# Families, Youth, and Individuals BNL Report

The FYI BNL Report is a weekly snapshot summary of By Name List records inclusive of <u>Families</u>, <u>Youth</u>, and <u>Individuals</u>. In addition to this cover page, the report includes summaries for 9 subpopulations (for comparisons across statewide and CAN-level data) and for 9 geographies (for comparisons of sub-population data within statewide and CAN-level areas).

A high-level statewide summary of the active records for each primary subpopulation is below.

Active Far	milies (N	lon-Youth	า)						
234 -4 from last week full details for Active Families (Non-Youth) on pg. 7									
Known Unsheltered			o Housing						
O no change		7 +9 from la	7 ost week						
no change +9 from last week									
	Andine	I I made a like mad	Madalaad						
	Active	Unsheltered							
Central	24	Unsheltered 0	12						
Central Fairfield County									
	24	0	12						
Fairfield County	24 61	0	12 14						
Fairfield County  Greater Hartford	24 61 49	0 0	12 14 17						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	24 61 49 38	0 0 0 0	12 14 17 11						
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	24 61 49 38 14	0 0 0 0 0	12 14 17 11 2						

Active In	dividua	ıls (Youth)						
202 +9 from last week full details for Active Individuals (Youth) on pg. 9								
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing					
12 no change		3	2 ange					
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched					
Central	14	3	4					
Fairfield County	53	1	4					
Greater Hartford	43	0	8					
Greater New Haven	41	0	7					
MMW	16	0	0					
Northeast	8	4	4					
Southeast	9	0	1					
Waterbury Litchfield	18	4	4					

Active	Families	(Youth)	
	57	7	
-3 fr	om last	<b>week</b> Active Families (Ye	outh) on na 0
	Juli detulis [Of	Matched to	
0		1	1
no change		-2 from la	st week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	1	0	1
Fairfield County	12	0	3
Greater Hartford	6	0	0
Greater New Haven	8	0	2
Greater New Haven	8 3	0	2
	- J		
MMW	3	0	0

	J		
Active Indiv	/iduals (	(Non-You	th)
1,	69	95	
+23 fi	rom last	t week	
full detai	ls for Active In	ndividuals (Non-Yo	uth) on pg. 10
Known Unsheltered		Matched to	o Housing
155		26	53
+6 from last week		+20 from l	ast week
	Active	Unsheltered	Matched
Central	131	18	37
Central Fairfield County	131 425	18 11	69
Fairfield County	425	11	69
Fairfield County Greater Hartford	425	11 23	69 49
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven	425 383 265	11 23 6	69 49 47
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW	425 383 265 75	11 23 6 5	69 49 47 7
Fairfield County Greater Hartford Greater New Haven MMW Northeast	425 383 265 75 62	11 23 6 5	69 49 47 7

				Greater	Greater			Contact beau.anderson@ct.go			
All Records	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield		
Percentage of	Statewide		250/	000/							
_	l Records	8%	25%	22%	16%	5%	4%	7%	13%		
Active on BNL	2,188	170	551	481	352	108	89	158	279		
Median Days Active		141	147	113	133	97	69	61	183		
Assessment Score Distribution (am Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)									
0	0% (3)	-	1% (3)								
2	2% (51) 4% (94)	2% (3) 2% (4)	3% (18) 6% (31) 9% (50)	3% (13) 5% (25) 10% (46)	1% (5) 3% (11)	3% (3) 6% (7) 10% (11)	7% (6) 4% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1) 4% (6)	3% (8) 3% (9)		
3	8% (165) 10% (221)	7% (12) 7% (12)	12% (65)	10% (46) 11% (53)	3% (11) 4% (15) 7% (25)	10% (11) 8% (9)	16% (14)	4% (6) 12% (19)	8% (21)		
5	12% (271) 14% (300)	13% (22) 12% (20)	11% (63)	11% (53) 15% (70) 13% (62)	<u>11% (38)</u> 10% (36)	8% (9) 19% (20) 22% (24)	10% (9) 13% (12)	12% (19) 14% (22) 19% (30)	9% (24) 10% (27) 14% (40)		
7 8	11% (243) 11% (251)	14% (23)	14% (76) 9% (51) 11% (58)	13% (62) 12% (57) 10% (48)	13% (45)	22% (24) 8% (9) 9% (10)	9% (8)	19% (30) 13% (21) 15% (23)	14% (40) 10% (29) 14% (39)		
9	9% (186) 6% (134)	14% (23) 8% (14) 9% (16)	9% (49) 6% (35) 5% (27) 1% (6)	10% (48) 7% (33) 4% (20) 5% (24) 3% (13)	10% (35) 11% (38) 9% (33) 7% (26) 5% (16) 2% (7)	9% (10) 4% (4) 4% (4) 4% (4) 2% (2)	376 (25) 876 (7) 276 (2) 376 (3) 476 (4) 476 (4) 176 (1)	15% (23) 6% (9) 3% (5)	14% (39) 11% (32) 7% (19)		
11 12	5% (120) 3% (58)	6% (11) 4% (6)	5% (27) 1% (6)	5% (24) 3% (13)	7% (26) 5% (16)	4% (4) 2% (2)	3% (3) 4% (4)	6% (10) 3% (4) 3% (4) 1% (2)	5% (15) 3% (7) 1% (4) 1% (2)		
13	2% (50) 1% (22)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (11) 1% (4)	2% (10) 1% (5)	5% (16)	-	4% (4)	3% (4)	1% (4)		
15	1% (14)	1% (1)	1% (3)	0% (1)	1% (5)	1% (1)		1% (2)	1% (2)		
16	0% (4) 0% (1)	1% (1) -	0% (1) -	0% (1) -	0% <u>(1)</u> -	<del>-</del>		<u>-</u>	0% (1)		
E Average Assessment Score	6.75	7.10	6.36	6.37	7.73	5.85	6.78	6.97	- 6.91		
Status/Conditions Followed (among			- d illi-l		ain aa mhinatian af air						
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or Refuses CAN Assistance							0	0			
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	10	1	3	7	0	0	0	2	5		
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness		14	65	39	43	6	11	10	18		
Known Unsheltered		21	12	23	6	5	19	35	46		
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	 										
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	1 .50.5	54	90	74	67	9	21	35	33		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	חבו ו	6	61	8	14	4	0	39	6		
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment		01	60	E0.	EE	22	11	20	24		
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	291	21	69	59	55	22	11	30	24		
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in t	he past 30 days.										
Newly Added		22	63	62	30	15	12	27	12		
Clients who have never been active before  Returned from Inactive						13	12	<u> </u>			
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1 59	0	10	20	5	1	4	14	5		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	302	22	73	82	35	16	16	41	17		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		the next 20 days									
Housed - Self-Resolved	ıl .			7	6	F	4	17	2		
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	32	1	13	7	6	5	1	17	2		
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1 47	0	19	8	10	2	1	2	0		
Housed - RRH	25	0	7	5	2	2	0	9	0		
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other											
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	. /	0	0	1	1	0	3	2	0		
Housed Outflow subtotal		1	39	21	19	9	5	30	2		
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	411	2	25	4	2	4	0	2	1		
Inactive - In an Institution	8	0	 1	0	1	0	0	6	0		
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased											
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0		
Inactive - All Other	1 9	0	0	1	2	0	4	1	1		
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons  X Other Outflow subtotal	59	2	27	5	5	4	4	10	2		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	185	3	66	26	24	13	9	40	4		
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	117	19	7	56	11	3	7	1	13		

10/2/2018 FTT BNL Report				Creater	Cuantau		Oontact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Youth	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Percentage of S	Statewide		250/						
A	All Youth	6%	25%	19%	19%	7%	4%	11%	9%
B Active on BNL	259	15	65	49	49	19	10	29	23
c Median Days Active	92	264	92	43	97	68	91	115	152
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score  0	<del>.</del>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	2% (4) 3% (7)		3% (2) 5% (3)	2% (1)	2% (1) 4% (2)	- 5% (1)	-	- 3% (1)	
3	6% (16) 10% (27)		9% (6) 8% (5)	4% (2)	4% (2) 4% (2)	5% (1)	- 10% (1)	3% (1) 3% (1) 24% (7)	17% (4)
5	12% (31)	7% (1)	9% (6)	8% (4) 22% (11) 16% (8)	4% (2)	21% (4) 5% (1)	10% (1)	21% (6)	17% (4) 13% (3)
7	15% (38) 14% (36)	13% (2) 20% (3)	15% (10) 9% (6)	18% (9)	10% (5) 18% (9)	37% (7) 11% (2)	10% (1) 10% (1)	10% (3) 17% (5)	9% (2) 4% (1)
8	10% (25) 13% (33)	7% (1) 33% (5)	11% (7) 20% (13) 5% (3)	12% (6) 8% (4)	12% (6) 8% (4)	<u>5% (1)</u> -	10% (1) 30% (3)	7% (2) 3% (1)	4% (1) 13% (3)
10	5% (13) 5% (14)	13% (2)	5% (3) 3% (2)	6% (3)	12% (6) 8% (4) 8% (4) 10% (5)	- 5% (1)	10% (1) -	7% (2) 3% (1)	4% (1) 9% (2) 4% (1) 4% (1)
12	3% (9) 2% (4)	- 7% (1)		2% (1)	10% (5)	5% (1)	10% (1)		4% (1)
14	1% (2)		2% (1) 2% (1)		2% (1) 2% (1)	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	7 /0 (1) -
15 1 <u>6</u>	-			<u>-</u>	- -	<u>-</u>			<u>-</u> -
17 18	- 	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u>-</u>
Average Assessment Score Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.86	8.27	6.62	6.49	7.92	5.95	7.90	5.93	6.70
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	8	0	1	1	2	0	3	0	1
Known Unsheltered	12	3	1	0	0	0	4	0	4
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded			'						
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	43	5	7	8	9	0	5	2	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	41	1	9	0	9	1	0	20	1
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months		· 				·			
Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	28	3	6	4	4	1	1	5	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	47	0	15	16	7	3	2	2	2
Returned from Inactive	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	52	_		16	0		2	3	
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da		0	15	10	8	3		3	5
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved	12	0	3	3	3	1	0	2	0
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	17	0	6	3	4	1	0	3	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	13	0	10	1	0	2	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Institution	0	^	^	^	^	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	4	0	0	 1	1	0	2	0	0
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	-			•	1				
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	17	0	10	2	1 F	2	2	0	0
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	34 18	0	16 -1	5 11	<u>5</u> 3	<u>3</u> 0	2 0	3	<u> </u>
ZNEI INFLOW	10	U	-1	11	3	U	U	0	Page 3

All Non-Youth	Otatavida	Ormatural	E-1-C-14	Greater	Greater	NADAVA/	Manthaaat	0	Waterbury/
Percentage of S	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Litchfield
_	on-Youth	8%	25%	22%	16%	5%	4%	7%	13%
Active on BNL	1,929	155	486	432	303	89	79	129	256
c Median Days Active	138	141	154	136	141	100	68	48	188
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
D Count of all active records having each assessment score  0	0% (3)		1% (3)						
1	2% (47) 5% (87)	2% (3) 3% (4)	3% (16)	3% (12) 6% (25)	1% (4) 3% (9)	3% (3) 7% (6)	- 99/, (6)	1% (1)	3% (8) 4% (9)
3	8% (149)	8% (12)	6% (28) 9% (44) 12% (60)	10% (44)	4% (13) 8% (23)	11% (10)	8% (6) 5% (4) 16% (13)	4% (5)	7% (17)
5	10% (194) 12% (240)	8% (12) 14% (21) 12% (18)	12% (60) 12% (57) 14% (66)	11% (49) 14% (59) 13% (54)	12% (36) 10% (31)	6% (5) 21% (19)	10% (8)	9% (12) 12% (16) 21% (27)	8% (20) 9% (24) 15% (38)
7	14% (262) 11% (207)	12% (18) 13% (20) 14% (22)	14% (66) 9% (45) 10% (51)	13% (54) 11% (48) 10% (42)	10% (31) 12% (36) 10% (29)	21% (19) 19% (17) 8% (7)	14% (11) 9% (7) 18% (14)	21% (27) 12% (16) 16% (21)	15% (38) 11% (28) 15% (38)
	12% (226) 8% (153)	14% (22) 6% (9)	10% (51) 7% (36)	10% (42) 7% (29)	11% (34)	10% (9)	18% (14) 5% (4)	16% (21) 6% (8)	15% (38) 11% (29)
	6% (121) 5% (106)	6% (9) 9% (14) 7% (11)	7% (36) 7% (32) 5% (25) 1% (6)	7% (29) 5% (20) 5% (21) 3% (12) 2% (10)	10% (29) 7% (21)	4% (4) 4% (4) 3% (3)	5% (4) 1% (1) 4% (3)	6% (8) 2% (3) 7% (9)	11% (29) 7% (18) 5% (13)
12	3% (49) 2% (46)	4% (6)	1% (6) 2% (10)	3% (12) 2% (10)	4% (11) 5% (15)	1% (1)	4% (3) 5% (4)	3% (4) 3% (4)	2% (6) 1% (3)
14	1% (20)	1% (1)	1% (3)	1% (5) 0% (1)	4% (11) 5% (15) 2% (6) 2% (5)	 1% (1)	1% (1)	2% (2)	1% (2)
16	1% (14) 0% (4)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3) 0% (1)	0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (5) 0% (1)	1% (1) -		1% (1) -	1% (2)
18	0% (1)	-							0% (1)
Status/Conditions Followed (among	6.73 active reco	6.99 rds)	6.32	6.36	7.70	5.83	6.63	7.21	6.93
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance  F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	18	1	3	7	0	0	0	2	5
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	198	14	64	38	41	6	8	10	17
Known Unsheltered  H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	155	18	11	23	6	5	 15	35	42
Matched/Awarded	340	49	83	66	58	9	16	33	26
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing	97	5	 52	8	5	3	0	19	5
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment	32	6	4	10	6	3	 1	1	1
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	196	22	48	46	23	12	10	25	10
Returned from Inactive	54	0	10	20	4	1	4	13	2
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	250	22	58	66	27	13	14	38	12
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day					<u></u>	. •			
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	40	1	10	4	3	4	1	15	2
Housed - PSH	40	0	18	8	9	2	1	2	0
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH Housed - RRH	22	0	5	5	 2	2 2	 0	 8	0
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	7	0	0	1	1	0	3	2	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	109	1	33	18	15	8	5	27	2
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	27	2	15	3	2	2	0	2	1
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	1	0	1	0	0	6	0
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inactive - All Other  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1
x Other Outflow subtotal	42	2	17	3	4	2	2	10	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	151	3	50	21	19	10	7	37	4
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	99	19	8	45	8	3	7	1	8

10/2/2016 F111	BIVE REPORT							Contact be	au.anderson@ct.g	
All Fa	amilies	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury, Litchfield
	Percentage of S	Statewide		050/						
A	-	Families	9%	25%	19%	16%	6%	7%	12%	8%
В	Active on BNL	291	25	73	55	46	17	19	34	22
С	Median Days Active	84	54	145	87	81	62	41	87	125
	ore Distribution (amo		ecords)							
0		-	<u> </u>							
1		1% (2) 2% (7)	- -	4% (3)	2% (1)	2% (1)	6% (1) 6% (1)	- 5% (1)	<u> </u>	5% (1) -
3 4		3% (9) 8% (24)	4% (1) -	4% (3) 5% (4)	- 13% (7)	4% (2)	6% (1)	- 16% (3)	6% (2) 12% (4)	- 9% (2)
5		14% (42) 14% (40)	12% (3) 28% (7) 12% (3)	16% (12)	15% (8) 7% (4)	9% (4) 15% (7) 11% (5)	12% (2) 18% (3)	-	12% (4) 26% (9)	9% (2) 5% (1) 27% (6)
7 8		12% (34)	12% (3)	10% (7) 7% (5)	15% (8)	15% (7) 20% (9) 9% (4) 7% (3)	18% (3) 6% (1)	16% (3) 21% (4) 21% (4)	15% (5) 12% (4) 12% (4)	9% (2)
9		16% (47) 11% (33)	24% (6)	16% (12) 18% (13)	13% (7) 16% (9)	9% (4)	24% (4)	21% (4) 16% (3)	6% (2) 3% (1)	9% (2) 5% (1) 9% (2) 9% (2)
10 11		6% (18) 6% (17)	12% (3) 4% (1)	10% (7) 3% (2)	4% (2)	7% (3) 9% (4)	12% (2) 12% (2)		3% (1) 9% (3)	9% (2) 14% (3)
12 13		3% (10) 1% (2)	4% (1) -	3% (2) -	13% (7) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	5% (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
14 <b></b> 15 <b></b>		1% (2) 0% (1)		3% <u>(2)</u> -	-			-		- 5% (1)
16 17		1% (2) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	1% (1)	2% (1)		<u>-</u>			5% (1)
18	Average Assessment Score	7.23	7.28	7.41	7.67	6.96	6.76	6.95	6.41	7.95
Status/Condition	ns Followed (among			7.41	7.07	0.90	0.70	0.55	0.41	7.55
Clients counted in each ro	w below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
	ses CAN Assistance subject to due diligence policy	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
G Clients meet HIID defin	Chronic (Verified)	10	0	3	4	1	0	0	0	2
	Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H Clients that a	re confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded	 								
	or awarded a housing resource	88	13	17 	17	13	2	6	10	10
	ransitional Housing <a href="mailto:ransitional Housing">ransitional Housing</a>	32	0	7	1	1	0	0	20	3
	Time of Assessment under 25 at time of assessment	65	3	13	9	9	3	3	20	5
Inflow to Active	List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made a	active or added to the BNL in th Newly Added	53	7	7	11	5	E	7	7	4
	have never been active before	53	/	Ι	11		5			4
	curned from Inactive any reason who are now active	11	0	1	4	2	1	1	1	1
	Active List TOTAL	64	7	8	15	7	6	8	8	5
	tive List: Past 30 Da									
	ed to housing or marked as Inac Ised - Self-Resolved		the past 30 days							
	housing in past 30 days, self-	12	1	3	1	2	1	0	2	2
P. Cliente returned to how	Housed - PSH sing in past 30 days, with PSH	4	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Housed - RRH	8	0	4	0	1	 1	0	2	0
Clients returned to hous	sing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	using in past 30 days, all other		_		•			1		
	sed Outflow subtotal - Unable to Contact	26	1	9	3	3	3	7	4	2
T Clients made inactive in p	past 30 days, unable to contact	5	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0
	ve - In an Institution n past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inac	tive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	1								
W Office to make the office to	past 30 days, all other reasons		0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
	than Outflan and - 1-1			٠.	0	2	0	1	0	0
X Oi	ther Outflow subtotal  Active List TOTAL	6 <b>32</b>	1	12	3	5	3	2	4	2

ı	10/2/2016 FIT BNL Kepon							Contact bed	au.anderson@ct.go	
	All Individuals	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S All Inc	Statewide dividuals	8%	25%	22%	16%	5%	4%	7%	14%
В	Active on BNL	1,897	145	478	426	306	91	70	124	257
С	Median Days Active	139	161	148	125	139	109	76	59	183
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re			.=-					
	0	0% (3)	-	1% (3)	-	-	-			-
		3% (49) 5% (87)	2% (3) 3% (4)	4% (18) 6% (28)	3% (13) 6% (24)	2% (5) 3% (10)	2% (2) 7% (6)	- 7% (5)	1% (1) 1% (1)	3% (7) 4% (9)
	3	8% (156)	8% (11)	10% (47)	11% (46)	4% (13)	11% (10)	7% (5) 6% (4)	1% (1) 3% (4)	4% (9) 8% (21) 9% (22)
		10% (197) 12% (229)	8% (12) 13% (19)	13% (61) 11% (51)	11% (46) 15% (62)	7% (21) 10% (31)	10% (9) 20% (18)	16% (11) 13% (9)	12% (15) 10% (13)	9% (22) 10% (26)
	6	14% (260)	9% (13) 14% (20)	14% (69) 10% (46)	14% (58) 12% (49)	10% (31) 12% (38)	23% (21) 9% (8)	13% (9) 6% (4)	20% (25) 14% (17)	10% (26) 13% (34) 11% (27)
		11% (209) 11% (204)	14% (20) 12% (17)	10% (46) 10% (46)	12% (49) 10% (41)	12% (38) 8% (26)	9% (8) 7% (6)	6% (4) 16% (11)	14% (17) 15% (19)	11% (27) 15% (38)
	9	8% (153)	12% (17) 10% (14) 9% (13) 7% (10)	10% (46) 8% (36) 6% (28) 5% (25) 1% (4)	10% (41) 6% (24) 5% (20) 5% (22) 1% (6)	8% (26) 11% (34) 10% (30) 7% (22)	7% (6) 4% (4) 2% (2) 2% (2) 2% (2)	6% (4)	15% (19) 6% (7) 3% (4) 6% (7) 3% (4) 3% (4) 2% (2)	15% (38) 12% (30)
		6% (116) 5% (103)	9% (13) 7% (10)	6% (28) 5% (25)	5% (20) 5% (22)	10% (30) 7% (22)	2% (2) 2% (2)	3% (2) 4% (3)	3% (4) 6% (7)	7% (17) 5% (12)
	12	3% (48)	3% (5)	1% (4)	1% (6)	5% (16)	2% (2)	4% (3) 6% (4)	3% (4)	3% (7)
	13	3% (48) 1% (20)	1% (1) 1% (1)	2% (11) 0% (2)	2% (9) 1% (5)	5% (16) 2% (7) 2% (5)	<u>-</u>	4% (3) 1% (1)	3% (4) 2% (2)	2% (4) 1% (2)
	15	1% (13)	1% (1)	1% (3)	0% (1)	2% (5)	1% (1)		1% (1)	0% (1)
	16	0% (2)	1% (1) -	<u>-</u> -		0% <u>(1)</u> -	<u>-</u> -		-	-
إ	18	-		-		<u>-</u>				
E	Average Assessment Score	6.67	7.07	6.19	6.21	7.84	5.68	6.73	7.13	6.82
	Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	3	6	0	0	0	2	5
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	196	14	62	35	42	6	11	10	16
Н	Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	167	21	12	23	6	5	19	35	46
I	Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	295	41	73	57 	54	7	15 	25	23
J	Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing  Youth at Time of Assessment	106	6	54	7	13	4	0	19	3
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days	226	18	56	50	46	19	8	10	19
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
L	Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	190	15	56	51	25	10	5	20	8
М	Returned from Inactive Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	48	0	9	16	3	0	3	13	4
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	238	15	65	67	28	10	8	33	12
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the past 30 days	ì.						
0	Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	40	0	10	6	4	4	1	15	0
Ρ	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	38	0	17	7	10	1	1	2	0
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	17	0	3	5	11	1	0	7	0
R	Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0
S	Housed Outflow subtotal	100	0	30	18	16	6	4	26	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	35	2	22	4	0	4	0	2	1
U	Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	1	0	1	0	0	6	0
٧	Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	53	0	0	1	2	0	3	1	1
X	Other Outflow subtotal  Outflow from Active List TOTAL		2	24	5	3	4	3	10	2
Y	NET INFLOW	153 85	12	54	23	19 0	10	7	36 -3	10
Z	NEI INFLOW	δO	13	11	44	9	0	1	<i>-</i> 3	<b>10</b>

10/2/2018 FYI BNL Report							COIIIaci De	Contact beau.anderson@ct.go			
Families (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield		
Percentage of	Statewide										
Families (No		10%	26%	21%	16%	6%	7%	6%	7%		
Active on BNL	234	24	61	49	38	14	17	14	17		
Median Days Active	1	52	147	83	84	69	41	38	97		
Assessment Score Distribution (am			147	00	04	03	71	30	31		
Count of all active records having each assessment scor		ecorus									
0	-	-	-	-		-	-	-			
1	1% (2) 2% (4)	- -	3% (2)	2% (1)	<u>-</u>	7% (1) -	- 6% (1)	<u>-</u>	6% (1) -		
3	3% (7)	4% (1)	5% (3)	-	3% (1)	- 7% (1)	-	7% (1) 7% (1)			
5	6% (15) 15% (35)	13% (3) 25% (6)	7% (4) 18% (11)	8% (4) 16% (8)	8% (3) 18% (7)	14% (2)	18% (3) -	21% (3)	6% (1)		
6	13% (31)	25% (6)	10% (6)	6% (3)	18% (7) 13% (5) 16% (6)	14% (2) 7% (1) 7% (1)	18% (3)	14% (2)	29% (5)		
8	12% (29) 18% (42)	13% (3) 25% (6)	7% (4) 16% (10)	16% (8) 14% (7)	21% (8)	7% (1) 29% (4)	18% (3) 24% (4) 12% (2)	14% (2) 14% (2)	6% (1)		
9	11% (25)	- 13% (3)	16% (10) 16% (10) 10% (6)	16% (8)	21% (8) 8% (3) 5% (2)	- 14% (2)	12% (2)	14% (2) 7% (1)	12% (2) 6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1)		
10	6% (14) 6% (14)	4% (1)	2% (1)	4% (2)	8% (3)	14% (2)	<del>-</del>	14% (2)	18% (3)		
12	4% (9) 1% (2)	4% (1)	3% (2)	12% (6) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>		- 6% (1)				
14	0% (1)		2% (1)	- 2 /0 (1)		<u>-</u>					
15   16	0% (1) 1% (2)		2% (1)	- 2% (1)				<u>-</u>	6% (1)		
17	0% (1)	<del>-</del>							6% (1)		
Average Assessment Score	7.36	7.33	7.26	7.82	7.00	7.21	6.82	6.79	8.35		
Status/Conditions Followed (among			7.20				0.02	0.70	0.00		
Clients counted in each row below are currently active or			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.					
Refuses CAN Assistance	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U	 							
Chronic (Verified)	8	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	1		
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	ļ										
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Matched/Awarded		40	4.4	47							
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	77	12	14	17	11	2	5	9	7		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	12	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	3		
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	ļ	U	/	 							
Youth at Time of Assessment	8	2	1	3	1	0	1	0	0		
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment											
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in to	ne nast 30 days										
Newly Added	· · · · ·	_		4.4	_						
Clients who have never been active before	48	7	5	11	5	4	6	6	4		
Returned from Inactive	9	0	1	4	2	1	1	0	0		
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		-	•			<u>'</u>					
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	57	7	6	15	7	5	7	6	4		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da											
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Ina		the past 30 days	•								
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	9	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	2		
Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, serr- Housed - PSH	<del> </del>										
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0		
Housed - RRH	7	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	0		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	<b> </b>	U	4	U 	 	l 	U	l 	U		
Housed - All Other	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other		-									
Housed Outflow subtotal	21	1	8	2	3	2	7	2	2		
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	4	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - In an Institution	ļ										
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - Deceased	0	^	Λ	0	Λ	0	Λ	Λ	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	U	0	0	U	0	U	0	0	U		
Inactive - All Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons							'				
Other Outflow subtotal	5	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	0		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	26	1	10	2	5	2	2	2	2		
	31	6	-4	13	2	3	5	4	2		

1	10/2/2016 FTI BNL Repoli				0	Ourston		OUNIQUE DO	Waterbury/	
	Families (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
	Percentage of S	Statewide		040/					35%	
Α	Familie:	s (Youth)	2%	21%	11%	14%	5%	4%		9%
В	Active on BNL	57	1	12	6	8	3	2	20	5
С	Median Days Active	111	125	102	104	63	62	59	188	152
	Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re	ecords)							
D	Count of all active records having each assessment score									
	0 1	-			<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -		
	2	5% (3) 4% (2)		8% (1)		13% (1) 13% (1)	33% (1)		- 5% (1)	
	4	16% (9)	<del>-</del>		50% (3)	13% (1)	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	15% (3)	40% (2)
	5 6	12% (7) 16% (9)	100% (1)	8% (1) 8% (1)	- 17% (1)		- 67% (2)		30% (6) 15% (3)	20% (1)
	7	9% (5) 9% (5)		8% (1) 17% (2)		13% (1)		50% (1)	10% (2)	
	9	14% (8)		25% (3)	17% (1)	13% (1) 13% (1)		50% (1)	10% (2) 5% (1)	20% (1) 20% (1)
		7% (4) 5% (3)		8% (1) 8% (1)	<u>-</u>	13% (1) 13% (1)	<u>-</u>		5% (1) 5% (1)	20% (1)
	12	2% (1)			17% (1)					
	13 14	2% (1)	<del>-</del>	8% (1)			<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	
	15 16	- -	<del>-</del>			<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u>
	17	-								
Ε	Average Assessment Score	6.72	6.00	8.17	6.50	6.75	4.67	8.00	6.15	6.60
	Status/Conditions Followed (among									
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be counte	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	Chronic (Verified) Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
н	Known Unsheltered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
"	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered Matched/Awarded	11	1	3	0	2	0	 1	 1	3
I	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource Enrolled in Transitional Housing		· 					· 	· 	
J	Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	20	0	0	0	1 	0	0	19 	0
*K	Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date	11	1	1	0	4	1	1	2	1
	Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	1 20 . 1								
	Newly Added									
L	Clients who have never been active before	5	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0
	Returned from Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
M	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		-			-			- '	<u>'</u>
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	7	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	1
	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days							
	Housed - Self-Resolved				1	٥	1	0	1	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	1 	0
P	Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
r	Housed - RRH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	 1	0
Q	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Other								· 	
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	5	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0
Т	Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased									
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Χ	Other Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	6	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	0
Z	NET INFLOW	1	0	0	-1	0	0	1	0	1
										Page 8

10/2/2018 FIT BNL REPORT				Greater	Greater		Contact be	Contact beau.anderson@ct.go			
Individuals (Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Hartford	New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield		
Percentage of			26%	21%	20%				201		
	ls (Youth)	7%		2170	2070	8%	4%	4%	9%		
Active on BNI		14	53	43	41	16	8	9	18		
c Median Days Active	-	283	92	40	98	72	119	61	144		
Assessment Score Distribution (am  D Count of all active records having each assessment sco		ecords)									
O	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		
2	2% (4) 2% (4)	- -	4% (2) 4% (2)	2% (1) -	2% (1) 2% (1) 2% (1)	<u>-</u>		- 11% (1)			
3	7% (14) 9% (18)		11% (6) 9% (5)	5% (2) 2% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (1) 25% (4)	- 13% (1)	- 44% (4)	22% (4) 11% (2)		
5	12% (24) 14% (29)	7% (1) 7% (1)	9% (5) 17% (9)	2% (1) 26% (11) 16% (7)	5% (2)	25% (4) 6% (1) 31% (5)	13% (1) 13% (1)		17% (3) 6% (1) 6% (1)		
7	15% (31)	21% (3)	9% (5)	21% (9)	12% (5) 20% (8)	13% (2)		33% (3)	6% (1) 6% (1)		
9	10% (20) 12% (25)	7% (1) 36% (5)	9% (5) 19% (10)	14% (6) 7% (3)	7% (3)	6% (1) -	13% (1) 25% (2) 13% (1)		11% (2)		
10	4% (9) 5% (11)	14% (2) -	4% (2) 2% (1)	7% (3)	12% (5) 7% (3) 7% (3) 10% (4)	- 6% (1)	13% (1) -	11% (1) -	- 11% (2)		
13	4% (8) 2% (4)	7% (1)	2% (1)		12% (5) 2% (1) 2% (1)	6% (1) -	13% (1)	<u>-</u> -	6% (1) 6% (1)		
14	0% (1)				2% (1)						
16	-										
18	-	-	-				-				
Status/Conditions Followed (among		8.43 rds)	6.26	6.49	8.15	6.19	7.88	5.44	6.72		
Clients counted in each row below are currently active of	n the BNL, and clie		ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of cir	cumstances.					
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence polic.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Chronic (Verified	) 6	0	 1	0	2	0	3	0	0		
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessnes.  Known Unsheltered		3	 1	0	0	0	4	0	4		
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarde	<u> </u>		·					·			
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	32	4	4	8	7	0	4	1 	4		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	- /	1	9	0	8	1	0	1	1		
Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months  *K  Active clients who are 24.5 or older as of report date		2	5	4	0	0	0	3	3		
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in	J	l									
Newly Adder  Clients who have never been active before	4/	0	13	16	7	2	1	1	2		
Returned from Inactive  M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	1 .5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2		
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL		0	13	16	8	2	1	1	4		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 D	ays										
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as In		the past 30 days									
Housed - Self-Resolved  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self	9	0	3	2	3	0	0	1	0		
Housed - PSI	1 1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSI  Housed - RRI	1										
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - All Othe	<u> </u>	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	r 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Housed Outflow subtota	_	0	5	2	4	0	0	1	0		
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	1 1/	0	9	1	0	2	0	0	0		
Inactive - In an Institution U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1 ()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - Decease	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, decease Inactive - All Othe	d	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0		
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reason.  X Other Outflow subtota	S	0	9	2	1	2	2	0	0		
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL		0	<u>9</u> 14	4	5	2	2	1	<b>0</b>		
z NET INFLOW	_	0	<u>-14</u>	12	3	0	<u>-1</u>	0	4		
- 1127 1111 2011	1		•				•	•	Page 9		

	Contact beau, anderson@cr.gov with qu									
	Individuals (Non-Youth)	Statewide	Central	Fairfield	Greater Hartford	Greater New Haven	MMW	Northeast	Southeast	Waterbury/ Litchfield
Α	Percentage of S Individuals (No		8%	25%	23%	16%	4%	4%	7%	14%
В	Active on BNL	1,695	131	425	383	265	75	62	115	239
C	Median Days Active	147	153	154	148	155	124	76	55	190
-	Assessment Score Distribution (amo			101	1-10	100	127	10	- 00	100
	Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecorus)							
	0	0% (3)	-	1% (3)	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-
	1	3% (45)	2% (3)	4% (16) 6% (26)	3% (12)	2% (4) 3% (9)	3% (2)		1% (1)	3% (7)
	3	5% (83) 8% (142)	3% (4) 8% (11)	6% (26) 10% (41)	6% (24) 11% (44)	3% (9) 5% (12)	8% (6) 12% (9)	8% (5) 6% (4)	3% (4)	4% (9) 7% (17)
	4	11% (179)	9% (12)	13% (56)	12% (45)	8% (20)	7% (5)	16% (10)	10% (11)	8% (20)
	5 6	12% (205) 14% (231)	14% (18) 9% (12)	11% (46) 14% (60)	13% (51)	11% (29) 10% (26)	23% (17) 21% (16)	13% (8) 13% (8)	11% (13) 22% (25)	10% (23) 14% (33)
	7	11% (178)	9% (12) 13% (17)	10% (41)	12% (45) 13% (51) 13% (51) 10% (40)	10% (26) 11% (30)	8% (6)	13% (8) 6% (4)	22% (25) 12% (14)	14% (33) 11% (26)
	9	11% (184) 8% (128)	12% (16) 7% (9)	10% (41) 6% (26)	9% (35) 5% (21)	8% (21) 12% (31)	7% (5) 5% (4)	16% (10) 3% (2)	17% (19) 6% (7)	15% (37) 12% (28)
	10	6% (107)	8% (11) 8% (10)	10% (41) 6% (26) 6% (26) 6% (24)	9% (35) 5% (21) 5% (20) 5% (19) 2% (6) 2% (9) 1% (5)	10% (27)	7% (5) 5% (4) 3% (2)	2% (1)	17% (19) 6% (7) 3% (3)	7% (17)
	11	5% (92) 2% (40)	8% (10) 4% (5)	6% (24) 1% (4)	5% (19)	7% (18) 4% (11)	1% (1)	5% (3) 5% (3)	6% (7) 3% (4) 3% (4) 2% (2)	4% (10) 3% (6)
	13	3% (44)	-	2% (10)	2% (9)	6% (15)	1% (1) -	5% (3) 2% (1)	3% (4)	1% (3)
		1% (19)	1% (1)	2% (10) 0% (2)	1% (5)	6% (15) 2% (6) 2% (5)	- 407 (4)	2% (1)	2% (2)	1% (3) 1% (2)
	15 <b>-</b>	1% (13) 0% (2)	1% (1) 1% (1)	1% (3) -	<u>0% (1)</u> -	2% (5) 0% (1)	1% (1) -		1% (1) -	0% (1) -
	17	- '								
Е	Average Assessment Score	6.64	6.92	6.19	6.17	7.80	5.57	6.58	7.26	6.83
	Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.10	<u> </u>	7.00	0.01	0.00	7.20	0.00
	Clients counted in each row below are currently active on		•	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of circ	cumstances.			
F	Refuses CAN Assistance	17	1	2	6	0	^	0	2	E
F	Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	17	1	3	6	0	0	0	2	5
	Chronic (Verified)	190	14	61	35	40	6	8	10	16
G	Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	130	14	01	33	40	0	0	10	10
	Known Unsheltered	155	18	11	23	6	5	15	35	42
H	Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered			· ·						
	Matched/Awarded	263	37	69	49	47	7	11	24	19
' -	Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource									
	Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	85	5	45	7	5	3	0	18	2
١.	Youth at Time of Assessment									
K	Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	24	4	3	7	5	3	0	1	1
	nflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
	Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th	e past 30 days.								
F	Newly Added		45	40	٥٢	40		4	40	•
L	Clients who have never been active before	148	15	43	35	18	8	4	19	6
ľ	Returned from Inactive	45	0	9	16	2	0	3	13	2
М	Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		•							
N	Inflow to Active List TOTAL	193	15	52	51	20	8	7	32	8
(	Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da	ys								
(	Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days							
	Housed - Self-Resolved	31	0	7	4	1	4	1	14	0
0	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		ļ	'			7	'	17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Р	Housed - PSH	37	0	17	7	9	1	1	2	0
P	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH									
Q	Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	15	0	1	5	1	1	0	7	0
۷.	Clients returned to nousing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other	_		-						
R	Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0
s	Housed Outflow subtotal	88	0	25	16	12	6	4	25	0
-	Inactive - Unable to Contact									4
Т	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	23	2	13	3	0	2	0	2	1
ľ	Inactive - In an Institution	0	^	1	^	1	^	^	c.	^
U	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	1	0	1	0	0	6	0
ľ	Inactive - Deceased	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
٧	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	۷	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l 	·····	u			 	U
	Inactive - All Other	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
W	Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons									
X	Other Outflow subtotal	37	2	15	3	2	2	1	10	2
Υ	Outflow from Active List TOTAL	125	2	40	19	14	8	5	35	2
Z	NET INFLOW	68	13	12	32	6	0	2	-3	6
_								•		Page 10

10/2/2018 FYI BNL Report				A 11					gov with questions
Statewide BNL	All	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families		(Non Youth)
	Records	routii	88%	ramilles	87%	(NOTI-YOULTI)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	12%	00 /0	13%	0170	11%		9%	1170
	wide BNL						3%		
Active on BNL	2,188	259	1929	291	1897	234	57	202	1695
Median Days Active		92	138	84	139	82	111	82	147
Assessment Score Distribution (amo Count of all active records having each assessment score		ecords)							
O	0% (3)	-	0% (3)	-	0% (3)	-	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	0% (3)
2	2% (51) 4% (94)	2% (4) 3% (7)	0% (3) 2% (47) 5% (87)	1% (2) 2% (7)	0% (3) 3% (49) 5% (87)	1% (2) 2% (4)	- E0/ /2\	2% (4) 2% (4)	0% (3) 3% (45) 5% (83)
3	8% (165)	6% (16) 10% (27)	8% (149) 10% (194)	3% (9)	8% (156) 10% (197)	3% (7)	5% (3) 4% (2) 16% (9)	7% (14)	8% (142)
4 5	10% (221) 12% (271)	10% (27) 12% (31)	10% (194) 12% (240)	8% (24) 14% (42)	10% (197) 12% (229)	6% (15) 15% (35)	16% (9) 12% (7)	7% (14) 9% (18) 12% (24) 14% (29)	11% (179) 12% (205)
6	14% (300)	12% (31) 15% (38)	14% (262)	14% (40)	12% (229) 14% (260)	13% (31)	16% (9)	14% (29)	14% (231)
8	11% (243) 11% (251)	14% (36) 10% (25) 13% (33)	12% (226)	3% (9) 8% (24) 14% (42) 14% (40) 12% (34) 16% (47) 11% (33)	11% (209) 11% (204) 8% (153)	3% (7) 6% (15) 15% (35) 13% (31) 12% (29) 18% (42) 11% (25)	9% (5) 9% (5)	15% (31) 10% (20) 12% (25)	11% (178) 11% (184)
9	9% (186) 6% (134)	13% (33) 5% (13)	10% (194) 12% (240) 14% (262) 11% (207) 12% (226) 8% (153) 6% (121) 5% (106)	11% (33) 6% (18)	8% (153) 6% (116)	11% (25) 6% (14)	12% (7) 16% (9) 9% (5) 9% (5) 14% (8) 7% (4) 5% (3) 2% (1)	12% (25) 4% (9)	8% (128) 6% (107) 5% (92)
11	5% (120)	5% (14)	5% (106)	6% (18) 6% (17) 3% (10)	6% (116) 5% (103)	6% (14)	5% (3)	5% (11)	5% (92)
12	3% (58) 2% (50)	3% (9) 2% (4)	3% (49) 2% (46) 1% (20)	1% (2)	3% (48) 3% (48) 1% (20) 1% (13) 0% (2)	6% (14) 4% (9) 1% (2)		4% (8) 2% (4)	2% (40) 3% (44)
14	1% (22) 1% (14)	1% (2)	1% (20) 1% (14)	1% (2) 0% (1) 1% (2) 0% (1)	1% (20) 1% (13)	0% (1) 0% (1)	2% (1)	0% (1)	1% (19) 1% (13)
16	0% (4)	<del>-</del>	0% (4)	1% (2)	0% (2)	1% (2) 0% (1)	·		0% (2)
18	0% (1)	- -	0% (1) -	<u>0% (1)</u> -	<u>-</u> -	<u>0% (1)</u> -		<u>-</u> -	
Average Assessment Score	6.75	6.86	6.73	7.23	6.67	7.36	6.72	6.91	6.64
Status/Conditions Followed (among Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			tod in multiple rows	dononding on the	oir combination of a	piroumotonooo			
Refuses CAN Assistance		-							4-
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	18	0	18	1	17	1	0	0	17
Chronic (Verified)	206	8	198	10	196	8	2	6	190
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	<del> </del>								
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	167	12	155	0	167	0	0	12	155
Matched/Awarded	383	43	340	88	295	77	11	32	263
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	303	40	340		233	11	 	JZ 	203
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	138	41	97	32	106	12	20	21	85
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	291	259	32	65	226	8	57	202	24
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in th		T .		T .		I			
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	243	47	196	53	190	48	5	42	148
Returned from Inactive	59	E	 54	11	48	9	2	3	 45
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active		5		11					
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	302	52	250	64	238	57	7	45	193
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 20 days	•						
Housed - Self-Resolved	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	52	12	40	12	40	9	3	9	31
Housed - PSH	42	2	40	4	38	3	1	1	37
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	<del> </del>			<b></b>			·	·	
Housed - RRH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	25	3	22	8	17	7	1	2	15
Housed - All Other	7	0	 7	2	5	2	0	0	5
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other									
Housed Outflow subtotal	126	17	109	26	100	21	5	12	88
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	40	13	27	5	35	4	1	12	23
Inactive - In an Institution	0	^		^	o			^	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	8	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8 
Inactive - Deceased	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other	ļ								
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	9	4	5	1	8	1	0	4	4
	<i>F</i> 0	17	42	6	53	5	1	16	37
Other Outflow subtotal	59	17	42	U					
Other Outflow subtotal Outflow from Active List TOTAL NET INFLOW	1 <b>85</b>	34	151	32	153	26	6	28	125

10/2/2018 FYI BNL Report	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		gov with questions Individuals
Central CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Perce	entage of		91%		85%		,	,	77%
	tral CAN	9%		15%		14%	1%	8%	
Active on BNL	170	15	155	25	145	24	1	14	131
Median Days Active	141	264	141	54	161	52	125	283	153
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
Count of all active records having each assessment score	-	_		<u> </u>					
1	2% (3) 2% (4)		2% (3) 3% (4)		2% (3) 3% (4)				2% (3) 3% (4)
3	7% (12)		8% (12)	4% (1)	8% (11) 8% (12)	4% (1)		<u>-</u>	8% (11) 9% (12)
5	7% (12) 13% (22)	7% (1)	8% (12) 14% (21)	12% (3)	8% (12) 13% (19) 9% (13)	13% (3)		 7% (1)	14% (18)
6	12% (20) 14% (23)	13% (2) 20% (3)	12% (18) 13% (20)	12% (3) 28% (7) 12% (3)	9% (13) 14% (20)	13% (3) 25% (6) 13% (3) 25% (6)	100% (1)	7% (1) 21% (3)	9% (12)
-	14% (23)	20% (3) 7% (1)	14% (22)	24% (6)	14% (20) 12% (17) 10% (14)	25% (6)	-	7% (1) 21% (3) 7% (1) 36% (5)	13% (17) 12% (16) 7% (9)
9	8% (14) 9% (16)	33% (5) 13% (2)	14% (21) 12% (18) 13% (20) 14% (22) 6% (9) 9% (14) 7% (11)	12% (3)	10% (14) 9% (13)	13% (3) 4% (1)	-	36% (5) 14% (2)	7% (9) 8% (11) 8% (10)
11	6% (11) 4% (6)		7% (11) 4% (6)	4% (1) 4% (1)	9% (13) 7% (10) 3% (5) 1% (1)	4% (1) 4% (1)		<u>-</u>	8% (10) 4% (5)
13	1% (1)	7% (1)	1% (1)		1% (1) 1% (1)		-	7% (1)	
15	1% (1) 1% (1)		1% (1)		1% (1)			<u>-</u> 	1% (1) 1% (1) 1% (1)
16	1% (1) -		1% (1)		1% (1) -			<del>-</del>	<u>1% (1)</u> -
18 Average Assessment Score	7.10	- 8.27	6.99	- 7.28	7.07	7.33	6.00	8.43	6.92
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	7.25	7.07	1.00	0.00	0.10	0.02
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clie	nts may be coun	ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Chronic (Verified)	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	14
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  Known Unsheltered									
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	21	3	18	0	21	0	0	3	18 
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	54	5	49	13	41	12	1	4	37
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	6	1	5	0	6	0	0	1	5
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing		' 	J						
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	21	15	6	3	18	2	1	14	4
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.			T					
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	22	0	22	7	15	7	0	0	15
Returned from Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active									
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	22	0	22	7	15	7	0	0	15
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 day	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - RRH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other									
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Inactive - In an Institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution									
/ Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - All Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons  Other Outflow subtotal	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	3	0	3	1	2	1	<b>0</b>	<u> </u>	2
Z NET INFLOW	19	0	19	6	13	6	0	0	13
112.111.2011				. •		· •		•	Page 12

FairCald On at OAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	Individuals	Individuals
Fairfield County CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of	400/	88%	400/	87%				77%
A Fairfield Cou	•	12%		13%		11%	2%	10%	
Active on BNL	551	65	486	73	478	61	12	53	425
Median Days Active	147	92	154	145	148	147	102	92	154
Assessment Score Distribution (amo D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		ecoras)							
	1% (3) 3% (18)	- 3% (2)	1% (3) 3% (16)		1% (3) 4% (18)			- 4% (2)	1% (3) 4% (16) 6% (26)
2	6% (31) 9% (50)	5% (3) 9% (6)	1% (3) 3% (16) 6% (28) 9% (44)	4% (3) 4% (3)	1% (3) 4% (18) 6% (28) 10% (47)	3% (2) 5% (3) 7% (4)	8% (1)	4% (2) 11% (6)	6% (26) 10% (41)
4	12% (65) 11% (63)	8% (5) 9% (6)	12% (60) 12% (57)	5% (4) 16% (12)	13% (61) 11% (51)	7% (4)		9% (5) 9% (5)	13% (56) 11% (46)
6	14% (76)	15% (10)	14% (66)	10% (12) 10% (7) 7% (5)	14% (69) 10% (46)	10% (6)	8% (1)	17% (9)	14% (60) 10% (41)
8	9% (51) 11% (58)	9% (6) 11% (7) 20% (13)	10% (51)	16% (12)	10% (46)	16% (10)	17% (2)	17% (9) 9% (5) 9% (5) 19% (10)	10% (41) 10% (41) 6% (26)
10	9% (49) 6% (35)	5% (3)	7% (36) 7% (32)	10% (13)	8% (36) 6% (28)	10% (10)	8% (1) 8% (1) 8% (1) 17% (2) 25% (3) 8% (1)	4% (2)	6% (26) 6% (26)
12	5% (27) 1% (6)	3% (2) -	12% (97) 14% (66) 9% (45) 10% (51) 7% (36) 7% (32) 5% (25) 1% (6)	16% (12) 18% (13) 10% (7) 3% (2) 3% (2)	10% (46) 8% (36) 6% (28) 5% (25) 1% (4)	18% (11) 10% (6) 7% (4) 16% (10) 16% (10) 10% (6) 2% (1) 3% (2)	8% (1) -	2% (1)	6% (26) 6% (24) 1% (4)
14	2% (11) 1% (4)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (10) 1% (3)	3% (2)	2% (11) 0% (2)	- 2% (1)	8% (1)	2% (1) -	2% (10) 0% (2)
16	1% (3) 0% (1)	<u>-</u>	1% (3) 0% (1)	1% (1)	1% (3) -	2% (1)			1% (3) -
17	-			<u>-</u>			<u>-</u>		
Status/Conditions Followed (among a	6.36	6.62 rds)	6.32	7.41	6.19	7.26	8.17	6.26	6.19
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the			ed in multiple rows	depending on the	ir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	3	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
Chronic (Verified)  G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	65	1	64	3	62	3	0	1	61
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	12	1	11	0	12	0	0	1	11
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	90	7	83	17	73	14	3	4	69
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	61	9	52	7	54	7	0	9	45
Youth at Time of Assessment  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	69	65	4	13	56	1	12	53	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the Newly Added		45	40	7	F.C.	_		40	40
Clients who have never been active before	63	15	48	7	56	5	2	13	43
M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	10	0	10	1	9	1	0	0	9
N Inflow to Active List TOTAL	73	15	58	8	65	6	2	13	52
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day		the post 20 d							
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inact  Housed - Self-Resolved		, ,		2	40	2	^	2	7
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	13	3	10	3	10	3	0	3	7
Housed - PSH P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	19	1	18	2	17	1	1	0	17
Housed - RRH  Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	7	2	5	4	3	4	0	2	1
Housed - All Other  R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s Housed Outflow subtotal	39	6	33	9	30	8	1	5	25
Inactive - Unable to Contact  Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	25	10	15	3	22	2	1	9	13
Inactive - In an Institution  U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - Deceased  V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Inactive - All Other  W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x Other Outflow subtotal	27	10	17	3	24	2	1	9	15
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	66	16	50	12	54	10	2	14	40
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	7	-1	8	-4	11	-4	0	-1	<b>12</b> Page 13

Percentage of Greater Hartford CAN   19%   19%   11%   19%	10/2/2018 FYI BNL Report									gov with questions
Percentage of Greater Hartford CAM	Greater Hartford CAN	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families		
Section   Continue			Youth		Families		(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	,
Active on BM   481   49   432   55   426   49   6   43   383		•		90%		89%				80%
Median Days Active	Greater Hartt	ford CAN	10%		11%		10%	1%	9%	
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)	Active on BNL	481	49	432	55	426	49	6	43	383
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)  Coard of a Number Process (among active assessment score)	Median Days Active	113	43	136	87	125	83	104	40	148
Status  Conditions Follows (Among such assessment Score)   Status  Conditions Follows (Among such		ng active r								
15	Count of all active records having each assessment score.		,							
15	0	- 3% (13)	- 2% (1)	- 3% (12)		- 3% (13)	-		- 2% (1)	- 3% (12)
15	2	5% (25)	_	6% (25)	2% (1)	6% (24)	2% (1)			6% (24)
19. 40   19. 20   1			4% (2)	10% (44)	- 120/. (7\	11% (46)		- 50% (3)	5% (2)	11% (44)
The content of the			22% (11)	14% (59)	15% (7)	15% (62)	16% (8)	-	26% (11)	13% (51)
Part   10			16% (8)	13% (54)	7% (4)	14% (58)	6% (3)	17% (1)	16% (7)	13% (51)
Part   10			12% (9)	10% (42)	13% (6)	12% (49)	14% (7)	<u>-</u>	21% (9) 14% (6)	9% (35)
Part   10	9	7% (33)	8% (4)	7% (29)	16% (9)	6% (24)	16% (8)	17% (1)		5% (21)
Part   10			6% (3)	5% (20) 5% (21)	4% (2)	5% (20) 5% (22)	4% (2)		7% (3)	5% (20) 5% (19)
15   15   15   15   15   15   15   15	12	3% (13)	2% (1)	3% (12)	13% (7)	1% (6)	12% (6)	17% (1)		2% (6)
Section   Control of			-	2% (10) 1% (5)	<u> 2% (1)</u> -	2% (9) 1% (5)	2% (1) -			2% (9) 1% (5)
17	15	0% (1)	-	0% (1)		0% (1)				0% (1)
18		U% (1) -	<del>-</del>	<u>U% (1)</u> -	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>				
Clastic Scalar Scalar   Section	18	- 07	- 0.40	-	-	-	-	- 0.50		- 0.47
Clients counted in early row below are currently active on the BML. and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their committeness.				6.36	7.67	6.21	7.82	6.50	6.49	6.17
Refuses CAN Assistance   Clients cauded from an adject to the disprace pulsy   Chronic (Verified)   39   1   38   4   35   3   1   0   35	, , , , ,		,	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	ircumstances.			
Clients made HUD definition of Chronic (Verified)   39	-		-					0	^	
Clients met HUD definition of Chronic Fromelessness   33		1	0	1	1	6	1	0	0	6
Clients inactive from chrome chromes   23	Chronic (Verified)	30	1	38	/	35	3	1	Λ	35
Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to or avanidate al reasonable of the Clients matched to the Clients with the past 30 days.    Clients who have never been active or addied to the BNL in the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active to addied to the BNL in the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active to addied to the BNL in the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active before the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active to active the past 30 days.   Clients who have never been active to active the past 30 days.   Clients who have never the past 30 days.   Clients who have ne			' 					l 		
The company of the analysis and experiment to be unselled and Matched/Awarded   Total matched for awarded a lousing resource		23	0	23	0	23	0	0	0	23
Clients matched to or awarded a housing assumptor   14										
Enrolled in Transitional Housing Active Liest shall be a part of the past 30 days.		74	8	66	17	57	17	0	8	49
Youth at Time of Assessment   Sp   49   10   9   50   3   6   43   7										
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days   Part		8	0	8	1	1	1	0	0	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days   Clients who have never before address before returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PRH   Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   Housed - RRH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   To   To   To   To   To   To   To   T	Youth at Time of Assessment	50	40	10	۵	50	3	6	/13	7
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.   Section		J9	43	10	3	30	J	0	40	
Newly Added   Clients who have never been active before   Clients who have never been active before   Clients inactive for military   20   0   20   4   16   4   0   0   16   35										
Clients who have never been active before   Q2		e past 30 days.	ı							
Returned from Inactive Cients who have never been active before Returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH Housed - RIH Housed - All Other Cients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Cients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other Cients made inactive - In an Institution Cients made inactive - In past 30 days, wable to contact Cients made inactive - In past 30 days, wable to contact Cients made inactive - In past 30 days, selected in past 30 days, all other Cients made inactive in past	_	62	16	46	11	51	11	0	16	35
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active   10										
Inflow to Active List TOTAL   82   16   66   15   67   15   0   16   51		20	0	20	4	16	4	0	0	16
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days	Chante made to for any readon who are now active	82	16	66	15	67	15	0	16	51
New Part   Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.   Part						V,	7.0		.,	<u> </u>
Housed - Self-Resolved   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self.   Fig.   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   SH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   SH   SH   Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   SH   SH   SH   SH   SH   SH   SH			the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - PSH	Housed - Self-Resolved	7	2	1	1	G	n	1	2	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH		1	ა	4	 	U	U	l 	۷	4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH   Housed - RRH   S		8	0	8	1	7	1	0	0	7
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH   1			ļ		ļ		· 	·	<del></del>	
Housed - All Other   1		5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other   1										
Housed Outflow subtotal   21   3   18   3   18   2   1   2   16		1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons Other Outflow subtotal 5 2 3 0 5 0 0 2 3 Outflow from Active List TOTAL 26 5 21 3 23 2 1 4 19 NET INFLOW 56 11 45 12 44 13 -1 12 32		21	3	18	3	18	2	1	2	16
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact         4         1         3         0         4         0         0         1         3           Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution         0         1         0         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td></td>								0	4	
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution         0		4	1	ა 	U	4	U	U	1	ა
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	n
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased			ļ							
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons         1         0         1         0         0         1         0           Other Outflow subtotal         5         2         3         0         5         0         0         2         3           Outflow from Active List TOTAL         26         5         21         3         23         2         1         4         19           NET INFLOW         56         11         45         12         44         13         -1         12         32										
Other Outflow subtotal         5         2         3         0         5         0         0         2         3           Outflow from Active List TOTAL         26         5         21         3         23         2         1         4         19           NET INFLOW         56         11         45         12         44         13         -1         12         32		1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Outflow from Active List TOTAL         26         5         21         3         23         2         1         4         19           NET INFLOW         56         11         45         12         44         13         -1         12         32		5	2	.3	0	5	n	0	2	.3
NET INFLOW 56 11 45 12 44 13 -1 12 32								•		
								•		
n	NET INTEON	JU	- ''	40	12	77	13	-,	14	Page 14

10/2/2018 FYI BNL Report						Contact beau.anderson@ct.gov with question  Families Families Individuals Individuals					
<b>Greater New Haven CAN</b>	All Records	All	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non Youth)	Families				
		Youth	86%	ramilles	87%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)		
	entage of	14%	0070	13%	01 /0	11%	2%	12%	1370		
Greater New Ha			200	40	200				005		
Active on BNL	352	49	303	46	306	38	8	41	265		
Median Days Active	133	97	141	81	139	84	63	98	155		
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecoras)									
0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			
	1% (5) 3% (11)	2% (1) 4% (2)	1% (4) 3% (9)	2% (1)	2% (5) 3% (10)		- 13% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1)	2% (4) 3% (9)		
3	4% (15)	4% (2) 4% (2)	4% (13) 8% (23)	4% (2) 9% (4)	4% (13) 7% (21)	3% (1) 8% (3)	13% (1) 13% (1)	2% (1)	5% (12) 8% (20)		
5	7% (25) 11% (38)	4% (2)	12% (36) 10% (31)	15% (7)	10% (31)	18% (7)	- 13/0 (1)	5% (2)	11% (29) 10% (26)		
	10% (36) 13% (45)	10% (5) 18% (9)	10% (31) 12% (36)	11% (5) 15% (7)	10% (31) 12% (38)	13% (5) 16% (6)	- 13% (1)	12% (5) 20% (8)	10% (26) 11% (30)		
	10% (35) 11% (38)	18% (9) 12% (6) 8% (4)	12% (36) 10% (29) 11% (34)	15% (7) 20% (9) 9% (4)	12% (38) 8% (26) 11% (34)	18% (7) 13% (5) 16% (6) 21% (8) 8% (3) 5% (2)	13% (1) 13% (1) 13% (1)	2% (1) 2% (1) 5% (2) 12% (5) 20% (8) 12% (5) 7% (3)	11% (30) 8% (21) 12% (31) 10% (27) 7% (18)		
10	9% (33)	8% (4)	10% (29) 7% (21)	/% (3)	10% (30)	5% (2)	13% (1)	170 (3)	10% (27)		
12	7% (26) 5% (16)	10% (5) 10% (5)	7% (21) 4% (11) 5% (15)	9% (4) -	7% (22) 5% (16)	8% (3) -	13% (1) -	10% (4) 12% (5) 2% (1)	7% (18) 4% (11)		
13	5% (16) 2% (7)	2% (1) 2% (1)	5% (15) 2% (6)		5% (16) 2% (7)	-	-	2% (1) 2% (1)	4% (11) 6% (15) 2% (6)		
15	1% (5)		2% (6) 2% (5)		5% (16) 5% (16) 5% (7) 2% (7) 2% (5) 0% (1)				2% (6) 2% (5) 0% (1)		
17	0% (1) -	<del>-</del>	0% (1)		U% (1) -		- -		U% (1) 		
18 Average Assessment Score	7.73	7.92	7.70	6.96	7.84	7.00	6.75	- 8.15	7.80		
Status/Conditions Followed (among				0.00	7.01	1.00	<u> </u>	0.10	7.00		
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.					
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Chronic (Verified)  Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	43	2	41	1	42	1	0	2	40		
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6		
Matched/Awarded Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	67	9	58	13	54	11	2	7	47		
Enrolled in Transitional Housing  Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing	14	9	5	1	13	0	1	8	5		
Youth at Time of Assessment  Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	55	49	6	9	46	1	8	41	5		
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days											
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.										
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	30	7	23	5	25	5	0	7	18		
Returned from Inactive  Clients inactive for any reason who are now active	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2		
Inflow to Active List TOTAL	35	8	27	7	28	7	0	8	20		
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Day	ys										
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	tive on the BNL in	the past 30 days	S.	ı		ı					
Housed - Self-Resolved Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	6	3	3	2	4	2	0	3	1		
Housed - PSH	10	1	9	0	10	0	0	1	9		
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH  Housed - RRH		 		U	10	U	<u> </u>	l 			
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	2	0	2	1 	1 	1	0	0	1 		
Housed - All Other  Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Housed Outflow subtotal	19	4	15	3	16	3	0	4	12		
Inactive - Unable to Contact Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0		
Inactive - In an Institution Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Inactive - Deceased Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1		
Other Outflow subtotal	5	1	4	2	3	2	0	1	2		
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	24	5	19	5	19	5	0	5	14		
NET INFLOW	11	3	8	2	9	2	0	3	6		

MMW CAN	All	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All	All Individuals	Families	Families		Individuals
	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	84%	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth)
	entage of MW CAN	18%	<b>02</b> /0	16%	0470	13%	3%	15%	0370
Active on BNL	108	19	89	17	91	14	3	16	75
c Median Days Active	97	68	100	62	109	69	62	72	124
Assessment Score Distribution (amo		ecords)							
1	3% (3)		3% (3)	- 6% (1)	2% (2)	- 7% (1)			3% (2)
3	6% (7) 10% (11)	5% (1) 5% (1)	7% (6) 11% (10)	6% (1) 6% (1) 6% (1)	7% (6) 11% (10)	- 7% (1)	33% (1)	 6% (1)	3% (2) 8% (6) 12% (9) 7% (5)
4	8% (9) 19% (20)	21% (4)	<u>6% (5)</u> 21% (19)	- 12% (2)	11% (10) 10% (9) 20% (18)			6% (1) 25% (4) 6% (1)	7% (5) 23% (17)
6	22% (24)	5% (1) 37% (7)	19% (17)	18% (3)	23% (21)	14% (2) 7% (1)	67% (2)	31% (5)	23% (17) 21% (16)
8	8% (9) 9% (10)	11% (2) 5% (1)	8% (7) 10% (9)	6% (1) 24% (4)	9% (8) 7% (6)	7% (1) 29% (4)	<u>-</u>	13% (2) 6% (1)	8% (6) 7% (5)
10	4% (4) 4% (4)	-	4% (4) 4% (4) 3% (3) 1% (1)	- 12% (2)	4% (4) 2% (2)	- 14% (2)			8% (6) 7% (5) 5% (4) 3% (2) 1% (1)
11 12	4% (4) 2% (2)	5% (1) 5% (1)	3% (3)	12% (2)	2% (2) 2% (2)	14% (2)		6% (1) 6% (1)	1% (1) 1% (1)
13	- (Z)		- 170 (1)	<del>-</del>	-		<del>-</del>		
14 15	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)				- 1% (1)
16	-		<del>-</del>	-	- -			-	
18 Average Assessment Score	5.85	5.95	5.83	6.76	5.68	7.21	4.67	6.19	5.57
Status/Conditions Followed (among			0.00	0.70	0.00	1.41	4.07	0.13	0.01
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on			ted in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy Chronic (Verified)									
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Known Unsheltered	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5
H Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered  Matched/Awarded									
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	9	0	9	2	7	2	0	0	7
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	4	1	3	0	4	0	0	1	3
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment									
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	22	19	3	3	19	0	3	16	3
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the	e past 30 days.								
Newly Added  Clients who have never been active before	15	3	12	5	10	4	1	2	8
Returned from Inactive	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	16	3	13	6	10	5	1	2	8
Outflow from Active List 101AL		J	13	U	10	<u> </u>	1		0
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac		the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	5	1	4	1	4	0	1	0	4
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self- Housed - PSH		·							
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Housed - RRH	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH  Housed - All Other					·				· 
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed Outflow subtotal	9	1	8	3	6	2	1	0	6
Inactive - Unable to Contact	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2
T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Deceased	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased Inactive - All Other									
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Outflow subtotal	4	2	2	0	4	0	0	2	2
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	13	3	10	3	10	2	1	2	8
z <b>NET INFLOW</b>	3	0	3	3	0	3	0	0	<b>0</b> Page 16

10/2/2016 FTT BNL Report		AH	AII	AH	AII	AH	E 10		eau.anderson@ct.	· ·
Northeast CAN		All Records	All Youth	All Non-Youth	All Families	All Individuals	Families (Non-Youth)	Families (Youth)	Individuals (Youth)	Individuals (Non-Youth)
	Perce	entage of		89%		79%				70%
A		east CAN	11%		21%		19%	2%	9%	
Active o	n BNL	89	10	79	19	70	17	2	8	62
c Median Days	Active	69	91	68	41	76	41	59	119	76
Assessment Score Distributio  D Count of all active records having each assessr	n (amo		ecords)							
0		-	-	-		-	-		-	-
2		- 7% (6)	-	8% (6)	5% (1)	7% (5) 6% (4)	- 6% (1)		-	8% (5) 6% (4)
3		4% (4) 16% (14)	- 10% (1)	5% (4) 16% (13)	- 16% (3)	6% (4) 16% (11)	- 18% (3)	<del>-</del>	- 13% (1)	6% (4) 16% (10)
5		10% (9)	10% (1)	10% (8)		13% (9)			13% (1)	16% (10) 13% (8)
7		13% (12) 9% (8)	10% (1) 10% (1)	14% (11) 9% (7)	16% (3) 21% (4)	13% (9) 6% (4)	18% (3) 18% (3)	50% (1)	13% (1) -	13% (8) 6% (4)
8		17% (15)	10% (1) 30% (3)	18% (14) 5% (4)	21% (4) 16% (3)	16% (11)	18% (3) 24% (4) 12% (2)	-	13% (1) 25% (2) 13% (1)	0 % (47) 16% (10) 3% (2) 2% (1) 5% (3) 5% (3) 5% (3) 2% (1)
10		8% (7) 2% (2)	10% (3)	1% (1) 4% (3)	10% (3)	6% (4) 3% (2)	12% (2)	50% (1) -	13% (1)	3% (2) 2% (1)
11 12		3% (3) 4% (4)	- 10% (1)	4% (3) 4% (3)		4% (3) 6% (4)			- 13% (1)	5% (3)
13		4% (4)	-	5% (4) 1% (1)	5% (1)	4% (3) 1% (1)	6% (1)	<u>-</u>	- 1370 (1)	5% (3)
14 <b></b> 15		1% (1) -	<u>-</u> -	1% (1) -	<u>-</u>	1% (1) -		<u>-</u> -	<u></u>	2% (1) -
16		-	-			-				
17 18		- 	<u> </u>		<u>-</u> _	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
E Average Assessme		6.78	7.90	6.63	6.95	6.73	6.82	8.00	7.88	6.58
Status/Conditions Followed (a Clients counted in each row below are currently				ed in multiple rows	depending on the	oir combination of a	rircumstances			
Refuses CAN Assis								-	_	_
F Clients counted here are subject to due diligen		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ćhronic (Ve		11	3	8	0	11	0	0	3	8
G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Home			J			11	U		J	
Known Unshe		19	4	15	0	19	0	0	4	15
H Clients that are confirmed to be un										
Clients matched to or awarded a housing		21	5	16	6	15	5	1	4	11
Enrolled in Transitional Ho		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional		0				<u> </u>				
Youth at Time of Asses  K Active clients who were under 25 at time of ass		11	10	1	3	8	1	2	8	0
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 E										
Clients below were made active or added to the		e past 30 days.								
Newly A		12	2	10	7	5	6	1	1	4
Clients who have never been acti	ive before	۱۷	۷	ΙU		ე	υ	l	l	4
Returned from In		4	0	4	1	3	1	0	0	3
M Clients inactive for any reason who are no notice List T		16	2	14	8	8	7	1	1	7
				14	U	U		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>
Outflow from Active List: Past Clients below were returned to housing or mark			the past 30 days	3.						
Housed - Self-Res		1			Λ	1	n	n	0	1
O Clients returned to housing in past 30 d	lays, self-	l 	0	1	0	1 	0	0	U	1 
Housed		1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days,  Housed										
Q Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, to		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Housed - All		3	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	2
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days					·		-			
s Housed Outflow su		5	0	5	1	4	1	0	0	4
Inactive - Unable to C		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - In an Inst		^	^			^		^	^	^
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an i		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inactive - Dec		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V Clients made inactive in past 30 days, o										
W Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other		4	2	2	1	3	1	0	2	1
X Other Outflow St.		4	2	2	1	3	1	0	2	1
Y Outflow from Active List T		9	2	7	2	<u></u>	2	0	2	5
z NET INI		7	0	7	6	1	5	1	<u>-1</u>	2
- IALI IIVI		1	v	ı	U	<u> </u>		<u>'</u>	-,	<b>2</b> Page 17

10/2/2018 FYI BNL REPORT	All	All	All	All	All	Families	Families	eau.anderson@ct.	Individuals
Southeast CAN	Records	Youth	Non-Youth	Families	Individuals	(Non-Youth)	(Youth)	(Youth)	(Non-Youth
Perc	entage of		82%		78%	(	( 222 )	( 222 )	73%
	east CAN	18%		22%		9%	13%	6%	
Active on BNL	158	29	129	34	124	14	20	9	115
Median Days Active	61	115	48	87	59	38	188	61	55
Assessment Score Distribution (amo	ng active re								
Count of all active records having each assessment score		,				1			
0 1	- 1% (1)		- 1% (1)	- -	- 1% (1)				- 1% (1)
3	1% (1) 4% (6)	3% (1) 3% (1)	- 4% (5)	- 6% (2)	1% (1) 3% (4)	- 7% (1)	- 5% (1)	11% (1) -	3% (4)
4	12% (19)	24% (7)	4% (5) 9% (12)	6% (2) 12% (4)	12% (15)	7% (1) 7% (1)	5% (1) 15% (3)	44% (4)	3% (4) 10% (11)
6	14% (22) 19% (30)	21% (6) 10% (3)	12% (16) 21% (27)	26% (9) 15% (5) 12% (4) 12% (4) 6% (2) 3% (1)	10% (13) 20% (25)	21% (3) 14% (2)	30% (6) 15% (3)	<u>-</u>	11% (13) 22% (25)
7	13% (21)	17% (5) 7% (2)	12% (16) 16% (21) 6% (8) 2% (3)	12% (4)	14% (17)	14% (2) 14% (2) 7% (1)	10% (2)	33% (3)	12% (14)
8	15% (23) 6% (9)	7% (2) 3% (1)	16% (21) 6% (8)	12% (4) 6% (2)	14% (17) 15% (19) 6% (7)	14% (2) 7% (1)	10% (2) 5% (1) 5% (1)		17% (19) 6% (7)
10	3% (5)	7% (2)	2% (3)	3% (1)	3% (4)	-	5% (1)	11% (1)	3% (3)
11	6% (10) 3% (4)	3% (1)	7% (9) 3% (4)	9% (3)	6% (7) 3% (4)	14% (2)	5% (1)		17% (19) 6% (7) 3% (3) 6% (7) 3% (4)
13	3% (4)		3% (4)		3% (4)				3% (4) 2% (2)
14 15	1% (2)		2% (2)		2% (2) 1% (1)				2% (2)
16	1% (1) -	<u>-</u>	1% (1) -	<del>-</del>	- 170 (1)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	1% (1) -
17	-			-	-				
18 Average Assessment Score	6.97	5.93	7.21	6.41	7.13	6.79	6.15	5.44	7.26
Status/Conditions Followed (among	active reco	rds)				•			
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on	the BNL, and clier	nts may be count	ed in multiple rows	depending on the	eir combination of c	circumstances.			
Refuses CAN Assistance Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Chronic (Verified)	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness	10		10		10				10
Known Unsheltered Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	35	0	35	0	35	0	0	0	35
Matched/Awarded	35	2	33	10	0E	9	1	 1	24
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource	აა	۷	აა	10	25	9	l 	 	24
Enrolled in Transitional Housing	39	20	19	20	19	1	19	1	18
Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing Youth at Time of Assessment		00			40				
Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment	30	29	1	20	10	0	20	9	1
Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days									
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the									
Newly Added Clients who have never been active before	27	2	25	7	20	6	1	1	19
Returned from Inactive	14	1	13	1	13	0	1	0	13
Clients inactive for any reason who are now active  Inflow to Active List TOTAL	41	3	38	8	33	6	2	1	32
		<u> </u>	30	0	<u> </u>	U			32
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Da Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inac	•	the past 30 days	S.						
Housed - Self-Resolved	17	2	15	2	15	1	1	1	14
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-		<u> </u>		<u></u>		' 	ı 	l 	
Housed - PSH Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed - RRH	9	1	8	2	7	1	1	0	7
Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with RRH	J	' 	·	<u> </u>	ı		l 		
Housed - All Other Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Housed Outflow subtotal	30	3	27	4	26	2	2	1	25
Inactive - Unable to Contact	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact Inactive - In an Institution									
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	6	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	6
Inactive - Deceased	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased	ļ	ļ	·						
Inactive - All Other Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Other Outflow subtotal	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	10
Outflow from Active List TOTAL	40	3	37	4	36	2	2	1	35
NET INFLOW	1	0	1	4	-3	4	0	0	-3

NACE TO LOCAL CARL AND	nilies Individuals	Individuals
Waterbury Litchtield ('AN	outh) (Youth)	(Non-Youth)
Percentage of 92% 92%		86%
	2% 6%	
B Active on BNL 279 23 256 22 257 17	5 18	239
	152 144	190
Assessment Score Distribution (among active records)		
D Count of all active records having each assessment score.		
3% (8) - 3% (8) 5% (1) 3% (7) 6% (1) 2 3% (9) - 4% (9) - 4% (9) -	<u> </u>	3% (7)
2 3% (9) - 4% (9) - 4% (9) - 3 8% (21) 17% (4) 7% (17) - 8% (21) -	22% (4)	3% (7) 4% (9) 7% (17)
<b>4</b> 9% (24) 17% (4) 8% (20) 9% (2) 9% (22) - 40	% (2) 11% (2) - 17% (3)	8% (20)
5     10% (27)     13% (3)     9% (24)     5% (1)     10% (26)     6% (1)       6     14% (40)     9% (2)     15% (38)     27% (6)     13% (34)     29% (5)     20       7     10% (29)     4% (1)     11% (28)     9% (2)     11% (27)     12% (2)	% (1) 6% (1)	8% (20) 10% (23) 14% (33) 11% (26)
7 10% (29) 4% (1) 11% (28) 9% (2) 11% (27) 12% (2) 8 14% (39) 4% (1) 15% (38) 5% (1) 15% (38) 6% (1)	- 6% (1) - 6% (1)	11% (26) 15% (37)
6	% (1) 11% (2) % (1) -	12% (28) 7% (17)
11 11 5% (15) 9% (2) 5% (13) 1 14% (3) 5% (12) 1 18% (3)	- 11% (2)	11% (20) 15% (37) 12% (28) 7% (17) 4% (10) 3% (6) 1% (3) 1% (2)
12 3% (7) 4% (1) 2% (6) - 3% (7) - 13 1% (4) 4% (1) 1% (3) - 2% (4) -	- 6% (1) - 6% (1)	3% (6) 1% (3)
13		1% (2) 0% (1)
16		
17 0% (1) - 0% (1) - 6% (1) - 6% (1) - 18		
E Average Assessment Score 6.91 6.70 6.93 7.95 6.82 8.35 6 Status/Conditions Followed (among active records)	6.60 6.72	6.83
Clients counted in each row below are currently active on the BNL, and clients may be counted in multiple rows depending on their combination of circumstances.		
Refuses CAN Assistance 5 0 5 0	0 0	5
Clients counted here are subject to due diligence policy		J
Chronic (Verified) G Clients meet HUD definition of Chronic Homelessness  18 1 17 2 16 1	1 0	16
Known Unabeltored	0 4	42
Clients that are confirmed to be unsheltered	0 4	42
Matched/Awarded 33 7 26 10 23 7	3 4	19
Clients matched to or awarded a housing resource  Enrolled in Transitional Housing  6 1 5 3 3 3		
J Active clients who are enrolled in Transitional Housing 0 1 3 3 3 3	0 1	2
Youth at Time of Assessment 24 23 1 5 19 0	5 18	1
K Active clients who were under 25 at time of assessment Inflow to Active List: Past 30 Days		
Clients below were made active or added to the BNL in the past 30 days.		
Newly Added 12 2 10 4 8 4	0 2	6
Clients who have never been active before		
Returned from Inactive M Clients inactive for any reason who are now active 5 3 2 1 4 0	1 2	2
	1 4	8
Outflow from Active List: Past 30 Days		
Clients below were returned to housing or marked as Inactive on the BNL in the past 30 days.		
Housed - Self-Resolved O Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, self-	0 0	0
Housed DSH	0 0	^
P Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, with PSH U U U U U U	0 0	0
Housed - RRH Q Clients returned to bousing in past 30 days with RRH	0 0	0
Housed All Other		^
R Clients returned to housing in past 30 days, all other	0 0	0
	0 0	0
Inactive - Unable to Contact T Clients made inactive in past 30 days, unable to contact 1 0 1 0 0	0 0	1
Inactive In an Institution		^
U Clients made inactive in past 30 days, in an institution	0 0	0
Inactive - Deceased 0 0 0 0	0 0	0
Clients made inactive in past 30 days, deceased		
N Clients made inactive in past 30 days, all other reasons 0 1 0 1 0	0 0	1
	0 0	2
Y Outflow from Active List TOTAL 4 0 4 2 2 2	0 0	2
z NET INFLOW 13 5 8 3 10 2	1 4	<b>6</b> Page 19

### SUBPOPULATION AND ASSESSMENT SCORE DATA

Row A - Percentage of Statewide [Subpopulation]: This shows the proportion of that subpopulation in each CAN. For instance, this week there are 54 active families with a head of household under 25 years old. 35% of those families are active in the Southeast CAN.

Row B - Active on BNL: This is a count of active records within the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN.

**Row C** – Median Days Active: This is the median number of days that clients in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN have been Active. Calculated using the # of days between the Active Date of each active record in the subpopulation and the report date.

**Row D** – Assessment Score Distribution: This section shows the number and percentage of active records in the subpopulation with each VI-SPDAT/Family VI-SPDAT/Next Steps Tool score from 0 to 18 statewide and in each CAN.

**Row E** – Average Assessment Score: This row shows the average assessment score for active records in the subpopulation statewide and for each CAN.

### STATUS CONDITIONS FOLLOWED AMONG ACTIVE RECORDS

**Row F** – Refuses CAN Assistance: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Refuses CAN Assistance"

Row G - Chronic (Verified): A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Chronic (Verified)" on the BNL

**Row H** – Known Unsheltered: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who are marked as "Known to be unsheltered" on the BNL

**Row I** – Matched/Awarded: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have a substatus of "Matched with Housing Program" or "Awarded Subsidy"

Row J - Enrolled in Transitional Housing: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN with a substatus of "Enrolled in TH"

**Row K** – There are two versions of Row K. One version for pages that include non-youth clients (heads of household age 25+) and one version for pages that include *only* youth clients (head of household under age 25):

**Row K** – Youth at Time of Assessment: A count of the active records in **non-youth subpopulations** statewide and in each CAN who were under the age of 25 on the date their assessment was created in the system. This is essentially a count of the non-youth experiencing homelessness who were at one time youth experiencing homelessness. In other words, they likely aged out of youth homelessness.

Row \*K - Aging Out of Youth Next 6 Months: A count of the active records in youth subpopulations statewide and in each CAN who are between the ages of 24.5 years old and 24.99999 years old as of the report date. This is a count of the youth experiencing homelessness who will be aging into non-youth homelessness in 6 months or less.

### **INFLOW TO ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row L – Newly Added: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

**Row M** – Returned from Inactive: A count of the active records in the subpopulation statewide and in each CAN who have an active date in the 30 days preceding the report date who have never been active before

Row N - Inflow to Active List TOTAL: The sum of row L and row M

## **OUTFLOW FROM ACTIVE LIST: PAST 30 DAYS**

Row O – Housed – Self-Resolved: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Self-Resolved (Friend/Family)" OR "Self-Resolved (Self-Paid)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row P – Housed – PSH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Permanent Supportive Housing", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

**Row Q** - Housed - RRH: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with an exit destination of "Rapid Rehousing (RRH)", and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row R – Housed – All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive and Housed with any other exit destination (or no exit destination indicated), and a "Housed Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row S - Housed Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows O, P, Q, and R

**Row T** – Inactive – Unable to Contact: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Unable to Contact" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row U – Inactive – In an Institution: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "In an Institution" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row V – Inactive – Deceased: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with a substatus of "Deceased" and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row W - Inactive - All Other: A count of the records in the subpopulation marked as Inactive with any other substatus (or no substatus indicated) and an "Inactive Date" occurring within the 30 days preceding the report date, statewide and in each CAN

Row X - Other Outflow Subtotal: The sum of rows T, U, V, and W

**Row Y** - Outflow from Active List TOTAL: The sum of row S and X.

Row Z - NET INFLOW: Inflow to Active List TOTAL (row N) minus Outflow from Active List TOTAL (row Y).