

Scratch paper

Anonymous
Università di Torino
April 2019

Abstract Poche idee, ben confuse.

1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries

The **finite (fractional) expansion** of a structure M is a many-sorted expansion that has a sort for M , which we call the home-sort, a sort for \mathbb{R} , the set of real numbers, and a sort for the finite fractional multisets of elements of M^n , for any $n < \omega$. A **(fractional) multiset** is a function $s : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$. We say that s is **finite** if it is almost always 0. We interpret $s(a)$ as the number of occurrences of a in s . For this reason, we use the following suggestive notation:

1. $a \in s = s(a)$ this is called the **multiplicity** of a in s ;
2. $|s| = \sum_{a \in M} a \in s$ this is called the **size** of s ;
3. $|\{a \in s : \varphi(a; b)\}| = \sum_{a \models \varphi(x; b)} a \in s$

We say **sample-sort** for the sort of these multisets.

We will write M^f for the expansion above. The language of M^f is denoted by L^f . It expands L and the language of arithmetic. Moreover, L^f has a symbols for the functions in 1-3 above. In particular, claim 3 requires a symbol for every $\varphi(x; z) \in L$.

Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of cardinality κ , a cardinal larger than the cardinality of L . We introduce two elementary extension of \mathcal{U}^f .

2.1 Definition We denote by \mathcal{U}^{f*} a saturated elementary extension of \mathcal{U}^f of cardinality κ . As all saturated models of cardinality κ are isomorphic, we can assume that \mathcal{U} is the home-sort of \mathcal{U}^{f*} . We denote by \mathcal{U}^{f*+} some elementary extension of \mathcal{U}^{f*} that realizes all types in $S(\mathcal{U}^{f*})$. Hence we have $\mathcal{U}^f \prec \mathcal{U}^{f*} \prec \mathcal{U}^{f*+}$. Elements of sample-sort in these models are called **finite samples**, **samples**, and **external samples** respectively. \square

The use of \mathcal{U}^{f*+} is not ideal, it is introduced to replace the use of global types of sample-sort which also have drawbacks.

We write $\text{supp}(s)$ for the **support** of s , that is, the set of those a in \mathcal{U}^{f*+} such that $a \in s$ is positive.

Let s be an external sample. For every formula $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ we define

$$\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) = \frac{|\{a \in s : \varphi(a; b)\}|}{|s|},$$

Below, ε always ranges over the positive standard reals. If a and b are hyperreals, we write $a \approx_\varepsilon b$ for $|a - b| < \varepsilon$. We write $a \approx b$ if $a \approx_\varepsilon b$ holds for every ε . We write $\text{st}(a)$, for the standard part of the hyperrational number a . That is, the unique real number μ such that $\mu \approx a$.

The standard part of Av_s induces a finite probability measure on the algebra of definable subsets of $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$. This measure has a unique extension to a Lebesgue probability measure on a σ -algebra. This is known as the **Loeb measure**.

2.2 Theorem *Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ be a nip formula. Let M be a model. For every external sample s , and every ε , there is a finite sample $s_{M, \varepsilon} \in M^f$ such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) \approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_{s_{M, \varepsilon}} \varphi(x; b)$ for all $b \in M^{|z|}$.*

Moreover, the cardinality of $s_{M, \varepsilon}$ solely depends on ε , namely

$$|s_{M, \varepsilon}| \leq c \frac{k}{\varepsilon^2} \ln \frac{k}{\varepsilon}$$

where c is an absolute constant and k is the VC-dimension of $\varphi(x; z)$.

Proof Questo è Vapnik-Chervonenkis. □

2.3 Lemma *For every nip formula $\varphi(x; z) \in L$, every external sample s and every infinite set $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) > \varepsilon$ for every $b \in B$, there is a finite cover of B , say B_1, \dots, B_n , such that all types $p_i(x) = \{\varphi(x; b) : b \in B_i\}$ are consistent.*

Proof Let M be any model containing B . Fix some $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. Let $s_{M, \varepsilon'}$ be the hyper given by Theorem 2.2. Then $\text{supp}(s_{M, \varepsilon'})$ is finite, say $\text{supp}(s_{M, \varepsilon'}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Let $B_i = \{b \in B : \varphi(a_i; b)\}$. As $\text{Av}_{s_{M, \varepsilon'}} \varphi(x; b) > 0$ for all $b \in B$, these B_i are the required cover of B . □

2.4 Corollary *Let $\psi(x; z) \in L$ be a nip formula and let s be an external sample. Then there is no infinite set C such that $\text{Av}_s [\psi(x; c) \nleftrightarrow \psi(x; c')] > \varepsilon$ for all $c, c' \in C$, $c \neq c'$.*

Proof Suppose for a contradiction that a set C as above exists. Apply Lemma 2.3 to the formula $\varphi(x; z, z') = [\psi(x; z) \nleftrightarrow \psi(x; z')]$ and the set $B = \{\langle c, c' \rangle \in C^2 : c \neq c'\}$. The sets B_i obtained from the lemma induce a finite coloring of the complete graph on C . By the Ramsey theorem there is an infinite monochromatic set $A \subseteq C$. Hence $\{\psi(x; a) \nleftrightarrow \psi(x; a') : a, a' \in A, a \neq a'\}$ is consistent. This is impossible for $|A| > 2$. □

The following is another immediate consequence of the lemma (it holds also when $\varphi(x; z)$ is not nip, though the proof is lengthier).

2.5 Corollary *For every nip formula $\varphi(x; z) \in L$, every external sample s and every sequence of indiscernibles $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$ such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b_i) > \varepsilon$ for every $i < \omega$, the type*

$\{\varphi(x; b_i) : i < \omega\}$ is consistent. \square

2.6 Definition Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$. We say that the external sample s is *smooth* for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M if, for every $s' \equiv_M s$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, we have $\text{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x; b) \approx \text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b)$. \square

It follows immediately that if s is smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M , then there is an internal sample $s' \in \mathcal{U}^{\text{f*}}$ such that $\text{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x; b) \approx \text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$.

2.7 Definition Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$. We say that the external sample s is *invariant* for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M if, for every $b' \equiv_M b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, we have $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) \approx \text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b')$. \square

As automorphisms of \mathcal{U} extend to automorphisms of $\mathcal{U}^{\text{f*}}$, hence an external sample that is smooth over M is invariant over M . The converse need not be true in general, but we have the following.

2.8 Fact For every internal sample s following are equivalent

1. s is invariant for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M ;
2. s is smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M .

Proof As remarked above, implication $2 \Rightarrow 1$ holds for every external sample. We prove $1 \Rightarrow 2$. Let $s \in \mathcal{U}^{\text{f*}}$ be an invariant internal sample. Assume for a contradiction that $\text{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b)$ for some $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ and some external sample $s' \equiv_M s$. Pick a internal sample $s'' \equiv_{M, s} s'$. Then $\text{Av}_{s''} \varphi(x; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b)$. As $s'' \equiv_M s$ are both in $\mathcal{U}^{\text{f*}}$, this contradicts the invariance of s . \square

For smooth external samples the Vapnik-Chervonenkis Theorem can be strengthened as follows. (The difference is that below b ranges over $\mathcal{U}^{|z|}$.)

2.9 Corollary Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ be nip. For every external sample s that is smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M there is a sample $s_{M, \varepsilon} \in M^{\text{f}}$ such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) \approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_{s_{M, \varepsilon}} \varphi(x; b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$.

Proof By Theorem 2.2, there is an $s_{M, \varepsilon} \in M^{\text{f}}$ such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) \approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_{s_{M, \varepsilon}} \varphi(x; b)$ for all $b \in M^{|z|}$.

Suppose for a contradiction that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b') \not\approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_{s_{M, \varepsilon}} \varphi(x; b')$ for some $b' \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Pick a $s' \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ such that $b' \downarrow_M s' \equiv_M s$. By the smoothness of s , we obtain $\text{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x; b') \not\approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_{s_{M, \varepsilon}} \varphi(x; b')$. As $b' \downarrow_M s'$, the same formula holds for some $b \in M^{|z|}$. Once again by smoothness, $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_{s_{M, \varepsilon}} \varphi(x; b)$. A contradiction. \square

The following non-uniform version of the corollary above holds without assumption of nip.

2.10 Lemma The following are equivalent for every external sample s

1. s is smooth over M ;

2. for every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ there is an $s_b \in M^f$ such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) \approx_\varepsilon \text{Av}_{s_b} \varphi(x; b)$.

Proof Implication $2 \Rightarrow 1$ is clear. We prove $1 \Rightarrow 2$, so, fix a $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Let μ be the standard part of $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b)$. Let s' be an external sample such that $s \equiv_M s' \perp_{M^f} b$. By smoothness, $\text{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x; b) \approx \mu$. As $s' \perp_{M^f} b$ there is an $s_b \in M^f$ such that $\text{Av}_{s_b} \varphi(x; b) \approx_\varepsilon \mu$. \square

2.11 Definition A external sample s is *finitely satisfiable* for $\varphi(x; z)$ in M if, for every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) \not\approx 0$, there is a $a \in M^{|z|}$ such that $\varphi(a; b)$. \square

2.12 Fact Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$. Let M be any model. Every external sample s that is smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M , is finitely satisfied in M .

Proof Let $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ be such that $\text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) > \varepsilon$. Pick a external sample s' such that $s \equiv_M s' \perp_{M^f} b$. By the smoothness of s , we obtain $\text{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x; b) > \varepsilon$. Let $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\text{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x; b) > \varepsilon$. Clearly, some element of $\text{supp}(s_0)$ proves the fact. \square

2.13 Definition A external sample s is *definable* for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M if, for every ε , the set $\{b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|} : \text{Av}_s \varphi(x; b) < \varepsilon\}$ is definable over M . \square

2.14 Definition We say that s is *generically stable* for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M if it is both definable and finitely satisfiable for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M . \square

2.15 Definition A formula $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ is *distal* if there is a formula $\psi(x; z_1, \dots, z_n) \in L$ such that for every finite sample $s \in \mathcal{U}^f$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ there are $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{supp}(s)$ such that $\psi(x; a_1, \dots, a_n) \leftrightarrow p(x)$, where $p(x) = \text{tp}_\varphi(b / \text{supp}(s))$. \square

2.16 Theorem (false) The following are equivalent

1. $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ is distal;
2. if s is generically stable for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M then s is smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M .