1	1	1	1	3	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	46	5	4	10	13	9	2	2
	utflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		a the neet 20 days						
CIIE	ents below were returned to nousing or marked as inac Housed - Self-Resolved	tive on the BNL II	ruie past 30 days.	3	1	0	0	2	1
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	4	0	 2	 0	U  1	U 1	2 0	 0
	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	 12	2	 1	0 2	 0	Δ	 0	3
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	4	0	 1	0		 0	 0	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	28	3	7	3	3 4	5	2	0 4
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact			1	-		-		
T <u>Cli</u>	ients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution	11 1	0 0	1  0	2 0	6 0	2	0 0	0 0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	 0	 0	 0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	 0	0	0 0	 0	 0	0 0
W CI	lients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	12	0	1	2	6	3	0	0
Ŷ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	40	3	8	5	10	<u> </u>	2	4
z	NET INFLOW	6	2	-4	5	3	1	0	-2

	All Non-Youth	Ctatawida	Control	Factoria	Fainfield	Greater	Greater New	BABANA	Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	•	on-Youth	8%	11%	27%	24%	16%	6%	9%
В	Active on BNL	1,773	144	190	479	419	277	113	151
С	Median Days Active	161	161	94	169	237	161	110	91
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
U	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (3)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	2	2% (35) 6% (98)	0% (0) 6% (8)	3% (5)	3% (13) 7% (33)	2% (9) 6% (27)	2% (6) 4% (10)	2% (2) 11% (12)	2% (3)
	3	8% (147) 12% (210)	6% (8) 14% (20)	5% (10) 10% (19)	10% (49) 13% (62)	10% (41) 15% (63)	5% (15) 7% (19)	12% (14) 14% (16)	7% (10) 7% (11)
	5	13% (233) 14% (240)	8% (12) 8% (11)	18% (34) 11% (21)	13% (62) 16% (78)	16% (68) 14% (59)	11% (31) 11% (31)	8% (9) 13% (15)	11% (17) 17% (25)
	7	11% (199) 10% (186)	8% (12) 8% (11) 15% (22) 12% (17)	9% (18) 16% (30)	13% (62) 8% (37)	16% (68) 14% (59) 9% (39) 8% (33)	10% (29) 13% (37)	7% (8) 11% (12)	14% (21) 13% (20)
		8% (138) 5% (90)	6% (9) 9% (13) 6% (9)	11% (20) 5% (10)	6% (28) 3% (15)	6% (24)	12% (32) 8% (21)	7% (8) 4% (4)	11% (17) 6% (9)
	11	5% (94) 2% (42)	6% (9) 8% (11)	4% (8) 3% (5)	5% (22) 1% (7)	4% (18) 6% (24) 0% (2)	7% (20) 3% (9)	5% (6) 3% (3)	3% (5) 3% (5)
	13	2% (30) 1% (18)	1% (1) 1% (2)	2% (3) 1% (1)	1% (6)	1% (4) 1% (6)	3% (9)	1% (1) 2% (2)	4% (6) 0% (0)
		0% (5) 0% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (2)	0% (2)	2% (5) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)
	16	0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.48	7.19	1% (1) 6.90	0% (0) 5.94	0% (0) 6.01	0% (0) 7.34	0% (0) 6.10	0% (0) 7.02
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows doe	ending on their comb	hination of circumstr	nnces .		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	2	1 	0	1	1 	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	136	1	16	24	30	37	8	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	205	23	56	1	35	67	3	20
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	382	15	61	58	85	96	38	29
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	70	2	20	38	1	0	6	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	19	1	3	7	4	2	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	142	17	24	26	27	17 	14	17
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	29	2	15	1	2	1	3	5
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	171	19	39	27	29	18	17	22
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inai		o the neet 20 days						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	55	n the past 30 days.	22	6	4	6	7	3
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		·				U 		
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	17	0	4 	3	0	7 	1	2
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	27	3	5	6	2	8	2	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	26	1	8	1	4	8	3	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	125	11	39	16	10	29	13	7
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	26	1	5	7	3	0	2	8
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	1	0	2	1	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	35	1	6	8	5	3	2	10
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	160	12	45	24	15	32	15	17
Z	NET INFLOW	11	7	-6	3	14	-14	2	<b>5</b> Page 4

	All Families	Otatawista.	Orintari	Factoria	Filesia	Greater	<b>Greater New</b>	BARANA/	Manthumat
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α		Families	8%	18%	32%	15%	9%	8%	11%
В	A 41 BAU	278	21	49	88	41	26	22	31
С	Median Days Active	117	96	196	158	112	121	53	97
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	ong active	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 2% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 2% (1)	4% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	6% (18)	10% (2)	2% (1) 4% (2)	8% (7)	10% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 9% (2)	6% (2) 3% (1)
	5	12% (32) 6% (17)	24% (5) 10% (2) 14% (3)	10% (5) 6% (3) 16% (8)	13% (11) 6% (5)	7% (3) 5% (2)	12% (3) 8% (2) 15% (4)	23% (5) 5% (1)	0% (0) 6% (2)
	6 7	17% (46) 14% (39)	14% (3) 10% (2)	16% (8) 20% (10) 10% (5)	17% (15) 19% (17) 13% (11)	15% (6) 12% (5)	4% (1)	27% (6) 9% (2)	13% (4) 6% (2)
	8	12% (34) 9% (26)	10% (2)	10% (5) 12% (6)	13% (11) 7% (6)	7% (3) 12% (5)	23% (6) 15% (4)	9% (2) 0% (0)	16% (5)
	10	8% (21) 5% (15)	5% (1) 14% (3) 0% (0)	8% (4) 2% (1)	6% (5) 5% (4)	10% (4) 12% (5)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 9% (2)	13% (4) 13% (4) 6% (2)
	12	3% (9)	5% (1)	2% (1)	3% (3)	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	10% (3)
	13	2% (5) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	6% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	15 <b></b>	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17 18	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.27	6.43	7.76	6.84	7.61	7.77	6.14	8.23
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		·		U	U			
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
	Known Unsheltered	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded					·			
1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	80	5	8	15	16	23	5	8
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	43	1	32	10	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment		4	00	7	4	0	4	
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	55	1	29	7	4	2	4	8
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	o noot 20 down							
	Newly Added				40				
L	Clients who have never been active before	36	4	3	12	8	3	4	2
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	6	0	1	1	0	1	2	1
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	4	4	13	8	4	6	3
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ays							
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	19	4	6	3	1	1	2	2
	Housed - PSH	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH			l 					
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	1	3	2	1	2	0	1
_	Housed - All Other	9	1	1	1	3	1	2	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	41	6	11	6	5	4	4	5
J	Inactive - Unable to Contact		-		-			•	
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other								
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	46	6	11	8	5	4	4	8
Z	NET INFLOW	-4	-2	-7	5	3	0	2	<b>-5</b> Page 5

	All Individuals	Ctatamida	Control	Factors	Fallefield	Greater	Greater New	MANAVA	Northwest
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
Α	•	dividuals	8%	11%	26%	24%	16%	6%	8%
В	Active on BNL	1,679	142	188	434	402	269	105	137
С	Median Days Active	159	150	90	160	237	159	139	83
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)						
υ	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	1% (1) 2% (4)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)
	1	2% (35) 6% (96)	0% (0) 6% (9)	3% (5)	3% (12) 8% (33)	2% (9) 6% (26)	2% (6) 4% (10)	2% (2) 11% (12)	1% (1)
	3	8% (137) 12% (202)	4% (6) 11% (15)	6% (11) 11% (21)	11% (46) 13% (57)	9% (38) 16% (64)	6% (15) 7% (19)	11% (12) 14% (15)	7% (9) 8% (11)
	5	14% (237) 14% (230)	9% (13) 8% (12)	20% (38) 12% (23)	14% (61) 16% (70)	17% (69) 14% (57)	12% (32) 10% (28)	9% (9) 12% (13)	11% (15)
	7	11% (184) 10% (173)	9% (13) 8% (12) 15% (22) 13% (18)	20% (38) 12% (23) 9% (16) 15% (29)	12% (50) 7% (31)	17% (69) 14% (57) 9% (37) 8% (32)	12% (31) 12% (33)	6% (6) 10% (11)	20% (27) 16% (22) 13% (18)
	9	8% (126) 5% (82)	7% (10) 8% (12)	9% (17) 4% (8)	6% (25) 3% (13)	5% (22)	11% (29) 8% (21)	8% (8) 5% (5)	11% (15)
	11	5% (87) 2% (38)	8% (11) 7% (10)	4% (7) 3% (5)	5% (21) 1% (5)	4% (16) 5% (19) 0% (2)	7% (20) 4% (10)	5% (5) 4% (4)	4% (6) 3% (4) 1% (2)
	13	2% (27) 1% (16)	1% (1) 1% (2)	1% (2) 1% (1)	1% (6)	1% (4) 1% (5)	3% (8)	1% (1) 1% (1)	4% (5) 0% (0)
	14	0% (4) 0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (1)	0% (2)	2% (5) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)
	16	0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.38	7.32	0% (0) 6.45	0% (0) 5.84	0% (0) 5.91	0% (0) 7.28	0% (0) 6.11	0% (0) 6.85
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	endina on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)					·			
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	134	1	17	24	28	37	7	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	221	25	62	0	36	72	3	23
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	364	17	60	47	86	88	40	26
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	66	6	18	32	1	0	6	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	148	19	21	43	24	18	11	10
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th.	an anot 20 days							
	Newly Added		47	24	22	31	20	11	17
L	Clients who have never been active before	144	17	24	23	٦ I	20	11	17
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	31	3	15	1	3	3	2	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	175	20	39	24	34	23	13	21
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	44	4	19	4	3	5	7	2
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	18	0	5	3	1	8	1	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	29	4	3	6	1	10	2	3
	Housed - All Other	21	0	8	0	4	7	1	1
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	112	8	35	13	9	30	11	6
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	32	1	6	7	9	2	2	5
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	0	1	0	2	2	0	0
V	Inactive - Deceased  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
w	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased  Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal	42	1	7	8	11	6	2	7
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	154	9	42	21	20	36	13	13
Z	NET INFLOW	21	11	-3	3	14	-13	0	<b>8</b> Page 6

	Families (Non-Youth)	<b>.</b>				Greater	Greater New		N 41 4
	Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Families (No		9%	9%	36%	16%	11%	8%	10%
В	Active on BNL	229	20	21	83	37	25	19	24
С	Median Days Active	112	90	61	153	117	124	53	101
	Assessment Score Distribution (am								
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	0% (0)	00/ (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	09/ (0)
	1	1% (2) 3% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	7% (17)	0% (0) 10% (2)	5% (1) 5% (1)	1% (1) 8% (7) 11% (9)	3% (1) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 11% (2)	8% (2) 4% (1)
	5	9% (21) 6% (14)	25% (5) 10% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (5)	3% (1) 5% (2)	8% (2) 8% (2)	21% (4) 5% (1)	0% (0) 8% (2)
	6	15% (34) 14% (31)	10% (2) 15% (3) 10% (2)	5% (1) 19% (4)	17% (14)	5% (2) 16% (6) 11% (4)	8% (2) 16% (4) 4% (1)	21% (4) 11% (2)	8% (2) 8% (2)
	8	13% (30) 10% (22)	5% (1) 5% (1) 15% (3) 0% (0)	14% (3) 14% (3)	19% (16) 13% (11) 7% (6)	8% (3) 14% (5)	24% (6) 16% (4)	11% (2) 0% (0)	17% (4) 13% (3)
	10	8% (19) 6% (14)	15% (3)	14% (3)	6% (5) 5% (4)	11% (4)	4% (1)	0% (0)	13% (3)
	11	3% (8)	5% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	2% (2)	14% (5) 3% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	11% (2) 0% (0)	4% (1) 13% (3) 4% (1)
	13 14	2% (4) 1% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0)
	15 <mark></mark>	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17 18	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.39	6.35	9.76	6.86	7.62	7.92	6.26	8.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
	Known Unsheltered	2	0	0	1	 1	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered		0		!	I		0	
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	72	5	8	13	15	22	4	5
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	15	1	5	9	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment								
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	6	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	100.1							
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	33	4	3	12	6	3	4	1
М	Returned from Inactive	6	0	1	1	0	1	2	1
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	39	4	4	13	6	4	6	2
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		•	•			•		_
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	15	4	4	3	1	1	1	1
٦	Housed - PSH	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Ρ	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		·		U				
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	8	1	3	1	1	1	0	1
	Housed - All Other	9	1	1	1	3	1	2	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	34	6	8	5	5	3	3	4
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact		-	-	-		-	-	
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	0	2	0	0 	0	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	·	U	·	·			·	
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	39	6	8	7	5	3	3	7
Z	NET INFLOW	0	-2	-4	6	1	1	3	<b>-5</b> Page 7

	Families (Youth)	Ctatamida	Control	Fastava	Fatabala	Greater	Greater New	AAAANA/	Mouthment
	Percentage of S	Statewide Statewide	Central	Eastern 57%	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
۸	•	(Youth)	2%		10%	8%	2%	6%	14%
В	Active on BNL	49	1	28	5	4	1	3	7
С	Median Days Active	176	216	263	176	40	97	62	67
	Assessment Score Distribution (am		records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	4 5	22% (11) 6% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (5) 11% (3)	40% (2)	50% (2) 0% (0)	100% (1) 0% (0)	33% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6	24% (12) 16% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (7) 21% (6)	20% (1) 20% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 25% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	67% (2) 0% (0)	29% (2) 0% (0)
	8	8% (4) 8% (4)	100% (1)	7% (2) 11% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 14% (1)
	10	4% (2) 2% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14% (1)
	12	2% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0)
		2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 0% (0)
	15   16	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.71	8.00	6.25	6.60	7.50	4.00	5.33	9.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows den	ending on their comb	nination of circumsta	ances		
	Refuses CAN Assistance							0	
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered					U		<u> </u>	
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	8	0	0	2	1	1	1	3
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	28	0	27	1	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months				· 				
*K	Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	3	0	2	11	0	0	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added								
L	Clients who have never been active before	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da						<u> </u>		<b>'</b>
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	2	0	0	0	1	1
J	Housed - PSH	1	0	1	0	^	0	0	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	I	U	l 	U 	0	U 	U	·
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Housed - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	7	0	3	1	0	1	1	1
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact	•		-	2	-	^	'	
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
,	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	U	U	U 	U	U 	U	
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	7	0	3	1	0	1	1	1
Z	NET INFLOW	-4	0	-3	-1	2	-1	-1	<b>0</b> Page 8

	Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S		Central	Lastern		Hartioru	Haven	WIWIVV	Northwest
Α	Individuals		13%	14%	28%	15%	13%	8%	7%
В	Active on BNL	135	18	19	38	20	17	11	10
С	Median Days Active	63	53	85	81	29	28	63	86
	Assessment Score Distribution (am	•	records)						
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	1% (2) 3% (4)	0% (0) 6% (1)	5% (1) 5% (1)	0% (0) 3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	3	5% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2)	11% (4)	5% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	5	10% (13) 13% (18)	0% (0) 17% (3)	11% (2) 21% (4)	11% (4) 11% (4)	10% (2) 15% (3)	12% (2) 18% (3)	27% (3) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	6	18% (24) 12% (16)	17% (3) 22% (4) 11% (2)	21% (4) 16% (3) 11% (2)	16% (6) 11% (4)	20% (4) 10% (2)	6% (1) 18% (3)	18% (2) 0% (0)	40% (4)
	8	13% (17) 7% (10)	11% (2)	11% (2)	13% (5) 8% (3)	10% (2)	12% (2)	9% (1)	30% (3) 20% (2)
	9	8% (11)	11% (2) 11% (2)	0% (0) 5% (1)	8% (3) 8% (3) 8% (3)	15% (3) 10% (2)	6% (1) 6% (1)	0% (0) 9% (1)	10% (1) 0% (0)
	11	5% (7) 3% (4)	11% (2) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	8% (3) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	9% (1) 9% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	13	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	14 <b>1</b> 5 <b>1</b>	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	16 17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.79	0% (0) 7.28	0% (0) 5.63	0% (0) 6.76	0% (0) 6.95	0% (0) 7.24	0% (0) 6.55	0% (0) 7.00
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	0.70	0.00	1.27	0.00	7.00
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	bination of circumsta	ances.		
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)	1		 1			0		
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered		0	I	0	0		0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	18	2	6	0	2	5	0	3
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	54	7	7	2	16	14	6	2
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	11	5	3	3	0	0	0	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	7	1	0	4	1	0	1	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days								
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	4	3	9	10	6	1	11
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	8	1	1	1	1	3	1	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	43	5	4	10	11	9	2	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da								
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		n the past 30 days.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	10	2	1	1	0	3	0	3
-	Housed - All Other	4	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
R S	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	21	3	4	2	4	4	1	3
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	11	0	1	2	6	2	0	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal	12	0	1	2	6	3	0	0
^ V	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	33	3	5	4	10	7	1	3
7	NET INFLOW	10	2	<u> </u>	6	10	2	1	-2
-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10		•	<u> </u>	•		•	- <b>2</b> Page 9

	Individuals (Non-Youth)	01.1	0 ( )		F : 6 11	Greater	Greater New		N. a.
		Statewide	Central	Eastern	Fairfield	Hartford	Haven	MMW	Northwest
	Percentage of S Individuals (No		8%	11%	26%	25%	16%	6%	8%
A B	Active on BNL	1,544	124	169	396	382	252	94	127
С	Median Days Active	172	185	96	176	242	171	145	82
	Assessment Score Distribution (am								-
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score	0% (3)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	1	2% (33) 6% (92)	0% (0) 6% (8)	1% (1) 2% (3) 2% (4)	3% (12) 8% (32)	2% (9) 7% (26)	2% (5) 4% (10)	2% (2) 12% (11)	0% (0) 2% (2) 1% (1)
	3	8% (130) 12% (189)	5% (6) 12% (15)	5% (9)	11% (42)	10% (37)	6% (15)	13% (12)	7% (9) 9% (11)
	5	14% (219) 13% (206)	8% (10)	11% (19) 20% (34)	13% (53) 14% (57)	16% (62) 17% (66)	7% (17) 12% (29)	13% (12) 9% (8)	12% (15)
	7	11% (168)	8% (10) 6% (8) 16% (20) 13% (16)	20% (34) 12% (20) 8% (14) 16% (27)	16% (64) 12% (46) 7% (26)	17% (66) 14% (53) 9% (35) 8% (30)	11% (27) 11% (28) 12% (31)	12% (11) 6% (6)	18% (23) 15% (19)
		10% (156) 8% (116)	6% (8)	10% (17)	6% (22)	5% (19)	11% (28)	11% (10) 9% (8)	13% (16) 11% (14)
	10	5% (71) 5% (80)	8% (10) 7% (9)	4% (7) 4% (7)	3% (10) 5% (18)	4% (14) 5% (19)	8% (20) 8% (19)	4% (4) 4% (4)	5% (6) 3% (4)
		2% (34) 2% (26)	8% (10) 1% (1)	2% (4) 1% (2)	1% (5) 1% (5)	0% (1) 1% (4)	4% (9) 3% (8)	3% (3) 1% (1)	2% (2) 4% (5)
	14 15	1% (15) 0% (4)	2% (2) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (5) 1% (2)	2% (4) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16 17	0% (1) 0% (1)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (0) 6.35	0% (0) 7.32	0% (0) 6.54	0% (0) 5.75	0% (0) 5.86	0% (0) 7.28	0% (0) 6.06	0% (0) 6.83
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.01		0.00	7.20	0.00	0.00
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be counted	in multiple rows dep	ending on their comb	ination of circumsta	nnces.		
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	5	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	133	1	16	24	28	37	7	20
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	203	23	56	0	34	67	3	20
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	310	10	53	45	70	74	34	24
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	55	1	15	29	1	0	6	3
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	13	1	2	5	4	1	0	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	ne past 30 davs.							
	Newly Added	109	13	21	14	21	14	10	16
L	Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive							10	
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	23	2	14	0	2	0	1	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	132	15	35	14	23	14	11	20
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	_	n the past 30 days.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	40	3	18	3	3	5	6	2
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH	15	0	4	3	0	 7	1	0
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	19	0 2	 2		U 1	1 	<u>-</u> 2	
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other				5	 	! 		0
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	17	0	7	0	1	/	1	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal Inactive - Unable to Contact	91	5	31	11	5	26	10	3
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	21	1	5	5	3	0	2	5
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	4	0	1	0	2	1 	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	30	1	6	6	5	3	2	7
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	121 11	6	37 -2	<u>17</u> -3	10	<u>29</u> -15	12 -1	10
۷	NET INFLOW	11	9	-2	-ა	13	-10	-1	<b>10</b> Page 10

ı	7/0/2020 TTI BNE REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Jadividuals	Individuals
	Statewide BNL	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
-	Doroc	entage of	rodiii	91%	tamilics	86%	(Mon Toutil)	(Podil)	(10011)	79%
		•	9%		14%		12%	3%	7%	
Α		vide BNL		4 770	070	4.070	000			4.544
В	Active on BNL	1,957	184	1,773	278	1,679	229	49	135	1,544
С	Median Days Active	154	77	161	117	159	112	176	63	172
	Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)							
٦	0	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0)	0% (3)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (3)
		2% (37) 5% (102)	1% (2) 2% (4)	2% (35) 6% (98) 8% (147)	1% (2) 2% (6) 6% (18)	2% (35) 6% (96)	1% (2) 3% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	1% (2) 3% (4)	0% (3) 2% (33) 6% (92)
		8% (155) 12% (234)	2% (4) 4% (8) 13% (24)	8% (147) 12% (210)	6% (18) 12% (32)	8% (137)	7% (17) 9% (21)	2% (1) 22% (11)	3% (4) 5% (7) 10% (13)	8% (130) 12% (189)
	5	13% (254)	11% (21)	13% (233)	6% (17)	12% (202) 14% (237)	6% (14)	22% (11) 6% (3)	10% (13) 13% (18) 18% (24)	14% (219)
		14% (276) 11% (223)	11% (21) 20% (36) 13% (24) 11% (21)	14% (240) 11% (199)	17% (46) 14% (39)	14% (230) 11% (184)	15% (34) 14% (31)	24% (12) 16% (8)	18% (24) 12% (16)	13% (206) 11% (168)
		11% (207) 8% (152)	11% (21) 8% (14)	10% (186) 8% (138)	12% (34) 9% (26)	10% (173) 8% (126)	13% (30) 10% (22)	8% (4) 8% (4)	12% (16) 13% (17) 7% (10) 8% (11)	10% (156) 8% (116)
	10	5% (103) 5% (102)	8% (14) 7% (13)	8% (138) 5% (90)	8% (21) 5% (15)	5% (82)	3% (6) 7% (17) 9% (21) 6% (14) 15% (34) 14% (31) 13% (30) 10% (22) 8% (19) 6% (14) 3% (8)	4% (2)	8% (11) 5% (7)	8% (116) 5% (71) 5% (80)
	12	2% (47)	4% (8) 3% (5) 1% (2) 1% (1)	5% (94) 2% (42) 2% (30) 1% (18)	5% (18) 12% (32) 6% (17) 17% (46) 14% (39) 12% (34) 9% (26) 8% (21) 5% (15) 3% (9)	8% (126) 5% (82) 5% (87) 2% (38) 2% (27) 1% (16)	3% (8)	2% (1)	5% (7) 3% (4)	5% (80) 2% (34) 2% (26) 1% (15)
	14	2% (32) 1% (19)	1% (2) 1% (1)	2% (30) 1% (18)	1% (3)	2% (27) 1% (16)	2% (4) 1% (3)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (26) 1% (15)
	15	0% (6) 0% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (5) 0% (2)	1% (2) 0% (1)	0% (4) 0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	24% (12) 15% (8) 8% (4) 8% (4) 4% (2) 2% (1) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (4) 0% (1) 0% (1)
	17	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.51	6.77	6.48	7.27	6.38	7.39	6.71	6.79	6.35
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
ľ	Refuses CAN Assistance	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)	137	1	136	3	 134	3	0 0	1	133
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered									
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	223	18	205	2	221	2	0	18 	203
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	444	62	382	80	364	72	8	54	310
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	109	39	70	43	66	15	28	11	55
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	203	184	19	55	148	6	49	135	13
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	180	38	142	36	144	33	3	35	109
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	37	8	29	6	31	6	0	8	23
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	217	46	171	42	175	39	3	43	132
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
1	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	63	8	55	19	44	15	4	4	40
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	21	4	17	3	18	2	1	3	15
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	39	12	27	10	29	8	2	10	19
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	30	4	26	9	21	9	0	4	17
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	153	28	125	41	112	34	7	21	91
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	37	11	26	5	32	5	0	11	21
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	5	1	4	0	5	0	0	1	4
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
X	Other Outflow subtotal	47	12	35	5	42	5	0	12	30
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	200	40	160	46	154	39	7	33	121
Z	NET INFLOW	17	6	11	-4	21	0	-4	10	11
•										Page 11

	Central CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families	Individuals	Individuals
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	ramilles		(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Α		tral CAN	12%		13%		12%	1%	11%	
В		163	19	144	21	142	20	1	18	124
С	Median Days Active	133	55	161	96	150	90	216	53	185
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score		records)							
U	0	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
	2	0% (0) 6% (9)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 6% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 6% (8) 5% (6)
		5% (8) 12% (20)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (8) 14% (20)	10% (2) 24% (5)	4% (6) 11% (15)	10% (2) 25% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	170/2 (15)
		9% (15) 9% (15)	16% (3) 21% (4)	8% (12) 8% (11)	10% (2) 14% (3)	9% (13) 8% (12)	10% (2) 15% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	17% (3) 22% (4)	8% (10) 6% (8)
		15% (24) 12% (20)	11% (2) 16% (3)	15% (22) 12% (17)	10% (2) 10% (2)	15% (22) 13% (18)	10% (2) 5% (1)	0% (0) 100% (1)	11% (2) 11% (2)	16% (20) 13% (16)
	9	7% (11) 9% (15)	11% (2) 11% (2)	12% (17) 6% (9) 9% (13)	5% (1) 14% (3)	9% (13) 8% (12) 15% (22) 13% (18) 7% (10) 8% (12) 8% (11) 7% (10)	5% (1) 15% (3)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2) 11% (2)	8% (10) 6% (8) 16% (20) 13% (16) 6% (8) 8% (10) 7% (9)
	11	7% (11) 7% (11)	11% (2)	6% (9) 8% (11)	0% (0) 5% (1)	8% (11) 7% (10)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	11% (2)	7% (9) 8% (10)
	13	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	8% (10) 1% (1) 2% (2) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)
	15	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (1)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.20	7.32	7.19	6.43	7.32	6.35	8.00	7.28	7.32
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
G	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)	1	0	 1	0	 1	0	0	0	1
Н	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered	25	2	23	0	25	0	0	2	23
''	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded  Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	22	7	15	5	 17	5	0	7	10
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	7	5	2	1	6	1	0	5	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	19	1	1	19	0	1	18	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
ı	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	21	4	17	4	17	4	0	4	13
Ī	Returned from Inactive	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	 1	2
M N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	24	5	19	4	20	4	0	5	15
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da			10	<del></del>		7			,,
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	8	1	7	4	4	4	0	1	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	5	2	3	1	4	1	0	2	2
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with NAT	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	14	3	11	6	8	6	0	3	5
Ţ	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	15 9	3 2	12 7	<u>6</u> -2	9 11	-2	0	2	6 9
Z	NEI INFLOW	9		1	-2	17	-2	U		<b>9</b> Page 12

ı	7/0/2020 111 BIVE REPORT								au.anuerson@ci.g	
	Eastern CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	ntage of		80%		79%	,	,	,	71%
	Eastern CAN		20%		21%		9%	12%	8%	
A	Active on BNL	237	47	190	49	188	21	28	19	169
B C		102	166	94	196	90	61	263	85	96
- 1	Median Days Active			94	190	90	01	203	00	90
	Assessment Score Distribution (ame Count of all active records having each assessment score.		recoras)							
	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1)
		2% (4) 3% (6)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (3) 3% (5) 5% (10)	0% (0) 2% (1)	2% (4) 3% (5)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 5% (1)	2% (3) 2% (4)
		5% (13) 11% (26)	6% (3) 15% (7)	5% (10) 10% (19)	4% (2)	6% (11) 11% (21)	5% (1) 0% (0)	4% (1) 18% (5)	11% (2) 11% (2)	2% (4) 5% (9) 11% (19)
	5	17% (41)	15% (7)	18% (34)	10% (5) 6% (3) 16% (8)	20% (38)	0% (0)	11% (3)	21% (4)	20% (34) 12% (20)
		13% (31) 11% (26)	21% (10) 17% (8)	11% (21) 9% (18) 16% (30)	20% (10) 10% (5)	12% (23) 9% (16)	5% (1) 19% (4)	25% (7) 21% (6)	16% (3) 11% (2)	12% (20) 8% (14)
		14% (34) 10% (23)	9% (4) 6% (3)	16% (30) 11% (20)	10% (5) 12% (6)	20% (38) 12% (23) 9% (16) 15% (29) 9% (17) 4% (8)	0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5% (1) 19% (4) 14% (3) 14% (3)	7% (2) 11% (3)	11% (2)	8% (14) 16% (27) 10% (17)
	10	5% (12)	4% (2)	5% (10)	12% (6) 8% (4)	4% (8)	14% (3)	4% (1)	0% (0) 5% (1)	4% (7)
	12	3% (8) 3% (6)	0% (0) 2% (1)	4% (8) 3% (5)	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (7) 3% (5)	5% (1) 5% (1) 5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1)	4% (7) 2% (4)
	13	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (3) 1% (1)	2% (1) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (2) 1% (1)
	15	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	17	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	0% (1) 6.72	0% (0) 6.00	1% (1) 6.90	2% (1) 7.76	0% (0) 6.45	5% (1) 9.76	0% (0) 6.25	0% (0) 5.63	0% (0) 6.54
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									919.1
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
۲	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)									
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	17	1	16	0	17	0	0	1	16
	Known Unsheltered	62	6	56	0	62	0	0	6	56
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered									
ı	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	68	7	61	8	60	8	0	7	53
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	50	30	20	32	18	5	27	3	15
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	50	30		JZ	10	ິນ 		<u>ی</u>	15
ĸ	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	50	47	3	29	21	1	28	19	2
1	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	27	3	24	3	24	3	0	3	21
L	Clients who have never been active before	<u> </u>								Z I
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	16	1	15	1	15	1	0	1	14
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	43	4	39	4	39	4	0	4	35
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	25	3	22	6	19	4	2	1	18
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	6	2	4	1	5	0	1	1	4
	Housed - RRH	6	1	5	3	3	3	0	1	2
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	·	I	J		J	J		l 	۷
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	9	1	8	1	8	1	0	1	7
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	46	7	39	11	35	8	3	4	31
	Inactive - Unable to Contact		1						1	
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	6	 	5	0	6 	0	0	 	5
U	Inactive - In an Institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	7	1	6		7			1	_
X	Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL	53	8	45	11	42	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>7</u> 5	6 <b>37</b>
Y	NET INFLOW	<u> </u>	-4	-6	-7	-3	-4	<u>-3</u>	<u> </u>	-2
۷	NET INFLOW	-10	-4	-0	-/	-s	-4	-3	-1	<b>-Z</b> Page 13

ı	770/2020 I II BNE KEPOII	AH	AH	AH	AII	AII	Familia		au.anderson@ct.g	
	Fairfield County CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Percentage of		Toutil	92%	1 annies	83%	(Non-Tourn)	(Toutil)	(Toutil)	76%
		8%		17%		16%	1%	7%		
A	Fairfield Cou			470	00	424	02			206
В	Active on BNL	522	43	479	88 450	434	83	5 470	38	396 476
С	Median Days Active	160	84	169	158	160	153	176	81	176
	Assessment Score Distribution (am: Count of all active records having each assessment score		recoras)							
_	0	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (1)	0% (0) 1% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (1)
	2	2% (13) 7% (34)	0% (0) 2% (1)	3% (13) 7% (33)	1% (1) 1% (1) 8% (7)	3% (12) 8% (33)	1% (1) 1% (1) 8% (7)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 3% (1)	3% (12) 8% (32)
	3 4	10% (53) 13% (68)	9% (4) 14% (6)	10% (49) 13% (62)	8% (7) 13% (11)	11% (46) 13% (57)	8% (7) 11% (9)	0% (0) 40% (2)	11% (4) 11% (4)	8% (32) 11% (42) 13% (53)
	5	13% (66) 16% (85)	9% (4) 16% (7)	13% (62)	13% (11) 6% (5) 17% (15)	14% (61) 16% (70)	11% (9) 6% (5) 17% (14)	0% (0) 20% (1)	11% (4) 16% (6)	14% (57) 16% (64)
	7	13% (67)	12% (5) 12% (5) 12% (5) 7% (3)	13% (62)	19% (17)	12% (50)	19% (16)	20% (1)	11% (4)	12% (46) 7% (26)
	9	8% (42) 6% (31)	7% (3)	13% (62) 8% (37) 6% (28) 3% (15) 5% (22) 1% (7)	13% (11) 7% (6) 6% (5)	13% (57) 14% (61) 16% (70) 12% (50) 7% (31) 6% (25) 3% (13)	19% (16) 13% (11) 7% (6) 6% (5) 5% (4) 2% (2) 1% (1) 0% (0) 1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	13% (5) 8% (3) 8% (3)	6% (22) 3% (10)
	11	3% (18) 5% (25)	7% (3) 7% (3)	3% (15) 5% (22)	6% (5) 5% (4) 3% (3)	3% (13) 5% (21) 1% (5)	6% (5) 5% (4)	0% (0)	8% (3) 8% (3)	3% (10) 5% (18)
		2% (8) 1% (7)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (7) 1% (6)	3% (3) 1% (1)	1% (5) 1% (6)	2% (2) 1% (1)	20% (1) 0% (0)	8% (3) 0% (0) 3% (1)	5% (18) 1% (5) 1% (5)
	14	0% (2) 0% (2)	0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (2)	1% (1) 0% (0)	1% (6) 0% (2) 0% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (2)
	16	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 0% (0)
	18	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.01	6.74	5.94	6.84	5.84	6.86	6.60	6.76	5.75
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	dependina on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	U	U	U	U	0	<u> </u>	U	U	
G	Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	24	0	24	0	24	0	0	0	24
	Known Unsheltered	1	0	 1	1	0	 1	0	0	0
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	 		 	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 			
1	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	62	4	58	15	47	13	2	2	45
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	42	4	38	10	32	9	1	3	29
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	50	43	7	7	43	2	5	38	5
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	35	9	26	12	23	12	0	9	14
	Returned from Inactive	2	1	 1	1	1	 1	0	 1	0
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active			•			•			
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	37	10	27	13	24	13	0	10	14
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		n the past 30 day	/S.						
	Housed - Self-Resolved	7	1	6	3	4	3	0	1	3
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		 	U 	J	4	J		I 	J
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	Housed - RRH	8	2	6	2	6	1	1	 1	5
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other		<u></u>		۷		<u>'</u>	·		
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	19	3	16	6	13	5	1	2	11
	Inactive - Unable to Contact	9	2	7	2	7	2	0	2	5
ı	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ν,	Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
V	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	10	2	8	2	8	2	0	2	6
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	29	5	24	8	21	7	1	4	17
Z	NET INFLOW	8	5	3	5	3	6	-1	6	-3

	Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All Non-Youth	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	
		Records entage of	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 91%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Greater Harti	5%	33,0	9%	3170	8%	1%	5%	3373	
A B	Active on BNL	443	24	419	41	402	37	4	20	382
С	Median Days Active	222	29	237	112	237	117	40	29	242
- 1	Assessment Score Distribution (am			201	112	201	117	10		2 12
	Count of all active records having each assessment score			00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)	00/ (0)
	1	0% (0) 2% (9)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (9) 6% (27)	0% (0) 0% (0) 2% (1)	2% (9)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (9) 7% (26)
	3	6% (27) 9% (42)	0% (0) 4% (1)	10% (41) 15% (63)	2% (1) 10% (4) 7% (3)	0% (0) 2% (9) 6% (26) 9% (38) 16% (64)	3% (1) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 5% (1) 10% (2)	7% (26) 10% (37) 16% (62)
	5	15% (67) 16% (71)	17% (4) 13% (3) 17% (4)	15% (63) 16% (68)	7% (3) 5% (2)	16% (64) 17% (69) 14% (57)	0% (0) 0% (0) 3% (1) 11% (4) 3% (1) 5% (2) 16% (6)	50% (2) 0% (0)	10% (2) 15% (3) 20% (4)	16% (62) 17% (66) 14% (53)
	7	14% (63) 9% (42)	17% (4) 13% (3) 8% (2)	14% (59) 9% (39)	15% (6) 12% (5)	14% (57) 9% (37) 8% (32)	16% (6) 11% (4)	0% (0) 25% (1) 0% (0)	20% (4) 10% (2) 10% (2)	14% (53) 9% (35) 8% (30)
	9	8% (35) 6% (27)	8% (2) 13% (3) 8% (2)	8% (33) 6% (24)	7% (3) 12% (5)	8% (32) 5% (22)	11% (4) 8% (3) 14% (5) 11% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	10% (2) 15% (3) 10% (2)	8% (30) 5% (19)
	10	5% (20) 5% (24)	8% (2) 0% (0)	16% (68) 14% (59) 9% (39) 8% (33) 6% (24) 4% (18) 6% (24)	5% (2) 15% (6) 12% (5) 7% (3) 12% (5) 10% (4) 12% (5) 2% (1) 0% (0) 2% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (22) 4% (16) 5% (19)	11% (4) 14% (5)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	Nº/- (N)	5% (19) 4% (14) 5% (19)
	12	1% (3) 1% (4)	4% (1) 0% (0)	1% (4)	2% (1) 0% (0)	0% (2) 1% (4)	14% (5) 3% (1) 0% (0) 3% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (1) 1% (4)
	14	1% (6) 1% (3)	0% (0) 4% (1)	1% (6) 0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (1) 2% (1)	1% (5) 0% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (5) 1% (2)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	25% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
Е		0% (0) 6.07	0% (0) 7.04	0% (0) 6.01	0% (0) 7.61	0% (0) 5.91	0% (0) 7.62	0% (0) 7.50	0% (0) 6.95	0% (0) 5.86
_	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.01	7.01	0.51	7.02	7.00	0.30	0.00
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of	circumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	30	0	30	2	28	2	0	0	28
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	37	2	35	1	36	1	0	2	34
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	102	17	85	16	86	15	1	16	70
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
K	Youth at Time of Assessment Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	28	24	4	4	24	0	4	20	4
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	ne past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	39	12	27	8	31	6	2	10	21
М	Returned from Inactive	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	2
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	42	13	29	8	34	6	2	11	23
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
Р	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	3	4	3	4	3	0	3	1
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	14	4	10	5	9	5	0	4	5
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	9	6	3	0	9	0	0	6	3
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	11	6	5	0	11	0	0	6	5
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	25	10	15	5	20	5	0	10	10
Z	NET INFLOW	17	3	14	3	14	1	2	1	<b>13</b> Page 15

	<b>Greater New Haven CAN</b>	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Poros		routii	94%	raillilles	91%	(NOH-YOULI)	(Touli)	(Youth)	(NOH-YOUTH) 85%
	Percentage of Greater New Haven CAN		6%	31,70	9%	0170	8%	0%	6%	30%
В	Active on BNL	295	18	277	26	269	25	1	17	252
С	Median Days Active	153	37	161	121	159	124	97	28	171
	Assessment Score Distribution (am			101	121	100	124	- 31	20	17.1
	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	0	0% (1) 2% (7)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (1) 2% (6)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (1) 2% (6) 4% (10) 6% (15) 7% (19)	0% (0) 4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 6% (1)	0% (1) 2% (5)
	2	3% (10)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (10) 5% (15) 7% (19)	0% (0)	4% (10)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (5) 4% (10)
	4	5% (15) 7% (22)	17% (3)	7% (15) 7% (19)	0% (0) 12% (3)	7% (19)	8% (2)	100% (1)	12% (2)	6% (15) 7% (17)
	5 6	12% (34) 11% (32)	17% (3) 6% (1)	11% (31) 11% (31)	8% (2) 15% (4)	12% (32) 10% (28)	8% (2) 16% (4)	0% (0) 0% (0)	18% (3) 6% (1)	12% (29) 11% (27)
	7	11% (32) 13% (39)	17% (3) 11% (2)	10% (29) 13% (37)	4% (1) 23% (6)	12% (31) 12% (33)	4% (1) 24% (6)	0% (0)	18% (3) 12% (2)	11% (28) 12% (31)
	9	11% (33)	6% (1) 6% (1)	12% (32)	15% (4) 4% (1)	11% (29)	16% (4)	0% (0)	6% (1) 6% (1)	11% (28)
	10 11	7% (22) 7% (21)	6% (1)	12% (32) 8% (21) 7% (20)	4% (1)	11% (29) 8% (21) 7% (20) 4% (10)	4% (1) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1)	11% (28) 8% (20) 8% (19)
	12	3% (10) 3% (9)	6% (1)	3% (9) 3% (9)	0% (0) 4% (1)	4% (10) 3% (8)	0% (0) 4% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0)	4% (9) 3% (8)
	14	2% (6)	0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0)	2% (5) 0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	2% (5) 0% (0)	0% (0) 8% (2) 8% (2) 16% (4) 4% (1) 24% (6) 16% (4) 4% (1) 0% (0) 4% (1) 4% (1) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	6% (1) 0% (0) 6% (1) 0% (0)	4% (9) 3% (8) 2% (4) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (1)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)
		0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)		0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (1) 0% (0)
Ε	Average Assessment Score	7.32	7.06	7.34	7.77	7.28	7.92	4.00	7.24	7.28
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances			
	Refuses CAN Assistance		1					0	^	4
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	37	0	37	0	37	0	0	0	37
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	72	5	67	0	72	0	0	5	67
	Matched/Awarded	111	15	96	23	88	22	 1	14	74
	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	 20	18	2	2	 18	1	<u>-</u> 1	 17	1
	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	20	10			10	'	'	17	'
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
	Newly Added	23	6	17	3	20	3	0	6	14
L	Clients who have never been active before			17		20				
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	4	3	1	1	3	1	0	3	0
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	27	9	18	4	23	4	0	9	14
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da									
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina	ctive on the BNL i	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	0	6	1	5	1	0	0	5
_	Housed - PSH	8	1	7	0	8	0	0	 1	7
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH	12	4	8	2	10	1	1	3	7
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	 8	0	8	1	7	<u>'</u> 1	 0	0	<sup>'</sup> 7
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Housed Outflow subtotal	34	5	29	4	30	3	1	4	26
S	Inactive - Unable to Contact				•			•	•	
T	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	6	3	3	0	6	0	0	3	3
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	40	8	32	4	36	3	1	7	29
Z	NET INFLOW	-13	1	-14	0	-13	1	-1	2	-15
	·									Page 16

MMW CAN		All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
MINITY OAT		Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals 83%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth) 74%
A		entage of MW CAN	11%	03 /6	17%	0378	15%	2%	9%	1470
В	tive on BNL	127	14	113	22	105	19	3	11	94
c Median	Days Active	110	63	110	53	139	53	62	63	145
Assessment Score Dist  D Count of all active records having each			records)							
0		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)
1 2		2% (2) 10% (13)	0% (0) 7% (1)	2% (2) 11% (12)	0% (0) 5% (1) 9% (2)	2% (2) 11% (12)	0% (0) 5% (1)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 33% (1) 0% (0) 67% (2)	0% (0) 9% (1)	12% (11)
3		11% (14) 16% (20)	0% (0) 29% (4)	12% (14) 14% (16)	9% (2) 23% (5)	11% (12)	5% (1) 11% (2) 21% (4)	0% (0) 33% (1)	9% (1) 0% (0) 27% (3)	13% (12)
5		8% (10) ´	7% (1) 29% (4)	8% (9) 13% (15)	23% (5) 5% (1) 27% (6)	14% (15) 9% (9) 12% (13)	21% (4) 5% (1) 21% (4)	0% (0)	27% (3) 9% (1) 18% (2)	13% (12) 9% (8) 12% (11)
6		15% (19) 6% (8)	0% (0)	7% (8)	9% (2) 9% (2)	6% (6)	11% (2) 11% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 9% (1)	6% (6)
8 9		10% (13) 6% (8)	7% (1) 0% (0) 7% (1)	11% (12) 7% (8)	0% (0)	6% (6) 10% (11) 8% (8) 5% (5)	11% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (1) 0% (0) 9% (1)	11% (10) 9% (8)
10		4% (5) 6% (7)	7% (1)	4% (4) 5% (6)	0% (0) 9% (2)	5% (5) 5% (5)	0% (0) 11% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (1) 9% (1)	4% (4) 4% (4)
12		3% (4) 1% (1)	7% (1)	5% (6) 3% (3) 1% (1)	0% (0)	5% (5) 4% (4)	11% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (1) 9% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (3) 1% (1)
14 — 15 —		2% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2)	0% (0) 5% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)	5% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (6) 11% (10) 9% (8) 4% (4) 4% (4) 3% (3) 1% (1) 1% (1)
16		1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0)
1718		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
·	Assessment Score	6.12	6.29 orde)	6.10	6.14	6.11	6.26	5.33	6.55	6.06
Status/Conditions Follo Clients counted in each row below are				ited in multiple rows	depending on th	neir combination of	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to d										
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chro	ic (Verified) nic Homelessness	8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
H Clients that are confirmed	Unsheltered	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Match	ed/Awarded	45	7	38	5	40	4	1	6	34
Clients matched to or awarded a Enrolled in Transition		6	0	 6	0	6	0	0	0	6
J Active clients who are enrolled in Tra										
K Active clients who were under 25 at ti	•	15	14	1 ]	4	11	1	3	11	0
Inflow to Active List: Pa Clients below were made active or ad		e past 30 days.								
	ewly Added	15	1	14	4	11	4	0	1	10
	om Inactive	4	1	3	2	2	2	0	 1	1
M Clients inactive for any reason w		19	2	17	6	13	6	0	2	11
Outflow from Active Lis				11	U	13	U	U		11
Clients below were returned to housing			n the past 30 day	/S.						
Housed - Se		9	2	7	2	7	1	1	1	6
Но	used - PSH	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	used - RRH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Q Clients returned to housing in past 3	30 days, with RRH									
R Clients returned to housing in pas	t 30 days, all other	3	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	10
s Housed Outf		15	2	13	4	11	3	1	1	10
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days	, unable to contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - In au U Clients made inactive in past 30 da	ys, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 3		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days	e - All Other s, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outf	low subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Y Outflow from Active		17	2	15	4	13	3	1	1	12
z Ni	ET INFLOW	2	0	2	2	0	3	-1	1	<b>-1</b> Page 17

	7/0/2020 I II BIVE REPOIL								au.anderson@ci.g	
	Northwest CAN	All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	Porce	entage of	roum	90%	T diffillio	82%	(Non roun)	(Toutil)	(Touri)	76%
٨	Northw	10%		18%		14%	4%	6%		
В	Active on BNL	168	17	151	31	137	24	7	10	127
С	Median Days Active	84	70	91	97	83	101	67	86	82
-	Assessment Score Distribution (am			31	31		101			02
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		records							
		0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 1% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 2% (2)
	2	2% (3)	0% (0)	1% (2) 2% (3) 7% (10)	6% (2) 3% (1)	1% (1) 7% (9)	8% (2)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	1% (1) 7% (9)
	4	6% (10) 7% (11)	0% (0) 0% (0)	7% (11)	0% (0)	8% (11) 11% (15)	4% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	9% (11) 12% (15)
		10% (17) 18% (31)	0% (0) 35% (6)	11% (17) 17% (25)	6% (2) 13% (4)	20% (27)	8% (2) 8% (2)	0% (0) 29% (2)	0% (0) 40% (4) 30% (3)	12% (15) 18% (23) 15% (19)
		14% (24) 14% (23)	18% (3) 18% (3)	14% (21) 13% (20)	6% (2) 16% (5)	16% (22) 13% (18)	8% (2) 17% (4)	0% (0) 14% (1)	30% (3) 20% (2)	15% (19) 13% (16)
	9	11% (19) 6% (10)	12% (2) 6% (1)	11% (17) 6% (9)	6% (2) 13% (4) 6% (2) 16% (5) 13% (4) 13% (4)	11% (15) 4% (6)	0% (0) 0% (0) 8% (2) 4% (1) 0% (0) 8% (2) 8% (2) 8% (2) 17% (4) 13% (3) 13% (3)	14% (1) 14% (1)	10% (1) 0% (0)	11% (14) 5% (6)
	11	4% (6)	6% (1)	3% (5) 3% (5)	6% (2) 10% (3)	3% (4) 1% (2)	13% (3) 4% (1) 13% (3) 4% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	14% (1) 14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	3% (d) 3% (4) 2% (2)
	13	3% (5) 4% (7)	0% (0) 6% (1)	3% (5) 4% (6) 0% (0)	6% (2) 0% (0)	1% (2) 4% (5)	13% (3) 4% (1)	0% (0) 14% (1) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	2% (2) 4% (5) 0% (0)
		0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	4% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)
	16	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0)
E	18	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
_	Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	7.10 active rec	7.82 ords)	7.02	8.23	6.85	8.00	9.00	7.00	6.83
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ited in multiple rows	depending on th	eir combination of	circumstances.			
_	Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy  Chronic (Verified)									
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	20	0	20	0	20	0	0	0	20
	Known Unsheltered	23	3	20	0	23	0	0	3	20
Н	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded									
- 1	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	34	5	29	8	26	5	3	2	24
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	18	17	 1	0	10	 1	7	10	
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	10	17	ı	8	10	'		10	0
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	a noot 20 days								
	Newly Added									10
L	Clients who have never been active before	19	2	17	2	17	1	1	1 	16
М	Returned from Inactive	5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
N	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	24	2	22	3	21	2	1	1	20
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		_				<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	·	
	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	n the past 30 day	/S.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved	4	1	3	2	2	1	1	0	2
U	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Р	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
Q	Housed - RRH	4	3	1	1	3	1	0	3	0
×	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	1	^	4	^	4	^	^	^	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	I
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	11	4	7	5	6	4	1	3	3
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	8	0	8	3	5	3	0	0	5
•	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U 	U	U	U	U	U 	U
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
·	Inactive - All Other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
X	Outflow from Active Liet TOTAL	10	0	10	3	7	3	0	0	7
Y	Outflow from Active List TOTAL  NET INFLOW	21 3	-2	17 5	-5	13 8	-5	0	-2	10 10
۷	NETINFLOW	J	-2	J	<b>-</b> J	0	<b>-</b> J	U	-2	70 Page 18

#### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

Row C – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

## STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** - Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** - Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row Q - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

Row T - Inactive - Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U - Inactive - In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

 $Row\ V$  - Inactive - Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

Row Y - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).