Hypotheses

[All regressions yield results that do not pass the .05 p-value level of significance Nonetheless, here are hypotheses and their outcomes according to regressions in Table 1]

H-E1a. Exposure to pro-attitudinal fake news will increase affective polarization.

Not upheld according to direction of results (coef congenial = -.77)

H-E1b. Exposure to pro-attitudinal fake news will increase negative feelings toward the media.

Upheld according to direction of results (coef congenial = -.131)

H-E2. Exposure to pro-attitudinal fake news will increase intent to vote

Upheld for continuous measure (coef congenial = .013)

Not upheld for binray measure (coef congenial = -.002)

H-E3. Exposure to pro-attitudinal fake news will increase intent to take political action

Upheld according to direction of results (coef congenial = .076)

Results

Table 1

	$Dependent\ variable:$				
	H-E1a	H-E1b	H-E2a	H-E2	H-E3
	Affective	Affect to	Intent to	Intent to	Political Action
	Polarization	Media	Vote Combined	Vote Binary	Scale
congenial_fn	-0.774	-0.131	0.013	-0.002	0.076
	(2.159)	(2.030)	(0.069)	(0.019)	(0.082)
uncongenial_fn	0.506	-2.239	-0.021	-0.014	-0.053
	(2.115)	(1.989)	(0.068)	(0.019)	(0.081)
Constant	52.040***	50.230***	3.632***	0.920***	3.081***
	(1.507)	(1.417)	(0.048)	(0.013)	(0.057)
Observations	1,273	1,273	1,273	1,273	1,273
\mathbb{R}^2	0.0003	0.001	0.0002	0.0005	0.002
Adjusted R^2	-0.001	-0.0003	-0.001	-0.001	0.0004
Residual Std. Error $(df = 1270)$	31.139	29.282	0.996	0.279	1.187
F Statistic (df = 2 ; 1270)	0.180	0.796	0.126	0.304	1.252

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Variables

IVS:

 $congenial_fn \ and \ uncongenial_fn$

binary measures:

```
congenial_fn=0 if repub_leaners==1 | dem_leaners==1
    congenial_fn=1 if (proD_fake==1 & dem_leaners==1) | (proR_fake==1 & repub_leaners==1)
    uncongenial_fn=0 if repub_leaners==1 | dem_leaners==1
    uncongenial_fn=1 if (proD_fake==1 & repub_leaners==1) | (proR_fake==1 & dem_leaners==1)
DVS:
affect_polar_lean
    affect_polar_lean=pol_therm_dem_w2 (feelings thermometers) - pol_therm_rep_w2 if dem_leaners==1
    affect_polar_lean=pol_therm_rep_w2 - pol_therm_dem_w2 if repub_leaners==1
    These are continuous measure showing the difference between Ps feelings toward party and their
    feelings toward out-party
    Higher numbers mean greater polarization
pol_therm_media_w2: Feelings thermometer toward media
vote_combined:
    vote\_combined = vote (binary) + vote (four point scale)
    Higher numbers mean more certainty in voting habits
vote_binary:
    vote_binary = vote (four point scale) fairly certain or absolutely certain=1
    0, otherwise
polact_mean:
    5 measure asking whether Ps will donate, talk about politics, volunteer, go to rally or where a
    Each measure is 1-4, 4 being Strongly agree they will take action.
    polact_mean is the mean of these 5 measures
Code
# H-E1a. Exposure to pro - attitudinal fake news will increase affective polarization
he1a <- lm(formula = affect_polar_lean ~ congenial_fn + uncongenial_fn, data = subset(june1,
june1$independents == 0))
# H-E1b. Exposure to pro-attitudinal fake news will increase negative feelings toward the media
he1b <- lm(formula = pol_therm_media_w2 ~ congenial_fn + uncongenial_fn, data = subset(june1,
june1$independents == 0))
# H-E2: Exposure to pro-attitudinal fake news will increase intent to vote
he2a <- lm(formula = vote_combined ~ congenial_fn + uncongenial_fn, data = subset(june1,
```