

Scratch paper

Anonymous
Università di Torino
April 2019

Abstract Poche idee, ben confuse.

1 Introduction

2 Samples

The **finite (fractional) expansion** of a structure M is a many-sorted expansion that has a sort for M , which we call the home-sort, a sort for \mathbb{R} , the set of real numbers, and, for every n , a sort for the functions $s : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are almost always 0.

These functions will be interpreted as **(signed and fractional) multisets**. Namely $s(a)$ interpreted as the number of times a occurs in s . We use the following suggestive notation:

1. $a \in s = s(a)$ this is called the **multiplicity** of a in s ;
2. $|s| = \sum_{a \in M} a \in s$ this is called the **size** of s ;
3. $|\{a \in s : \varphi(a; b)\}| = \sum_{a \models \varphi(x; b)} a \in s$.

We call these multisets **samples** and refer to their sorts collectively as **sample-sort**.

We will write M^f for the expansion above. The language of M^f is denoted by L^f . It expands L , the language of rings, and the language of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . Each interpreted in the obvious sort. Moreover, L^f has a symbols for the functions in 1-3 above. In particular, 3 requires a symbol for every $\varphi(x; z) \in L$.

Let \mathcal{U} be a monster model of cardinality κ , a cardinal larger than the cardinality of L . We introduce two elementary extension of \mathcal{U}^f .

2.1 Definition We denote by \mathcal{U}^{f*} a saturated elementary extension of \mathcal{U}^f of cardinality κ . As all saturated models of cardinality κ are isomorphic, we can assume that \mathcal{U} is the home-sort of \mathcal{U}^{f*} . We denote by \mathcal{U}^{f*+} some elementary extension of \mathcal{U}^{f*} that realizes all types in $S(\mathcal{U}^{f*})$. Hence we have $\mathcal{U}^f \prec \mathcal{U}^{f*} \prec \mathcal{U}^{f*+}$. Elements of sample-sort in these models are called **finite samples**, **internal samples**, and **(external) samples** respectively. \square

The use of \mathcal{U}^{f*+} is not ideal, it is introduced to replace the use of global types of sample-sort which also have drawbacks.

We write $\text{supp}(s)$ for the **support** of s , that is, the set of those a in \mathcal{U}^{f*} such that $a \in s$ is positive.

Let s be an external sample. For every formula $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ we define

$$\text{Av}_{x/s}\varphi(x; b) = \frac{|\{a \in s : \varphi(a; b)\}|}{|s|},$$

When possible we abbreviate $\text{Av}_{x/s}\varphi(x; b)$ with $\bar{\varphi}(s; b)$.

Below, ε always ranges over the positive standard reals. If a and b are hyperreals, we write $a \approx_\varepsilon b$ for $|a - b| < \varepsilon$. We write $a \approx b$ if $a \approx_\varepsilon b$ holds for every ε . We write $\text{st}(a)$, for the standard part of the hyperrational number a . That is, the unique real number μ such that $\mu \approx a$.

The standard part of Av_s induces a finite probability measure on the algebra of definable subsets of $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$. This measure has an unique extension to a Lebesgue probability measure on a σ -algebra. This is known as the **Loeb measure**.

2.2 Definition Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. We say that a sample s is **smooth** if, for every $s' \equiv_M s$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, we have $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) \approx \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$. When $\varphi(x; z)$ and M are not clear from the context we will say **smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M** . \square

2.3 Definition Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. An external sample s is

1. **invariant** if, for every $b' \equiv_M b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, we have $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx \bar{\varphi}(s; b')$.
2. **definable** if, for every ε , the set $\{b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|} : \bar{\varphi}(s; b) < \varepsilon\}$ is definable over M .
3. **satisfiable** if, for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$, if $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \not\approx 0$, then $\varphi(a; b)$ for some $a \in M^{|z|}$.
4. **generically stable** if all of the above holds. \square

2.4 Fact Smooth samples are generically stable.

Proof We prove in turn 1-3 of the definition above.

1. If s is smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M , then there is an internal sample $s' \in \mathcal{U}^{f*}$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) \approx \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Then 1 follows.
2. The set $\{b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|} : \bar{\varphi}(s; b) < \varepsilon\}$ is definable in \mathcal{U}^{f*} because, by invariance of s , we may replace s with any internal sample $s' \equiv_M s$. As this set is invariant over M , it is definable over M^f and therefore, over M .
3. Let $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ be such that $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) > \varepsilon$. Pick a sample s' such that $s \equiv_M s' \perp_{M^f} b$. By the smoothness of s , we obtain $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) > \varepsilon$. Let $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(s_0; b) > \varepsilon$. Clearly, some element of $\text{supp}(s_0)$ proves 3. \square

Smooth samples are invariant. The converse need not be true in general, but we have the following.

2.5 Fact Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. For every internal sample s , the following are

equivalent

1. s is invariant;
2. s is smooth.

Proof By the fact above, implication $2 \Rightarrow 1$ holds for every sample. We prove $1 \Rightarrow 2$. Let $s \in \mathcal{U}^{f*}$ be an invariant internal sample. Assume for a contradiction that $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$ for some $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ and some sample $s' \equiv_M s$. Pick an internal sample $s'' \equiv_{M,s} s'$. Then $\bar{\varphi}(s''; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s; b)$. As $s'' \equiv_M s$ are both in \mathcal{U}^{f*} , this contradicts the invariance of s . \square

The following is a useful characterization of smoothness.

2.6 Lemma Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. The following are equivalent for every external sample s

1. s is smooth;
2. for every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ there is a finite sample $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s_0; b)$. (The sample s_0 depends on M , ε and b .)
3. ????? there are some finite samples $s_1, \dots, s_n \in M^f$ such that for every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ we have $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s_i; b)$ for some s_i . (The samples s_1, \dots, s_n as well as the number n depend on ε and M .)

Proof Implication $3 \Rightarrow 1$ is clear. We prove $1 \Rightarrow 2$, so, fix a $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Let μ be the standard part of $\bar{\varphi}(s; b)$. Let s' be a sample such that $s \equiv_M s' \downarrow_{M^f} b$. By smoothness, $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b) \approx \mu$. As $s' \downarrow_{M^f} b$ there is an $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(s_0; b) \approx_\varepsilon \mu$.

We prove $2 \Rightarrow 3$ by compactness. Suppose not, then the following global type is finitely consistent, hence realized in \mathcal{U}^{f*}

This contradicts 2. \square

2.7 Definition Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$ be arbitrary. We say that s is *approximable* on \mathcal{B} if for every ε there is a finite sample $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s_0; b)$ for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$. (The sample s_0 depends on ε and M .) We add for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M when these are not clear from the context. \square

2.8 Lemma Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. Let s be approximable on \mathcal{B} and such that $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) > \varepsilon$ for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there is a finite cover of \mathcal{B} , say $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n$, such that all types $p_i(x) = \{\varphi(x; b) : b \in \mathcal{B}_i\}$ are consistent.

Proof Let $s_0 \in M^f$ be as in Definition 2.7. As s_0 is finite, we may assume that $\text{supp}(s_0) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_i = \{b \in \mathcal{B} : \varphi(a_i; b)\}$. As $\bar{\varphi}(s_0; b) > 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, these \mathcal{B}_i are the required cover of \mathcal{B} . \square

2.9 Corollary Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. If s be approximable on $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$, where $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$ is a sequence of indiscernibles such that $\bar{\varphi}(s; b_i) > \varepsilon$ for every $i < \omega$, then the

type $\{\varphi(x; b_i) : i < \omega\}$ is consistent. \square

2.10 Corollary Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given. Let s be approximable on \mathcal{C} . Then for every ε is a pair of distinct $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\text{Av}_s[\varphi(x; c) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; c')] < \varepsilon$

Proof Suppose for a contradiction that $\text{Av}_s[\varphi(x; c) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; c')] \geq \varepsilon$ for all $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$. Apply Lemma 2.8 to the formula $\psi(x; z, z') = [\varphi(x; z) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; z')]$ and the set $\mathcal{B} = \{\langle c, c' \rangle \in \mathcal{C}^2 : c \neq c'\}$. The sets \mathcal{B}_i obtained from Lemma 2.8 induce a finite coloring of the complete graph on \mathcal{C} . By the Ramsey theorem there is an infinite monochromatic set $A \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Hence $\{\varphi(x; a) \nleftrightarrow \varphi(x; a') : a, a' \in A, a \neq a'\}$ is consistent. As $|A| > 2$, this is impossible. \square

3 The nip formulas

3.1 Theorem Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ and M be given and assume that $\varphi(x; z)$ is nip. Then every sample is approximable on M over M .

Proof Questo è Vapnik-Chervonenkis. \square

For smooth samples the Vapnik-Chervonenkis Theorem can be strengthened as follows. (The difference is that below b ranges over $\mathcal{U}^{|z|}$.)

3.2 Corollary Let $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ be nip. Every smooth sample s is approximable on \mathcal{U} over M .

Proof By Theorem 3.1, there is an $s_0 \in M^f$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s_0; b)$ for all $b \in M^{|z|}$.

Suppose for a contradiction that $\bar{\varphi}(s; b') \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s_0; b')$ for some $b' \in \mathcal{U}^{|z|}$. Pick a $s' \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ such that $b' \downarrow_M s' \equiv_M s$. By the smoothness of s , we obtain $\bar{\varphi}(s'; b') \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s_0; b')$. As $b' \downarrow_M s'$, the same formula holds for some $b \in M^{|z|}$. Once again by smoothness, $\bar{\varphi}(s; b) \not\approx_\varepsilon \bar{\varphi}(s_0; b)$. A contradiction. \square

3.3 Definition A formula $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ is *distal* if there is a formula $\psi(x; z_1, \dots, z_n) \in L$ such that for every finite set $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}^f$ and every $b \in \mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ there are $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{supp}(s)$ such that $\psi(x; a_1, \dots, a_n) \leftrightarrow p(x)$, where $p(x) = \text{tp}_\varphi(b/A)$. \square

3.4 Theorem (false) The following are equivalent

1. $\varphi(x; z) \in L$ is distal;
2. for every sample $s \in \mathcal{U}^{f+}$, if s is generically stable for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M then s is smooth for $\varphi(x; z)$ over M .