

Proof Catches works.

Make the assumptions of the lemma

And show

$$\| (reg, ms) \| \leq O(W)$$

The anti-reducing lemma, so let
ms be given then

$$(reg, ms) \vdash ms \vdash \text{pc}$$

At this point,

$$(reg, ms) \text{ points to } \text{malloc } r_i \text{ w/ } \text{cnext} \text{ next,}$$

so use malloc correctness lemma

SFFS Hyp-Cont

assume $r_i \leq n-1$
malloc $\geq (W, i)$

$$ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash ms' : i, W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}]$$

$$ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash [i \mapsto \text{malloc}]$$

$$\text{cnext} \quad \text{pc}$$

$$reg'(r') = ((\text{low}, \text{global}), \text{base}, \text{end}, \text{base}, \text{end}) \vdash r_i$$

be

$$reg(r) \vdash \text{pc}, r_i, r_i$$

Show

$$(i, (reg, ms) \vdash ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash ms' : i, W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}]) \leq O(W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}])$$

Use anti red lemma. let ms' be given, then

$$(reg, ms) \vdash ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash ms' : i, W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}] \rightarrow$$

$$(reg, ms) \vdash ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash ms' : i, W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}]$$

$$\text{where } ms' = reg(r_{\text{next}})$$

and

$$reg(r_{i+1}) = reg(r_i) = \text{cnext} \text{ w/ perm } r_w.$$

except for pc

$$reg(r) = reg(r)$$

and $(reg, ms) \vdash ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash ms' : i, W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}]$ is looking at
malloc r_i w/ cnext, next

SPS

Now show

$$(i, (reg, ms) \vdash ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash ms' : i, W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}]) \leq O(W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}])$$

To this end use malloc cor. lemma.

Specifically:

$ms' \vdash ms \vdash \text{footprint}' : i \vdash ms' : i, W [i \mapsto \text{malloc}]$ because assumption + SFFS lemma + disj union mem set lemma.

SERS Hyp-Cont i.e.

$$w^i \leq v^i - 1$$

$$l_{\text{alloc}} \geq \text{size } W(i)$$

$$w^i_{\text{act}} \leq w^i_{\text{res}} \quad w^i_{\text{act}} \leq w^i_{\text{res}} \quad l_{\text{sta}}(t_{\text{emp}}, w^i_{\text{act}})$$

$$w^i_{\text{act}} \leq l_{\text{challenger}}$$

$$w^i_{\text{act}} = \begin{cases} c_{\text{next}} & \text{pc} \\ \text{reg}(r) & r_1 \end{cases} \notin \text{pc}, r_2$$

$$\text{reg}(r) = \begin{cases} \text{cnext} & \text{pc} \\ \text{reg}(r) & r_1 \end{cases} \notin \text{pc}, r_2$$

$$a-b=7$$

$$\text{den}(w^i_{\text{act}}) = [b, d]$$

all O_i

show

$$(u^i, \text{reg}^i, w^i_{\text{act}}) \leq w^i_{\text{res}} \quad l_{\text{sta}}(t_{\text{emp}}, w^i_{\text{act}}) \leq O(W(l_{\text{alloc}}, l_{\text{sta}}(t_{\text{emp}}, w^i_{\text{act}})))$$

Use anti-red lemma. know:

$$(\text{reg}^i, w^i_{\text{act}}) \leq w^i_{\text{res}} \quad l_{\text{sta}}(t_{\text{emp}}, w^i_{\text{act}}) \rightarrow$$

$$(\text{reg}^i, w^i_{\text{act}}) \leq w^i_{\text{res}} \quad l_{\text{sta}}(t_{\text{emp}}, w^i_{\text{act}})$$

where

$$w^i_{\text{act}}(b, b+1) = \text{reg}(r_{\text{act}}), c_{\text{next}}$$

$$w^i_{\text{act}}(b+2, \dots, b+k) = i_{\text{act}}, i_{\text{c}}$$

$$\text{reg}^i(\text{pc}) = c_{\text{next}}, \text{reg}^i(r_1) = (c_{\text{global}}, b, c, b+2)$$

$$\text{reg}^i(r) = \text{reg}^i(r) \text{ of } w$$

Use the Hyp-Cont assumed in
Circles Lemma.

To get

$$(\text{reg}^i, w^i_{\text{act}}) \leq w^i_{\text{res}} \quad l_{\text{sta}}(t_{\text{emp}}, w^i_{\text{act}}) \leq O(W(l_{\text{alloc}}, l_{\text{sta}}(t_{\text{emp}}, w^i_{\text{act}})))$$

SFTS given ... let $k=6$