**Esercizio 1.** (Leonardo Centazzo) Let  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a definable set with finite orbit over A. Without using the eq-expansion, prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  is union of classes of a finite equivalence relation definable over A.

Esercizio 2. (Pietro Giura) Prove that the following are equivalent

- 1. T has weak elimination of imaginaries
- 2. T has geometric elimination of imaginaries and  $\operatorname{acl}^{\operatorname{eq}} A = \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(\operatorname{acl} A)$  for every  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$

**Esercizio 3.** (Costanza Furone) Let  $A \subseteq N \models T_{rg}$ . Let  $\varphi(x) \in L(A)$ . Prove that if  $\varphi(N)$  nonempty and disjoint from A then  $\varphi(N)$  is a random graph.

**Esercizio 4.** (Francesco Sulpizi) Let  $p(x) \subseteq L(A)$  and let  $\varphi(x; y) \in L(A)$  be a formula that defines, when restricted to  $p(\mathcal{U}^x)$ , an equivalence relation with finitely many classes. Prove that there is a finite equivalence relation definable over A that coincides with  $\varphi(x; y)$  on  $p(\mathcal{U}^x)$ .

**Esercizio 5.** (Roberto Carnevale) The theory T has uniform elimination of imaginaries if for every formula  $\varphi(x;u)$  there is a formula  $\sigma(x,z)$  such that

$$\forall u \exists^{=1} z \forall x [\varphi(x; u) \leftrightarrow \sigma(x; z)]$$

Claim. If  ${\mathfrak U}$  contains two definable elements (for simplicity, call them 0 and 1) then elimination of imaginaries implies uniform elimination of imaginaries.

Unfortunately, as stated the claim is false – if only for fatuous reasons. So, prove it assuming (in the definition of uniform elimination) that  $\forall u \exists x \varphi(x; u)$ .

Esercizio 6. (Alessandro Martina) Prove that the following are equivalent

- 1. *T* has weak elimination of imaginaries
- 2. for every  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{U}^{eq}$  there exists the least algebraically closed set  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is definable over A.

**Esercizio 7.** (Davide Peccioli) Prove that following are equivalent for every  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ 

- 1.  $\operatorname{acl}^{\operatorname{eq}} A = \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(\operatorname{acl} A)$  for every  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$
- 2.  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/\operatorname{acl}^{\operatorname{eq}} A) = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/\operatorname{acl} A)$
- 3.  $c \equiv_{\text{acl} A} b \Leftrightarrow c \stackrel{\text{Sh}}{\equiv}_A b$  for every  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  and  $c, b \in \mathcal{U}^{<\omega}$ .

**Esercizio 8.** (Matteo Bisi) Let T have elimination of imaginaries. Let  $\varphi(x;z) \in L(A)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{U}^z$  be given. Prove that if the orbit of  $\varphi(\mathbb{U}^x;c)$  over A is finite, then  $\varphi(\mathbb{U}^x;c)$  is definable over  $\operatorname{acl} A$ . Is the same true assuming only weak elemination?

**Esercizio 9.** (Angela Dosio) For which of the following theories every completion has elimination of imaginaries? (Some answers are trivial, others I do not know.)

- 1. PA
- 2. ZF+V=L
- 3. ZFC
- 4. ZF.