Scratch paper

Anonymous Università di Torino April 2019

Abstract Poche idee, ben confuse.

1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries

The finite (fractional) expansion of a structure M is a many-sorted expansion that has a sort for M, which we call the home-sort, a sort for \mathbb{R} , the set of real numbers, and, for every n, a sort for the functions $s:M^n \to \mathbb{R}$ that are almost always 0.

We will be maily interested in function that take non negatove values. These will be interpreted as (fractional) multisets. Namely s(a) interpreted as the number of occurreces of a in s. For this reason, we use the following suggestive notation:

1.
$$a \in s = s(a)$$
 this is called the multiplicity of a in s ;

2.
$$|s| = \sum_{a \in M} a \in s$$
 this is called the size of s ;

3.
$$\left|\left\{a \in s : \varphi(a;b)\right\}\right| = \sum_{a \models \varphi(x;b)} a \in s.$$

We call these multisets samples and refer to their sorts collectively as sample-sort.

We will write M^f for the expansion above. The language of M^f is denoted by L^f . It expands L, the language of rings, and the language of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} , which are interpreted in their natural sort. Moreover, L^f has a symbols for the functions in 1-3 above. In particular, claim 3 requires a symbol for every $\varphi(x;z) \in L$.

Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of cardinality κ , a cardinal larger than the cardinality of L. We introduce two elementary extension of \mathcal{U}^f .

2.1 Definition We denote by $\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}_*}$ a saturated elementary extension of $\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}}$ of cardinality κ . As all saturated models of cardinality κ are isomorphic, we can assume that \mathfrak{U} is the homesort of $\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}_*}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}_*}$ some elementary extension of $\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}_*}$ that realizes all types in $S(\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}_*})$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}} \prec \mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}_*} \prec \mathfrak{U}^{\mathfrak{f}_*}$. Elements of sample-sort in these models are called finite samples, internal samples, and external samples respectively.

The use of $\mathcal{U}_*^{f_*}$ is not ideal, it is introduced to replace the use of global types of sample-sort which also have drawbacks.

We write supp(s) for the support of s, that is, the set of those a in $\mathcal{U}_*^{f_*}$ such that $a \in s$ is positive.

Let s be an external sample. For every formula $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ we define

$$\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) = \frac{\left|\left\{a \in s : \varphi(a;b)\right\}\right|}{|s|},$$

Below, ε always ranges over the positive standard reals. If a and b are hyperreals, we write $a \approx_{\varepsilon} b$ for $|a - b| < \varepsilon$. We write $a \approx b$ if $a \approx_{\varepsilon} b$ holds for every ε . We write $\operatorname{st}(a)$, for the standard part of the hyperrational number a. That is, the unique real number μ such that $\mu \approx a$.

The standard part of Av_s induces a finite probability measure on the algebra of definable subsets of $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$. This measure has an unique extension to a Lebesgue probability measure on a σ -algebra. This is known as the Loeb measure.

- **2.2 Definition** We say that the external sample s is smooth for $\varphi(x;z)$ over M if, for every $s' \equiv_M s$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, we have $\operatorname{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x;b) \approx \operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b)$.
- **2.3 Definition** Let $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ and let M be a model. An external sample s is
 - 1. invariant if, for every $b' \equiv_M b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, we have $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx \operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b')$.
 - 2. definable if, for every ε , the set $\{b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|} : \operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) < \varepsilon\}$ is definable over M.
 - 3. satisfiable if, for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, if $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \not\approx 0$, then $\varphi(a;b)$ for some $a \in M^{|z|}$.
 - 4. generically stable if all of the above holds.

When $\varphi(x;z)$ and M are not clear from the context we add: for $\varphi(x;z)$ over/in M.

2.4 Fact Smooth samples are generically stable. (For some given $\varphi(x;z)$ and M.)

Proof We prove in turn 1-3 of the definition above.

- 1. If s is smooth for $\varphi(x;z)$ over M, then there is an internal sample $s' \in \mathcal{U}^{f_*}$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x;b) \approx \operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Then 1 follows.
- 2. The set $\{b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|} : \operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) < \varepsilon\}$ is definable in \mathcal{U}^{f_*} because, by invariance of s, we may replace s with any internal sample $s' \equiv_M s$. As this set is invariat over M, it is definable over M^f and therefore, over M.
- 3. Let $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ be such that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) > \varepsilon$. Pick a external sample s' such that $s \equiv_M s' \downarrow_{M^f} b$. By the smoothness of s, we obtain $\operatorname{Av}_{s'} \varphi(x;b) > \varepsilon$. Let $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x;b) > \varepsilon$. Clearly, some element of $\operatorname{supp}(s_0)$ proves 3.

Smooth samples are invariant. The converse need not be true in general, but we have the following.

- **2.5 Fact** For every internal sample s, the following are equivalent
 - 1. s is invariant for $\varphi(x;z)$ over M;

2. s is smooth for $\varphi(x;z)$ over M.

Proof By the fact above, implication $2\Rightarrow 1$ holds for every external sample. We prove $1\Rightarrow 2$. Let $s\in \mathcal{U}^{f_*}$ be an invariat internal sample. Assume for a contradiction that $\operatorname{Av}_{s'}\varphi(x;b)\not\approx_{\varepsilon}\operatorname{Av}_{s}\varphi(x;b)$ for some $b\in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ and some external sample $s'\equiv_{M} s$. Pick a internal sample $s''\equiv_{M,s} s'$. Then $\operatorname{Av}_{s''}\varphi(x;b)\not\approx_{\varepsilon}\operatorname{Av}_{s}\varphi(x;b)$. As $s''\equiv_{M} s$ are both in \mathcal{U}^{f_*} , this contradicts the invariance of s.

The following is a useful characterization of smoothness.

- **2.6 Lemma** The following are equivalent for every external sample s
 - 1. s is smooth over M;
 - 2. for every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ there is a finite sample $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x;b)$. (The sample s_0 depends on M, epsilon and b.)
 - 3. there are some finite samples $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in M^f$ such that for every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ we have $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_i} \varphi(x;b)$ for some s_i . (The samples s_1, \ldots, s_n as well as the number n depend on ε and M.)

Proof Implication $3\Rightarrow 1$ is clear. We prove $1\Rightarrow 2$, so, fix a $b\in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Let μ be the standard part of $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b)$ Let s' be an external sample such that $s\equiv_M s'\downarrow_{M^f}b$. By smoothness, $\operatorname{Av}_{s'}\varphi(x;b)\approx \mu$. As $s'\downarrow_{M^f}b$ there is an $s_0\in M^f$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_{s_0}\varphi(x;b)\approx_{\varepsilon}\mu$.

We prove $2\Rightarrow 3$ by compactness. Suppose not, then the following global type is dinitely consistent, hence realized in $\mathcal{U}_*^{f_*^+}$

$$p(y) \ = \ \left\{ \operatorname{Av}_y \varphi(x,b) \not\approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x;b) \, : \, s_0 \in M^{\mathrm{f}}, \, b \in \mathfrak{U}^{|z|} \right\}$$

This is a contradiction.

2.7 Theorem Let $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ be a nip formula. For every external sample s there is a finite sample $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x;b)$ for all $b \in M^{|z|}$. (The sample s_0 depends on ε and M.)

Moreover, the cardinality of s_0 soley depends on ε , namely

$$|s_0| \le c \frac{k}{\varepsilon^2} \ln \frac{k}{\varepsilon}$$

where c is an absolute constant and k is the VC-dimension of $\varphi(x;z)$.

Proof Questo è Vapnik-Chervonenkis.

For smooth external samples the Vapnik-Chervonenkis Theorem can be strengthened as follows. (The difference is that below b ranges over $\mathcal{U}^{|z|}$.)

2.8 Corollary Let $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ be nip. For every smooth external sample s there is a finite sample $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $Av_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} Av_{s_0} \varphi(x;b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$.

Proof By Theorem 2.7, there is an $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x;b)$ for

all $b \in M^{|z|}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b') \not\approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x;b')$ for some $b' \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Pick a $s' \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ such that $b' \downarrow_M s' \equiv_M s$. By the smoothness of s, we obtain $\operatorname{Av}_{s'}\varphi(x;b') \not\approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_0}\varphi(x;b')$. As $b' \downarrow_M s'$, the same formula holds for some $b \in$ $M^{|z|}$. Once again by smoothness, $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) \not\approx_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Av}_{s_0} \varphi(x;b)$. A contradiction. **2.9 Lemma** For every nip formula $\varphi(x;z) \in L$, every external sample s and every infinite set $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b) > \varepsilon$ for every $b \in B$, there is a finite cover of B, say B_1, \ldots, B_n , such that all types $p_i(x) = \{\varphi(x;b) : b \in B_i\}$ are consistent. **Proof** Let M be any model containing B. Fix some $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. Let $s_{M,\varepsilon'}$ be the hyper given by Theorem 2.7. Then supp $(s_{M,\varepsilon'})$ is finite, say supp $(s_{M,\varepsilon'}) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. Let $B_i = \{b \in B : \varphi(a_i; b)\}$. As $\operatorname{Av}_{s_{M,s'}} \varphi(x; b) > 0$ for all $b \in B$, these B_i are the required cover of B. **2.10 Corollary** Let $\psi(x;z) \in L$ be a nip formula and let s be an external sample. Then there is no infinite set C such that $\operatorname{Av}_s[\psi(x;c) \leftrightarrow \psi(x;c')] > \varepsilon$ for all $c,c' \in C$, $c \neq c'$. **Proof** Suppose for a contradiction that a set *C* as above exists. Apply Lemma 2.9 to the folmula $\varphi(x;z,z') = [\psi(x;z) \leftrightarrow \psi(x;z')]$ and the set $B = \{\langle c,c' \rangle \in C^2 : c \neq c' \}$. The sets B_i obtained from the lemma induce a finite coloring of the complete graph

The following is another immediate consequence of the lemma (it holds also when $\varphi(x;z)$ is not nip, though the proof is lengthier).

on *C*. By the Ramsey theorem there is an infinite monochromatic set $A \subseteq C$. Hence $\{\psi(x;a) \leftrightarrow \psi(x;a') : a,a' \in A, a \neq a'\}$ is consistent. This is impossible for |A| > 2. \square

- **2.11 Corollary** For every nip formula $\varphi(x;z) \in L$, every external sample s and every sequence of indiscernibles $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$ such that $\operatorname{Av}_s \varphi(x;b_i) > \varepsilon$ for every $i < \omega$, the type $\{\varphi(x;b_i): i < \omega\}$ is consistent.
- **2.12 Definition** A formula $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ is distal if there is a formula $\psi(x;z_1,...z_n) \in L$ such that for every finite set $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^f$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ there are $a_1,...,a_n \in \operatorname{supp}(s)$ such that $\psi(x;a_1,...,a_n) \leftrightarrow p(x)$, where $p(x) = \operatorname{tp}_{\varphi}(b/A)$.
- **2.13 Theorem (false)** The following are equivalent
 - 1. $\varphi(x;z) \in L$ is distal;
 - 2. for every external sample $s \in U_*^{f_*}$, if s is generically stable for $\varphi(x;z)$ over M then s is smooth for $\varphi(x;z)$ over M.