

Prin prin prin

Domenico Zambella

March 2, 2022

1 State of the art

Keisler measures were introduced by Jerome Keisler in a seminal article [12] back in 1987. Yet they went almost unnoticed for the best part of three decades until Hrushovski, Peterzil, and Pillay used them to settle the Pillay conjecture on definably compact groups definable in o-minimal theories – a major open problem in *model theory* and *Lie group theory* [11].

Since then, Keisler measures have become an ubiquitous tool in both pure and applied model theory. Initially they played an important role in the context of NIP theories (theories without the independence property, also known as dependent theories) [18]. For example they are a crucial tool in the study of definably amenable groups that are definable in NIP theories [3], [15]. But they are more and more frequently applied outside this context, e.g. when only a single formula (not the whole theory) is NIP [7], [8] or *in the wild* i.e. with no assumption of tameness [8]. In fact, Keisler measures have interesting application in finite and pseudofinite combinatorics and allow us to generalize combinatorial results in a natural measure theoretic framework [16], [20].

Keisler measures also play a role in explaining important dividing lines between classes of theories. A pervasive theme in model theory is the discovery of basic combinatorial principles that separate theories (or single formulas) that are tame/well-behaved/feasible/learnable from those that are not. One recent dividing line, that of *distal theories*, was recently introduced by Pierre Simon [17]. This dividing line can be characterized in different ways: using model-theoretic tools (indiscernible sequences); finite combinatorial tools; but also by measure theoretic notions [2]. Each of these perspectives contributes to a deeper understanding of the phenomenon. A recent influential success of the notion of distality has been obtained by Chernikov and Starchenko [4] (see also [19]). They proved that distality induces strong regularity phenomena in graphs, hence that the distinction distal/non distal has relevance in different areas of mathematics.

2 Descrizione di metodi, obiettivi, risultati, diffusione

2.1 Randomization

Keisler measures are finitely additive measures on some algebra of definable sets usually of a saturated model. Keisler measures generalize the notion of type. In fact, a complete type (i.e. a set of formulas) may be viewed as a Keisler measure that assigns measure 1 to sets definable by a formula in the type, and measure 0 to all other definable sets. Types and measures form a syntactic, respectively measure-theoretic apparatus that we superimpose to the model. For this reason, they escape the most common model theoretic techniques. Fortunately, in the case of types, there is an easy remedy. By the compactness theorem, every type can be realized in a sufficiently saturated elementary superstructure. Therefore types are naturally identified with the (external) elements that realize them. These external elements are tractable with common model theoretical techniques.

Measures elude this approach. For example, the common intuition is that the so called *smooth measures* correspond to realized types, but this does not help in interpreting them as elements of a first-order model. A possible way out is to move away from classical logic. Keisler and Ben Yaacov propose to interpret measures in continuous logic as types over a randomized structure [1] (the notion of randomization of a structure was previously and independently introduced by Keisler in [13]). This approach is definitely sound, but it adds many mathematical subtleties and notational hurdles to the description. This is why few people have embraced this approach.

2.2 Loeb samples

The alternative approach that we propose is low tech and is inspired by a classical idea of Loeb [13] widely used in nonstandard analysis. Every probability measure can be interpreted as a relative frequency evaluated on a sample of hyperfinite size which we call *Loeb sample*. Classical first-order logic is more suited to formalizing samples and frequencies than measures which real-valued set functions.

2.3 Objectives

We present two objectives that have different degrees of feasibility.

The first project, that could take a few months of work, aims to reproduce some known results about Keisler measures using Loeb samples. A preliminary inquiry has shown that many proofs are sufficiently different to be of interest in their own right. This project can help to understand which notions better replace properties of Keisler measures. Loeb samples are slightly more general than Keisler measures, therefore the translation requires some scrutiny.

Many regularity phenomena in Ramsey theory have two forms: a partition and a density version. For instance, Szemerédi's Theorem is the density form of

van der Waerden’s Theorem, which is the partition version. The density form of a Ramsey theoretic result need not be true. When it is true its proof is always considerably more difficult than its partition version. For instance, the first proof of the Density Hales-Jewett Theorem required considerable effort and a sophisticated machinery borrowed from ergodic theory [6].

Model theory is a very convenient tool to prove partition regularity results [5]. Attempts have been made to apply model theory to prove density results (notably, [21] and [10]) but it is unclear if this is the best approach. We propose to apply Loeb samples. These offer a direct way to import the ideas in [5] into a setting apt to discuss the density version of many results of partition regularity.

References

- [1] Itai Ben Yaacov and H. Jerome Keisler, *Randomizations of models as metric structures*, Confluentes Math. **1** (2009), no. 2, 197–223, DOI 10.1142/S1793744209000080.
- [2] Artem Chernikov and Pierre Simon, *Externally definable sets and dependent pairs II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 7, 5217–5235, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2015-06210-2.
- [3] ———, *Definably amenable NIP groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2018), no. 3, 609–641, DOI 10.1090/jams/896.
- [4] Artem Chernikov and Sergei Starchenko, *Regularity lemma for distal structures*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **20** (2018), no. 10, 2437–2466, DOI 10.4171/JEMS/816.
- [5] Eugenio Colla and Domenico Zambella, *Ramsey coheirs* (2020), 1–17. Available at [arXiv:1901.04363](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04363).
- [6] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson, *A density version of the Hales-Jewett theorem*, J. Anal. Math. **57** (1991), 64–119, DOI 10.1007/BF03041066.
- [7] Kyle Gannon, *Local Keisler measures and NIP formulas*, J. Symb. Log. **84** (2019), no. 3, 1279–1292, DOI 10.1017/jsl.2019.34.
- [8] ———, *Sequential approximations for types and Keisler measures* (2021), 1–27. Available at [arXiv:2103.09946](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.09946).
- [9] Kyle Gannon, James Hanson, and Gabriel Conant, *Keisler measures in the wild* (2021), 1–54. Available at [arXiv:2103.09137](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.09137).
- [10] Isaac Goldbring and Henry Towsner, *An approximate logic for measures*, Israel J. Math. **199** (2014), no. 2, 867–913, DOI 10.1007/s11856-013-0054-3.
- [11] Ehud Hrushovski, Ya’acov Peterzil, and Anand Pillay, *Groups, measures, and the NIP*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 2, 563–596, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-07-00558-9.
- [12] H. Jerome Keisler, *Measures and forking*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **34** (1987), no. 2, 119–169, DOI 10.1016/0168-0072(87)90069-8.

- [13] ———, *Randomizing a model*, Adv. Math. **143** (1999), no. 1, 124–158, DOI 10.1006/aima.1998.1793.
- [14] Peter A. Loeb, *Conversion from nonstandard to standard measure spaces and applications in probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **211** (1975), 113–122, DOI 10.2307/1997222.
- [15] A. Onshuus and A. Pillay, *Definable groups and compact p -adic Lie groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **78** (2008), no. 1, 233–247, DOI 10.1112/jlms/jdn018.
- [16] Anand Pillay, *Domination and regularity*, Bull. Symb. Log. **26** (2020), no. 2, 103–117, DOI 10.1017/bsl.2020.40.
- [17] Pierre Simon, *Distal and non-distal NIP theories*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **164** (2013), no. 3, 294–318, DOI 10.1016/j.apal.2012.10.015.
- [18] ———, *A guide to NIP theories*, Lecture Notes in Logic, vol. 44, Association for Symbolic Logic, Chicago, IL; Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cambridge, 2015.
- [19] ———, *A note on “Regularity lemma for distal structures”*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 8, 3573–3578, DOI 10.1090/proc/13080.
- [20] Sergei Starchenko, *NIP, Keisler measures and combinatorics*, Astérisque **390** (2017), Exp. No. 1114, 303–334. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2015/2016. Exposés 1104–1119.
- [21] Henry Towsner, *A model theoretic proof of Szemerédi’s Theorem* (2011), 1–10. Available at [arXiv:1002.4456](https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.4456).

Domenico Zambella, curriculum vitae

Education and academic positions

- 2000-now Associate Professor
Department of Mathematics
Università di Torino
- 1995-2000 PostDoc
Institute for Logic, Language, and Computation
Universiteit van Amsterdam
- 1995 PhD Mathematics
Universiteit van Amsterdam
- 1985 MSc Physics
Università di Padova

2.4 PhD supervision

202? Eugenio Colla, *Ramsey monoids*, (tentative title)

2012 Daniele A.G. Vallino, *Algebraic and definable closure in free groups*.

Co-advisor: Abderezak Ould Houcine

Institut Camille Jordan

Université Claude Bernard-Lyon

Publications

- [1] Eugenio Colla and Domenico Zambella, *Ramsey coheirs* (2020), 1–17. T.a. in the J. Symbolic Logic, available at [arXiv:1901.04363](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04363).
- [2] Domenico Zambella, *On the diameter of Lascar strong types after Ludomir Newelski*, A tribute to Albert Visser, Tributes, vol. 30, Coll. Publ., [London], 2016, pp. 231–236.
- [3] ———, *Elementary classes of finite VC-dimension*, Arch. Math. Logic **54** (2015), no. 5-6, 511–520, DOI 10.1007/s00153-015-0424-0.
- [4] Stefano Baratella and Domenico Zambella, *The Real truth*, MLQ Math. Log. Q. **61** (2015), no. 1-2, 32–44, DOI 10.1002/malq.201300068.
- [5] Silvia Barbina and Domenico Zambella, *Generic expansions of countable models*, Notre Dame J. Form. Log. **53** (2012), no. 4, 511–523, DOI 10.1215/00294527-1722728.
- [6] Domenico Zambella, *Krull dimension of types in a class of first-order theories*, Turkish J. Math. **35** (2011), no. 2, 323–331.
- [7] Silvia Barbina and Domenico Zambella, *A viewpoint on amalgamation classes*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **51** (2010), no. 4, 681–691.
- [8] Antonella Mancini and Domenico Zambella, *A note on recursive models of set theories*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic **42** (2001), no. 2, 109–115 (2003), DOI 10.1305/ndjfl/1054837937.
- [9] Sebastiaan A. Terwijn and Domenico Zambella, *Computational randomness and lowness*, J. Symbolic Logic **66** (2001), no. 3, 1199–1205, DOI 10.2307/2695101.
- [10] Domenico Zambella, *Foundation versus induction in Kripke-Platek set theory*, J. Symbolic Logic **63** (1998), no. 4, 1399–1403, DOI 10.2307/2586657.
- [11] ———, *End extensions of models of linearly bounded arithmetic*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **88** (1997), no. 2-3, 263–277, DOI 10.1016/S0168-0072(97)00026-2. Joint AILA-KGS Model Theory Meeting (Florence, 1995).
- [12] ———, *Algebraic methods and bounded formulas*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic **38** (1997), no. 1, 37–48, DOI 10.1305/ndjfl/1039700695.
- [13] ———, *Forcing in finite structures*, Math. Logic Quart. **43** (1997), no. 3, 401–412, DOI 10.1002/malq.19970430313.

- [14] ———, *Notes on polynomially bounded arithmetic*, J. Symbolic Logic **61** (1996), no. 3, 942–966, DOI 10.2307/2275794.
- [15] ———, *Shavrukov’s theorem on the subalgebras of diagonalizable algebras for theories containing $I\Delta_0 + \exp$* , Notre Dame J. Formal Logic **35** (1994), no. 1, 147–157, DOI 10.1305/ndjfl/1040609301.
- [16] ———, *On the proofs of arithmetical completeness for interpretability logic*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic **33** (1992), no. 4, 542–551, DOI 10.1305/ndjfl/1093634485.
- [17] Domenico Zambella and Peter Grassberger, *Complexity of forecasting in a class of simple models*, Complex Systems **2** (1988), no. 3, 269–303.