## Scratch paper

Anonymous Università di Torino April 2019

Abstract Poche idee, ben confuse.

## 1 Introduction

## **Preliminaries**

The finite expansion of a structure M is a many-sorted expansion that has a sort for M, which we call the home-sort, a sort for the natural numbers, and a sort for the finite multisets of elements of  $M^n$ , for any  $n < \omega$ . Recall that a multiset is a function  $s: M \to \omega$ . We interpret s(s) as the number of occurreces of a in s. For this reason, we use the following suggestive notation:

1. 
$$a \in s = s(a)$$
 this is called the multiplicity of  $a$  in  $s$ ;

2. 
$$|s| = \sum_{a \in M} a \in s$$
 this is called the size of  $s$ ;

2. 
$$|s| = \sum_{a \in M} a \in s$$

$$|\{a \in s : \varphi(a;b)\}| = \sum_{a \models \varphi(x;b)} a \in s$$

We call these multisets samples of  $M^n$ .

We will write  $M^f$  for the expansion above. The language of  $M^f$  is denoted by  $L^f$ . It expands L and the language of arithmetic. Moreover,  $L^f$  has a symbols for the functions in 1-3 above. In particular, 3 requires a symbol for every  $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ .

For ease of exposition we may also use rational numbers, though these need not have their own sort as they are interpretable in the naturar numbers.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a monster model of cardinality  $\kappa$ , an inaccessible cardinal larger than the cardinality of L. The hyperfinite expansion of  $\mathcal{U}$  is a saturated elementary extension of  $\mathcal{U}^f$  of cardinality  $\kappa$ . We will write  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$  for this expansion. As all saturated models of cardinality  $\kappa$  are isomorphic, we can assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is the home-sort of  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$ .

We write S(s) for the support of s, that is, the subset of  $U^n$  where  $x \in s$  is positive. Note that its cardinality is either finite of  $\kappa$ . If s is a sample in  $\mathcal{U}^{f*}$ , we write  $s \subseteq \mathcal{U}^n$ as a shorthand of  $S(s) \subseteq U^n$ .

**2.1 Fact** Every model M is the domain of the home-sort of some  $M^f \leq U^{f*}$ .

Proof Difficile da scrivere senza hand-waving.

П

Let  $s\subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$  be a sample. For every formula  $\varphi(x;z)\in L$  and every  $b\in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  we define

$$\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) = \frac{\left|\left\{a \in s : \varphi(a;b)\right\}\right|}{|s|},$$

Below,  $\varepsilon$  always ranges over the positive standard rational. If a and b are hyperrationals, we write  $a \approx_\varepsilon b$  for  $|a-b| < \varepsilon$ . We write  $a \approx b$  if  $a \approx_\varepsilon b$  holds for every  $\varepsilon$ . If  $\mu$  is a real number we write  $a \approx_\varepsilon \mu$  if there is a standard rational  $b \approx_{\varepsilon/2} a$  such that  $|b-\mu| < \varepsilon/2$  (this last inequality is evaluated in the standard real line). We write  $\operatorname{st}(a)$ , for the standard part of the hyperrational number a. That is, the unique real number  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \approx a$ .

The standard part of  $Av_s$  induces a finite probability measure on the algebra of definable subsets of  $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ . This measure has an unique extension to a Lebesgue probability measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra. This is known as the Loeb measure.

**2.2 Theorem** Let  $\varphi(x;z) \in L$  be a nip formula. Let M be a model. For every sample  $s \subseteq \mathfrak{U}^{|x|}$ , and every  $\varepsilon$ , there is a sample  $s_{M,\varepsilon} \subseteq M^{|x|}$  such that  $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_{M,\varepsilon}} \varphi(x;b)$  for all  $b \in M^{|z|}$ .

Moreover, the cardinality of  $s_{M,\epsilon}$  soley depends on  $\epsilon$ , namely

$$|s_{M,\varepsilon}| \le c \frac{k}{\varepsilon^2} \ln \frac{k}{\varepsilon}$$

where c is an absolute constant.

**Proof** Questo è Vapnik-Chervonenkis.

**2.3 Remark** ??? When the model M in the theorem above is sufficiently (?) saturated, we can claim that there is a (necessarily finite) sample  $s_M \subseteq M^{|x|}$  such that  $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx \operatorname{Av}_{s_M} \varphi(x;b)$ .

**2.4 Definition ???** Let  $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ . We say that the sample  $s \subseteq U^{|x|}$  is invariant for  $\varphi(x;z)$  over A if, for every  $s' \equiv_A s$  and every  $b \in U^{|z|}$ , we have  $Av_s \varphi(x;b) \approx Av_{s'} \varphi(x;b)$ .

For invariant samples the Vapnik-Chervonenkis Theorem can be strengthened as follows.

**2.5 Corollary** Let  $\varphi(x;z) \in L$  be a nip formula. Let M be any model. For every sample  $s \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$  that is invariant for  $\varphi(x;z)$  over M, and every  $\varepsilon$ , there is a sample  $s_{M,\varepsilon} \subseteq M^{|x|}$  such that  $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_{M,\varepsilon}} \varphi(x;b)$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ .

**Proof** By the theorem above, there is a sample  $s_{M,\varepsilon} \subseteq M^{|x|}$  such that  $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_{M,\varepsilon}} \varphi(x;b)$  for all  $b \in M^{|z|}$ .

Suppose for a contradiction that  $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b') \not\approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_{M,\varepsilon}} \varphi(x;b')$  for some  $b' \in \mathbb{U}^{|z|}$ . Pick a sample  $s' \subseteq \mathbb{U}^{|x|}$  such that  $b' \downarrow_M s' \equiv_M s$ . By invariance,  $\operatorname{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x;b') \not\approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_{M,\varepsilon}} \varphi(x;b')$ . As  $b' \downarrow_M s'$ , the same formula holds for some  $b \in M^{|z|}$ . Once again by invariance,  $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \not\approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_{M,\varepsilon}} \varphi(x;b)$ . A contradiction.

The following is stronger form of invariance.

| 2.6 | <b>Definition ???</b> We say that a sample $s \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{ x }$ is smooth for $\varphi(x;z)$ over $A$ if for any other                         |  |
|-----|---|--|
|     | sample $s'$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx \operatorname{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x;b)$ for all $b \in M^{ z }$ , the same equivalence holds |  |
|     | for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{ z }$ .   |  |

**2.7 Definition ???** A sample  $s \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$  is finitely satisfiable for  $\varphi(x;z)$  in M if, for every  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$  such that  $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \not\approx_{\varepsilon} 0$ , there is  $a \in M^{|z|}$  such that  $\varphi(a;b)$ .

We say that s is generically stable for  $\varphi(x;z)$  over M if it is both invariant and finitely satisfiable for  $\varphi(x;z)$  over M.

- **2.8 Definition ???** A formula  $\varphi(x;z) \in L$  is distal if there is a formula  $\psi(x;z_1,...z_n) \in L$  such that for every finite sample s and every  $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$  there are  $a_1,...,a_n \in s^{|z|}$  such that  $\psi(x;a_1,...,a_n) \leftrightarrow p(x)$ , where  $p(x) = \operatorname{tp}_{\varphi}(b/...s)$ .
- **2.9 Theorem (false)** The following are equivalent
  - 1.  $\varphi(x;z) \in L$  is distal;
  - 2. *if s is generically stable for*  $\varphi(x;z)$  *over M then s is smooth for*  $\varphi(x;z)$  *over M.*