Branch: main 2024-01-22 13:02:44+01:00

μ-Random thoughts

M.R.T. Polymath

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a monster model. We confuse formulas $\varphi(x) \in L(\mathcal{U})$ with the subset of $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$ that they define. If $\mathcal{D} = \varphi(\mathcal{U})$, we may write $x \in \mathcal{D}$ for $\varphi(x)$. Unless stated otherwise, calligraphic capital letters denote definable sets (with parameters). If $p \subseteq L_x(\mathcal{U})$ we write $p \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ for $p(x) \to x \in \mathcal{D}$. If $p \in S_x(\mathcal{U})$ we may also write $p \in \mathcal{D}$. In other words, when convenient, we identify p and $\mathcal{D}$ with subsets (or elements) of $S_x(\mathcal{U})$.

For $\mu(x) \subseteq L(\mathcal{U})$ we denote by 1_μ the filter generated by $\{\varphi(\mathcal{U}) : \varphi(x) \in \mu\}$. We write 0_μ for the corresponding ideal, the ideal generated by $\big\{\neg\varphi(\mathcal{U}) : \varphi(x) \in \mu\big\}$. Note that the expressions $\mathcal{D} \in 1_\mu$ and $\mu \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ are synonymous.

Let $p \subseteq L_x(\mathcal{U})$. We say that p is μ-wide if $\mu(x) \cup p(x)$ is finitely consistent. If $p \in S_x(\mathcal{U})$ we may also say that p is μ-random, in other words, p is μ-random if $p \in \mathcal{D}$ for every $\mathcal{D} \in 1_\mu$ or, equivalently, if $p \notin \mathcal{D}$ for every $\mathcal{D} \in 0_\mu$. That is, when convenient we identify μ with the sets of μ-random (global) types.

Let κ be the cardinality of $\mathcal{U}$. We say that μ is κ-prime if for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_i : i < \kappa \rangle$ of definable sets such that $\mathcal{D}_i \cup \mathcal{D}_j \in 1_\mu$ for every $i < j < \kappa$, there is an $i < \kappa$ such that $\mathcal{D}_i \in 1_\mu$. The dual version of this property sounds: for every sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_i : i < \kappa \rangle$ of definable sets such that $\mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j \in 0_\mu$ for every $i < j < \kappa$, there is an $i < \kappa$ such that $\mathcal{D}_i \in 0_\mu$.

Example 1. Assume there is a finitely additive probability measure on the definable subsets of $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$. Let 1_μ be the set of subsets of measure 1. Then μ is κ-prime. Clearly, $p \in S_x(\mathcal{U})$ is μ-random, if it is contained in all definable sets of measure 1.

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that the sets $\langle \mathcal{D}_i : i < \kappa \rangle$ have positive measure but that $\mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j$ has measure 0 for every $i < j < \kappa$. As κ is uncountable, we can assume that all sets have measure $\geq 1/n$ for some n. Then, up to a set measure 0, the sets $\mathcal{D}_i$, for $0 < i \leq n$ are pairwise disjoint and contained in $\neg\mathcal{D}_0$. This is a contradiction because $\neg\mathcal{D}_0$ has measure < 1. $\square$

We say that μ is S1 if for every A-indiscernible sequence $\langle \mathcal{D}_i : i < \omega \rangle$

$$\mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_1 \in \mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{D}_0 \in \mu,$$

a terminology that originated in some obscure corner of Hrushovski's mind.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino.

Fact 2. For any A-invariant filter μ the following are equivalent

1. μ is κ-prime;
2. μ is S1.

Proof. ... $\square$

Example 3. Let $1_\mu = \{\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{|x|} \ : \ A^{|x|} \subseteq \mathcal{X}\}$. Then μ is S1. Clearly, $p(x)$ is μ-wide if it is finitely satisfied in A.

Proof. Assume $\mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_1 \in \mu$, where $\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1$ start a sequence of A-indiscernibles. Then $a \in \mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_1$ for every $a \in A^{|x|}$. By indiscernibility, $a \in \mathcal{D}_0$ holds for every $a \in A^{|x|}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_0 \in \mu$. $\square$

Example 4. Let $\mu = \{\varphi(x) \in L(\mathcal{U}) \ : \ \varphi(x) \in p \ \text{ for every } A\text{-invariant } p \in S_x(\mathcal{U})\}$. Then μ is S1. Clearly, a type $p \subseteq L_x(\mathcal{U})$ is μ-wide if it has a global extension to an A-invariant global type.

Proof. Assume $\mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_1 \in 1_\mu$, where $\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1$ are conjugate over A. By invariance $p \in \mathcal{D}_0$ if and only if $p \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}_0 \in 1_\mu$. $\square$

Example 5. We say that $\mathcal{D}$ is A-generic if finitely many A-translates of $\mathcal{D}$ cover $\mathcal{U}^{|x|}$. Let μ be as in Example 4. Then 1_μ is the filter generated by the A-generic sets.

Proof. It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{D}$ is A-generic then $\mathcal{D} \in 1_\mu$. Vice versa, assume that $\mathcal{D} \notin 1_\mu$. By taking complements,

there are no A-generic sets $\mathcal{B}_i$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{B}_i \quad \subseteq \quad \mathcal{D}$$

By taking complements, for any $\mathcal{C}_i$ such that

$$\neg\mathcal{D} \quad \subseteq \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_i$$

there is at least one i such that the A-orbit of $\mathcal{C}_i$ has the finite intersection property. By a standard argument we obtain that there is an A-invariant type $p \in \not\mathcal{D}$. Therefore $\mathcal{D} \notin \mu$ $\square$

Example 6. If μ is as in Example 3 then the following are equivalent

1. $p(x)$ is wide;
2. $p(x)$ is finitely satisfied in B.

Proof. (1⇒2) If $\varphi(x)$ is not finitely satisfiable in B, then $\neg\varphi(x)$ is in μ and $p(x)$ is not consistent with $\mu(x)$. (2⇒1) If $p(x) \to \neg\varphi(x)$ for some $\varphi(x) \in \mu$, ten $p(x)$ is not finitely satisfied in B. □

Theorem 7. Let $p(x;z), q(x;z) \subseteq L(A)$. Let μ be a k-prime and A-invariant. Then

$$R(a,b) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p(x;a) \cup q(x;b) \text{ is wide}$$

is a stable relation.

Proof. Let $\langle a_i, b_i : i < \omega\rangle$ be a sequence of A-indiscernibles such that $p(x;a_0) \cup q(x;b_1)$ is wide. By κ-primality, also $\big[p(x;a_0) \cup q(x;b_1)\big] \cup \big[p(x;a_2) \cup q(x;b_3)\big]$ is wide. A fortiori $p(x;a_2) \cup q(x;b_1)$ is wide and, by indiscernibility, so is $p(x;a_1) \cup q(x;b_0)$. □

Definition 8. The nonforking filter ν is the filter generated by the sets $\mathcal{D}$ such that some $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_n$ that starts a sequence of indiscernibles cover $\mathcal{U}$.