

Introduction to Logic, Part I, Chapter I by Patrick Suppes - exercises

Dominik Lenda

April 26, 2021

1 Decomposing concepts

When a sentence that is not a tautology may become one?

Exercise 4.

In the following examples determine the truth value of the compound sentences from the given truth values of the component sentences.

- (i) "Galileo was born before Descartes" is true.
- (ii) "Descartes was born in the sixteenth century" is true.
- (iii) "Newton was born before Shakespeare" is false.
- (iv) "Racine was a compatriot of Galileo" is false.
- (a) If Galileo was born before Descartes, then Newton was not born before Shakespeare.
Answer: $true \rightarrow \neg false$ is *true*
- (b) If either Racine was a compatriot of Galileo or Newton was born before Shakespeare, then Descartes was born in the sixteenth century. Answer:
 $(false \vee false) \rightarrow true$ is *true*
- (c) If Racine was not a compatriot of Galileo, then either Descartes was not born in the sixteenth century or Newton was born before Shakespeare.
Answer: $\neg false \rightarrow (\neg true \vee false)$ is *false*

Exercise 5.

Let

N = New York is larger than Chicago

W = New York is north of Washington

C = Chicago is larger than New York

N , W are true and C is false.

Which of the following sentences are true?

- (a) $N \vee C$ is *true*

- (b) $N \wedge C$ is *false*
- (c) $\neg N \wedge \neg C$ is *false*
- (d) $N \leftrightarrow \neg W \vee C$ is *false*
- (e) $W \vee \neg C \rightarrow N$ is *true*
- (f) $(W \vee N) \rightarrow (W \rightarrow \neg C)$ is *true*
- (g) $(W \leftrightarrow \neg N) \leftrightarrow (N \leftrightarrow C)$ is *true*
- (h) $(W \rightarrow N) \rightarrow [(N \rightarrow \neg C) \rightarrow (\neg C \rightarrow W)]$ is *true*

Exercise 6.

Let

P = Jane Austen was contemporary of Beethoven

Q = Beethoven was a contemporary of Gauss

R = Gauss was a contemporary of Napoleon

S = Napoleon was a contemporary of Julius Caesar

P , Q , and R are true, and S is false.

Find the truth values of the following sentences:

- (a) $(P \wedge Q) \wedge R$ is *true*
- (b) $P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$ is *true*
- (c) $S \rightarrow P$ is *true*
- (d) $P \rightarrow S$ is *false*
- (e) $(P \wedge Q) \wedge (R \wedge S)$ is *false*
- (f) $P \wedge Q \leftrightarrow R \wedge \neg S$ is *true*
- (g) $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \rightarrow (S \leftrightarrow R)$ is *false*
- (h) $(\neg P \leftarrow Q) \leftarrow (S \leftarrow R)$ is *true*
- (i) $(P \rightarrow \neg Q) \rightarrow (S \leftrightarrow R)$ is *true*
- (j) $(P \rightarrow Q)[(Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (R \rightarrow S)]$ is *false*
- (k) $P \rightarrow [Q \leftrightarrow (R \rightarrow S)]$ is *false*

Exercise 7.

Let P be a sentence such that for any sentence Q the sentence $P \vee Q$ is true.

What can be said about the truth value of P .

Answer: P is *true*

Exercise 8.

Let P be a sentence such that for any sentence Q the sentence $P \wedge Q$ is false.

What can be said about the truth value of P .

Answer: P is *false*

Exercise 9.

If $P \leftrightarrow Q$ is true, what can be said about the truth value of $P \vee -Q$?

Answer: $P \vee -Q$ is *true*

Exercise 10.

(a) $P \vee Q$ is **not** a tautology.

p	q	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

(b) $P \vee -P$ is a tautology

p	$p \vee -p$
T	T
F	T

(c) $P \vee Q \rightarrow Q \vee P$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$P \vee Q \rightarrow Q \vee P$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

(d) $P \rightarrow (P \vee Q) \vee R$ is a tautology.

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow (P \vee Q) \vee R$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T

(e) $P \rightarrow (-P \rightarrow Q)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$P \rightarrow (-P \rightarrow Q)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

(f) $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$ is **not** a tautology.

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	F
F	F	T

(g) $[(P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow Q] \rightarrow P$ is **not** a tautology.

P	Q	$[(P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow Q] \rightarrow P$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	F
F	F	T

(h) $P \rightarrow [Q \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)]$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$P \rightarrow [Q \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)]$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

(i) $P \wedge Q \rightarrow P \vee R$ is a tautology.

P	Q	R	$P \wedge Q \rightarrow P \vee R$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T

(j) $[P \vee (-P \wedge Q)] \vee (-P \wedge -Q)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$[P \vee (-P \wedge Q)] \vee (-P \wedge -Q)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

(k) $P \wedge Q \rightarrow (P \leftrightarrow Q \vee R)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	R	$P \wedge Q \rightarrow (P \leftrightarrow Q \vee R)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T

- (1) $[P \wedge Q \rightarrow (P \wedge \neg P \rightarrow Q \vee \neg Q)] \wedge (Q \rightarrow Q)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$[P \wedge Q \rightarrow (P \wedge \neg P \rightarrow Q \vee \neg Q)] \wedge (Q \rightarrow Q)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

Exercise 11.

If P and Q are distinct atomic sentences, which of the following are tautologies?

- (a) $P \leftrightarrow Q$ is **not** a tautology.

P	Q	$P \leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

- (b) $P \leftrightarrow P \vee P$ is a tautology.

P	$P \leftrightarrow P \vee P$
T	T
F	T

- (c) $P \vee Q \leftrightarrow Q \vee P$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$P \vee Q \leftrightarrow Q \vee P$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

- (d) $(P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$ is **not** a tautology.

P	Q	$(P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

- (e) $(P \leftrightarrow P) \leftrightarrow P$ is a tautology.

P	$(P \leftrightarrow P) \leftrightarrow P$
T	T
F	T

Exercise 12.

On the basis of ordinary usage construct truth tables for the sentential connectives used in the following examples:

- (a) Not both P and Q.

P	Q	$-(P \wedge Q)$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

- (b) Neither P nor Q.

P	Q	$-(P \vee Q)$
T	T	F
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Exercise 13.

Give examples of sentences P and Q (not necessarily atomic) such that the following compound sentences are tautologies.

- (a) $P \wedge Q$ is **not** a tautology.

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Changing atomic sentences P and Q into compound sentences $P_1 \vee -P_2$ and Q into $Q_1 \vee -Q_2$ makes the whole sentence tautology.

P	Q	$(P_1 \vee -P_2) \wedge (Q_1 \vee -Q_2)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

- (b) $P \vee (P \wedge -Q)$ is **not** a tautology.

P	Q	$P \vee (P \wedge -Q)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Changing atomic sentences P and Q into compound sentences $P_1 \vee -P_2$ and Q into $Q_1 \vee -Q_2$ makes the whole sentence tautology.

P	Q	
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T