

# Sum<sub>1</sub>

Ilka Brunner    Nils Carqueville    Domenico Fiorenza

This file contains the details on the  $n = 1$  case, to be merged into the main file as soon as they become polished enough.

## Contents

### 1

Let us denote by  $\text{Fam}_0(\mathbb{C})$  the set of equivalence classes of finite groupoids equipped with functors to  $\mathbb{C}$  (seen as a trivial category). In other words, an element of  $\text{Fam}_0(\mathbb{C})$  is a pair  $(X, f)$  consisting of a finite groupoid  $X$  together with a map  $f: \pi_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The map

$$\text{Sum}_0: \text{Fam}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is defined as

$$\text{Sum}_0(X, f) = \sum_{[x] \in \pi_0(X)} \frac{f(x)}{|\text{Aut}(x)|}.$$

We will also use the more evocative notation

$$\int_X f(x) d\mu(x)$$

to denote  $\text{Sum}_0(X, f)$ . Notice that  $\text{Sum}_0$  is linear in the  $f$  variable.

**Remark 1.1.** A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  can equivalently be seen as an element in  $\text{End}_{[X, \text{Vect}]}(\mathbf{1}_X)$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Vect}$  is the constant functor taking the value  $\mathbb{C}$  on  $X$ . The map  $\text{Sum}_0$  can therefore be seen as the datum of a map

$$\text{Sum}_0: \text{End}_{[X, \text{Vect}]}(\mathbf{1}_X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

for any finite groupoid  $X$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The category  $\text{Fam}_1(\text{Vect})$  has as its objects finite groupoids equipped with functors to  $\text{Vect}$ , i.e., pairs  $(X, f)$  consisting of a finite groupoid  $X$  together with a functor  $\rho: X \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ . Morphisms in  $\text{Fam}_1(\text{Vect})$  are spans of groupoids over  $\text{Vect}$ , i.e., commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ \pi_X \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_Y \\ X & \xRightarrow[\alpha]{} & Y \\ \rho_X \searrow & & \swarrow \rho_Y \\ & \text{Vect} & \end{array}$$

where the natural transformation  $\alpha: \rho_X \circ \pi_X \rightarrow \rho_Y \circ \pi_Y$  is part of the data of the commutative diagram.

**Remark 1.3.** The identity morphisms and the composition of morphisms in  $\text{Fam}_1(\text{Vect})$  will be defined later.

For every object  $(X, \rho)$  in  $\text{Fam}_1(\text{Vect})$  we can consider the vector space

$$[\mathbf{1}_X, \rho] = \text{Hom}_{[X, \text{Vect}]}(\mathbf{1}_X, \rho).$$

If  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a functor between finite groupoids, and  $\rho: X \rightarrow \text{Vect}$  is a functor, we write  $f^*\rho: Y \rightarrow \text{Vect}$  for the functor  $\rho \circ f$ . If  $\alpha: \mathbf{1}_X \rightarrow \rho$  is a natural transformation, then  $f^*\alpha$  is a natural transformation between  $f^*\mathbf{1}_X = \mathbf{1}_Y$  and  $f^*\rho$ . This defines a linear map

$$f^*: [\mathbf{1}_X, \rho] \rightarrow [\mathbf{1}_Y, f^*\rho].$$

Similarly, we can consider the vector space

$$[\rho, \mathbf{1}_X] = \text{Hom}_{[X, \text{Vect}]}(\rho, \mathbf{1}_X)$$

together with the linear map

$$f^*: [\rho, \mathbf{1}_X] \rightarrow [f^*\rho, \mathbf{1}_Y].$$

Passing to the linear duals we get the linear map

$$(f^*)^\vee: [f^*\rho, \mathbf{1}_Y]^\vee \rightarrow [\rho, \mathbf{1}_X]^\vee.$$

Next, notice that composition of morphisms gives a bilinear map

$$[\mathbf{1}_X, \rho] \otimes [\rho, \mathbf{1}_X] \rightarrow [\mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_X]$$

and so composing this with the linear map  $\text{Sum}_0(X, -): [\mathbf{1}_X, \mathbf{1}_X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we get a bilinear pairing between  $[\mathbf{1}_X, \rho]$  and  $[\rho, \mathbf{1}_X]$ . Equivalently, this is a linear map

$$\delta_{(X, \rho)}: [\mathbf{1}_X, \rho] \rightarrow [\rho, \mathbf{1}_X]^\vee.$$

Our main *duality assumption* will be that  $\delta_{(X,\rho)}$  is a linear isomorphism for any  $(X,\rho)$ . Under this assumption we may define a linear morphism

$$f_* : [\mathbf{1}_Y, f^* \rho] \rightarrow [\mathbf{1}_X, \rho]$$

as the composition

$$[\mathbf{1}_Y, f^* \rho] \xrightarrow{\delta_{(Y, f^* \rho)}} [f^* \rho, \mathbf{1}_Y]^\vee \xrightarrow{(f^*)^\vee} [\rho, \mathbf{1}_X]^\vee \xrightarrow{\delta_{(X, \rho)}^{-1}} [\mathbf{1}_X, \rho].$$

In other words, if  $v \in [\mathbf{1}_Y, f^* \rho]$ , the element  $f_*(v) \in [\mathbf{1}_X, \rho]$  is defined by the equation

$$\int_X (w \circ f_*(v)) d\mu(x) = \int_Y ((f^* w) \circ v) d\mu(y)$$

for any  $w \in [\rho, \mathbf{1}_X]$ . Each such a  $w$  can be uniquely written as a sum of elements  $w_x$  vanishing outside the isomorphism class of  $x$  in  $X$ . For such a  $w_x$  the above equation becomes

$$\frac{w_x \circ f_*(v)}{|\text{Aut}(x)|} = \sum_{f([y])=[x]} \frac{(f^* w_x) \circ v}{|\text{Aut}(y)|}.$$

Similarly,  $v$  can be uniquely written as a sum of elements  $v_y$  vanishing outside the isomorphism class of  $y$  in  $Y$ . Choosing such a  $v_y$  with  $f(y) = x$  we get

$$\frac{w_x \circ f_*(v_y)}{|\text{Aut}(x)|} = \frac{w_x \circ v_y}{|\text{Aut}(y)|},$$

where we used the fact that, as  $v \in [\mathbf{1}_Y, f^* \rho]$  and  $f(y) = x$ , the source of  $w_x$  coincides with the target of  $v_y$  and  $(f^* w_x) \circ v_y = w_x \circ v_y$ . As this has to be true for any  $w_x$ , we finally find

$$f_*(v_y) = \frac{|\text{Aut}(x)|}{|\text{Aut}(y)|} v_y,$$

for any  $v_y$  concentrated on  $y$ , with  $f(y) = x$ . From this we get the general formula

$$(f_*(v))_x = |\text{Aut}(x)| \sum_{f([y])=[x]} \frac{v_y}{|\text{Aut}(y)|}.$$

We can now define our wannabe functor

$$\text{Sum}_1 : \text{Fam}_1(\text{Vect}) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$$

on objects as

$$\text{Sum}_1(X, \rho) = [\mathbf{1}_X, \rho]$$

and on a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & Z & \\
 \pi_X \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_Y \\
 X & \xRightarrow{\alpha} & Y \\
 \rho_X \searrow & & \swarrow \rho_Y \\
 & \text{Vect} &
 \end{array}$$

as the composition

$$[\mathbf{1}_X, \rho_X] \xrightarrow{\pi_X^*} [\mathbf{1}_Z, \pi_X^* \rho_X] \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ -} [\mathbf{1}_Z, \pi_Y^* \rho_Y] \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y*}} [\mathbf{1}_Y, \rho_Y].$$

**Remark 1.4.** Before giving a more explicit expression of the above morphism, let us notice that  $[\mathbf{1}_X, \rho]$  is a model for the limit of the functor  $\rho: X \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ , in accordance with the prescription in [? ]. Also, notice that the morphism associated to the span  $X \leftarrow Z \rightarrow Y$  together with the natural transformation  $\alpha$  has the form “pull-compose-push”, and so it is an instance of a Fourier-Mukai-type transform.

Let us now give an explicit description of the functor  $\text{Sum}_1$ . To begin with, notice that the datum of a functor  $\rho: X \rightarrow \text{Vect}$  is equivalent to the datum of a linear representation  $V_x$  of  $\text{Aut}_X(x)$  for every  $x$  in a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of objects in  $X$ . So

$$[\mathbf{1}_X, \rho] = \bigoplus_{[x] \in \pi_0(X)} (V_x)^{\text{Aut}_X(x)},$$

where  $V^G$  denotes the subspace of  $G$ -invariants for a linear  $G$ -representation on a vector space  $V$ . A linear map  $[\mathbf{1}_X, \rho_X] \rightarrow [\mathbf{1}_Y, \rho_Y]$  can therefore be described by its “matrix coefficients”  $(V_x)^{\text{Aut}_X(x)} \rightarrow (W_y)^{\text{Aut}_Y(y)}$  with  $[x]$  ranging in  $\pi_0(X)$  and  $[y]$  ranging in  $\pi_0(Y)$ . The map  $\pi_X^*: [\mathbf{1}_X, \rho_X] \rightarrow [\mathbf{1}_Z, \pi_X^* \rho_X]$  maps the invariant vector  $v_x$  in  $V_x$  to the tuple  $(v_x, v_x, \dots, v_x)$  in  $\bigoplus_{\pi_X([z]=[x]} V_x$ . Into this vector space, look at the direct sum over those  $[z]$  with  $\pi_Y([z]) = [y]$ . These are precisely those summands for which  $\alpha_z$  is a linear morphism  $V_x \rightarrow W_y$ . The corresponding tuple  $(\alpha_{z_1}(v_x), \alpha_{z_2}(v_x), \dots, \alpha_{z_k}(v_x))$  is then the component of  $(\alpha \circ \pi_X^*)(v_x)$  in  $[\mathbf{1}_Z, \pi_Y^* \rho_Y]$  going into  $W_y$  via  $\pi_{Y*}$ . As shown above, the morphism  $\pi_{Y*}$  acts on this component mapping it to

$$|\text{Aut}(y)| \sum_{\substack{\pi_X([z]=[x] \\ \pi_Y([z])=[y]}} \frac{\alpha_z(v_x)}{|\text{Aut}(z)|}$$

In other words, the matrix coefficient

$$(\Phi_\alpha)_x^y: (V_x)^{\text{Aut}_X(x)} \rightarrow (W_y)^{\text{Aut}_Y(y)}$$

is

$$v_x \mapsto |\mathrm{Aut}(y)| \sum_{\substack{\pi_X([z])=[x] \\ \pi_Y([z])=[y]}} \frac{\alpha_z(v_x)}{|\mathrm{Aut}(z)|}.$$