$\begin{array}{c} P \ vs \ NP \\ for \ the \ rigorous \ mathematician \end{array}$

Dominic van der Zypen

1. Introduction

I have long had an iffy feeling about the formulation of the **P** vs **NP** problem. I sort-of know Turing machines, I have a vague concept of what is "a class of problems", and I have heard many times that **NP** is the class of problems for which a solution can be checked in polynomial time for correctness.

All my ifs-and-buts add to a quite shaky view - and I decided to get to the bottom of things and provide a rigorous and (hopefully) mathematically appealing definition of Turing machines, languages, and the like.

2. Configurations


Let ω denote the first infinite ordinal (which can be thought of as \mathbb{N} , the set of non-negative integers). Recall that each $n \in \omega$ is an *ordinal*, that is, $0 := \emptyset$ and for n > 0, its members are the numbers $0, \ldots, n-1$, so $n = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. We write ω^{ω} for the collection of all maps $f : \omega \to \omega$. Members of ω^{ω} are also called integer sequences.

Our first central concept, the **configuration**, is the mathematical model of the notion of the **tape** of the Turing machine, together with the **writing head** and the **internal state** of the machine.

Definition 2.1. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then an *n*-configuration is a function (also called an *integer sequence*) $c \in \omega^{\omega}$ with the following properties:

- $(1) c(0) \in n = \{0, \dots, n-1\},\$
- $(2) c(1) \geq 2,$
- (3) $c(k) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ for all $k \in \omega \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and
- (4) c is eventually constant with value 2. (This means that there is $N \in \omega$ such that c(k) = 2 for all $k \in \omega$ with $k \geq N$.)

Next, we illustrate and explain the meanings of the entries of c in detail:



- $c(0) \in n$ represents the *state* of the possible n states $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ of the configuration. States 0 and 1 (stored in the first cell, c(0)) have a special meaning: 0 = reject, 1 = accept.
- $c(1) \ge 2$ represents the position of the read/write head of the configuration.

• We interpret 2 as being the blank symbol. The value symbols are 0 and 1. The blank symbol 2 can be used to separate "input strings" consisting of 0.1. Every configuration is eventually blank (=2).

We let Config(n) be the collection of n-configurations. Note that whenever $n \leq n$ $n' \in \omega$ and $n \geq 2$, we have $Config(n) \subseteq Config(n')$.

3. Turing machines

Definition 3.1. A Turing machine is a tuple $M = (n, \delta)$ where $n \in \omega, n \ge 2$ and $\delta: n \times \{0,1,2\} \to n \times \{0,1,2\} \times \{-1,1\}$ is a function with following property:

if
$$q \in \{0, 1\}^1$$
 and $b \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, then $\delta(q, b) = (q, b, -1)$.

The interpretation of this is that δ gets constant whenever an accept or reject state has been reached.

If $\delta(q, b) = (q', b', s)$ for $q, q' \in Q, b, b' \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $s \in \{-1, 1\}$ we write

- nextstate(q, b) = q',
- output(q, b) = b', and
- $step(q, b) = s \in \{-1, 1\}.$

The function δ is called the transition function and can be looked at as the clockwork of the Turing machine.

4. Synthesis: combining configurations and Turing Machines

Definition 4.1. If $M = (n, \delta)$ be a Turing machine, then M induces a configuration map

$$\mathfrak{C}_M : \operatorname{Config}(n) \to \operatorname{Config}(n)$$

in the following way. If $c \in \text{Config}(n)$, then we define $\mathfrak{C}_M(c) : \omega \to \omega$ by

- $\mathfrak{C}_M(c)(0) = \operatorname{nextstate}(c(0), c(c(1)))^2$
- $\mathfrak{C}_M(c)(1) = \max\{2, c(1) + \text{step}(c(0), c(c(1)))\}, 3$
- $\mathfrak{C}_M(c)(c(1)) = \text{output}(c(0), c(c(1))), \text{ and } ^4$
- $\mathfrak{C}_M(c)(x) = c(x)$ for all $x \in \omega \setminus \{0, 1, c(1)\}.$

So we have $\mathfrak{C}_M(c) \in \omega^{\omega}$, and it easy to check that $\mathfrak{C}_M(c) \in \text{Config}(n)$.

5. Run time and worst-case run time

If $X \neq \emptyset$ is a set and $f: X \to X$, we define inductively for any $x \in X$:

- $f^{(0)}(x) = x$, and $f^{(n+1)}(x) = f(f^{(n)}(x))$.

For the remainder of this section, fix $n \geq 2$.

¹recall that 0,1 are special states with the meanings {reject, accept}, respectively.

 $^{^{2}}c(0)$ is the current state in the set of possible states $\{0,\ldots,n-1\}$, and c(1) is the position of the read/write head, and finally c(c(1)) is the **value** of the cell at the head.

 $^{^3}$ step $(c(0), c(c(1))) \in \{-1, 1\}.$

⁴so the output created by the transition function δ gets inserted at the head position c(1).

Definition 5.1. If M is an n-Turing machine and $c \in \text{Config}(n)$, then we consider the set

$$\mathcal{T}_M(c) = \left\{ n \in \omega : \mathfrak{C}_M^{(n)}(0) \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.^5$$

- (1) If $\mathcal{T}_M(c) \neq \emptyset$, we say that M terminates on constellation c.
- (2) The run time of M on c defined by $t_M(c) = \min \mathcal{T}_M(c)$ if M terminates on c, and we set $t_M(c) = \infty$ otherwise.
- (3) If there is $n \in \omega$ with $\mathfrak{C}_{M}^{(n)}(0) = 0$, then M is said to reject c.

 (4) If there is $n \in \omega$ with $\mathfrak{C}_{M}^{(n)}(0) = 1$, then M is said to accept c.
- (5) We define the the language accepted by M by

$$L(M) = \{c \in \text{Config}(n) : M \text{ accepts } c\}.$$

For the worst case run time we need the notion of the length of a configuration. Note that every configuration is eventually constant 2.

Definition 5.2. If $c \in \text{Config}(n)$, the the *length* of c is defined by

$$len(c) = min\{N \in \omega \setminus \{0,1\} : c(x) = 2 \text{ for all } x \ge N\} - 2.$$

(It is a bit aesthetically displeasing that one has to do this $\omega \setminus \{0, 1\}[\dots] - 2$ trick. This is because the first two cells c(0), c(1) of each configuration c have special meanings, and the input starts at c(2).)

Definition 5.3. If M is an n-Turing machine and $\ell \in \omega$ then we define the worst case run time to be

$$T_M(\ell) = \sup\{t_M(c) : c \in \text{Config}(n) \text{ and } \text{len}(c) = \ell\} \in \omega \cup \{\infty\}.$$

We say that M runs in polynomial time if there are positive integers j, k such that for all $\ell \in \omega$ we have $T_M(\ell) \leq \ell^j + k$.

 $\mathbf{P} = \{ L \subseteq \omega^{\omega} : \text{there is an integer } n \geq 2 \text{ and an } n\text{-Turing machine } M \}$ such that L = L(M) and M runs in polynomial time.

7. The class **NP**

⁵This is the set of iterations n such that the state stored in the first cell is 0 (reject) or 1 (accept).