Penis Measurements Across the World

```
library(ggplot2)
library(dplyr)

##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
## filter, lag


## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

# read in dataset
penis_data <- read.csv("/Users/Dohyun/Desktop/projects/Penis-Project/world_penis_dataset/penis.csv")</pre>
```

Measurement Bias and Regional Measurements


```
#check normality of erect length means
#using a histogram
hist(penis_data$length_erect)
```

Histogram of penis_data\$length_erect



#NPP plot
qqnorm(penis_data\$length_erect)
qqline(penis_data\$length_erect)


Normal Q-Q Plot



```
#check normality of erect girth means


#using a histogram
hist(penis_data$circumf_erect)
```

Histogram of penis_data\$circumf_erect



```
#NPP plot
qqnorm(penis_data$circumf_erect)
qqline(penis_data$circumf_erect)
```

Normal Q-Q Plot



Erect length and girth means across every country appears to be more normal in the middle quantile, but higher in the higher quantiles and lower in the lower quantiles.

```
#run a couple t-tests to find means $ CI's of length and girth
t.test(penis_data$length_erect)
```

```
##
## One Sample t-test
##
## data: penis_data$length_erect
## t = 91.633, df = 138, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 13.55726 14.15526
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 13.85626</pre>
```

t.test(penis_data\$circumf_erect)

```
##
## One Sample t-test
##
## data: penis_data$circumf_erect
## t = 192.43, df = 138, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 11.71941 11.96275</pre>
```

```
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 11.84108
Confidence interval for mean erect length is 13.56-14.16 cm. Confidence interval for mean erect girth is
11.72-11.96 cm.
#check for overlaps between both methods for potential bias in "Self reported" group
self_reported_data <- filter(penis_data, Method == "Self reported")</pre>
measured_data <- filter(penis_data, Method == "Measured")</pre>
t.test(self_reported_data$length_erect)
##
##
  One Sample t-test
## data: self_reported_data$length_erect
## t = 79.429, df = 50, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 14.33515 15.07897
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 14.70706
#CI for mean self-reported length: 14.33-15.08
t.test(measured_data$length_erect)
##
##
   One Sample t-test
## data: measured_data$length_erect
## t = 68.323, df = 87, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 12.97443 13.75193
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 13.36318
#CI for mean measured length: 12.97-13.75
t.test(self_reported_data$circumf_erect)
##
##
   One Sample t-test
## data: self_reported_data$circumf_erect
## t = 139.45, df = 50, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 11.90118 12.24902
```


```
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
     12.0751
##
#CI for mean self-reported girth: 11.55-11.86
t.test(measured_data$circumf_erect)
##
##
   One Sample t-test
##
## data: measured_data$circumf_erect
## t = 146.16, df = 87, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 11.54628 11.86463
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
## 11.70545
##CI for mean measure length: 11.90-12.25
```

Note that we we only care about the erect length and girth it provides a better standard of measurement. Flaccid measurements will always vary depending on body and outside temperature and different conditions like health.


The confidence intervals for the self-reported and measured don't overlap, and on average the self-reported data shows a higher range of measurements, which could skew the data by a couple centimeters. It is not completely certain whether the self-reported measurements are dishonest, but it is not out of the question whether they are.

```
size_length <- length(penis_data$length_erect)
size_girth <- length(penis_data$circumf_erect)
region <- penis_data[,"Region"]
length <- penis_data[,"length_erect"]
girth <- penis_data[,"circumf_erect"]

#boxplot of the regions
bp <- ggplot(penis_data, aes(x = region, y = length)) +
    geom_boxplot()
bp + coord_flip()</pre>
```



```
#boxplot of the regions vs girth
bp2 <- ggplot(penis_data, aes(x = region, y = girth)) +
   geom_boxplot()
bp2 + coord_flip()</pre>
```



What is the relationship between length and girth? Is length a good indicator for girth and vice versa?

```
#create a linear regression model bt length & girth
fit1 <- lm(girth ~ length)</pre>
summary(fit1)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = girth ~ length)
##
## Residuals:
       Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
## -1.32413 -0.43201 -0.04332 0.41533 1.63634
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 8.55684
                           0.39479
                                   21.675 < 2e-16 ***
                           0.02826
                                     8.387 5.42e-14 ***
## length
                0.23702
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.5919 on 137 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3393, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3344
## F-statistic: 70.34 on 1 and 137 DF, p-value: 5.416e-14
```

From the p-value of our slope (which is 5.42e-14), we are able to tell that our slope isn't zero, which simply just tells us that there is a relationship between length and girth. However, our R-squared value of 0.34 tells us that there is a fairly weak correlation between the two variables, which could lead us to believe that length is not a good indicator of girth and vice versa.