

CONTINUOUS MODEL THEORY WITHOUT THE HASSLE

1. FORMULAS AND THEIR INTERPRETATION

The following definition extends that of classical first-order logic with clause 3.

1 Definition. A **signature** or **language** L consists of

1. two sets of symbols: L_{rel} , for relations, and L_{fun} , for functions;
2. an **arity** function $\text{Ar} : L_{\text{rel}} \cup L_{\text{fun}} \rightarrow \omega$;
3. a function $n_- : L_{\text{at}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$;

The function in 3 above is called the **bounding function**. With L_{at} we denote the set of atomic formulas as defined below.

2 Definition. A **structure** or **model** of signature L consists of

1. a set M ;
2. a set $\bar{M} \supseteq M$;
3. for every $f \in L_{\text{fun}}$, a function $f^M : \bar{M}^{\text{Ar}(f)} \rightarrow \bar{M}$;
4. for every $r \in L_{\text{rel}}$, a function $r^M : \bar{M}^{\text{Ar}(r)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;

The set M is the **unit ball** of the model and is required to satisfy the axioms in Definition 5. It will be clear below that the unit ball determines, in a sense, the whole model. (E.g. in Definition 4 we define the interpretation only of formulas that have parameters in the unit ball.) Therefore it feels justified to denote the whole model with the same symbol M used for the unit ball. In principle, two models could coincide when restricted to the unit ball and yet be distinct overall. This will never be the case below.

The syntax and semantic of **terms** is as in classical first-order logic. We write L_{trm} for the set of terms in the language L . Atomic formulas are defined as in classical first-order logic: they have the form $r t$, where r is a relation symbol and t is a tuple of terms. The set of atomic formulas is denoted by L_{at} .

The set of all formulas is denoted as usual by L , the same symbol as the language. Formulas are defined inductively from the atomic formulas using the following connectives.

The **propositional** (or **Riesz**) connectives are those in $\{1, +, \wedge\} \cup \mathbb{R}$, where $+$ and \wedge are binary connectives, the elements of \mathbb{R} are unary connectives, 1 is a logical constant (i.e. a zero-ary connective). There is also the infimum **quantifier** \bigwedge_x .

3 Definition. The inductive definition of formula is as follows

- i. atomic formulas are formulas
- ii. 1 is a formula;
- iii. $\varphi + \psi$ is a formula;
- iv. $\varphi \wedge \psi$ is a formula;
- v. $\alpha\varphi$ is a formula for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
- vi. $\bigwedge_x \varphi$ is a formula.

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we may write α for the formula $\alpha 1$. We write $-\varphi$ for $(-1)\varphi$ and $\varphi - \psi$ for $\varphi + (-\psi)$ and we write $\varphi \vee \psi$ for $-(-\varphi \wedge -\psi)$. Also, φ^+ and φ^- stand for $0 \vee \varphi$ respectively $0 \vee (-\varphi)$, and we write $|\varphi|$ for $\varphi \vee (-\varphi)$. Finally, $\bigvee_x \varphi$ stands for $-\bigwedge_x -\varphi$.

If $A \subseteq M$ (that is, A is a subset of the unit ball), we write $L(A)$ for the language L expanded with a 0-ary function symbol for every element of A . As in classical first-order logic, the model M is canonically expanded to a structure of signature $L(A)$ by setting $a^M = a$ for every $a \in A$.

Let M be a model and let t be a tuple of closed terms with parameters in M . We write t^M for the element of \bar{M} obtained interpreting function symbols and parameters in M just as in classical first-order logic.

4 Definition. If $\varphi \in L(M)$ is a sentence (i.e. a closed formula) we define φ^M inductively as follows

- i. $(rt)^M = r^M(t^M)$.
- ii. $1^M = 1$;
- iii. $(\psi + \xi)^M = \psi^M + \xi^M$;
- iv. $(\alpha\varphi)^M = \alpha\varphi^M$;
- v. $(\psi \wedge \xi)^M = \min\{\psi^M, \xi^M\}$;
- vi. $(\bigwedge_x \psi)^M = \inf\{\psi[x/b]^M : b \in M\}$.

In Fact 6 below we prove that the infimum in (vi) above is indeed finite, hence that the definition is sound.

Finally, we complete Definition 2 by stating the axioms that the unit ball has to satisfy.

5 Definition. The unit ball is required to satisfy the following axioms

- 1. $\bar{M} = \{t^M : t \in L_{\text{trm}}(M) \text{ a closed term}\}$;
- 2. $|\varphi(a)^M| \leq n_{\varphi(x)}$ for every $a \in M^{|x|}$ and every $\varphi(x) \in L_{\text{at}}$.

For $\varphi(x) \in L(M)$ we write φ^M for the function $\varphi^M : M^{|x|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that maps $a \mapsto \varphi(a)^M$.

A straightforward proof by induction on the syntax yields the following.

6 Fact. For every formula $\psi(x)$ there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\psi(a)^M| \leq n$ for all $a \in M^{|x|}$. □

2. EXAMPLES

- 1. Firstly, we leave to the reader to check that classical first-order models are a (trivial) special cases of the models introduced above. Classical relations take values in $\{0, 1\}$ where 0 is interpreted as “true”. The unit ball is the whole of \bar{M} . The function n_+ is constantly 1. To

obtain the full strength of first-order logic one has to add equality as a predicate as it is absent from our logic.

2. Secondly, any BBHU-premodel is also a model as those in Section 1 if we let the unit ball coincide with \bar{M} . The language L contains a relation symbol $d(x, y)$ for a metric and, possibly, symbols for all other functions and relations of M . As all relations of M (including the metric) take values in the interval $[0, 1]$, the function n_- can be set to be the constant 1.
3. Now we consider an example that is non trivial and distant both from classical models and from BBHU-models: weighted graphs. The set L_{fun} is empty and L_{rel} contains only a binary relation r . We require that $r^M(a, b) = r^M(b, a) \in [0, 1]$. As unit ball take, again, the whole of \bar{M} . The function n_- is constant 1.
4. Finally, an example where the unit ball is non trivial. Let L be the language of Banach spaces. Here we have only one symbol in L_{rel} , the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ for the norm. The set L_{fun} is the same as for real vector spaces in classical logic. Let \bar{M} be a Banach space and define a continuous model as follows. The interpretation of the symbols in $L_{\text{rel}} \cup L_{\text{fun}}$ is the natural one. The unit ball of M is $M = \{a \in \bar{M} : \|a\| \leq 1\}$. If $\varphi(x)$ is the atomic formulas

$$\varphi(x) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x \right\|$$

then

$$n_{\varphi(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|.$$

3. CONDITIONS AND TYPES

For $\varphi, \psi \in L(M)$ some closed formulas, we write $M \models \varphi \leq \psi$ for $\varphi^M \leq \psi^M$. The meaning of $M \models \varphi = \psi$ and of $M \models \varphi < \psi$ is similar.

Expressions of the form $\varphi(x) \leq 0$ are called **conditions**. We write $M \models \exists x \varphi(x) \leq 0$ if there is an $a \in M^{|x|}$ such that $M \models \varphi(a) \leq 0$. Observe that $\varphi(x) = 0$ is equivalent to $|\varphi(x)| \leq 0$ and that $\varphi(x) \leq 0$ is equivalent to $(\varphi(x) \vee 0) = 0$. So, when convenient, we may use equalities to denote conditions.

The negation of a condition is called a **co-conditions**. So, $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, $\varphi(x) < 0$ or $\varphi(x) > 0$ are co-conditions.

It is easy to see that conditions are closed under logical disjunction and logical conjunction.

$$M \models \varphi \vee \psi \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow M \models \varphi \leq 0 \text{ and } M \models \psi \leq 0$$

$$M \models \varphi \wedge \psi \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow M \models \varphi \leq 0 \text{ or } M \models \psi \leq 0$$

Conditions are also closed under universal quantification (over the unit ball).

$$M \models \bigvee_x \varphi(x) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow M \models \forall x \varphi(x) \leq 0$$

Closure under existential quantification is a more subtle point. It is not true in general but it can be ensured by a small amount of saturation (cf. Fact 15).

A set of conditions is called a **type** or, when x is the empty tuple, a **theory**. For $p(x) \subseteq L(M)$, we define

$$p(x) \leq 0 = \{ \varphi(x) \leq 0 : \varphi(x) \in p \}.$$

Up to equivalence, all types have the form above.

Beware that $p(x)$ denotes just a set of formulas, the expression $p(x) \leq 0$ denotes a type.

We write $M \models p(a) \leq 0$ if $M \models \varphi(a) \leq 0$ for every $\varphi(x) \in p$. We write $M \models \exists x p(x) \leq 0$ if $M \models p(a) \leq 0$ for some $a \in M^{|x|}$.

4. ELEMENTARY RELATIONS

Let $A \subseteq M$. The **limit A -topology** on $M^{|x|}$ is the initial topology with respect to the functions interpret the formulas in $L(A)$. The sets $\{a \in M^{|x|} : \varphi^M(a) \leq 0\}$, as $\varphi(x)$ ranges over $L(A)$, form a base of closed sets for the limit A -topology. Note that the sets $\{a \in M^{|x|} : \varphi^M(a) < 0\}$ are a base of open sets of the same topology.

The limit A -topology is a completely regular topology, almost by definition. Typically, it is not T_0 .

We say that $R \subseteq M \times N$ is an **elementary relation** between M and N if for every $\varphi(x) \in L$

$$\varphi^M(a) = \varphi^N(b) \quad \text{for every } a, b \in M^{|x|}, N^{|x|} \text{ such that } aRb.$$

An **elementary embedding** is an elementary relation that is functional, injective and total.

When \emptyset is an elementary relation between M and N we say that these are **elementarily equivalent** and write $M \equiv N$. We write I_A for the diagonal relation on A . We write $M \equiv_A N$ if I_A is an elementary relation. In words, we say that M and N are elementary equivalent **over A** . Finally, we write $M \leq N$ if $M \equiv_M N$ and \bar{M} is a substructure of \bar{N} in the classical sense. In words, we say that M is an **elementary substructure** of N .

7 Proposition (Tarski-Vaught test). Let $M \subseteq N$ and assume that \bar{M} is a substructure of \bar{N} . Let x be a single variable. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $M \leq N$;
2. M is dense in N w.r.t. the M -limit topology on N ;
3. for every $\varphi(x) \in L(M)$, if $\varphi(N) < 0$ is non empty, then $N \models \varphi(b) < 0$ for some $b \in M^{|x|}$.

Proof. Equivalence $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$ is tautological. Implication $1 \Rightarrow 2$ is clear. To prove $3 \Rightarrow 1$ we prove by induction on the syntax of $\varphi(z)$, where z is a finite tuple, that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$M \models \varphi(a) < \alpha \Leftrightarrow N \models \varphi(a) < \alpha \quad \text{for every } a \in M^{|z|}$$

We use the equivalence above as induction hypothesis. As M is a substructure of N , this equivalence holds for atomic $\varphi(z)$. We leave the case of the propositional connectives to the reader and consider only the case of the infimum quantifier.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N \models \bigwedge_x \varphi(x, a) < \alpha &\Leftrightarrow \text{there is a } b \in N \text{ such that } N \models \varphi(b, a) < \alpha \\
 a. &\Leftrightarrow \text{there is a } b \in M^{|x|} \text{ such that } N \models \varphi(b, a) < \alpha \\
 b. &\Leftrightarrow M \models \bigwedge_x \varphi(x) < \alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Implication \Rightarrow in a uses the assumption 3 and the equivalence in b uses the induction hypothesis. \square

8 Theorem (Downward Löwenheim-Skolem). For every $A \subseteq N$ there is a model $A \subseteq M \leq N$ of cardinality $\leq |L(A)|$.

Proof. (Needs some checking.) For every set A there is a base for the A -topology that has cardinality $\leq |L(A)|$. A set M of the required cardinality that is dense in the M -topology is obtained as in the classical downward Löwenheim-Skolem theorem. \square

5. ULTRAPOWERS

Firstly, we recall some standard definitions about limits. Let I be a non-empty set. Let F be a filter on I . If $r : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we write

$$\lim_{i \uparrow F} r(i) = \lambda$$

if $r^{-1}[A] \in F$ for every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ that is a neighborhood of λ . When r is bounded and F is an ultrafilter, such a λ always exists.

Let I be an infinite set. Let $\langle M_i : i \in I \rangle$ be a sequence of models. Let F be an ultrafilter on I .

9 Definition. Write \bar{N} for the set of all functions $\hat{a} : I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ such that $\hat{a} i \in M_i$. Such \bar{N} is the unit ball of a structure N that we call the **ultraproduct** of $\langle M_i : i \in I \rangle$ and is defined as follows.

1. the elements of \bar{N} are functions $\hat{c} : I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} \bar{M}_i$ such that $\hat{c} i = t(\hat{a} i)$ for some $\hat{a} \in \bar{M}^{|x|}$ and some term $t(x) \in L_{\text{trm}}$ — below we write $t(\hat{a})$ for \hat{c} ;
2. if $f \in L_{\text{fun}}$ and $r \in L_{\text{rel}}$ and $t_1(\hat{a}), \dots, t_n(\hat{a})$ are elements of \bar{N} then
 - a. $f^N(t_1(\hat{a}), \dots, t_n(\hat{a})) = t(\hat{a})$ where t is the term obtained composing f with t_1, \dots, t_n ;
 - b. $r^N(t_1(\hat{a}), \dots, t_n(\hat{a})) = \lim_{i \uparrow F} r^{M_i}(t_1(\hat{a} i), \dots, t_n(\hat{a} i))$.

As usual, if we have that $M_i = M$ for all $i \in I$, we say that N is an **ultrapower** of M .

Note that the unit ball N satisfies the axioms in Definition 5.

The limit in 2b of Definition 9 exists because F is an ultrafilter and it is finite because all models M_i have the same bounding functions. In fact, the function $i \mapsto r^{M_i}(t_1(\hat{a}i), \dots, t_n(\hat{a}i))$ is bounded by $n_{\varphi(x)}$, where $\varphi(x) = r(t_1(x), \dots, t_n(x))$.

10 Proposition (Łoś Theorem). Let N be as above and let $\varphi(x) \in L$. Then for every $\hat{a} \in N^{|x|}$,

$$\varphi^N(\hat{a}) = \lim_{i \uparrow F} \varphi^{M_i}(\hat{a}i).$$

Proof. This is just the usual run-of-the-mill proof. We proceed by induction on the syntax of $\varphi(x)$. If $\varphi(x)$ is atomic holds the equality above holds by definition. We spell out the proof for the infimum quantifier. Assume inductively that

$$\varphi^N(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) = \lim_{i \uparrow F} \varphi^{M_i}(\hat{a}i, \hat{b}i).$$

We want to prove that

$$\left(\bigwedge_x \varphi(\hat{a}, x) \right)^N = \lim_{i \uparrow F} \left(\bigwedge_x \varphi(\hat{a}i, x) \right)^{M_i}$$

By the interpretation of the infimum quantifier, this amounts to prove that

$$\inf \{ \varphi(\hat{a}, \hat{b})^N : \hat{b} \in (uN) \} = \lim_{i \uparrow F} \inf \{ \varphi(\hat{a}i, b)^{M_i} : b \in (uM_i) \}$$

First we prove the \leq inequality. Let α be an arbitrary positive real number.

Assume that for some $\hat{b} \in (uN)$ $\varphi^N(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) < \alpha$.

By induction hypothesis $\lim_{i \uparrow F} \varphi^{M_i}(\hat{a}i, \hat{b}i) < \alpha$,

then for some $u \in F$ $\varphi^{U_i}(\hat{a}i, \hat{b}i) < \alpha$ for every $i \in u$.

Hence $\inf \{ \varphi^{M_i}(\hat{a}i, b) : b \in (uM_i) \} < \alpha$ for every $i \in u$.

Finally, $\lim_{i \uparrow F} \inf \{ \varphi^{M_i}(\hat{a}i, b) : b \in (uM_i) \} < \alpha$.

This proves the \geq inequality. As for the \leq inequality, assume that

$$\lim_{i \uparrow F} \inf \{ \varphi(\hat{a}i, b)^{M_i} : b \in (uM_i)^{|x|} \} < \alpha.$$

Therefore, for some $u \in F$

$$\inf \{ \varphi(\hat{a}i, b)^{M_i} : b \in (uM_i)^{|x|} \} < \alpha \text{ for every } i \in u.$$

$$\inf \{ \varphi(\hat{a}i, b)^{M_i} : b \in (uM_i)^{|x|} \} < \alpha \text{ for every } i \in u.$$

Therefore, for some $\hat{b} \in (uN)^{|x|}$ $\varphi^{M_i}(\hat{a}i, \hat{b}i) < \alpha$ for every $i \in u$.

Then

$$\lim_{i \uparrow F} \varphi^{M_i}(\hat{a}i, \hat{b}i) < \alpha,$$

and finally $\varphi^N(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) < \alpha$.

This proves the desired inequality. \square

The following corollary of Łoś Theorem is identical to its classical counterpart.

11 Corollary. For every model M and every ultrafilter F on I , an infinite set, let N be corresponding ultrapower of M . Then there is an elementary embedding of M in N . \square

We add the following easy remark for future reference.

12 Remark. Let $R \subseteq N^2$ be the set of those pairs (\hat{a}, \hat{b}) such that $\{i \in I : \hat{a}i = \hat{b}i\} \in F$. Then R is an elementary relation.

6. COMPACTNESS THEOREM

From Łoś Theorem we obtain a form of compactness with the usual argument.

A theory $T \leq 0$ is finitely consistent if for every φ , that is a disjunction of sentences in T , there is a model M such that $M \models \varphi \leq 0$. Note that it is implicit that all these models M have the same bounding function.

13 Theorem (Compactness Theorem). Every finitely consistent theory is consistent.

Proof. We construct a model N of $T \leq 0$. Let I be the set of closed formulas ξ such that $\xi \leq 0$ holds in some model M_ξ . For every condition $\varphi \leq 0$ define $X_\varphi \subseteq I$ as follows

$$X_\varphi = \left\{ \xi \in I : \xi \leq 0 \vdash \varphi \leq 0 \right\}$$

Note that $\varphi \leq 0$ is consistent if and only if $X_\varphi \neq \emptyset$. Moreover $X_{\varphi \vee \psi} = X_\varphi \cap X_\psi$. Then, as T is finitely consistent, the set $B = \{X_\varphi : \varphi \in T\}$ has the finite intersection property. Extend B to an ultrafilter F on I . Define

$$N = \prod_{\xi \in I} M_\xi$$

Check that $N \models T \leq 0$. Let $\varphi \in T$. By Łoś Theorem,

$$\varphi^N = \lim_{\xi \uparrow F} \varphi^{M_\xi}.$$

Note that $X_\varphi \subseteq \{\xi : \varphi^{M_\xi} \leq 0\}$ and recall that $X_\varphi \in F$ whenever $\varphi \in T$. Therefore $\varphi^N \leq 0$. \square

7. SATURATION

Let $p(x) \subseteq L(M)$. We say that $p(x) \leq 0$ is **finitely satisfied** if for every disjunction of formulas in $p(x)$, say $\psi(x)$, there is an $a \in M^{|x|}$ such that $M \models \psi(a) \leq 0$.

We say that M is λ -saturated if for every $p(x)$ as in 1 and 2 below, there is an $a \in (uM)^{|x|}$ such that $M \models p(a) \leq 0$.

1. $p(x) \subseteq L(A)$ for some $A \subseteq M$ of cardinality $< \lambda$;
2. $p(x) \leq 0$ is finitely satisfied in M .

If λ is the cardinality of M we say that M is saturated.

14 Theorem (???). Let λ be an inaccessible cardinal larger than $|L|$ and $|M|$. Then M has a saturated elementary superstructure of cardinality M .

We conclude with a convenient property of ω -saturated models.

15 Fact. Let M is ω -saturated. Then for every $\varphi(x) \in L(M)$ the following are equivalent

1. $M \models \exists x \varphi(x) \leq 0$;
2. $M \models \bigwedge_x \varphi(x) \leq 0$

Proof. Only $2 \Rightarrow 1$ requires a proof. Let $p(x) = \{\varphi(x) - \alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$. If 2, then $p(x) \leq 0$ is finitely satisfied in M . Hence 1 follows by saturation. \square

Di qui in poi solo esperimenti selvaggi.

8. TOTAL AND SURJECTIVE ELEMENTARY RELATIONS

We define an equivalence relation \sim_M on the unit ball of any given model M as follows

$$a \sim_M b \iff \varphi(a)^M = \varphi(b)^M \text{ for every } \varphi(x) \in L(M)$$

In other words, $a \sim_M b$ iff $I_M \cup \{(a, b)\} \subseteq M^2$ is an elementary relation. If $a' \sim_M b'$ then, as elementary relations are closed under composition, $I_M \cup (\{a, a'\} \times \{b, b'\}) \subseteq M^2$ is also an elementary relation. In general, we have that

$$a_i \sim_M b_i \text{ for every } i \in (n] \iff \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)^M = \varphi(b_1, \dots, b_n)^M \text{ for every } \varphi(x) \in L(M)$$

If $R \subseteq M \times N$ we write \bar{R} for the relation $(\sim_M) R (\sim_N)$. Clearly, $R \subseteq \bar{R}$ and, if R is an elementary relation, then so is \bar{R} .

If $R \subseteq M^2$ is elementary, then $RR^{-1} \subseteq (\sim_M)$.

We write $\text{Tser}(M)$ for the set of elementary relations $R \subseteq M^2$ that are total and surjective. We write $\text{Tser}(M/A)$ the set of relations in $\text{Tser}(M)$ that contain I_A .

9. THE MONSTER MODEL

Throughout the following we fix a saturated model \mathcal{U} of cardinality κ , an inaccessible cardinal larger than $|L|$, where $|L|$ stands for $\max \{|L_{\text{fun}}|, |L_{\text{rel}}|, 2^\omega\}$.

16 Fact. Let $R \subseteq \mathcal{U}^2$ be an elementary relation of cardinality $< \kappa$. Then there is an S such that $R \subseteq S \in \text{Tser}(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof.

□

Let $a \in (u\mathcal{U})^{|x|}$. We write $p(x) = \text{tp}(a/A)$ for $p(x) = \{\psi(x) \in L(A) : \mathcal{U} \models \psi(a) \leq 0\}$.

17 Fact. Let $a \in (u\mathcal{U})^{|x|}$, where $|x| < \kappa$. Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ have cardinality $< \kappa$. Then

$$p(\mathcal{U}) \leq 0 = \{ha : h \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/A)\}$$