

# Scratch paper

Anonymous  
Università di Torino  
April 2019

**Abstract** Poche idee, ben confuse.

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Samples

The **finite (fractional) expansion** of a structure  $M$  is a many-sorted expansion that has a sort for  $M$ , which we call the home-sort, a sort for  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of real numbers, and, for every  $n$ , a sort for the functions  $s : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that are almost always 0.

These functions are interpreted as **(signed and fractional) multisets**. Namely  $s(a)$  interpreted as the number of times  $a$  occurs in  $s$ . We use the following suggestive notation:

1.  $a \in s = |s(a)|$  this is called the **multiplicity** of  $a$  in  $s$ ;
2.  $|s| = \sum_{a \in M} a \in s$  this is called the **size** of  $s$ ;
3.  $|\{a \in s : \varphi(a; b)\}| = \sum_{a \models \varphi(x; b)} a \in s$ .

We call these multisets **samples** and refer to their sorts collectively as **sample-sort**.

We will write  $M^f$  for the expansion above. The language of  $M^f$  is denoted by  $L^f$ . It expands  $L$ , the language of rings, and the language of vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Each interpreted in the obvious sort. Moreover,  $L^f$  has a symbols for the functions in 1-3 above. In particular, 3 requires a symbol for every  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a monster model of cardinality  $\kappa$ , a cardinal larger than the cardinality of  $L$ . We introduce two elementary extension of  $\mathcal{U}^f$ .

**2.1 Definition** We denote by  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$  a saturated elementary extension of  $\mathcal{U}^f$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ . As all saturated models of cardinality  $\kappa$  are isomorphic, we can assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is the home-sort of  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{U}^{f*+}$  some elementary extension of  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$  that realizes all types in  $S(\mathcal{U}^{f*})$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{U}^f \prec \mathcal{U}^{f*} \prec \mathcal{U}^{f*+}$ . Elements of sample-sort in these models are called **finite samples**, **internal samples**, and **(external) samples** respectively.  $\square$

The use of  $\mathcal{U}^{f*+}$  is not ideal, it is introduced to replace the use of global types of sample-sort which also have drawbacks.

We write  $\text{supp}(s)$  for the **support** of  $s$ , that is, the set of those  $a$  in  $\mathcal{U}^{\text{f}*}$  such that  $a \in s$  is positive.

Let  $s$  be an external sample. For every formula  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and every  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  we define

$$\text{Av}_{x/s}\varphi(x; b) = \frac{|\{a \in s : \varphi(a; b)\}|}{|s|},$$

When possible we abbreviate  $\text{Av}_{x/s}\varphi(x; b)$  with  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b)$ .

Below,  $\varepsilon$  always ranges over the positive standard reals. If  $a$  and  $b$  are hyperreals, we write  $a \approx_\varepsilon b$  for  $|a - b| < \varepsilon$ . We write  $a \approx b$  if  $a \approx_\varepsilon b$  holds for every  $\varepsilon$ . We write  $\text{st}(a)$ , for the standard part of the hyperrational number  $a$ . That is, the unique real number  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \approx a$ .

The standard part of  $\text{Av}_s$  induces a finite probability measure on the algebra of definable subsets of  $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ . This measure has an unique extension to a Lebesgue probability measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra. This is known as the **Loeb measure**.

### 3 Some formula-by-formula notions

All definitions and facts in this section are relative to some given formula  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and some model  $M$ .

**3.1 Definition** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. We say that a sample  $s$  is **smooth** if, for every  $s' \equiv_M s$  and every  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ , we have  $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) \approx \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$ . □

**3.2 Definition** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. An external sample  $s$  is

1. **invariant** if, for every  $b, b' \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  such that  $b \equiv_M b'$ , we have  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx \bar{\varphi}(s; b')$ .
2. **definable** if, for every  $\varepsilon$ , the set  $\{b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|} : \bar{\varphi}(s; b) < \varepsilon\}$  is definable over  $M$ .
3. **satisfiable** if, for all  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ , if  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) > \varepsilon$ , then  $\varphi(r; b) > \varepsilon$  for some  $r \in M^{\text{f}}$ .
4. **generically stable** if all of the above hold. □

Smooth roughly means internal and invariant.

**3.3 Fact** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. The following are equivalent for every external sample  $s$

1.  $s$  is smooth;
2. there is an invariant internal sample  $s' \equiv_M s$ .

**Proof**  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ . Let  $s$  be smooth and let  $s' \equiv_M s$  be any internal sample. If  $b, b' \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  and  $b \equiv_M b'$ , then  $b' = fb$  for some  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}^{\text{f}*} / M)$ . Then  $\bar{\varphi}(s'; fb) \approx \bar{\varphi}(f^{-1}s'; b)$ . Moreover, by smoothness  $\bar{\varphi}(f^{-1}s'; b) \approx \bar{\varphi}(s'; b)$ . The invariance in  $s'$  follows.

$2 \Rightarrow 1$ . It suffices to prove that if  $s$  is internal and invariant then it is smooth. Suppose

for a contradiction that there is an  $s' \equiv_M s$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$ . Pick an internal sample  $s'' \equiv_{M, s} s'$ . Then  $\bar{\varphi}(s''; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$ . As  $s'' \equiv_M s$  are both in  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$ , then  $s'' = f s$  for some  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}^{f*}/M)$ . Hence we obtain  $\bar{\varphi}(s; f^{-1}b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$  which contradicts the invariance of  $s$ .  $\square$

**3.4 Fact** For any given  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$ , smooth samples are generically stable.

**Proof** Assume  $s$  is smooth and prove in turn 1-3 of Definition 3.2.

1. Invariance follows immediately from Fact 3.3.
2. Note that the set  $\{b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|} : \bar{\varphi}(s; b) < \varepsilon\}$  is definable in  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$ . In fact, by the smoothness of  $s$ , we may replace  $s$  with any internal sample  $s' \equiv_M s$ . By what proved above, this set is invariant over  $M$ . Therefore it is definable over  $M^f$ , or equivalently, over  $M$ .
3. Let  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  be such that  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) > \varepsilon$ . Pick a sample  $s'$  such that  $s \equiv_M s' \perp_{M^f} b$ . By the smoothness of  $s$ , we obtain  $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) > \varepsilon$ . Let  $r \in M^f$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}(r; b) > \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

The following is a useful characterization of smoothness.

**3.5 Lemma** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. The following are equivalent for every external sample  $s$

1.  $s$  is smooth;
2. for every  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  there is a finite sample  $r \in M^f$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r; b)$  (the sample  $r$  depends on  $\varepsilon$  and  $b$ );
3. there are some finite samples  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in M^f$  such that for every  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  we have  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r_i; b)$  for some  $r_i$  (the samples  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  as well as the number  $n$  depend on  $\varepsilon$ , but not on  $b$ );
4. there are finitely many formulas  $\vartheta_i(x) \in L(M)$  such that for every  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  we have that  $\vartheta_i(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x; b) \rightarrow \vartheta_j(x)$  and  $\bar{\vartheta}_j(s) - \bar{\vartheta}_i(s) < \varepsilon$  for some  $i, j$ .

**Proof**  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ . Let  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mu$  be the standard part of  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b)$ . Let  $s'$  be a sample such that  $s \equiv_M s' \perp_{M^f} b$ . By smoothness,  $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) \approx \mu$ . As  $s' \perp_{M^f} b$  there is an  $r \in M^f$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}(r; b) \approx_\varepsilon \mu$ .

$1 \Rightarrow 3$ . Let  $s' \equiv_M s$  be an internal sample. By smoothness we it suffices to prove 3 with  $s'$  for  $s$ . The type

$$p(z) = \left\{ \bar{\varphi}(s'; z) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r; z) : r \in M^f \right\}$$

is inconsistent by 2 (clearly  $s'$  is smooth). Hence compactness yields the required  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in M^f$ .

The proof of equivalence  $3 \Leftrightarrow 4$  is left to the reader. The other implications are evident.  $\square$

**3.6 Definition** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. Let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  be arbitrary. We say that  $s$

is (uniformly) approximable on  $\mathcal{B}$  if for every  $\varepsilon$  there is a finite sample  $r \in M^f$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}(s, b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r, b)$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . (The sample  $r$  depends on  $\varepsilon$ , not on  $b$ .)  $\square$

**3.7 Lemma** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. Let  $s$  be approximable on  $\mathcal{B}$  and such that  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) > \varepsilon$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then there is a finite cover of  $\mathcal{B}$ , say  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n$ , such that all the types  $p_i(x) = \{\varphi(x; b) : b \in \mathcal{B}_i\}$  are consistent.

**Proof** Let  $r \in M^f$  be as in Definition 3.6. As  $r$  is finite, we may assume that  $\text{supp}(r) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_i = \{b \in \mathcal{B} : \varphi(a_i; b)\}$ . As  $\bar{\varphi}(r; b) > 0$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , these  $\mathcal{B}_i$  are the required cover of  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

**3.8 Corollary** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. If  $s$  be approximable on  $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$ , where  $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$  is a sequence of indiscernibles such that  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b_i) > \varepsilon$  for every  $i < \omega$ , then the type  $\{\varphi(x; b_i) : i < \omega\}$  is consistent.  $\square$

**3.9 Corollary** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given. Let  $s$  be approximable on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon$  there is a pair of distinct  $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{Av}_{x/s}[\varphi(x; c) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; c')] < \varepsilon$

**Proof** Suppose for a contradiction that  $\text{Av}_{x/s}[\varphi(x; c) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; c')] \geq \varepsilon$  for all distinct  $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ . Apply Lemma 3.7 to the formula  $\psi(x; z, z') = [\varphi(x; z) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; z')]$  and the set  $\mathcal{B} = \{\langle c, c' \rangle \in \mathcal{C}^2 : c \neq c'\}$ . The sets  $\mathcal{B}_i$  obtained from Lemma 3.7 induce a finite coloring of the complete graph on  $\mathcal{C}$ . By the Ramsey theorem there is an infinite monochromatic set  $A \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $\{\varphi(x; a) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; a') : a, a' \in A, a \neq a'\}$  is consistent. As  $|A| > 2$ , this is impossible.  $\square$

## 4 The nip formulas

**4.1 Theorem** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  and  $M$  be given and assume that  $\varphi(x; z)$  is nip. Then every sample is approximable on  $M$  over  $M$ .

**Proof** Questo è Vapnik-Chervonenkis.  $\square$

For smooth samples the Vapnik-Chervonenkis Theorem can be strengthened as follows. (The difference is that below  $b$  ranges over  $\mathcal{U}^{[z]}$ .)

**4.2 Corollary** Let  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  be nip. Every smooth sample  $s$  is approximable on  $\mathcal{U}$  over  $M$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 4.1, there is an  $r \in M^f$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r; b)$  for all  $b \in M^{[z]}$ .

Suppose for a contradiction that  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b') \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r; b')$  for some  $b' \in \mathcal{U}^{[z]}$ . Pick a  $s' \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{[x]}$  such that  $b' \downarrow_M s' \equiv_M s$ . By the smoothness of  $s$ , we obtain  $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b') \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r; b')$ . As  $b' \downarrow_M s'$ , the same formula holds for some  $b \in M^{[z]}$ . Once again by smoothness,  $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(r; b)$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

**4.3 Definition** We say that  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  is distal if there is a formula  $\psi(x; z_1, \dots, z_n) \in L$

such that for every finite set  $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  and every  $a \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$  there are  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  such that  $\psi(a; b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $\psi(x; b_1, \dots, b_n)$  decides all formulas  $\vartheta(x) \in L(B)$ .  $\square$

**4.4 Theorem (false)** *The following are equivalent*

1.  $\varphi(x; z) \in L$  is distal;
2. for every sample  $s \in \mathcal{U}_*^{f^+}$ , if  $s$  is generically stable then  $s$  is smooth.