

FAKULTÄT FÜR INFORMATIK

DER TECHNISCHEN UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Information Systems

Guideline for combining and differentiating between CMMN, DMN and BPMN: An indicator-based use case study

Dominik Gerbershagen





FAKULTÄT FÜR INFORMATIK

DER TECHNISCHEN UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Bachelor's Thesis in Information Systems

Guideline for combining and differentiating between CMMN, DMN and BPMN: An indicator-based use case study

Richtlinie zur Kombination und Differenzierung zwischen CMMN, DMN und BPMN: Eine indikatorbasierte Falluntersuchung

Author:	Dominik Gerbershagen
Supervisor:	Prof. Dr. rer. pol. Hans-Arno Jacobsen
Advisor:	Martin Jergler, M.Sc.

Date: November 15, 2016



Ich versichere, dass ich diese Bachelor-Thesis selbständig verfasst und nur die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel verwendet habe.

I confirm that this bachelor's thesis is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, November 15, 2016 Dominik Gerbershagen

Abstract

Contents

Part I.

Introduction

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

1.2. Problem Statement

1.3. Approach

1.4. Structure of this thesis

Part II.

Preliminaries

2. Preliminaries

Part III.

Related Work

3. Related Work

3.1. BPMN

– todo
Geplant: Am Ende der Ausarbeitung

3.2. CMMN

Case Management and knowledge work are not brand new inventions that have been created in the past few years. ”Peter F. Drucker made the first reference to knowledge work in (...) 1959 (...)” [1]. A current ”overview and research challenges” provide [1] who explain the difference between business process management and adaptive case management. They briefly sum up the state of the art in case management technology and the next generation solutions. Mentioning technology and tools for Case Management, CMMN and Adaptive Case Management, there are many articles dealing with these topics. [2] describe how adaptive case management can be implemented in businesses and integrated in Enterprise Resource Planing systems (ERP). Additionally they approach a new architecture which decouples decision logic, knowledge work and process flows. All this leads to a better handling of information and an optimization of business modeling. Another practical example provide [3] explaining the company's approach towards an implementation of the CMMN paradigm. This includes the ability to change requirements or orders during run-time, which is one of the major aspects in their system. To achieve this goal, they first set up a meta model of their order-based system and enhanced it afterwards. These practical examples are important in order to evaluate the compatibility with the CMMN specification and other modeling languages, specifically BPMN. They also provide a good overview of how to combine modeling techniques and how they are realized as a system in companies. A more theoretical approach to case management and CMMN particularly provide [4] and [5]. They both do research on transforming CMMN into different

languages. [4] do model-to-model transformation from CMMN to DDML (DEVS-driven Modeling Language) which is used to formalize CMMN and analyze it afterwards. [5] have a similar approach, but a different goal. Due to weaknesses of CMMN, the language cannot be used to create a platform for both agile and route processes. They describe agile processes as the ones "(...) of which the exact flow cannot be determined completely a priori" [5], which is a fundamental characteristic of knowledge work and the reason why case management is so important for many industries. Coping with CMMN's downsides they build their platform on a "rule-based cross-perspective and model intermediate language on textual basis, (...) called *Declarative Process Intermediate Language (DPIL)*" [5].
A useful source for evaluating CMMN as a standardization for adaptive case management is [6]. The subtitle *Examining the applicability of a new OMG standard for adaptive case management* is a good foundation to see how OMG met the expectations from the industry and researchers. This paper sets up requirements deriving from different sources described in detail in section two [6]. At the end of their paper, they evaluate how good the requirements were fulfilled by the CMMN standardization and provide feedback for future improvements.

3.3. DMN

The Decision Model and Notation standardization was meant to improve the *separation of concerns* [7] which is the decoupling of decision logic and the control-flow. Biard et al. investigate how the new standard DMN can be used for decoupling BPMN and the decisions modeled as gateways. Decision-modeling is not typically included in control-flow oriented modeling languages. BPMN has not the power to model vast decision-trees due to the gateway restrictions. [8] even calls it a "(...) [misuse] for modeling decision logic". They found an autonomous way of separating the concerns. After averaging more than 900 models from different industries they introduced a "(...) semi-automatic approach to identify decision logic in business processes (...)" [8]. This semi-automatic approach incorporates the 900+ models they used to identify patterns in decision modeling. Formalization is not one of the key issues in this thesis, but the translation of BPMN to DMN or the link between them definitely is. Evaluating the compatibility of DMN with different modeling languages has been the objective of [9]. They approached a combined solution for knowledge-intensive work modeling and extracting the decision logic, what lead them to a new language called *Declare-R-DMN*. Although the Declare language is not part of this thesis, the combination of it with DMN is

useful to evaluate the compatibility with BPMN and CMMN.

Appendix

List of Figures

Bibliography

[1] H. R. Motahari-Nezhad and K. D. Swenson. Adaptive Case Management: Overview and Research Challenges. In *2013 IEEE 15th Conference on Business Informatics*, pages 264–269, July 2013.

[2] Łukasz Osuszek and Stanisław Stanek. Knowledge Management and Decision Support in Adaptive Case Management Platforms. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems*. Polish Information Processing Society PTI, October 2015.

[3] Vadim Kuzin and Galina Kuzina. *On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems: OTM 2013 Workshops: Confederated International Workshops: OTM Academy, OTM Industry Case Studies Program, ACM, EI2N, ISDE, META4eS, ORM, SeDeS, SINCOM, SMS, and SOMOCO 2013, Graz, Austria, September 9 - 13, 2013, Proceedings*, chapter CMMN Implementation in Executable Model of Business Process at Order-Based Manufacturing Enterprise, pages 112–123. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.

[4] Shaowei Wang and Mamadou Kaba Traoré. A System-theoretic Approach to Case Management. In *Proceedings of the 2014 SpringSim Poster Session*, Posters '14, pages 31–32, San Diego, CA, USA, 2014. Society for Computer Simulation International.

[5] Michael Zeising, Stefan Schönig, and Stefan Jablonski. Towards a Common Platform for the Support of Routine and Agile Business Processes. In *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on Collaborative Computing: Networking, Applications and Worksharing*, pages 94–103. Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering (ICST), October 2014.

[6] Matthias Kurz, Werner Schmidt, Albert Fleischmann, and Matthias Lederer. Leveraging CMMN for ACM. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Subject-Oriented Business Process Management - S-BPM ONE '15*. Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), 2015.

[7] Thierry Biard, Alexandre Mauff, Michel Bigand, and Jean-Pierre Bourey. *Risks and Resilience of Collaborative Networks: 16th IFIP WG 5.5 Working Conference on Virtual Enterprises, PRO-VE 2015, Albi, France,, October 5-7, 2015, Proceedings*, chapter Separation of Decision Modeling from Business Process Modeling Using New "Decision Model and Notation" (DMN) for Automating Operational Decision-Making, pages 489–496. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015.

[8] Kimon Batoulis, Andreas Meyer, Ekaterina Bazhenova, Gero Decker, and Mathias Weske. Extracting Decision Logic from Process Models. In *Advanced Information Systems Engineering*, pages 349–366. Springer Science Business Media, 2015.

[9] Steven Mertens, Frederik Gailly, and Geert Poels. Enhancing Declarative Process Models with DMN Decision Logic. In *Enterprise, Business-Process and Information Systems Modeling*, pages 151–165. Springer Science Business Media, 2015.